

Modularity of trace functions in orbifold theory for \mathbb{Z} -graded vertex operator superalgebras

Chongying Dong¹ and Zhongping Zhao

Department of Mathematics

University of California, Santa Cruz, CA 95064

Abstract

We study the trace functions in orbifold theory for \mathbb{Z} -graded vertex operator superalgebras and obtain a modular invariance result. More precisely, let V be a C_2 -cofinite \mathbb{Z} -graded vertex operator superalgebra and G a finite automorphism group of V . Then for any commuting pairs $(g, h) \in G$, the $h\sigma$ -trace functions associated to the simple g -twisted V -modules are holomorphic in the upper half plane where σ is the canonical involution on V coming from the superspace structure of V . If V is further g -rational for every $g \in G$, the trace functions afford a representation for the full modular group $SL(2, \mathbb{Z})$.

1 Introduction

This work is a continuation of our study of the modular invariance for trace functions in orbifold theory. Motivated by the generalized moonshine [N] and orbifold theory in physics [DVVV], the modular invariance of trace functions in orbifold theory has been studied for an arbitrary vertex operator algebra [DLM3]. This work has been generalized to a $\frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}$ -graded vertex operator superalgebra [DZ2] (also see [H]). In this paper we investigate the modular invariance of trace functions in orbifold theory for a \mathbb{Z} -graded vertex operator superalgebra.

It is true that many \mathbb{Z} -graded vertex operator superalgebra can be obtained from a $\frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}$ -graded vertex operator superalgebra by changing the Virasoro element (cf. [DM2]). In this case we can apply the results from [DZ1] and [DZ2] to these \mathbb{Z} -graded vertex operator superalgebras without extra work. Unfortunately, there are many \mathbb{Z} -graded vertex operator superalgebras which can not be obtained in this way. So an independent study of \mathbb{Z} -graded vertex operator superalgebra becomes necessary although the main ideas and methods in this paper are similar to those used in [Z], [DLM3] and [DZ2].

¹Supported by NSF grants, China NSF grant 10328102 and a faculty research grant from the University of California at Santa Cruz.

There is a subtle difference among these modular invariance results. In order to explain this we fix a finite automorphism group of the vertex operator (super)algebra. We use g and h for two commuting elements in G . For the vertex operator superalgebra, there is a special automorphism σ of order 2 coming from the structure of the superspace. The involution σ can be expressed as $(-1)^F$ in the physics literature (cf. [GSW], [P]) where F is the fermion number. Here is the difference: for a vertex operator algebra, the space of all h -trace on g -twisted sectors is modular invariant [DLM3], for $\frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}$ -graded vertex operator superalgebra, the space of all $h\sigma$ -trace on $g\sigma$ -twisted sectors is modular invariant [DZ2], and for \mathbb{Z} -graded vertex operator superalgebra, the space of all $h\sigma$ -trace on g -twisted sectors is modular invariant. It is worthy to point out that the $h\sigma$ -trace in the physics literature is called the super trace.

Since the setting and most results in this paper are similar to those in [DLM2], [DLM3], [DZ1], and [DZ2], we refer the reader in many places to these papers for details.

The organization of this paper is as follows: In section 2, we review the definition of \mathbb{Z} -graded vertex operator superalgebra (VOSA) and various notions of g -twisted modules. Section 3 is devoted to studying the representation theory for \mathbb{Z} -graded VOSA. We introduce the associative algebra $A_g(V)$, and investigate the relation between the g -twisted modules and $A_g(V)$ -modules. Section 4 is the heart of the paper. We give the definition of 1-point functions on the torus and also establish the modular invariance property of it. We prove that for a simple g -twisted module M , g and h are two commuting elements in $Aut(V)$, M is σ, h -stable, then the $h\sigma$ -trace functions for M are 1-point function. Moreover, when V is g -rational, the collection of trace functions associated to the collection of inequivalent simple $h\sigma, h$ stable g -twisted V modules form a basis of $\mathcal{C}(g, h)$. In Section 5 we discuss an example to show the modularity of trace functions.

2 \mathbb{Z} -graded vertex operator superalgebras

Let $V = V_0 \oplus V_1$ be \mathbb{Z}_2 -graded vector space. For any $v \in V_i$ with $i = 0, 1$ we define $\tilde{v} = i$. Moreover, let $\epsilon_{\mu, v} = (-1)^{\tilde{\mu}\tilde{v}}$ and $\epsilon_v = (-1)^{\tilde{v}}$.

