

Integrability of C_2 -Cofinite Vertex Operator Algebras

Chongying Dong¹ and
Geoffrey Mason²

Department of Mathematics, University of California, Santa Cruz, CA 95064

Abstract

The following *integrability* theorem for vertex operator algebras V satisfying some finiteness conditions (C_2 -cofinite and CFT-type) is proved: the vertex operator subalgebra generated by a simple Lie subalgebra \mathfrak{g} of the weight one subspace V_1 is isomorphic to the irreducible highest weight $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$ -module $L(k, 0)$ for a positive integer k , and V is an integrable $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$ -module. The case in which \mathfrak{g} is replaced by an abelian Lie subalgebra is also considered, and several consequences of integrability are discussed. 2000MSC:17B69

1 Introduction

Representations of affine Kac-Moody algebras by vertex operators not only partially motivated the idea of a vertex (operator) algebra, but also provide an important class of vertex operator algebras (cf. [FZ]). In this paper we are concerned with affine Kac-Moody algebras contained in an abstract vertex operator algebra.

The vertex operator algebras $V = (V, Y, \mathbf{1}, \omega)$ that we study are *simple* and of *strong CFT-type*. Following [DLMM], [DM1], we say that V is of *CFT-type* in case the $L(0)$ -grading on V has the shape

$$V = \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} V_n = \mathbb{C}\mathbf{1} \oplus V_1 \oplus \dots$$

It has *strong CFT-type* if, in addition, the adjoint module V is isomorphic to its dual V' . For a state $u \in V$, let

$$Y(u, z) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} u_n z^{-n-1}$$

denote the vertex operator corresponding to u . It is well-known that for such V , the bracket $[u, v] = u_0 v$ and the pairing $\langle u, v \rangle \mathbf{1} = u_1 v$ equip the weight 1 subspace V_1 with the structure of Lie algebra together with a symmetric, invariant, bilinear form. It is further proved in [DM2], under some rationality assumptions, that V_1 is *reductive*. It is

¹Supported by NSF grants, China NSF grant 10328102 and faculty research funds granted by the University of California at Santa Cruz.

²Supported by a NSF grant and faculty research funds granted by the University of California at Santa Cruz.

also well-known that the component operators of u_n ($u \in V_1, n \in \mathbb{Z}$) generate an *affine Lie algebra* of operators acting on V . In this way, V becomes a module over the affine Lie algebra. Suppose that \mathfrak{g} is a simple Lie subalgebra of V_1 . The corresponding component operators u_n generate a copy of an *affine Kac-Moody algebra* $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$, and the vertex operator subalgebra $U \subseteq V$ generated by \mathfrak{g} is a highest weight module for $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$. The main result of the present paper (Theorem 3.1) is the following:

Suppose that V is of strong CFT-type and C_2 -cofinite. Then the restriction of $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ to \mathfrak{g} is nondegenerate, V is an integrable $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$ -module, and there is a positive integer k such that $U \cong L(k, 0)$. (1.1)

The integrality of k and the structure of the integrable $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$ -modules places restraints on the structure of V . (1.1) illustrates the remarkable fact that vertex operator algebras satisfying suitable finiteness constraints (C_2 -cofiniteness and/or rationality), have strong *arithmetic* properties.

The examples of pathologies that can be exhibited by vertex operators, discussed in [DM4], show that we cannot weaken the assumption of strong CFT-type in our main result. The concept of C_2 -cofiniteness, which we discuss in more detail in Section 2, was introduced by Zhu [Z] and has played a fundamental rôle in vertex operator algebra theory ever since. Interestingly, one of the basic features of C_2 -cofinite vertex operator algebras, namely that they possess a PBW-type spanning set with the nonrepeat condition ([GN], [B], [ABD]), was not discovered until several years after the publication of Zhu's paper. Many important results in the field seem to require both C_2 -cofiniteness and rationality, which together are equivalent to regularity ([Li4], [ABD]). Our main result can be used to study this situation, at least for vertex operator algebras satisfying $V_1 \neq 0$. As an immediate corollary of the main result, for example, we show that a vertex operator algebra associated to a highest weight module for an affine Kac-Moody algebra is rational if, and only if, it is C_2 -cofinite. So rationality and C_2 -cofiniteness are *equivalent* for such vertex operator algebras. Understanding the extent of this equivalence is currently a matter of considerable interest. This and some other consequences are covered in Section 5 following the proof of the main Theorem in Section 4.

It is natural to also consider a variation of (1.1) in which \mathfrak{g} is replaced by an *abelian* Lie subalgebra, and we discuss this case in Section 6. Roughly speaking, we expect that U can be replaced by a *lattice* vertex operator algebra. We make an explicit Conjecture in Section 6, and show (Theorem 5.5) how this can be proved with an additional assumption.

