Derived Equivalence Classification of Nonstandard Selfinjective Algebras of Domestic Type

Rafał Bocian¹, Thorsten Holm², and Andrzej Skowroński^{1,*}

¹Faculty of Mathematics and Computer Science, Nicolaus Copernicus University, Toruń, Poland

> ²Department of Pure Mathematics, University of Leeds, Leeds LS2 9JT, United Kingdom

ABSTRACT

We give a complete derived equivalence classification of all nonstandard representation-infinite domestic selfinjective algebras over an algebraically closed field. As a consequence, a complete stable equivalence classification of these algebras is obtained.

Key Words: Selfinjective algebra; Brauer graph algebra; Derived equivalence; Stable equivalence; Domestic type.

^{*}Correspondence: Andrzej Skowroński, Faculty of Mathematics and Computer Science, Nicolaus Copernicus University, Chopina 12/18, 87-100 Toruń, Poland; E-mail: skowron@mat.uni.torun.pl.

1. INTRODUCTION

Throughout the paper K will denote a fixed algebraically closed field. By an algebra we mean a finite dimensional K-algebra (associative, with an identity), which we shall assume (without loss of generality) to be basic and connected. For an algebra A, we denote by mod A the category of finite dimensional left A-modules, by $\underline{\text{mod}} A$ the stable category of mod A (modulo projectives), and by $D^b(\text{mod} A)$ the derived category of bounded complexes of modules from mod A. Two algebras A and B are said to be stably equivalent if the stable module categories $\underline{\text{mod}} A$ and $\underline{\text{mod}} B$ are equivalent. Moreover, two algebras A and B are said to be derived equivalent if the derived categories $D^b(\text{mod} A)$ and $D^b(\text{mod} B)$ are equivalent as triangulated categories. An algebra A is called selfinjective if the projective A-modules are injective. It is proved in Rickard (1989b) that derived equivalent selfinjective algebras are also stably equivalent.

From Drozd's Tame and Wild Theorem (Drozd, 1980) the class of algebras may be divided into two disjoint classes. One class consists of the tame algebras for which the indecomposable modules occur, in each dimension d, in a finite number of discrete and a finite number of one-parameter families. The second class is formed by the wild algebras whose representation theory comprises the representation theories of all finite dimensional K-algebras. Accordingly, a classification of the indecomposable finite dimensional modules is feasible only for the tame algebras.

One central problem of modern representation theory is the determination of the derived equivalence classes of tame selfinjective algebras. This has been done for the selfinjective algebras of finite representation type (see Asashiba, 1999), the algebras of dihedral, semidihedral and quaternion type (including tame blocks of group algebras) (see Holm, 1997, 1999), and the symmetric algebras of tubular type (see Białkowski, Holm and Skowroński, 2003a, 2003b).

In this paper, we are concerned with the problem of the derived equivalence classification of all representation-infinite tame selfinjective algebras of domestic type. Recall that an algebra has domestic (representation) type if there exists a common bound (independent of the fixed dimension) for the numbers of one-parameter families of indecomposable modules. The Morita equivalence classification of these algebras splits into two cases: the standard algebras, which admit simply connected Galois coverings, and the remaining nonstandard ones. The class of standard representation-infinite selfinjective algebras of domestic type coincides with the class of selfinjective algebras of Euclidean type, that is, the orbit algebras \widehat{B}/G of the repetitive algebras \widehat{B} of tilted algebras B of Euclidean type with respect to actions of admissible infinite cyclic automorphism groups G (see Skowroński, 1989). We refer to Bocian and Skowroński (2003, 2005a, 2005b) for the classification of these algebras, and to Bocian, Holm and Skowroński (2004, 2005) for the derived equivalence classification of the symmetric algebras of Euclidean type and the one-parametric standard selfinjective algebras, respectively. It has been proved recently (see Bocian and Skowroński, 2005c; Skowroński, 2005, and Section 2) that the class of nonstandard representationinfinite selfinjective algebras of domestic type consists of (modified) Brauer graph algebras

 $\Omega(T)$ of Brauer graphs T with one loop. The aim of this paper is to give the derived equivalence classification and the stable equivalence classification of these algebras. In order to formulate our main result, consider the following family of bound quiver algebras



The following is the main result of this paper, providing a complete derived equivalence classification and stable equivalence classification of nonstandard representation-infinite

selfinjective algebras of domestic type.