Definition 2.1 A \mathbb{Z} -graded vertex operator superalgebra (\mathbb{Z} -graded VOSA) is a $\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ -graded vector space

$$V = \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} V_n = V_0 \oplus V_1 = \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} (V_{0,n} \oplus V_{1,n}) \quad (\text{wt}v = n \text{ if } v \in V_n)$$

together with two distinct vectors $\mathbf{1} \in V_{0,0}$, $\omega \in V_{0,2}$ and equipped with a linear map

$$\begin{aligned} V &\rightarrow (\text{End } V)[[z, z^{-1}]], \\ v &\mapsto Y(v, z) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} v(n)z^{-n-1} \quad (v(n) \in \text{End } V) \end{aligned}$$

satisfying the following axioms for $u, v \in V$:

- (i) $u(n)v = 0$ for sufficiently large n ;
- (ii) If $u \in V_i$ and $v \in V_j$, then $u(n)v \in V_{i+j}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$;
- (iii) $Y(\mathbf{1}, z) = \text{Id}_V$ and $Y(v, z)\mathbf{1} = v + \sum_{n \geq 2} v(-n)\mathbf{1}z^{n-1}$;
- (iv) Set $Y(\omega, z) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} L(n)z^{-n-2}$ then

$$[L(m), L(n)] = (m - n)L(m + n) + \frac{1}{12}(m^3 - m)\delta_{m+n,0}c \quad (2.1)$$

where $c \in \mathbb{C}$ is called the central charge, and

$$L(0)|_{V_n} = n, \quad n \in \mathbb{Z}, \quad (2.2)$$

$$\frac{d}{dz}Y(v, z) = Y(L(-1)v, z); \quad (2.3)$$

(v) For \mathbb{Z}_2 -homogeneous $u, v \in V$,

$$\begin{aligned} z_0^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{z_1 - z_2}{z_0}\right)Y(u, z_1)Y(v, z_2) - \epsilon_{u,v}z_0^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{z_2 - z_1}{-z_0}\right)Y(v, z_2)Y(u, z_1) \\ = z_2^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{z_1 - z_0}{z_2}\right)Y(Y(u, z_0)v, z_2) \end{aligned} \quad (2.4)$$

where $\delta(z) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} z^n$ and $(z_i - z_j)^n$ is expanded in nonnegative powers of z_j and z_0, z_1, z_2 , etc. are independent commuting formal variables.

Following the proof of Theorem 4.21 of [Z] we can also define \mathbb{Z} -graded vertex operator superalgebra on a torus associated to V .

Theorem 2.2 $(V, Y[\], \mathbf{1}, \tilde{\omega})$ is a \mathbb{Z} -graded vertex operator superalgebra, where $\tilde{\omega} = \omega - \frac{c}{24}$ and for homogeneous $v \in V$

$$Y[v, z] = Y(v, e^z - 1)e^{z\text{wt}v} = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} v[n]z^{-n-1} \quad (2.5)$$

Let $Y[\tilde{\omega}, z] = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} L[n]z^{-n-2}$. Then $V = \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} V[n]$ is again \mathbb{Z} -graded and $L[0] = n$ on $V[n]$. We will write $\text{wt}[v] = n$ if $v \in V[n]$.

Definition 2.3 A linear automorphism g of a \mathbb{Z} -graded VOSA V is called an automorphism of V if g preserves $\mathbf{1}$, ω and each V_i , and

$$gY(v, z)g^{-1} = Y(gv, z)$$

for $v \in V$.

Note that if V is a $\frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}$ -graded vertex operator superalgebra, the assumption that g preserves each $V_{\bar{i}}$ is unnecessary (cf. [DZ2]).

We denote the full automorphism group by $\text{Aut}(V)$. If we define an action, say σ on V associated to the superspace structure of V via $\sigma|V_{\bar{i}} = (-1)^i$. Then σ is a central element of $\text{Aut}(V)$ and will play a special role as in [DZ2].

Let g be an automorphism of V of finite order T . Then we have the following eigenspace decomposition:

$$V = \bigoplus_{r \in \mathbb{Z}/T\mathbb{Z}} V^r \quad (2.6)$$

where $V^r = \{v \in V | gv = e^{-2\pi ir/T}v\}$. We now give various notions of g -twisted V -modules.

