

A Note on Existence and Non-existence of Minimal Surfaces in Some Asymptotically Flat 3-manifolds

Pengzi Miao^{*†}

Abstract

Motivated by problems on apparent horizons in general relativity, we prove the following theorem on minimal surfaces: Let g be a metric on the three-sphere S^3 satisfying $Ric(g) \geq 2g$. If the volume of (S^3, g) is no less than one half of the volume of the standard unit sphere, then there are no closed minimal surfaces in the asymptotically flat manifold $(S^3 \setminus \{P\}, G^4g)$. Here G is the Green's function of the conformal Laplacian of (S^3, g) at an arbitrary point P . We also give an example of (S^3, g) with $Ric(g) > 0$ where $(S^3 \setminus \{P\}, G^4g)$ does have closed minimal surfaces.

1 Introduction

Let (N^3, g, p) be an initial data set satisfying the dominant energy constraint condition in general relativity. It is a fascinating question to ask under what conditions an *apparent horizon* (of a black hole) exists in (N^3, g, p) . Here an apparent horizon is a 2-surface $\Sigma^2 \subset N^3$ satisfying

$$H_\Sigma = \text{Tr}_\Sigma p, \quad (1)$$

where H_Σ is the mean curvature of Σ in N and $\text{Tr}_\Sigma p$ is the trace of the restriction of p to Σ .

A fundamental result of Schoen and Yau states that *matter condensation* causes apparent horizons to be formed [11]. Their result is remarkable not only because it provides a general criteria to the existence question, but also

^{*}Current address: Department of Mathematics, University of California, Santa Barbara, CA 93106, USA. E-mail: pengzim@math.ucsb.edu

[†]Address after April 3 06: School of Mathematical Sciences, Monash University, Victoria 3800, Australia.

because it leads to a refined problem – besides matter fields, what is the pure effect of gravity on the formation of apparent horizons?

To analyze this refined problem, one considers an asymptotically flat initial data set (N^3, g, p) in a *vacuum* spacetime. As the first step, one assumes (N^3, g, p) is time-symmetric (i.e. $p \equiv 0$). In this context, an apparent horizon is simply a *minimal surface*, and the relevant topological assumption is that N^3 is diffeomorphic to \mathbb{R}^3 . (If N^3 has nontrivial topology, a closed minimal surface always exists by [8].)

There is a geometric construction of such an initial data set. Let $[g]$ be a conformal class of metrics on the three-sphere S^3 . Recall the Yamabe constant of $(S^3, [g])$ is defined by

$$Y(S^3, [g]) = \inf_{v \in W^{1,2}(S^3)} \frac{\int_M [8|\nabla v|_g^2 + R(g)v^2] dV_g}{\left(\int_M v^6 dV_g\right)^{\frac{1}{3}}}, \quad (2)$$

where $R(g)$ is the scalar curvature of g . If $Y(S^3, [g]) > 0$, there exists a positive Green's function G of the conformal Laplacian $8\Delta_g - R(g)$ at any fixed point $P \in S^3$. Consider the new metric $G^4 g$ on $S^3 \setminus \{P\}$, it is easily checked that $(S^3 \setminus \{P\}, G^4 g)$ is asymptotically flat with zero scalar curvature. One basic fact about this construction is that the blowing-up manifold $(S^3 \setminus \{P\}, G^4 g)$, up to a constant scaling, depends only on the conformal class $[g]$. Precisely, if one replaces g by another metric $\bar{g} \in [g]$ and let \bar{G} be the Green's function associated to \bar{g} , then the metric $\bar{G}^4 \bar{g}$ differs from $G^4 g$ only by a constant multiple. Therefore, it is of interest to seek conditions on $[g]$ that determine whether $(S^3 \setminus \{P\}, G^4 g)$ has a horizon.

