

THE INDEFINITE METRIC OF R. M. RUGALA AND THE
GEOMETRY OF THE THERMODYNAMICAL PHASE SPACE

SERGE PRESTON AND JAMES VARGO

Abstract. We study the indefinite metric G in the contact phase space $(P; \eta)$ of a homogeneous thermodynamical system introduced by R. M. Rugala. We calculate the curvature tensor, Killing vector fields, second fundamental form of Legendre submanifolds of P - constitutive surfaces of different homogeneous thermodynamical systems. We established an isomorphism of the space $(P; \eta; G)$ with the Heisenberg Lie group H_n endowed with the right invariant contact structure and the right invariant indefinite metric. The lift \tilde{G} of the metric G to the symplectization \tilde{P} of contact space $(P; \eta)$, its curvature properties, and its Killing vector fields are studied. Finally we introduce the "hyperbolic projectivization" of the space $(\tilde{P}; \tilde{\eta}; \tilde{G})$ that can be considered as the natural compactification of the thermodynamical phase space $(P; \eta; G)$:

1

1. Introduction.

Geometrical methods in the study of homogeneous thermodynamical systems pioneered by J.G. Gibbs ([9]) and C. Carathéodory ([7]). They were further developed in the works of R. Hermann ([12]), R. M. Rugala, P. Salamon and their collaborators, in the dissertations of H. Heemeyer ([11]) and L. Benayoun ([3]) to mention just a few. Thermodynamical metrics (TD-metrics) in the form of the Hessian of a thermodynamical potential were explicitly introduced by F. Weinhöld ([34]) and, from a different point of view, by G. Ruppeiner ([28]).

Deeper studies by P. Salamon and his collaborators, by P. M. Rugala and H. Janyszek (see [4, 14, 15, 19, 21, 20, 23, 32, 30, 31], esp. review papers [8, 22, 29]) clarified principal properties of thermodynamical metrics, relations between different TD-metrics, and their relations to the contact structure of equilibrium thermodynamical phase space.

G. Ruppeiner (see [28] and the review [29]) has developed a covariant thermodynamical fluctuation theory based on the Riemannian metrics g_s defined by the second moments of entropy with respect to the fluctuations and related the curvature of this metric to the correlational volume near the critical point.

In his work [21] (see also the review paper [22]) R. M. Rugala introduced the pseudo-Riemannian (indefinite) metric G of signature $(n+1; n)$ in the thermodynamical contact space $(P; \eta)$ inducing TD-metrics on the constitutive surfaces defined by different thermodynamical potentials (Weinhöld metric for the internal energy and Ruppeiner metric for the entropy).

In the present work we study geometrical properties of the metric G and its relation to the contact structure of the thermodynamical phase space (TPS) $(P; \eta)$.

¹ 2000 Primary: 53C 50; Secondary: 53D 10, 74A 15

In Sec.2 we introduce the (model) thermodynamical phase space P with its contact structure. In Section 3 we represent $TPSP$ as the 1-jet space of the trivial line bundle (Gibbs space) over the manifold X of extensive variables of TPS . This allows us to define Legendre submanifolds (constitutive surfaces of different thermodynamical systems with given $TPSP$) corresponding to a thermodynamical potential $\in C^1(X)$, in their canonical representation (see [1]).

In Section 4 we recall the definitions of the Weinhold and Ruppeiner metrics.

In Section 5 we introduce the indefinite Murgala metric G , a canonical non-holonomic frame $(X_i; P_j; \dots)$. Then we show the compatibility of the metric G with the contact structure in a sense that is natural, though different from the conventional definition used for Riemannian metrics (see [5]).

In Section 6 we determine the Levi-Civita connection of the metric G and work out the formulas (6.4) for covariant derivatives of the vector fields of the frame $(X_i; P_j; \dots)$:

In Section 7 we find the Ricci tensor, scalar curvature $R(G) = \frac{n}{2}$ of metric G , and the curvature transformation $R(X; Y)$ in terms of the frame $(X_i; P_j; \dots)$:

In Section 8 we determine the sectional curvatures of the planes generated by couples of vectors of the frame $(\partial_{p_i}; \partial_{x^k}; \dots = \partial_{x^0})$: These curvatures (whenever they are defined) are all zero except for the plane $P_i \wedge \partial_{x^i}$ which has curvature $\frac{3}{4}$.

In Section 9 the Lie algebra iso_G of Killing vectors of metric G is determined. It is shown that iso_G is the Lie algebra $gl(n; R) \oplus h_n$ - the semidirect product of the linear Lie algebra $gl(n; R)$ embedded into the symplectic Lie algebra $sp(n; R)$ (with generators $fQ_1^k = p_1 \partial_{p_1} \dots x^k \partial_{x^k} g$) and of the Heisenberg Lie algebra h_n with generators $f = \partial_{x^0}; A_i = \partial_{p_i} + x^i \partial_{x^0}; B_j = \partial_{x^j} g$ and commutative relations (9.3-4).

In Section 10 we calculate the second fundamental form of constitutive surfaces (Legendre submanifolds) of contact manifold $(P; \dots)$.

In Sec.11 the constitutive hypersurface $x^0 + \sum_i p_i x^i = 0$, defined by the homogeneity condition of thermodynamical potentials (see [6]) is introduced and studied.

In Section 12 we establish the isomorphism of the $TPS(P; \dots; G)$ with the Heisenberg group H_n endowed with the right invariant contact structure and right invariant indefinite metric. More specially, we prove the following

Theorem 1. The diffeomorphism defined by

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & a & z & z \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & a \end{pmatrix} : g = \partial_0 \oplus I_n \oplus b^A \oplus m = \partial_0 \oplus b^A \oplus a :$$

determines an isomorphism of the "thermodynamical metric contact manifold" $(P; \dots; G)$ with the Heisenberg group H_n endowed with the right invariant contact form η_H and the right invariant metric G_H of signature $(n+1; n)$:

In Part II of the paper we study the symplectization $(P^*; \tilde{\eta}; \tilde{G})$ of the contact manifold $(P; \dots; G)$ with the indefinite metric G . We lift G to the indefinite (of signature $(n+1, n+1)$) metric \tilde{G} , calculate the Levi-Civita connection, the Ricci tensor, and the scalar curvature $R(\tilde{G}) = (n+1)(n+2)$ of \tilde{G} . We get, in particular, that $Ric(\tilde{G}) = \frac{n+2}{2} \tilde{G}$, i.e. \tilde{G} is an indefinite (pseudo-Riemannian) Einstein metric.

In Section 16 and in the Appendix we determine the Lie algebra $iso_{\tilde{G}}$ of the Killing vector fields of metric \tilde{G} . We prove that $iso_{\tilde{G}} \cong sl(n+2; R)$.

In Section 19 we show that the contact metric space $(P; \cdot; \cdot)$ endowed with the almost contact structure given by the (1,1)-tensor (see [21])

$$= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & & & 1 \\ 0 & p_1 & \dots & p_n & 0 \\ 0 & & 0_n & & I_n A \\ 0 & & & I_n & 0_n \end{pmatrix}$$

is a Sasakian metric in that the almost complex structure J defined on the space P by the formula

$$J(X; f @_{p_0}) = (X) f ; (X)) @_0$$

for any function $f \in C^1(P)$ is integrable.

In Section 20 we construct the symplectomorphism of the "positive quadrant"

$$(P_+ = \{f(p_i; x_i) \mid p_i > 0; d\tilde{\cdot}\})$$

of the manifold $(P; \tilde{\cdot})$ is isomorphic to the product $\prod_{i=0}^n A_1^i$ of $(n+1)$ copies of the affine group

$$A_1 = \begin{pmatrix} e^t & c \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}; c \in \mathbb{R}, g$$

endowed with the symplectic structure generated by the right invariant 1-form $\sum_{i=0}^n (dz_i - z_i h_i^{-1} dh_i)$ on the Lie group $\prod_{i=0}^n A_1^i$.

Finally, in Section 21 we define the "hyperbolic projectivization" $(\hat{P} \times P_{2n+1}(\mathbb{R}); \hat{G})$ of the space $(P; \tilde{\cdot}; G)$ - a natural compactification of the TPS space $(P; \cdot; G)$: This projectivization will be used for the study of geometrical properties of thermodynamical systems in the continuation of this work.

Part I.

2. The contact structure of homogeneous thermodynamics.

A phase space of the Homogeneous Thermodynamics (thermodynamical phase space, or TPS) is the $(2n+1)$ -dimensional vector space $P = \mathbb{R}^{2n+1}$ endowed with the standard contact structure ([2, 12])

$$= dx^0 + \sum_{i=1}^n p_i dx^i; \tag{2.1}$$

The horizontal distribution of this structure is generated by two families of vector fields: $P_i; X_i$

$$D_m = \langle P_i = @_{p_i}; X_i = @_{x^i} - p_i @_{x^0} \rangle :$$

The 2-form

$$\omega = d \sum_{i=1}^n p_i \wedge dx^i$$

is a nondegenerate, symplectic form on the distribution D .

The Reeb vector field, uniquely defined as the generator of $\ker(d\theta)$ satisfying $\theta(\xi) = 1$, is simply

$$\xi = \frac{\partial}{\partial x^0}.$$

3. Gibbs space. Legendre surfaces of equilibrium.

Constitutive surfaces of concrete thermodynamical systems are determined by their "constitutive equations", which, in their fundamental form determine the value of a thermodynamical potential $x^0 = E(x^i)$ as the function of n extensive variables x^i . Dual intensive variables are determined then as the partial derivatives of the thermodynamical potential by the extensive variables: $p_i = \frac{\partial E}{\partial x^i}$.

Thus, a constitutive surface represents the Legendre submanifold (maximal integral submanifold) E of the contact form projecting diffeomorphically to the space X of variables x^i . Space Y of variables $x^0, x^i; i = 1, \dots, n$ is, sometimes, named the Gibbs space of the thermodynamical potential $E(x^i)$. Thermodynamical phase space $(P; \theta)$ (or, more precisely, its open subset) appears as the first jet space $J^1(Y \rightarrow X)$ of the (trivial) line bundle $\theta: Y \rightarrow X$. Projection of E to the Gibbs space Y is the graph E of the constitutive law $E = E(x^i)$.

Another choice of the thermodynamical potential together with the n -tuple of extensive variables leads to another representation of an open subset of TPS P as the 1-jet bundle of the corresponding Gibbs space. It is known that any Legendre submanifold of a contact form can locally be presented in this form for some choice of the set of extensive variables and of thermodynamical potential as the function of these variables.

We will be using a local description of Legendre submanifolds which takes a slightly different form. Let P^{2n+1} be a contact manifold. The following result characterizes (locally) all Legendre submanifolds (V.I.A. mold, [1, 2]).

Choose (local) Darboux coordinates $(x^0, x^i; p_j)$ in which $\theta = dx^0 + p_k dx^k$. Let $I; J$ be a partition of the set of indices $1; \dots; n$, and consider any function $(p_i; x^j); i \in I; j \in J$. Then the following equations define a Legendre submanifold:

$$\begin{cases} x^0 = \sum_{i \in I} p_i \frac{\partial}{\partial p_i}; \\ p_j = \frac{\partial}{\partial x^j}; j \in J; \\ x^i = \frac{\partial}{\partial p_i}; i \in I; \end{cases} \quad (3.1)$$

Moreover, every Legendre submanifold is locally given by some choice of a splitting $I; J$ and of a function $(p_i; i \in I; x^j; j \in J)$.

In physics, the most commonly used thermodynamical potentials are: internal energy, entropy, free energy of Helmholtz, enthalpy and the free Gibbs energy.

On the intersection of the domains of these representations, corresponding points are related by a Legendre transformations (see [1]).

Example 1. As an example of such a thermodynamical system, consider the van der Waals gas - a system with two thermodynamical degrees of freedom. Space P is 5-dimensional (for 1 mole of gas) with the canonical variables $(U; (T; S); (p; V))$ (internal energy, temperature, entropy, pressure, volume), the contact form

$$\theta = dU - T dS + p dV;$$

and the fundamental constitutive law

$$U(S;V) = (V - b)^{\frac{R}{c_v}} e^{\frac{S}{c_v}} \frac{a}{V};$$

where R is the R idberg constant, c_v is the heat capacity at constant volume, a, b are parameters of the gas reflecting the interaction between molecules and the part of volume occupied by molecules respectively.

4. Thermodynamical metrics of W einhold and Ruppeiner.

A thermodynamical metric g_U (the W einhold metric) in the space X of extensive variables corresponding to the choice of internal energy U as the thermodynamical potential E was explicitly introduced by F W einhold (see [34]) as the Hessian of the internal energy $U(x^i)$

$$g_{U \ ij} = \frac{\partial^2 U}{\partial x^i \partial x^j}; \tag{4.1}$$

G .Ruppeiner's metric g_S corresponding to the choice of entropy S as the thermodynamical potential E was defined by the same formula and intensively studied by Ruppeiner ([28]) in the framework of the fluctuational theory of thermodynamical systems.

Interest in these metrics is partly due to the fact that the definiteness of g_U (positive or negative) at a point $x \in X$ of the constitutive surface delivers the local criteria of stability of the equilibria given by the corresponding point of the surface Σ_E or Σ_S (see [6]).

Later both of these metrics were studied by P .Salomon and his collaborators and by R .M rugala and H .Janyszek (see ref.). Geometrical properties of these metrics were studied for TD systems with small degree of freedom (small n). An interesting and important in applications meaning was assigned to the length of curves ("processes") in the space X ([8, 30, 31]). It was suggested that the curvature of these metrics is related to the interactions in the (microscopical) system, and that singularities of the scalar curvature of these metrics were related to the properties of system near the phase transition and the triple point of the thermodynamical system ([28, 29]).

5. Indefinite thermodynamical metric G of R .M rugala.

In the paper [21], R .M rugala defined a pseudo-Riemannian (indefinite) metric G of signature $(n + 1; n)$ in the thermodynamical contact space $(P; \cdot)$: It is given by the formula:

$$G = 2dp_k \ dx^k + \dots; \tag{5.1}$$

where $dp_k \ dx^k = \frac{1}{2} (dp_k \ dx^k + dx^k \ dp_k)$ is the symmetrical product of 1-forms.

Its physical motivation is two-fold. First, it was derived by means of statistical mechanics. Second, its reduction to the Legendre submanifolds corresponding to the choice of entropy or internal energy as the TD potential coincides with the previously studied Ruppeiner and W einhold metrics. Indeed, let $\Sigma = S$ or U , and form the Legendre submanifold of the following type:

$$x^0 = (x^1; \dots; x^n) \quad p_k = \frac{\partial}{\partial x^k};$$

In the coordinates f, x^k, g , the restriction of G to Σ has components

$$g_{ij} = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^i \partial x^j} :$$

In coordinates $x^0; p_s; x^i$, metric G is given by the following matrix:

$$G = (G_{ij}) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & & & 1 \\ & 1 & 0 & p_j \\ & 0 & 0 & I_n A \\ & p_i & I_n & p_i p_j \end{pmatrix} : \quad (5.2)$$

It is easy to see that

$$\det(G) = (-1)^n ; \quad (5.3)$$

therefore metric G is non-degenerate.

The inverse (covariant) metric to G is given by

$$G^{-1} = (G^{ij}) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & & & 1 \\ & 1 & p_j & 0 \\ & p_i & 0 & I_n A \\ & 0 & I_n & 0 \end{pmatrix} : \quad (5.4)$$

5.1. Non-holonomic frame $(; P_i; X_i)$. It is convenient to introduce the following non-holonomic frame of the tangent bundle $T(P)$ (see [16])

$$= \partial_{x^0}; P_1 = \partial_{p_1}; X_i = \partial_{x^i} - p_i \partial_{x^0} \quad (5.5)$$

whose only nonzero commutator relation is

$$[P_i; X_j] = \delta_{ij} :$$

In this frame the metric G takes the simple form

$$G = (G_{ij}) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & & & 1 \\ & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ & 0 & 0 & I_n A \\ & 0 & I_n & 0 \end{pmatrix} : \quad (5.6)$$

The dual coframe of the frame (5.5) is given by

$$(\; dp_1; dx^i) : \quad (5.7)$$

Using the frame (5.5) one can easily find positive and negative sub-bundles of tangent bundle $T(P)$ - they are generated, correspondingly, by the tangent vectors

$$T_+ = \langle \partial_{x^0}; \frac{1}{2}(\partial_{p_1} + \partial_{x^1} - p_1 \partial_{x^0}) \rangle ; T_- = \langle \frac{1}{2}(\partial_{p_1} - \partial_{x^1} + p_1 \partial_{x^0}) \rangle : \quad (5.8)$$

In this basis, metric G takes the standard indefinite form

$$G = (G_{ij}) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & & & 1 \\ & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ & 0 & I_n & 0 \\ & 0 & 0 & I_n \end{pmatrix} A : \quad (5.9)$$

In terms of this frame the light cone at each point $m \in P$ is given by the standard quadric, i.e. $X = f^2 + g^k P_k + h^s X_s$ belongs to the light cone at a point m if and only if

$$f^2 + 2 \sum_k^X g^k h^k = 0 :$$

5.2. Compatibility of contact structure and metric G . The notion of compatibility between an almost contact structure and a Riemannian metric that was introduced by Sasaki has become a classical notion (see [5]). We recall here that an almost contact structure on a manifold M^{2n+1} is defined by a triple $(\phi; \xi; \eta)$ of a 1-form η , a vector field ξ and a (1,1)-tensor field ϕ satisfying the conditions

$$\phi^2 = -I + \xi \otimes \eta; \quad \eta(\xi) = 1; \quad (5.10)$$

From these properties the subsequent relations follow

$$\eta(\phi X) = 0; \quad \phi \xi = 0; \quad \text{rank}(\phi) = 2n;$$

A Riemannian metric g is said to be compatible with the almost contact structure $(\phi; \xi; \eta)$ (or associated with it) if

$$g(\phi X; \phi Y) = g(X; Y) - \eta(X)\eta(Y) \quad (5.11)$$

for all tangent vectors $X; Y$ at all points $m \in M$ (see [5]).

