# CASIMIR ELEMENTS AND KERNEL OF WEITZENBÖK DERIVATION.

L. BEDRATYUK

ABSTRACT. Let  $k[X]:=k[x_0, x_1, \ldots, x_n]$  be a polynomial algebra over a field k of characteristic zero. We offer an algorithm for calculation of kernel of Weitzenbök derivation  $d(x_i) = x_{i-1}, \ldots$  $d(x_0) = 0, i = 1...n$  that is based on an analogue of the well known Casimir elements of finite dimensional Lie algebras. By using this algorithm, the kernel is calculated in the case  $n < 7$ .

### 1. INTRODUCTION

Let  $k[X] := k[x_0, x_1, \ldots, x_n]$  be a polynomial algebra over a field k of characteristic zero. For arbitrary derivation D of  $k[X]$  denote by  $k[X]^D$  a kernel of D, that is,

 $k[X]^D := \text{Ker} D := \{ f \in k[X]; D(f) = 0 \}.$ 

Let d be Weitzenbök derivation defined by rule  $d(x_i) = x_{i-1}, \ldots, d(x_0) = 0, i = 1 \ldots n$ . The kernel of the derivation  $d$  was actively studied by various authors. The well-known Weitzenbök's theorem implies a finite generation of the derivation  $d$ . A minimal generating set of algebra  $k[X]^d$  for  $n \leq 4$  presented in [1] and in [5] for  $n \leq 5$ . The aim of this paper is to calculate a generating set of the kernel of Weitzenbök derivation in case  $n \leq 6$ . We offer the general description of a kernel of arbitrary polynomialy derivation D by using a construction which is a commutative analogue to a construction of Casimir elements of finite dimension Lie algebras. Let us recal that a (generalised) Casimir element of a finite dimensional Lie algebra L is called an cental element of the universal eneveloping algebra  $U(L)$  of the follow form

$$
\sum_i u_i u_i^*,
$$

where  ${u_i}$ ,  ${u_i^*}$ <sup>\*</sup><sub>i</sub></sub>} are a dual bases of a contragradient  $L$  – modules in  $U(L)$  with respect to the adjoint action of the Lie algebra on the algebra  $U(L)$ . In the case char $(k)=0$  it is well known that every element of the center of an universal eneveloping algebra  $U(L)$  is a Casimir element. The case char(k)=p> 0 was studied by present autor in [4].

This paper is organized as follows. In section 2 a conceptions of  $D$  – module, dual  $D$  – modules and Casimir elements are introduced. We prove that arbitrary Casimir elements of derivation D belongs to the kernel  $k[X]^D$ . For any linear derivation D is showed that a theorem inverse to above theorem is true, i.e., any element of kernel  $k[X]^D$  is a Casimir element.

In section 3 we study Casimir elements(polynomial) of the Weitzenbök derivation d. Since d is linear derivation we see that the problem of finding of the kernel  $k[X]^d$  is equivalent to the problem of finding a realisation of a dual  $d$  – modules in k[X]. We get such realisations from any element of the kernel  $k[X]^d$  by using two new derivations e and  $\hat{d}$  which arising with the natural embedding of  $d$  – modules into  $\mathfrak{sl}_2$  – modules.

In section 4 we introduce a maps  $\tau_i : k[X]^d \longrightarrow k[X]^d$ . To any element z of kernel derivation d we assign certain family  $\tau_i(z)$  elements of the kernel and studying properties of this correspondence.

In section 5 we present a criterion to verify if a subalgebra of  $k[X]^d$  coincides with the whole algebra  $k[X]^d$ . By using this criterion we offer an algorithm for computing the kernel  $k[X]^d$ .

By using the algoritm in section 6 we compute and present a list of generating elements of the kernel of of Weitzenbök derivation in the case  $n < 7$ . The result for  $n = 6$  is new.

All objects which we use in this paper: rings, algebras, vector spases, isomorphisms, polynomials are considered over field k of a characteristic zero.

## 2. Casimir's elements of a derivation.

The aim of this section is to offer a method of constructing elements of  $k[X]^D$  where D is an arbitrary derivation of  $k[X]$ .

**Definition 2.1.** *A vector space V is called a D* − *module if*  $D(V) \subseteq V$ *.* 

The derivation d defined by  $d(x_n) = x_{n-1}$ ,  $d(x_{n-1}) = x_{n-2}$ ,...,  $d(x_0) = 0$  is called the Weitzenbök derivation. Let  $X_m$  be a vector space spanned by the elements  $x_0, x_1, \ldots, x_m$ . Then, obviously,  $X_m$  is d – module. We denote by  $D_V$  a matrix of derivation D in some ordered fixed basis of the space V. For example the matrix of derivation d in  $X_m$  is Jordan cell  $J_{m+1}(0)$ .

**Definition 2.2.**  $D$  – module V is called dual to  $D$  – module  $V^*$  if there are dual bases  $\{v_i\}$ ,  ${v_i^*}$ i } *of* V *and* V ∗ *such that*

$$
D_{V^*} = (-D_V)^T.
$$

The bases  $\{v_i\}$ ,  $\{v_i^*\}$  are also called dual bases. From definition 2.2 it follows that the derivation d acts on  $\hat{X}_m^*$  such that  $d(x_i^*)$  $i^* = -x_{i+1}, d(x_m) = 0.$ Let  $D, D$  be a derivations of  $k[X]$ .

Definition 2.3. *D* − *module V* and  $\hat{D}$  − *module W* are called isomorphic if there is a linear *space isomorphism*  $\phi: V \longrightarrow W$  *such that*  $D \phi = \phi \hat{D}$ *. In this case we write*  $V \cong W$ *.* 

<span id="page-1-0"></span>Theorem 2.1.  $X_m^* \cong X_m$  *as*  $d$  – *modules.* 

*Proof.* Let  $\varphi: X_m^* \longrightarrow X_m$  be the isomorphism defined by rule  $\varphi(x_i^*)$  $i^* = (-1)^{i+1} x_{m-i+1}$ . Then

$$
d(\varphi(x_i^*)) = d((-1)^{i+1}x_{m-i+1}) = (-1)^{i+1}x_{m-i},
$$

and

$$
\varphi(d(x_i^*)) = \varphi(-x_{i+1}^*) = -(-1)^{i+2}x_{m-i} = (-1)^{i+1}x_{m-i} = d(\varphi(x_i^*)).
$$

Hense  $\varphi$  is the isomorphism from  $d$  – module  $X_m^*$  to  $d$  – module  $X_m$  and bases  $\{x_i\}$ ,  $\{(-1)^{i+1}x_i\}$ are dual ones.  $\Box$ 