So far, no such a conformal invariant condition has been found. However, there are results where conditions in terms of a single metric are given. In [1], Beig and Ó Murchadha studied the behavior of a *critical sequence*, i.e. a sequence of metrics $\{g_n\}$ on S^3 converging to a metric g_0 with zero scalar curvature. They showed the blowing-up manifold $(S^3 \setminus \{P\}, G_n^4 g_n)$ has a horizon for sufficiently large n . Their idea was further explored by Yan [12]. Given a metric g on S^3 , assuming the diameter of $(S^3, g) \leq D$, the volume of $(S^3, g) \geq V$ and the Ricci curvature of g satisfies $Ric(g) \geq \mu g$, Yan showed that, for any $r > \frac{3}{2}$, there exists a small positive number $\delta = \delta(\mu, V, D, r) \leq 1$ such that, if $R(g) > 0$ and $\|R(g)\|_{L^r(S^3, g)} < \delta$, then the blowing-up manifold $(S^3 \setminus \{P\}, G^4 g)$ has a horizon.

One question arising from Yan's theorem is whether a *positive* Ricci curvature metric on S^3 can produce a blowing-up manifold with a horizon, as it is unclear whether Yan's theorem could be applied when $\mu > 0$. Another motivation to this question is, as a positive Ricci curvature metric can be

deformed to the standard metric on S^3 through metrics of positive Ricci curvature, it is of potential interest to study how the horizon disappears in the corresponding deformation of the blowing-up manifold if it exists initially.

In this paper, we focus on conformal classes of metrics with a positive Ricci curvature metric. Our main result is the observation of a volume condition which guarantees non-existence of horizons in the blowing-up manifold. Throughout the paper, \mathbb{S}^3 denotes S^3 with the standard metric of constant curvature $+1$.

Theorem *Let $[g]$ be a conformal class of metrics on S^3 which has a metric of positive Ricci curvature. Consider*

$$V_{max}(S^3, [g]) = \sup_{\bar{g} \in [g]} \{Vol(S^3, \bar{g}) \mid Ric(\bar{g}) \geq 2\bar{g}\},$$

where $Vol(\cdot)$ is the volume functional. If

$$V_{max}(S^3, [g]) \geq \frac{1}{2}Vol(\mathbb{S}^3),$$

then the asymptotically flat manifold $(S^3 \setminus \{P\}, G^4 g)$ has no horizon.

We also give an example of (S^3, g) with $Ric(g) > 0$ where $(S^3 \setminus \{P\}, G^4 g)$ does have horizons.

2 Positive Ricci curvature and maximum volume

We first explain the volume assumption in the Theorem. Let M^n be a smooth, connected, closed manifold of dimension $n \geq 3$. Assume $[g]$ is a conformal class of metrics on M^n which has a metric of positive Ricci curvature. One can define

$$V_{max}(M^n, [g]) = \sup_{\bar{g} \in [g]} \{Vol(M^n, \bar{g}) \mid Ric(\bar{g}) \geq (n-1)\bar{g}\}. \quad (3)$$

The following result relating $V_{max}(M^n, [g])$ and the Yamabe constant of $(M^n, [g])$ was observed in [5].

Proposition 1 *Let $[g]$ be a conformal class of metrics on M^n which has a metric of positive Ricci curvature. Then the Yamabe constant of $(M^n, [g])$ satisfies*

$$Y(M^n, [g]) \geq n(n-1)V_{max}(M^n, [g])^{\frac{2}{n}}. \quad (4)$$

Proof: By definition,

$$Y(M^n, [g]) = \inf_{v \in W^{1,2}(M)} \frac{\int_M [c_n |\nabla v|_{\bar{g}}^2 + R(\bar{g})v^2] dV_{\bar{g}}}{\left(\int_M v^{\frac{2n}{n-2}} dV_{\bar{g}} \right)^{\frac{n-2}{n}}} \quad (5)$$

for any $\bar{g} \in [g]$, where $c_n = \frac{4(n-1)}{n-2}$.