It is known that any almost contact structure admits a (far from being unique) compatible Riemannian metric, [5].

For a contact manifold (M, η) the condition of compatibility above is equivalent to the following two conditions taken together: 1) on the contact distribution $D = \ker(\eta)$ is a g -orthogonal transformation, and 2) η is g -orthogonal to D .

In a case of an indefinite metric g on $(M^{2n+1}; \eta)$, the compatibility condition (5.11) should be modified if we would like to incorporate even the most simple indefinite metric

$$g = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & & & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} A$$

defined in standard 3D contact space $(\mathbb{R}^3; x^0; p_1; x^1)$ with the contact form $\eta = dx^0 + p_1 dx^1$. It is sufficient to check the condition for two basic horizontal vectors $X = \partial_{x^1} - p_1 \partial_{x^0}; P = \partial_{p_1}$:

We have $g(X; Y) = 1$ and $\eta(X) = 0; \eta(P) = 1$, so that $g(\phi X; \phi Y) = 1$. Thus, condition (5.11) is not fulfilled.

For the Murgala metric, the (1,1)-tensor ϕ of the associated almost contact structure has the form (see [21])

$$\phi = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & & & 1 \\ 0 & p_1; \dots; p_n & & 0 \\ 0 & & 0_n & I_n A \\ 0 & & I_n & 0_n \end{pmatrix}; \quad (5.12)$$

We have

$$\eta(\phi X_i) = 0; \quad \eta(X_i) = p_i; \quad \eta(P_k) = X_k$$

and

$$G(\phi X_i; \phi P_j) = G(P_i; X_j) = \delta_{ij};$$

while $G(X_i; P_j) = \delta_{ij}$. Thus, the Murgala metric does not satisfy the conventional compatibility condition with the contact structure.

In the forthcoming paper [27] we analyze the situation of an indefinite metric defined on a contact manifold and suggest a definition of compatibility suited

for indefinite metrics of arbitrary signature. In particular, for the standard 3D metric above and for the Minkowski metric G this definition reads as

$$g(X; Y) = g(X; Y) - (X^0)(Y^0); \quad (5.13)$$

which is satisfied by both of these metrics.

6. Levi-Civita Connection.

In this section, we will compute the Christoffel connection coefficients and the covariant derivatives of vector fields of the frame (5.5) with respect to the vector fields of the same frame.

For calculation of the Christoffel coefficients we define combinations

$$f^i_j; g = G^i_j; + G^i_j; - G^i_j;$$

symmetrical by ().

With this notation, the formula for the connection coefficients is ([17])

$$\Gamma^i_{jk} = \frac{1}{2} G^{il} (f^l_j; + f^l_k; - f^l_{jk});$$

First, note that the only nonzero derivatives of the metric G are given by:

$$G_{x^i 0; p_j} = G_{0x^i; p_j} = \delta_{ij}; G_{x^i x^j; p_k} = \delta_{ik} p_j + \delta_{jk} p_i;$$

This implies that the only nonzero combinations $f^i_j; g$ are the following ones:

$$f^0_{x^i; p_j} g = \delta_{ij}; f^0_{p_j}; x^i g = \delta_{ij}; f^i_{x^j; p_k} g = \delta_{ij} p_k + \delta_{jk} p_i;$$

$$f^i_{x^j x^k}; p_l g = (\delta_{ik} p_j + \delta_{jk} p_i); f^i_{x^j}; x^k g = \delta_{ij} p_k + \delta_{jk} p_i;$$

Now we will calculate the connection coefficients.

For any i , $\Gamma^0_{00} = 0$.

Next, $\Gamma^0_{00} = \frac{1}{2} p_k f^0_{p_k}; g$. And this quantity is 0 unless $k = x^i$, in which case, we obtain $\Gamma^0_{0x^i} = \frac{1}{2} p_i$.

In the next case, we consider $\Gamma^0_{00} \neq 0$ and $\Gamma^0_{00} \neq 0$

$$\Gamma^0_{00} = \frac{1}{2} [f^0_{p_k}; g + f^0_{p_k}; g - f^0_{p_k}; g];$$

So we obtain:

$$\Gamma^0_{x^i p_j} = \frac{1}{2} \delta_{ij};$$

$$\Gamma^0_{x^i x^j} = \frac{1}{2} p_k (\delta_{ik} p_j + \delta_{jk} p_i) = p_i p_j;$$

$$\Gamma^0_{p_i p_j} = 0;$$

The next case to consider is when $\Gamma^0_{00} = 0$, and the other indices are nonzero. Then we have:

$$\Gamma^0_{00} = \frac{1}{2} [G^{p_k} f^0_{p_k}; g + G^{x^i} f^0_{x^i}; g];$$

If $k = p_i$, then we get $\frac{1}{2} \delta_{ij} f^0_{p_i}; g = \frac{1}{2} f^0_{p_i}; g; x^i g$. This is $\frac{1}{2} \delta_{ij}$ if $k = p_j$, and is zero otherwise.

If $k = x^i$, then we get $\frac{1}{2} \delta_{ik} f^0_{p_k}; g = \frac{1}{2} f^0_{p_k}; g; x^i g$. If $k = x^j$, then this is equal to $\frac{1}{2} \delta_{ij}$; 0 otherwise it is zero.

The next case is when the upper index is p_i , and the lower indices are nonzero.

$$p_i = \frac{1}{2} [p_i f_{;0} g + f_{;i} g]:$$

The only nonzero term comes when $= x^j p_k$: So we obtain:

$$p_i_{x^j p_k} = \frac{1}{2} [p_i^j_{;k} + p_i^j_{;k} p_i + p_i^j_{;k} p_j] = \frac{1}{2} p_i^j_{;k} p_j:$$

The final case is the one in which the upper index is x^i and the lower indices are nonzero.

$$x^i = \frac{1}{2} f_{;i} p g$$

This is only nonzero if $= x^j x^k$, and in this case, we get

$$x^i_{x^j x^k} = \frac{1}{2} [p_i^j_{;k} + p_i^j_{;k} p_j]:$$

To summarize, the nonzero Christoffel coefficients are given by:

$$\begin{aligned} 0_{0x^i} &= \frac{1}{2} p_i; & 0_{x^i p_j} &= \frac{1}{2} p_j^i; & 0_{x^i x^j} &= p_i p_j; & p_i_{0p_j} &= \frac{1}{2} p_j^i; & x^i_{0x^j} &= \frac{1}{2} p_j^i; \\ p_i_{x^j p_k} &= \frac{1}{2} p_i^j_{;k} p_j; & x^i_{x^j x^k} &= \frac{1}{2} [p_i^j_{;k} + p_i^j_{;k} p_j] : \end{aligned} \quad (6.1)$$

Finally, we calculate the trace 1-form of the connection (see [26]), whose components in the coordinate coframe $dx^j; dp_j$ are given by $=$:

$$0 = p_i_{0p_i} + x^i_{0x^i} = \frac{1}{2} p_i^i + \frac{1}{2} p_i^i = 0$$

$$p_j = 0_{p_j 0} + x^i_{p_j x^i} + p_i_{p_j p_i} = 0$$

$$x^j = 0_{x^j 0} + p_i_{x^j p_i} + x^i_{x^j x^i} = \frac{1}{2} p_j^i + \frac{1}{2} p_j^i + \frac{1}{2} p_j^i + p_i^i = 0$$

So in the coframe $; dx^j; dp_j$, $= 0$ (recall that the form depends on the choice of a coordinate system - in other coordinates, the form differs from zero by the differential df of a function (see [26]).

It is helpful for the future calculations to point out that the covariant derivative of the connection takes a particularly simple form when expressed in the non-holonomic basis:

$$= \mathcal{L}_X \mathcal{L}_i = \mathcal{L}_{p_i} X_i = \mathcal{L}_{x^i} p_i;$$

defined above.

Let G be any pseudo-Riemannian metric. Then, for any vector fields $X; Y; Z$, the Levi-Civita connection of metric G satisfies:

$$Y G(X; Z) = G(r_Y X; Z) + G(X; r_Y Z);$$

$$Z G(X; Y) = G(r_Z X; Y) + G(X; r_Z Y);$$

$$X G(Y; Z) = G(r_X Y; Z) + G(Y; r_X Z):$$

If we add the first two equations and subtract the third, the result is:

$$2G(X; r_Y Z) = YG(X; Z) + ZG(X; Y) - XG(Y; Z) + G(Y; [X; Z]) + G(Z; [X; Y]) + G(X; [Y; Z]): \quad (6.2)$$

Here we have used the fact that the Levi-Civita connection is symmetric; that is, $r_X Y = r_Y X = [X; Y]$ for all X, Y .

In taking X, Y, Z from the vectors of the frame $\{e_i; P_i; X_j\}$, scalar products $G(X; Y); G(Y; Z); G(Z; X)$ will all be constant; therefore, the first three terms on the right side of (6.2) will vanish, leaving:

$$2G(X; r_Y Z) = G(Y; [X; Z]) + G(Z; [X; Y]) + G(X; [Y; Z]): \quad (6.3)$$

Among the basic vectors, the only pair of vectors with non-zero Lie-bracket is $[P_i; X_j] = \frac{1}{2} \delta_{ij}$. It follows that if we substitute basic vectors into the equation above, the right side will equal zero unless two of the vectors are P_i and X_j , respectively. Since their bracket is proportional to δ_{ij} , which is orthogonal to the contact distribution, the third vector must be X_k . In particular, we immediately obtain the following relations:

$$r_{X_i} X_j = 0; r_{P_i} P_j = 0; r_{X_i} X_j = 0:$$

Additionally, we see that the only nonzero component of $r_{P_i} X_j$ is the δ_{ij} component, which is found by:

$$2G(P_i; r_{P_i} X_j) = G(\delta_{ij}) = \delta_{ij}:$$

Therefore, $r_{P_i} X_j = \frac{1}{2} \delta_{ij}$. Note that interchanging P_i with X_j changes the sign in the right side: $r_{X_j} P_i = -\frac{1}{2} \delta_{ij}$.

The next equation to consider is:

$$2G(P_i; r_{X_j} P_i) = G(\delta_{ij}) = \delta_{ij}:$$

It follows that $r_{X_j} P_i = -\frac{1}{2} \delta_{ij}$. Interchanging the roles of $P_i; X_j$ yields $r_{P_i} X_j = \frac{1}{2} \delta_{ij}$. By the symmetry of the connection, $r_{X_j} P_i = r_{P_i} X_j$ and $r_{P_i} X_j = r_{X_j} P_i$ since $[P_i; X_j] = \delta_{ij}$.

In summary, the curvature derivatives of the connection in the (canonical) frame (5.5) are given by the following equations:

$$\begin{aligned} r_{X_i} X_j &= 0; r_{P_i} P_j = 0; r_{X_i} X_j = 0; \\ r_{P_i} X_j &= r_{X_j} P_i = \frac{1}{2} \delta_{ij}; \\ r_{X_j} P_i &= r_{P_i} X_j = -\frac{1}{2} \delta_{ij}; \\ r_{P_i} X_j &= \frac{1}{2} \delta_{ij} = r_{X_j} P_i: \end{aligned} \quad (6.4)$$

7. Ricci and scalar curvatures.

In this section, we calculate the Ricci tensor and the full curvature tensor in the form of a transformation of the tangent bundle.

Recall the formula for the components of the Ricci tensor ([17]):

$$R_{ij} = R^k_{ikj} + R^k_{jki} \tag{7.1}$$

The Ricci tensor of a Riemannian space is symmetric. In addition to this, by the remarks above, the result of contracting an upper and lower index of the Christoffel coefficients Γ^i_{jk} is zero for our metric G . Therefore the middle two terms in the right side of the above formula are zero. So for the present calculations, we may use the formula:

$$R_{ij} = R^k_{ikj}$$

$$R_{00} = \Gamma^0_{0i} \Gamma^i_{00} + \Gamma^i_{0x^i} \Gamma^0_{00} = \frac{1}{4} \Gamma^j_{ij} + \frac{1}{4} \Gamma^j_{ij} = \frac{n}{2};$$

$$R_{0p_i} = \Gamma^0_{p_i 0} + \Gamma^j_{p_i x^j} \Gamma^0_{00} = 0;$$

$$\begin{aligned} R_{0x^i} &= \Gamma^i_{x^i 0} + \Gamma^j_{x^i p_j} \Gamma^0_{00} + \Gamma^j_{x^i x^j} \Gamma^0_{00} = \frac{1}{2} \Gamma^j_{jk} \Gamma^0_{00} + \Gamma^j_{x^i x^j} \Gamma^0_{00} = \\ &= \frac{1}{4} n p_i + \Gamma^j_{x^i x^k} \Gamma^k_{x^j 0} + \Gamma^j_{x^i 0} \Gamma^0_{x^j 0} = \frac{n}{4} p_i + \frac{1}{4} \Gamma^k_{jk} \Gamma^j_{ip_k} + \Gamma^j_{kp} \Gamma^0_{ij} + \frac{1}{4} \Gamma^j_{ij} p_j = \\ &= \frac{n}{4} p_i + \frac{1}{4} [p_i + n p_i] \frac{1}{4} p_i = \frac{n}{2} p_i; \end{aligned}$$

$$R_{p_i p_j} = \Gamma^0_{p_j p_i} + \Gamma^k_{p_j x^k} \Gamma^0_{p_i 0} = \Gamma^0_{p_j x^k} \Gamma^k_{0 p_i} = 0;$$

$$\begin{aligned} R_{p_i x^j} &= \frac{\partial}{\partial p_k} \Gamma^0_{ij} + \Gamma^k_{ij} \Gamma^0_{p_k} = \frac{1}{2} \Gamma^0_{ij} + \Gamma^k_{ij} \Gamma^0_{p_k} = \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \Gamma^0_{ij} + \frac{1}{4} \Gamma^k_{jk} \Gamma^0_{ip_k} + \Gamma^k_{x^j 0} \Gamma^0_{x^k p_i} = \frac{1}{2} \Gamma^0_{ij} + \frac{1}{4} \Gamma^k_{jk} \Gamma^0_{ip_k} = \frac{1}{2} \Gamma^0_{ij}; \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} R_{x^i x^j} &= \Gamma^0_{x^j x^i} + \Gamma^k_{x^j p_k} \Gamma^0_{x^i 0} + \Gamma^k_{x^j x^k} \Gamma^0_{x^i 0} = \\ &= \Gamma^0_{x^j 0} \Gamma^0_{0 x^i} + \Gamma^k_{x^j 0} \Gamma^0_{x^k x^i} + \Gamma^r_{x^j p_k} \Gamma^k_{p_r x^i} + \Gamma^0_{x^j x^k} \Gamma^k_{0 x^i} + \Gamma^r_{x^j x^k} \Gamma^k_{x^r x^i} = \\ &= \frac{1}{4} p_i p_j + \frac{1}{2} \Gamma^k_{jk} p_k p_i + \frac{1}{4} \Gamma^r_{rk} p_k p_i + \frac{1}{2} \Gamma^k_{ij} p_j p_k + \frac{1}{4} \Gamma^r_{jk} p_k + \frac{1}{4} \Gamma^r_{kj} p_k p_i + \frac{1}{4} \Gamma^k_{ir} p_r = \\ &= \frac{3}{4} p_i p_j + \frac{n}{4} p_i p_j + \frac{1}{4} (p_i p_j + p_i p_j + n p_i p_j + p_i p_j) = \frac{n}{2} p_i p_j; \end{aligned}$$

As a result, the components of the symmetric Ricci tensor are given by:

$$R_{00} = \frac{n}{2}; R_{0p_i} = 0; R_{0x^i} = \frac{n}{2}P_i;$$

$$R_{p_i p_j} = 0; R_{p_i x^j} = \frac{1}{2} \delta_{ij}; R_{x^i x^j} = \frac{n}{2}P_i P_j;$$

To calculate the scalar curvature R , we contract the Ricci tensor

$$R = G^{00}R_{00} + G^{p_i p_i}R_{p_i p_i} + G^{x^i x^i}R_{x^i x^i} =$$

$$= (R_{00} - P_k R_{0p_k}) + P_i R_{p_i 0} + R_{p_i x^i} + R_{x^i p_i} = \frac{n}{2} + \frac{n}{2} + \frac{n}{2} = \frac{3n}{2};$$

In summary, we have the following results:

Proposition 1. Metric G has the Ricci Tensor

$$Ric(G) = (R_{ij}) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \frac{n}{2} & 0 & 0 & \frac{n}{2}P_j \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{2}\delta_{ij} \\ \frac{n}{2}P_i & \frac{1}{2}\delta_{ij} & \frac{n}{2}P_i P_j & 0 \end{pmatrix} A; \quad (7.2)$$

and the constant scalar curvature

$$R(G) = \frac{3n}{2};$$

To calculate the full curvature tensor recall that the curvature transformation $R(X;Y):T(P) \rightarrow T(P)$ for vector fields $X;Y$ is given by

$$R(X;Y) = r_X r_Y - r_Y r_X - r_{[X;Y]};$$

Note that R is antisymmetric in X and Y .

