

THE RESTRICTED KIRILLOV–RESHETIKHIN MODULES FOR THE CURRENT AND TWISTED CURRENT ALGEBRAS

VYJAYANTHI CHARI AND ADRIANO MOURA

INTRODUCTION

In this paper we define and study a family of \mathbf{Z}_+ -graded modules for the polynomial valued current algebra $\mathfrak{g}[t]$ and the twisted current algebra $\mathfrak{g}[t]^\sigma$ associated to a finite-dimensional classical simple Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} and a non-trivial diagram automorphism of \mathfrak{g} . The modules which we denote as $KR(m\omega_i)$ and $KR^\sigma(m\omega_i)$ respectively are indexed by pairs (i, m) , where i is a node of the Dynkin diagram and m is a non-negative integer, and are given by generators and relations. These modules are indecomposable, but usually reducible, and we describe their Jordan–Holder series by giving the corresponding graded decomposition as a direct sum of irreducible modules for the underlying finite-dimensional simple Lie algebra. Moreover, we prove that the modules are finite-dimensional and hence restricted, i.e., there exists an integer $n \in \mathbf{Z}_+$ depending only on \mathfrak{g} and σ such that $(\mathfrak{g} \otimes t^n)KR(m\omega_i) = 0$. It turns out that this graded decomposition is exactly the one predicted in [9, Appendix A], [10, Section 6] coming from the study of the Bethe Ansatz in solvable lattice models.

Our interest in these modules and the motivation for calling them the Kirillov–Reshetikhin modules arises from the fact that when we specialize the grading by setting $t = 1$, (or equivalently putting $q = 1$ in the formulae in [9], [10]) the character of the module is exactly the one predicted in [13], [14] for a family of irreducible finite-dimensional modules for the Yangian of \mathfrak{g} . Analogous modules for the untwisted quantum affine algebra associated to \mathfrak{g} are also known to exist with this decomposition [2] and these have been studied from a combinatorial viewpoint in [9],[15], [16], [17]. One of the methods used in [2] involves passing to the $q = 1$ limit of the modules for the quantum affine algebra, although the resulting modules are not graded or restricted. The methods used in [2] require a number of complicated results from the representation theory of the untwisted quantum affine algebras which have not been proved in the twisted case.

In this paper we show that the Kirillov–Reshetikhin modules can be studied in the non-quantum case. Thus we define graded restricted analogues and compute their graded characters without resorting to the quantum situation. As a consequence we have a mathematical interpretation of the parameter q which appears in the fermionic formulae in [9], [10]. Then we prove that the abstractly defined modules $KR(m\omega_i)$ have a concrete construction as follows: the $\mathfrak{g}[t]$ -module structure of the “fundamental” Kirillov–Reshetikhin modules (in most cases these modules correspond to taking $m = 1$) is described explicitly and then the module $KR(m\omega_i)$ is realized as a canonical submodule of a tensor product of the fundamental modules.

VC was partially supported by the NSF grant DMS-0500751.

Another motivation for our interest is the connection with Demazure modules, [3],[5],[6],[7]. Thus we are able to prove that the Kirillov–Reshetikhin modules for the current algebras are isomorphic as representations of the current algebra to the Demazure modules in multiples of the basic representation of the current algebras. Our methods also work for some nodes of the other exceptional algebras, we explain this together with the reasons for the difficulties for the exceptional algebras in the concluding section of this paper.

1. PRELIMINARIES

1.1. Notation. Let \mathbf{Z}_+ (resp. \mathbf{N}) be the set of non-negative (resp. positive) integers. Given a Lie algebra \mathfrak{a} , let $\mathbf{U}(\mathfrak{a})$ denote the universal enveloping algebra of \mathfrak{a} and $\mathfrak{a}[t] = \mathfrak{a} \otimes \mathbf{C}[t]$ the polynomial valued current Lie algebra of \mathfrak{a} . The Lie algebra $\mathfrak{a}[t]$ and its universal enveloping algebra are \mathbf{Z}_+ -graded where the grading is given by the powers of t . We shall identify \mathfrak{a} with the subalgebra $\mathfrak{a} \otimes 1$ of $\mathfrak{a}[t]$.

1.2. Classical simple Lie algebras. For the rest of the paper \mathfrak{g} denotes a complex finite-dimensional simple Lie algebra of type A_n, B_n, C_n or $D_n, n \geq 1$, and \mathfrak{h} a Cartan subalgebra of \mathfrak{g} . Let $I = \{1, \dots, n\}$ and $\{\alpha_i : i \in I\}$ (resp. $\{\omega_i : i \in I\}$) be a set of simple roots (resp. fundamental weights) of \mathfrak{g} with respect to \mathfrak{h} , R^+ (resp. Q, P) be the corresponding set of positive roots (resp. root lattice, weight lattice) and let Q^+, P^+ be the \mathbf{Z}_+ -span of the simple roots and fundamental weights respectively. It is convenient to set $\omega_0 = 0$. Let $\theta \in R^+$ be the highest root. For $i \in I$, let $\varepsilon_i : Q \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}$ be defined by requiring $\eta = \sum_{i \in I} \varepsilon_i(\eta) \alpha_i, \eta \in Q$. We assume throughout the paper that the simple roots are indexed as in [1]. Given $\alpha \in R$, let \mathfrak{g}_α be the corresponding root space. Fix non-zero elements $x_\alpha^\pm \in \mathfrak{g}_{\pm\alpha}, h_\alpha \in \mathfrak{h}$, such that

$$[h_\alpha, x_\alpha^\pm] = \pm 2x_\alpha^\pm, \quad [x_\alpha^+, x_\alpha^-] = h_\alpha.$$

Set $\mathfrak{n}^\pm = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in R^\pm} \mathfrak{g}_{\pm\alpha}$. Given any subset $J \subset I$ let $\mathfrak{g}(J)$ be the subalgebra of \mathfrak{g} generated by the elements $x_{\alpha_j}^\pm, j \in J$. The sets $R(J), P(J)$ etc. are defined in the obvious way.

Let $(,)$ be the form on \mathfrak{h}^* induced by the restriction of the Killing form of \mathfrak{g} to \mathfrak{h} normalized so that $(\theta, \theta) = 2$ and set $d_j = 2/(\alpha_j, \alpha_j)$.

1.3. Finite-dimensional \mathfrak{g} -modules. Given $\lambda \in P^+$, let $V(\lambda)$ be the irreducible finite-dimensional \mathfrak{g} -module with highest weight vector v_λ , i.e., the cyclic module generated by v_λ with defining relations:

$$\mathfrak{n}^+ v_\lambda = 0, \quad h v_\lambda = \lambda(h) v_\lambda, \quad (x_{\alpha_i}^-)^{\lambda(h_{\alpha_i})+1} v_\lambda = 0.$$

For $\mu \in P$, let $V(\lambda)_\mu = \{v \in V(\lambda) : h v = \mu(h) v, h \in \mathfrak{h}\}$. Any finite-dimensional \mathfrak{g} -module M is isomorphic to a direct sum $\bigoplus_{\lambda \in P^+} V(\lambda)^{\oplus m_\lambda(M)}, m_\lambda(M) \in \mathbf{Z}_+$.

Proposition. [18] *Let $\lambda, \mu \in P^+$. Then $V(\lambda) \otimes V(\mu)$ is generated as a \mathfrak{g} -module by the element $v_\lambda \otimes v_\mu^*$ and relations:*

$$h(v_\lambda \otimes v_\mu^*) = (\lambda + \mu^*)(h)(v_\lambda \otimes v_\mu^*),$$

and

$$(x_\alpha^+)^{-\mu^*(h_\alpha)+1} (v_\lambda \otimes v_\mu^*) = (x_\alpha^-)^{\lambda(h_\alpha)+1} (v_\lambda \otimes v_\mu^*) = 0,$$

for all $\alpha \in R^+$. Here μ^* is the lowest weight of $V(\mu)$ and $0 \neq v_\mu^* \in V(\mu)_{\mu^*}$. □

1.4. Graded Modules. Given a \mathfrak{g} -module M , we regard it as a $\mathfrak{g}[t]$ -module by setting $(x \otimes t^r)m = 0$ for all $m \in M$, $r \in \mathbf{N}$, and denote the resulting graded $\mathfrak{g}[t]$ -module by $\text{ev}_0(M)$. Let $V = \bigoplus_{s \in \mathbf{Z}_+} V[s]$ be a graded representation of $\mathfrak{g}[t]$ with $\dim(V[s]) < \infty$. Note that each $V[s]$ is a \mathfrak{g} -module. For any $s \in \mathbf{Z}_+$, let $V(s)$ be the $\mathfrak{g}[t]$ -quotient of V by the submodule $\bigoplus_{s' \geq s} V[s']$. Clearly

$$V(s)/V(s+1) \cong \text{ev}_0(V[s]),$$

and the irreducible constituents of V are just the irreducible constituents of $\text{ev}_0(V[s])$, $s \in \mathbf{Z}_+$.

2. THE KIRILLOV-RESHETIKHIN MODULES FOR $\mathfrak{g}[t]$

2.1. The modules $KR(m\omega_i)$.

Definition. Given $i \in I$ and $m \in \mathbf{Z}_+$, let $KR(m\omega_i)$ be the $\mathfrak{g}[t]$ -module generated by an element $v_{i,m}$ with relations,

$$(2.1) \quad \mathfrak{n}^+[t]v_{i,m} = 0, \quad hv_{i,m} = m\omega_i(h)v_{i,m}, \quad (h \otimes t^r)v_{i,m} = 0, \quad h \in \mathfrak{h}, r \in \mathbf{N},$$

and

$$(2.2) \quad (x_{\alpha_i}^-)^{m+1}v_{i,m} = (x_{\alpha_i}^- \otimes t)v_{i,m} = x_{\alpha_i}^- v_{i,m} = 0, \quad j \in I \setminus \{i\}.$$

□

Note that the modules $KR(m\omega_i)$ are graded modules since the defining relations are graded. The following is trivially checked.

Lemma. For all $i \in I$, $m \in \mathbf{Z}_+$, the module $\text{ev}_0(V(m\omega_i))$ is a quotient of $KR(m\omega_i)$. □

Remark. These modules were defined and studied initially in [2, Section 1] (where they were denoted as $W(i, m)$) as quotients of the finite-dimensional Weyl modules defined in [4]. In this paper however, we shall show directly that the modules $KR(m\omega_i)$ are finite-dimensional as a consequence of the analysis of their \mathfrak{g} -module structure.

2.2. The graded character of $KR(m\omega_i)$. We now state the main result of this section. We need some additional notation. For $i \in I$, $m \in \mathbf{Z}_+$, let $P^+(i, m) \subset P^+$ be defined by:

$$P^+(i, m) = P^+(i, \check{d}_i) + P^+(i, m - \check{d}_i), \quad m > \check{d}_i,$$

where $P^+(i, 1) = \omega_i$ if $\varepsilon_i(\theta) = \check{d}_i$ and in the other cases,

$$P^+(i, 1) = \{\omega_i, \omega_{i-2}, \dots, \omega_{\bar{i}}\}, \quad \mathfrak{g} = D_n,$$

$$P^+(i, 1) = \{\omega_i, \omega_{i-2}, \dots, \omega_{\bar{i}}\}, \quad \mathfrak{g} = B_n,$$

$$P^+(n, 2) = \{2\omega_n, \omega_{n-2}, \dots, \omega_{\bar{n}}\}, \quad \mathfrak{g} = B_n,$$

$$P^+(i, 2) = \{2\omega_i, 2\omega_{i-1}, \dots, 2\omega_1, 0\}, \quad \mathfrak{g} = C_n,$$

where $\bar{i} \in \{0, 1\}$ and $i = \bar{i} \pmod{2}$. Let μ_0, \dots, μ_k be the unique enumeration of the sets $P^+(i, \check{d}_i)$ chosen so that

$$\mu_j - \mu_{j+1} \in R^+, \quad \mu_j - \mu_{j+2} \in Q^+ \setminus R^+, \quad 0 \leq j \leq k-1.$$

Given $m = \check{d}_i m_0 + m_1$ with $0 \leq m_1 < \check{d}_i$ and $\mu \in P^+(i, m)$, we can clearly write $\mu = m_1 \omega_i + \mu_{j_1} + \dots + \mu_{j_{m_0}}$, where $j_r \in \{0, 1, \dots, k\}$ for $1 \leq r \leq m_0$. We say that the expression

is reduced if each j_r is minimal with the property that $\mu - \mu_{j_1} - \cdots - \mu_{j_r} \in P^+(i, m - r)$. Such an expression is clearly unique and we set

$$|\mu| = \sum_{r=1}^{m_0} j_r.$$

Theorem.