2 Background

We start with a simple vertex operator algebra V of CFT-type. Recall the following definition [Z]: V is C_2 -cofinite if $V/C_2(V)$ is finite dimensional, where $C_2(V)$ is the linear span of the states $a_{-2}b$ for $a, b \in V$.

Fix homogeneous states $x^a \in V$, (a ranging over an index set A), such that the cosets

$x^a + C_2(V), a \in A$, span a complement to $\mathbf{1} + C_2(V)$ in $V/C_2(V)$. Set $X = \{x^a\}_{a \in A}$. Note that all states in X have weight at least 1.

Theorem 2.1 [GN] V is spanned by $\mathbf{1}$ together with elements of the form

$$x_{-n_1}^1 x_{-n_2}^2 \cdots x_{-n_k}^k \mathbf{1}$$

where $n_1 > n_2 > \cdots > n_k > 0, x^i \in X$.

Remark 2.2 It is a consequence of the Theorem that V is finitely generated if it is C_2 -cofinite.

Next we review some of the theory of affine Kac-Moody algebras and their integrable modules [K]. Let \mathfrak{g} be a finite-dimensional simple Lie algebra, $\mathfrak{h} \subseteq \mathfrak{g}$ a Cartan subalgebra, Φ the associated root system with simple roots Δ , and (\cdot, \cdot) the nondegenerate, symmetric, invariant bilinear form on \mathfrak{g} normalized so that the longest positive root $\theta \in \Phi$ satisfies $(\theta, \theta) = 2$. The corresponding affine Kac-Moody algebra $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$ is defined to be

$$\hat{\mathfrak{g}} = \mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathbb{C}[t, t^{-1}] \oplus \mathbb{C}K,$$

where K is central and

$$[a(m), b(n)] = [a, b](m+n) + m\delta_{m+n,0}(a, b)K, \quad (a, b \in \mathfrak{g}, m, n \in \mathbb{Z}). \quad (2.1)$$

Here, $a(m) = a \otimes t^m$.

Highest weight irreducible $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$ -modules are parametrized by linear forms on $\mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathbb{C}K$. These in turn correspond to pairs (λ, k) where $\lambda \in \mathfrak{h}^*$ is a weight and $K \mapsto k$. The corresponding $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$ -module is denoted by $L(k, \lambda)$. Note that K acts on $L(k, \lambda)$ as multiplication by k . Given any $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$ -module M , we say that M has *level* k if K acts on M as multiplication by k . Thus $L(k, \lambda)$ has level k .

$L(k, \lambda)$ is a quotient of the Verma module $V(k, \lambda) = U(\hat{\mathfrak{g}}) \otimes_{U(\mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathbb{C}[t] \oplus \mathbb{C}K)} \mathbb{C}$, ie. the induced module $\text{Ind}_{U(\mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathbb{C}[t] \oplus \mathbb{C}K)}^{U(\hat{\mathfrak{g}})} \mathbb{C}$ for which $\mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathbb{C}[t]$ acts on \mathbb{C} via λ and K acts as multiplication by k . One knows that $L(k, \lambda)$ *integrable* if, and only if, k is a nonnegative integer and λ is a dominant integral weight.

For any scalar k *not equal* to minus the dual Coxeter number, $L(k, 0)$ carries the structure of a vertex operator algebra of strong CFT-type. It is generated by the weight 1 subspace $L(k, 0)_1$, which is isomorphic (as Lie algebra) to \mathfrak{g} . The irreducible modules over the vertex operator algebra $L(k, 0)$ are precisely the integrable highest weight modules $L(k, \lambda)$ satisfying $(\lambda, \theta) \leq k$. We refer the reader to [DL], [FZ], [Li3], [MP] for further background concerning these results.

Finally, a word on notation. We will often be considering vertex operator subalgebras $U \subseteq V$. Generally, this does *not* imply that U and V have the same Virasoro element. If they *do* have the same Virasoro element, we say that U is a *conformal* subalgebra of V .

3 The main Theorem

We now assume that V is a vertex operator algebra that is *simple*, C_2 -*cofinite*, of *strong CFT-type*. Then V_1 carries the structure of a Lie algebra with bracket $[a, b] = a_0b$, $a, b \in V_1$. Because of the hypothesis that V is of strong CFT-type, a theorem of Li [Li1] guarantees the existence and uniqueness of a symmetric, invariant bilinear form $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ on V satisfying $\langle \mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1} \rangle = -1$. Furthermore, $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ is nondegenerate thanks to the simplicity of V . The restriction of this form to V_1 is thus also nondegenerate, and satisfies $a_1b = \langle a, b \rangle \mathbf{1}$, $a, b \in V_1$.