Using formulas (6.4) for the covariant derivatives of basic vectors of the frame (5.5) with respect to other basic vectors of the same frame we get the action of the curvature transformation $R(X;Y)$ with the couples $(X;Y)$ of basic vectors on all the vectors of the frame (5.5).

$$R(\cdot;P_i) : \cdot \rightarrow \frac{1}{4}P_i; P_j \rightarrow 0; X_j \rightarrow \frac{1}{4}\delta_{ij};$$

$$R(\cdot;X_i) : \cdot \rightarrow \frac{1}{4}X_i; P_j \rightarrow \frac{1}{4}\delta_{ij}; X_j \rightarrow 0$$

$$R(P_i;P_j) : \cdot \rightarrow 0; P_k \rightarrow 0; X_k \rightarrow \frac{1}{4}(\delta_{ik}P_j - \delta_{jk}P_i)$$

$$R(P_i;X_j) : \cdot \rightarrow 0; P_k \rightarrow \frac{1}{4}\delta_{jk}P_i + \frac{1}{2}\delta_{ij}P_k; X_k \rightarrow \frac{1}{4}\delta_{ik}X_j - \frac{1}{2}\delta_{ij}X_k$$

$$R(X_i;X_j) : \cdot \rightarrow 0; P_k \rightarrow \frac{1}{4}(\delta_{ik}X_j - \delta_{jk}X_i); X_k \rightarrow 0;$$

By the antisymmetry properties of the curvature tensor R , this determines the full tensor R^i_{jkl} .

8. Sectional curvatures.

Using the above calculations of the curvature transformations $R(X; Y)$ we calculate, in the frame $(; P_i = \mathcal{Q}_{P_i}; \mathcal{Q}_{X^i})$, the sectional curvatures of the nondegenerate planes generated by couples of these vectors.

Given tangent vectors A and B spanning a surface in $T_m(P)$, the sectional curvature they determine is given by the formula ([17, 26])

$$R(m; A \wedge B) = \frac{G_m(R(A \wedge B)B; A)}{\mathfrak{A} \wedge B \mathfrak{J}} \tag{8.1}$$

where $\mathfrak{A} \wedge B \mathfrak{J} = G(A; A)G(B; B) - G(A; B)G(B; A)$.

We calculate the sectional curvatures corresponding to the planes generated by the following pairs of tangent vectors at a point $m \in P: (; P_i); (; \mathcal{Q}_{X^i}); (P_i; P_j); (\mathcal{Q}_{X^i}; \mathcal{Q}_{X^j}); (P_i; \mathcal{Q}_{X^j})$:

1. $(; P_i)$

$$R(; P_i)P_i = 0 \Rightarrow R(m; \wedge P_i) = 0:$$

2. $(; \mathcal{Q}_{X^i})$

$$R(; \mathcal{Q}_{X^i})\mathcal{Q}_{X^i} = R(; X_i + p_i)(X_i + p_i) = R(; X_i) + p_i = +\frac{p_i}{4}X_i$$

Therefore,

$$G(R(; \mathcal{Q}_{X^i})\mathcal{Q}_{X^i};) = 0 \Rightarrow R(m; \wedge \mathcal{Q}_{X^i}) = 0:$$

3. $(P_i; P_j)$

$$R(P_i; P_j)P_j = 0 \Rightarrow R(m; P_i \wedge P_j) = 0:$$

4. $(\mathcal{Q}_{X^i}; \mathcal{Q}_{X^j})$

$$\begin{aligned} R(\mathcal{Q}_{X^i}; \mathcal{Q}_{X^j})\mathcal{Q}_{X^j} &= R(X_i + p_i; X_j + p_j)(X_j + p_j) = \\ &= p_j R(X_i;)(X_j + p_j) + p_j R(; X_j)(X_j + p_j) = \\ &= \frac{p_j^2}{4}X_i + \frac{p_i p_j}{4}X_j: \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$G(R(\mathcal{Q}_{X^i}; \mathcal{Q}_{X^j})\mathcal{Q}_{X^j}; \mathcal{Q}_{X^i}) = 0 \Rightarrow R(m; \mathcal{Q}_{X^i} \wedge \mathcal{Q}_{X^j}) = 0:$$

5. $(P_i; \mathcal{Q}_{X^j})$

$$\begin{aligned} R(P_i; \mathcal{Q}_{X^j})\mathcal{Q}_{X^j} &= R(P_i; X_j + p_j)(X_j + p_j) = \\ &= R(P_i; X_j)X_j + p_j R(P_i;)X_j + p_j^2 R(P_i;) = \\ &= \frac{3}{4}ijX_j + \frac{p_j}{4}ij - \frac{p_j^2}{4}P_i: \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $G(R(P_i; \mathcal{Q}_{X^j})\mathcal{Q}_{X^j}; P_i) = \frac{3}{4}ij$.

For the denominator we have $G(P_i; P_i)G(\mathcal{Q}_{X^j}; \mathcal{Q}_{X^j}) - G(P_i; \mathcal{Q}_{X^j})G(\mathcal{Q}_{X^j}; P_i) = ij$.

Therefore, if $i = j$, the sectional curvature equals to $3/4$:

$$R(m; P_i \wedge \mathcal{Q}_{X^i}) = \frac{3}{4}:$$

When $i \neq j$, the sectional curvature is not defined since the metric on the corresponding surface is degenerate (zero).

Remark 1. Notice that the only nonzero (positive!) sectional curvature in this basis happens to be exactly at the planes corresponding to the couples of conjugate variables $(p_i; x^i)$.

9. Killing vector fields, isotropy Lie algebra.

In this section we calculate Killing vector fields for the metric G and, correspondingly, determine the Lie algebra of the isotropy group of metric G .

Recall that such fields are defined by the condition $L_X G = 0$. On the other hand for all $Y; Z$,

$$(L_X G)(Y; Z) = X G(Y; Z) - G([X; Y]; Z) - G(Y; [X; Z]):$$

From Section 7, formula (12), we have:

$$\begin{aligned} 2G(X; r_Y Z) &= Y G(Z; X) + Z G(X; Y) - X G(Y; Z) \\ &\quad + G(Y; [X; Z]) + G([X; Y]; Z) + G(X; [Y; Z]) \\ &= Y G(Z; X) + Z G(Y; X) - (L_X G)(Y; Z) + G(X; [Y; Z]) \end{aligned}$$

Since the connection is symmetric, $L_X G = 0$ if and only if:

$$Y G(X; Z) + Z G(X; Y) = G(X; r_Y Z + r_Z Y) \quad (9.1)$$

for all $Y; Z$. Notice that the condition $L_X G(Y; Z) = 0$ is linear by $Y; Z$. Therefore, it is sufficient to ensure the fulfillment of this condition for couples of vector fields $(Y; Z)$ from some frame on the manifold P .

To determine Killing fields X , let $X = f + g^k P_k + h^k X_k$.

Make the following substitutions into the formula (9.1):

$$\begin{aligned} Y = \quad ; Z = \quad ; \quad Y = \quad ; Z = P_i; \quad Y = \quad ; Z = X_i \\ Y = P_i; Z = P_j; \quad Y = X_i; Z = X_j; \quad Y = P_i; Z = X_j \end{aligned}$$

Using the formulas (6.4) for covariant derivatives of basic vectors along other basic vectors of basis $(\quad ; Z_i; P_j)$ we get the system of equations for coefficients of the vector field X :

1. $f = 0$;
2. $h^i = P_i f + h^i$;
3. $g^i = X_i f - g^i$;
4. $P_i h^j + P_j h^i = 0$;
5. $X_i g^j + X_j g^i = 0$;
6. $P_i g^j + X_j h^i = 0$;

We begin by examining the case when $f = (X) = 0$.

Since commutes with both P_i and X_j , we may apply it to equation 6 to obtain $P_i g^j + X_j h^i = 0$. By equations 2 and 3 this reduces to $P_i g^j - X_j h^i = 0$: Together with equation 6, this shows:

$$7. P_i g^j = 0; \quad X_j h^i = 0:$$

Now apply X_k to equation 4. Using the relation $[X_k; P_l] = \delta_{kl}$ and equations 7, we see that $0 = \delta_{ik} h^j - \delta_{jk} h^i$. By equation 2, this gives $0 = \delta_{ik} h^j + \delta_{jk} h^i$. Setting $i = j = k$, we obtain $h^i = 0$.

Similarly, if we apply P_k to equation 5 and make the same reductions, the result is $g^i = 0$. Therefore, when $(X) = 0$, we may conclude that $X = 0$.

Now consider the general case. It is easy to see that f satisfies the equations for a Killing vector field. Therefore, the Lie bracket $[f; X]$ must also be a Killing vector field. But by equation 1, $([f; X]) = f = 0$. So by the previous calculations, $[f; X] = 0$. But this proves that $h^i = 0$, and $g^j = 0$.

Hence equations 2 and 3 give explicit formulas for h^i and g^i in terms of f (a generating function). If we substitute these expressions into equations 4, 5, and 6, then 6 is satisfied automatically, while 4 and 5 yield:

$$P_i P_j f = 0; \quad X_i X_j f = 0:$$

Thus we see that f must have the form:

$$f = a + b_i x^i + c^k p_k + d_i^k x^i p_k$$

with constant coefficients $a; b_i; c^k; d_k^i$.

From equations 2 and 3, we obtain g^i and h^i :

$$g^i = b_i + d_i^k p_k;$$

$$h^i = (c^i + d_k^i x^k):$$

As a result, the Lie algebra is generated by the vectors:

$$\begin{aligned} A_i &= x^i + P_i = \partial_{p_i} + x^i \partial_{x^0}; & B_j &= p_j & X_j &= \partial_{x^j}; \\ Q_1^k &= x^k p_1 + p_1 p_k & X_1 &= p_1 \partial_{p_k} & x^k \partial_{x^1} &: \end{aligned} \quad (9.2)$$

These vector fields satisfy to the following nonzero commutator relations:

$$[A^i; B_j] = \delta_{ij}; \quad [Q_1^k; A_i] = \delta_{ik} A_k; \quad [Q_1^k; B_j] = \delta_{kj} B_j; \quad (9.3)$$

$$[Q_1^k; Q_s^r] = \delta_{ks} Q_1^r - \delta_{rs} Q_1^k \quad (9.4)$$

As a result we get the following description of the Lie algebra iso_G of the isometry group $iso(G)$ of the metric G

Proposition 2. The Lie algebra iso_G of the isometry group $iso(G)$ of the metric G is the Lie algebra $gl(n; R) \ltimes h_n$ being the semidirect product of linear Lie algebra $gl(n; R)$ embedded into the symplectic Lie algebra $sp(n; R)$ (with generators $f Q_1^k g$) and of the Heisenberg Lie algebra h_n with generators $f; A_i; B_j g$ and commutative relations (9.3-4). All these vector fields are η -contact with the contact Hamiltonians $H = 1; H_{A_j} = p_j; H_{B_i} = x^i; H_{Q_1^k} = x^k p_1$ respectively (see [2]).

10. Second fundamental form of Legendre surfaces.

Here we will calculate the second fundamental form $II(X; Y)$ of a Legendre submanifold at the points where metric $g = G_j$ is nondegenerate.

Let I be any subset of the indices from 1 to n , and let J be the complementary subset. Consider a function $(p_I; x^J)$ as in Sec.3 determining a Legendre submanifold. Throughout the calculation, indices $i; i^0$ will be assumed to be in I and indices $j; j^0$ in J . Any other indices will be assumed to run through $1; \dots; n$. Also we will be using the following conventions in notation:

$$e_i = \partial_{p_i} ; e_j = \partial_{x^j}$$

The Legendre submanifold is given, in these notations by the equations (3.1):

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i \in I} p_i dx^i &= 0 \\ \sum_{j \in J} p_j dx^j &= 0 \\ \sum_{i \in I} x^i &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

The metric $G = 2dp_k dx^k + \sum_{i \in I} p_i^2 dx^i dx^i$ restricted to this submanifold is given by $g = 2 \sum_{i \in I} dp_i dx^i + 2 \sum_{j \in J} dx^j dx^j$. Under the assumption that g is nondegenerate at a given point (and, therefore, at some neighborhood of this point), the square matrices $\sum_{i \in I} p_i^2 dx^i dx^i$ must be nonsingular. Let their inverses be given by $\sum_{i \in I} i^0; j^0$, respectively. Define, for this section, the entries i^j to be 0.

Correspondingly, the tangent space $T(\Sigma)$ to the surface at each point is generated by the vectors:

$$\begin{aligned} Y_i = (\partial_{p_i}) &= p_i^0 \partial_{x^i} + \partial_{p_i} \sum_{j \in J} p_j dx^j + \sum_{i^0 \in I} \partial_{x^i} p_i^0 dx^i = \partial_{p_i} \sum_{j \in J} p_j dx^j + \sum_{i^0 \in I} p_i^0 \partial_{x^i} p_i^0 dx^i = \\ &= P_i \sum_{j \in J} p_j dx^j + \sum_{i^0 \in I} X_{i^0} p_i^0 dx^i; \end{aligned} \tag{10.1}$$

$$\begin{aligned} Y_j = (\partial_{x^j}) &= (\sum_{i \in I} p_i dx^i) \partial_{x^j} + \partial_{x^j} \sum_{i^0 \in I} p_{i^0} dx^{i^0} + \partial_{x^j} \sum_{i^0 \in I} p_{i^0} dx^{i^0} = (\sum_{i \in I} p_i dx^i + \sum_{j^0 \in J} p_{j^0} dx^{j^0}) \partial_{x^j} + \sum_{i^0 \in I} p_{i^0} \partial_{x^j} p_{i^0} dx^{i^0} = \\ &= X_j + \sum_{i \in I} p_i X_{i^0} + \sum_{j^0 \in J} p_{j^0} X_{j^0}; \end{aligned} \tag{10.2}$$

We may simplify these expressions by defining vectors V_k and W_k by the following rules.

V_k is equal to $P_k = \partial_{p_k}$ if k belongs to I , and is equal to $X_k = \partial_{x^k} + \sum_{i \in I} p_i \partial_{x^i} p_i$ if k belongs to J .

W_k equals X_k when $k \in I$ and equals P_k for $k \in J$.

Then we see that the vectors above are given by one expression:

$$Y_k = V_k + \sum_{i \in I} p_i W_i \tag{10.3}$$

We also have the following useful relations for the scalar products of these functions

$$G(V_k; V_l) = G(W_k; W_l) = 0; \quad G(V_k; W_l) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } k; l \in I; \\ -1 & \text{if } k; l \in J; \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

It is then easy to check that the following vectors are orthogonal and complementary to Y_k :

$$Z_k = W_k - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{l \in I} Y_l; \quad (10.4)$$

To prove this we notice that Z_k is obviously orthogonal to Y_k being orthogonal to Y_l for $l \in J$. Presenting an arbitrary vector in D orthogonal to Y_k in the form $Z = a_l V_l + b_k W_k$ we find, using conditions of orthogonality, relations between coefficients

$$b_i = \sum_{j \in I} a_j; \quad b_j = -\sum_{i \in I} a_i$$

and from this - the basic orthogonal vectors

$$Z_i = Y_i - \sum_{j \in I} Y_j; \quad Z_j = Y_j + \sum_{i \in I} Y_i$$

Applying $\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i \in I} Y_i$ to the right side of Z_i (and using the agreement that $Y_i \cdot Y_j = 0$) we get from Z_i the other basic vectors $\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i \in I} Y_i - W_i$. Changing sign and renaming these vectors Z_i we get the second set of orthogonal vectors in (10.4). In the same way we get Z_j of the form (10.4).