(i) Let $i \in I$, $m, s \in \mathbf{Z}_+$. We have,

$$KR(m\omega_i)[s] \cong \bigoplus_{\{\mu \in P^+(i, m) : |\mu| = s\}} V(\mu).$$

(ii) Write $m = \check{d}_i m_0 + m_1$, where $0 \leq m_1 < \check{d}_i$. The canonical homomorphism of $\mathfrak{g}[t]$ -modules

$$KR(m\omega_i) \rightarrow KR(m_1\omega_i) \otimes KR(\check{d}_i\omega_i)^{\otimes m_0}$$

mapping $v_{i, m} \mapsto v_{i, m_1} \otimes v_{i, \check{d}_i}^{\otimes m_0}$ is injective.

The rest of the section is devoted to the proof of this result.

2.3. Elementary properties of $KR(m\omega_i)$.

Proposition.

(i) *We have*

$$KR(m\omega_i) = \bigoplus_{\mu \in \mathfrak{h}^*} KR(m\omega_i)_\mu$$

and $KR(m\omega_i)_\mu \neq 0$ only if $\mu \in m\omega_i - Q^+$.

(ii) *Regarded as a \mathfrak{g} -module, $KR(m\omega_i)$ and $KR(m\omega_i)[s]$, $s \in \mathbf{Z}_+$, are isomorphic to a direct sum of irreducible finite-dimensional representations of \mathfrak{g} .*

(iii) *For all $0 \leq r \leq m$, there exists a canonical homomorphism $KR(m\omega_i) \rightarrow KR(r\omega_i) \otimes KR((m-r)\omega_i)$ of graded $\mathfrak{g}[t]$ -modules such that $v_{i, m} \mapsto v_{i, r} \otimes v_{i, (m-r)}$.*

Proof. Part (i) follows by a standard application of the PBW theorem. For (ii) it suffices, by standard results, to show that $KR(m\omega_i)$ is a sum of finite-dimensional \mathfrak{g} -modules. Note that the defining relations of $KR(m\omega_i)$ imply that $\mathbf{U}(\mathfrak{g})v_{i, m} \cong V(m\omega_i)$ as \mathfrak{g} -modules. Hence

$$(2.3) \quad (x_\alpha^-)^{m\omega_i(h_\alpha)+1} v_{i, m} = 0$$

for all $\alpha \in R^+$. For $v \in KR(m\omega_i)_\mu$ we have $\mathbf{U}(\mathfrak{g})v = \mathbf{U}(\mathfrak{n}^-)\mathbf{U}(\mathfrak{n}^+)v$. Part (ii) implies that $\mathbf{U}(\mathfrak{n}^+)v$ is a finite-dimensional vector space. Further since the action of \mathfrak{n}^- on $\mathfrak{n}^-[t]$ given by the Lie bracket is locally nilpotent and since $v \in \mathbf{U}(\mathfrak{n}^-[t])v_{i, m}$, it follows from (2.3) that x_α^- acts nilpotently on v . This proves that $\mathbf{U}(\mathfrak{g})v$ is finite-dimensional and part (ii) is established. Part (iii) is clear from the defining relations of the modules. \square

Corollary. *For $i \in I$, $m \in \mathbf{Z}_+$, we have*

$$KR(m\omega_i) = \bigoplus_{\mu \in P^+} V(\mu)^{\oplus m_\mu(i, m)}.$$

In particular, $KR(0) \cong \mathbf{C}$. \square

2.4. An upper bound for $m_\mu(i, m)$. The following result was proved in [2, Theorem 1] under the assumption that $KR(m\omega_i)$ is finite-dimensional. An inspection of the proof shows however, that the only place this is used is to write $KR(m\omega_i)$ as a direct sum of irreducible \mathfrak{g} -modules. But (as we shall see in the twisted case, where we do give a proof of the analogous proposition) this only requires the weaker result proved in Proposition 2.3,

Proposition. *As a \mathfrak{g} -module we have*

$$KR(m\omega_i) \cong \bigoplus_{\mu \in P^+(i, m)} V(\mu)^{\oplus m_\mu},$$

where $m_\mu \in \{0, 1\}$.

The next corollary is immediate since $P^+(i, m)$ is a finite set by definition.

Corollary. *For all $i \in I$, the modules $KR(m\omega_i)$ are finite-dimensional.* \square

2.5. Proof of Theorem 2.2: the cases $\varepsilon_i(\theta) = 1$, $m \in \mathbf{Z}_+$ and $\varepsilon_i(\theta) = \check{d}_i$, $m = 1$. In these cases, it follows from the definition that $P^+(i, m) = \{m\omega_i\}$. Since $\text{ev}_0(V(m\omega_i))$ is a $\mathfrak{g}[t]$ -module quotient of $KR(m\omega_i)$, part (i) is immediate from Proposition 2.4. Part (ii) of the theorem is now obvious since the canonical inclusion $V(m\omega_i) \rightarrow V(\omega_i)^{\otimes m}$ of \mathfrak{g} -modules is obviously also an inclusion of the $\mathfrak{g}[t]$ -modules $\text{ev}_0(V(m\omega_i)) \rightarrow \text{ev}_0(V(\omega_i))^{\otimes m}$.

Since $\varepsilon_i(\theta) = 1$ for all $1 \leq i \leq n$ if \mathfrak{g} is of type A_n , the theorem is proved in this case and we assume for the rest of this section that \mathfrak{g} is not of type A_n .

2.6. An explicit construction in the case $\varepsilon_i(\theta) = 2$ and $m = \check{d}_i$.

Let V_s , $0 \leq s \leq k$, be \mathfrak{g} -modules such that

$$(2.4) \quad \text{Hom}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\mathfrak{g} \otimes V_s, V_{s+1}) \neq 0, \quad \text{Hom}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\wedge^2(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes V_s, V_{s+2}) = 0, \quad 0 \leq s \leq k-1,$$

where we assume that $V_{k+1} = 0$. Fix non-zero elements $p_s \in \text{Hom}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\mathfrak{g} \otimes V_s, V_{s+1})$, $0 \leq s \leq k-1$, and set $p_k = 0$.

It is easily checked that the following formulas extend the canonical \mathfrak{g} -module structure to a graded $\mathfrak{g}[t]$ -module structure on $V = \bigoplus_{s=1}^k V_s$:

$$(x \otimes t)v = p_s(x \otimes v), \quad (x \otimes t^r)v = 0, \quad r \geq 2,$$

for all $x \in \mathfrak{g}$, $v \in V_s$, $1 \leq s \leq k$. Clearly,

$$V[s] \cong_{\mathfrak{g}} V_s, \quad 0 \leq s \leq k.$$

Moreover, if the maps p_s , $0 \leq s \leq k-1$, are all surjective and if $V_0 = \mathbf{U}(\mathfrak{g})v_0$ then $V = \mathbf{U}(\mathfrak{g}[t])v_0$.

Proposition. *Let $i \in I$ be such that $\varepsilon_i(\theta) = 2$ and let $\mu_s \in P^+(i, \check{d}_i)$, $0 \leq s \leq k$. The modules $V(\mu_s)$, $0 \leq s \leq k$, satisfy (2.4) and the resulting $\mathfrak{g}[t]$ -module is isomorphic to $KR(\check{d}_i\omega_i)$. In particular,*

$$KR(\check{d}_i\omega_i)[j] \cong_{\mathfrak{g}} V(\mu_j), \quad 0 \leq j \leq k.$$

Proof. Using Proposition 1.3 it is easy to see that

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\mathfrak{g} \otimes V(\mu_s), V(\mu_{s+1})) \cong \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\mathfrak{g}, V(\mu_s) \otimes V(\mu_{s+1})) \neq 0$$

for all $0 \leq s \leq k-1$. It is not hard to check (see [8], [19]) that as \mathfrak{g} -modules,

$$\wedge^2(\mathfrak{g}) \cong \mathfrak{g} \oplus V(\nu),$$

where $\nu = 2\omega_1 + \omega_2$ if \mathfrak{g} is of type C_n , $\nu = \omega_1 + 2\omega_3$ if \mathfrak{g} is of type B_3 , $\nu = \omega_1 + \omega_3 + \omega_4$ if \mathfrak{g} is of type D_4 , and $\nu = \omega_1 + \omega_3$ otherwise. Since $\mu_s - \mu_{s+2} \notin R$, it follows that $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\mathfrak{g} \otimes V(\mu_s), V(\mu_{s+2})) = 0$. To prove that $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathfrak{g}}(V(\nu) \otimes V(\mu_s), V(\mu_{s+2})) = 0$, it suffices to prove that

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathfrak{g}}(V(\mu_s), V(\nu) \otimes V(\mu_{s+2})) = 0.$$

Suppose that $0 \neq p \in \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathfrak{g}}(V(\mu_s), V(\nu) \otimes V(\mu_{s+2}))$. A simple computation using the explicit formulas for the fundamental weights in terms of the simple roots (see [11] for instance) shows that

$$\mu_{s+2} + \nu - \mu_s \in Q_J^+, \quad J = \{1, \dots, s-1\}.$$

Hence

$$0 \neq p(v_{\mu_s}) \in \mathbf{U}(\mathfrak{g}_J)v_{\nu} \otimes \mathbf{U}(\mathfrak{g}_J)v_{\mu_{s+2}},$$

which implies that

$$p(\mathbf{U}(\mathfrak{g}_J)v_{\mu_s}) \subset \mathbf{U}(\mathfrak{g}_J)v_{\nu} \otimes \mathbf{U}(\mathfrak{g}_J)v_{\mu_{s+2}}.$$

The formulas given for μ_s shows that $\mu_s(\mathfrak{h}_J) = 0$. This means that $\mathbf{U}(\mathfrak{g}_J)v_{\mu_s} \cong \mathbf{C}$ which implies that

$$p(\mathbf{C}) \cong \mathbf{C} \subset (\mathbf{U}(\mathfrak{g}_J)v_{\nu} \otimes \mathbf{U}(\mathfrak{g}_J)v_{\mu_{s+2}}).$$

But this is impossible since by standard results $\mathbf{U}(\mathfrak{g}_J)v_{\mu_{s+2}}$ is not isomorphic to the \mathfrak{g}_J -dual of $\mathbf{U}(\mathfrak{g}_J)v_{\nu}$. Hence $p = 0$ and we have proved that the modules $V(\mu_s)$, $0 \leq s \leq k-1$, satisfy the conditions of (2.4). Let $\tilde{K}R(\check{d}_i\omega_i)$ be the resulting $\mathfrak{g}[t]$ -module. Since the modules $V(\mu_s)$ are all irreducible, it follows moreover that

$$\tilde{K}R(\check{d}_i\omega_i) = \mathbf{U}(\mathfrak{g}[t])v_{\check{d}_i\omega_i}.$$

To see that $\tilde{K}R(\check{d}_i\omega_i) \cong KR(\check{d}_i\omega_i)$ it suffices, by Proposition 2.4, to prove that $\tilde{K}R(\check{d}_i\omega_i)$ is a quotient of $KR(\check{d}_i\omega_i)$. Since $\mu_0 = m\omega_i$ and $\mu_0 - \mu_1 \in R^+ \setminus \{\alpha_i\}$, we see that we must have,

$$p_0((\mathfrak{n}^+ \oplus \mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathbf{C}x_{\alpha_i}^-) \otimes v_{m\omega_i}) = 0.$$

This proves that

$$\mathfrak{n}^+[t]v_{m\omega_i} = 0, \quad hv_{m\omega_i} = (m\omega_i)(h)v_{m\omega_i}, \quad (h \otimes t^r)v_{m\omega_i} = (x_{\alpha_i}^- \otimes t)v_{m\omega_i} = 0, \quad r \geq 1.$$

Finally, since $\tilde{K}R(m\omega_i)$ is obviously finite-dimensional, it follows that

$$(x_{\alpha_i}^-)^{m\omega_i(h_{\alpha_i})+1}v_{\mu_0} = x_{\alpha_j}^-v_{\mu_0} = 0, \quad j \in I \setminus \{i\},$$

and the proof of the proposition is complete. \square

Given $\mu \in P^+(i, m)$, $m = \check{d}_i m_0 + m_1$, $0 \leq m_1 < \check{d}_i$, with reduced expression

$$\mu = m_1\omega_i + \mu_{j_1} + \dots + \mu_{j_{m_0}},$$

set $s_j = \#\{r : j_r \geq j\}$, $0 \leq j \leq k$, and

$$\mathbf{x}_{\mu} = (x_{\mu_{k-1}-\mu_k}^- \otimes t)^{s_k} \dots (x_{\mu_0-\mu_1}^- \otimes t)^{s_1} \in \mathbf{U}(\mathfrak{n}^-[t]).$$