Definition 2.4 *A weak g -twisted V -module is a \mathbb{C} -linear space M equipped with a linear map*

$$\begin{aligned} V &\rightarrow (\text{End}M)[[z^{1/T}, z^{-1/T}]] \\ v &\mapsto Y_M(v, z) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Q}} v(n)z^{-n-1} \end{aligned}$$

which satisfies:

- (i) $v(m)w = 0$ for $v \in V, w \in M$ and $m \gg 0$;
- (ii) $Y_M(\mathbf{1}, z) = \text{Id}_M$;
- (iii) For $v \in V^r$ and $0 \leq r \leq T-1$

$$Y_M(v, z) = \sum_{n \in \frac{r}{T} + \mathbb{Z}} v(n)z^{-n-1};$$

- (iv) For $u \in V^r$,

$$\begin{aligned} z_0^{-1} \delta \left(\frac{z_1 - z_2}{z_0} \right) Y_M(u, z_1) Y_M(v, z_2) - \epsilon_{u,v} z_0^{-1} \delta \left(\frac{z_2 - z_1}{-z_0} \right) Y_M(v, z_2) Y_M(u, z_1) \\ = z_2^{-1} \left(\frac{z_1 - z_0}{z_2} \right)^{-r/T} \delta \left(\frac{z_1 - z_0}{z_2} \right) Y_M(Y(u, z_0)v, z_2) \end{aligned} \quad (2.7)$$

Set

$$Y_M(\omega, z) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} L(n)z^{-n-2}.$$

Then we have $Y_M(L(-1)v, z) = \frac{d}{dz} Y_M(v, z)$ for $v \in V$ and $L(n)$ also satisfy the Virasoro algebra relation with central charge c (see [DLM1]).

Definition 2.5 *A weak g -twisted V -module M is admissible if it is $\frac{1}{T}\mathbb{Z}_+$ -graded:*

$$M = \bigoplus_{0 \leq n \in \frac{1}{T}\mathbb{Z}} M(n) \quad (2.8)$$

such that for homogeneous $v \in V$,

$$v(m)M(n) \subseteq M(n + wtv - m - 1) \quad (2.9)$$

We may and do assume that $M(0) \neq 0$ if $M \neq 0$.

Definition 2.6 A weak g -twisted V -module M is called (ordinary) g -twisted V -module if it is a \mathbb{C} -graded with

$$M = \coprod_{\lambda \in \mathbb{C}} M_\lambda \quad (2.10)$$

where $M_\lambda = \{w \in M | L(0)w = \lambda w\}$ such that $\dim M_\lambda$ is finite and for fixed λ , $M_{\frac{n}{T} + \lambda} = 0$ for all small enough integers n .

It is not hard to prove that any ordinary g -twisted V -module is admissible. The notion of weak, admissible and ordinary V -modules is just the special case when $g = 1$.

If M is a simple g -twisted V -module, then

$$M = \bigoplus_{n=0}^{\infty} M_{\lambda+n/T} \quad (2.11)$$

for some $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $M_\lambda \neq 0$ (cf. [Z]). λ is defined to be the *conformal weight* of M .

Definition 2.7 (i) A \mathbb{Z} -graded VOSA V is called g -rational for an automorphism g of finite order if the category of admissible modules is completely reducible. V is called rational if it's 1-rational.

(ii) V is called holomorphic if V is rational and V is the only irreducible V -module up to isomorphism.

(iii) V is called g -regular if any weak g -twisted V -module is a direct sum of irreducible ordinary g -twisted V -modules.

As in [DLM2] and [DZ1] we have the following result.

Theorem 2.8 If V is g -rational \mathbb{Z} -graded VOSA with $g \in \text{Aut}(V)$ being of finite order then

(i) There are only finitely many irreducible admissible g -twisted V -modules up to isomorphism.

(ii) Each irreducible admissible g -twisted V -module is ordinary.

3 The associative algebra $A_g(V)$

In this section we construct the associative algebra $A_g(V)$ and study the relation between admissible g -twisted V -modules and $A_g(V)$ -modules. The result is similar to those obtained in [DLM2] (also see [Z], [KW], [X], [DZ1]).

As before we assume that the order of g is T . For $0 \leq r \leq T-1$ we define $\delta_r = \delta_{r,0}$. Let $O_g(V)$ be the linear span of all $u \circ_g v$, where for homogeneous $u \in V^r$ (cf. (2.6)) and $v \in V$,

$$u \circ_g v = \text{Res}_z \frac{(1+z)^{\text{wt}u-1+\delta_r+\frac{r}{T}}}{z^{1+\delta_r}} Y(u, z)v. \quad (3.1)$$

Set $A_g(V) = V/O_g(V)$ and define a second linear product $*_g$ on V for the above u, v as follows:

$$u *_g v = \text{Res}_z Y(u, z) \frac{(1+z)^{\text{wt}u}}{z} v \quad (3.2)$$

if $r = 0$ and $u *_g v = 0$ if $r > 0$. It is easy to see that $A_g(V)$ is in fact a quotient of V^0 .