In section 6, we computed the connection in the basis $\{Y_k; X_l\}$ (see (6.4)). Referring to these calculations, it is simple to see the following relations:

$$r_{V_k} V_l = 0; \quad r_{W_k} W_l = 0;$$

$$r_{V_k} W_l = r_{W_k} V_l = \frac{1}{2} \delta_{kl}$$

Now we can easily calculate covariant derivatives of tangent vector fields Y_k with respect to Y_s :

$$\begin{aligned} r_{Y_k} Y_l &= r_{(V_k + \sum_{r \in I} W_r)} (V_l + \sum_{s \in I} W_s) = \\ &= V_k (\sum_{s \in I} W_s) + \sum_{s \in I} r_{V_k} W_s + \sum_{r \in I} r_{W_r} (V_l + \sum_{s \in I} W_s) = \\ &= V_k (\sum_{s \in I} W_s) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{s \in I} \delta_{ks} + \sum_{r \in I} r_{W_r} (\sum_{s \in I} W_s) = \\ &= V_k (\sum_{s \in I} W_s) + \sum_{r \in I} r_{W_r} (\sum_{s \in I} W_s) = \\ &= Y_k (\sum_{s \in I} W_s) = \sum_{s \in I} Y_k W_s \end{aligned}$$

Expressed in the $Y_k; Z_l$ basis, the result is:

$$\begin{aligned} r_{Y_k} Y_l &= \sum_{s \in I} Y_k (Z_s + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{r \in I} Y_r) = \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{s \in I} Y_k Y_r + \sum_{s \in I} Y_k Z_s \end{aligned}$$

The first term in the last line represents the covariant derivative $r_{Y_k} Y_l$ on the submanifold with respect to the induced (thermodynamical) metric while the

second term represents the second fundamental form of Σ with respect to the Riemannian metric.

Namely we have proved the following

Proposition 3. Let a Legendre submanifold Σ of the contact manifold $(P; \alpha)$ be defined by the equations

$$\begin{aligned} x^0 &= \sum_{i \in I} P_i x^i; \\ P_j &= x^j; & i \in I; j \in J; \\ x^i &= x^i; \end{aligned}$$

Then the second fundamental form $II(X; Y)$ of the submanifold Σ is given by the expression

$$II(Y_k; Y_l) = \sum_{s \in J} \Gamma_{ks} Y_s;$$

where vector fields

$$Y_k = V_k + \sum_{l \in I} \Gamma_{kl} W_l$$

form the basis of tangent bundle of the submanifold Σ (see above), vector fields $Z_k = W_k - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{l \in I} \Gamma_{kl} Y_l$ form the basis of the orthogonal bundle of the submanifold in the distribution D and $\Gamma_{ks} = \Gamma_{ks}$.

Example 2. Consider the special case where $I = \emptyset$, so $\Sigma = \{x^j\}; j = 1, \dots, n$ and the tangent bundle to the surface Σ is generated by the tangent vectors $Y_j = X_j - \sum_{j^0 \in J^0} P_{j^0} X_{j^0}$. The Normal (orthogonal) subspace of the tangent space $T_m(\Sigma)$ at the points of Σ is generated by

$$Z_j = W_j - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j^0 \in J^0} Y_{j^0} = P_j - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j^0 \in J^0} (X_{j^0} - \sum_{j^{00} \in J^{00}} P_{j^{00}} X_{j^{00}}) = \frac{1}{2} (P_j - \sum_{j^0 \in J^0} X_{j^0});$$

We now calculate the coefficients Γ_{ks} of the second fundamental form ($k = j$ in this case)

$$\Gamma_{js} = Y_j \cdot Y_s = (X_j - \sum_{j^0 \in J^0} P_{j^0} X_{j^0}) \cdot (\sum_{j^{00} \in J^{00}} P_{j^{00}} X_{j^{00}}) = \sum_{j^{00} \in J^{00}} P_{j^{00}} \Gamma_{j^{00}j};$$

As a result, the second fundamental form of the surface Σ has the form

$$II(Y_j; Y_{j^0}) = \sum_{j^{00} \in J^{00}} \Gamma_{j^{00}j} Z_{j^{00}} = \sum_{j^{00} \in J^{00}} \Gamma_{j^{00}j} Z_{j^0}; \tag{10.5}$$

carrying information about all the third derivatives of a thermodynamical potential, or, equivalently, of the first derivatives of the thermodynamical metric.

Second fundamental form (10.5) of a surface Σ is zero if the metric is constant with respect to the variables x^i . Only in such case (quite improbable in real TD systems) metric is flat and submanifold Σ is totally geodesic in P .

11. Constitutive hypersurface.

By the reasons of dimensions, the fundamental thermodynamical constitutive equation (law) $x^0 = x^i$ of any material is homogeneous of order one, i.e. the following condition is fulfilled

$$(x^i) = x^i \tag{11.1}$$

for all $\epsilon \neq 0$ (see [6]). In other words, the action of the one-parameter group of transformations

$$D : (x^0; p_1; x^i) \rightarrow (x^0; p_1; x^i); \quad 2 \mathbb{R} \quad (11.2)$$

leaves the constitutive Legendre surface of a real material invariant.

As a result the surface lies in the canonical quadric (hyperbolic paraboloid)

$$C = \{m\} = (x^0; p_1; x^i) \left\{ x^0 + \sum_{i=1}^n p_i x^i = 0 \right\} \quad (11.3)$$

The intersection of the contact distribution D with the fibers of the tangent bundle $T(C)$ determines in $T(C)$ the subbundle D_C .

Along the hypersurface C one has

$$0 = dx^0 + \sum_{i=1}^n p_i dx^i + \sum_i x^i dp_i = \sum_i x^i dp_i \quad (11.4)$$

as a result, on the distribution D_C we have

$$\sum_i x^i dp_i = 0 \quad (11.5)$$

which represents the abstract Gibbs-Duhem equation, [6].

Using these relations it is easy to see that the subbundle D_C contains and is generated by the following vector fields

$$D_C = \langle X_1 = \partial_{x^1} + x^1 \partial_{x^0}; P_{ij} = x^j \partial_{p_i} - x^i \partial_{p_j} \rangle \quad (11.6)$$

at all points of C except the point of the plane $X = \{x^i = 0; i = 0, 1, \dots, n\}$ where $D = T(C)$. To see this we recall that D is generated by the vector fields $X_1 = \partial_{x^1} + x^1 \partial_{x^0}; P_1 = \partial_{p_1}$. The tangent space to the quadric C is formed by vectors satisfying the condition

$$\sum_i x^i dp_i = 0;$$

Thus, the intersection $D \setminus T(C)$ is formed by vectors from D satisfying the Gibbs-Duhem equation. This is true for vectors X_i at all points. Now, consider the partition of the index interval $\{1, \dots, n\} = I \cup J$ and denote by C_I the set of points

$$C_I = \{f(x; p) \mid \sum_{i \in I} x^i = 0; \sum_{j \in J} x^j \neq 0\}$$

Let now $J \neq \emptyset$. Then, for $m \in C_I$ vectors $\partial_{p_i}; i \in I$ belong to $T_m(C)$ as do all the vectors $P_{ij} = x^j \partial_{p_i} - x^i \partial_{p_j}; i, j \in J$: Choose index $k \in J$ and consider vectors $x^1 \partial_{p_k} - x^k \partial_{p_1}; i \in J \setminus \{k\}$: These vectors belong to $T_m(C)$, and, together with $\partial_{p_i}; i \in I$ and $X_1 = \partial_{x^1} + x^1 \partial_{x^0}$, they form the $(2n - 1)$ -dimensional subspace of $T_m(C)$ which is the intersection $D \setminus T(C)$.

The only points where these arguments fail to work are the points of $X = C_{[\emptyset, n]}$, where $J = \emptyset$. In this case, the Gibbs-Duhem condition is empty and $D_m = T_m(C)$:

Notice that physically it would mean that all the extensive variables of the system are zero - quite an improbable case.

12. The Heisenberg Group as the thermodynamical phase space.

In this section we establish isomorphism of the TPS $(P; \cdot; G)$ (with its contact structure and metric G) with the Heisenberg Lie group H_n with the right invariant contact structure and right invariant indefinite metric. This isomorphism is locally suggested by the commutativity relations of vector fields of the frame (5.5).

Recall that the Heisenberg group H_n is the nilpotent Lie group of $n \times n$ real matrices

$$g = g(a; b; c) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & & & 1 \\ 1 & a & & c \\ @ 0 & I_n & b^A & \\ 0 & 0 & & 1 \end{pmatrix} \tag{12.1}$$

with the product

$$gg_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & & & 1 \\ 1 & a & & c \\ @ 0 & I_n & b^A & \\ 0 & 0 & & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & & & 1 \\ 1 & a_1 & & c_1 \\ @ 0 & I_n & b_1^A & \\ 0 & 0 & & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & & & 1 \\ 1 & a+a_1 & & c+c_1 + \langle a; b_1 \rangle \\ @ 0 & I_n & b+b_1 & A \\ 0 & 0 & & 1 \end{pmatrix}; \tag{12.2}$$

where $\langle a; b_1 \rangle$ is the Euclidian scalar product of two vectors from R^n (see, for instance, [25]).

The Lie group H_n is the central extension of the abelian group $R_{a,b}^{2n} = R_a^n \times R_b^n$ with the local parameters $a; b$ respectively by the 1-dim abelian group R_c with the local parameter z :

$$1 \rightarrow R_c \rightarrow H_n \rightarrow R_{a,b}^{2n} \rightarrow 1:$$

The Lie algebra h_n of the Heisenberg group is formed by the matrices

$$X(a; b; z) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & & & 1 \\ 0 & a & & z \\ @ 0 & 0 & 0 & b^A \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \tag{12.3}$$

with the conventional matrix bracket as the Lie algebra operation. The Lie algebra h_n is mapped diffeomorphically onto H_n by the exponential mapping

$$\exp \begin{pmatrix} 0 & & & 1 \\ 0 & a & & z \\ @ 0 & 0 & 0 & b^A \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & & & 1 \\ 1 & a & & c = z + \frac{1}{2} \langle a; b \rangle \\ @ 0 & I_n & b & A \\ 0 & 0 & & 1 \end{pmatrix}; \tag{12.4}$$

Lie algebra h_n is the central extension

$$0 \rightarrow R_z \rightarrow h_n \rightarrow R_{a,b}^{2n} \rightarrow 0$$

defined by the 2-cocycle $\omega_+ : R^{2n} \times R^{2n} \rightarrow R$

$$\omega_+(X(a; b; z); X(a^0; b^0; z^0)) = \frac{1}{2} (\langle a; b^0 \rangle - \langle a^0; b \rangle);$$

of the canonical symplectic form in R^{2n} see ([25]).

We construct the diffeomorphism mapping

$$\psi : H_n \rightarrow P$$

by requiring

$$\psi \circ g = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & & & 1 \\ 1 & x & & x^0 \\ @ 0 & I_n & p^A & \\ 0 & 0 & & 1 \end{pmatrix} \circ m = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & & & 1 \\ p & & & A \\ @ & & & \\ x & & & \end{pmatrix}; \tag{12.5}$$

and it is easy to check that the form ω is invariant under the flow of these (therefore contact) vector fields. Thus, the form ω_H is right invariant. The diffeomorphism ψ send these vectors into

$$(\psi^{-1})_* X_i = \partial_{x^i}; \quad (\psi^{-1})_* Y_j = \partial_{p_j} + x^j \partial_{x^0};$$

Comparing this result with the description of the Killing vector field of the metric G we see that these vector fields form the nilradical of the Lie algebra of the Killing vector fields of the metric G .

Remark 2. The distribution D_H is the direct sum of two n -dimensional distributions

$$D = D_A \oplus D_B$$

in obvious notations, distributions D_A, D_B are integrable having as the basis at each point values of pairwise commuting vector fields. Denote by A (respectively by B) the abelian subgroup of H_n of matrices of the form (12.1) with $c = b = 0$ (respectively $c = a = 0$). Then integral manifolds of distribution D_A (respectively of distribution D_B) are orbits of the left translations by the subgroup A (respectively, by the Lie subgroup B).

Metric G is transferred under the diffeomorphism ψ into the metric G_H on the Heisenberg group. This metric is constant in the right invariant (non-holonomic) frame $(\psi^{-1})_* X_i; (\psi^{-1})_* Y_j$ and is, therefore, right invariant by itself.

As a result we've proved the following

Theorem 2. The diffeomorphism defined by

$$\psi : g = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & x & x^0 \\ 0 & I_n & p \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \in \mathfrak{h}_n \mapsto m = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & x^0 \\ p & A \\ x & \end{pmatrix} \in \mathfrak{m}$$

determines an isomorphism of the "thermodynamical metric contact manifold" $(P; \omega; G)$ with the Heisenberg group H_n endowed with the right invariant contact form ω_H and the right invariant metric G_H of signature $(n+1; n)$:

Remark 3. Recall (see [10]) that the automorphism group $\text{Aut}(H_n)$ of the Heisenberg group has, as its connected component of unity the Lie group $\text{Aut}_0(H_n) = \text{Sp}(n; \mathbb{R}) \ltimes \mathbb{A}^{2n+1}$, where \mathbb{A}^{2n+1} is the abelian group of dimension $2n+1$. This group acts on the space of all right invariant contact 1-forms on the group H_n . Since right invariant one-forms on H_n are defined by their values at the unit of the group $e \in H_n$ and since the automorphism group $\text{Aut}(G)$ leaves $e \in G$ fixed it is sufficient to study action of this group at the set of elements $e \in H_n$: The following result for the left invariant contact structures (with the sketch of the proof) was sent to the authors in a letter by M. Goeze. We reformulate this result for the right invariant contact structures due to the obvious duality between left and right translations.

Let $\{X_i; Y_j; Z\}$ be a standard basis of \mathfrak{h}_n with the only nontrivial brackets being $[X_i; Y_i] = Z; i = 1; \dots; n$. Let $\{f_i; g_j; h\}$ be the dual basis in \mathfrak{h}_n . Then, extending this basis to the coframe of right invariant vector fields we get relations

$$dX_i = dY_j = 0; \quad dh = \sum_i f_i \wedge g_i$$

Then $\alpha \wedge (d\alpha)^n \neq 0$ and, therefore, one-form α defines the right invariant contact structure on H_n .

Proposition 4. Let $\alpha = a + \sum_{i=1}^n a_i x_i + \sum_{j=1}^n b_j y_j$ be a contact form in h_n . The group $Aut(H_n)$ acts transitively on the set of right invariant contact structures with the isotropy group of α being the intersection of the group $Aut(H_n)$ with the group of α -conformally contact diffeomorphisms of H_n .

It follows from this that the contact structure α_H of the thermodynamical phase space is the typical representative of the $Aut(H_n)$ -conjugacy class of right invariant contact structures on the Heisenberg group defined by a choice of the canonical basis $\{X_i; Y_j; Z\}$ of the Lie algebra h_n and, therefore, unique, up to an automorphism of the group H_n .

Remark 4. After the isomorphism of the TPS $(P; \alpha; G)$ with $(H_n; \alpha_H; G_H)$ is established, many properties of metric G can be obtained from the corresponding results for invariant metrics on Lie groups. Further use of this isomorphism for the study of thermodynamical systems will be a subject of future work.

Part II.

13. Symplectization of manifold $(P; \alpha; G)$.

Let P be the standard $(2n+2)$ -dim real vector space R^{2n+2} with the coordinates $(p_i; x^j); i; j = 0; \dots; n$, endowed with the 1-form

$$\tilde{\alpha} = \sum_{i=0}^n p_i dx^i; \tag{13.1}$$

and the standard symplectic structure

$$\omega = d\tilde{\alpha} = \sum_i dp_i \wedge dx^i; \tag{13.2}$$

We consider the embedding of the space $(P; \alpha = dx^0 + p_1 dx^1)$ into P

$$J : (x^0; x^i; p_j) \mapsto (x^0; x^i; p_0 = 1; p_1; \dots; p_n) \tag{13.3}$$

as the affine subspace $p_0 = 1$:

It is easy to see that

Proposition 5. 1. The pullback by J of the 1-form $\tilde{\alpha}$ coincides with the contact form α .

$$J^*(\tilde{\alpha}) = \alpha;$$

2. The symplectic manifold $(P^* = \{p; x \in R^{2n+2} | p_0 > 0; \omega\})$ is the standard symplectization of $(P; \alpha)$ (see [L, 18]) and J is the section of the symplectization bundle $\pi : P^* \rightarrow P$:

3. The symmetrical tensor

$$G = (G_{ij}) = \begin{pmatrix} 0_{n+1} & I_{n+1} \\ I_{n+1} & p_i p_j \end{pmatrix} \tag{13.4}$$

determines in P^* the pseudo-Riemannian metric of signature $(n+1; n+1)$.