**Definition 2.4.** *Suppose*  $V = \{v_i\}$ ,  $V^* = \{v_i^*\}$ i } *are dual* D − *modules in* k[X]*. The the polynomial*

$$
\Delta(V, V^*) := \sum_i (v_i \cdot v_i^*).
$$

*is called the* Casimir element *of a derivation* D*.*

By using theorem [2.1](#page-1-0) we obtain following Casimir elements of degree 2 for the Weitzenbök derivation d:

$$
\Delta(X_k, X_k^*) = \sum_{i=1}^k (-1)^{i+1} x_i \cdot x_{k-i+1}.
$$

It is easy to show that  $\Delta(X_k, X_k^*) \in k[X]^d$ . In generally the following theorem holds:

**Theorem 2.2.** Suppose U and  $U^*$  are two dual  $D$  – modules in  $k[X]$ . Then  $\Delta(U, U^*) \in k[X]^D$ .

*Proof.* Assume that the bases  $\{u_i\}$ ,  $\{u_i^*\}$  $\{a_i\}$  are dual ones and  $D_U = {\lambda_{ij}}$ ,  $i, j = 1...n$ . Then

$$
D(u_i) = \sum_{j=1}^{n} \lambda_{ij} u_j, D(u_i^*) = \sum_{j=1}^{n} (-\lambda_{ji} u_j).
$$

Therefore

$$
D(\Delta(U, U^*)) = D\left(\sum_{i=1}^n u_i u_i^*\right) = \sum_{i=1}^n (D(u_i) u_i^* + u_i D(u_i^*)) =
$$
  
= 
$$
\sum_{i=1}^n \left(\sum_{j=1}^n (\lambda_{ij} u_j) u_i^* + u_i D(u_i^*)\right) = \sum_{i=1}^n \left(\sum_{j=1}^n \lambda_{ji} u_i u_j^* + u_i D(u_i^*)\right) =
$$
  
= 
$$
\sum_{i=1}^n u_i \left(\sum_{j=1}^n \lambda_{ji} u_j^* + D(u_i^*)\right) = 0.
$$

For any Casimir element one can show that this element does not depend on the choice of dual bases; so that a Casimir element is well defined.

For linear derivation D of  $k[X]$  a theorem inverse to theorem 2.2 is true. Let us assume that

$$
D(x_i) = \sum_{j=0}^{n} \lambda_{ij} x_j.
$$

<span id="page-2-0"></span>Theorem 2.3. *Let* z *be homogeneous polynomial belonging to* k[X] <sup>D</sup>*. Then* z *is a Casimir element.*

*Proof.* Let us remember that a derivation of the algebra  $k[X]$  of a form  $f_0\partial_0 + f_1\partial_1 + \cdots + f_n\partial_n$ ,  $f_i \in k[X]$  is called a special derivation. It is well-known that the set of all special derivation  $W_n := \text{Der}(k[X])$  is a Lie algebra with respect to the commutator of a derivations. Taking into account  $D = D(x_0)\partial_0 + D(x_1)\partial_1 + \cdots + D(x_n)\partial_n$  we get

$$
[D,\partial_i] = -\sum_{i=0}^n \lambda_{ji}\partial_j.
$$

It is clear that vector space  $X_n$  is  $D$  – module. Without loss of generality, we can assume that  $\partial_n(z) \neq 0.$ 

Lema 2.1. *Let* z *be non-vanishing element from* k[X] <sup>D</sup>*. Then the vector space*  $Z_D := \langle \partial_0(z), \cdots, \partial_n(z) \rangle$  *is*  $D$  – *module and*  $Z_D \cong X_n^*$ .

*Proof.* We need to verify duality of the bases elements now. In fact

$$
D(\partial_i(z)) = [D, \partial_i](z) + \partial_i(D(z)) = [D, \partial_i](z) = -\sum_{i=0}^n \lambda_{ji} \partial_j(z).
$$

Now since the modules  $X_n$  and  $Z_D$  are dual we can write their Casimir element. By using Euler's theorem about homogeneous polynomials we get

$$
\Delta(X_n, Z_D) = x_0 \partial_0(z) + x_1 \partial_1(z) + \cdots + x_n \partial_n(z) = \deg(z) \, z.
$$

Thus  $z = \frac{1}{\text{deg}(x)}$  $\frac{1}{\deg(z)}\Delta(X_n, Z_D)$ , i.e., z is a Casimir element.

We end this section with the following

**Conjecture.** For any derivation D the algebra  $k[X]^D$  is generated by Casimir elements.

 $\Box$ 

 $\Box$ 

#### 4 L. BEDRATYUK

## 3. CASIMIR ELEMENTS OF WEITZENBÖK DERIVATION.

From theorem [2.3](#page-2-0) it follow that to know the ring  $k[X]^D$  we have to know a realisations of  $D$  – modules in  $k[X]$ . Below we offer a way to construct these realisations for Weitzenbök derivation d.

**Theorem 3.1.** *Any*  $d$  − *module*  $V = \langle v_0, v_1, \ldots, v_n \rangle$  *can be extended to*  $\mathfrak{sl}_2$  − *module where*  $\mathfrak{sl}_2$ *is simple three-dimensional Lie algebra over field* k*.*

*Proof.* Let us introduce on V two additional derivations  $\hat{d}$  and  $e$  as follows:

$$
\hat{d}(v_i) := (i+1)(n-i)v_{i+1}, \n e(v_i) := (n-2i)v_i.
$$

By straightforward calculation for any  $i$  we get:

$$
[d, \hat{d}](v_i) = e(v_i), [d, e](v_i) = -2 d(v_i), [\hat{d}, e](v_i) = 2 \hat{d}(v_i).
$$

Those commutator relations coincide with the commutator relations of bases elements of the simple three-dimensional Lie algebra  $sl_2$ . Hence the vector space  $V_n$  together with operators  $d, d, e$  is  $\mathfrak{sl}_2$  – module.

**Definition 3.1.** For any polynomial  $z \in k[X]$  a natural number s is called an order of the *polynomial* z *if the number* s *is the smallest natural number such that*

$$
\hat{d}^s(z) \neq 0, \hat{d}^{s+1}(z) = 0.
$$

We denote an order of z by ord(z). For example ord  $(x_0) = n$ . By using Leibniz's formula we get

$$
\mathrm{ord}(a \cdot b) = \mathrm{ord}(a) + \mathrm{ord}(b) \text{ for all } a, b \in k[X].
$$

For the derivation e every monomial  $x_0^{\alpha_1} x_1^{\alpha_2} \cdots x_n^{\alpha_n}$  is an eigenvector with the eigenvalue  $w(x_0^{\alpha_0}x_1^{\alpha_1}\cdots x_n^{\alpha_n})$  where

$$
w(x_0^{\alpha_0} x_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots x_n^{\alpha_n}) = n \left( \sum_i \alpha_i \right) - 2 \left( \alpha_1 + 2 \alpha_2 + \cdots + n \alpha_n \right).
$$

A homogeneous polynomial is called isobaric if all its monomials have equal eigenvalue.