Now we assume $Ric(\bar{g}) \geq (n-1)\bar{g}$. Then by a result of Ilias [7], which is based on the isoperimetric inequality of Gromov [9], we have

$$\int_M [c_n |\nabla v|_{\bar{g}}^2 + n(n-1)v^2] dV_{\bar{g}} \geq \left(\int_M v^{\frac{2n}{n-2}} dV_{\bar{g}} \right)^{\frac{n-2}{n}} n(n-1) Vol(M^n, \bar{g})^{\frac{2}{n}} \quad (6)$$

for any $v \in W^{1,2}(M)$. Note that $R(\bar{g}) \geq n(n-1)$, hence

$$\begin{aligned} Y(M^n, [g]) &\geq \inf_{v \in W^{1,2}(M)} \frac{\int_M [c_n |\nabla v|_{\bar{g}}^2 + n(n-1)v^2] dV_{\bar{g}}}{\left(\int_M v^{\frac{2n}{n-2}} dV_{\bar{g}} \right)^{\frac{n-2}{n}}} \\ &\geq n(n-1) Vol(M^n, \bar{g})^{\frac{2}{n}}. \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

Taking the supremum over $\bar{g} \in [g]$ satisfying $Ric(\bar{g}) \geq (n-1)\bar{g}$, we have

$$Y(M^n, [g]) \geq n(n-1) V_{max}(M^n, [g])^{\frac{2}{n}}. \quad (8)$$

□

As an immediate corollary, we see the assumption

$$V_{max}(S^3, [g]) \geq \frac{1}{2} Vol(\mathbb{S}^3)$$

in the Theorem implies

$$\begin{aligned} Y(S^3, [g]) &\geq 6 \left(\frac{1}{2} \right)^{\frac{2}{3}} Vol(\mathbb{S}^3)^{\frac{2}{3}} \\ &= Y(RP^3, [g_0]), \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

where RP^3 is the three dimensional projective space and g_0 is the standard metric on RP^3 which has constant sectional curvature +1.

3 An upper bound of the Sobolev constant when a horizon is present

One basic fact relating the conformal class $[g]$ on S^3 and the blowing-up metric $h = G^4 g$ on $\mathbb{R}^3 = S^3 \setminus \{P\}$ is

$$Y(S^3, [g]) = 8S(h), \quad (10)$$

where $S(h)$ is the Sobolev constant of the asymptotically flat manifold (\mathbb{R}^3, h) [3]. Recall $S(h)$ is defined by

$$S(h) = \inf_{u \in W^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^3, h)} \left\{ \frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla u|_h^2 dV_h}{\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} u^6 dV_h\right)^{\frac{1}{3}}} \right\}. \quad (11)$$

The next proposition, which plays a key role in the derivation of the Theorem, was essentially established by Bray and Neves in [3] using the inverse mean curvature flow technique [6]. As the statement of Bray and Neves is different from what we need, we include the proof here.

Proposition 2 *Let h be a complete metric on \mathbb{R}^3 such that (\mathbb{R}^3, h) is asymptotically flat. If (\mathbb{R}^3, h) has nonnegative scalar curvature and has a closed minimal surface, then*

$$S(h) < \frac{1}{8} Y(RP^3, [g_0]). \quad (12)$$

Proof: Since (\mathbb{R}^3, h) has a closed minimal surface, the *outermost* minimal surface \mathcal{S} in (\mathbb{R}^3, h) , i.e. the closed minimal surface that is not enclosed by any other minimal surface [2], exists and consists of a finite union of disjoint, embedded minimal two-spheres and projective planes. As our background manifold is \mathbb{R}^3 , \mathcal{S} must consist of embedded minimal two-spheres alone, furthermore each component of \mathcal{S} necessarily bounds a three-ball.