4. The restriction of metric \tilde{G} to the image of the embedding J coincides with the metric G

$$J^* \tilde{G} = G :$$

5. There is a bijection between the Legendre submanifolds of the contact manifold $(P; \theta)$ and the homogeneous (under the action $(x^i; p_j) \rightarrow (x^i; p_0; x^1; p_1; \dots; x^n; p_n)$) of R^+ on the manifold P^*) Lagrange submanifolds of the symplectic manifold $(P^*; \omega)$. This correspondence is defined by the intersection of a homogeneous Lagrangian submanifold K with the image of the embedding J and by the action of the dilatation group on the image of a Legendre submanifold $K \subset P$ under the embedding J .

Proof. Almost all the statements of this Proposition follow simply from the construction or are known ([2, 18]). The determinant of the matrix (13.4) of the metric \tilde{G} is equal to $(-1)^{n+1}$ which proves its nondegeneracy. \square

14. Canonical frame $(X^i; P_j)$.

Here we introduce the non-holonomic frame $(X^i; P_j)$ in the open subset $P^* = \{(p; x) \in P^* \mid p_k \neq 0\}$ of the symplectic manifold P^* with respect to which the metric \tilde{G} has the standard (constant) form.

We take

$$P_i = p_i \partial_{p_i}; L_k = p_k^{-1} \partial_{x^k}; X_j = p_k^{-1} \partial_{x^k} \quad \hat{P} = L_j + \hat{P}; \tag{14.1}$$

where

$$\hat{P} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{s=0}^{X^n} P_s = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=0}^{X^n} p_i \partial_{p_i}$$

is the generator of homogeneous dilatation in the p -directions.

Then we have the following commutator relations between the introduced vector fields.

$$\begin{aligned} [P_i; P_j] &= [L_i; L_j] = 0; [P_i; L_j] = -\delta_{ij} L_j; [L_j; \hat{P}] = \frac{1}{2} L_j; \\ [P_i; X_j] &= -\delta_{ij} (X_j + \hat{P}); [X_i; X_j] = \frac{1}{2} (X_j - X_i); \end{aligned} \tag{14.2}$$

These relations show, in particular, that the couples of vector fields $P_i; L_i$ form the 2-dim solvable Lie algebras \mathfrak{g}_i of vector fields commuting between themselves (see below, Sec.19).

Scalar products of the introduced vector fields are calculated as follows

$$\begin{aligned}
 G(\hat{P}_i; \hat{P}_j) &= 0; \quad G(L_i; L_j) = 1; \quad G(P_i; L_j) = \delta_{ij}; \\
 G(\hat{P}_i; X_j) &= G(p_i \partial_{p_i}; p_j^{-1} \partial_{x^j} \hat{P}) = p_i p_j^{-1} G(\partial_{p_i}; \partial_{x^j}) = p_i p_j^{-1} \delta_{ij} = \delta_{ij}; \\
 G(X_i; \hat{P}) &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_s X_s \quad G(X_i; P_s) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_s X_{is} = \frac{1}{2}; \\
 G(X_i; X_j) &= G(p_i^{-1} \partial_{x^i} \hat{P}; p_j^{-1} \partial_{x^j} \hat{P}) = p_i^{-1} p_j^{-1} G(\partial_{x^i}; \partial_{x^j}) = p_i^{-1} p_j^{-1} G(\partial_{x^i}; \hat{P}) \\
 p_j^{-1} G(\partial_{x^j}; \hat{P}) + G(\hat{P}; \hat{P}) &= 1 - \frac{1}{2} p_i^{-1} \sum_s X_s p_s G(\partial_{x^i}; \partial_{p_s}) - \frac{1}{2} p_j^{-1} \sum_s X_s p_s G(\partial_{x^j}; \partial_{p_s}) + 0 = \\
 1 - \frac{1}{2} p_i^{-1} \sum_s X_s p_s \delta_{is} - \frac{1}{2} p_j^{-1} \sum_s X_s p_s \delta_{js} &= 1 - 1 = 0: \quad (14.3)
 \end{aligned}$$

As a result in the basis $(\hat{P}_i; X_j)$, the matrix of metric G has in P the following canonical form

$$(G_{ij}) = \begin{pmatrix} 0_{n+1} & I_{n+1} \\ I_{n+1} & 0_{n+1} \end{pmatrix} : \quad (14.4)$$

The positive and negative distributions of metric G are

$$T^+ = \langle \delta_i = \frac{1}{2}(\hat{P}_i + X_i) \rangle; \quad T^- = \langle \delta_i = \frac{1}{2}(\hat{P}_i - X_i) \rangle : \quad (14.5)$$

The zero cone is given in the frame $\hat{P}_i; X_j$ for $X = f_i \hat{P}_i + g_j X_j$

$$G(X; X) = 0, \quad \sum_i f_i g_i = 0: \quad (14.6)$$

15. Levi-Civita connection of metric G .

To calculate curvature of the metric G we start with the combinations $f_{ij}; k_g = G_{ik;j} + G_{jk;i} - G_{ij;k}$. It is easy to see that the only nonzero combinations are

$$f_{ij} x^j; p_i g = (\delta_j^i p_j + \delta_j^i p_i); \quad f_{ij} x^j; x^s g = f_{ij} x^j; x^s g = (\delta_j^i p_s + \delta_j^i p_i): \quad (15.1)$$

Using these combinations we calculate the Christoffel coefficients $\delta_{jk}^i = \frac{1}{2} G^{is} f_{jk}; s_g$. We notice that to be nonzero, the Christoffel coefficient should have at least two x^i between the three indices in one of the terms $f_{jk}; s_g$. Using this it is easy to see that the only nonzero Christoffel coefficients are

$$\delta_{x^j x^k}^i = \frac{1}{2} (\delta_j^i p_k + \delta_k^i p_j); \quad \delta_{x^j x^k}^{p_i} = p_i p_j p_k; \quad \delta_{x^j p_k}^{p_i} = \frac{1}{2} (\delta_k^j p_i + \delta_k^i p_j): \quad (15.2)$$

Next, we calculate the Ricci Tensor. $R_{ij} = \delta_{jj;k}^k - \delta_{js}^s \delta_{ki}$. We have

$$R_{p_i p_j} = \delta_{p_i p_j; k}^k - \delta_{p_j p_i}^s \delta_{k p_i}^s = 0 \quad \delta_{p_j x^1}^{p_s} \delta_{p_s p_i}^{x^1} - \delta_{p_j x^1}^{x^s} \delta_{x^s p_i}^{x^1} = 0;$$

Since $\sum_{x^i x^j, p_s} \mathbb{P}_s = \sum_s \mathbb{P}_s (p_i p_j p_s) = (n+1)p_i p_j + \sum_s (\sum_i p_j p_s + \sum_s p_i p_s) = (n+1)p_i p_j + p_i p_j + p_i p_j = (n+3)p_i p_j$; we have

$$\begin{aligned} R_{x^i x^j} &= \sum_{x^i x^j, k} \sum_{x^j s} \sum_{k x^i} \mathbb{P}_s = \sum_{x^i x^j, p_s} \sum_{x^j x^s} \sum_{x^i x^i} \sum_{x^j p_s} \sum_{x^i x^i} \sum_{x^j x^s} \sum_{p_i x^i} \sum_{x^j p_s} \sum_{p_i x^i} = \\ &= (n+3)p_i p_j \frac{1}{4} (\sum_j p_s + \sum_s p_j) (\sum_i p_i + \sum_i p_i) \frac{1}{4} (\sum_s p_i + \sum_s p_j) (\sum_i p_s + \sum_i p_i) = \\ &= (n+3)p_i p_j \frac{1}{4} [p_i p_j + p_i p_j + (n+1)p_i p_j + p_i p_j] \frac{1}{4} [p_i p_j + p_i p_j + (n+1)p_i p_j + p_i p_j] = \\ &= (n+3)p_i p_j \frac{1}{2} (n+4)p_i p_j = \frac{n+2}{2} p_i p_j; \quad (15.3) \end{aligned}$$

Finally, since $\sum_{x^j p_i, p_s} \mathbb{P}_s = \frac{1}{2} \sum_s \mathbb{P}_s (\sum_i p_s + \sum_i p_j) = \frac{1}{2} ((n+1) \sum_i + \sum_j) = \frac{n+2}{2} \sum_j$; we have

$$\begin{aligned} R_{p_i x^j} &= \sum_{x^j p_i, k} \sum_{x^j s} \sum_{k p_i} \mathbb{P}_s = \sum_{x^j p_i, p_s} (\sum_{x^j x^k} \sum_{p_i p_i} + \sum_{x^j p_s} \sum_{p_i p_i} + \sum_{x^j x^s} \sum_{x^i p_i} + \sum_{x^j p_s} \sum_{x^i p_s}) = \\ &= \frac{n+2}{2} \sum_i + 0 \quad 0 \quad 0 \quad 0 = \frac{n+2}{2} \sum_i; \quad (15.4) \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\text{Ric}(\mathcal{G}) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & (n+1) & (n+1) \\ \frac{n+2}{2} & I_{n+1} & \end{pmatrix} = \frac{n+2}{2} \mathcal{G}; \quad (15.5)$$

Thus, metric \mathcal{G} is pseudo-Riemannian Einstein metric, see [24].

Lifting an index of R_{ij} with

$$(G^{ij}) = \begin{pmatrix} p_i p_j & I_{n+1} \\ I_{n+1} & 0_{(n+1) \times (n+1)} \end{pmatrix}$$

we get $G^{ik} R_{kj} = \frac{n+2}{2} \sum_j$. Taking the trace, we find the scalar curvature to be

$$R(\mathcal{G}) = \text{Tr}(\mathcal{G}^{-1} \frac{n+2}{2} \mathcal{G}) = (n+1)(n+2); \quad (15.6)$$

Thus, we have

Proposition 6. Metric \mathcal{G} is the indefinite Einstein metric of scalar curvature $R(\mathcal{G}) = (n+1)(n+2)$.

16. Killing vector fields of metric \mathcal{G} .

It is natural to find the form of Killing vector fields of metric \mathcal{G} - in nitesimal isometries of \mathcal{G} . The details of the calculations are given in the Appendix. Here we formulate the final result.

Theorem 3. The Lie algebra $\text{iso}_{\mathcal{G}} \subset \mathfrak{sl}(n+2; \mathbb{R})$ of Killing vector fields of the metric \mathcal{G} is (as the vector space) the linear sum

$$\text{iso}_{\mathcal{G}} = \mathfrak{q} \oplus \mathfrak{x}$$

of Lie subalgebras

$$1) \mathfrak{q} = \langle Q_j^i = x^i \partial_{x^j} - p_j \partial_{p_i} \rangle;$$

with the commutator relations

$$[Q_j^i, Q_k^p] = p_j Q_k^i - i_k Q_j^p;$$

Subalgebra \mathfrak{q} is isomorphic thus, to $\mathfrak{gl}(n+1; \mathbb{R})$,

the abelian subalgebra

$$2) d = \langle D^i = \frac{x^i}{2} Q + (1 - \frac{1}{2}(x^i p_i)) \partial_{p_i}; \rangle$$

where $Q = \sum_i Q^i = \sum_i (x^i \partial_{x^i} - p_i \partial_{p_i})$ is the generator of hyperbolic rotation $H_t : (p; x) \rightarrow (e^t p; e^{-t} x)$.

and abelian subalgebra

$$3) x = \langle X_s = \frac{\partial}{\partial x^s} \rangle :$$

Generators $Q^i; X_j; D^j$ satisfy to the following commutator relations

$$[Q^i; X_s] = \delta^i_s X_j; [Q^i; D^s] = \delta^s_i D^i; [X_s; D^i] = \frac{1}{2} Q^i + \frac{1}{2} \delta^i_s Q : \quad (16.1)$$

Vector fields $Q^i; X_j; D^j$ are Hamiltonian with Hamiltonian functions

$$H_{Q^i} = x^i p_j; H_{X_k} = p_k; H_{D^s} = x^s (1 - \frac{\langle x; p \rangle}{2}) :$$

17. Hypersurface C.

There exists a natural lift of the constitutive hyperquadric C to the space P as the homogeneous hypersurface

$$C = f(p_i; x^i) x^0 p_0 + \sum_{i=1}^n p_i x^i = 0g : \quad (17.1)$$

The hyperquadric C is invariant under the action of $R : (x^i; p_i) \rightarrow (x^i; p_i)$ as well as under the hyperbolic rotations $(x^i; p_i) \rightarrow (x^i; -p_i)$:

Polarizing the coordinates $(x^i; p_j)$ - introducing new coordinates $x^i = x^i + p_i; p_j = x^j - p_j$ we rewrite the equation of C as follows

$$\sum_{i=0}^n (x^i - p_i)^2 = 0 :$$

From this we see that the hyperquadric C is a cone in the space P of signature $(n+1; n+1)$.

18. (P; ; ;) as the indefinite Sasakian manifold.

Recall that an almost contact manifold $(M^{2n+1}; \eta; \xi; \phi)$ is called Sasakian if the almost complex structure on the manifold M^{2n+1} defined by

$$J(X; f \eta_t) = (X - f \xi; (X) \phi) \eta_t \quad (18.1)$$

is integrable. Here t is the coordinate on the factor R of the product, $f \in C^1(M \times R)$, see [5].

Sasakian manifolds are considered to be the natural odd-dimensional analog of Kähler manifolds, [5], Chapter 6.

A necessary and sufficient condition for the integrability of an almost complex structure is the vanishing of the Nijenhuis tensor of the (1,1)-tensor J:

$$N_J(X; Y) = J^2[X; Y] + [JX; JY] - J[JX; Y] - J[X; JY] :$$

In the situation where $(M; \alpha)$ is the contact manifold and the almost contact structure $(\phi; \xi; \eta)$ is associated with the contact structure (so that in particular, the 1-form $\eta = \alpha$ is the same in both structures and ξ is the Reeb vector of the contact structure) it is natural to study the integrability of the almost complex structure defined by (18.1) on the symplectization of the manifold $(M; \alpha)$:

In the case of the standard contact structure $(P; \alpha)$, the symplectization of P is naturally embedded in the symplectic vector space $(P^*; \omega)$ which can be considered as the product manifold $P \times \mathbb{R}$.

Even in the case of a general contact manifold this seems to be a natural modification of the definition of "normality" of an almost contact structure.

Proposition 7. The Nijenhuis tensor N_J of the almost complex structure defined by the formula (18.1) on the symplectization P^* of the manifold $(P; \alpha; \xi; \eta)$ with α given in (5.12) is identically zero. As a result, $(P^*; J)$ is a complex manifold and $(P; \alpha; \xi; \eta)$ is an "indefinite Sasakian manifold".

Proof. With a slight abuse of notations we will use the coordinate t instead of p_0 for the $n+2$ -th coordinate in P^* . By the linearity of the condition $N_J(X; Y) = 0$, it is sufficient to only check vectors from some frame. We will use the frame $(\{X_i; P_j; \xi_t\})$ for the calculations.