Theorem 1. (1) Let $A = \Omega(T)$, and assume that the Brauer graph T has n edges. Then A is derived equivalent (respectively, stably equivalent) to $\Omega(n)$.

(2) Any nonstandard representation-infinite selfinjective algebra of domestic type is derived equivalent (resp. stably equivalent) to an algebra $\Omega(n)$.

Moreover, two algebras $\Omega(m)$ and $\Omega(n)$ are derived equivalent (respectively, stably equivalent) if and only if m = n.

2. THE ALGEBRAS $\Omega(\mathbf{T})$

The aim of this section is to introduce the family $\Omega(T)$ of nonstandard Brauer graph algebras of domestic type.

A Brauer graph T is a finite connected undirected graph, where for each vertex there is a fixed circular order on the edges adjacent to it. We draw T in a plane and agree that the edges adjacent to a given vertex are clockwise ordered. Here, we assume that T has exactly one cycle, which is a loop, being also its direct successor. Therefore, T is of the form



where $T_2, T_3, \ldots, T_{r-1}, T_r$ are Brauer trees. A Brauer graph T defines a Brauer quiver Q_T as follows:

(a) the vertices of Q_T correspond to the edges of T;

(b) there is an arrow $i \longrightarrow j$ in Q_T if and only if j is the consecutive edge of i in the circular ordering of the edges at a vertex of T.

Observe that Q_T is the union of (oriented) cycles, and every vertex of Q_T belongs to exactly two cycles. The cycles of Q_T are divided into two camps: α -camps and β -camps such that two cycles of Q_T having nontrivial intersection belong to different camps. We assume that the loop corresponding to the unique loop of T is denoted α_1 . Moreover, the β -cycle of Q_T corresponding to the vertex S of the loop of T is said to be exceptional. For each vertex iof Q_T , we have

- $i \xrightarrow{\alpha_i} \alpha(i)$, the arrow in the α -camp of Q_T starting at i;
- $i \xrightarrow{\beta_i} \beta(i)$, the arrow in the β -camp of Q_T starting at i;

and the oriented cycles

$$A_i = \alpha_i \alpha_{\alpha(i)} \dots \alpha_{\alpha^{-1}(i)}, \quad B_i = \beta_i \beta_{\beta(i)} \dots \beta_{\beta^{-1}(i)}$$

around the vertex i. Moreover, for each vertex j of the exceptional β -cycle different from 1, consider the oriented cycle

$$B'_i = \beta_j \beta_{j+1} \dots \beta_r \alpha_1 \beta_1 \beta_2 \dots \beta_{j-1}.$$

Finally, we define the algebra $\Omega(T)$ as the bound quiver algebra KQ_T/J_T , where J_T is the ideal of the path algebra KQ_T generated by the elements:

- (1) $\beta_{\beta^{-1}(i)}\alpha_i, \alpha_{\alpha^{-1}(i)}\beta_i$, for all vertices *i* of Q_T different from 1,
- (2) $\beta_r\beta_1$,
- (3) $A_i B_i$, for all vertices i of Q_T not lying on the exceptional β -cycle,
- (4) $A_j B'_j$, for all vertices j of the exceptional β -cycle different from 1, (5) $\alpha_1^2 \alpha_1 \beta_1 \dots \beta_r, \ \alpha_1 \beta_1 \dots \beta_r + \beta_1 \dots \beta_r \alpha_1$.

We then have the following theorem (see Bocian and Skowroński, 2005; Skowroński, 2005).

Theorem 2.1. The Brauer graph algebras $\Omega(T)$ form a complete family of nonstandard representation-infinite selfinjective algebras of domestic type.

For each positive integer n, denote by $\Omega(n)$ the Brauer graph algebra $\Omega(T(n))$ of the Brauer loop-star T(n) of the form



The following proposition describes the structure of the stable Auslander-Reiten quivers $\Gamma_{\Omega(n)}^{s}$ of the algebras $\Omega(n)$.