It is easily seen that

$$\mathbf{x}_\mu v_{i,m} \in KR(m\omega_i)[[\mu]] \cap KR(m\omega_i)_\mu.$$

Corollary. *Let $i \in I$ be such that $\varepsilon_i(\theta) = 2$. For $0 \leq s \leq k$ we have*

$$\mathbf{x}_{\mu_s} v_{i,\check{d}_i} \neq 0, \quad h(\mathbf{x}_{\mu_s} v_{i,\check{d}_i}) = \mu_s(h)(\mathbf{x}_{\mu_s} v_{i,\check{d}_i}), \quad \mathfrak{n}^+(\mathbf{x}_\mu v_{i,\check{d}_i}) = 0, \quad h \in \mathfrak{h},$$

or, equivalently,

$$\mathbf{x}_{\mu_s} v_{\mu_0} = v_{\mu_s}.$$

Proof. Suppose that $\mu = \mu_s$ for some $1 \leq s \leq k$. We first prove that there exists $x_s \in \mathfrak{n}^-$ such that

$$(2.5) \quad p_s(x_s \otimes v_{\mu_s}) = v_{\mu_{s+1}}.$$

For this, note that by Proposition 1.3

$$v = p_s(x_\theta^- \otimes v_{\mu_s}) \neq 0.$$

Since $V(\mu_{s+1})$ is irreducible there exists $x'_s \in \mathbf{U}(\mathfrak{n}^+)$ such that $x'_s v = v_{\mu_{s+1}}$, which gives

$$x'_s(p_s(x_\theta^- \otimes v_{\mu_s})) = p_s(\text{ad}(x'_s)x_\theta^- \otimes v_{\mu_s}) = v_{\mu_{s+1}},$$

proving (2.5). Setting $x_s = \text{ad}(x'_s)x_\theta^- \in \mathfrak{n}_{\mu_s - \mu_{s+1}}^-$ it follows that x_s is a non-zero scalar multiple of $x_{\mu_s - \mu_{s+1}}^-$ for some $0 \leq s \leq k$ and we get

$$(x_{\mu_s - \mu_{s+1}}^- \otimes t)v_{\mu_s} \neq 0.$$

An obvious induction on s now proves that

$$\mathbf{x}_{\mu_s} v_{i,\check{d}_i} \neq 0, \quad 1 \leq s \leq k-1.$$

The other two statements of the corollary are now immediate. \square

Recall that

$$KR(\check{d}_i\omega_i)(r) \cong KR(\check{d}_i\omega_i) / (\oplus_{r' > r} KR(m\omega_i)[r']).$$

Let v_r be the image of $v_{\check{d}_i\omega_i}$ in $KR(\check{d}_i\omega_i)(r)$. By Corollary 2.6 we see that

$$(2.6) \quad \mathbf{x}_{\mu_{r-1}} v_r \neq 0, \quad \mathbf{x}_{\mu_{r'}} v_r = 0, \quad 0 \leq r \leq r' \leq k.$$

2.7. Proof of Theorem 2.2: the case $\varepsilon_i(\theta) = 2$, $m \geq \check{d}_i$.

If $m = \check{d}_i$, then part (i) is the statement of Proposition 2.6 and part (ii) is trivially true. Assume that $m > \check{d}_i$ and write $m = \check{d}_i m_0 + m_1$, $0 \leq m_1 < \check{d}_i$. Note that since $m_1 \in \{0, 1\}$ we know by the earlier case that

$$KR(m_1\omega_i) \cong \text{ev}_0(V(m_1\omega_i)).$$

Set

$$\tilde{K}R(m\omega_i) = \mathbf{U}(\mathfrak{g}[t])(v_{m_1\omega_i} \otimes v_{\check{d}_i\omega_i}^{\otimes m_0}) \subset KR(m_1\omega_i) \otimes KR(\check{d}_i\omega_i)^{\otimes m_0}.$$

It is easily checked that $\tilde{K}R(m\omega_i)$ is a graded quotient of $KR(m\omega_i)$. Using Proposition 2.4 we see that part (i) of the theorem follows if we prove that for all $s \in \mathbf{Z}_+$,

$$(2.7) \quad \tilde{K}R(m\omega_i)[s] \cong \bigoplus_{\{\mu \in P^+(i,m): |\mu|=s\}} V(\mu).$$

In particular this proves that $\tilde{K}R(m\omega_i) \cong KR(m\omega_i)$ and so proves part (ii) of the theorem as well.

Let $\mu = m_1\omega_i + \mu_{j_1} + \cdots + \mu_{j_{m_0}}$ be a reduced expression for μ , set

$$K = KR(m_1\omega_i) \otimes KR(\check{d}_i\omega_i)(j_1 + 1) \otimes \cdots \otimes KR(\check{d}_i\omega_i)(j_{m_0} + 1),$$

and let $\tilde{K} = \mathbf{U}(\mathfrak{g}[t])(v_{m_1\omega_i} \otimes v_{j_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes v_{j_{m_0}})$. Clearly K and \tilde{K} are graded quotients of $KR(m_1\omega_i) \otimes KR(\check{d}_i\omega_i)^{\otimes m}$ and $\tilde{K}R(m\omega_i)$ respectively. Let

$$\pi : KR(m_1\omega_i) \otimes KR(\check{d}_i\omega_i)^{\otimes m_0} \rightarrow K, \quad \pi(\tilde{K}R(m\omega_i)) = \tilde{K},$$

be the canonical surjective morphism of $\mathfrak{g}[t]$ -modules.

Using (2.6) and the comultiplication of $\mathbf{U}(\mathfrak{g}[t])$ one computes easily that

$$(2.8) \quad \mathbf{x}_\mu \left(\pi(v_{m_1\omega_i} \otimes v_{\check{d}_i\omega_i}^{\otimes m_0}) \right) = v_{m_1\omega_i} \otimes \mathbf{x}_{\mu_{j_1}} v_{j_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathbf{x}_{\mu_{j_{m_0}}} v_{j_{m_0}} \neq 0.$$

Corollary 2.6 implies that

$$\mathfrak{n}^+ \left(v_{m_1\omega_i} \otimes \mathbf{x}_{\mu_{j_1}} v_{j_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathbf{x}_{\mu_{j_{m_0}}} v_{j_{m_0}} \right) = 0,$$

and

$$v_{m_1\omega_i} \otimes \mathbf{x}_{\mu_{j_1}} v_{j_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathbf{x}_{\mu_{j_{m_0}}} v_{j_{m_0}} \in K[[\mu]] \cap K_\mu.$$

It follows immediately that

$$\tilde{K}[[\mu]] \cong_{\mathfrak{g}} (V(\mu) \oplus N)$$

for some \mathfrak{g} -submodule $N \subset \tilde{K}$ which proves (2.7).

3. THE TWISTED ALGEBRAS

We use the notation of the previous section freely.

3.1. Preliminaries. Throughout this section we let $\epsilon \in \{0, 1\}$. From now on \mathfrak{g} denotes a Lie algebra of type A_n or D_n and $\sigma : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$ the non-trivial diagram automorphism of order two. The statements in this subsection can be found in [12]. Thus we have

$$\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}_0 \oplus \mathfrak{g}_1, \quad \mathfrak{h} = \mathfrak{h}_0 \oplus \mathfrak{h}_1, \quad \mathfrak{n}^\pm = \mathfrak{n}_0^\pm \oplus \mathfrak{n}_1^\pm,$$

where

$$\mathfrak{g}_0 = \{x \in \mathfrak{g} : \sigma(x) = x\}, \quad \mathfrak{g}_1 = \{x \in \mathfrak{g} : \sigma(x) = -x\}.$$

For any subalgebra \mathfrak{a} of \mathfrak{g} with $\sigma(\mathfrak{a}) \subset \mathfrak{a}$ we set $\mathfrak{a}_\epsilon = \mathfrak{a} \cap \mathfrak{g}_\epsilon$ and we have $\mathfrak{a} = \mathfrak{a}_0 \oplus \mathfrak{a}_1$. The subalgebra \mathfrak{g}_0 is a simple Lie algebra with Cartan subalgebra \mathfrak{h}_0 and we let I_0 be the index set for the corresponding set of simple roots numbered as in [1].

Although in this and the following sections it is convenient to use the ambient Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} , we do not need any other data associated with it. Thus, all representations, roots, weights, the maps ε_i and so on will always be those associated with the fixed point algebra \mathfrak{g}_0 .

We have \mathfrak{g}_0 is of type C_n if \mathfrak{g} is of type A_{2n-1} and of type B_n if \mathfrak{g} is of type A_{2n} or D_{n+1} . Let $(R_0)_s$ be the set of short roots of \mathfrak{g}_0 and $(R_0)_\ell$ the set of long roots. The adjoint action of \mathfrak{g}_0 on \mathfrak{g}_1 makes \mathfrak{g}_1 into an irreducible representation of \mathfrak{g}_0 and we have

$$\mathfrak{g}_1 = \mathfrak{h}_1 \bigoplus_{\mu \in \mathfrak{h}_0^*} (\mathfrak{g}_1)_\mu, \quad \mathfrak{g}_1 \cong V(\phi),$$

where $\phi \in Q_0^+$ is the highest short root of \mathfrak{g}_0 if \mathfrak{g} is of type A_{2n-1} or D_{n+1} and twice the highest short root if \mathfrak{g} is of type A_{2n} . Further, if we set

$$R_1 = \{\mu \in \mathfrak{h}_0^* : (\mathfrak{g}_1)_\mu \neq 0\} \setminus \{0\}, \quad R_1^+ = R_1 \cap Q_0^+$$

then $R_1 = (R_0)_s$ if \mathfrak{g} is of type A_{2n-1} or D_{n+1} and

$$R_1 = R_0 \cup \{2\alpha : \alpha \in (R_0)_s\}$$

if \mathfrak{g} is of type A_{2n} . In all cases, $\dim(\mathfrak{g}_1)_\alpha = 1$ for $\alpha \in R_1$.

Given $\alpha \in R_1^+$, we denote by y_α^\pm **any** non-zero element in $(\mathfrak{g}_1)_{\pm\alpha}$. Note that $\mathfrak{n}_1^\pm = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in R_1^\pm} (\mathfrak{g}_1)_\alpha$. In addition, if $\alpha \in R_0^+ \setminus R_1^+$ we set $y_\alpha^\pm = 0$, and similarly we set $x_\alpha^\pm = 0$ if $\alpha \in R_1^+ \setminus R_0^+$.

Lemma.

- (i) The maps $\mathfrak{g}_1 \otimes \mathfrak{g}_1 \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}_0$ and $\mathfrak{g}_0 \otimes \mathfrak{g}_1 \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}_1$ defined by $x \otimes y \rightarrow [x, y]$ are surjective homomorphisms of \mathfrak{g}_0 -modules.
- (ii) If $\alpha \in R_0 \cap R_1$, there exists $h \in \mathfrak{h}_1$ such that $[h, y_\alpha^-] = x_\alpha^-$.

