## ON THE IRREDUCIBILITY OF DELIGNE-LUSZTIG VARIETIES

## CÉDRIC BONNAFÉ & RAPHAËL ROUQUIER

ABSTRACT. Let  $\mathbf{G}$  be a connected reductive algebraic group defined over an algebraic closure of a finite field and let  $F: \mathbf{G} \to \mathbf{G}$  be an endomorphism such that  $F^{\delta}$  is a Frobenius endomorphism for some  $\delta \geqslant 1$ . Let  $\mathbf{P}$  be a parabolic subgroup of  $\mathbf{G}$ . We prove that the Deligne-Lusztig variety  $\{g\mathbf{P} \mid g^{-1}F(g) \in \mathbf{P} \cdot F(\mathbf{P})\}$  is irreducible if and only if  $\mathbf{P}$  is not contained in a proper F-stable parabolic subgroup of  $\mathbf{G}$ .

Let G be a connected reductive group over an algebraic closure of a finite field and let  $F: G \to G$  be an endomorphism such that some power of F is a Frobenius endomorphism of G. If P is a parabolic subgroup of G, we set

$$\mathbf{X}_{\mathbf{P}} = \{ g\mathbf{P} \in \mathbf{G}/\mathbf{P} \mid g^{-1}F(g) \in \mathbf{P} \cdot F(\mathbf{P}) \}.$$

This is the Deligne-Lusztig variety associated to **P**. The aim of this note is to prove the following result:

**Theorem A.** Let  $\mathbf{P}$  be a parabolic subgroup of  $\mathbf{G}$ . Then  $\mathbf{X}_{\mathbf{P}}$  is irreducible if and only if  $\mathbf{P}$  is not contained in a proper F-stable parabolic subgroup of  $\mathbf{G}$ .

Note that this result has been obtained independently by Lusztig (unpublished) and Digne and Michel [DiMi2, Proposition 8.4] in the case where  $\mathbf{P}$  is a Borel subgroup: both proofs are obtained by counting rational points of  $\mathbf{X}_{\mathbf{P}}$  in terms of the Hecke algebra. We present here a geometric proof (inspired by an argument of Deligne [Lu, proof of Proposition 4.8]) which reduces the problem to the irreducibility of the Deligne-Lusztig variety associated to a Coxeter element: this case has been treated by Deligne and Lusztig [Lu, Proposition 4.8].

Before starting the proof of this Theorem, we first describe an equivalent statement. Let **B** be an F-stable Borel subgroup of **G**, let **T** be an F-stable maximal torus of **B**, let W be the Weyl group of **G** relative to **T** and let S be the set of simple reflections of W with respect to **B**. We denote again by F the automorphism of W induced by F. Given  $I \subset S$ , let  $W_I$  denote the standard parabolic subgroup of W generated by I and let  $\mathbf{P}_I = \mathbf{B}W_I\mathbf{B}$ . We denote by  $\mathbf{P}_I$  the variety of parabolic subgroups of  $\mathbf{G}$  of type I (i.e. conjugate to  $\mathbf{P}_I$ ) and by  $\mathbf{B}$  the variety of Borel subgroups of  $\mathbf{G}$  (i.e.  $\mathbf{B} = \mathbf{P}_{\varnothing}$ ). For  $w \in W$ , we denote by  $\mathbf{\mathcal{O}}_I(w)$  the  $\mathbf{G}$ -orbit of  $(\mathbf{P}_I, {}^w\mathbf{P}_{F(I)})$  in  $\mathbf{\mathcal{P}}_I \times \mathbf{\mathcal{P}}_{F(I)}$ . Note that  $\mathbf{\mathcal{O}}_I(w)$  depends only on the double coset  $W_I w W_{F(I)}$ . We define now

$$\mathbf{X}_I(w) = \{ \mathbf{P} \in \boldsymbol{\mathcal{P}}_I \mid (\mathbf{P}, F(\mathbf{P})) \in \boldsymbol{\mathcal{O}}_I(w) \}.$$

The group  $\mathbf{G}^F$  acts on  $\mathbf{X}_I(w)$  by conjugation. We set  $\mathcal{O}(w) = \mathcal{O}_{\varnothing}(w)$  and  $\mathbf{X}(w) = \mathbf{X}_{\varnothing}(w)$ .