Proposition 2.2. The stable Auslander-Reiten quiver $\Gamma_{\Omega(n)}^s$ of $\Omega(n)$ consists of a Euclidean component of type $\mathbb{Z}\widetilde{A}_{2n-1}$, a stable tube of rank 2n-1, and a K-family of stable tubes of rank 1.

Proof. Fix $n \ge 1$ and consider the bound quiver algebra A(n)



 $\beta_j \beta_{j+1} \dots \beta_n \alpha \beta_1 \beta_2 \dots \beta_{j-1} \beta_j = 0, \text{ for } 2 \leq j \leq n.$

Then the algebras $\Omega(n)$ and A(n) are socle equivalent, that is, the algebras $\Omega(n) / \operatorname{soc} \Omega(n)$ and $A(n) / \operatorname{soc} A(n)$ are isomorphic, and consequently the stable Auslander-Reiten quivers $\Gamma_{\Omega(n)}^{s}$ and $\Gamma_{A(n)}^{s}$ are isomorphic, by the known form

$$0 \longrightarrow \operatorname{rad} P \longrightarrow \operatorname{rad} P / \operatorname{soc} P \oplus P \longrightarrow P / \operatorname{soc} P \longrightarrow 0$$

of Auslander-Reiten sequences with middle term having an indecomposable projectiveinjective direct summand P (see Auslander, Reiten and Smalo, 1995). On the other hand, A(n) is a weakly symmetric standard selfinjective algebra $\widehat{B(n)}/(\sigma_n\varphi_n)$ of Euclidean type \widetilde{A}_{2n-1} , where $\widehat{B(n)}$ is the repetitive algebra of the tilted algebra B(n) of type \widetilde{A}_{2n-1} given by the quiver



and the relation $\gamma_n\beta_1 = 0$, φ_n is the canonical automorphism of $\widehat{B(n)}$ whose square φ_n^2 is the Nakayama automorphism $\nu_{\widehat{B(n)}}$ of $\widehat{B(n)}$, and σ_n is a rigid automorphism of $\widehat{B(n)}$ induced by the scalar multiplication $(-1)\alpha$ of α . Then the required shape of $\Gamma_{A(n)}^s$, and hence of $\Gamma_{\Omega(n)}^s$, follows from Assem, Nehring and Skowroński (1989) and Skowroński (1989).

The following corollary is a direct consequence of the above proposition.

Corollary 2.3. Let m and n be different positive integers. Then the algebras $\Omega(m)$ and $\Omega(n)$ are not stably equivalent.

3. PROOF OF THEOREM 1

Note that the part (2) of Theorem 1 follows from the part (1) together with Theorem 2.1. Moreover, by (Rickard, 1989b), derived equivalent selfinjective algebras are stably equivalent, and the final part of Theorem 1 follows from Corollary 2.3.

So we have to prove that every algebra $\Omega(T)$ as defined in Section 2 is derived equivalent to the normal form $\Omega(n)$, where n is the number of edges of the Brauer graph T.

Actually, we will give two different proofs of Theorem 1. The first is based on Rickard's construction of tilting complexes for Brauer tree algebras (see Rickard 1989b). We slightly have to adapt the original construction for our purposes. This proof is quite elegant, but for most technical details we will have to refer to Rickard's paper. For the convenience of the reader who might not be familiar with Rickard's paper we give a second, more elementary and self-contained proof of Theorem 1. We give a construction of an easy tilting complex whose endomorphism ring is of the form $\Omega(T')$ where the exceptional cycle in T' has one vertex more than the cycle in T. Inductively, we can get all vertices inside the cycle, up to derived equivalence, that is, we get a normal form $\Omega(n)$.

The first proof will be given in subsection 3.1, the second proof in subsection 3.2.

3.1 Shrinking the Brauer trees

Recall the definition of the algebras $\Omega(T)$ from Section 2. The Brauer graph T has the following shape



where $T_2, T_3, \ldots, T_{r-1}, T_r$ are arbitrary Brauer trees.

The construction below of a suitable tilting complex is inspired by J. Rickard's construction of tilting complexes for Brauer tree algebras (see Rickard, 1989b). Of course, in our situation we slightly have to adapt this construction because we are dealing with Brauer graphs containing a cycle.