Definition 3.2. *An eigenvalue of arbitrary monomial of a homogeneous isobaric polynomial* z *is called the* weight *of the polinomial* z *and denoted by*  $\omega(z)$ *.* 

It is easy to see that  $\omega(a \cdot b) = \omega(a) + \omega(b)$  for a homogeneous isobaric polynomials a, b.

**Theorem 3.2.** For arbitrary homogeneous isobaric polynomial  $z \in k[X]^d$  a vector space

$$
V_m(z) := \langle v_0, v_1, \dots v_m \rangle, v_i = \frac{(w(z) - i)!}{i! \, (\omega(z))!} \, \hat{d}^i(z), v_0 := z, m = 0 \dots s,
$$

*is*  $d$  − *module, moreover*  $X_m \cong V_m(z)$ *. Here*  $\omega(z)$ *, s are weight and order of* z*.* 

*Proof.* Let us prove two intermediate relations :

 $(i) e(\hat{d}^{i}(z)) = (\omega(z) - 2i) \hat{d}^{i}(z),$  $(ii) d(\hat{d}^{i}(z)) = i(\omega(z) - i + 1) \hat{d}^{i-1}(z).$  The relation (*i*) is valid for  $i = 0$ :

$$
e(\hat{d}^0(z)) = e(z) = \omega(z) z.
$$

If this relation holds for certain  $i$ , then

$$
e(\hat{d}^{i+1}(z)) = [e, \hat{d}](\hat{d}^{i}(z)) + \hat{d}(e(\hat{d}^{i}(z))) = -2 \hat{d}^{i+1}(z) + \hat{d}((\omega(z) - 2i)\hat{d}^{i+1}(z)) =
$$
  
=  $(\omega(z) - 2(i+1)) \hat{d}^{i+1}(z).$ 

The relation (*ii*) is true for  $i = 0$ . In fact

$$
d(\hat{d}^0(z)) = d(z) = 0
$$

If this relation holds for certain  $i$  then by using  $(i)$  we get

$$
d(\hat{d}^{i+1}(z)) = [d, \hat{d}](\hat{d}^{i}(z)) + \hat{d}(d(\hat{d}^{i}(z))) = e(\hat{d}^{i}(z)) + \hat{d}(i(\omega(z) - i + 1)\hat{d}^{i-1}(z)) =
$$
  
= 
$$
(\omega(z) - 2i)\hat{d}^{i}(z) + i(\omega(z) - i + 1)\hat{d}^{i}(z)) = (i + 1)(\omega(z) - i)\hat{d}^{i}(z).
$$

Hence the relations are valid for any  $i$ . Consider now a vector space

$$
V_m(z) := \langle v_0, v_1, \cdots, v_m \rangle,
$$

where  $v_i = \alpha_i(z) \hat{d}^i(z)$  for some undefined  $\alpha_i(z) \in k$ . For the vector space  $V_m(z)$  to be a  $d$ module it is enought to have  $d(v_i) = v_{i-1}$  for all i. Since

$$
d(v_i) = d(\alpha_i(z)\hat{d}^i(z)) = \alpha_i(z) i(\omega(z)-i) \hat{d}^{i-1}(z),
$$

we get a following recurrence formula for  $\alpha_i(z)$ :

$$
i(\omega(z) - i + 1) \alpha_i(z) = \alpha_{i-1}(z), \alpha_1(z) = 1,
$$

by solving it we obtain

$$
\alpha_i(z) = \frac{(\omega(z) - i)!}{i! \omega(z)!}.
$$

.

 $\Box$ 

4. MAPS  $\tau_i$ .

For arbitrary homogeneous isobaric polynomial  $z \in k[X]^d$  denote by  $\tau_i(z)$  the Casimir element:

$$
\tau_i(z) := \Delta(X_i, V_i^*(z)), 0 \le i \le \min(\text{ord}(z), n), z \in k[X]^d
$$

Since every polynomial of  $k[X]^d$  is a sum of a homogeneous isobaric polynomials, the map  $\tau_i$ can be extended to whole algebra  $k[X]^d$  by requiring  $\tau_i(u+v) := \tau_i(v) + \tau_i(v)$ . Besides we clearly have  $\tau_i(\lambda z) = \lambda \tau_i(z), \lambda \in k$ . Thus  $\tau_i : k[X]^d \longrightarrow k[X]^d$  is now a linear map. Note that the maps  $\tau_i$  is well defined only on elements z of a kernel such that  $\text{ord}(z) \leq i$ .

**Theorem 4.1.** *Any polynomial of*  $z \in k[X]^d$  *has the form* 

$$
z = \frac{1}{\deg(z)}(\tau_n(c(0)) + \tau_{n-1}(c_1) + \ldots + \tau_0(c(n))),
$$

*where*

$$
c(0) = \partial_n(z),
$$
  
\n
$$
c(i) = \partial_{n-i}(z) + \sum_{k=1}^i (-1)^{k+1} c_k (i - k),
$$
  
\n
$$
c_k(i) := \frac{(w(c(i)) - k)!}{k! w(c(i))!} \hat{d}^k(c(i)), c_0(i) := c(i).
$$

#### 6 L. BEDRATYUK

*Proof.* We may assume that z is a homogeneous isobaric polynomial. We first show that  $d(c(i)) = 0$  for all i. Since  $[\partial_n, d] = 0$  we have  $d(c(0)) = d(\partial_n(z)) = \partial_n(d(z)) = 0$ . Suppose by induction  $d(c(i)) = 0$ . We have to show that  $d(c(i + 1)) = 0$ . From theorem 3.2 it follows that  $d(c_k(i)) = c_{k-1}(i)$ . By definition we have

$$
c(i+1) = \partial_{n-(i+1)}(z) + \sum_{k=1}^{i+1} (-1)^{k+1} c_k(i+1-k) = \partial_{n-(i+1)}(z) + c_1(i) + \sum_{k=2}^{i+1} (-1)^{k+1} c_k(i+1-k).
$$

Therefore

$$
d(c(i+1)) = d(\partial_{n-(i+1)}(z)) + d(\sum_{k=2}^{i+1} (-1)^{k+1} c_k (i+1-k)) + d(c_1(i)) =
$$
  
=  $-\partial_{n-1}(z) + \sum_{k=2}^{i+1} (-1)^{k+1} c_{k-1} (i+1-k) + c(i) =$   
=  $-(\partial_{n-i}(z) + \sum_{k=1}^{i+1} (-1)^{k+1} c_k (i+1-k)) + c(i) = -c(i) + c(i) = 0.$ 