Date: September 6, 2018.

**Theorem A'.** Let  $I \subset S$  and let  $w \in W$ . Then  $\mathbf{X}_I(w)$  is irreducible if and only if  $W_I w$  is not contained in a proper F-stable standard parabolic subgroup of W.

REMARK 1 - Let us explain why the Theorems A and A' are equivalent. Let  $\mathbf{P}_0$  be a parabolic subgroup of  $\mathbf{G}$ . Let I be its type and let  $g_0 \in \mathbf{G}$  be such that  $\mathbf{P}_0 = {}^{g_0}\mathbf{P}_I$ . Let  $w \in W$  be such that  $g_0^{-1}F(g_0) \in \mathbf{P}_I w \mathbf{P}_{F(I)}$ . The pair  $(I, W_I w W_{F(I)})$  is uniquely determined by  $\mathbf{P}_0$ . Then, the map  $\mathbf{X}_{\mathbf{P}_0} \to \mathbf{X}_I(w)$ ,  $g\mathbf{P}_0 \mapsto {}^{gg_0}\mathbf{P}_I$  is an isomorphism of varieties (indeed, it is straightforward that  $g^{-1}F(g) \in \mathbf{P}_0 \cdot F(\mathbf{P}_0)$  if and only if  $(gg_0)^{-1}F(gg_0) \in \mathbf{P}_I w \mathbf{P}_{F(I)}$ ).

Let **Q** be a parabolic subgroup of **G** containing **P**. Let *J* be its type. Then  $I \subset J$ ,  $\mathbf{Q} = {}^{g_0}\mathbf{P}_J$  and  $g_0^{-1}F(g_0) \in \mathbf{P}_J w \mathbf{P}_{F(J)}$ . Now, **Q** is *F*-stable if and only if F(J) = J and  $w \in W_J$ . This shows the equivalence of the two Theorems.

REMARK 2 - The condition " $W_Iw$  is not contained in a proper F-stable standard parabolic subgroup of W" is equivalent to " $W_IwW_{F(I)}$  is not contained in a proper F-stable standard parabolic subgroup of W".

The rest of this paper is devoted to the proof of Theorem A'. We fix a subset I of S and an element w of W. We first recall two elementary facts. If  $I \subset J$ , let  $\tau_{IJ}: \mathcal{P}_I \to \mathcal{P}_J$  be the morphism of varieties that sends  $\mathbf{P} \in \mathcal{P}_I$  to the unique parabolic subgroup of type J containing  $\mathbf{P}$ . It is surjective. Moreover,

(1) 
$$\tau_{IJ}(\mathbf{X}_I(w)) \subset \mathbf{X}_J(w)$$

and

(2) 
$$\tau_{IJ}^{-1}(\mathbf{X}_J(w)) = \bigcup_{W_I x W_{F(I)} \subset W_J w W_{F(J)}} \mathbf{X}_I(x).$$

First step: the "only if" part. Assume that there exists a proper F-stable subset J of S such that  $W_I w \subset W_J$ . Then, by (1), we have  $\tau_{IJ}(\mathbf{X}_I(w)) \subset \mathbf{X}_J(1) = \mathcal{P}_J^F$ . Since  $\mathbf{G}^F$  acts transitively on  $\mathcal{P}_J^F$ , we get  $\tau_{IJ}(\mathbf{X}_I(w)) = \mathbf{X}_J(1)$ . This shows that  $\mathbf{X}_I(w)$  is not irreducible.