Recall that

$$(X_i = P_i; (P_i) = X_i; (\xi_t) = 0;$$

With this we get

$$J(X_i) = (X_i) + (X_i)\xi_t; X_j = T(P_j); J(\xi_t) = \xi_t; J(\xi_t) = \xi_t;$$

Now we calculate

$$N_J(\xi_t; \xi_t) = J^2[\xi_t; \xi_t] + [J\xi_t; J\xi_t] - J[J\xi_t; \xi_t] - J[\xi_t; J\xi_t] = 0 + [\xi_t; \xi_t] - J[\xi_t; \xi_t] - J[\xi_t; \xi_t] = 0;$$

$$N_J(X_i; \xi_t) = J^2[X_i; \xi_t] + [X_i; \xi_t] - J[X_i; \xi_t] - J[X_i; \xi_t] = [P_i; \xi_t] - J[P_i; \xi_t] - J0 = 0;$$

$$N_J(P_i; \xi_t) = J^2[P_i; \xi_t] + [X_i; \xi_t] - J[X_i; \xi_t] - J[P_i; \xi_t] = 0;$$

$$N_J(X_i; X_j) = J^2[X_i; X_j] + [P_i; \xi_t] - J[P_i; \xi_t] - J[X_i; \xi_t] = 0;$$

$$N_J(P_i; X_j) = J^2[P_i; X_j] + [X_i; \xi_t] - J[X_i; \xi_t] - J[P_i; \xi_t] = 0;$$

$$N_J(X_i; X_j) = J^2[X_i; X_j] + [P_i; P_j] - J[P_i; X_j] - J[X_i; P_j] = J(\xi_j) - J(\xi_j) = 0;$$

$$N_J(P_i; P_j) = J^2[P_i; P_j] + [X_i; X_j] - J[X_i; P_j] - J[P_i; X_j] = J(\xi_j) + J(\xi_j) = 0;$$

$$N_J(X_i; P_j) = J^2[X_i; P_j] + [P_i; X_j] - J[P_i; P_j] - J[X_i; X_j] = J^2[\xi_j] + \xi_j + 0 + 0 = 0;$$

□

Remark 5. Notice that the manifold $(P; \omega; \xi; \eta)$ is not cosymplectic in sense of the definition of Blair (see [5], Sec.6.5). More specially, the $(1,1)$ -tensor field is not parallel. To see this we notice that the formula

$$2g((\nabla_{X_i} Y; Z) = g(N^{(1)}(Y; Z); X_i) + 2d(Y; X_i)(Z) - 2d(Z; X_i)(Y)$$

for the covariant derivatives of the $(1,1)$ -tensor, proved in [5], Corollary 6.1, is true for an indefinite metric as well. In order to see that is not parallel, substitute in this formula $X = Z = X_i; Y = \xi$. Then $N^1 = 0$ by the previous Proposition, the second term in the right side of this formula is zero since X_i is ξ -horizontal, and

$$2d(X_i; X_i)(\xi) = 2!(\xi; X_i) = -2:$$

Moreover, since ξ is not a closed form, $(P; \omega; \xi; \eta)$ is not cosymplectic in sense of P Libermann either.

Remark 6. In the case of a contact metric manifold $(M; \eta; g)$ one has $Ric(\xi) = 2n - \text{tr}(h^2)$ for the tensor field $h = \frac{1}{2}N_J^{(3)}$ with the component $N_J^{(3)}$ of the Nijenhuis Tensor N_J , see [5], Corollary 7.1. Unlike the Riemannian case, we have for the metric $G Ric(\xi) = R_{ij} \xi^i \xi^j = R_{00} = \frac{n}{2}$.

19. Group action of A_1^n .

Denote by A_1 the Lie group of affine transformations of the real line R . In this section, we define an action of the product A_1^n on the space P^n of symplectization which is similar to the action of the Heisenberg group H_n on P^n (see [25]).

The Lie group A_1 of affine transformations of real line R can be identified with the group of 2×2 real matrices of the form

$$g = \begin{pmatrix} h & z \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} :$$

The Lie algebra a_1 of the group A_1 in this representation consists of matrices

$$Y(a; z) = \begin{pmatrix} a & z \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix};$$

and the exponential mapping $\exp : a_1 \rightarrow A_1$ takes the form

$$\exp \begin{pmatrix} a & z \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} e^a & e^a z \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} :$$

Left translations by the elements of the basic one-parameter group $\exp(tY(1;0))$:

$$\begin{pmatrix} e^t & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} h & z \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} e^t h & e^t z \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

generate the basic right invariant vector field

$$a = h\partial_h + z\partial_z;$$

while a similar action of the one-parameter group $\exp(tY(0;1))$ produces the right invariant vector field

$$z = \partial_z :$$

We have $[a; z] = z$.

For the right translations we have, respectively

$$\begin{pmatrix} h & z \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} e^t \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = e^t \begin{pmatrix} h & z \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad a = h @_h;$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} h & z & 1 & t \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} h & z + ht \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad z = h @_z: \quad (19.1)$$

We have $[a; z] = z$.

Consider now the identification of the Lie group A_1 with the upper half-space $R^2_+ = \{ (p; x) \in R^2 \mid p > 0 \}$

$$\sim : \begin{pmatrix} h & z \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad p = \begin{pmatrix} h & z \\ h^{-1} & z \end{pmatrix}; \quad \sim^{-1} : \begin{pmatrix} p & p^{-1}x \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

Under this identification

$$\begin{pmatrix} e^t & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} h & z \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} e^t h & \\ & z h^{-1} \end{pmatrix};$$

so that

$$(a) = p @_p;$$

Since

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & t & h & z \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} h & \\ & (z + t)h^{-1} \end{pmatrix};$$

we get

$$(c) = p^{-1} @_x;$$

We also calculate

$$(p dx) = dz - z h^{-1} dh; \quad (dp \wedge dx) = h^{-1} dh \wedge dz;$$

Now we apply these considerations to the product mapping

$$\begin{aligned} & \prod_{i=0}^n \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \prod_{i=0}^n \mathbb{R}^n \\ & = \prod_{i=0}^n : A_1^i, \quad P_+ = \{ (p_i; x_i) \in P \mid p_i > 0 \} \end{aligned}$$

We see that this mapping is the diffeomorphism satisfying

$$(i a) = p_i @_{p_i} = P_i; \quad (i z) = p_i^{-1} @_{x_i} = L_i;$$

In addition to this,

$$\begin{aligned} \prod_{i=0}^n (p_i dx^i) &= \prod_{i=0}^n (dz_i - z_i h_i^{-1} dh_i); \\ \prod_{i=0}^n (dp_i \wedge dx^i) &= \prod_{i=0}^n p_i^{-1} dp_i \wedge dz_i; \end{aligned} \quad (19.2)$$

Dual to the right invariant frame $a; z$ is the coframe

$$!_a = h^{-1} dh; \quad !_z = dz;$$

Thus,

$$\prod_{i=0}^n (dp_i \wedge dx^i) = \prod_{i=0}^n p_i^{-1} dp_i \wedge dz_i$$

is a right invariant symplectic structure on the group A_1^{n+1} :

Proposition 8. The mapping

$$= \prod_{i=0}^n \mathbb{R}^+ : \prod_{i=0}^n \mathbb{R}^+, \mathbb{F}^+ = \{ (p_i; x_i) \mid \sum p_i > 0 \}$$

defined by

$$\prod_{i=0}^n \begin{pmatrix} h_i & z_i \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, f(p_i; x^i) = (h_i; h_i^{-1} z_i)g$$

defines a symplectomorphism of the symplectic space $\mathbb{F}^+; \prod_{i=0}^n p_i dx^i$ with the product $\prod_{i=0}^n \mathbb{R}^+$ of $(n+1)$ copies of a line group \mathbb{R}^+ endowed with the symplectic structure generated by the right invariant 1-form $\prod_{i=0}^n (dz_i - z_i h_i^{-1} dh_i)$:

20. Hyperbolic Rotations and the projectivization of \mathbb{F}^+ .

In this section we construct a natural compactification of the TPS \mathbb{F}^+ endowed with the extension of the contact structure and that of the indefinite metric G .

Consider the action of the one-parameter group \mathbb{R} in the space \mathbb{F}^+ acting by the one-parameter group HR of hyperbolic rotations

$$g^t : (p_i; x^i) \mapsto (e^t p_i; e^{-t} x^i); \tag{20.1}$$

We have obviously

- Lemma 1. 1. The 1-form $\tilde{\omega}$ is invariant under this action of the group HR .
- 2. The metric G is invariant under the action of the group HR .

Proposition 9. The space $\hat{\mathbb{F}}^+$ of orbits of the points $\mathbb{F}^+ \setminus 0$ under the action of the group HR is canonically isomorphic to the projective space $P_{2n+1}(\mathbb{R})$.

Proof. Cover the space \mathbb{R}^{2n+2} with the open subsets of two types:

Sets of the first type are

$$\hat{U}_j = \{ m \cdot p_j \in \mathbb{R}^+ \};$$

and associate with these sets the affine domains

$$U_j \subset \mathbb{R}^{2n+1}$$

of the projective space $P_{2n+1}(\mathbb{R})$ with the coordinates

$$(x^i p_j; \frac{p_1}{p_j});$$

Sets of the second type are

$$\hat{V}_k = \{ m \cdot x^k \in \mathbb{R}^+ \};$$

and associate with these sets the affine domains

$$V_k \subset \mathbb{R}^{2n+1}$$

of the projective space $P_{2n+1}(\mathbb{R})$ with the coordinates

$$\left(\frac{x^i}{x^k}; p_1 x^k\right):$$

Notice that on the intersections $U_{j_1} \setminus U_{j_2}$ we have relations between the corresponding affine coordinates

$$x^i p_{j_2} = x^i p_{j_1} \frac{p_{j_2}}{p_{j_1}}; \frac{p_k}{p_{j_2}} = \frac{p_k}{p_{j_1}} \frac{p_{j_1}}{p_{j_2}}:$$

On the intersections $U_j \setminus V_k$, we have relations between the corresponding affine coordinates

$$x^l p_j = \frac{x^l}{x^k} \left(\frac{1}{p_j}\right); \frac{p_l}{p_j} = p_1 x^k \left(\frac{1}{x^k p_j}\right):$$

Finally, on the intersections $V_{j_1} \setminus V_{j_2}$ we have relations between the corresponding affine coordinates

$$\frac{x^k}{x^{j_2}} = \frac{x^k}{x^{j_1}} \frac{x^{j_1}}{x^{j_2}}; p_1 x^{j_2} = p_1 x^{j_1} \frac{x^{j_2}}{x^{j_1}}:$$

This shows that affine coordinates of all the affine charts are related by the transition functions invariant under the action of hyperbolic rotations. Thus, they are glued into the standard projective space $P_{2n+1}(\mathbb{R})$. \square

Combining Lemma 2 and the previous construction we get the following

- Proposition 10. 1. The projections $\hat{\pi}$ of the 1-form $\tilde{\omega}$ and that of the metric \hat{G} of G endow the projective space \hat{P} with the contact structure and the metric of signature $(n+1; n)$:
2. The composition J of the embedding $j: P \rightarrow \hat{P}$ and the projection $\hat{P} \rightarrow \hat{P}$ defines the compactification $(\hat{P}; \hat{G})$ of the TPS $(P; G)$ with the contact structure and Minkowski metric G .

21. Group action of H_n and the "partial orbit structure" of \hat{P} .

In this section we consider the lift to the space \hat{P} of the action of the group H_n on P discussed in Sec. 12 and the action of subgroups of H_n on the cells of an n -dimensional CW-structure of the projective space \hat{P} .

The differential operators $X_i; P_j$ of the canonical frame (5.5) act also in the space \hat{P} with the same commutator relations. This action generates the action of the Lie group H_n on the space \hat{P} leaving hyperplanes $p_0 = \text{const}$ invariant.

Introduce the sequence $L_k; k = 1; \dots; n$ of subgroups of the Heisenberg group H_n defined by the condition

$$L_{n-k} = \{g(a; b; c) p_1 = \dots = p_k = 0\} \tag{21.1}$$

These subgroups form the series

$$H_n \supset L_{n-1} \supset L_{n-2} \supset \dots \supset L_0:$$

It is easy to see that

$$L_{n-k} \cong \mathbb{R}^k \times H_{n-k} \tag{21.2}$$

is the product of the k -dimensional abelian group \mathbb{R}^k and the Heisenberg group H_{n-k} .

The right invariant vector fields on H_n tangent to (and generated by) the subgroup L_{n-k} are (in terms of the isomorphism of Sec. 12) $\{X_i; i = 1; \dots; n; P_j; j = k+1; \dots; n\}$.

In the space P' , consider the affine planes V_k defining the cells of the standard cell structure of the projective space $\hat{P} = P_{2n+1}(R)$ with respect to the (hyperbolically) homogeneous coordinates of \hat{P}

$$V_k = \{f(x^i; p_j) | p_0 = p_1; \dots; p_{k-1} = 0; p_k = 1\} \tag{21.3}$$

with $k = 0; 1; \dots; n$. It is clear that the projective space \hat{P} is obtained by gluing to the cell $V_0 = j(P')$ the smaller cells $V_1; V_2; V_3; \dots$ consecutively and, finally, by gluing in n -dim projective space $P_n(R)$ obtained by the action of hyperbolic rotations (usual dilatations here) on the subspace $V_{n+1} = \{f(x^i; p_j) = 0 | j = 0; \dots; n\}$.

It is easy to see now that each cell V_k is canonically diffeomorphic to the group L_{n-k} whose action on V_k is induced by the action of the Heisenberg group H_n on the space P' considered above.

So, even though the action of H_n on P cannot be extended to the compactification \hat{P} , a coherent action of the subgroups of series (21.1) produces the partial cell structure of \hat{P} starting with the projective subspace $V_{n+1} \subset P_n(R)$.

The restriction of the 1-form $\tilde{\gamma}$ to the cell V_k has the form

$$\tilde{\gamma}_k = \sum_{i=k+1}^n p_i dx^i + \dots$$

Therefore, this form determines the canonical contact structure on the Heisenberg factor of the cell $V_k \subset L_{n-k} \subset R^k \subset H_{n-k}$ and is zero on the first factor.

The restriction of the Murgala metric G to the cell V_k has, in variables $(p_k; \dots; p_n; x^0; \dots; x^{k-1}; x^k; \dots; x^n)$, the form

$$G_k = G|_{V_k} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & & & 1 \\ 0_{(n-k) \times (n-k)} & 0_{(n-k) \times k} & I_{n-k} & \\ 0_k \times (n-k) & 0_{k \times k} & 0_{k \times (n-k)} & A \\ I_{n-k} & 0_{(n-k) \times (n-k)} & P_i P_j & \end{pmatrix} \tag{21.4}$$

Thus, this metric is zero on the first abelian factor of the cell $V_k \subset L_{n-k}$ and coincides with the Murgala metric on the Heisenberg factor H_{n-k} of the cell V_k .

Combining these arguments we get the following

- Theorem 4.**
1. The restriction of the action of the Heisenberg group H_n on the space $P \subset V_0$ (embedded in \hat{P}) to the subgroup $L_{n-k} \subset R^k \subset H_{n-k}$ of the form (23.1) extends to the action of this subgroup on the cell $(V_k) \subset \hat{P}$ and determines the diffeomorphism of the group L_{n-k} with V_k and with its image $(V_k) \subset \hat{P}$:
 2. Restrictions of the 1-form $\tilde{\gamma}$ and metric G to the cell V_k endow the Heisenberg factor H_{n-k} of V_k with the contact structure $\tilde{\gamma}_k$ and the Murgala metric G_k and are both zero on the abelian factor R^k .

Remark 7. Every cell V_k represents the thermodynamical phase space of an abstract thermodynamical system with n extensive and $n-k$ intensive variables. This corresponds to a situation where the thermodynamical potential $\phi(x^i)$ depends on $x_{k+1}; \dots; x^n$ but not on the first k extensive variables x^1 . As a result the participation of factors $x^i; i = 0; \dots; k-1$ in the processes is "switched out" and they become parameters only.

Example 3. Consider the case $n = 2$, i.e. take P^5 to be 5-dimensional with the contact form $\omega = dU - SdT + p dV$ (a one-component homogeneous system, per 1 mole). Hypersurface C (see Sec.11) has, in this case, the well known form $U - ST + pV = 0$. Its lift to $\tilde{P}^5 - C$ has the form $p_0 U - ST + pV = 0$:

Intersection of this quadric with the plane $p_0 = 0$ is the (degenerate) quadric $C_1 : pV = ST$. Fixing value of S , say, taking $S = R$ const determine the cell V_1 that projects onto the cell V_1 of the compact space \hat{P}^5 . Image of the quadric C_1 under this projection determine in the 3dim H_1 -factor of the cell V_1 the surface $pV = RT$ given by the equation of mono-atomic ideal gas.

Hypersurface C is the submanifold containing all the constitutive (equilibrium) surfaces of all thermodynamical systems with the TPS P^5 . Closure of these surfaces in \hat{P}^5 contains points from cells of smaller dimension $V_1; V_2$: Thus, equation of a mono-atomic ideal gas appears here as the equation of the surface formed by the limit points in V_1 of all possible constitutive surfaces in $(P^5; \omega = dU - SdT + p dV)$.

Remark 8. The construction of a compact manifold \hat{P}^5 in terms of a series of subgroups (23.1) of a Lie group H represents a way to represent a manifold in terms of a Lie group with the open dense orbit isomorphic to the group H itself and a natural Whitney stratification in terms of extension of subgroup actions on the cells of smaller dimension. Removed generators (here $P_i; i = 1; \dots; k$) determine the projections from cells of higher dimension to the cells in their closure (see [33]).