Now since  $\partial_n(z) = c(0)$  and  $\text{ord}(\partial_n(z)) = \text{ord}(z) + n \geq n$  then the Casimir element  $\tau_n(c(0))$ exists and

$$
\tau_n(c(0)) = x_n c(0) - x_{n-1} c_1(0) + \ldots + (-1)^n x_0 c_n(0).
$$

Furthermore, taking into account deg(z)z =  $x_n\partial_n(z) + x_{n-1}\partial_{n-1}(z) + \ldots + x_0\partial_0(z)$  we get :  $\deg(z)z - \tau_n(c(0)) = x_{n-1}(\partial_n(z) + c_1(0)) + x_{n-2}(\partial_{n-2}(z) - c_2(0)) + \ldots + x_0(\partial_0 - (-1)^n c_n(0)).$ The coefficient of  $x_{n-1}$  is equal  $c(1)$  hence

$$
\tau_{n-1}(\partial_n(z)+c_1(0))=x_{n-1}c_0(1)-x_{n-2}c_1(1)+\ldots+(-1)^nx_0c_{n-1}(1).
$$

Therefore

$$
\deg(z) z - (\tau_n(c(0)) + \tau_{n-1}(c(1))) = x_{n-2}(\partial_{n-2}(z) - c_2(0) + c_1(1)) + \dots +
$$
  
+
$$
+ x_i (\partial_i(z) - (-1)^i c_i(0) - (-1)^{i-1} c_{i-1}(1) + \dots + x_0 (\partial_0(z) - (-1)^n c_n(0) - (-1)^{n-1} c_{n-1}(1)) =
$$
  
=  $x_{n-2}(c(2)) + \dots + x_0 (\partial_0(z) - (-1)^n c_n(0) - (-1)^{n-1} c_{n-1}(1)).$ 

Finally, we obtain

$$
\deg(z) z - (\tau_n(c(0)) + \tau_{n-1}(c(1)) + \ldots + \tau_1(c(n-1))) =
$$
  
=  $x_0 (\partial_0(z) + c_1(n) - c_2(n-1) + \ldots + (-1)^{n+1} c_n(0) = x_0 c(n) = \tau_0(c(n)).$ 

Hence

$$
\deg(z)z = \tau_n(c(0)) + \tau_{n-1}(c(1)) + \ldots + \tau_1(c(n-1)) + \tau_0(c(n)),
$$

and we get

$$
z = \frac{1}{\deg(z)}(\tau_n(c(0)) + \tau_{n-1}(c_1) + \ldots + \tau_0(c(n))).
$$

**Theorem 4.2.** Let z be a homogeneous isobaric polynomial of  $k[X]^d$ . Then

(i) 
$$
ord(\tau_i(z)) = n + ord(z) - 2i
$$
, \n(ii)  $\omega(z) = ord(z)$ .

 $\Box$ 

*Proof.* (i) Let us denote by s the order of z. We first show that  $\hat{d}^{n+s-2i}(\tau_i(z)\neq 0$  but  $\hat{d}^{n+s-2i+1}(\tau_i(z)=0.$  Consider now two  $\hat{d}$  – modules:

$$
\hat{X}_m := \langle x_n, \frac{x_{n-1}}{\gamma_{n-1}}, \frac{x_{n-2}}{\gamma_{n-1}\gamma_{n-2}}, \cdots, \frac{x_m}{\gamma_{n-1}\gamma_{n-2}\cdots\gamma_{n-m}} \rangle,
$$
  

$$
\hat{V}_m(z) := \langle z_s, \frac{z_{s-1}}{\alpha_{s-1}(z)}, \frac{z_{s-2}}{\alpha_{s-1}(z)\alpha_{s-2}(z)} \cdots \frac{z_m}{\alpha_{s-1}(z)\alpha_{s-2}(z)\cdots\alpha_{s-m}(z)} \rangle,
$$

where  $\gamma_i = (i + 1)(n - i), z_i = \alpha_i(z)\hat{d}^i(z), z_0 = z, \alpha_i =$  $(w(z) - i)!$  $\frac{i!}{i!w(z)!}$ , m=0..min $(n, s)$  and  $w(z)$  is a

weight of the polynomial z. Define a linear multiplicative map  $\psi: X_m \cdot V_m(z) \longrightarrow \hat{X}_m \cdot \hat{V}_m(z)$ by the rule

$$
\psi(x_i) = \frac{x_{n-i}}{\gamma_{n-1} \gamma_{n-2} \dots \gamma_{n-i}}, \psi(z_i) = \frac{z_{n-i}}{\alpha_{n-1}(z) \alpha_{n-2}(z) \dots \alpha_{n-i}(z)}.
$$

Since  $\hat{x}_i = \gamma_i x_{i+1}$  and

$$
\psi(d(x_i)) = \psi(x_{i-1}) = \frac{x_{n-(i-1)}}{\gamma_{n-1} \gamma_{n-2} \dots \gamma_{n-(i-1)}},
$$

$$
\hat{d}(\psi(x_i)) = \hat{d}\left(\frac{x_{n-i}}{\gamma_{n-1} \gamma_{n-2} \dots \gamma_{n-i}}\right) = \frac{x_{n-(i-1)}}{\gamma_{n-1} \gamma_{n-2} \dots \gamma_{n-(i-1)}},
$$

it follows that  $\psi(d(x_i))=\hat{d}(\psi(x_i))$  thus the restriction of  $\psi$  to  $X_m$  is a isomorphism from  $d$  − module  $X_m$  to  $\hat{d}$  – module  $\hat{X}_m$ . Similarly  $V_m(z)$  and  $\hat{V}_m(z)$  are isomorphic thus  $\psi$  is a isomorphism of d – module  $X_m \cdot V_m(z)$  to  $\hat{d}$  – module  $\hat{X}_m \cdot \hat{V}_m(z)$ . From Theorem 3.2 it follows that  $\hat{d}$  – modules  $\hat{X}_m$  and  $\hat{V}_m(z)$  are isomorphic. For arbitrary homogeneous isobaric polynomials  $z \in k[X]^d$  denote by  $\hat{\tau}_i(z)$  the corresponding Casimir element

 $\hat{\tau}_i(z) = \Delta(\hat{X}_i, \hat{V}_i(z)).$ 

It is easy to check that  $\hat{\tau}_i(z)=\psi(\tau_i(z))$ . Let us show that

$$
\hat{d}^{n+s-2}(\tau_1(z)) = \frac{(n+s-2)!(n-1)!(s-1)!}{n \, s} \,\hat{\tau}_1(z).
$$

Since

$$
\gamma_0 \cdot \gamma_1 \cdots \gamma_{k-1} = (1 \cdot n)(2 \cdot (n-1)) \dots (k \cdot (n-(k-1)) = [k!]^2 \binom{n}{k},
$$

we have

$$
\hat{d}^k(x_i) = \gamma_i \gamma_{i+1} \dots \gamma_{i+k-1} \cdot x_{k+i} = \frac{\gamma_0 \gamma_1 \dots \gamma_{i+k-1}}{\gamma_0 \gamma_1 \dots \gamma_{i-1}} x_{k+i} = \frac{[(i+k)!]^2 \binom{n}{k+i}}{[i]^2 \binom{n}{i}} x_{k+i}.
$$