For states $u, v \in V_1$ and integers m, n we have

$$[u_m, v_n] = (u_0v)_{m+n} + mu_1v\delta_{m+n,0}. \quad (3.1)$$

Comparison with (2.1) shows that if $\mathfrak{g} \subseteq V_1$ is a (simple) Lie subalgebra with the property that the restriction of $\langle u, v \rangle$ to \mathfrak{g} is nondegenerate, then the map

$$u(m) \mapsto u_m, \quad u \in \mathfrak{g}, m \in \mathbb{Z},$$

is a *representation of the affine Kac-Moody algebra $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$ of level k* , where

$$\langle u, v \rangle = k(u, v), \quad u, v \in \mathfrak{g}. \quad (3.2)$$

In this way, V acquires the structure of a $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$ -module of level k , although some care should be taken with this statement. If $U \subseteq V$ is the vertex operator subalgebra generated by $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$, then U and V generally have *distinct* Virasoro elements. As a result, the $L(0)$ -grading on V is generally *not* the same as the grading which arises from the $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$ -action. We can now state the main result of the present paper:

Theorem 3.1 *Let V be a simple vertex operator algebra V which is C_2 -cofinite of strong CFT type, with $\mathfrak{g} \subseteq V_1$ a simple Lie subalgebra, k the level of V as $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$ -module, and U the vertex operator subalgebra of V generated by \mathfrak{g} . Then the following hold:*

- (a) *The restriction of $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ to \mathfrak{g} is nondegenerate,*
- (b) *$U \cong L(k, 0)$,*
- (c) *k is a positive integer,*
- (d) *V is an integrable $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$ -module.*

Proof: We first prove the Theorem in case $\mathfrak{g} \cong sl(2, \mathbb{C})$, and then extend it to the general case. Until further notice, then, assume that $\mathfrak{g} \cong sl(2, \mathbb{C})$ with standard basis $\alpha, x_\alpha, x_{-\alpha}$. Then $\langle \alpha, \alpha \rangle = 2$, so that by (3.2) we have

$$k = \langle \alpha, \alpha \rangle / 2. \quad (3.3)$$

Note that U itself is a highest weight module for $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$, indeed U is a quotient of the Verma module $V(k, 0)$. Thus U is integrable if, and only if, $U \cong L(k, 0)$ and k is a nonnegative integer. By Theorem 10.7 in [K], this is in turn equivalent to the condition

$$(x_\alpha)_{-1}^l \mathbf{1} = 0, \quad \text{for some } l \geq 0. \quad (3.4)$$

By Proposition 13.6 in [DL], V is integrable (as $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$ -module) if, and only if, U is integrable. Thus in order to prove parts (b) and (d) of the Theorem, it suffices to show that (3.4) holds. Once this is achieved, it further follows (since U contains \mathfrak{g} and hence cannot be 1-dimensional) that k is in fact positive and part (c) holds. Then (3.3) tells us that (a) also holds. The upshot is that we only need prove (3.4).

Now each homogeneous subspace of V is a \mathfrak{g} -module, so that V is a completely reducible \mathfrak{g} -module. Of course, $x \in \mathfrak{g}$ acts as x_0 . A nonzero element $v \in V$ is called a *weight vector* (for \mathfrak{g}) of \mathfrak{g} -weight λ ($\lambda \in \mathbb{C}\alpha$) if $\alpha_0 v = (\alpha, \lambda)v$. From the representation theory for $sl(2, \mathbb{C})$ we know that λ is a weight if, and only if, $\lambda \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}\alpha = P$. Define an order on P according to the usual order on $\frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}$.

Let X be as in Section 2. Since $C_2(V)$ is a \mathfrak{g} -submodule of V we may, and shall, assume that each $x \in X$ is a weight vector. Since X is a finite set there is a nonnegative element $\lambda_0 = m\alpha \in P$ such that the weight of each $x \in X$ is bounded above by λ_0 .

According to Theorem 2.1, V is spanned by $\mathbf{1}$ together with elements of the form

$$u = x_{-n_1}^1 x_{-n_2}^2 \cdots x_{-n_s}^s \mathbf{1} \quad (3.5)$$

where $n_1 > n_2 > \cdots > n_s > 0$ and $x^i \in X$. Since the $L(0)$ -weight of each $x \in X$ is at least one then $L(0)$ -weight of u is at least $s(s+1)/2$. It follows that for any integer $t \geq 0$,

$$\bigoplus_{n \leq t(t+1)/2} V_n \subseteq \langle x_{-n_1}^1 x_{-n_2}^2 \cdots x_{-n_s}^s \mathbf{1} \mid n_1 > n_2 > \cdots > n_s > 0, x^i \in X, s \leq t \rangle. \quad (3.6)$$

On the other hand, since each $x \in X$ is a weight vector then so too is the state u in (3.5), and the \mathfrak{g} -weight of u is at most $s\lambda_0$. From (3.6) it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{If } n \leq t(t+1)/2, \text{ a } \mathfrak{g}\text{-weight vector in } V_n \\ \text{has } \mathfrak{g}\text{-weight no greater than } t\lambda_0 = tm\alpha. \end{aligned} \quad (3.7)$$