We fix a component Σ of \mathcal{S} and denote by Ω the three-ball that Σ bounds in \mathbb{R}^3 . Let ϕ be the weak solution to the inverse mean curvature flow in $(\mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \bar{\Omega}, h)$ with initial condition Σ [6]. ϕ satisfies

$$\phi \geq 0, \quad \phi|_{\Sigma} = 0, \quad \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \phi = \infty.$$

Let Σ_t be the set $\partial\{u < t\}$ for $t > 0$ and Σ_0 be the starting surface Σ , then the family of surfaces $\{\Sigma_t\}$ satisfies the following properties [6]:

1. $\{\Sigma_t\}$ consists of $C^{1,\alpha}$ surfaces. For a.e. t , Σ_t has weak mean curvature H and $H = |\nabla u|_h$ for a.e. $x \in \Sigma_t$.

2. $|\Sigma_t| = e^t|\Sigma_0|$, where $|\Sigma_t|$ denotes the area of Σ_t .
3. Since (\mathbb{R}^3, h) has nonnegative scalar curvature, Σ is connected and $\mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \bar{\Omega}$ is simply connected, the Hawking quasi-local mass of Σ_t ,

$$m_H(\Sigma_t) = \sqrt{\frac{|\Sigma_t|}{16\pi}} \left(1 - \frac{1}{16\pi} \int_{\Sigma_t} H^2 d\mu \right),$$

is monotone increasing. Here $d\mu$ is the induced surface measure.

Now we restrict attention to functions $u \in W^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^3, h)$ that have the form

$$u(x) = \begin{cases} f(0) & x \in \Omega \\ f(\phi(x)) & x \in \mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \Omega \end{cases} \quad (13)$$

for some C^1 functions $f(t)$ defined on $[0, \infty)$. By the coarea formula and Property 1 above, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla u|_h^2 dV_h &= \int_0^\infty f'(t)^2 \left(\int_{\Sigma_t} H d\mu \right) dt \\ &\leq \int_0^\infty f'(t)^2 \sqrt{16\pi|\Sigma|(e^t - e^{\frac{t}{2}})} dt, \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

where the inequality follows from Property 2, 3 and Hölder's inequality. Similarly, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} u^6 dV_h &\geq \int_0^\infty f(t)^6 \left(\int_{\Sigma_t} H^{-1} d\mu \right) dt \\ &\geq \int_0^\infty f(t)^6 e^{2t} |\Sigma|^2 [16\pi|\Sigma|(e^t - e^{\frac{t}{2}})]^{-\frac{1}{2}} dt. \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

Therefore,

$$\frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla u|_h^2 dV_h}{\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} u^6 dV_h \right)^{\frac{1}{3}}} \leq \frac{(16\pi)^{\frac{2}{3}} \int_0^\infty f'(t)^2 (e^t - e^{\frac{t}{2}})^{\frac{1}{2}} dt}{\left(\int_0^\infty f(t)^6 e^{2t} (e^t - e^{\frac{t}{2}})^{-\frac{1}{2}} dt \right)^{\frac{1}{3}}}. \quad (16)$$

To pick an optimal $f(t)$ that minimizes the right side of (16), we consider the half spatial Schwarzschild manifold

$$(M^3, g_S) = (\mathbb{R}^3 \setminus B_1(0), (1 + \frac{1}{|x|})^4 \delta_{ij})$$

and the quotient manifold $(\tilde{M}^3, \tilde{g}_S)$ obtained from (M^3, g_S) by identifying the antipodal points of $\{|x| = 1\}$. Up to scaling, $(\tilde{M}^3, \tilde{g}_S)$ is isometric to $(RP^3 \setminus \{Q\}, G_0^4 g_0)$, the blowing-up manifold of (RP^3, g_0) by its Green function at a point Q . Hence, the Sobolev constant $S(\tilde{g}_S)$ of $(\tilde{M}^3, \tilde{g}_S)$ equals $\frac{1}{8}Y(RP^3, [g_0])$. On the other hand, $S(\tilde{g}_S)$ is achieved by a function u_0 that is constant on each coordinate sphere $\{|x| = t\}$ in \tilde{M} , and the level set of the solution ϕ_0 to the inverse mean curvature flow starting at $\{|x| = 1\}$ in (M, g_S) is also given by coordinate spheres. Therefore, lifted as a function on (M^3, g_S) , u_0 has the form of