Recall that the edges of the Brauer graph T correspond to the vertices of the Brauer quiver Q_T , that is, to the simple modules of the algebra $\Omega(T)$. For each edge z of the Brauer graph T we shall define a bounded complex Q(z) of projective $\Omega(T)$ -modules.

We then form the direct sum complex $Q := \bigoplus_{z \in T} Q(z)$ over all edges of T and conclude that it is actually a tilting complex for $\Omega(T)$.

For every edge z corresponding to a vertex on the exceptional cycle of Q_T we set Q(z) to be the stalk complex with the projective indecomposable module P(z) concentrated in degree 0.

Now let z be any edge not corresponding to a vertex on the exceptional cycle. Then z is contained in one of the Brauer trees, say in T_i . Then there is a unique shortest path in T from the edge i to the edge z. Denote the edges on this path by $z_0 = i, z_1, \ldots, z_r = z$. From the usual Brauer tree relations, we see that, up to scalar multiplication, there is a unique homomorphism $P(z_j) \to P(z_{j+1})$ between the corresponding projective indecomposable modules. Hence we get the following complex of $\Omega(T)$ -modules

$$Q(z) : 0 \to P(i) \to P(z_1) \to \ldots \to P(z_{r-1}) \to P(z_r) \to 0$$

in which all maps are non-zero, and where P(i) is in degree 0.

Proposition 3.1. The complex $Q := \bigoplus_{z \in T} Q(z)$ is a tilting complex for $\Omega(T)$.

Proof. Exactly the same as in (Rickard 1989b) for Brauer tree algebras. In fact, the proof there carries over verbatim since there are no non-zero homomorphisms $P(z) \rightarrow P(z')$

unless z and z' are both corresponding to vertices on the exceptional cycle of Q_T , or are both in the same Brauer tree T_i . We refrain from reproducing the proof here and refer to (Rickard, 1989b) for details.

From Rickard's celebrated criterion (see Rickard, 1989a) we deduce that the endomorphism ring (in the homotopy category) of the tilting complex Q is derived equivalent to $\Omega(T)$. The following result describes this endomorphism ring End(Q) in the homotopy category. In particular, the part (2) of the following proposition completes the proof of Theorem 1.

Recall that the edges of T on the exceptional cycle are denoted $1, 2, \ldots, r$ (in the cyclic order). Whenever we write Hom or End without index, we mean morphisms in the homotopy category of complexes.

Proposition 3.2. (1) The Cartan matrix of the endomorphism ring $\operatorname{End}(Q)$ has the following entries $\widetilde{c}_{z,z'} := \dim \operatorname{Hom}(Q(z), Q(z'))$:

$$\widetilde{c}_{z,z'} = \begin{cases} 4 & \text{if } z = z' = 1\\ 2 & \text{if } z = z' \neq 1\\ 2 & \text{if } 1 = z \neq z' \text{ or } z \neq z' = 1\\ 1 & \text{else} \end{cases}$$

(2) End(Q) is isomorphic to the normal form $\Omega(n)$, where n is the number of edges of T.

Proof. (1) The Cartan invariants of the endomorphism ring of a tilting complex can conveniently be computed from the Cartan matrix of $\Omega(T)$ using a well-known alternating sum formula (see Happel, 1988): let $Q(z) = (Q_r)_{r \in \mathbb{Z}}$ and $Q(z') = (Q'_s)_{s \in \mathbb{Z}}$, then

$$\widetilde{c}_{z,z'} = \sum_{r,s} (-1)^{r-s} \dim \operatorname{Hom}_{\Omega(T)}(Q_r, Q'_s).$$

(Note that the sum is indeed finite since we are dealing with bounded complexes.)

In our situation these computations are particularly easy. In fact, from the relations of $\Omega(T)$ we see that $\operatorname{Hom}_{\Omega(T)}(P(v), P(v')) = 0$ unless the edges v, v' of T (resp. the vertices of Q_T) both belong to the same simple cycle.

We then leave the details of the slightly tedious, but straightforward computations of the Cartan invariants $\tilde{c}_{z,z'}$ to the reader.