Now choose an integer l such that $(l+1)/2 > m$, and set $u_0 = (x_\alpha)_{-1}^{l(l+1)/2} \mathbf{1}$. We will show that $u_0 = 0$, so assume that this is false. Then the $L(0)$ -weight of u_0 is $l(l+1)/2$, so that by (3.8) its \mathfrak{g} -weight is no greater than $lm\alpha$. On the other hand, direct calculation shows that the \mathfrak{g} -weight of u_0 is $(l(l+1)/2)\alpha > lm\alpha$. This contradiction shows that indeed $u_0 = 0$ and, as explained before, completes the proof of the Theorem in case $\mathfrak{g} \cong sl(2, \mathbb{C})$.

Turning to the general case, we have the root space decomposition

$$\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{h} \oplus \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Phi} \mathfrak{g}_\alpha.$$

Let $\mathfrak{g}_\alpha = \mathbb{C}x_\alpha$. The discussion in the second paragraph of the Proof applies to general \mathfrak{g} , and is modified only in that in order to conclude $U \cong L(k, 0)$, we must establish (3.4) for *all* $\alpha \in \Phi$. But for any such α we can find $h_\alpha \in \mathfrak{h}$ such that $\mathbb{C}h_\alpha + \mathbb{C}x_\alpha + \mathbb{C}x_{-\alpha}$ is isomorphic to $sl(2, \mathbb{C})$, and (3.4) follows by the case already proved. This completes the proof of the Theorem. \square

4 Consequences of the Main Theorem

There are a number of consequences of the main Theorem. We collect a few of them in this Section. We retain previous notation. The first result concerns the relation between *weak*, *admissible* and *ordinary* V -modules, for which we refer to [DLM1].

Corollary 4.1 *Let the assumptions and notation be as in Theorem 3.1. Then any weak V -module is an integrable $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$ -module.*

Proof: By Theorem 3.1, $U \cong L(k, 0)$ for some positive integer k . Now it is clear that a weak V -module is also a weak U -module. Moreover, it is proved in [DLM1] (cf. [ABD]) that $L(k, 0)$ has the property that any weak module is a direct sum of ordinary irreducible $L(k, 0)$ -modules. We have already mentioned that the inequivalent irreducible $L(k, 0)$ -modules are exactly the level k integrable highest weight modules for $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$, so that a weak V -module, considered as U -module, is the direct sum of integrable highest weight modules of level k , and is thus itself integrable. This completes the proof of the Corollary. \square

Next we have the following well-known result in the literature.

Corollary 4.2 *Let \mathfrak{g} be a finite-dimensional simple Lie algebra. Suppose that W is a highest weight $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$ -module with highest weight 0 with the property that $W/(\mathfrak{g} \otimes t^{-2}\mathbb{C}[t^{-1}])W$ is finite-dimensional. Then $W \cong L(k, 0)$ for some positive integer k . In particular, W is integrable.*

Proof: Because W is a highest weight module of highest weight 0 then it is a quotient of the Verma module $V(k, 0)$ for some k . In particular, W is itself a vertex operator algebra. Now it is proved in [DLM1] that $C_2(W) = (\mathfrak{g} \otimes t^{-2}\mathbb{C}[t^{-1}])W$, so that the finiteness hypothesis in the Corollary amounts to saying that W is C_2 -cofinite. The Corollary now follows from Theorem 3.1 by taking $U = V = W$. \square

If we also assume that V is *rational*, then the main Theorem has particularly striking consequences. It is known [ABD] that the conjunction of C_2 -cofiniteness and rationality is equivalent to so-called *regularity* (loc. cit.) Thus we say that a vertex operator algebra V is *strongly regular* if it is rational and satisfies the other conditions imposed on V in Theorem 3.1. We then have

Corollary 4.3 *Suppose that V is a simple, strongly regular vertex operator algebra. Then the Lie algebra V_1 is reductive, and a direct sum*

$$V_1 = \mathfrak{g}_{1,k_1} \perp \mathfrak{g}_{2,k_2} \perp \dots \oplus \mathfrak{g}_{n,k_n} \perp \mathfrak{h} \tag{4.1}$$

which is orthogonal with respect to $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$, where \mathfrak{h} is abelian and each \mathfrak{g}_{i,k_i} is a simple Lie algebra whose level k_i is a positive integer. The vertex operator subalgebra of V generated by the semisimple part of V_1 is isomorphic to

$$L(k_1, 0) \otimes \dots \otimes L(k_n, 0).$$

Proof: That V_1 is a reductive Lie algebra and an orthogonal direct sum under the stated conditions on V , is Theorem 1.1 of [DM2]. However, there is no discussion of the possible levels k_i of the simple summands \mathfrak{g}_i there, a lacuna which is now filled by Theorem 3.1. Indeed, Theorem 3.1 applies with \mathfrak{g}_i in place of \mathfrak{g} . The various assertions of the Corollary follow immediately. \square