□

3.2. The twisted current algebra. Extend σ to an automorphism $\sigma_t : \mathfrak{g}[t] \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}[t]$ by extending linearly the assignment,

$$\sigma_t(x \otimes t^r) = \sigma(x) \otimes (-t)^r, \quad x \in \mathfrak{g}, \quad r \in \mathbf{Z}_+.$$

If $\mathfrak{a} \subset \mathfrak{g}$ is such that $\sigma(\mathfrak{a}) \subset \mathfrak{a}$, let $\mathfrak{a}[t]^\sigma$ be the set of fixed points of σ_t . Clearly

$$\mathfrak{a}[t]^\sigma = \mathfrak{a}_0 \otimes \mathbf{C}[t^2] \oplus \mathfrak{a}_1 \otimes t\mathbf{C}[t^2].$$

and

$$\mathfrak{g}[t]^\sigma = \mathfrak{n}^- [t]^\sigma \oplus \mathfrak{h}[t]^\sigma \oplus \mathfrak{n}^+ [t]^\sigma.$$

3.3. The modules $KR^\sigma(m\omega_i)$.

Definition. For $i \in I_0$, $m \in \mathbf{Z}_+$, let $KR^\sigma(m\omega_i)$ be the $\mathfrak{g}[t]^\sigma$ -module generated by an element $v_{i,m}^\sigma$ with relations,

$$(3.1) \quad \mathfrak{n}^+ [t]^\sigma v_{i,m}^\sigma = 0, \quad h_0 v_{i,m}^\sigma = m\omega_i(h_0) v_{i,m}^\sigma, \quad (h_\epsilon \otimes t^{2r-\epsilon}) v_{i,m}^\sigma = x_{\alpha_j}^- v_{i,m}^\sigma = 0,$$

for all $h_\epsilon \in \mathfrak{h}_\epsilon$, $r \in \mathbf{Z}_+$, $j \neq i$,

$$(3.2) \quad (x_{\alpha_i}^-)^{m+1} v_{i,m}^\sigma = 0,$$

and

$$(3.3) \quad (x_{\alpha_i}^- \otimes t^2) v_{i,m}^\sigma = (y_{\alpha_i}^- \otimes t) v_{i,m}^\sigma = 0.$$

□

Note that when \mathfrak{g} is of type A_{2n} or if $\alpha_i \in (R_0)_s$ it can be seen, by using Lemma 3.1(ii), that the relation $(x_{\alpha_i}^- \otimes t^2)v_{i,m}^\sigma = 0$ is actually a consequence of $(y_{\alpha_i}^- \otimes t)v_{i,m}^\sigma = 0$. The modules $KR^\sigma(m\omega_i)$ are clearly graded modules for the graded algebra $\mathfrak{g}[t]^\sigma$. Any \mathfrak{g}_0 -module V can be regarded as a module for $\mathfrak{g}[t]^\sigma$ by setting

$$(x_\epsilon \otimes t^{2r+\epsilon})v = 0, \quad v \in V, \quad x_\epsilon \in \mathfrak{g}_\epsilon, r \in \mathbf{Z}_+,$$

and we denote the corresponding module by $\text{ev}_0^\sigma(V)$. The next lemma is easily checked.

Lemma. *For all $i \in I_0$ and $m \in \mathbf{Z}_+$ the module $\text{ev}_0^\sigma(V(m\omega_i))$ is a quotient of $KR^\sigma(m\omega_i)$. \square*

3.4. The graded character of $KR^\sigma(m\omega_i)$. For $i \in I_0$ and $m \in \mathbf{N}$, let $P_0^+(i, m)^\sigma$ be the subset of P_0^+ defined by

$$P_0^+(i, m)^\sigma = P_0^+(i, d_i^\sigma)^\sigma + P_0^+(i, m - d_i^\sigma)^\sigma,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} d_i^\sigma &= 1, \quad \mathfrak{g} \neq A_{2n}, \\ d_i^\sigma &= 2, \quad i \neq n, \quad d_n^\sigma = 4, \quad \mathfrak{g} = A_{2n}, \end{aligned}$$

and, for $1 \leq m \leq d_i^\sigma$, $P_0^+(i, m)^\sigma$ is given as follows. If \mathfrak{g} is of type A_{2n} , then

$$\begin{aligned} P_0^+(i, 1)^\sigma &= \{\omega_i\}, \\ P_0^+(i, 2)^\sigma &= \{2\omega_i, 2\omega_{i-1}, \dots, 2\omega_1, 0\}, \quad i \neq n, \\ P_0^+(n, 2)^\sigma &= \{2\omega_n\}, \\ P_0^+(n, 3)^\sigma &= \{3\omega_n\}, \\ P_0^+(n, 4)^\sigma &= \{4\omega_n, 2\omega_{n-1}, \dots, 2\omega_1, 0\}. \end{aligned}$$

If \mathfrak{g} is of type A_{2n-1} , then,

$$P_0^+(i, 1)^\sigma = \{\omega_i, \omega_{i-2}, \dots, \omega_{\bar{i}}\},$$

where $\bar{i} \in \{0, 1\}$ and $i = \bar{i} \pmod{2}$. Finally if \mathfrak{g} is of type D_{n+1} , then

$$\begin{aligned} P_0^+(i, 1)^\sigma &= \{\omega_i, \omega_{i-1}, \dots, 0\}, \quad i \neq n, \\ P_0^+(n, 1)^\sigma &= \{\omega_n\}. \end{aligned}$$

In particular $P_0^+(i, m)^\sigma$ is finite. Fix an enumeration μ_s , $0 \leq s \leq k$, of the sets $P_0^+(i, m)^\sigma$, $1 \leq m \leq d_i^\sigma$ by requiring

$$\mu_s - \mu_{s+1} \in R_1^+, \quad \mu_s - \mu_{s+2} \notin (R_0^+ \cup R_1^+).$$

For $\mu \in P_0^+(i, m)^\sigma$ define a reduced expression and $|\mu| \in \mathbf{Z}_+$ analogously to the untwisted case.

The main result is the following.

Theorem. Let $i \in I_0$, $m \in \mathbf{Z}_+$.

(i) For all $s \in \mathbf{Z}_+$,

$$KR^\sigma(m\omega_i)[s] \cong_{\mathfrak{g}_0} \bigoplus_{\mu \in P_0^+(i, m)^\sigma : |\mu| = s} V(\mu).$$

- (ii) Write $m = d_i^\sigma m_0 + m_1$, where $0 \leq m_1 < d_i^\sigma$. The canonical homomorphism of $\mathfrak{g}^\sigma[t]$ -modules

$$KR^\sigma(m\omega_i) \rightarrow KR^\sigma(m_1\omega_i) \otimes KR^\sigma(d_i^\sigma\omega_i)^{\otimes m_0}$$

is injective.

The proof of the theorem proceeds as in the untwisted case.

3.5. Elementary Properties of $KR^\sigma(m\omega_i)$.

The next proposition is the twisted version of Proposition 2.3 and is proved in the same way.

Proposition.

- (i) We have

$$KR^\sigma(m\omega_i) = \bigoplus_{\mu \in \mathfrak{h}_0^*} KR^\sigma(m\omega_i)_\mu$$

and $KR^\sigma(m\omega_i)_\mu \neq 0$ only if $\mu \in m\omega_i - Q_0^+$.

- (ii) Regarded as a \mathfrak{g}_0 -module, $KR^\sigma(m\omega_i)$ and $KR^\sigma(m\omega_i)[s]$, $s \in \mathbf{Z}_+$, are isomorphic to a direct sum of irreducible finite-dimensional representations of \mathfrak{g}_0 . In particular if W_0 is the Weyl group of \mathfrak{g}_0 , then $KR^\sigma(m\omega_i)_\mu \neq 0$ iff $KR^\sigma(m\omega_i)_{w\mu} \neq 0$ for all $w \in W_0$.
- (iii) Given $0 \leq r \leq m$, there exists a canonical homomorphism $KR^\sigma(m\omega_i) \rightarrow KR^\sigma(r\omega_i) \otimes KR^\sigma((m-r)\omega_i)$ of graded $\mathfrak{g}[t]^\sigma$ -modules such that $v_{i,m}^\sigma \mapsto v_{i,r}^\sigma \otimes v_{i,m-r}^\sigma$.

□

Corollary. We have

$$KR^\sigma(m\omega_i) \cong \bigoplus_{\mu \in P_0^+} V(\mu)^{m_\mu(i,m)}.$$

In particular $KR^\sigma(0) \cong \mathbf{C}$.

□

3.6. An upper bound for $m_\mu(i, m)$.

Proposition. For all $i \in I_0$, $m \in \mathbf{Z}_+$, we have

$$KR^\sigma(m\omega_i) \cong_{\mathfrak{g}_0} \bigoplus_{\mu \in P_0^+(i,m)^\sigma} V(\mu)^{m_\mu},$$

where $m_\mu \in \{0, 1\}$.

Corollary. For all $i \in I_0$ and $m \in \mathbf{Z}_+$, the modules $KR^\sigma(m\omega_i)$ are finite-dimensional. □

We postpone the proof of this proposition to the next section.

3.7. An explicit construction of the modules $KR^\sigma(m\omega_i)$, $i \in I_0$, $1 \leq m \leq d_i^\sigma$.

The next lemma is standard and can be found in [8], [19]. Let $\iota : \mathfrak{g}_0 \rightarrow \wedge^2(\mathfrak{g}_1)$ be the \mathfrak{g}_0 -module map such that $[\ , \] \cdot \iota = \text{id}$.

Lemma. (a) If \mathfrak{g} is of type D_{n+1} , $n \geq 2$, then $\wedge^2(\mathfrak{g}_1) \cong_{\mathfrak{g}_0} \iota(\mathfrak{g}_0)$.

(b) If \mathfrak{g} is of type A_n , $n \neq 3$, we have $\wedge^2(\mathfrak{g}_1) \cong \iota(\mathfrak{g}) \oplus V(\nu)$, where

- (i) $\nu = \omega_1 + \omega_3$ if \mathfrak{g} is of type A_{2n-1} , $n \geq 3$,

- (ii) $\nu = 2\omega_1 + \omega_2$ if \mathfrak{g} is of type A_{2n} , $n \geq 3$,
- (iii) $\nu = 2\omega_1 + 2\omega_2$ if \mathfrak{g} is of type A_4 ,
- (iv) $\nu = 6\omega_1$ if \mathfrak{g} is of type A_2 .

□

Assume that V_s , $0 \leq s \leq k$, are \mathfrak{g}_0 -modules, let $p_s : \mathfrak{g}_1 \otimes V_s \rightarrow V_{s+1}$, $0 \leq s \leq k-1$, be \mathfrak{g}_0 -module maps, and set $p_k = 0$. Set also $q_s = p_{s+1}(1 \otimes p_s) \in \text{Hom}_{\mathfrak{g}_0}(\mathfrak{g}_1 \otimes \mathfrak{g}_1 \otimes V_s, V_{s+2})$. Suppose that one of the following two conditions hold:

(a)

$$(3.4) \quad q_s(\wedge^2(\mathfrak{g}_1) \otimes V_s) = 0, \quad \forall \quad 0 \leq s \leq k-2,$$

(b) for all $0 \leq s \leq k-2$, $y, z, w \in \mathfrak{g}_1$, $v \in V_s$, we have

$$(3.5) \quad q_s(V(\nu) \otimes V_s) = 0, \quad p_{s+2}(1 \otimes p_{s+1})(1 \otimes p_s)((z \wedge w) \otimes y - y \otimes (z \wedge w)) \otimes v = 0.$$

Let $x \in \mathfrak{g}_0$, $y \in \mathfrak{g}_1$, $v \in V_s$. The following formulas define a graded $\mathfrak{g}[t]^\sigma$ -module structure on $V = \bigoplus_{s=1}^k V_s$.

$$(x \otimes t^2)v = q_s(\iota(x) \otimes v), \quad (y \otimes t)v = p_s(y \otimes v),$$

and

$$(x \otimes t^{2r})v = (y \otimes t^{2r-1})v = 0,$$

for all $r \geq 2$ and $0 \leq s \leq k$. Furthermore,

$$V[s] \cong_{\mathfrak{g}_0} V_s.$$

Moreover, if the maps p_s , $0 \leq s \leq k-1$ are all surjective and $V_0 = \mathbf{U}(\mathfrak{g}[t]^\sigma)v_0$, then the resulting $\mathfrak{g}[t]^\sigma$ -module is cyclic on v_0 .