In particulary  $\hat{d}^{n-1}(x_0) = [(n-1)!]^2 n \cdot x_{n-1}, \ \hat{d}^{n-1}(x_1) = \frac{[(n)!]^2}{n} \cdot x_n$ . Likewise,

$$
\hat{d}^k(z_i) = \frac{[(i+k)!]^2 \binom{s}{k+i}}{[i!]^2 \binom{s}{i}} x_{z+i}.
$$

Taking into account  $\hat{d}^{n+1}(x_0) = \hat{d}^{s+1}(z_0) = 0$  and  $\tau_1(z) = x_0 z_1 - x_1 z_0$ , we obtain

$$
\hat{d}^{n+s-2}(x_0 z_1 - x_1 z_0) = {n+s-2 \choose n} \hat{d}^n(x_0) \cdot \hat{d}^{s-2}(z_1) + {n+s-2 \choose n-1} \hat{d}^{n-1}(x_0) \cdot \hat{d}^{s-1}(z_1)) -
$$

$$
- {n+s-2 \choose n-1} \hat{d}^{n-1}(x_1) \cdot \hat{d}^{s-1}(z_0) - {n+s-2 \choose n-2} \hat{d}^{n-2}(x_1) \cdot \hat{d}^s(z_0) =
$$

$$
= x_{n-1} z_s \left( {n+s-2 \choose n-1} \cdot [(n-1)!]^2 n \frac{[(s-1)!]^2}{s} - {n+2-2 \choose n-2} [(n-1)!]^2 [s!]^2 \right) +
$$

$$
+ x_n z_{s-1} \left( {n+s-2 \choose n} [n!]^2 [(s-1)!]^2 - {n+s-2 \choose n-1} \cdot [(s-1)!]^2 s \frac{[(n-1)!]^2}{n} \right) =
$$

$$
= (n+s-2)! s! (n-1)! x_{n-1} z_s - (n+s-2)! n! (s-1)! x_n z_{s-1} =
$$

$$
= (n+s-2)! (n-1)! (s-1)! (s - n) z_s - n x_n z_{s-1}) =
$$

$$
= \frac{(n+s-2)! (n-1)! (s-1)!}{n s} z_s - x_n \frac{z_{s-1}}{s} = \frac{(n+s-2)! (n-1)! (s-1)!}{n s} \hat{\tau}_1(z).
$$

In the general case, it can be shown (the proof is routine) that

$$
\hat{d}^{n+s-2i}(\tau_i(z)) = \frac{(n+s-2i)!(n-i)!(s-i)!}{n(n-1)...(n-(i-1))s(s-1)...(s-(i-1))}\hat{\tau}_i(z) \neq 0,
$$

whenever  $\tau_i(z) \neq 0$ , but  $\hat{d}^{n+s-2i+1}(\tau_i(z)) = \hat{d}(\hat{d}^{n+s-2i}(\tau_i(z))) = 0$  since  $\hat{\tau}_i(z)$  belongs to the kernel of the derivation  $\hat{d}$ , therefore the order of non-vanished polinomial  $\tau_i(z)$  is equal  $n+s-2i$ . (*ii*) It is a direct corollary of the relation  $d(\hat{d}^i(z)) = i(\omega(z) - i + 1) \hat{d}^{i-1}(z)$ .

 $\Box$ 

# 5. AN ALGORITHM OF COMPUTING OF THE KERNEL  $k[X]^d$

For any subalgebra  $T \subseteq k[X]^d$  we write  $\tau(T)$  for the subalgebra generated by the elements  $\tau_i(z)$ ,  $z \in T, i \leq \text{ord}(z)$ . The map  $\tau$  allow us to arrange the following iteration process for calculation of the algebra  $k[X]^d$ : for arbitrary subalgebra B of algebra  $k[X]^d$  denote by  $\overline{B}$ a subalgebra generated by elements  $B \bigcup \tau(B)$ . For every integer  $m \geq 0$  define the following sequence  $B_m$  of subalgebras of  $k[X]^d$ 

$$
\begin{cases} B_0 = k[x_0] \\ B_m = \overline{B_{m-1}}. \end{cases}
$$

We get a increasing chain of the subalgebras  $B_i$ 

$$
B_0 \subseteq B_1 \subseteq B_2 \dots
$$

Now it is possible to state the following proposition

**Theorem 5.1.** *There exists some* k *such that*  $B_k = B_{k+1} = k[X]^d$ .

This theorem is a direct corollary of finite generation of the Weitzenbök derivation and of the follow theorem :

**Theorem 5.2.** If T is a subalgebra of  $k[X]^d$  with the property that  $x_0 \in T$  and  $\tau(T) \subseteq T$ , then  $T = k[X]^d$ .

*Proof.* It is enought to show that  $k[X]^d \subseteq T$ . The proof it is by induction on the degree of a polynomial  $z \in T$ . Under the condition of the theorem we have  $x_0 \in T$ . Let us assume that the theorem is true for all polynomials of degree less or equal to s. Suppose  $deg(z) = s + 1$ ; then from theorem 4.1 it is follows that z can be expressed as a sum of polynomials  $\tau_i(z_i)$  $i)$  where  $z_i'$  are elements of the degree s. Then by the induction hypothesis, we have  $z \in T$  therefore  $k[X]^d \subseteq T$ .  $d \subseteq T$ .

For the realization of the algorithm it is necessary to be able to calculate algebra  $\tau(B_i)$  knowing generating set for algebra  $B_i$ . Let us give a definition of a irreducible polynomials of algebra  $k[X]^d$ . We will say that  $x_0$  is the only irreducible polynomial of depth unity, and that  $x_0, K_1, \dots, K_s$  form a complete set of irreducible polynomials of depth  $\lt m$  if every polynomials of  $B_i$ ,  $i < m$  is a polynomial in  $x_0, K_1, \ldots, K_s$ , over field k. Polynomials which are not irreducible we will call as reducible. A several cases of reducebility considered in the following theorem.

**Theorem 5.3.** Suppose that  $u, v \in k[X]^d$  are irreducible polynomials of depth i; then

- (i) *If* ord $(u) = 0$  *then*  $\tau_k(u v)$  *is reducible of the depth i*;
- (ii)  $\tau_i(u\,v)$  *is reducible for all*  $i \leq \min(n, \text{ord}(v))$ ;
- (iii) *Polynomial*  $\tau_i(u_1 u_2 \ldots u_{i+1})$ *,*  $u_i \in B_i$  *is always reducible*.