**Second step:** reduction to Borel subgroups. By the previous step, we can concentrate on the "if" part. So, from now on, we assume that  $W_I w$  is not contained in a proper F-stable parabolic subgroup of W. Then, by (2), we have

$$\tau_{\varnothing I}^{-1}(\mathbf{X}_I(w)) = \bigcup_{x \in W_I w W_{F(I)}} \mathbf{X}(x).$$

Let v denote the longest element of  $W_IwW_{F(I)}$ . Then every element x of the double coset  $W_IwW_{F(I)}$  satisfies  $x \leq v$  (here,  $\leq$  denotes the Bruhat order on W): this follows for instance from the fact that  $\mathbf{P}_Iw\mathbf{P}_{F(I)}$  is irreducible and is equal to  $\bigcup_{x \in W_IwW_{F(I)}} \mathbf{B}w\mathbf{B}$ . In particular, v is not contained in a proper F-stable parabolic subgroup of W.

Now, let 
$$\mathbf{X}' = \bigcup_{x \in W_{I}wW_{F(I)}} \mathbf{X}(x)$$
. Then, since  $\overline{\mathbf{X}(v)} = \bigcup_{x \leqslant v} \mathbf{X}(x)$ , we have  $\mathbf{X}(v) \subset \mathbf{X}' \subset \overline{\mathbf{X}(v)}$ .

So, since  $\tau_{\varnothing I}(\mathbf{X}') = \mathbf{X}_I(w)$ , it is enough to show that  $\mathbf{X}(v)$  is irreducible. In other words, we may, and we will, assume that  $I = \varnothing$ .

**Third step:** smooth compactification. Let  $(s_1, \ldots, s_n)$  be a finite sequence of elements of S. Let

$$\hat{\mathbf{X}}(s_1, \dots, s_n) = \{ (\mathbf{B}_1, \dots, \mathbf{B}_n) \in \mathbf{\mathcal{B}}^n \mid (\mathbf{B}_n, F(\mathbf{B}_1)) \in \overline{\mathbf{\mathcal{O}}(s_n)}$$
 and  $(\mathbf{B}_i, \mathbf{B}_{i+1}) \in \overline{\mathbf{\mathcal{O}}(s_i)}$  for  $1 \le i \le n-1 \}$ .

If  $\ell(s_1 \cdots s_n) = n$ , then  $\hat{\mathbf{X}}(s_1, \dots, s_n)$  is a smooth compactification of  $\mathbf{X}(s_1 \cdots s_n)$  (see [DeLu, Lemma 9.11]): in this case,

(3)  $\mathbf{X}(s_1 \cdots s_n)$  is irreducible if and only if  $\hat{\mathbf{X}}(s_1, \ldots, s_n)$  is irreducible.

Note that  $(\mathbf{B}, \ldots, \mathbf{B}) \in \hat{\mathbf{X}}(s_1, \ldots, s_n)$ . We denote by  $\hat{\mathbf{X}}^{\circ}(s_1, \ldots, s_n)$  the connected (i.e. irreducible) component of  $\hat{\mathbf{X}}(s_1, \ldots, s_n)$  containing  $(\mathbf{B}, \ldots, \mathbf{B})$ . Let  $H(s_1, \ldots, s_n) \subset \mathbf{G}^F$  be the stabilizer of  $\hat{\mathbf{X}}^{\circ}(s_1, \ldots, s_n)$ . Let us now prove the following fact:

(4) if 
$$1 \leq i_1 < \cdots < i_r \leq n$$
, then  $H(s_{i_1}, \ldots, s_{i_r}) \subset H(s_1, \ldots, s_n)$ .