(2) Note that by part (1) the endomorphism ring has exactly the same Cartan matrix as $\Omega(n)$. So it suffices to define homomorphisms between the summands of Q, corresponding to the arrows of $\Omega(n)$, and to show that these maps satisfy the defining relations of $\Omega(n)$, up to homotopy.

Consider first the summands Q(z) for all z in a fixed Brauer tree T_i (including the vertex *i* on the cycle). Then the above tilting complex construction is precisely Rickard's construction and we know the endomorphism ring $\operatorname{End}(\bigoplus_{z \in B_i} Q(z))$ from (Rickard, 1989b).

It is again a Brauer tree, where the tree is a star (without multiplicity); the maps corresponding to the arrows of the Brauer quiver are in degree 0 given as follows (we don't need to know the maps in the other degrees precisely). Let $Q(z_i)$ be the direct successor of Q(i)in the ordering of the star. The map $Q(i) \to Q(z_i)$ is given by multiplication with B_i (the β -cycle at *i*); all arrows starting in Q(z), where $z \neq i$, are given by the identity on P(i).

Now we consider the 'global' picture involving all trees T_i . The crucial observation is that the map $Q(i) \to Q(z_i)$ factors through all the other summands of Q. In fact, for any *i*, define homomorphisms $Q(i) \to Q(z_{i+1})$ by multiplication with β_i in degree 0. (Note that this is a homomorphism of complexes since $\beta \alpha = 0$ in $\Omega(T)$.)

So we have a cyclic ordering of all summands of Q as follows (instead of Q(z) we just write z for abbreviation, and 'vertices of T_i ' means vertices $\neq i, z_i$, the ordering of them as in the star they form by Rickard's argument):

 $1, z_2$, (vertices of T_2), $2, z_3$, (vertices of T_3), $\ldots, r-1, z_r$, (vertices of T_r), r, 1.

The maps $Q(i) \to Q(z_{i+1})$ are as defined above, and maps between the Q(z), $z \in B_i$ as in Rickard's construction, that is, in degree 0 given by the identity.

With these definitions, it is straightforward to check that $\operatorname{End}(Q)$ satisfies the defining relations of $\Omega(n)$. In fact, in the cyclic ordering described above, most maps are in degree 0 the identity, the remaining ones are given by multiplication with the arrows β_i on the exceptional cycle of T. So the desired relations of $\operatorname{End}(Q)$ follow directly from the relations of $\Omega(T)$ involving the exceptional cycle.

This completes the proof of the proposition.

3.2 Enlarging the cycle

We now give our second, more self-contained, inductive proof of Theorem 1. In each step we will show that we can enlarge the exceptional cycle, up to derived equivalence. Inductively, we can get all vertices inside the cycle and obtain one of the normal forms $\Omega(n)$.

Recall the definition of $\Omega(T)$ and the shape of the Brauer graph T from Section 2. Also recall that in the corresponding Brauer quiver Q_T the exceptional cycle was set to be a β -cycle.

Now consider the vertex 2 in Q_T (corresponding to the edge 2 in T). We can assume that the Brauer tree T_2 is not empty (otherwise take the first vertex on the cycle with non-empty Brauer tree).

Let 2_1 denote the direct successor of 2 on the α -cycle at 2. Moreover, let $2^1, \ldots, 2^k$ be the vertices on the β -cycle attached to 2_1 . (If existing, otherwise $P(2^k)$ does not occur in the tilting complex to be defined below.)

We define the following complexes of projective $\Omega(T)$ -modules. Set

$$Q'(2_1) : 0 \to P(2) \oplus P(2^k) \xrightarrow{(\alpha,\beta)} P(2_1) \to 0$$

where P(2) is in degree 0. For all other vertices $z \neq 2_1$ in Q_T we let Q'(z) be the stalk complex with P(z) concentrated in degree 0.

Proposition 3.3. The complex $Q' := \bigoplus_{z \in T} Q'(z)$ is a tilting complex for $\Omega(T)$.

Proof. From the definition of tilting complex, there are two properties to check:

(i) $\operatorname{add}(Q)$ generates the homotopy category of bounded complexes of projective $\Omega(T)$ -modules as triangulated category,

(ii) $\operatorname{Hom}(Q, Q[r]) = 0$ for all $r \neq 0$ (up to homotopy).