As in [DLM2],[X] and [DZ2] we have

Theorem 3.1 $A_g(V) = V/O_g(V)$ is an associative algebra with identity $\mathbf{1} + O_g(V)$ under the product $*_g$. Moreover, $\omega + O_g(V)$ lies in the center of $A_g(V)$.

For a weak g -twisted V -module M , we define the space of the lowest weight vectors

$$\Omega(M) = \{w \in M \mid u(\text{wt}u - 1 + n)w = 0, u \in V, n > 0\}.$$

We have (see [DLM2]):

Theorem 3.2 Let M be a weak g -twisted V -module. Then

- (i) $\Omega(M)$ is an $A_g(V)$ -module such that $v + O_g(V)$ acts as $o(v)$.
- (ii) If $M = \sum_{n \geq 0} M(n/T)$ is an admissible g -twisted V -module such that $M(0) \neq 0$, then $M(0) \subset \Omega(M)$ is an $A_g(V)$ -submodule. Moreover, M is irreducible if and only if $M(0) = \Omega(M)$ and $M(0)$ is a simple $A_g(V)$ -module.
- (iii) The map $M \rightarrow M(0)$ gives a 1-1 correspondence between the irreducible admissible g -twisted V -modules and simple $A_g(V)$ -modules.

We also have (see [DLM2]):

Theorem 3.3 Suppose that V is a g -rational vertex operator superalgebra. Then the following hold:

- (i) $A_g(V)$ is a finite dimensional semisimple associative algebra.
- (ii) V has only finitely many irreducible admissible g -twisted modules up to isomorphism.
- (iii) Every irreducible admissible g -twisted V -module is ordinary.
- (iv) V is g^{-1} -rational.

4 Modularity of trace functions

We are working in the setting of section 5 in [DLM3]. In particular, g, h are commuting elements in $Aut(V)$ with finite orders $o(g) = T, o(h) = T_1$, A is the subgroup of $Aut(V)$ generated by g and h , $N = lcm(T, T_1)$ is the exponent of A , $\Gamma(T, T_1)$ is the subgroup of matrices $\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}$ in $SL(2, \mathbb{Z})$ satisfying $a \equiv d \equiv 1 \pmod{N}$, $b \equiv 0 \pmod{T}$, $c \equiv 0 \pmod{T_1}$ and $M(T, T_1)$ be the ring of holomorphic modular forms on $\Gamma(T, T_1)$ with natural gradation $M(T, T_1) = \bigoplus_{k \geq 0} M_k(T, T_1)$, where $M_k(T, T_1)$ is the space of forms of weight k . Then $M(T, T_1)$ is a Noetherian ring.

Recall the Bernoulli polynomials $B_r(x) \in \mathbb{Q}[x]$ defined by

$$\frac{te^{tx}}{(e^t - 1)} = \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \frac{B_r(x)t^r}{r!}.$$

For even $k \geq 2$, the normalized Eisenstein series $E_k(\alpha)$ is given by

$$E_k(\tau) = \frac{-B_k}{k!} + \frac{2}{(k-1)!} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sigma_{k-1}(n)q^n. \quad (4.1)$$

Also introduce

$$\begin{aligned} Q_k(\mu, \lambda, q_\tau) &= Q_k(\mu, \lambda, \tau) \\ &= \frac{1}{(k-1)!} \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{\lambda(n + j/T)^{k-1} q_\tau^{n+j/T}}{1 - \lambda q_\tau^{n+j/T}} \\ &\quad + \frac{(-1)^k}{(k-1)!} \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{\lambda^{-1}(n - j/T)^{k-1} q_\tau^{n-j/T}}{1 - \lambda^{-1} q_\tau^{n-j/T}} - \frac{B_k(j/T)}{k!} \end{aligned} \quad (4.2)$$

for $(\mu, \lambda) = (e^{\frac{2\pi ij}{T}}, e^{\frac{2\pi il}{T_1}})$ and $(\mu, \lambda) \neq (1, 1)$, when $k \geq 1$ and $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. Here $(n + j/T)^{k-1} = 1$ if $n = 0, j = 0$ and $k = 1$. Similarly, $(n - j/T)^{k-1} = 1$ if $n = 1, j = M$ and $k = 1$. We also define

$$Q_0(\mu, \lambda, \tau) = -1. \quad (4.3)$$

It is proved in [DLM3] that E_{2k}, Q_r are contained in $M(T, T_1)$ for $k \geq 2$ and $r \geq 0$.