*Proof.* (i) Let  $i \leq \text{ord}(v)$  and  $V_i(v) = \langle v_0v_1, \ldots, v_i \rangle$ . If  $\hat{d}(u) = 0$  then we have  $V_i(u v) = \langle u v_0, u v_1 \dots, u v_i \rangle$ . Thus

$$
\tau_i(u\,v) = \Delta(X_i, V_i^*(u\,v)) = \sum_{k=1}^i (-1)^{k+1} x_k\,u\,v_{i-k+1} = u\,\tau_i(v).
$$

(*ii*) Let us show that for  $i \leq \min(n, \text{ord}(v))$  and for certain  $c'_{k} \in k[X]^d$  the relation

$$
\tau_i(u \, v) = u \, \tau_i(v) + \sum_{k=1}^{i-1} \tau_{i-k}(c'_k),
$$

is true. In fact

$$
\tau_i(u\,v) - u\,\tau_i(v) = \sum_{k=0}^i (-1)^{i-k} \alpha_{i-k}(u\,v) x_{i-k} \hat{d}^k(u\,v) - u\left(\sum_{k=0}^i (-1)^{i-k} \alpha_{i-k}(v) x_{i-k} \hat{d}^k(v)\right) =
$$
\n
$$
= x_i\,uv + \sum_{k=1}^i (-1)^{i-k} \alpha_{i-k}(u\,v) x_{i-k} \hat{d}^k(u\,v) - u\left(x_i v + \sum_{k=1}^i (-1)^{i-k} \alpha_{i-k}(v) x_{i-k} \hat{d}^k(v)\right) =
$$
\n
$$
= \sum_{k=1}^i (-1)^{i-k} x_{i-k} (\alpha_{i-k}(u\,v) \hat{d}^k(u\,v) - \alpha_{i-k}(v) u \hat{d}^k(v)
$$

The polynomial of the right hand side belongs to the kernel as difference of two elements of the kernel. To conclude the proof, it remains to apply theorem 4.1

(*iii*) If among the polynomials  $u_1, u_2, \ldots, u_{i+1}$  there is a polynomial of the order zero then  $\tau_i(u_1 u_2 \ldots u_{i+1})$  is reducible by (i). If all of them have non-vanishing orders then the order of  $u_1 u_2 \dots u_i$  is  $\geq i$  and  $\tau_i(u_1 u_2 \dots u_{i+1})$  is reducible by  $(ii)$ .

**Definition 5.1.** *A polynomial*  $z \in \tau(B_m)$  *is called* acceptable *for algebra*  $B_m$  *if* z *is ireducible and*  $z \notin B_m$ .

Let  $B_m = k[f_1, \ldots, f_r, g_1, \ldots, g_s]$  where  $\{g_i\}$  are acceptable for  $B_{m-1}$ . From theorem 4.3 it is follows

**Theorem 5.4.** *Polynomial*  $\tau_i(f_1^{\alpha_1} f_2^{\alpha_2} \dots f_r^{\alpha_r} g_1^{\beta_1})$  $a_1^{\beta_1} \ldots g_s^{\beta_s}$  can not be an acceptable polynomial *for* B<sup>m</sup> *if any of follow conditions holds :*

- 1.  $\Sigma$ k  $\alpha_k+\sum$ k  $\beta_k > i$ . 2.  $\sum \beta_k = 0$ .
- 3. Some of  $f_i, g_k$  have the order equal to zero but  $\alpha_i \neq 0, \beta_k \neq 0$ .
- 4.  $f_1^{\alpha_1} f_2^{\alpha_2} f_r^{\alpha_r} g_1^{\beta_1}$  $\frac{\beta_1}{1}$   $g_s^{\beta_s}$  can be expresed as product of two polynomials one of them has order *greater than* i*.*

Definition 5.2. *A triple of integer numbers*

$$
[\deg(z), \operatorname{ord}(z), \frac{n \deg(z) - \omega(z)}{2}]
$$

*is called the signature of a polynomial* z *and denoted by* [z].

From theorem 4.2 it is follow that  $[u v] = [u] + [v]$ Now let us offer a verification algorith if a polinomial  $z \in k[X]^d$  belongs to subalgebra  $B =$  $k[f_1, f_2, \ldots, f_m]$  of algebra  $k[X]^d$ .

(1) Set up the following system of equation

$$
[z] = \alpha_1 [f_1] + \alpha_2 [f_2] + \cdots + \alpha_m [f_m]
$$

- (2) If this system has no an integer positive solutions then z doesnt belong to subalgebra B.
- (3) Suppose  $\{\alpha^{(i)} = (\alpha_1^{(i)})\}$  $\{a_1^{(i)}, \cdots, a_m^{(i)}\}$ ,  $i = 1..k\}$  is the set of all positive integer solutions of the system. Set up the new system of equations:

$$
z = \beta_1 f^{\alpha^{(1)}} + \beta_2 f^{\alpha^{(2)}} + \cdots + \beta_k f^{\alpha^{(k)}},
$$

where

$$
f^{\alpha^{(i)}} = f_1^{\alpha_1^{(i)}} f_2^{\alpha_2^{(i)}} \dots f_m^{\alpha_m^{(i)}}.
$$

(4) If the system has non-vanishing solutions then  $z \in B$  otherwise  $z \notin B$ 

From above we obtain the following algorithm for computing of kernel  $k[X]^d$ . Let  $\{B\}$  be a generating set of some algebra B.

- (1)  ${B_1} = {x_0}.$
- (2) Suppose that algebra  $\{B_i\} = \{f_1, \ldots, f_r\} \bigcup \{g_1, \ldots, g_s\}$  is already calculated where  ${g_1, \ldots, g_s}$  are acceptable polynomials for  $B_i$ .
- (3) Consider a finite sets of elements of  $\tau(B_i)$  which could be an acceptable for  $B_i$ :

$$
B_i^{(m)} := \{ \tau_k(f_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots f_r^{\alpha_r} g_1^{\beta_1} \cdots g_s^{\beta_s}), \sum \alpha_q + \sum \beta_q = m, m \le n, k \le n \}
$$

- (4) By using previuos algoritm we compute a set H of acceptable polynomials of  $B_i^{(m)}$  $\binom{m}{i}$  $m \leq n$ .
- (5) If  $H = \emptyset$  then  $k[X]^d = B_i$  else  $B_{i+1} = \{f_1, \ldots, f_r\} \bigcup \{g_1, \ldots, g_s\} \bigcup H$ .

6. A CALCULATION  $k[X]^d$  for  $n < 7$ .

Denote by t the variable  $x_0$ .

**Theorem 6.1.**  $B_1 = k[t, \tau_2(t), \tau_4(t), \cdots, \tau_{2[\frac{n}{2}]}(t)], n > 2$ 

*Proof.* It is clear that an aceptable polynomials for  $B_1$  can only be any one of the following polynomials  $\tau_i(t), i \leq n$ . It is easy to check that for odd i we have  $\tau_i(t) = 0$ . To prove that the set of polynomials  $t, \tau_2(t), \tau_4(t), \cdots, \tau_{2[\frac{n}{2}]}(t)$  is the minimal generating set for subalgebra  $B_1$  it is enought to prove that there are not any linear relations for the polynomials  $t^2$ ,  $\tau_2(t), \tau_4(t), \cdots, \tau_{2[\frac{n}{2}]}(t)$ . The proof follows obviously from the fact that no two polynomials have the same orders.