For (i), just observe that $P(2_1)$ is homotopy equivalent to the mapping cone of the obvious map $Q(2_1) \rightarrow Q(2) \oplus Q(2^k)$ given by the identity in degree 0.

For (ii), first observe that $\operatorname{Hom}(Q, Q[r]) = 0$ for all $|r| \ge 2$, since we are dealing with two-term complexes.

Let us consider the case r = 1. Every homomorphism $P(z) \to P(2_1)$, where $z \neq 2_1$, factors through $P(2) \oplus P(2^k) \xrightarrow{(\alpha,\beta)} P(2_1)$ (in fact, every path in Q_T going to the vertex 2_1 ends with the arrow α from 2 to 2_1 or with the arrow β from 2^k to 2_1). Hence, $\operatorname{Hom}(Q', Q'[1]) = 0$ up to homotopy.

Now consider r = -1. It follows from the (Brauer tree) relations of $\Omega(T)$ that no non-zero homomorphism $P(2_1) \to P(z)$, where $z \neq 2_1$, gives the zero map when composed with right multiplication by $\alpha : P(2) \to P(2_1)$ and with $\beta : P(2^k) \to P(2_1)$. Hence, $\operatorname{Hom}(Q', Q'[-1]) = 0$.

As a consequence, $\Omega(T)$ is derived equivalent to the endomorphism ring of the tilting complex Q'. The latter is described in detail by the following result. The crucial aspect is that $\operatorname{End}(Q')$ is of the form $\Omega(T')$ for some Brauer graph T' whose exceptional cycle has one vertex more than the one for T.

Proposition 3.4. The endomorphism ring (in the homotopy category) of the tilting complex Q' is an algebra $\Omega(T')$ where the Brauer graph T' is of the following shape



where T'_2 and T'_{2_1} are Brauer trees with less edges than T_2 . All other Brauer trees T_3, \ldots, T_r remain unchanged.

In particular, $\Omega(T)$ is derived equivalent to $\Omega(T')$.

Proof. Note that apart from $Q(2_1)$, all summands in the tilting complex Q' are stalk complexes. So it is clear that $\operatorname{End}(Q')$ when compared with $\Omega(T)$ only changes around the vertex 2_1 . We will indicate below the major changes, leaving some details of the straightforward verifications to the reader. We freely use the notation introduced in the proof of the previous proposition.

The crucial observation is that the map $\beta_1 : Q(1) \to Q(2)$ between stalk complexes now factors through $Q(2_1)$. In fact, it is the composition of the maps given in degree 0 by $(\beta_1, 0) : Q(1) \to Q(2_1)$ and $(id, 0) : Q(2_1) \to Q(2)$. (Note that the first map is indeed a homomorphism of complexes since $\beta_1 \alpha = 0$ in $\Omega(T)$.) This explains that 2_1 now belongs to the exceptional cycle of the Brauer graph T' of End(Q'). (Clearly, the cycle relations are not affected since the above composition is just the 'old' map β_1 .)

It remains to explain the structure of the new Brauer trees T'_2 and T'_{2_1} . Again, it suffices to describe the α and β -cycles attached to the vertices 2, 2_1 and 2^k ; the others remain unchanged, since the corresponding complexes are stalk complexes.

Let $2_1, 2_2, \ldots, 2_j$ be the vertices on the 'old' α -cycle attached to 2. Then the 'new' α -cycle attached to 2 in T'_2 has vertices $2_2, \ldots, 2_j$, and the first map $Q(2) \rightarrow Q(2_2)$ is given by multiplication with α^2 .

Otherwise, the Brauer tree T'_2 is the same as T_2 . (But T'_2 has now less vertices, for example, 2_1 is missing.)

The α -cycle at 2_1 in T' consists of the following vertices: $2_1, 2^k$ and the vertices of the α -cycle at 2^k in T. The first map $Q(2_1) \rightarrow Q(2^k)$ is given by the projection in degree 0, the last map back to $Q(2_1)$ is given by $(0, \alpha)$ in degree 0. (Note that this is indeed a homomorphism of complexes by the usual Brauer tree relations.)