Remark 4.4 *The integrality of the levels k_i was already announced at the end of [DM3]. As explained there, it can be used to significantly restrict the possibilities for the structure of V_1 under the assumption that V is holomorphic of central charge 24. Indeed, the results of Corollary 4.3 provide justification for some of the main assumptions in the work of Schellekens [Sc] on the same subject, as well as other papers in the physics literature.*

5 Lattice vertex operator subalgebras and abelian subalgebras of V_1

In our discussion so far we have concentrated on the simple Lie subalgebras of V_1 . It is natural to ask about the case of (nondegenerate) abelian Lie subalgebras \mathfrak{h} too. In the situation of Corollary 4.3, for example, this amounts considering the abelian summand of V_1 . Of course, the vertex operator subalgebra generated by \mathfrak{h} is a Heisenberg algebra, but one can expect more.

Conjecture There is a positive-definite even lattice $L \subseteq \mathfrak{h}$ so that V contains a vertex operator algebra U with the following properties: U is (isomorphic to) the lattice theory V_L , and $\mathfrak{h} \subseteq U$.

The Conjecture is related to the methods and results of [DM2]. Here we make a start on the Conjecture, using some related ideas. We continue to assume that V is strongly regular, although the first few Lemmas below do not require rationality. We take $\mathfrak{h} \subseteq V_1$ to be an abelian subalgebra such that the restriction of $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ to \mathfrak{h} is nondegenerate. We let l be the rank of \mathfrak{h} . Note that by [DM2], the regularity of V implies that for any state $u \in \mathfrak{h}$ and any irreducible V -module W , the zeroth component operator u_0 (acting on W) is *semisimple*.

Until further notice we fix W to be an irreducible V -module. The component operators of the vertex operators $Y_W(u, z)$ acting on W ($u \in \mathfrak{h}$), close on an affine Lie algebra $\hat{\mathfrak{h}}$. That is, they satisfy the relations

$$[u_m, v_n] = m\delta_{m,-n}\langle u, v \rangle.$$

We also have

$$M(1) = \mathbb{C}[u_{-m} | u \in \mathfrak{h}, m > 0],$$

the (rank l) Heisenberg subalgebra of V generated by \mathfrak{h} . The tensor decomposition

$$W = M(1) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \Omega_W \tag{5.1}$$

is well-known (cf. [FLM, Theorem 1.7.3]). Here,

$$\Omega_W = \{w \in W \mid u_n w = 0, u \in \mathfrak{h}, n > 0\}$$

is the so-called *vacuum space*. For $\alpha \in \mathfrak{h}$, set

$$\begin{aligned} W(\alpha) &= \{w \in W \mid u_0 w = \langle \alpha, u \rangle w, u \in \mathfrak{h}\}, \\ \Omega_W(\alpha) &= W(\alpha) \cap \Omega_W. \end{aligned}$$

Setting

$$P_W = \{\alpha \in \mathfrak{h} \mid W(\alpha) \neq 0\},$$

we have the following equalities:

$$\begin{aligned} W(\alpha) &= M(1) \otimes \Omega_W(\alpha), \\ \Omega_W &= \bigoplus_{\alpha \in P_W} \Omega_W(\alpha), \\ W &= \bigoplus_{\alpha \in P_W} W(\alpha). \end{aligned}$$

(The latter two equalities hold thanks to the assumed semisimplicity of the operators u_0 .) We set

$$P = P_V.$$

From the proof of Theorem 1.2 in [DM2], P is an *additive subgroup* of \mathfrak{h} .

Lemma 5.1 *The following hold:*

- (a) *There is $\lambda_W \in \mathfrak{h}$ such that $P_W = P + \lambda_W$,*
- (b) *$W = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in P} W(\alpha) = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in P} M(1) \otimes \Omega_W(\alpha)$.*

Proof: Choose any (nonzero) $w \in W$ such that w is an \mathfrak{h} -weight vector corresponding to $\lambda_W \in \mathfrak{h}$. Thus, $h_0 w = \langle \lambda_W, h \rangle w$, $h \in \mathfrak{h}$. Now let $\alpha \in P$, with $0 \neq v \in V(\alpha)$. For $h \in \mathfrak{h}$ we have

$$[h_0, Y_W(v, z)] = Y_W(h_0 v, z) = \langle \alpha, h \rangle Y_W(v, z).$$

It follows that

$$h_0(v_n w) = (\langle \lambda_W, h \rangle + \langle \alpha, h \rangle) v_n w,$$

and since $Y_W(v, z)w \neq 0$ (Proposition 11.9 of [DL]) then we can conclude that $v_n w \in W(\alpha + \lambda_W)$ for $n \in \mathbb{Z}$.