22. Conclusion

In this work we've studied basic properties of the indefinite metric G of Riemannian defined on the contact $(2n+1)$ -dimensional phase space $(P; \omega)$ of a homogeneous thermodynamical system. We have calculated the curvature tensor, Killing vector fields, and the second fundamental form of the Legendre submanifolds of P - constitutive surfaces of different homogeneous thermodynamical systems. We established an isomorphism of the TPS $(P; \omega; G)$ with the Heisenberg Lie group H_n endowed with the right invariant contact structure and the right invariant indefinite metric. We lifted the metric G to a metric \tilde{G} of signature $(n+1; n+1)$ in the symplectization \tilde{P} of the contact space $(P; \omega)$ and studied curvature properties and Killing vector fields of this metric. Finally we introduced the "hyperbolic projectivization" of the space $(\tilde{P}; \tilde{\omega}; \tilde{G})$ that can be considered as the natural compactification (with the contact structure and the indefinite metric) of the TPS space $(P; \omega; G)$:

Many interesting questions were left outside of this paper - study of the geodesics of metric G , the relation of metric properties of $(P; G)$ with the contact transformations (see [20, 3]), the characterization of the submanifolds of signature changes of the thermodynamical metrics on the Legendre submanifolds (related to the phase transitions in the corresponding homogeneous thermodynamical systems) in terms of the Grassmannian of the Legendre n -dim subspaces in P , the use of the geometry of Heisenberg group H_n to the study of Legendre submanifolds of $(P; \omega)$, and others. Some of these questions will be considered in the continuation of this work.

In the conclusion we would like to thank Professor M. Goze for the useful information about contact and metric structures on the Heisenberg group.

23. Appendix: Killing vector fields for G .

In this Appendix we provide the details of calculations of Killing vector fields of metric G .

Conditions for a vector field Z on a manifold M with a (pseudo-Riemannian) metric g to be a Killing vector field have the form $L_Z g = 0$, or, in local coordinates $(p_i; x^j)$,

$$\frac{\partial g_{ij}}{\partial z^k} Z^k + g_{ik} \frac{\partial Z^k}{\partial z^j} + g_{kj} \frac{\partial Z^k}{\partial z^i} = 0; \text{ for all } i, j, k: \quad (23.1)$$

We take a vector field X in \mathcal{P} in the form

$$X = p_i \partial_{p_i} + x^s \partial_{x^s} \quad (23.2)$$

and consider cases of different pairs of indices (ij) .

Case $(ij) = (p_i p_s)$.

$$0 + \frac{p_i}{k} \partial_{p_s} x^k + \frac{p_s}{k} \partial_{p_i} x^k = 0$$

or

$$\partial_{p_s} x^i + \partial_{p_i} x^s = 0: \quad (23.3)$$

Case $(ij) = (p_i x^s)$:

$$0 + \frac{1}{k} \partial_{x^s} x^k + \left(\frac{s}{k} \partial_{p_i} p_k + p_s p_k \partial_{p_i} x^k \right) = 0;$$

or

$$\partial_{x^s} x^i + \partial_{p_i} p_s + p_s p_k \partial_{p_i} x^k = 0: \quad (23.4)$$

Case $(ij) = (x^i x^j)$.

$$(p_i p_j + p_j p_i) + \left(\frac{i}{k} \partial_{x^j} p_k + p_i p_k \partial_{x^j} x^k \right) + \left(\frac{j}{k} \partial_{x^i} p_k + p_j p_k \partial_{x^i} x^k \right) = 0;$$

or

$$(p_i p_j + p_j p_i) + (\partial_{x^j} p_i + p_i p_k \partial_{x^j} x^k) + (\partial_{x^i} p_j + p_j p_k \partial_{x^i} x^k) = 0: \quad (23.5)$$

We will need the following

Lemma 2. Let $f^i(y^1; \dots; y^n)$ be n functions of n variables x^i such that for all i, j

$$\partial_{y^i} f^j + \partial_{y^j} f^i = 0;$$

then

$$f^i = a_j^i y^j + b^i$$

with some constant vector b^i and skew-symmetric constant matrix $(a_j^i) : a_i^j = -a_j^i$.

Proof. Apply ∂_{y^k} to the condition of Lemma. We get

$$\partial_{y^k} \partial_{y^i} f^j + \partial_{y^k} \partial_{y^j} f^i = 0:$$

cyclic permutation of indices kij give us two more equalities. Add first two equations and subtract the third one. We get

$$2\partial_{y^i} \partial_{y^j} f^k = 0:$$

This being true for all triples of indices ijk shows that all second derivatives of all functions f^k are zero.

Therefore

$$f^i = \sum_j a_j^i y^j + b^i$$

with constant coefficients.

Writing down condition of Lemma for these linear functions we find that the matrix a_j^i is skew-symmetric. \square

Applying this Lemma to the functions x^i with p_1 as arguments in Lemma we have due to the equality (23.3)

$$x^i = a_1^i(x)p_1 + b^i(x) \quad (23.6)$$

with an skew-symmetric matrix function $a_j^i(x)$ and scalar functions $b^i(x)$. This solves equations (23.3).

Substituting these expressions into the other two families of equations we present these equations in the form

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_{x^s} a_1^k(x)p_k + \partial_{x^s} b^1 + \partial_{p_1} p^s + p_s p_k a_k^1(x) = 0; \\ p_i p^j + p_j p^i + (\partial_{x^j} p^i + \partial_{x^i} p^j) + (p_i p_k [\partial_{x^j} (a_k^1(x)p_1 + b^k(x))] + p_j p_k [\partial_{x^i} (a_k^1(x)p_1 + b^k(x))]) = 0; \end{aligned} \quad (23.7)$$

Rewrite first equation in the form

$$\partial_{p_1} p^s = \partial_{x^s} a_1^k(x)p_k + p_s p_k a_k^1(x) - \partial_{x^s} b^1; \quad (23.8)$$

where we have used skew-symmetry of matrix a in the last term, and apply ∂_{p_m} to this formula. We get

$$\partial_{p_m} \partial_{p_1} p^s = \partial_{x^s} a_1^m(x) + p_s a_1^m(x) - \sum_m a_1^k p_k :$$

Switching m and l we get

$$\partial_{p_1} \partial_{p_m} p^s = \partial_{x^s} a_m^1(x) + p_s a_m^1(x) + \sum_1 a_m^k p_k :$$

Equating terms in the right side that do not depend on p we get

$$\partial_{x^s} a_1^m(x) = \partial_{x^s} a_m^1(x) - \partial_{x^s} (2a_1^m(x)) = 0:$$

Thus, matrix (a_1^m) is constant.

Equating terms linear by p we get

$$p_s a_1^m(x) + \sum_m a_1^k p_k = p_s a_m^1(x) + \sum_1 a_m^k p_k :$$

For $s \notin m; 1$ this gives $a_m^1 = a_1^m$ and, due to the skew-symmetry of matrix a , $a_m^1 = 0$.

For $s = 1 \notin m$ we get $p_1 a_1^m = p_1 a_m^1 + a_m^k p_k$. From this it follows that $a_m^k = 0$ for all $k \notin 1$ and that $a_m^1 = 0$ as well. Finally, if $s = 1 = m$ we get by the skew-symmetry of a_j^i that $a_1^1 = 0$. Therefore, matrix a is zero ($a_j^i = 0$) and

$$x^s = b^s(x); \quad (23.9)$$

As a result, first of the equations (23.7) reads now

$$\partial_{p_1} p^s = \partial_{x^s} b^1(x);$$

i.e. p^s depends on variables p_1 linearly

$$p^s = \partial_{x^s} b^1(x)p_1 + h^s(x); \quad (23.10)$$

This solves the first of equations (23.7).

The second equation in (23.7) can now be written in the form

$$p_i (\partial_{x^j} b^l(x) p_l + h^j(x)) + p_j (\partial_{x^i} b^l(x) p_l + h^i(x)) + (\partial_{x^j} (\partial_{x^i} b^l(x) p_l + h^i(x)) + \partial_{x^i} (\partial_{x^j} b^l(x) p_l + h^j(x))) + (p_i \partial_{x^j} p_k b^k(x) + p_j \partial_{x^i} p_k b^k(x)) = 0; \quad (23.11)$$

or

$$p_i h^j(x) + p_j h^i(x) + (\partial_{x^j} h^i(x) + \partial_{x^i} h^j(x)) - 2 \partial_{x^i} \partial_{x^j} (b^l(x) p_l) = 0; \quad (23.12)$$

Terms independent on p give us

$$\partial_{x^j} h^i(x) + \partial_{x^i} h^j(x) = 0;$$

for all $i; j$. The lemma above gives us

$$h^i(x) = \sum_j q_j^i x^j + k^i \quad (23.13)$$

with constant coefficients and $q_j^i = -q_i^j$:

Linear by p part of equality (23.12) has the form

$$p_i h^j(x) + p_j h^i(x) = 2 \partial_{x^i} \partial_{x^j} (b^l(x) p_l); \quad (23.14)$$

Taking derivatives by p_i and then by x^l we get,

$$\partial_{p_i} : \quad h^j(x) + \sum_i^j h^i(x) = 2 \partial_{x^i} \partial_{x^j} b^i(x) \quad \text{no summation}; \quad (23.15)$$

and then as the coefficient of p_i (using (23.13)):

$$\partial_{x^i} : \quad q_l^j + \sum_i^j q_l^i = 2 \partial_{x^i} \partial_{x^i} \partial_{x^j} b^i(x) \quad \text{no summation}; \quad (23.16)$$

Since the right side in the second equation (s) is symmetrical by $(i; j)$, one has

$$q_l^j + \sum_i^j q_l^i = q_j^1 + \sum_i^1 q_j^i; \quad \text{no summation};$$

For $i = j$ last equality gives (since matrix q is skew-symmetric)

$$2q_l^i = q_l^1 = -q_l^1;$$

so that for all $i; l$ $q_l^i = 0$ and therefore,

$$h^i(x) = k^i; \quad (23.17)$$

Substituting this back to (23.14) we get

$$p_i k^j + p_j k^i = 2 \partial_{x^i} \partial_{x^j} (b^l(x) p_l); \quad (23.18)$$

Differentiating by $p_i; l \notin i; j$ we get

$$\partial_{x^i} \partial_{x^j} b^l(x) = 0;$$

As a result, $b^l(x)$ is linear by all variables except x^l

$$b^l(x) = \sum_{k \neq l} \frac{1}{k} (x^l) x^k + \frac{1}{l} (x^l); \quad (23.19)$$

Using $h^i(x) = k^i$ in (23.15) we get

$$k^j + \sum_i^j k^i = 2 \partial_{x^i} \partial_{x^j} b^i(x) \quad \text{no summation}; \quad (23.20)$$

Taking here first $i = j = l$, then $i = l \notin j = r$ we find

$$\partial_{x^l}^2 b^l(x) = k^l; \quad \partial_{x^r} \partial_{x^l} b^l(x) = \frac{1}{2} k^r;$$

Substituting here the expression for $b^1(x)$ from (23.9) we get the first equation in the form

$$k^1 = \sum_{r \neq 1} \partial_{x^1}^2 \frac{1}{r} x^r + \partial_{x^1}^2 \frac{1}{1} (x^1):$$

Therefore, $\frac{1}{r}$ is linear by x^1 while

$$\frac{1}{r} = \frac{1}{2} k^1 (x^1)^2 + \frac{1}{r} x^1 + \frac{1}{r}:$$

The second equation, valid for $1 \neq r$, gives $\frac{1}{2} k^1 = \partial_{x^1} \frac{1}{r} (x^1)$ and therefore

$$\frac{1}{r} = \frac{1}{2} k^r x^1 + \frac{1}{r}:$$

Combining yields the coefficients of b^1 and using them in (23.19) we find

$$x^1 = \sum_{r \neq 1} \left(\frac{1}{2} k^r x^1 + \frac{1}{r} \right) x^r + \frac{1}{2} k^1 (x^1)^2 + \frac{1}{1} x^1 + \frac{1}{1} = \sum_r \left(\frac{1}{2} k^r x^r \right) x^1 + \sum_{r \neq 1} \frac{1}{r} x^r + \frac{1}{1} x^1 + \frac{1}{1}; \tag{23.21}$$

or, renaming coefficient $\frac{1}{1} = \frac{1}{1}$,

$$x^1 = \sum_r \left(\frac{1}{2} k^r x^r \right) x^1 + \sum_r \frac{1}{r} x^r + \frac{1}{1}; \tag{23.22}$$

The equality (23.10) with the found values of the coefficients gives us

$$p_s = \sum_1 \partial_{x^s} x^1 p_1 + k_s = k_s \sum_1 \left(\frac{1}{s} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_r \frac{1}{s} (k^r x^r) + \frac{1}{2} x^1 k^s \right) p_1 = \sum_1 \frac{1}{s} p_1 + \sum_r k^r \frac{s}{r} \frac{1}{2} \sum_r (x^1 p_1) \frac{1}{2} x^r p_s : \tag{23.23}$$

As a result we get for a Killing vector field X the following representation with arbitrary scalar coefficients $k^r; \frac{s}{r}; \frac{s}{s}$

$$X = x^s \partial_{x^s} + p_1 \partial_{p_1} = \sum_s \sum_r \left(\frac{1}{2} k^r x^r \right) x^s + \sum_r \frac{s}{r} x^r + \frac{s}{s} \partial_{x^s} + \sum_s \sum_1 \frac{1}{s} p_1 + \sum_r k^r \frac{s}{r} \frac{1}{2} \sum_r (x^1 p_1) \frac{1}{2} x^r p_s \partial_{p_s} : \tag{23.24}$$

Splitting these expressions in accordance with the different independent parameters we get the following basis of the Lie algebra of Killing vector fields:

$\frac{s}{s}$ -term

$$X_s = \partial_{x^s}; \tag{23.25}$$

$\frac{s}{r}$ -term

$$Q_s^r = x^r \partial_{x^s} - p_s \partial_{p_r}; \tag{23.26}$$

k^i -terms

$$D^i = \frac{x^i}{2} [k^s \partial_{x^s} - p_1 \partial_{p_1}] + (1 - \frac{1}{2} (x^1 p_1)) \partial_{p_1} = \frac{x^i}{2} Q + (1 - \frac{1}{2} (x^1 p_1)) \partial_{p_1}; \tag{23.27}$$

where

$$Q = \sum_s X_s \quad Q_s^i = \sum_s (x^s \partial_{x^s} - p_s \partial_{p_s}) X_s^i$$

Vector fields X^i form the abelian Lie subalgebra \mathfrak{x} of Lie algebra of Killing vector fields $k_{\mathfrak{g}}$.

It is easy to see that $(n+1)^2$ vector fields Q_s^i form the Lie subalgebra \mathfrak{q} of the type $\mathfrak{gl}(n+1; \mathbb{R})$ since

$$[Q_j^i, Q_k^p] = p_j Q_k^i - i Q_j^p$$

similar to the commutator relations of the basic matrices E_j^i of $\mathfrak{gl}(n+1; \mathbb{R})$. Vector field Q generate the center of this Lie subalgebra.

We also have

$$[Q_j^i, X_s] = -X_s^j \quad [Q_j^i, X_s] = -X_s^j$$

so that adjoint action of \mathfrak{q} on \mathfrak{x} is isomorphic to the standard action of $\mathfrak{gl}(n+1; \mathbb{R})$ on \mathbb{R}^{n+1} .

Calculate commutator $[D^i, D^j]$

$$\begin{aligned} [D^i, D^j] &= \left[\frac{x^i}{2} Q + \left(1 - \frac{1}{2} (x^1 p_1)\right) \partial_{p_1}, \frac{x^j}{2} Q + \left(1 - \frac{1}{2} (x^1 p_1)\right) \partial_{p_j} \right] = \frac{x^i}{2} f_s^X x^s \left(\frac{x^j}{2} Q + \frac{x^j}{2} \partial_{x^s} \right) + p_1 \frac{x^j}{2} \partial_{p_1} + \\ &+ \sum_s x^s \left(\frac{p_s}{2} \right) \partial_{p_j} \sum_m p_m \left(\frac{x^m}{2} \right) \partial_{p_j} g + \left(1 - \frac{x^1 p_1}{2}\right) f_s^X \frac{x^j}{2} \left(\partial_{p_1} \right) \frac{x^i}{2} \partial_{p_j} g = \\ &= \frac{x^i}{2} f_s^X \frac{1}{2} Q x^j + \frac{x^j}{2} \sum_s x^s \partial_{x^s} + \frac{x^j}{2} \sum_s p_1 \partial_{p_1} g + \left(1 - \frac{x^1 p_1}{2}\right) f_s^X \frac{1}{2} (x^j \partial_{p_1} + x^i \partial_{p_j}) g = \\ &= \frac{1}{4} Q x^i x^j + \frac{1}{4} x^i x^j \sum_s x^s \partial_{x^s} + \frac{x^i x^j}{2} \sum_s p_1 \partial_{p_1} + \left(1 - \frac{x^1 p_1}{2}\right) f_s^X \frac{1}{2} (x^j \partial_{p_1} + x^i \partial_{p_j}) g = 0: \end{aligned} \tag{23.28}$$

Last expression is equal zero since all its terms are symmetrical by (ij) . To check this one can also use the relations

$$Q \left(1 - \frac{\langle p; x \rangle}{2}\right) = 0; \quad [x^i Q, x^j Q] = 0:$$

Thus, vector fields D^i also form the abelian Lie subalgebra \mathfrak{d} of \mathfrak{k} .