$$u_0 = f_0 \circ \phi_0$$

for some explicitly determined function $f_0(t)$, and

$$S(\tilde{g}_S) = \frac{\int_M |\nabla u_0|_{g_S}^2 dV_{g_S}}{\left(\int_M u_0^6 dV_{g_S}\right)^{\frac{1}{3}}} = \frac{(16\pi)^{\frac{2}{3}} \int_0^\infty f_0'(t)^2 (e^t - e^{\frac{t}{2}})^{\frac{1}{2}} dt}{\left(\int_0^\infty f_0(t)^6 e^{2t} (e^t - e^{\frac{t}{2}})^{-\frac{1}{2}} dt\right)^{\frac{1}{3}}}, \quad (17)$$

where the second equality holds because the Hawking quasi-local mass remains unchanged along the level sets of ϕ_0 . Now consider $u = f_0 \circ \phi$ on (\mathbb{R}^3, h) . It was verified in [3] that $u \in W^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^3, h)$. Therefore, we have

$$\begin{aligned} S(h) &\leq \frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla u|_h^2 dV_h}{\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} u^6 dV_h\right)^{\frac{1}{3}}} \leq \frac{(16\pi)^{\frac{2}{3}} \int_0^\infty f_0'(t)^2 (e^t - e^{\frac{t}{2}})^{\frac{1}{2}} dt}{\left(\int_0^\infty f_0(t)^6 e^{2t} (e^t - e^{\frac{t}{2}})^{-\frac{1}{2}} dt\right)^{\frac{1}{3}}} \\ &= S(\tilde{g}_S) = \frac{1}{8}Y(RP^3, [g_0]). \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

To show the strict inequality, we assume $S(h) = \frac{1}{8}Y(RP^3, [g_0])$. Then, $S(h)$ is achieved by $u = f_0 \circ \phi$. It follows from the Euler-Lagrange equation of the Sobolev functional (11) that u satisfies

$$\Delta_h u + C u^5 = 0 \quad \text{on } \mathbb{R}^3, \quad (19)$$

where $C = S(h) \|u\|_{L^6(\mathbb{R}^3, h)}^{-4}$. However, $u \equiv f_0(0)$ on Ω and $f_0(0) \neq 0$ (Indeed, up to a constant multiple, $f_0(t) = (2e^t - e^{\frac{t}{2}})^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ [3]). Hence, $C = 0$, which contradicts to the fact that u is not a constant. Therefore, the strict inequality $S(h) < \frac{1}{8}Y(RP^3, [g_0])$ holds. \square

Proof of the Theorem: Suppose $(S^3 \setminus \{P\}, G^4 g)$ has a horizon, then it follows from (10) and Proposition 2 that

$$Y(S^3, [g]) < Y(RP^3, [g_0]). \quad (20)$$

On the other hand, the assumption $V_{max}(S^3, [g]) \geq \frac{1}{2}Vol(S^3)$ implies

$$Y(S^3, [g]) \geq Y(RP^3, [g_0]) \quad (21)$$

by (9), which is a contradiction. Hence, there are no horizons. \square

4 An example with horizons

In this section, we provide an example to show that there exist metrics on S^3 with positive Ricci curvature such that the blowing-up manifolds do have horizons.

Our example comes from a 1-parameter family of left-invariant metrics $\{g_\epsilon\}$ on S^3 , commonly known as the *Berger metrics*. Precisely, we think S^3 as the Lie Group

$$SU(2) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} z & -w \\ \bar{w} & \bar{z} \end{pmatrix} : |z|^2 + |w|^2 = 1 \right\},$$