Proof of (4) - The map  $f: \hat{\mathbf{X}}(s_{i_1}, \dots, s_{i_r}) \longrightarrow \hat{\mathbf{X}}(s_1, \dots, s_n)$  defined by

$$f(\mathbf{B}_{1},\ldots,\mathbf{B}_{1}) = (\mathbf{B}_{1},\ldots,\underbrace{\mathbf{B}_{1}}_{i_{1}-\text{th}},\mathbf{B}_{2},\ldots,\underbrace{\mathbf{B}_{r-1}}_{i_{r-1}-\text{th}},\mathbf{B}_{r},\ldots,\underbrace{\mathbf{B}_{r}}_{i_{r}-\text{th}},F(\mathbf{B}_{1}),\ldots,F(\mathbf{B}_{1}))$$

is a  $\mathbf{G}^F$ -equivariant morphism of varieties. Moreover,

$$f(\underbrace{\mathbf{B}, \dots, \mathbf{B}}_{r \text{ times}}) = (\underbrace{\mathbf{B}, \dots, \mathbf{B}}_{n \text{ times}}).$$

In particular,  $f(\hat{\mathbf{X}}^{\circ}(s_{i_1},\ldots,s_{i_r}))$  is contained in  $\hat{\mathbf{X}}^{\circ}(s_1,\ldots,s_n)$ . This proves the expected inclusion between stabilizers.

Last step: twisted Coxeter element. The quotient variety

$$\mathbf{G}^F \setminus \{g \in \mathbf{G} | g^{-1}F(g) \in \mathbf{B}w\mathbf{B}\}$$

is irreducible (it is isomorphic to  $\mathbf{B}w\mathbf{B}$  through the Lang map  $\mathbf{G}^Fg \mapsto g^{-1}F(g)$ ), hence  $\mathbf{G}^F \setminus \mathbf{X}(w)$  is irreducible as well. So,

(5)  $G^F$  permutes transitively the irreducible components of X(w).

Let  $w = s_1 \cdots s_n$  be a reduced decomposition of W as a product of elements of S. By (3) and (5), it suffices to show that  $H(s_1, \ldots, s_n) = \mathbf{G}^F$ . Since w does not belong to any F-stable proper parabolic subgroup of W, there exists a sequence  $1 \leq i_1 < \cdots < i_r \leq n$  such that  $(s_{i_k})_{1 \leq k \leq r}$  is a family of representatives of F-orbits in S. By (4), we have  $H(s_{i_1}, \ldots, s_{i_r}) \subset H(s_1, \ldots, s_n)$ . But, by [Lu, Proposition 4.8],  $\mathbf{X}(s_{i_1}, \ldots, s_{i_r})$  is irreducible so, again by (3) and (5),  $H(s_{i_1}, \ldots, s_{i_r}) = \mathbf{G}^F$ . Therefore,  $H(s_1, \ldots, s_n) = \mathbf{G}^F$ , as expected.

**Acknowledgements.** We thank F. Digne and J. Michel for fruitful discussions on these questions. We thank P. Deligne for the clarification of the scope of validity of the Theorem.

## RÉFÉRENCES

[DeLu] P. Deligne and G. Lusztig, Representations of reductive groups over finite fields, Ann. of Math. 103 (1976), 103–161.

[DiMi2] F. Digne and J. Michel, Endomorphisms of Deligne-Lusztig varieties, preprint (2005), math.RT/0509011.

[Lu] G. Lusztig, Coxeter orbits and eigenspaces of Frobenius, Inv. Math. 38 (1976), 101–159.

CÉDRIC BONNAFÉ: LABORATOIRE DE MATHÉMATIQUES DE BESANÇON (CNRS: UMR 6623), UNIVERSITÉ DE FRANCHE-COMTÉ, 16 ROUTE DE GRAY, 25030 BESANÇON CEDEX, FRANCE

E-mail address: bonnafe@math.univ-fcomte.fr

 $\mathit{URL}$ : http://www-math.univ-fcomte.fr/pp\_Annu/CBONNAFE/

RAPHAËL ROUQUIER: DEPARTMENT OF PURE MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF LEEDS, LEEDS LS2 9JT, U.K.

 $E ext{-}mail\ address: rouquier@maths.leeds.ac.uk} \ URL: \ http://www.maths.leeds.ac.uk/\sim rouquier/$