However, since W is irreducible then we know (Corollary 4.2 of [DM1]) that W is spanned by $v_n w$ for $v \in V$ and $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. It therefore follows that every element of P_W has the form $\alpha + \lambda_W$ for some choice of α . Both parts of the Lemma follow from this. \square

The next Lemma follows from the proof of Theorem 4.4 of [DM1].

Lemma 5.2 *The following hold:*

- (a) $V(0) = M(1) \otimes \Omega_V(0)$ is a simple, conformal vertex operator subalgebra of V .
- (b) If $\alpha \in P_W$ then $W(\alpha) = M(1) \otimes \Omega_W(\alpha)$ is an irreducible $V(0)$ -module. Moreover $W(\alpha) \not\cong W(\beta)$ if $\alpha \neq \beta$. \square

Let $\lambda \in \mathfrak{h}$. Recall that $M(1, \lambda) = M(1) \otimes e^\lambda$ is the irreducible $M(1)$ -module such that h_0 acts as $\langle h, \lambda \rangle$ for $h \in \mathfrak{h}$. Let $\{h^1, \dots, h^l\}$ be an orthonormal basis of \mathfrak{h} with respect to $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$. Then

$$\omega_{\mathfrak{h}} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^l (h_{-1}^i)^2 \quad (5.2)$$

is the Virasoro vector for $M(1)$. The following is immediate:

Corollary 5.3 $\Omega_V(0)$ is a simple vertex operator subalgebra of V with Virasoro element $\omega - \omega_{\mathfrak{h}}$. Moreover, as a $V(0)$ -module, $V(\alpha) = M(1, \alpha) \otimes \Omega_V(\alpha)$ and each $\Omega_V(\alpha)$ is an irreducible $\Omega_V(0)$ -module. \square

Let us now further assume that V is strongly regular, as previously defined. From the proof of Theorem 1 in [DM2] we know that $h_0 = 0$ for $h \in \mathfrak{h}$ if and only if $h = 0$. Thus P spans \mathfrak{h} .

Lemma 5.4 P is a free abelian group of rank at least l .

Proof: Since V is C_2 -cofinite, it is finitely generated (cf. Remark 2.2). This implies that P is a free abelian group of finite rank. Since P spans \mathfrak{h} , the rank of P is at least l . \square

We now have the following contribution to the Conjecture:

Theorem 5.5 *Let V be a strongly regular simple vertex operator algebra and let $\mathfrak{h} \subseteq V_1$ be a subalgebra of rank l contained in a Cartan subalgebra and such that the restriction of $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ to \mathfrak{h} is nondegenerate. Assume that the rank of P is exactly l . Then there are a positive-definite even lattice $L \subseteq P$ and a vertex operator subalgebra $U \subseteq V$ with $|P : L| < \infty$ and $\mathfrak{h} \subseteq U \cong V_L$.*

Proof: Since P has rank l by assumption, and since the restriction of $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ to \mathfrak{h} is nondegenerate, it follows that the \mathbb{Z} -dual lattice P° of P also has rank l .

Now let W be a V -module and $\alpha \in P^\circ$. We define a new action of V on W by

$$Y_W^\alpha(v, z) = Y_W(\Delta(\alpha, z)v, z)$$

for $v \in V$, where

$$\Delta(\alpha, z) = z^{\alpha(0)} \exp\left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\alpha(k)}{-k} (-z)^{-k}\right)$$

is Li's Δ -field [Li2]. Then $W^\alpha = (W, Y_W^\alpha)$ is again a V -module, moreover W is irreducible if, and only if, W^α is irreducible (loc. cit.) Furthermore, it is easy to see that $(W^\alpha)^\beta \cong W^{\alpha+\beta}$ for $\alpha, \beta \in P^\circ$.

Let $\text{irr}(V)$ be the set consisting of the isomorphism classes of inequivalent irreducible V -modules. The C_2 -condition and the rationality assumption both imply that $\text{irr}(V)$ is a *finite* set ([DLM2], [Z]). For an irreducible V -module W , let $[W]$ denote the element in $\text{irr}(V)$ determined by W . Simplicity of V says that $[V] \in \text{irr}(V)$. It follows from what we have said that there is a natural group action $P^\circ \times \text{irr}(V) \rightarrow \text{irr}(V)$, namely

$$(\alpha, [W]) \mapsto [W^\alpha].$$

We define L via

$$L = \text{stab}_{P^\circ}([V]) = \{\alpha \in P^\circ \mid V^\alpha \cong V\}.$$

The finiteness of $\text{irr}(V)$ implies that $|P^\circ : L| < \infty$, so that L is a free abelian group of rank l .