Set $V(T, T_1) = M(T, T_1) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} V$. Given $v \in V$ with $gv = \mu^{-1}v, hv = \lambda^{-1}v$ we define a vector space $O(g, h)$ which is a $M(T, T_1)$ -submodule of $V(T, T_1)$ consisting of the following elements:

$$v[0]w, w \in V, (\mu, \lambda) = (1, 1) \quad (4.4)$$

$$v[-2]w + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} (2k-1)E_{2k}(\tau) \otimes v[2k-2]w, (\mu, \lambda) = (1, 1) \quad (4.5)$$

$$v, (\epsilon_v, \mu, \lambda) \neq (1, 1, 1) \quad (4.6)$$

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} Q_k(\mu, \lambda, \tau) \otimes v[k-1]w, (\mu, \lambda) \neq (1, 1). \quad (4.7)$$

Definition 4.1 Let \mathfrak{h} denote the upper half plane. The space of (g, h) 1-point functions $\mathcal{C}(g, h)$ is defined to be the \mathbb{C} -linear space consisting of functions

$$S : V(T, T_1) \times \mathfrak{h} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$$

s.t

- (i) $S(v, \tau)$ is holomorphic in τ for $v \in V(T, T_1)$.
- (ii) $S(v, \tau)$ is \mathbb{C} linear in v and for $f \in M(T, T_1)$, $v \in V$,

$$S(f \otimes v, \tau) = f(\tau)S(v, \tau)$$

- (iii) $S(v, \tau) = 0$ if $v \in O(g, h)$.
- (iv) If $v \in V$ with $\sigma v = gv = hv = v$, then

$$S(L[-2]v, \tau) = \partial S(v, \tau) + \sum_{l=2}^{\infty} E_{2l}(\tau)S(L[2l-2]v, \tau). \quad (4.8)$$

Here ∂S is the operator which is linear in v and satisfies

$$\partial S(v, \tau) = \partial_k S(v, \tau) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \frac{d}{d\tau} S(v, \tau) + kE_2(\tau)S(v, \tau) \quad (4.9)$$

for $v \in V_{[k]}$.

We have the following modular invariance result (see Theorem 5.4 of [DLM3]):

Theorem 4.2 For $S \in \mathcal{C}(g, h)$ and $\gamma = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \Gamma$, we define

$$S|\gamma(v, \tau) = S|_k \gamma(v, \tau) = (c\tau + d)^{-k} S(v, \gamma\tau) \quad (4.10)$$

for $v \in V_{[k]}$, and extend linearly. Then $S|\gamma \in \mathcal{C}((g, h)\gamma)$.

Let g, h, σ, V be as before, and M be a simple g -twisted module. We now show how the graded $h\sigma$ -trace functions on g -twisted V -modules produce (g, h) 1-point functions.

From (2.11), we know that if M is a simple g -twisted module then there exists a complex number λ such that

$$M = \bigoplus_{n=0}^{\infty} M_{\lambda + \frac{n}{T}} \quad (4.11)$$

Now we define a $(h\sigma)g(h\sigma)^{-1}$ -twisted V -module $(h\sigma \circ M, Y_{h\sigma \circ M})$ such that $h\sigma \circ M = M$ as vector spaces and

$$Y_{h\sigma \circ M}(v, z) = Y_M((h\sigma)^{-1}v, z).$$

Since g, h, σ commute each other, $h\sigma \circ M$ is, in fact, a simple g -twisted V -module again. The M is called h -stable if $h\sigma \circ M$ and M are isomorphic g -twisted V -modules. In this case, there is a linear map $\phi(h\sigma) : M \rightarrow M$ such that

$$\phi(h\sigma)Y_M(v, z)\phi(h\sigma)^{-1} = Y_M((h\sigma)v, z) \quad (4.12)$$

for all $v \in V$.

We now assume that M is h -stable. For homogeneous $v \in V$, we define the trace function T as follows:

$$T(v) = T_M(v, (g, h), q) = z^{\text{wt}v} \text{tr}_M Y_M(v, z) \phi(h\sigma) q^{L(0) - \frac{c}{24}} \quad (4.13)$$

Here c is the central charge of V . Note that for $m \in \frac{1}{T}\mathbb{Z}$, $v(m)$ maps M_μ to $M_{\mu + \text{wt}v - m - 1}$. Hence

$$T(v) = q^{\lambda - \frac{c}{24}} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \text{tr}_{M_{\lambda + \frac{n}{T}}} o(v) \phi(h\sigma) q^{\frac{n}{T}} = \text{tr}_M o(v) \phi(h\sigma) q^{L(0) - \frac{c}{24}}. \quad (4.14)$$

In order to state the next theorem we need to recall C_2 -cofinite condition from [Z]. V is called C_2 -cofinite if $V/C_2(V)$ is finite dimensional where $C_2(V) = \{u_{-2}v | u, v \in V\}$.