Proposition. *Let $i \in I_0$, $1 \leq m \leq d_i^\sigma$. The modules $V(\mu_s)$, $0 \leq s \leq k$, satisfy (3.4) (resp. (3.5)) if \mathfrak{g} is of type A_n (resp. D_n). The resulting $\mathfrak{g}[t]^\sigma$ -module is isomorphic to $KR^\sigma(m\omega_i)$ and*

$$KR^\sigma(m\omega_i)[s] \cong_{\mathfrak{g}_0} V(\mu_s).$$

Proof. If $k = 0$ there is nothing to prove since it follows from Proposition 3.6 and Lemma 3.3 that $KR^\sigma(m\omega_i) \cong \text{ev}_0^\sigma(V(m\omega_i))$. Assume that $k > 0$. Using Proposition 1.3 it is easy to see that $\text{Hom}_{\mathfrak{g}_0}(V(\mu_s) \otimes V(\mu_{s+1}), \mathfrak{g}_1) \neq 0$ and hence

$$\text{Hom}_{\mathfrak{g}_0}(\mathfrak{g}_1 \otimes V(\mu_s), V(\mu_{s+1})) \neq 0.$$

Suppose first that \mathfrak{g} is of type A_n . Equation (3.4) holds if we prove that

$$\text{Hom}_{\mathfrak{g}_0}(\wedge^2(\mathfrak{g}_1) \otimes V_s, V_{s+2}) = 0, \quad \forall \quad 0 \leq s \leq k-2.$$

Since $\mu_s - \mu_{s+2} \notin R_0$, it is immediate that $\text{Hom}_{\mathfrak{g}_0}(\mathfrak{g}_0 \otimes V(\mu_s), V(\mu_{s+2})) = 0$. To prove that $\text{Hom}_{\mathfrak{g}_0}(V(\nu) \otimes V(\mu_s), V(\mu_{s+2})) = 0$, it suffices to prove that

$$\text{Hom}_{\mathfrak{g}_0}(V(\mu_s), V(\nu) \otimes V(\mu_{s+2})) = 0.$$

This is done exactly as in the untwisted case by noting that $\mu_{s+2} + \nu - \mu_s = \sum_{j=1}^{s-1} k_j \alpha_j$ for some $k_j \in \mathbf{Z}_+$.

If \mathfrak{g} is of type D_{n+1} , $n \geq 2$, then Lemma 3.7 implies that the first condition in (3.5) is trivially satisfied. If $n = 3$ the second condition is also trivially true since $k \leq 3$. If $n > 3$, let N be the \mathfrak{g}_0 -submodule of $T^3(\mathfrak{g}_1)$ spanned by elements of the form $(x \otimes y - y \otimes x) \otimes z - z \otimes (x \otimes y - y \otimes x)$, with $x, y, z \in \mathfrak{g}_1$. Note that

$$N \cap \wedge^3(\mathfrak{g}_1) = 0, \quad N \subset (\mathfrak{g}_1 \otimes \wedge^2(\mathfrak{g}_1) + \wedge^2(\mathfrak{g}_1) \otimes \mathfrak{g}_1).$$

Now, it is not hard to see that,

$$\mathfrak{g}_1 \otimes \wedge^2(\mathfrak{g}_1) \cong_{\mathfrak{g}_0} V(\omega_1 + \omega_2) \oplus V(\omega_3) \oplus V(\omega_1), \quad n > 3,$$

and that the \mathfrak{g}_0 -submodule $V(\omega_3)$ occurs in $T^3(\mathfrak{g}_1)$ with multiplicity one. It follows that

$$N \cong V(\omega_1 + \omega_2)^{\oplus r_1} \oplus V(\omega_1)^{\oplus r_2}, \quad 0 \leq r_1, r_2 \leq 2.$$

We now prove that

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathfrak{g}_0}(N \otimes V(\mu_s), V(\mu_{s+3})) = 0, \quad 0 \leq s \leq k-3,$$

which establishes the second condition in (3.5). Since $(V(\mu_s) \otimes V(\mu_{s+3}))_{\omega_1} = 0$, it follows that $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathfrak{g}_0}(V(\omega_1) \otimes V(\mu_s), V(\mu_{s+3})) = 0$ and we are left to show that

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathfrak{g}_0}(V(\omega_1 + \omega_2) \otimes V(\mu_s), V(\mu_{s+3})) = 0.$$

For this it suffices to prove that

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathfrak{g}_0}(V(\mu_s), V(\omega_1 + \omega_2) \otimes V(\mu_{s+3})) = 0.$$

This is done as usual by noting that $\mu_{s+3} + \omega_i + \omega_2 - \mu_s$ is in the span of the elements α_r , $1 \leq r \leq s-1$ and by observing that the $(\mathfrak{g}_0)_J$ -module $\mathbf{U}((\mathfrak{g}_0)_J)v_{\omega_1 + \omega_2} \subset V(\omega_1 + \omega_2)$ is not dual to the $(\mathfrak{g}_0)_J$ -module $\mathbf{U}((\mathfrak{g}_0)_J)v_{\mu_{s+3}} \subset V(\mu_{s+3})$, where $J = \{1, \dots, s-1\}$.

This proves that if we fix non-zero maps $p_s \in \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathfrak{g}_0}(\mathfrak{g}_1 \otimes V(\mu_s), V(\mu_{s+1}))$, then we can construct a graded cyclic $\mathfrak{g}[t]^\sigma$ -module

$$V = \mathbf{U}(\mathfrak{g}[t])v_{\mu_0} = \bigoplus_{s=0}^k V(\mu_s), \quad V[s] \cong V(\mu_s), \quad 0 \leq s \leq k.$$

As in the untwisted case, to complete the proof it suffices, in view of Proposition 3.6, to prove that V is a quotient of $KR^\sigma(m\omega_i)$. Since $\mu_0 = m\omega_i$ and $\mu_0 - \mu_1 \in R_0^+ \setminus \{\alpha_i\}$ we get

$$p_0((\mathfrak{n}_1^+ \oplus \mathfrak{h}_1 \oplus \mathbf{C}y_{\alpha_i}^-) \otimes v_{m\omega_i}) = 0,$$

i.e.,

$$(\mathfrak{n}_1^+ \otimes t^{2r-1})v_{m\omega_i} = (\mathfrak{h}_1 \otimes t^{2r-1})v_{m\omega_i} = (y_{\alpha_i}^- \otimes t)v_{m\omega_i} = 0, \quad r \geq 1.$$

For the same reasons,

$$q_0((\mathfrak{n}_0^+ \oplus \mathfrak{h}_0 \oplus \mathbf{C}x_{\alpha_i}^-) \otimes v_{m\omega_i}) = 0.$$

Hence we get

$$(\mathfrak{n}_0^+ \otimes t^{2r})v_{m\omega_i} = (\mathfrak{h}_0 \otimes t^{2r})v_{m\omega_i} = (x_{\alpha_i}^- \otimes t^{2r})v_{i,m}^\sigma = 0, \quad r \geq 1.$$

The relations (3.2) follow since V is obviously finite-dimensional which completes the proof that V is a quotient of $KR^\sigma(m\omega_i)$. \square

Given $\mu \in P_0^+(i, m)^\sigma$, $m = d_i^\sigma m_0 + m_1$, $0 \leq m_1 < d_i^\sigma$, with reduced expression

$$\mu = m_1 \omega_i + \mu_{j_1} + \cdots + \mu_{j_{m_0}},$$

set $s_j = \#\{r : j_r \geq j\}$, $0 \leq j \leq k$, and

$$\mathbf{y}_\mu = (y_{\mu_{k-1}-\mu_k}^- \otimes t)^{s_k} \cdots (y_{\mu_0-\mu_1}^- \otimes t)^{s_1} \in \mathbf{U}(\mathfrak{n}^-[t]^\sigma).$$

It is easily seen that

$$\mathbf{y}_\mu v_{i,m}^\sigma \in KR(m\omega_i)[[\mu]] \cap KR(m\omega_i)_\mu.$$

The next corollary is proved in the same way as Corollary 2.6 and we omit the details.

Corollary. For $0 \leq s \leq k$ we have

$$\mathbf{y}_{\mu_s} v_{i,d_i^\sigma}^\sigma \neq 0, \quad h(\mathbf{y}_{\mu_s} v_{i,d_i^\sigma}^\sigma) = \mu_s(h)(\mathbf{y}_{\mu_s} v_{i,d_i^\sigma}^\sigma), \quad \mathfrak{n}^+(\mathbf{y}_\mu v_{i,d_i^\sigma}^\sigma) = 0, \quad h \in \mathfrak{h}_0,$$

or, equivalently,

$$\mathbf{y}_{\mu_s} v_{\mu_0} = v_{\mu_s}.$$

□

3.8. The modules $\tilde{K}R^\sigma(m\omega_i)$ and the completion of the proof of Theorem 3.4.

For $m = d_i^\sigma m_0 + m_1 \in \mathbf{Z}_+$, $i \in I_0$, set

$$\tilde{K}R^\sigma(m\omega_i) = \mathbf{U}(\mathfrak{g}[t]^\sigma)(v_{m_1\omega_i}^\sigma \otimes (v_{d_i^\sigma\omega_i}^\sigma)^{\otimes m_0}) \subset KR^\sigma(m_1\omega_i) \otimes KR^\sigma(d_i^\sigma\omega_i)^{\otimes m_0}.$$

The next proposition is proved in exactly the same way as the corresponding result in Section 2.7 for the untwisted case, by using Proposition 3.7 and Corollary 3.7, and clearly completes the proof of Theorem 3.4.

Proposition. Let $i \in I_0$, $m \in \mathbf{N}$. Then

$$\tilde{K}R^\sigma(m\omega_i)[s] \cong_{\mathfrak{g}_0} \bigoplus_{\mu \in P_0^+(i,m)^\sigma: |\mu|=s} V(\mu).$$

In particular,

$$\tilde{K}R^\sigma(m\omega_i) \cong KR^\sigma(m\omega_i)$$

as $\mathfrak{g}[t]^\sigma$ -modules.

□

4. PROOF OF PROPOSITION 3.6

We will use the following remark repeatedly without further comment. Let $x \in (\mathfrak{g}_\epsilon)_\alpha$, $\alpha \in R_\epsilon$, and $r \in \mathbf{Z}_+$ be such that $(x \otimes t^{2r+\epsilon})v_{i,m}^\sigma = 0$. Then $(x \otimes t^{2r+2s+\epsilon})v_{i,m}^\sigma = 0$ for all $s \in \mathbf{Z}_+$. To see this, observe that if $h \in \mathfrak{h}_\epsilon$, then by definition we have $(h \otimes t^{2s+\epsilon})v_{i,m}^\sigma = 0$. If $x \in (\mathfrak{g}_\epsilon)_\alpha$ for some $\alpha \in R_\epsilon$, choose $h \in \mathfrak{h}_0$ such that $[h, x] = x$. This gives

$$0 = [h \otimes t^2, x \otimes t^r]v_{i,m}^\sigma = (x \otimes t^{r+2})v_{i,m}^\sigma,$$

thus proving the remark.

4.1. The case $\alpha_i \in R_1$ with $\varepsilon_i(\phi) = 1$. The following Lemma establishes the proposition in this case.

Lemma. *Suppose that $i \in I_0$ is such that $\alpha_i \in R_1$ and $\varepsilon_i(\phi) = 1$ (in other words $i = n$ if \mathfrak{g} is of type D_{n+1} and $i = 1$ if \mathfrak{g} is of type A_{2n-1}). Then*

$$KR^\sigma(m\omega_i) \cong \text{ev}_0^\sigma(V(m\omega_i)).$$

Proof. It is straightforward to check that we can write

$$(4.1) \quad y_\phi^- = [x_\beta^-, y_{\alpha_i}^-], \quad x_\theta^- = [y_\phi^-, y_\gamma^-],$$

for some $\beta \in R_0^+$, $\gamma \in R_1^+$ with $\varepsilon_i(\beta) = 0$, $\varepsilon_i(\gamma) = 1$. It follows from (3.3) and Proposition 3.5 that $(y_\phi^- \otimes t)v_{i,m}^\sigma = 0$. Since for all $\alpha \in R_1^+$ we have $y_\alpha^- \otimes t \in \mathbf{U}(\mathfrak{n}^+)(y_\phi^- \otimes t)$ it follows from (3.1) that $(y_\alpha^- \otimes t)v_{i,m}^\sigma = 0$. It follows now from (4.1) that $(x_\theta^- \otimes t^2)v_{i,m}^\sigma = 0$ and hence that $(x_\alpha^- \otimes t^2)v_{i,m}^\sigma = 0$ for all $\alpha \in R_0^+$. This proves that $KR^\sigma(m\omega_i) = \mathbf{U}(\mathfrak{n}_0^-)v_{i,m}^\sigma$ and the Lemma is proved. \square

4.2. The subalgebras $\mathfrak{n}^-[t]_{\max(i)}^\sigma$.

From now on we assume that $i \in I_0$ is such that either $\alpha_i \notin R_1$ or $\varepsilon_i(\phi) = 2$. Let $\max(i) \in \{1, 2\}$ be the maximum value of the restriction of ε_i to R_1^+ , and let $\mathfrak{n}^-[t]_{\max(i)}^\sigma$ be the subalgebra of $\mathfrak{n}^-[t]^\sigma$ generated by

$$\{y_\alpha^- \otimes t : \alpha \in R_1^+, \varepsilon_i(\alpha) = \max(i)\}.$$

If \mathfrak{g} is of type A_n , then it is easy to see that

$$(4.2) \quad \mathfrak{n}^-[t]_{\max(i)}^\sigma = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in R_1 : \varepsilon_i(\alpha) = \max(i)} \mathbf{C}(y_\alpha^- \otimes t),$$

while if \mathfrak{g} is of type D_{n+1} , we have

$$(4.3) \quad \mathfrak{n}^-[t]_{\max(i)}^\sigma = \left(\bigoplus_{\alpha \in R_1 : \varepsilon_i(\alpha) = 1} \mathbf{C}(y_\alpha^- \otimes t) \right) \oplus \left(\bigoplus_{\alpha \in R_0^+ : \varepsilon_i(\alpha) = 2} \mathbf{C}(x_\alpha^- \otimes t^2) \right).$$

4.3. Further relations satisfied by $v_{i,m}^\sigma$.

Proposition.