Next we calculate

$$\begin{aligned} [D^s, Q_j^i] &= \left[\frac{x^s}{2} Q + \left(1 - \frac{1}{2} (x^1 p_1)\right) \partial_{p_s}, x^i \partial_{x^j} - p_j \partial_{p_j} \right] = \frac{x^s}{2} f_m^X x^m \partial_{x^j} + p_1 \frac{x^j}{2} \partial_{p_1} g + \\ &+ f \left(1 - \frac{1}{2} (x^1 p_1)\right) \partial_{p_s} \left(\partial_{p_j} \right) g - x^i \left[\frac{1}{2} Q + \frac{x^s}{2} \partial_{x^j} + \left(\frac{1}{2} p_j \right) \partial_{p_s} \right] + p_j \left[\frac{x^s}{2} \left(\partial_{p_1} \right) \frac{x^i}{2} \partial_{p_s} \right] = \\ &= \frac{x^s}{2} f x^i \partial_{x^j} + p_j \partial_{p_j} g - \left(1 - \frac{x^1 p_1}{2}\right) \partial_{p_s} \left[\frac{1}{2} Q + \frac{x^s}{2} \partial_{x^j} + \frac{p_j}{2} \partial_{p_s} \right] - \frac{x^s p_j}{2} \partial_{p_1} \frac{x^i p_j}{2} \partial_{p_s} = \\ &= \left(1 - \frac{x^1 p_1}{2}\right) \partial_{p_s} \frac{x^i}{2} Q = -\partial_{p_s} D^i; \end{aligned} \tag{23.29}$$

or

$$[D^s, Q_j^i] = -\partial_{p_s} D^i; \tag{23.30}$$

so, abelian subalgebra d is invariant under the adjoint action of q with the same standard action as for x .

Notice that

$$Q_j = Id_d; Q_k = Id_x:$$

Finally,

$$\begin{aligned} [X^s; D^i] &= [X^s; \frac{X^i}{2}Q + (1 - \frac{1}{2}(x^1 p_1))\mathbb{E}_{p_1}] = \frac{1}{2} \sum_k X^k \mathbb{E}_{x^k} \sum_l X^l \mathbb{E}_{p_l} + \frac{X^i}{2} \mathbb{E}_{x^s} - \frac{1}{2} X^s \mathbb{E}_{p_1} \\ &= \frac{1}{2} (X^i \mathbb{E}_{x^s} - X^s \mathbb{E}_{p_1}) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_k X^k \mathbb{E}_{p_k} = \frac{1}{2} Q_s^i + \frac{1}{2} \sum_k X^k Q_k : \end{aligned} \quad (23.31)$$

Next step is to prove that the Lie algebra $iso_{\mathbb{C}}$ of Killing vector fields is isomorphic to the Lie algebra $sl(n+2; \mathbb{R})$:

Consider the Lie algebra $gl(n+2; \mathbb{R})$ of real matrices and introduce several subspaces of this Lie algebra:

The Lie subalgebra g_{n+1} of matrices

$$g = \begin{pmatrix} A & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}; A \in gl(n+1; \mathbb{R})$$

with the basis formed by matrices E_{ij}^1 having the entry 1 at the ij place and zero otherwise,

the subspace d of matrices of the form

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & f \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}; f \in \mathbb{R}^n$$

with the basis f_{ij}^1 of matrices having entry 1 at the place $i(n+2)$ and zero at all other places,

subspace x of matrices of the form

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ e & 0 \end{pmatrix}; e \in \mathbb{R}^n$$

with the basis f_{ij}^2 of matrices having entry 1 at the place $(n+2)j$ and zero at all other places,

one-dimensional subspace of matrices

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & t \end{pmatrix}; t \in \mathbb{R}$$

with the basis E formed by the matrix $E = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$:

The Lie algebra $gl(n+2; \mathbb{R})$ is (as the vector space) the direct sum of these four subspaces. The commutator relations between the basis introduced above are

$$\begin{aligned} [E_{ij}^1; E_{kl}^1] &= \frac{1}{j} E_{ik}^1 - \frac{1}{k} E_{jl}^1; [E_{ij}^1; 1^k] = \frac{k}{j} 1^i; [E_{ij}^1; 1_k] = -\frac{1}{k} 1_j; \\ [E_{ij}^2; 1^k] &= 1^k; [E_{ij}^2; 1_k] = 1_k; [1^i; 1_j] = E_{ij}^1 - E_{ji}^1 : \end{aligned} \quad (23.32)$$

In the complexification $gl(n+2; \mathbb{C})$ of this Lie algebra consider the real Lie subalgebra $\hat{gl}(n+1; \mathbb{R})$ of matrices

$$\begin{pmatrix} A & if \\ ie & t \end{pmatrix} \quad (23.33)$$

where $A \in \mathfrak{gl}(n+1; \mathbb{R})$; $f; e \in \mathbb{R}^n$; $t \in \mathbb{R}$. The center of this Lie algebra is one-dimensional, formed by the matrices proportional to the unit matrix I_{n+2} . Factorization by this center defined the epimorphism $\pi: \hat{\mathfrak{gl}}(n+1; \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \hat{\mathfrak{sl}}(n+1; \mathbb{R})$ onto the Lie subalgebra of traceless matrices of the form (23.33).

Define the embedding $j: \mathfrak{iso}_G \rightarrow \mathfrak{gl}(n+2; \mathbb{C})$ as follows

$$j\left(\begin{matrix} P \\ \overline{2X_k} \end{matrix}; \begin{matrix} P \\ \overline{i1_k} \end{matrix}; \begin{matrix} P \\ \overline{2D^1} \end{matrix}; \begin{matrix} P \\ \overline{i1^1} \end{matrix}; \begin{matrix} P \\ \overline{Q_j^1} \end{matrix}; \begin{matrix} P \\ \overline{E_j^1} \end{matrix}\right)$$

It is easy to see that image of \mathfrak{iso}_G under this embedding is the subspace of $\hat{\mathfrak{gl}}(n+1; \mathbb{R})$: The commutator relations between the basic elements $X_k; D^s; Q_j^i$ are preserved except for the last one. We have

$$j\left(\begin{matrix} P \\ \overline{2D^1} \end{matrix}; \begin{matrix} P \\ \overline{2X_k} \end{matrix}\right) = j\left(\begin{matrix} P \\ \overline{Q_k^1} \end{matrix}; \begin{matrix} P \\ \overline{1_k Q} \end{matrix}\right) = \begin{matrix} P \\ \overline{E_k^1} \end{matrix} I_{n+1} = \begin{matrix} P \\ \overline{E_k^1} \end{matrix} \begin{matrix} P \\ \overline{1_k E} \end{matrix} + I_{n+2} = \\ = \begin{matrix} P \\ \overline{i1^1} \end{matrix}; \begin{matrix} P \\ \overline{i1_k} \end{matrix} I_{n+2} = j\left(\begin{matrix} P \\ \overline{2D^1} \end{matrix}\right); j\left(\begin{matrix} P \\ \overline{2X_k} \end{matrix}\right) I_{n+2}: \quad (23.34)$$

Combining the embedding j with the projection $\pi: \hat{\mathfrak{gl}}(n+1; \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \hat{\mathfrak{sl}}(n+1; \mathbb{R})$ we get the isomorphism of Lie algebras

$$\mathfrak{iso}_G \cong \hat{\mathfrak{sl}}(n+1; \mathbb{R});$$

The Lie algebras $\mathfrak{sl}(n+1; \mathbb{R})$ and $\hat{\mathfrak{sl}}(n+1; \mathbb{R})$ are dual to one another in the complex Lie algebra $\mathfrak{sl}(n+1; \mathbb{C})$ relative to the involution

$$\begin{matrix} A & f \\ e & t \end{matrix} \rightarrow \begin{matrix} A & f \\ e & t \end{matrix}$$

and isomorphic as Lie algebras (see [35]). Combining all the calculations above we get the proof of the following

Theorem 5. The Lie algebra $\mathfrak{iso}_G \cong \mathfrak{sl}(n+1; \mathbb{R})$ of Killing vector fields of metric G is (as the vector space) the linear sum

$$\mathfrak{iso}_G = \mathfrak{q} \oplus \mathfrak{x}$$

of subalgebras

$$1) \mathfrak{q} = \langle Q_j^i = x^i \partial_{x^j} - p_j \partial_{p_i} \rangle;$$

with the commutator relations

$$[Q_j^i; Q_k^p] = \begin{matrix} P \\ \overline{Q_k^1} \end{matrix} \begin{matrix} P \\ \overline{Q_j^1} \end{matrix} - \begin{matrix} P \\ \overline{Q_j^1} \end{matrix} \begin{matrix} P \\ \overline{Q_k^1} \end{matrix};$$

Subalgebra \mathfrak{q} is isomorphic thus, to $\mathfrak{gl}(n+1; \mathbb{R})$, the abelian subalgebra

$$2) \mathfrak{d} = \langle D^i = \frac{x^i}{2} Q + (1 - \frac{1}{2}(x^1 p_1)) \partial_{p_i} \rangle;$$

where $Q = \begin{matrix} P \\ \overline{Q_i^1} \end{matrix} \begin{matrix} P \\ \overline{Q_i^1} \end{matrix} = \begin{matrix} P \\ \overline{x^i \partial_{x^i} - p_i \partial_{p_i}} \end{matrix}$ is the generator of hyperbolic rotation $H_t: (p; x) \rightarrow (e^t p; e^{-t} x)$.

and abelian subalgebra

$$3) \mathfrak{x} = \langle X_s = \frac{\partial}{\partial x^s} \rangle;$$

Generators $Q_j^i; X_s; D^j$ satisfy to the following commutator relations

$$[Q_j^i; X_s] = \begin{matrix} P \\ \overline{X_s} \end{matrix} \begin{matrix} P \\ \overline{Q_j^1} \end{matrix}; [Q_j^i; D^s] = \begin{matrix} P \\ \overline{D^s} \end{matrix} \begin{matrix} P \\ \overline{Q_j^1} \end{matrix}; [X_s; D^i] = \frac{1}{2} Q_s^i + \frac{1}{2} \begin{matrix} P \\ \overline{Q_j^1} \end{matrix} \begin{matrix} P \\ \overline{Q_j^1} \end{matrix}: \quad (23.35)$$

Vector fields $Q_j^i; X_i; D^j$ are Hamiltonian with Hamiltonian functions

$$H_{Q_j^i} = x^i p_j; H_{X_k} = p_k; H_{D^s} = x^s \left(1 - \frac{\langle x; p \rangle}{2} \right);$$

References

- [1] V Arnold, *Mathematical Methods of Classical Mechanics*, Springer, 1989.
- [2] V Arnold, *Geometrical Methods in the Theory of Dynamical Systems*, Springer, 1988.
- [3] L Benayoun, *Méthodes géométriques pour l'étude des systèmes thermodynamiques et la génération d'équations d'état*, Thèse, INPG, Grenoble, 1999.
- [4] R. S. Berry, P. Salamon, E. Jhrig, *A Group of coordinate transformations which preserve the metric of Weinstein*, *J Math Phys.* 24 (10), 1983, 2515-2520.
- [5] D. Blair, *Riemannian Geometry of Contact and Symplectic Manifolds*, Birkhäuser, Boston, 2002.
- [6] H. Callen, *Thermodynamics*, Wiley, 1960.
- [7] C. Carathéodory, *Untersuchungen über die Grundlagen der Thermodynamik*, Gesammelte Mathematische Werke, B. 2., München, 1955, S. 131-177.
- [8] L. Dosi, P. Salamon, *From Statistical Distances to Minimally Dissipative Processes*, in "Thermodynamics of Energy Conversion and Transport" ed. S. Sieniutycz, A. de Vos., Springer, 2000, pp. 286-318.
- [9] J. W. Gibbs, *The Scientific Papers*, vol. 1, Dover Publ., 1961.
- [10] M. G. Z. G. Ze, *P. P. in Classificazione delle Metriche Invarianti a Gauge sulla Gruppo de Heisenberg*, *Rendiconti del circolo matematico di Palermo*, Ser. II, T. XXXIX (1990), pp. 299-306.
- [11] H. Heimeyer, *Pseudoriemannsche Faserbündel und ihre Anwendung in der allgemeinen relativistischen Nichtgleichgewichtsthermodynamik*, *Diss. Tech. Univ. Berlin*, Wissenschaft und Technik Verlag, Berlin, 1995.
- [12] R. Hermann, *Geometry, Physics and Systems*, Dekker, N.Y., 1973.
- [13] K. H. Hofmann, B. Andressen, P. Salamon, *Measures of dissipation*, *Physical Review A*, Vol. 39, n. 7, 1989, pp. 3618-3621.
- [14] H. Janyszek, *On the Riemannian Metric Structure in the Classical Statistical Equilibrium Thermodynamics*, *Reports of Mathematical Physics*, v. 24, No. 1, 1986, pp. 1-10.
- [15] H. Janyszek, R. M. Rugała, *Geometrical Structure of the state space in classical statistics and phenomenological thermodynamics*, *Reports of Mathematical Physics*, v. 27, No. 2, 1989, pp. 145-159.
- [16] A. Kirillov, *Local Lie algebras*, *Uspekhi Mat. Nauk*, v. 31, n. 4, pp. 57-76, 1976 (in Russian).
- [17] S. Kobayashi, K. Nomizu, *Foundations of Differential Geometry*, Wiley (Interscience), N.Y., Vol. I, 1963; Vol. II, 1969.
- [18] P. Libermann, C.-M. Marle, *Differential Geometry and Analytical Mechanics*, D. Reidel, 1987.
- [19] R. M. Rugała, *Geometrical Formulation of Equilibrium Phenomenological Thermodynamics*, *Reports of Mathematical Physics*, v. 14, No. 3, 1978, pp. 419-427.
- [20] R. M. Rugała, J. Nulton, J. Schon, P. Salamon, *Contact structure in thermodynamical theory*, *Reports of Mathematical Physics*, v. 29, No. 1, 1991, pp. 109-121.
- [21] R. M. Rugała, *On a Riemannian Metric on Contact Thermodynamic Spaces*, *Reports of Mathematical Physics*, v. 38, No. 3, 1996, pp. 339-348.
- [22] R. M. Rugała, *Geometrical methods in Thermodynamics*, in "Thermodynamics of Energy Conversion and Transport" ed. S. Sieniutycz, A. de Vos., Springer, 2000, pp. 257-285.
- [23] J. Nulton, P. Salamon, *Geometry of ideal gas*, *Physical Review A*, v. 31, n. 4, pp. 2520-2524, 1985.
- [24] B. O'Meil, *Semi-Riemannian Geometry*, Academic Press, N.Y., 1983.
- [25] A. Onishchik, E. Vinberg, (Eds), *Lie Groups and Lie Algebras III*, EMS, v. 41, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1994.
- [26] M. Postnikov, *Geometry VI. Riemannian Geometry*, EMS, v. 91, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2001.
- [27] S. Preston, *On the indefinite metrics compatible with the symplectic and contact structures, in preparation.*
- [28] G. Ruppeiner, *Riemannian geometry in thermodynamic fluctuation theory*, *Reviews of Modern Physics*, v. 67, n. 3, 1995, pp. 605-659.

- [29] G. Ruppeiner, Thermodynamical Curvature: Origin and Meaning, in "Nonequilibrium Theory and Extremum Principles, ed. by S. Sieniutycz, P. Salamon, Taylor and Francis, N.Y., 1990, pp.129-174.
- [30] P. Salamon, K.H. Andresson, P. Gait, S. Berry, The significance of Weinhold Length, J. Chem. Phys., v.73, n.2, 1980, 1001-1002.
- [31] P. Salamon, S. Berry, Thermodynamical Length and dissipated Availability, Physics Review Letters, v.51, n.13, 1983, 1127-1130
- [32] P. Salamon, J. Nulton, E. Thrig, J. Chem. Phys., 80, 436 (1984).
- [33] R. Thom, Ensembles et morphismes stratifiés, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc., (N.S.), 75, 1969, 240-284.
- [34] F. Weinhold, Metric Geometry of equilibrium thermodynamics, p. I-V, Journal of Chemical Physics, v.63, n.6, 2479-2483, 2484-2487, 2488-2495, 2496-2501, 1976, v.65, n.2, pp.559-564, 1976.
- [35] J.A. Wolf, A.G. Ray, Homogeneous spaces defined by Lie group automorphisms. II. Differential Geometry, v.2, 1968, pp.115-159.

Department of Mathematics and Statistics, Portland State University, Portland,
OR, USA

E-mail address: serge@math.pdx.edu

Department of Mathematics, University of Washington, Seattle, WA, USA

E-mail address: jimavargo@hotmail.com