Suppose that $\alpha \in L$. Then there is an isomorphism of V -modules $V \xrightarrow{\cong} V^\alpha$. This amounts to the existence of a linear isomorphism $\phi_\alpha : V \rightarrow V$ satisfying

$$Y(\Delta(\alpha, z)v, z) = \phi_\alpha Y(v, z)\phi_\alpha^{-1}, \quad v \in V.$$

In particular, $Y(v, z) = \phi_\alpha Y(v, z)\phi_\alpha^{-1}$ for $v \in \Omega_V(0)$. That is, ϕ_α is an $\Omega_V(0)$ -module isomorphism.

Note that $\Delta(\alpha, z)h(-1) = h(-1) + (\alpha, h)z^{-1}$ for $h \in \mathfrak{h}$. This shows that $\phi_\alpha(V(\beta)) = V(\beta + \alpha)$ for $\beta \in P$. In particular, $V(\beta)$ and $V(\beta + \alpha)$ are isomorphic $\Omega_V(0)$ -modules. As a result, there is an isomorphism of $\Omega_V(0)$ -modules

$$\Omega_V(\beta) \cong \Omega_V(\beta + \alpha), \quad \text{all } \alpha \in L. \tag{5.3}$$

Taking $\beta = 0$, it follows that $\Omega_V(\alpha) = \Omega_V(0)$ for all $\alpha \in L$, and therefore $L \subseteq P$. Set $|P : L| = p$ and choose a set of coset representatives μ_1, \dots, μ_p of L in P with $\mu_1 = 0$. Then (5.3) together with Lemma 5.1(b) and Corollary 5.3 shows that, when considered as a module over the vertex operator subalgebra $M(1) \otimes \Omega_V(0)$, we have a decomposition

$$V \cong \sum_{i=1}^p \sum_{\alpha \in L} M(1, \alpha + \mu_i) \otimes \Omega_V(\mu_i)$$

of V into irreducible $M(1) \otimes \Omega_V(0)$ -submodules.

We are now ready to show that L is a positive-definite even lattice. Let $\alpha \in L$. Because of Lemma 6.2(a), the last display shows that the $L(0)$ -weight of a highest weight vector e^α in $M(1, \alpha)$ is the *same* as its $L_{\mathfrak{h}}(0)$ -weight (cf. (5.2)). Therefore the weight in question is (using (5.2)) $\langle \alpha, \alpha \rangle / 2$, and is necessarily a nonnegative integer. Indeed it is positive unless $\alpha = 0$ since V is of CFT-type. Thus $\langle \alpha, \alpha \rangle$ is a positive even integer whenever $\alpha \neq 0$, and L is indeed a positive-definite, even lattice.

Invoking the fusion rules for $M(1)$ -modules, $\oplus_{\alpha \in L} V(\alpha) = (\oplus_{\alpha \in L} M(1, \alpha)) \otimes \Omega_V(0)$ is a vertex operator subalgebra of V with the same Virasoro element and similarly $\oplus_{\alpha \in L} M(1, \alpha)$ is a vertex operator subalgebra with Virasoro element $\omega_{\mathfrak{h}}$. By Corollary 5.4 of [DM2], it follows that $\oplus_{\alpha \in L} M(1, \alpha)$ is isomorphic to V_L . This completes the proof of the Theorem. \square

Remark 5.6 *Theorem 5.5 shows that the Conjecture is true if, and only if, P has rank l . In particular, if P is rational then by Lemma 5.4 it necessarily has rank exactly l , so that the Conjecture holds if P is rational. This result was first proved by Li [Li5]. In fact, Theorem 5.5 shows that the Conjecture, the rationality of P , and the rank condition are equivalent.*

The next corollary to Theorem 5.5 is well known (cf. [DL], [LP]).

Corollary 5.7 *Let \mathfrak{g} be a finite-dimensional simple Lie algebra and k a positive integer. The simple vertex operator algebra $L(k, 0)$ associated to \mathfrak{g} contains a lattice vertex operator subalgebra $V_{\sqrt{k}Q_l}$, where Q_l is the lattice generated by the long roots normalized so that the squared length is 2.*

Our finally result is

Corollary 5.8 *Suppose that V is a strongly regular vertex operator algebra, and let $G \subseteq V$ be the vertex operator subalgebra generated by V_1 . Then the following hold:*

- (a) $V = G$ if, and only if, $V \cong L(k_1, 0) \otimes \dots \otimes L(k_n, 0)$ for positive integers k_1, \dots, k_n ;
- (b) G is a conformal vertex operator subalgebra if, and only if, G is contained in a rational conformal vertex operator subalgebra $H \cong L(k_1, 0) \otimes \dots \otimes L(k_n, 0) \otimes V_L$ where k_1, \dots, k_n are positive integers and L is a positive-definite even lattice.