The following computations were all done with Maple.

 $5.1 \text{ n} = 1.$ 

Since  $\tau_1(t) = 0$  we get  $\tau(B_1) = 0$ . Therefore, we have  $B_2 = B_1$  thus  $k[X]^d = k[t]$ .

$$
5.2\ \mathrm{n}=2.
$$

By using theorem 6.1 we have  $B_1 = k[t, dv]$  where  $dv := \tau_2(t) = tx_2 - 2x_1^2$ . Since  $\text{ord}(dv) = 0$ ; then  $B_1$  has no an acceptable elements. Therefore  $B_2 = B_1$  and  $k[X]^d = k[t, dv]$ .

 $5.3 n = 3.$ 

We have  $B_1=k[t, dv]$  where  $dv = \tau_2(t)$ . Since  $\text{ord}(dv) = 3 + 3 - 2 \cdot 2 = 2$ , we obtain

$$
B_1^{(1)} = \{\tau_1(dv), \tau_2(dv)\},
$$
  
\n
$$
B_1^{(2)} = \{\tau_3(dv^2)\}.
$$

However by a straightforward calculation we obtain  $\tau_3(dv^2)=0$  and  $\tau_2(dv)=0$ . Denote the remaining element by  $tr := \tau_1(dv)$ . By using an algorithm of the section 5 we get  $tr \notin B_1$  and thus  $B_2 = k[t, dv, tr]$ . Since ord $(tr) = 3$  we see that an acceptable elements for  $B_2$  can only be the polynomial  $c = \tau_3(tr)$ . Note ord $(c) = 0$  and  $B_2$  has no an elements of order zero. Hence  $c \notin B_2$  and  $B_3 = k[t, dv, tr, c]$ . Since ord $(c) = 0$ , then  $B_3$  has no any acceptable elements. Hense  $B_4 = B_3$  thus  $k[X]^d = k[t, dv, tr, c]$  where

$$
dv = -2 t x_2 + x_1^2,
$$
  
\n
$$
tr = -3 t x_1 x_2 + 3 t^2 x_3 + x_1^3,
$$
  
\n
$$
c = -18 t x_1 x_2 x_3 + 8 t x_2^3 + 9 x_3^2 t^2 + 6 x_1^3 x_3 - 3 x_1^2 x_2^2.
$$

 $5.4 \text{ n} = 4.$ 

 $B_1 = k[t, d_1, d_2]$ , where

$$
d_1 = \tau_2(t) \quad [d_1] = [2, 4, 4],
$$
  
\n
$$
d_2 = \tau_4(t) \quad [d_2] = [2, 0, 12].
$$

It is easy to see that for subalgebra  $B_1$  only polynomial  $\tau_i(d_1)$ ,  $i = 1..4$  can be an acceptable polynomial. By direct calculation we obtain  $\tau_2(d_1) = t d_2 \tau_3(d_1) = 0$ . Put

$$
tr_1 = \tau_1(d_1) \quad [tr_1] = [3, 6, 8],
$$
  
\n
$$
tr_2 = \tau_4(d_1) \quad [tr_2] = [3, 0, 18].
$$

#### 12 L. BEDRATYUK

The signatures of  $t^3$ ,  $t d_1$ ,  $t d_2$  are equal [3, 8, 0], [3, 8, 4], [3, 4, 12] therefore  $tr_1, tr_2$  are not in  $B_1$ , hence  $B_2 = k[t, d_1, d_2, tr_1, tr_2]$ . Since  $\text{ord}(d_2) = \text{ord}(tr_2) = 0$  we see that an acceptable elements can only be the follow elements  $\tau_i(tr_1), i = 1..4$ . Take into account  $\tau_2(tr_1) = 0, \tau_2(tr_4) = 0$  and

$$
\tau_1(tr_1) = d_2 t^2 + d_1^2,\tau_3(tr_2) = d_1 d_2 - t \cdot tr_2,
$$

we have  $\tau(B_2) \subseteq B_2$ ,  $B_3 = B_2$  and  $k[X]^d = k[t, d_1, d_2, tr_1, tr_2]$  where

$$
d_1 = -2 t x_2 + x_1^2,
$$
  
\n
$$
d_2 = -2 t x_4 + 2 x_1 x_3 - x_2^2,
$$
  
\n
$$
t r_1 = -3 t x_1 x_2 + 3 x_3 t^2 + x_1^3,
$$
  
\n
$$
t r_2 = 12 x_2 t x_4 - 9 x_3^2 t - 6 x_1^2 x_4 + 6 x_1 x_2 x_3 - 2 x_2^3.
$$

### $5.5 n = 6.$

We have  $B_2 = k[t, d_1, d_2]$  where

$$
d_1:=\tau_2(t), [d_1] = [2, 6, 2],
$$
  

$$
d_2:=\tau_4(t), [d_2] = [2, 2, 4].
$$

The following 10 polynomials can be acceptable polynomials for  $B_2$ :

$$
B_2^{(1)} = \{\tau_i(d_1), i = 1..5; \tau_i(d_2), i = 1, 2\},
$$
  
\n
$$
B_2^{(2)} = \{\tau_i(d_2^2), i = 3, 4\},
$$
  
\n
$$
B_2^{(3)} = \{\tau_5(d_2^3)\}.
$$

By direct calculation we obtain

$$
\tau_2(d_1) = -\frac{6}{5} t d_2, \quad \tau_5(d_1) = 0
$$
  
\n
$$
\tau(d_2) = 5 \tau_3(d_1) \quad \tau_2(d_2) = -\frac{5}{4} \tau_4(d_1).
$$

Make the denotations,

$$
tr_1 := \tau_4(d_1), \quad [tr_1] = [3, 3, 6],
$$
  
\n
$$
tr_2 := \tau_3(d_1), \quad [tr_2] = [3, 5, 5],
$$
  
\n
$$
tr_3 := \tau_1(d_1), \quad [tr_3] = [3, 9, 3],
$$
  
\n
$$
p_1 := \tau_4(d_2^2), \quad [p_1] = [5, 1, 12],
$$
  
\n
$$
p_2 := \tau_3(d_2^2), \quad [p_2] = [5, 3, 11],
$$
  
\n
$$
si_1 := \tau_5(d_2^3), \quad [si_1] = [7, 1, 17].
$$

Thus

$$
B_3 = k[t, d_1, d_2, tr_{1-3}, p_{1-2}, s i_1].
$$

By using an algorithm of section 5 one can show that this polynomial system is the generating set for  $B_3$  Now write down polynomials which can be acceptable for  $B_2$ :

$$
B_2^{(1)} = \{\tau_3(tr_1), \tau_5(tr_2), \tau_4(tr_3), \tau_5(tr_3)\}
$$
  
\n
$$
B_2^{(2)} = \{\tau_{4-5}(d_2tr_1), \tau_5(d_2p_2), \tau_5(tr_1^2)\}
$$
  
\n
$$
B_2^{(3)} = \{\tau_5(d_2^2p_1), \tau_5(d_2^2tr_1), \tau_5(d_2^2si_1), \tau_5(tr_1p_1si_1), \tau_5(p_1p_2si_1)\}
$$
  