where the Lie algebra of $SU(2)$ is spanned by

$$X_1 = \begin{pmatrix} i & 0 \\ 0 & -i \end{pmatrix}, \quad X_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \text{and} \quad X_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & i \\ i & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then $\{g_\epsilon\}$ is defined by declaring X_1, X_2, X_3 to be orthogonal, X_1 to have length ϵ and X_2, X_3 to be unit vectors. Note that scalar multiplication on $S^3 \subset \mathbb{C}^2$ corresponds to multiplication on the left by matrices $\begin{pmatrix} e^{i\theta} & 0 \\ 0 & e^{-i\theta} \end{pmatrix}$ on $SU(2)$, hence X_1 is exactly tangent to the circle fiber of the *Hopf fibration*

$$\pi : S^3 \longrightarrow S^2 = S^3/S^1$$

and g_ϵ shrinks the circle fiber as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$. One fact of g_ϵ for small ϵ is that all sectional curvature of (S^3, g_ϵ) lies in the interval $[\epsilon^2, 4 - 3\epsilon^2]$ (see [10]), in particular g_ϵ has positive Ricci curvature.

Proposition 3 *Let $P \in S^3$ be a fixed point and G_ϵ be the Green's function of the conformal Laplacian of g_ϵ at P . Then $(S^3 \setminus \{P\}, G_\epsilon^4 g_\epsilon)$ has a horizon for ϵ sufficiently small.*

Proof: For each $\epsilon \in (0, 1]$, we consider the rescaled metric $\bar{g}_\epsilon = \epsilon^{-2}g_\epsilon$ and the Green's function \bar{G}_ϵ associated to \bar{g}_ϵ at P . Then, with respect to \bar{g}_ϵ , X_1 becomes a unit vector and X_2, X_3 have large length ϵ^{-1} as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$. Let

$U \subset S^3$ be a fixed neighborhood of P such that $\pi|_U$ is a trivial fibration. Let O be a fixed point in the product manifold $S^1 \times \mathbb{R}^2$. By a scaling argument, there exists a family of diffeomorphisms

$$\Psi_\epsilon : U \longrightarrow \Psi_\epsilon(U) \subset S^1 \times \mathbb{R}^2,$$

such that $\Psi_\epsilon(P) = O \in \Psi_\epsilon(U)$, $\{\Psi_\epsilon(U)\}_{1 \geq \epsilon > 0}$ forms an exhaustion family of $S^1 \times \mathbb{R}^2$ as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$, and the push forward metrics $\hat{g}_\epsilon = \Psi_\epsilon^{-1*}(\bar{g}_\epsilon|_U)$ on $\Psi_\epsilon(U)$ converge in C^2 norm on compact sets to a flat metric \hat{g} on $S^1 \times \mathbb{R}^2$. Now fix another point $Q \in \Psi_1(U)$ that is different from O and consider the normalized function

$$\hat{G}_\epsilon(x) = \frac{\bar{G}_\epsilon \circ \Psi_\epsilon^{-1}(x)}{\bar{G}_\epsilon \circ \Psi_\epsilon^{-1}(Q)} \quad (22)$$

for $x \in \Psi_\epsilon(U) \setminus \{O\}$. Then \hat{G}_ϵ satisfies

$$\begin{cases} 8\Delta_{\hat{g}_\epsilon} \hat{G}_\epsilon - R(\hat{g}_\epsilon) \hat{G}_\epsilon = 0 & \text{on } \Psi_\epsilon(U) \setminus \{O\} \\ \hat{G}_\epsilon = 1 & \text{at } Q \end{cases}. \quad (23)$$

Since \hat{G}_ϵ is positive and \hat{g}_ϵ converges to \hat{g} as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$, it follows from the Harnack inequality that \hat{G}_ϵ converges to a positive function \hat{G} on $(S^1 \times \mathbb{R}^2) \setminus \{O\}$ in C^2 norm on any compact set away from $\{O\}$. Furthermore, \hat{G} satisfies

$$\begin{cases} \Delta_{\hat{g}} \hat{G} = 0 & \text{on } (S^1 \times \mathbb{R}^2) \setminus \{O\} \\ \hat{G} = 1 & \text{at } Q \end{cases}. \quad (24)$$