(i) *Let $\alpha \in R_1^+$. If $\varepsilon_i(\alpha) < \max(i)$ we have*

$$(y_\alpha^- \otimes t^{2r+1})v_{i,m}^\sigma = 0 \quad \text{for all } r \in \mathbf{Z}_+,$$

while if $\varepsilon_i(\alpha) = \max(i)$ we have

$$(y_\alpha^- \otimes t^{2r+3})v_{i,m}^\sigma = 0 \quad \text{for all } r \in \mathbf{Z}_+.$$

(ii) *If \mathfrak{g} is of type A_n , then for all $\alpha \in R_0^+$, $r \in \mathbf{Z}_+$, we have*

$$(x_\alpha^- \otimes t^{2r+2})v_{i,m}^\sigma = 0.$$

(iii) If \mathfrak{g} is of type D_{n+1} , then for all $\alpha \in R_0^+$ and $r \in \mathbf{Z}_+$, we have

$$(x_\alpha^- \otimes t^{2r+2\varepsilon_i(\alpha)})v_{i,m}^\sigma = 0, \quad i < n.$$

Proof. Let $\alpha \in R_1^+$. Suppose that $\varepsilon_i(\alpha) = 0$. Then $s_\alpha(m\omega_i - \alpha) = m\omega_i + \alpha$ and hence $(KR^\sigma(m\omega_i))_{m\omega_i - \alpha} = 0$. This shows that

$$x_\alpha^- v_{i,m}^\sigma = (y_\alpha^- \otimes t)v_{i,m}^\sigma = 0.$$

If $\varepsilon_i(\alpha) = 1 < \max(i)$, then we can choose $j \in I_0$ such that $\beta = \alpha - \alpha_j \in R_1^+ \cap R_0^+$. If $j = i$, then $\varepsilon_i(\beta) = 0$ and we get by using (3.3) that

$$(y_\alpha^- \otimes t)v_{i,m}^\sigma = [x_\beta^-, y_{\alpha_i}^- \otimes t]v_{i,m}^\sigma = 0,$$

and if $j \neq i$, then $\varepsilon_i(\alpha_j) = 0$ and we get

$$(y_\alpha^- \otimes t)v_{i,m}^\sigma = [x_{\alpha_j}^-, y_\beta^- \otimes t]v_{i,m}^\sigma = x_{\alpha_j}^- (y_\beta^- \otimes t)v_{i,m}^\sigma.$$

Repeating the argument with β we eventually get $(y_\alpha^- \otimes t)v_{i,m}^\sigma = 0$, thus proving (i). The proofs of (ii) and (iii) are similar and we omit the details. \square

Corollary.

(i) As vector spaces we have

$$(4.4) \quad KR^\sigma(m\omega_i) = \mathbf{U}(\mathfrak{n}_0^-)\mathbf{U}(\mathfrak{n}^-[t]_{\max(i)}^\sigma)v_{i,m}^\sigma.$$

In particular $KR^\sigma(m\omega_i)$ is finite-dimensional.

(ii) If \mathfrak{g} is of type A_2 and $1 \leq m \leq 3$, then we have

$$KR^\sigma(m\omega_i) \cong \text{ev}_0^\sigma(V(m\omega_i))$$

and Proposition 3.6 is proved in these cases.

Proof. A straightforward application of the Poincaré-Birkhoff-Witt theorem gives (4.4). Since $\mathfrak{n}_0^- \oplus \mathfrak{n}^-[t]_{\max(i)}^\sigma$ is a finite-dimensional Lie algebra, it follows that for all $\mu \in P$

$$\dim(KR^\sigma(m\omega_i)_\mu) < \infty.$$

Proposition 3.5 now implies that if $KR^\sigma(m\omega_i)$ is infinite-dimensional, there must be infinitely many elements $\nu_r \in P^+$ with $KR^\sigma(m\omega_i)_\nu \neq 0$ and hence $\nu_k \in P^+ \cap (m\omega_i - Q^+)$. But this is a contradiction since it is well-known that the set $P^+ \cap (m\omega_i - Q^+)$ is finite.

If \mathfrak{g} is of type A_2 and $m \leq 3$, then $i = 1$ and $(m\omega_1 - \phi)(h_{\alpha_1}) < 0$ since $\varepsilon_1(\phi) = 2$. On the other hand, since $\varepsilon_1(\phi - \alpha_1) = 1$, we see that

$$x_{\alpha_1}^+ (y_\phi^- \otimes t)v_{i,m}^\sigma = (y_{\phi - \alpha_1}^- \otimes t)v_{i,m}^\sigma = 0.$$

Since $KR^\sigma(m\omega_i)$ is isomorphic to a direct sum of finite-dimensional \mathfrak{g}_0 -modules this forces $(y_\phi^- \otimes t)v_{i,m}^\sigma = 0$ which proves the corollary. \square

4.4. Proof of Proposition 3.6. Recall the enumeration μ_0, \dots, μ_k of the sets $P_0^+(i, d_i^\sigma)^\sigma$ and set $\phi_s = \mu_{k-s} - \mu_{k-s+1} \in R_1^+$ for $1 \leq s \leq k$. Given $\mathbf{r} = (r_1, \dots, r_k) \in \mathbf{Z}_+^k$, set $\eta_{\mathbf{r}} = \sum_{s=1}^k r_s \phi_s \in Q^+$ and

$$\mathbf{y}_{\mathbf{r}} = (y_{\phi_1} \otimes t)^{r_1} \cdots (y_{\phi_k} \otimes t)^{r_k}.$$

Note that $\eta_{\mathbf{r}} + \eta_{\mathbf{r}'} = \eta_{\mathbf{r}+\mathbf{r}'}$ for all $\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}' \in \mathbf{Z}_+^k$. For $1 \leq s \leq k$, let $\mathbf{e}_s \in \mathbf{Z}_+^k$ be the element with one in the s^{th} place and zero elsewhere. As usual \leq is the partial order on P_0 defined by $\mu \leq \mu'$ iff $\mu' - \mu \in Q_0^+$.

Proposition. *We have,*

$$(4.5) \quad \mathfrak{n}_0^+ \mathbf{y}_{\mathbf{r}} v_{i,m}^\sigma \in \sum_{\eta_{\mathbf{r}'} < \eta_{\mathbf{r}}} \mathbf{U}(\mathfrak{n}_0^-) \mathbf{y}_{\mathbf{r}'} v_{i,m}^\sigma.$$

$$(4.6) \quad KR^\sigma(m\omega_i) = \sum_{\mathbf{r} \in \mathbf{Z}_+^k} \mathbf{U}(\mathfrak{g}_0) \mathbf{y}_{\mathbf{r}} v_{i,m}^\sigma.$$

Assuming this proposition we complete the proof of Proposition 3.6 as follows. By Proposition 3.5 we can pick a \mathfrak{g}_0 -module W^0 such that

$$KR^\sigma(m\omega_i) \cong_{\mathfrak{g}_0} \mathbf{U}(\mathfrak{g}_0) v_{i,m}^\sigma \oplus W^0.$$

If $W^0 = 0$ there is nothing to prove. Otherwise, let pr_{W^0} be the \mathfrak{g}_0 -projection of $KR^\sigma(m\omega_i)$ onto W^0 . Using (4.6) we see that there exists $\mathbf{r} \in \mathbf{Z}_+^k$ such that $\text{pr}_{W^0}(\mathbf{y}_{\mathbf{r}}) \neq 0$. Choose $\mathbf{r}_1 \in \mathbf{Z}_+^k$ such that $v_{\mathbf{r}_1} = \text{pr}_{W^0}(\mathbf{y}_{\mathbf{r}_1} v_{i,m}^\sigma) \neq 0$ and such that $\eta_{\mathbf{r}_1}$ is minimal: i.e., if $\text{pr}_{W^0}(\mathbf{y}_{\mathbf{r}} v_{i,m}^\sigma) \neq 0$ for some $\mathbf{r} \in \mathbf{Z}_+^k$, then $\eta_{\mathbf{r}_1} - \eta_{\mathbf{r}} \notin Q_0^+$. Using (4.5) we get

$$\mathfrak{n}_0^+ \text{pr}_{W^0}(\mathbf{y}_{\mathbf{r}_1} v_{i,m}^\sigma) = \text{pr}_{W^0}(\mathfrak{n}_0^+ \mathbf{y}_{\mathbf{r}_1} v_{i,m}^\sigma) = 0,$$

i.e.,

$$\mathfrak{n}_0^+(v_{\mathbf{r}_1}^\sigma) = 0.$$

Thus we can write

$$KR^\sigma(m\omega_i) \cong_{\mathfrak{g}_0} \mathbf{U}(\mathfrak{g}_0) v_{i,m}^\sigma \oplus \mathbf{U}(\mathfrak{g}_0) v_{\mathbf{r}_1}^\sigma \oplus W^1,$$

for some \mathfrak{g}_0 -submodule W^1 . Repeating the argument we find that there exists $\mathbf{r}_s, s \in \mathbf{Z}_+$, such that

$$KR^\sigma(m\omega_i) \cong \mathbf{U}(\mathfrak{g}_0) v_{i,m}^\sigma \oplus \bigoplus_{s \geq 1} \mathbf{U}(\mathfrak{g}_0) v_{\mathbf{r}_s}^\sigma,$$

with $\mathfrak{n}_0^+ v_{\mathbf{r}_s}^\sigma = 0$. Since

$$v_{\mathbf{r}_s}^\sigma \in KR^\sigma(m\omega_i)_{m\omega_i - \sum_{j=1}^k s_j \phi_j},$$

for some $s_j \in \mathbf{Z}_+$ we get

$$m\omega_i - \sum_{j=1}^k s_j \phi_j = (m - s_k d_i^\sigma) \omega_i + (s_k - s_{k-1}) \mu_1 + \cdots + (s_2 - s_1) \mu_{k-1} + s_1 \mu_k \in P_0^+.$$

An inspection of the sets $P_0^+(i, d_i^\sigma)^\sigma$ shows that this implies

$$m \geq d_i^\sigma s_k, \quad s_j \leq s_{j+1}, \quad 1 \leq j \leq k-1,$$

which in turn implies that the weight of $v_{\mathbf{r}_s}^\sigma$ is in $P_0^+(i, m)^\sigma$. Since $\mathbf{r}_s \neq \mathbf{r}_{s'}$ if $s \neq s'$, Proposition 3.6 is now proved. It remains to prove Proposition 4.4.

4.4.1. Proof of (4.5). It is useful to recall our convention that if $\alpha \in R_1^+$, then y_α^- denotes an arbitrary non-zero element of the space $(\mathfrak{g}_1)_{-\alpha}$.

The case when \mathfrak{g} is of type D_{n+1} or A_{2n} .

We proceed by induction on n . To see that induction begins for the series D_{n+1} at $n = 2$ note that then, $\mathfrak{n}^+[t]_{\max(1)}^\sigma = \mathbf{C}(y_{\alpha_1+\alpha_2}^- \otimes t)$ and hence the result follows from Corollary 4.3(i). For A_{2n} it begins at $n = 1$ by noting that $\mathfrak{n}_0^- [t]_{\max(1)}^\sigma = \mathbf{C}(y_\phi \otimes t)$ and $\varepsilon_1(\phi - \alpha_1) = 1 < \max(1)$ and again using Corollary 4.3.