\n
$$
B_2^{(4)} = \{\emptyset\}
$$

By direct calculation we obtain that the following polynomials are equal to zero :  $\tau_2(tr_1)$ ,  $\tau_5(d_2\,tr_1), \tau_5(tr_1^2), \tau_5(d_2^2\,p_1), \tau_5(tr_1\,p_1\,si_1)$ . Put

$$
c_1 := \tau_5(tr_2)
$$
  
\n
$$
c_2 := \tau_4(tr_3)
$$
  
\n
$$
c_3 := \tau_5(tr_3)
$$
  
\n
$$
c_1 = [4, 0, 10],
$$
  
\n
$$
c_2 := \tau_4(tr_3)
$$
  
\n
$$
c_3 = [4, 4, 8],
$$
  
\n
$$
c_1 = [4, 4, 8],
$$
  
\n
$$
c_2 = [4, 4, 8],
$$
  
\n
$$
c_3 = [4, 6, 7],
$$
  
\n
$$
s_1 := \tau_4(d_2 tr_1)
$$
  
\n
$$
s_1 := [6, 2, 14],
$$
  
\n
$$
v_1 := \tau_5(d_2 pr_2)
$$
  
\n
$$
[v_1] = [8, 0, 20],
$$
  
\n
$$
v_2 := \tau_5(d_2 tr_1)
$$
  
\n
$$
[sv_1] = [8, 2, 19]
$$
  
\n
$$
dv := \tau_5(dv_2'si_1)
$$
  
\n
$$
[dv] = [12, 0, 30]
$$
  
\n
$$
vis := \tau_5(p_1 p_2 si_1)
$$
  
\n
$$
[vis] = [18, 0, 45].
$$

Thus

$$
B_3 = k[t, d_1, d_2, tr_1, tr_2, tr_3, c_1, c_2, c_3, p_1, p_2, s_1, si_1, v_1, v_2, dv, vis]
$$

The following polynomials are acceptable for  $B_3$ :

$$
p_3 := \tau_2(c_3) \qquad [p_3] = [5, 7, 9],
$$
  
\n
$$
s_2 := \tau_1(p_1) \qquad [s_2] = [6, 4, 13],
$$
  
\n
$$
s_i_2 := \tau_1(s_1) \qquad [si_2] = [7, 5, 15],
$$
  
\n
$$
dev := \tau_2(v_2) \qquad [dev] = [9, 3, 21],
$$
  
\n
$$
od := \tau_5(s_1 d_2^2) \qquad [od] = [11, 1, 27],
$$
  
\n
$$
trn := \tau_5(v_2 d_2^2) \qquad [trn] = [13, 1, 32].
$$

As above we can show

$$
B_4 = k[t, d_{1-2}, tr_{1-3}, c_{1-3}, p_{1-3}, s_{1-2}, si_{1-2}, v_{1-2}, dev, od, dv, trn, vis],
$$

Similarly we obtain  $\tau(B_4) \subset B_4$  hence the indicated polynomial set of 23 polynomials is a minimal generating set for  $k[X]^d$ .

**5.6 n = 6.** We have  $B_2 = k[t, d_1, d_2, d_3]$  where

$$
d_1 := \tau_6(t) \quad [p_3] = [2, 0, 6],
$$
  
\n
$$
d_2 := \tau_4(t) \quad [s_2] = [2, 4, 4],
$$
  
\n
$$
d_3 := \tau_2(t) \quad [si_2] = [2, 8, 2],
$$

Further  $B_3 = k[t, d_{1-3}, tr_{1-4}, p_{1-2}]$  where

$$
tr_1 := \tau_6(d_3) \quad [p_3] = [3, 2, 8],
$$
  
\n
$$
tr_2 := \tau_4(d_3) \quad [s_2] = [3, 6, 6],
$$
  
\n
$$
tr_3 := \tau_3(d_3) \quad [si_2] = [3, 8, 6],
$$
  
\n
$$
tr_4 := \tau_1(d_3) \quad [si_2] = [3, 12, 3],
$$
  
\n
$$
p_1 := \tau_6(d_2^2) \quad [p_1] = [5, 2, 14],
$$
  
\n
$$
p_2 := \tau_5(d_2^2) \quad [p_1] = [5, 4, 13].
$$

In the same way we obtain  $B_4 = k[t, d_{1-3}, tr_{1-4}, c_{1-4}, p_{1-2}, s_{1-3}, si_{1-2}, vi, dev, de_{1-2}, dvan]$  where



Acceptable polynomials for  $B_3$  are only these two polynomials :

$$
p_3 := \tau_4(c_4) \qquad [p_3] = [5, 8, 11],
$$
  

$$
pt := \tau_6(si_1 si_2) \quad [pt] = [15, 0, 45].
$$

The polynomial pt has degree 15 order 0 and consist of 1370 terms. A weight of pt is an odd number whereas the weights of all other generating polynomials of weight zero are even numbers. Therefore *pt* is irreducible. We can show that

$$
B_4 = k[t, d_{1-3}, tr_{1-4}, c_{1-4}, p_{1-3}, s_{1-3}, s_{1-2}, vi, dev, de_{1-2}, dvan, pt].
$$

As above we may obtain  $\tau(B_4) \subset B_4$  hence the indicated polynomial set of 26 polynomials is a minimal generating set for  $k[X]^d$ .

## Reference

- 1. A. Nowicki. Polynomial derivation and their Ring of Constants. UMK, Torun,1994.
- 2. A. Nowicki. The fourteenth problem of Hilbert for polynomial derivations.Differential Galois theory. Proceedings of the workshop, Bedlewo, Poland, May 28-June 1, 2001. Warsaw: Polish Academy of Sciences, Institute of Mathematics, Banach Cent. Publ. 58, 177-188, 2002.
- 3. A. van den Essen. Polynomial automorphisms and the Jacobian conjecture. Progress in Mathematics (Boston, Mass.). 190. Basel.2000.
- 4. L.P. Bedratyuk. Symmetrical invariants of modular Lie algebras. Ph.D thesis (Russian), - Moscow state university, 1995.
- 5. A. Cerezo. Tables des invariants alg´ebriques et rationnels d'une matrice nilpotente de petite dimension. Prépublications Mathématiques, Université de Nice, 146, 1987.
- 6. R. Weitzenböck Uber die Invarianten von linearen Gruppen. Acta Math. 58, 231-293, 1932.

Khmelnitskiy national university Insituts'ka st., 11, Khmelnitskiy, 29016, Ukraine E-mail address: bedratyuk@ief.tup.km.ua