On the other hand, the fact that the geodesic ball in $(S^1 \times \mathbb{R}^2, \hat{g})$ only has quadratic volume growth implies $(S^1 \times \mathbb{R}^2, \hat{g})$ does not have a positive Green's function for the usual Laplacian $\Delta_{\hat{g}}$ [4]. Therefore, $\hat{G} \equiv 1$ on $(S^1 \times \mathbb{R}^2) \setminus \{O\}$. Hence, the metrics $\hat{G}_\epsilon^4 \hat{g}_\epsilon$ converge to \hat{g} in C^2 norm on any compact set away from $\{O\}$. Now let $V \subset S^1 \times \mathbb{R}^2$ be a small open ball containing O such that ∂V is an embedded two sphere whose mean curvature vector computed with respect to \hat{g} points towards O . Then, for sufficiently small ϵ , the mean curvature vector of ∂V computed with respect to $\hat{G}_\epsilon^4 \hat{g}_\epsilon$ still points towards O . As $(\Psi_\epsilon(U), \hat{G}_\epsilon^4 \hat{g}_\epsilon)$ is isometric to $(U, \bar{G}_\epsilon^4 \bar{g}_\epsilon)$, the mean curvature vector of the boundary of $\Psi_\epsilon^{-1}(V)$ in $(S^3 \setminus \{P\}, \bar{G}_\epsilon^4 \bar{g}_\epsilon)$ must point towards the blowing-up point P . On the other hand, as $(S^3 \setminus \{P\}, \bar{G}_\epsilon^4 \bar{g}_\epsilon)$ is asymptotically flat, its infinity is foliated by two spheres whose mean curvature vector points away from P . Therefore, it follows from standard geometric measure theory that there exists an embedded minimal two sphere in $\Psi_\epsilon(V)$, hence $(S^3 \setminus \{P\}, \bar{G}_\epsilon^4 \bar{g}_\epsilon)$ has a horizon. \square

Acknowledgment I want to thank Justin Corvino and Rick Schoen for helpful discussions.

References

- [1] R. Beig and N. Ó Murchadha. Trapped surfaces due to concentration of gravitational radiation. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 66(19):2421–2424, 1991.
- [2] Hubert L. Bray. Proof of the Riemannian Penrose inequality using the positive mass theorem. *J. Differential Geom.*, 59(2):177–267, 2001.
- [3] Hubert L. Bray and André Neves. Classification of prime 3-manifolds with Yamabe invariant greater than \mathbb{RP}^3 . *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 159(1):407–424, 2004.
- [4] S. Y. Cheng and S. T. Yau. Differential equations on Riemannian manifolds and their geometric applications. *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.*, 28(3):333–354, 1975.
- [5] Pengfei Guan and Guofang Wang. Conformal deformations of the smallest eigenvalue of the ricci tensor. *Max Planck Institute Preprint Nr. 43/2005*, 2005.
- [6] Gerhard Huisken and Tom Ilmanen. The inverse mean curvature flow and the Riemannian Penrose inequality. *J. Differential Geom.*, 59(3):353–437, 2001.
- [7] Saïd Ilias. Constantes explicites pour les inégalités de Sobolev sur les variétés riemanniennes compactes. *Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble)*, 33(2):151–165, 1983.
- [8] William Meeks, III, Leon Simon, and Shing Tung Yau. Embedded minimal surfaces, exotic spheres, and manifolds with positive Ricci curvature. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 116(3):621–659, 1982.
- [9] Vitali D. Milman and Gideon Schechtman. *Asymptotic theory of finite-dimensional normed spaces*, volume 1200 of *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1986. With an appendix by M. Gromov.
- [10] Peter Petersen. *Riemannian geometry*, volume 171 of *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1998.
- [11] Richard Schoen and S. T. Yau. The existence of a black hole due to condensation of matter. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 90(4):575–579, 1983.
- [12] Yu Yan. The existence of horizons in an asymptotically flat 3-manifold. *Math. Res. Lett.*, 12(2-3):219–230, 2005.