For the inductive step set $J = \{2, \dots, n\}$ and let $j \in J$. We have

$$x_j^+ \mathbf{y}_r v_{i,m}^\sigma = (y_{\phi_1} \otimes t)^{r_1} x_j^+ \mathbf{y}_{\mathbf{r}-r_1 \mathbf{e}_1} v_{i,m}^\sigma \in (y_{\phi_1} \otimes t)^{r_1} \sum_{\mathbf{r}' \in S} \mathbf{U}((\mathfrak{n}_0^-)_J) \mathbf{y}_{\mathbf{r}'} \subset \sum_{\mathbf{r}' \in S} \mathbf{U}((\mathfrak{n}_0^-)_J) (y_{\phi_1} \otimes t)^{r_1} \mathbf{y}_{\mathbf{r}'}$$

where

$$S = \{\mathbf{r}' \in \mathbf{Z}_k^+ : r'_1 = 0, \eta_{\mathbf{r}'} < \eta_{\mathbf{r}-r_1 \mathbf{e}_1}\}.$$

The last inclusion is a consequence of the fact that $[y_{\phi_1}^-, \mathfrak{n}_0^-] = 0$. It is now simple to check that $\eta_{\mathbf{r}} > \eta_{\mathbf{r}'+r_1 \mathbf{e}_1}$.

Suppose now that $j = 1$ and that \mathfrak{g} is of type A_{2n} . We have

$$x_{\alpha_1}^+ \mathbf{y}_r v_{i,m}^\sigma = (y_{\alpha_1+2\sum_{s=2}^n \alpha_s}^- \otimes t) \mathbf{y}_{\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{e}_1} v_{i,m}^\sigma = \delta_{i,1} x_{\alpha_1}^- \mathbf{y}_{\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{e}_1+\mathbf{e}_2} v_{i,m}^\sigma,$$

where $\delta_{i,1}$ is one if $i = 1$ and zero otherwise. If \mathfrak{g} is of type D_{n+1} , we have

$$(4.7) \quad x_1^+ \mathbf{y}_r v_{i,m}^\sigma = \mathbf{y}_{\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{e}_1+\mathbf{e}_2} v_{i,m}^\sigma + (x_\theta^- \otimes t^2) \mathbf{y}_{\mathbf{r}-2\mathbf{e}_1} v_{i,m}^\sigma,$$

where we recall that $\theta = \alpha_1 + 2\sum_{j=2}^n \alpha_j$. Since $\eta_{\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{e}_1+\mathbf{e}_2} < \eta_{\mathbf{r}}$ it remains to prove that

$$(x_\theta^- \otimes t^2) \mathbf{y}_{\mathbf{r}-2\mathbf{e}_1} v_{i,m}^\sigma \in \sum_{\eta_{\mathbf{r}'} < \eta_{\mathbf{r}}} \mathbf{U}(\mathfrak{n}_0^-) \mathbf{y}_{\mathbf{r}'} v_{i,m}^\sigma.$$

Now

$$(4.8) \quad x_{\alpha_1}^- \mathbf{y}_{\mathbf{r}-2\mathbf{e}_1+2\mathbf{e}_2} v_{i,m}^\sigma = (x_\theta^- \otimes t^2) \mathbf{y}_{\mathbf{r}-2\mathbf{e}_1} v_{i,m}^\sigma + \mathbf{y}_{\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{e}_1+\mathbf{e}_2} v_{i,m}^\sigma.$$

Since $\eta_{\mathbf{r}} > \eta_{\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{e}_1+\mathbf{e}_2}$ and $\eta_{\mathbf{r}} > \eta_{\mathbf{r}-2\mathbf{e}_1+2\mathbf{e}_2}$ the inductive step is proved.

The case when \mathfrak{g} is of type A_{2n-1} .

We note that induction begins at $n = 2$ by using Corollary 4.3, since in this case we have when $i = 2$ that $\mathfrak{n}^+[t]_{\max(2)}^\sigma = \mathbf{C}(y_{\alpha_1+\alpha_2}^- \otimes t)$. Set $J = \{2, \dots, n\}$. For the inductive step, note that if i is odd, then $\phi_s \in R_J^+$ and by the induction hypothesis it suffices to consider only the case $x_{\alpha_1}^+ \mathbf{y}_r v_{i,m}^\sigma$. Since

$$[x_{\alpha_1}^+, \mathbf{y}_r] = 0,$$

the result is immediate.

Assume then that i is even and let $j \neq 2$. We have

$$x_j^+ \mathbf{y}_r v_{i,m}^\sigma = (y_{\phi_1} \otimes t)^{r_1} x_j^+ \mathbf{y}_{\mathbf{r}-r_1 \mathbf{e}_1} v_{i,m}^\sigma \in (y_{\phi_1} \otimes t)^{r_1} \sum_{\mathbf{r}' \in S} \mathbf{U}((\mathfrak{n}_0^-)_J) \mathbf{y}_{\mathbf{r}'} \subset \sum_{\mathbf{r}' \in S} \mathbf{U}((\mathfrak{n}_0^-)_J) (y_{\phi_1} \otimes t)^{r_1} \mathbf{y}_{\mathbf{r}'}$$

where

$$S = \{\mathbf{r}' \in \mathbf{Z}_k^+ : r'_1 = 0, \eta_{\mathbf{r}'} < \eta_{\mathbf{r}-r_1 \mathbf{e}_1}\}.$$

If $j = 2$, we get

$$x_{\alpha_2}^+ \mathbf{y}_{\mathbf{r}} v_{i,m}^\sigma = (y_{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + 2 \sum_{s=3}^n \alpha_s}^- \otimes t) \mathbf{y}_{\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{e}_1} v_{i,m}^\sigma = \delta_{i,2} x_{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_3}^- \mathbf{y}_{\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{e}_1 + \mathbf{e}_2} v_{i,m}^\sigma.$$

This completes the proof of the inductive step.

4.4.2. Proof of (4.6). Let $\mathfrak{k}_i^+ \subset \mathfrak{n}_0^+$ be the subalgebra spanned by elements $\{x_\alpha^+ : \varepsilon_i(\alpha) = 0\}$. It is easily seen that the subalgebra $\mathfrak{n}^- [t]_{\max(i)}^\sigma$ is a module for \mathfrak{k}_i via the adjoint action, i.e.,

$$[\mathfrak{k}_i, \mathfrak{n}^- [t]_{\max(i)}^\sigma] \subset \mathfrak{n}^- [t]_{\max(i)}^\sigma.$$

This action defines a \mathfrak{k}_i -module structure on $\mathbf{U}(\mathfrak{n}^- [t]_{\max(i)}^\sigma)$ and let $\rho : \mathfrak{k}_i \rightarrow \text{End}(\mathbf{U}(\mathfrak{n}^- [t]_{\max(i)}^\sigma))$ denote the corresponding homomorphism of Lie algebras.

Lemma. *We have*

$$\mathbf{U}(\mathfrak{n}^- [t]_{\max(i)}^\sigma) = \sum_{\mathbf{r} \in \mathbf{Z}_+^k} \rho(\mathbf{U}(\mathfrak{k}_i^+)) \mathbf{y}_{\mathbf{r}}.$$

Assuming the Lemma we complete the proof of (4.6) as follows. Let $g \in \mathbf{U}(\mathfrak{n}^- [t]_{\max(i)}^\sigma)$ and write $g = \sum_{\mathbf{r} \in \mathbf{Z}_+^k} \rho(\mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{r}}) \mathbf{y}_{\mathbf{r}}$ for some $\mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{r}} \in \mathbf{U}(\mathfrak{k}_i^+)$. Then,

$$g v_{i,m}^\sigma = \sum_{\mathbf{r} \in \mathbf{Z}_+^k} \rho(\mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{r}}) \mathbf{y}_{\mathbf{r}} v_{i,m}^\sigma = \sum_{\mathbf{r} \in \mathbf{Z}_+^k} \mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{r}} \mathbf{y}_{\mathbf{r}} v_{i,m}^\sigma \in \sum_{\mathbf{r} \in \mathbf{Z}_+^k} \mathbf{U}(\mathfrak{g}_0) \mathbf{y}_{\mathbf{r}} v_{i,m}^\sigma,$$

where the second equality uses the fact that $\mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{r}} v_{i,m}^\sigma = 0$. An application of Corollary 4.3(i) now gives (4.6).

The proof of Lemma 4.4.2 is straightforward and we give a proof when \mathfrak{g} is of type D_{n+1} . The other cases are similar. If $i = 1$, there is nothing to prove and we assume from now on that $1 < i < n$. We proceed by induction on n , with induction beginning at $n = 2$ by the preceding comment. Let $J = \{2, \dots, n\}$, by the induction hypothesis we have

$$\mathbf{U}(\mathfrak{n}^- [t]_{\max(i) \cap \mathfrak{n}_J^-}^\sigma) = \sum_{\mathbf{r} \in \mathbf{Z}_+^i : r_1=0} \rho(\mathbf{U}(\mathfrak{k}_i^+ \cap \mathfrak{n}_J^+)) \mathbf{y}_{\mathbf{r}},$$

and since $[y_{\phi_1}^-, \mathfrak{n}^- [t]^\sigma] = [y_{\phi_1}^-, \mathfrak{n}_J^+] = 0$ we get

$$(4.9) \quad \sum_{r_1 \in \mathbf{Z}_+} (y_{\phi_1}^- \otimes t)^{r_1} \mathbf{U}(\mathfrak{n}^- [t]_{\max(i) \cap \mathfrak{n}_J^-}^\sigma) = \sum_{\mathbf{r} \in \mathbf{Z}_+^i} \rho(\mathbf{U}(\mathfrak{k}_i^+ \cap \mathfrak{n}_J^+)) \mathbf{y}_{\mathbf{r}}.$$

Thus the lemma is established if we prove that

$$(4.10) \quad \rho(\mathbf{U}(\mathfrak{k}_i^+)) \sum_{r_1 \in \mathbf{Z}_+} (y_{\phi_1}^- \otimes t)^{r_1} \mathbf{U}(\mathfrak{n}^- [t]_{\max(i) \cap \mathfrak{n}_J^-}^\sigma) = \mathbf{U}(\mathfrak{n}^- [t]_{\max(i)}^\sigma).$$

For $1 \leq j \leq i-1$, set

$$\theta_j = \alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_j + 2(\alpha_{j+1} + \dots + \alpha_n),$$

and

$$\mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{s}} = (x_{\theta_1}^- \otimes t^2)^{s_1} \dots (x_{\theta_{i-1}}^- \otimes t^2)^{s_{i-1}}, \quad \mathbf{s} \in \mathbf{Z}_+^{i-1}.$$

By the PBW theorem we have,

$$\mathbf{U}(\mathfrak{n}^-[t]_{\max(i)}^\sigma) = \sum_{\mathbf{s} \in \mathbf{Z}_+^{i-1}, r \in \mathbf{Z}_+} \mathbf{x}_s(y_{\phi_1}^- \otimes t)^r \mathbf{U}(\mathfrak{n}^-[t]_{\max(i) \cap \mathfrak{n}_J^-}^\sigma),$$

and hence (4.10) follows if we prove that for all $\mathbf{s} \in \mathbf{Z}_+^{i-1}$ and $r \in \mathbf{Z}_+$ we have

$$(4.11) \quad \mathbf{x}_s(y_{\phi_1}^- \otimes t)^r \mathbf{U}(\mathfrak{n}^-[t]_{\max(i) \cap \mathfrak{n}_J^-}^\sigma) \in \rho(\mathbf{U}(\mathfrak{k}_i^+)) \sum_{r_1 \in \mathbf{Z}_+} (y_{\phi_1} \otimes t)^{r_1} \mathbf{U}(\mathfrak{n}^-[t]_{\max(i) \cap \mathfrak{n}_J^-}^\sigma).$$

To prove (4.11) let $r_1 \in \mathbf{Z}_+$, $g \in \mathbf{U}(\mathfrak{n}^-[t]_{\max(i) \cap \mathfrak{n}_J^-}^\sigma)$. For $2 \leq 2s_1 \leq r_1$ we get

$$\rho(x_{\alpha_1}^+)^{s_1} (y_{\phi_1} \otimes t)^{r_1} g = \sum_{p=0}^{s_1} (x_{\theta_1}^- \otimes t^2)^p (y_{\phi_1} \otimes t)^{r_1 - s_1 - p} (y_{\phi_2} \otimes t)^{s_1 - p} g,$$

which proves (4.11) for all \mathbf{s} with $s_j = 0$ for $j > 1$.