Theorem 4.3 *Suppose that V is C_2 -cofinite, $g, h \in \text{Aut}(V)$ commute and have finite orders. Let M be a simple g -twisted V -module such that M is h and σ -stable. Then the trace function $T_M(v, (g, h), q)$ converges to a holomorphic function in the upper half plane \mathfrak{h} where $q = e^{2\pi i\tau}$ and $\tau \in \mathfrak{h}$. Moreover, $T_M \in \mathcal{C}(g, h)$.*

The proof of this theorem is similar to Theorem 4.3 of [DZ2] although the idea goes back to [Z] and [DLM3].

We also have the following theorems.

Theorem 4.4 *Let M^1, M^2, \dots, M^s be the collection of inequivalent simple $h\sigma$ and σ -stable g -twisted V -modules, then the corresponding trace functions T_1, T_2, \dots, T_s (4.13) are independent vectors of $\mathcal{C}(g, h)$. Moreover, if V is g -rational, T_1, T_2, \dots, T_s form a basis of $\mathcal{C}(g, h)$.*

The following theorem is an immediate consequence of Theorem 4.3 and Theorem 4.4.

Theorem 4.5 *Suppose that V is a C_2 -cofinite vertex operator superalgebra and G a finite group of automorphisms of V . Assume that V is x -rational for each $x \in \bar{G}$. Let $v \in V$ satisfy $\text{wt}[v] = k$. Then the space of (holomorphic) functions in \mathfrak{h} spanned by the trace functions $T_M(v, (g, h), \tau)$ for all choices of g, h in G and σ, h -stable M is a (finite-dimensional) $SL(2, \mathbb{Z})$ -module such that*

$$T_M|\gamma(v, (g, h), \tau) = (c\tau + d)^{-k}T_M(v, (g, h), \gamma\tau),$$

where $\gamma \in SL(2, \mathbb{Z})$ acts on \mathfrak{h} as usual.

More precisely, if $\gamma = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in SL(2, \mathbb{Z})$ then we have an equality

$$T_M(v, (g, h), \frac{a\tau + b}{c\tau + d}) = (c\tau + d)^k \sum_W \gamma_{M,W} T_W(v, (g^a h^c, g^b h^d), \tau),$$

where W ranges over the $g^a h^c$ -twisted sectors which are $g^b h^d$ and σ -stable. The constants $\gamma_{M,W}$ depend only on M, W and γ only.

Theorem 4.6 *Let V be a rational and C_2 -cofinite \mathbb{Z} -graded VOSA. Let M^1, M^2, \dots, M^s be the collection of inequivalent simple σ -stable V -modules. Then the space spanned by*

$$T_i(v, \tau) = T_i(v, (1, 1), \tau) = \text{tr}_{M^i} \circ(v) \phi(\sigma) q^{L(0) - \frac{c}{24}} \quad (4.15)$$

gives a representation of the modular group. To be more precisely, for any $\gamma = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \Gamma$ there exists a $s \times s$ invertible complex matrix (γ_{ij}) such that

$$T_i(v, \frac{a\tau + b}{c\tau + d}) = (c\tau + d)^n \sum_{j=1}^s \gamma_{ij} T_j(v, \tau)$$

for all $v \in V_{[n]}$. Moreover, the matrix (γ_{ij}) is independent of v .

Remark 4.7 *It is interesting to notice that the modular invariance result in Theorem 4.6 is different from that for the vertex operator algebras in [Z] and for the $\frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}$ -graded vertex operator superalgebras in [DZ2]. In this case of vertex operator algebras, the space of the graded trace of simple modules is modular invariant [Z]. But for the $\frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}$ -graded vertex operator superalgebras, the space of the graded σ trace on the simple σ -twisted modules is modular invariant. In the present situation, the space of the graded σ trace on the simple V -modules is modular invariant.*

One can also obtain the results such as the number of inequivalent h, σ -stable simple g -twisted V -modules and rationality of central charges and conformal weights for rational vertex operator superalgebras as in [DLM3] and [DZ2].

5 An example

In this section we consider \mathbb{Z} -graded VOSA $V_{\mathbb{Z}\alpha}$ and its σ -twisted module $V_{\mathbb{Z}\alpha+\frac{1}{2}\alpha}$ to demonstrate the modular invariance directly.