The 'new' β -cycle at 2^k has vertices $2^k, 2^1, \ldots, 2^{k-1}$. Note that the 'old' vertex 2_1 is no longer part of this cycle. The first map $Q(2^k) \to Q(2^1)$ is now given by β^2 .

From the above descriptions it is clear that all the defining relations of $\Omega(T')$ follow from the corresponding relations of $\Omega(T)$. In fact, even if some cycles in the quiver $Q_{T'}$ changed and became shorter, the maps obtained by walking around the cycle are exactly the same as before.

This completes the proof of the proposition.

Now we are in the position to complete our second proof of Theorem 1.

Proof of Theorem 1. Let $\Omega(T)$ be an algebra for an arbitrary Brauer graph as defined in Section 2. Now Proposition 3.4 states that $\Omega(T)$ is derived equivalent to an algebra $\Omega(T')$ where the Brauer graph T' has one edge more inside the cycle around the vertex S.

Inductively applying Proposition 3.4 (with vertex 2 replaced by any vertex on the cycle), we can move all edges inside the cycle around S, up to derived equivalence. The resulting algebra corresponds to a Brauer graph in which all attached Brauer trees T_j are empty. Clearly, this is the normal form algebra $\Omega(n)$ where n is the number of edges of the original Brauer graph T.

This completes the proof of Theorem 1.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The first and third named authors acknowledge support from the Polish Scientific Grant KBN No. 1 P03A 018 27.

REFERENCES

Asashiba, H. (1999). The derived equivalence classification of representation-finite selfinjective algebras. J. Algebra 214:182–221.

Assem, I., Nehring, J., Skowroński, A. (1989). Domestic trivial extensions of simply connected algebras. *Tsukuba J. Math.* 13:31–72.

Auslander, M., Reiten, I., Smalo, S. O. (1995). Representation Theory of Artin Algebras. *Cambridge Studies in Advanced Math.* Vol. 36. Cambridge University Press.

Białkowski, J., Holm, T., Skowroński, A. (2003a). Derived equivalences for tame weakly symmetric algebras having only periodic modules. *J. Algebra* 269:652–668.

Białkowski, J., Holm, T., Skowroński, A. (2003b). On nonstandard tame selfinjective algebras having only periodic modules. *Colloq. Math.* 97:33–47.

Bocian, R., Holm, T., Skowroński, A. (2004). Derived equivalence classification of weakly symmetric algebras of Euclidean type. J. Pure Appl. Algebra 191:43–74.

Bocian, R., Holm, T., Skowroński, A. (2005). Derived equivalence classification of one-parametric selfinjective algebras. *Preprint, Toruń*.

Bocian, R., Skowroński, A. (2003). Symmetric special biserial algebras of Euclidean type. *Colloq. Math.* 96:121–148.

Bocian, R., Skowroński, A. (2005a). Weakly symmetric algebras of Euclidean type. J. reine angew. Math. 580:157–199.

Bocian, R., Skowroński, A. (2005b). One-parametric selfinjective algebras. J. Math. Soc. Japan 57:491–512.

Bocian, R., Skowroński, A. (2005c). Socle deformations of selfinjective algebras of Euclidean type. *Preprint, Toruń*.

Drozd, Yu. A. (1980). Tame and wild matrix problems. *In: Representation Theory II.* Lecture Notes in Math. Vol. 832. Berlin-New York: Springer-Verlag, pp. 242–258.

Happel, D. (1988). Triangulated Categories in Representation Theory of Finite Dimensional Algebras. *London Mathematical Society*. Lecture Note Series. Vol. 119. Cambridge University Press.

Holm, T. (1997). Derived equivalent tame blocks. J. Algebra 194:178–200

Holm, T. (1999). Derived equivalence classification of algebras of dihedral, semidihedral, and quaternion type. J. Algebra 211:159–205.

Rickard, J. (1989a). Morita theory for derived categories. J. London Math. Soc. 39:436–456.

Rickard, J. (1989b). Derived categories and stable equivalence. J. Pure Appl. Algebra 61:303–317.

Skowroński, A. (1989). Selfinjective algebras of polynomial growth. *Math. Annalen.* 285:177–199.

Skowroński, A. (2005). Nonstandard selfinjective algebras of domestic type. Preprint, Toruń.