Assume that (4.11) is established for all \mathbf{s} such that $s_j = 0$ for all $j \geq r$. To prove that it holds for \mathbf{s} with $s_j = 0$ for $j > r > 1$, suppose first that $s_r = 1$. Then,

$$\rho(x_{\alpha_r}^+) \mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{s} - \mathbf{e}_r + \mathbf{e}_{r-1}} (y_{\phi_1} \otimes t)^{r_1} g = \mathbf{x}_s (y_{\phi_1} \otimes t)^{r_1} g + \mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{s} - \mathbf{e}_r + \mathbf{e}_{r-1}} (y_{\phi_1} \otimes t)^{r_1} \rho(x_{\alpha_r}^+) g,$$

and hence we get by using (4.9) that (4.11) holds for $\mathbf{x}_s (y_{\phi_1} \otimes t)^{r_1} g$ when $s_r = 1$. An obvious induction on s_r gives the result in general.

5. DEMAZURE MODULES AND CONCLUDING REMARKS

5.1. The relation between the modules $KR(m\omega_i)$ and Demazure modules.

5.1.1. The affine Kac–Moody algebras. Let $\mathbf{C}[t, t^{-1}]$ be the ring of Laurent polynomials in an indeterminate t . Let $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}$ be the affine Lie algebra defined by

$$\widehat{\mathfrak{g}} = \mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathbf{C}[t, t^{-1}] \oplus \mathbf{C}c \oplus \mathbf{C}d,$$

where c is central and

$$[x \otimes t^s, y \otimes t^k] = [x, y] \otimes t^{s+k} + s\delta_{s+k,0} \langle x, y \rangle c, \quad [d, x \otimes t^s] = sx \otimes t^s,$$

for all $x, y \in \mathfrak{g}$, $s, k \in \mathbf{Z}$ and where $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ is the Killing form of \mathfrak{g} . Set

$$\widehat{\mathfrak{h}} = \mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathbf{C}c \oplus \mathbf{C}d,$$

and regard \mathfrak{h}^* as a subspace of $\widehat{\mathfrak{h}}^*$ by setting $\lambda(c) = \lambda(d) = 0$ for $\lambda \in \mathfrak{h}^*$. For $0 \leq i, j \leq n$ let $\Lambda_i \in \widehat{\mathfrak{h}}^*$ be defined by $\Lambda_i(h_j) = \delta_{i,j}$, and let $\widehat{P}^+ \subset \widehat{\mathfrak{h}}^*$ be the non-negative integer linear span of Λ_i , $0 \leq i \leq n$. Define $\delta \in (\widehat{\mathfrak{h}})^*$ by

$$\delta|_{\mathfrak{h}} = 0, \quad \delta(d) = 1, \quad \delta(c) = 0.$$

The elements $\alpha_i \in (\widehat{\mathfrak{h}})^*$, $0 \leq i \leq n$, where $\alpha_0 = \delta - \theta$ are a set of simple roots for $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}$ with respect to $\widehat{\mathfrak{h}}$. Let \widehat{Q}^+ be the subset of \widehat{P}^+ spanned by the elements α_i , $0 \leq i \leq n$. Let \widehat{W} be the extended affine Weyl group and (\cdot, \cdot) be the \widehat{W} -invariant form on $\widehat{\mathfrak{h}}^*$ obtained by requiring $(\Lambda_i, \alpha_j) = \delta_{ij}$ for all $0 \leq i, j \leq n$ and $(\delta, \alpha_i) = 0$ for all $0 \leq i \leq n$.

5.1.2. The highest weight integrable modules. Given $\Lambda = \sum_{i=0}^n m_i \Lambda_i \in \widehat{P}^+$, let $L(\Lambda)$ be the $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}$ -module generated by an element v_Λ with relations:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{g}t[v_\Lambda = 0, \quad (\mathfrak{n}^+ \otimes 1)v_\Lambda = 0, \quad (h \otimes 1)v_\Lambda = \Lambda(h)v_\Lambda, \\ (x_{\alpha_i}^- \otimes 1)^{m_i+1}v_\Lambda = 0, \quad (x_\theta^+ \otimes t^{-1})^{m_0+1}v_\Lambda = 0, \end{aligned}$$

for $1 \leq i \leq n$. This module is known to be irreducible and integrable (see [12]). The next proposition also can be found in [12].

Proposition. *Let $\Lambda \in \widehat{P}^+$.*

(i) *We have*

$$L(\Lambda) = \bigoplus_{\Lambda' \in \widehat{P}} L(\Lambda)_{\Lambda'}, \quad \text{where } L(\Lambda)_{\Lambda'} = \{v \in L(\Lambda) : hv = \Lambda'(h)v, \quad h \in \widehat{\mathfrak{h}}\}.$$

Moreover, $\dim(L(\Lambda)_{\Lambda'}) < \infty$, $\dim(L(\Lambda))_\Lambda = 1$, and $L(\Lambda)_{\Lambda'} = 0$ if $\Lambda - \Lambda' \notin \widehat{Q}^+$.

(ii) *The set*

$$\text{wt}(L(\Lambda)) = \{\Lambda' \in \widehat{P} : L(\Lambda)_{\Lambda'} \neq 0\} \subset \Lambda - \widehat{Q}^+.$$

is preserved by \widehat{W} and

$$\dim(L(\Lambda)_{w\Lambda'}) = \dim(L(\Lambda)_{\Lambda'}) \quad \forall w \in \widehat{W}, \quad \Lambda' \in \widehat{P}.$$

In particular, $\dim(L(\Lambda)_{w\Lambda}) = 1$ for all $w \in \widehat{W}$.

□

5.1.3. The Demazure modules. From now on we fix $\Lambda = m\Lambda_0$ for some $m \in \mathbf{Z}_+$ and we also assume for simplicity that \mathfrak{g} is of type A_n or D_n . Given $w \in \widehat{W}$, let $v_{w\Lambda}$ be a non-zero element in $L(\Lambda)_{w\Lambda}$ and let

$$D(w\Lambda) = \mathbf{U}(\mathfrak{g}[t])v_{w\Lambda} \subset L(\Lambda).$$

It is clear from Proposition 5.1.2 that $D(w\Lambda)$ is finite-dimensional for all $w \in \widehat{W}$.

Proposition. *Let $\Lambda = m\Lambda_0 \in \widehat{P}^+$ for some $m > 0$ and $w \in \widehat{W}$ be such that $w\Lambda|_{\mathfrak{h}} = m\omega_i$ for some $1 \leq i \leq n$. Then, $D(w\Lambda)$ is isomorphic to $KR(m\omega_i)$.*

Proof. To prove the proposition we first show that $v_{w\Lambda}$ satisfies the defining relations of $KR(m\omega_i)$. It was shown in [3] that the element $v_{w\Lambda}$ satisfied the relations

$$\mathfrak{n}^+[t]v_{w\Lambda} = 0, \quad (h \otimes t^r)v_{w\Lambda} = 0, \quad h \in \mathfrak{h}, r \in \mathbf{N}.$$

The proof given in [3, Proposition 1.4.3] was for the special case when \mathfrak{g} is of type A_n , but works for any simple Lie algebra. Since $D(w\Lambda)$ is finite-dimensional the only relation that remains to be checked is that

$$(x_{\alpha_i}^- \otimes t)v_{w\Lambda} = 0.$$

If not, then $L(\Lambda)_{w\Lambda - \alpha_i + \delta} \neq 0$ and hence we find that $L(\Lambda)_{\Lambda - w^{-1}\alpha_i + \delta} \neq 0$. Now,

$$(w\Lambda, \alpha_i) = m = (m\Lambda_0, w^{-1}\alpha_i)$$

implies that $w^{-1}\alpha_i = \alpha + \delta$ for some $\alpha \in R$ and hence $L(\Lambda)_{\Lambda - \alpha} \neq 0$. This forces

$$\alpha \in R^+, \quad L(\Lambda)_{s_\alpha(\Lambda - \alpha)} \neq 0.$$

Since $\Lambda = m\Lambda_0$, we find that $s_\alpha(\Lambda - \alpha) = \Lambda + \alpha$ and hence $L(\Lambda)_{\Lambda + \alpha} \neq 0$ which is a contradiction. This proves that $D(w\Lambda)$ is a quotient of $KR(m\omega_i)$. The fact that it is an isomorphism of $\mathfrak{g}[t]$ -modules is immediate from [7, Theorem 1].

□

5.2. The case of exceptional Lie algebras. For the exceptional Lie algebras the definition and elementary properties of the modules $KR(m\omega_i)$ and their twisted analogs are exactly the same as for the classical Lie algebras. Moreover, the \mathfrak{g} -module decompositions of the modules $KR(m\omega_i)$ (resp. $KR^\sigma(m\omega_i)$) can be shown to coincide with the conjectural decompositions given in [9], [10], [14] as long as i is such that the maximum value of $\varepsilon_i(\alpha) \leq \check{d}_i$ for all $\alpha \in R^+$.

In the other cases, the main difficulty lies in proving Proposition 2.4 (resp. Proposition 3.6). One reason for this is that the multiplicity of the irreducible representations occurring in a given graded component can be bigger than one. The construction of the fundamental Kirillov–Reshetikhin modules is also much more complicated since the number of irreducible components is large, in the case of E_8 and the trivalent node for instance, the total number of components is conjectured to be three hundred and sixty eight with twenty four non-isomorphic isotypical components. In fact in this case, a general conjecture for the structure of the non-fundamental modules is not available and the combinatorics seems formidable.

REFERENCES

1. N. Bourbaki, *Groupes et algèbres de Lie*, Chapitres 4,5,6, Hermann, Paris (1968).
2. V. Chari, *On the fermionic formula and the Kirillov-Reshetikhin conjecture*, Int. Math. Res. Not. **12** (2001), 629–654.
3. V. Chari, S. Loktev, *Weyl, Fusion and Demazure modules for the current algebra of sl_{r+1}* , math.QA/0502165.
4. V. Chari, A. Pressley, *Weyl modules for classical and quantum affine algebras*. Represent. Theory **5** (2001), 191–223.
5. B. Feigin, S. Loktev, *On Generalized Kostka Polynomials and the Quantum Verlinde Rule*, Differential topology, infinite-dimensional Lie algebras, and applications, Amer. Math. Soc. Transl. Ser. 2, Vol. **194** (1999), p. 61–79, math.QA/9812093.
6. B. Feigin, S. Loktev, A.N. Kirillov, *Combinatorics and Geometry of Higher Level Weyl Modules*, math.QA/0503315.
7. G. Fourier, P. Littelmann, *Tensor product structure of affine Demazure modules and limit constructions*, math.RT/0412432
8. W. Fulton and J. Harris, *Representation Theory - A first course*, GTM 129, Springer–Verlag (1991).
9. G. Hatayama, A. Kuniba, M. Okado, T. Takagi, Y. Yamada, *Remarks on the Fermionic Formula*, Contemp. Math. **248** (1999), 243–291.
10. G. Hatayama, A. Kuniba, M. Okado, T. Takagi, Z. Tsuboi, *Paths, Crystals and Fermionic Formulae*, Prog.Math.Phys. **23** (2002), 205–272.
11. J. Humphreys, *Introduction to Lie Algebras and Representation Theory*, GTM 9, Springer–Verlag (1972).
12. V. Kac, *Infinite dimensional Lie algebras*, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1985.
13. A.N. Kirillov, N. Reshetikhin, *Representations of Yangians and multiplicities of occurrence of the irreducible components of the tensor product of simple Lie algebras*, J. Sov. Math. **52** (1981), no. 5, 393–403.
14. M. Kleber, *Combinatorial structure of finite-dimensional representations of Yangians: the simply-laced case*, Int. Math. Res. Not. **7** (1997), no. 4, 187–201.
15. S. Naito, D. Sagaki, *Path Model for a Level Zero Extremal Weight Module over a Quantum Affine Algebra*, math.QA/0210450.

16. S. Naito, D. Sagaki, *Construction of perfect crystals conjecturally corresponding to Kirillov-Reshetikhin modules over twisted quantum affine algebras*, math.QA/0503287.
17. A. Schilling, P. Sternberg, *Finite-Dimensional Crystals $B^{2,s}$ for Quantum Affine Algebras of type $D_n^{(1)}$* , math.QA/0408113.
18. K. Parthasarathy, R. Rao, V. Varadarajan, *Representations of complex semi-simple Lie groups and Lie Algebras*, Ann.Math. **85**, (1967), 38–429.
19. V. Varadarajan, *Lie Groups, Lie Algebras, and Their Representations*, GTM 102, Springer-Verlag (1974).

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, RIVERSIDE, CA 92521.

UNICAMP - IMECC, CAMPINAS - SP - BRAZIL, 13083-859.

E-mail address: aamoura@ime.unicamp.br