We are working in the setting of Chapter 8 of [FLM2]. Let $L = \mathbb{Z}\alpha$ be a nondegenerate lattice of rank 1 with \mathbb{Z} -valued symmetric \mathbb{Z} -bilinear form $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ s.t. $\langle \alpha, \alpha \rangle = 1$. Set $M(1) = \mathbb{C}[\alpha(-n) | n > 0]$ and let $\mathbb{C}[L]$ be the group algebra of the abelian group L . Set $\mathbf{1} = 1 \otimes e^0 \in V_L$ and $\omega = \frac{1}{2}\alpha(-1)\alpha(-1)$.

Recall that a vertex operator (super)algebra is called *holomorphic* if it is rational and the only irreducible module is itself. We have the following theorem (see [B], [FLM2], [D], [DLM1], [DM1]).

Theorem 5.1 (i) $(V_L, Y, \mathbf{1}, \omega)$ is a holomorphic $\frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}$ -graded vertex operator superalgebra with central charge $c = \text{rank}(L) = 1$.

(ii) $(V_L)_{\bar{0}} = M(1) \otimes \mathbb{C}[2L]$ and $(V_L)_{\bar{1}} = M(1) \otimes \mathbb{C}[2L + \alpha]$.

(iii) $V_{L+\frac{1}{2}\alpha}$ is the unique irreducible σ -twisted module for V_L .

One can verify the next theorem easily.

Theorem 5.2 (i) If we let $\omega' = \frac{1}{2}\alpha(-1)^2 \pm \frac{1}{2}\alpha(-2)$, then $(V_L, Y, \mathbf{1}, \omega')$ is a holomorphic \mathbb{Z} -graded vertex operator superalgebra with central charge $c' = -2$.

(ii) $V_{L+\frac{1}{2}\alpha}$ is the unique irreducible σ -twisted module for \mathbb{Z} -graded vertex operator superalgebra V_L .

We consider the group G to be the cyclic group generated by σ . It is straightforward to compute the following trace functions:

$$\begin{aligned} T(\mathbf{1}, (1, 1), \tau) &= \text{tr}_{V_{\mathbb{Z}\alpha}} \sigma q^{L(0)' - \frac{-2}{24}} \\ &= q^{\frac{1}{12}} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} P(n) q^n \sum_{s=-\infty}^{\infty} (-1)^s q^{\frac{s(s-1)}{2}} \\ &= \eta(\tau)^{-1} \theta_1(q), \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} T(\mathbf{1}, (1, \sigma), \tau) &= \text{tr}_{V_{\mathbb{Z}\alpha}} q^{L'(0) - \frac{-2}{24}} \\ &= q^{\frac{1}{12}} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} P(n) q^n \sum_{s=-\infty}^{\infty} q^{\frac{s(s-1)}{2}} \\ &= \eta(\tau)^{-1} \theta_2(q), \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} T(\mathbf{1}, (\sigma, \sigma), \tau) &= \text{tr}_{V_{\mathbb{Z}\alpha+\frac{1}{2}\alpha}} q^{L'(0) - \frac{-2}{24}} \\ &= q^{\frac{1}{12}} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} P(n) q^n \sum_{s=-\infty}^{\infty} q^{\frac{(s+\frac{1}{2})(s-\frac{1}{2})}{2}} \\ &= \eta(\tau)^{-1} \theta_3(q), \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
T(\mathbf{1}, (\sigma, 1), \tau) &= \text{tr}_{V_{z\alpha + \frac{1}{2}\alpha}} \sigma q^{L'(0) - \frac{-2}{24}} \\
&= q^{\frac{1}{12}} \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} (1 + q^n) \sum_{s=-\infty}^{\infty} (-1)^s q^{\frac{(s+\frac{1}{2})(s-\frac{1}{2})}{2}} \\
&= \eta(\tau)^{-1} \theta_4(q),
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
\eta(\tau) &= q^{\frac{1}{24}} \prod_{n \geq 1} (1 - q^n) \\
\theta_1(q) &= \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} (-1)^n q^{\frac{1}{2}(n-\frac{1}{2})^2} = 0 \\
\theta_2(q) &= \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} q^{\frac{1}{2}(n-\frac{1}{2})^2} \\
\theta_3(q) &= \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} q^{\frac{1}{2}n^2} \\
\theta_4(q) &= \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} (-1)^n q^{\frac{1}{2}n^2}.
\end{aligned}$$