Proof: Note from Corollary 4.3 that

$$G \cong L(k_1, 0) \otimes \dots \otimes L(k_n, 0) \otimes M(1), \tag{5.4}$$

where $M(1)$ is the Heisenberg vertex operator subalgebra generated by the abelian summand \mathfrak{h} in (4.1). Part (a) follows immediately. As for part (b), suppose that G is a conformal vertex operator subalgebra of V , so that ω is the Virasoro vector of G . Since the k_i are positive integers then the weight spaces of the modules over $L(k_1, 0) \otimes \dots \otimes L(k_n, 0)$ have rational weights. It then follows that P is a rational lattice, and by Remark 5.6 we may apply Theorem 5.5 to see that there is a tower of conformal vertex operator subalgebras $G \subseteq H \subseteq V$ with H as in part (b). Conversely, if G is contained in a conformal vertex operator subalgebra $H \cong L(k_1, 0) \otimes \dots \otimes L(k_n, 0) \otimes V_L$, then G is necessarily a conformal vertex operator subalgebra of H , and hence also of V . \square

References

- [ABD] T. Abe, G. Buhl and C. Dong, Rationality, regularity, and C_2 -cofiniteness, *Trans. AMS.* **356** (2004), 3391-3402.
- [B] G. Buhl, A spanning set for VOA modules, *J. Algebra* **254** (2002), 125–151.
- [DL] C. Dong and J. Lepowsky, Generalized Vertex Algebras and Relative Vertex Operators, *Progress in Math.* Vol. 112, Birkhäuser, Boston, 1993.
- [DLM1] C. Dong, H. Li and G. Mason, Regularity of rational vertex operator algebras, *Adv. in Math.* **132** (1997), 148-166.
- [DLM2] C. Dong, H. Li and G. Mason, Twisted representations of vertex operator algebras, *Math. Ann.* **310** (1998), 571-600.
- [DLMM] C. Dong, H. Li, G. Mason and P. Montague, The radical of a vertex operator algebra, in: *Proc. of the Conference on the Monster and Lie algebras at The Ohio State University, May 1996*, ed. by J. Ferrar and K. Harada, Walter de Gruyter, Berlin- New York, 1998, 17-25. York, 1998.
- [DM1] C. Dong and G. Mason, On quantum Galois theory, *Duke Math. J.* **86** (1997), 305–321.
- [DM2] C. Dong and G. Mason, Rational vertex operator algebras and the effective central charge, *Int. Math. Res. Not.* **56** (2004), 2989-3008.
- [DM3] C. Dong and G. Mason, Holomorphic vertex operator algebras of small central charge, *Pac. J. Math.* **213** (2004), 253-266.
- [DM4] C. Dong and G. Mason, Shifted vertex operator algebras, to appear in *Math. Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc.* (math.QA/04)
- [FHL] I. B. Frenkel, Y. Huang and J. Lepowsky, On axiomatic approaches to vertex operator algebras and modules, *Memoirs American Math. Soc.* **104**, 1993.
- [FLM] I. B. Frenkel, J. Lepowsky and A. Meurman, Vertex Operator Algebras and the Monster, *Pure and Applied Math.*, Vol. **134**, Academic Press, 1988.
- [FZ] I. B. Frenkel and Y. Zhu, Vertex operator algebras associated to representations of affine and Virasoro algebras, *Duke Math. J.* **66** (1992), 123-168.
- [GN] M. Gaberdiel and A. Neitzke, Rationality, quasirationality and finite W-algebras, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **238** (2003), 305-331.
- [K] V. Kac, Infinite dimensional Lie algebras, Cambridge University Press, 1990.
- [Li1] H. Li, Symmetric invariant bilinear forms on vertex operator algebras, *J. Pure and Appl. Math.* **96**(1994), 279-297.

- [Li2] H. Li, Local systems of twisted vertex operators, vertex operator superalgebras and twisted modules, *Contemp. Math.* AMS **193** (1994), 203-236.
- [Li3] H. Li, Local systems of vertex operators, vertex superalgebras and modules, *J. Pure Appl. Alg.* **109** (1996) 143-195.
- [Li4] H. Li, Some finiteness properties of regular vertex operator algebras. *J. Algebra* **212** (1999), 495-514.
- [Li5] H. Li, On abelian coset generalized vertex algebras, *Commun. Contemp. Math.* **3** (2001), 287–340.
- [MP] A. Meurman and M. Primc, Vertex operator algebras and representations of affine Lie algebras. Representation of Lie groups, Lie algebras and their quantum analogues, *Acta Appl. Math.* **44** (1996), 207-215.
- [Sc] A. N. Schellekens, Meromorphic $c = 24$ conformal field theories, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **153** (1993), 159.
- [Z] Y. Zhu, Modular invariance of characters of vertex operator algebras, *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* **9** (1996), 237-302.