A SHORT PROOF OF BING'S CHARACTERIZATION OFS³

YO'AV RIECK

ABSTRACT. We give a short proof of Bing's characterization of S^3 : a compact, connected 3-manifold M is S^3 if and only if every knot in M is isotopic into a ball.

Let M be a closed orientable 3-manifold. We assume familiarity with the basic notions of irreducible and prime 3-manifolds (see, *e.g.*, [3] or [4]) and the basic results about Heegaard splittings of compact 3-manifolds (see, *e.g.*, [7]). By genus we always mean Heegaard genus. A knot $k \subset M$ (that is, a smooth embedding of the circle into M) is called *irreducible* if its exterior $E(k) = M \setminus N(k)$ is an irreducible 3-manifold. In his own words, Bing's Theorem [1, Theorem 1] is:

Theorem 1 (Bing). A compact, connected 3-manifold M is topologically S^3 if each simple closed curve in M lies in a topological cube in M.

By "topological cube" Bing meant what we usually call a ball. Clearly, any knot in S^3 is contained in a ball. If a knot k in a manifold $M \not\cong S^3$ is contained in a ball (say B) then by considering the boundary of B we see that k is not irreducible. Thus, Theorem 1 follows from:

Theorem 2. Any compact, connected 3-manifold admits an irreducible knot.

In [5, Theorem 8.1] Jaco and Rubinstein gave a very short proof of Theorem 1 for irreducible manifolds, but their proof relies on the existence of 0-efficient triangulations. The purpose of this note is giving a short, elementary proof of Theorem 1. **Acknowledgement.** I would like to thank the referee for a report that helped make this proof clearer (albeit longer).

1. The proof

We prove Theorem 2; as remarked above Theorem 1 follows.

Case One: M is prime. First, when M has genus at most one, let k be a knot on a Heegaard torus (in M) with E(k) a Seifert fibered space over the disk with 2 exceptional fibers, which is irreducible.

Second, when M has genus two or more, then $M \not\cong S^2 \times S^1$ and hence is irreducible. Let $M = V_1 \cup_{\Sigma} V_2$ be a minimal genus Heegaard splitting of M. By Waldhausen [8] (see also [7, Theorem 3.8]) Σ is irreducible. Let k be a core of a 1-handle in V_1 . Then Σ is an irreducible Heegaard surface for E(k); Haken [2] (see also [7, Theorem 3.4]) showed that every Heegaard splitting of a reducible manifold is reducible; hence, E(k) is irreducible.

Date: December 21, 2005.

²⁰⁰⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 57M40, secondary 57N12.

YO'AV RIECK

Remark 3. In Case One, $\partial E(k)$ is incompressible. For manifolds of genus one or less this is so by construction of k. For manifold of genus two or more, if $\partial E(k)$ compressed then (since E(k) is irreducible) E(k) would be a solid torus; but that implies M has genus at most one, contradiction.

Case Two: M is composite. By Kneser [6] M has a prime decomposition as $M \cong M_1 \# \cdots \# M_n$ with M_i prime $(i = 1, \ldots, n)$. Let $k_i \subset M_i$ be the knot obtained in Case One, let $k = \#_{i=1}^n k_i \subset M$ be their connected sum, and let $\mathcal{A} \subset E(k)$ be a collection of annuli that decomposes k into its summands, that is, the components of E(k) cut open along \mathcal{A} are homeomorphic to $E(k_i)$ $(i = 1, \ldots, n)$.

Let S be a sphere in E(k), we will prove that S bounds a ball. By isotopy of S, minimize $S \cap A$. Assume that $S \cap A \neq \emptyset$. Since $\chi(S) = 2$, S cut open along A has disk components, and let D be such a disk. Then D is contained is some component of E(k) cut open along A (which is homeomorphic to $E(k_i)$, for some i). By Remark 3, $\partial E(k_i)$ is incompressible and hence D is boundary parallel, contradicting the minimality assumption. Hence $S \cap A = \emptyset$, and S is contained in a component of E(k) cut open along A. By the construction in Case One S bounds a ball. Thus every sphere in E(k) bounds a ball and k is an irreducible knot, completing the proof of Theorems 2 and 1.

References

- R. H. Bing, Necessary and sufficient conditions that a 3-manifold be S³, Ann. of Math. (2) 68 (1958), 17–37.
- [2] Wolfgang Haken, Some results on surfaces in 3-manifolds, Studies in Modern Topology, Math. Assoc. Amer. (distributed by Prentice-Hall, Englewood Cliffs, N.J.), 1968, pp. 39–98.
- [3] John Hempel, 3-Manifolds, Princeton University Press, Princeton, N. J., 1976, Ann. of Math. Studies, No. 86.
- [4] William Jaco, Lectures on three-manifold topology, CBMS Regional Conference Series in Mathematics, vol. 43, American Mathematical Society, Providence, R.I., 1980.
- [5] William Jaco and J. Hyam Rubinstein, 0-efficient triangulations of 3-manifolds, J. Differential Geom. 65 (2003), no. 1, 61–168.
- [6] H. Kneser, Geschlossene flächen in dreidimensionalen Mannigfaltigkeiten, Jahresbericht der Deut. Math. Verein. 38 (1929), 248–260.
- [7] Martin Scharlemann, Heegaard splittings of 3-manifolds, Low dimensional topology, New Stud. Adv. Math., vol. 3, Int. Press, Somerville, MA, 2003, pp. 25–39.
- [8] Friedhelm Waldhausen, Heegaard-Zerlegungen der 3-Sphäre, Topology 7 (1968), 195–203.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, 301 SCEN, UNIVERSITY OF ARKANSAS, FAYETTEVILLE, AR72701

E-mail address: yoav@uark.edu