Recall the transformation law for η functions

$$\eta(\tau + 1) = e^{\frac{\pi i}{12}} \eta(\tau), \quad \eta\left(-\frac{1}{\tau}\right) = (-i\tau)^{\frac{1}{2}} \eta(\tau)$$

$$\eta\left(\frac{\tau + 1}{2}\right) = \frac{\eta(\tau)^3}{\eta\left(\frac{\tau}{2}\right)\eta(2\tau)}$$

and relations

$$\begin{aligned}
\theta_2(q) &= 2 \frac{\eta(2\tau)^2}{\eta(\tau)} \\
\theta_3(q) &= \frac{\eta(\tau)^5}{\eta(2\tau)^2 \eta\left(\frac{\tau}{2}\right)^2} \\
\theta_4(q) &= \frac{\eta\left(\frac{\tau}{2}\right)^2}{\eta(\tau)}.
\end{aligned}$$

The modular transformation property for $T(\mathbf{1}, (g, h), \tau)$ for $g, h \in G$ can easily be verified and the result, of course, is the same as what Theorem 4.5 claimed. One can also compute the trace functions for the $\frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}$ -graded vertex operator superalgebra V_L notice that the sets of trace functions in two cases are exactly the same. Since V_L and $V(H, \mathbb{Z} + \frac{1}{2})$ with $l = 2$ are isomorphic $\frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}$ -graded vertex operator superalgebra (the boson-fermion correspondence) one can use the modular invariance result for $V(H, \mathbb{Z} + \frac{1}{2})$ obtained in [DZ2] to check the modular transformation property of the trace functions for the \mathbb{Z} -graded vertex operator superalgebra V_L .

References

- [B] R. E. Borcherds, Vertex algebras, Kac-Moody algebras, and the Monster, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **83** (1986), 3068-3071.
- [DVVV] R. Dijkgraaf, C. Vafa, E. Verlinde and H. Verlinde, The operator algebra of orbifold models, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **123** (1989), 485-526.
- [D] C. Dong, Vertex algebras associated with even lattices, *J. Algebra* **160** (1993), 245–265.
- [DLM1] C. Dong, H. Li and G. Mason, Regularity of rational vertex operator algebras, *Advances. in Math.* **132** (1997), 148-166.
- [DLM2] C. Dong, H. Li and G. Mason, Twisted representations of vertex operator algebras, *Math. Ann.* **310** (1998), 571-600.
- [DLM3] C. Dong, H. Li and G. Mason, Modular invariance of trace functions in orbifold theory and generalized moonshine. *Comm. Math. Phys.* **214**(2000),1-56.
- [DM1] An orbifold theory of genus zero associated with the sporadic simple group M_{24} , *Comm. Math. Phys.* **164** (1994), 87-104.
- [DM2] C. Dong and Geoffrey Mason, Shifted vertex operator algebra *Mathematical Proceedings of the Cambridge Philosophical Society*, to appear; math QA/0411526
- [DZ1] C. Dong and Z. Zhao, Twisted representations of vertex operator superalgebras, *Comm. Contemp. Math.*, to appear, math.QA/0411523.
- [DZ2] C. Dong and Z. Zhao, Modularity in orbifold theory for vertex operator superalgebras, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **260** (2005), 227-256.
- [FLM1] I. B. Frenkel, J. Lepowsky and A. Meurman, A natural representation of the Fischer-Griess Monster with the modular function J as character, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **81** (1984), 3256-3260.
- [FLM2] I. B. Frenkel, J. Lepowsky and A. Meurman, Vertex Operator Algebras and the Monster, *Pure and Applied Math.*, Vol. **134**, Academic Press, 1988.
- [G] R. Griess, The Friendly Giant, *Invent. Math.* **69** (1982), 1-102.

- [GSW] M. Green, J. Schwartz and E. Witten, Superstring Theory, Vol. **1**, Cambridge University Press, 1987
- [H] G. Höhn, Self-dual vertex operator superalgebras and the Baby Monster, Bonn Mathematical Publications, 286. Universitt Bonn, Mathematisches Institut, Bonn, 1996.
- [KW] V. Kac and W. Wang, Vertex operator superalgebras and their representations, *Contemp. Math.* **175** (1994), 161-191.
- [MS] G. Moore and N. Seiberg, Classical and quantum conformal field theory, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **123** (1989), 177-254.
- [N] S. Norton, Generalized moonshine, *Proc. Symp. Pure. Math., American Math. Soc.* **47** (1987), 208-209.
- [P] J. Polchinski, String Theory, Vol. **I, II**, Cambridge University Press, 1998.
- [X] X. Xu, Introduction to Vertex Operator Superalgebras and Their Modules, *Mathematics and its Applications* Vol. 456, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, 1998.
- [Z] Y. Zhu, Modular invariance of characters of vertex operator algebras, *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* **9** (1996), 237-302.