

AN INCOHERENT SIMPLE GROUP

DIEGO RATTAGGI

ABSTRACT. We give an example of a finitely presented simple group containing a finitely generated subgroup which is not finitely presented.

A group is called *coherent*, if every finitely generated subgroup is finitely presented. The class of coherent groups for example includes free groups, surface groups, or 3-manifold groups ([10]). On the other hand, the group $F_2 \times F_2$ is incoherent ([11]), where F_k denotes the free group of rank k . This also follows from the subsequent result of Grunewald:

Proposition 1. (*Grunewald [5, Proposition B]*) *Let F be a free group of rank $k \geq 2$, generated by $\{s_1, \dots, s_k\}$. Let r_1, \dots, r_m be words over $\{s_1, \dots, s_k\}^{\pm 1}$ and R their normal closure $\langle\langle r_1, \dots, r_m \rangle\rangle_F$ in F . Let H be the group with presentation $\langle s_1, \dots, s_k \mid r_1, \dots, r_m \rangle$ and ϕ the canonical epimorphism $\phi : F \rightarrow H \cong F/R$. Let \bar{F} be a free group of rank k generated by $\{t_1, \dots, t_k\}$ and ψ the isomorphism $F \rightarrow \bar{F}$, mapping s_i to t_i , $i = 1, \dots, k$. Let $\bar{H} = \langle t_1, \dots, t_k \mid \psi(r_1), \dots, \psi(r_m) \rangle$, and $\tilde{\psi} : H \rightarrow \bar{H}$ the isomorphism induced by ψ . Finally, let $\bar{\phi}$ be the canonical epimorphism $\bar{F} \rightarrow \bar{H} \cong \bar{F}/\psi(R)$ (see the commutative diagram below for a summary of this notation). Suppose that H is infinite and $R \neq \{1\}$. Then the group $\{(s, t) \in F \times \bar{F} : \tilde{\psi}(\phi(s)) = \bar{\phi}(t)\}$ is a subgroup of $F \times \bar{F}$ generated by the $k + m$ elements $(s_1, t_1), \dots, (s_k, t_k), (r_1, 1), \dots, (r_m, 1)$, but it is not finitely presented.*

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 R = \langle\langle r_1, \dots, r_m \rangle\rangle_F & \xrightarrow{C} & F = \langle s_1, \dots, s_k \rangle & \xrightarrow{\phi} & H \cong F/R \\
 \psi|_R \downarrow \cong & & \psi \downarrow \cong & & \tilde{\psi} \downarrow \cong \\
 \psi(R) = \langle\langle \psi(r_1), \dots, \psi(r_m) \rangle\rangle_{\bar{F}} & \xrightarrow{C} & \bar{F} = \langle t_1, \dots, t_k \rangle & \xrightarrow{\bar{\phi}} & \bar{H} \cong \bar{F}/\psi(R)
 \end{array}$$

DIAGRAM 1. The setup for Proposition 1

Our strategy will be to construct a finitely presented simple group Λ containing a subgroup isomorphic to $F_2 \times F_2$. Proposition 1 then allows us to construct an explicit subgroup of Λ generated by three elements, which is not finitely presented. We first define a group Γ which will contain Λ as a normal subgroup of index 4.

Date: November 14, 2018.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary: 20E07, 20E32. Secondary: 20F05, 20F65, 20F67, 57M20.

Supported by the Swiss National Science Foundation, No. PP002-68627.

Let Γ be the group with finite presentation $\langle a_1, \dots, a_6, b_1, \dots, b_5 \mid R_\Gamma \rangle$, where

$$R_\Gamma := \left\{ \begin{array}{l} a_1 b_1 a_2^{-1} b_2^{-1}, \quad a_1 b_2 a_1^{-1} b_1^{-1}, \quad a_1 b_3 a_2^{-1} b_3^{-1}, \quad a_1 b_4 a_1^{-1} b_4^{-1}, \quad a_1 b_5 a_2^{-1} b_5, \\ a_1 b_5^{-1} a_4 b_5^{-1}, \quad a_1 b_3^{-1} a_2^{-1} b_2, \quad a_1 b_1^{-1} a_2^{-1} b_3, \quad a_2 b_2 a_2^{-1} b_1^{-1}, \quad a_2 b_4 a_2^{-1} b_4^{-1}, \\ a_2 b_5 a_5^{-1} b_5, \quad a_3 b_1 a_4^{-1} b_2^{-1}, \quad a_3 b_2 a_3^{-1} b_1^{-1}, \quad a_3 b_3 a_4^{-1} b_3^{-1}, \quad a_3 b_4 a_4 b_4, \\ a_3 b_5 a_4 b_4^{-1}, \quad a_3 b_5^{-1} a_6^{-1} b_5^{-1}, \quad a_3 b_4^{-1} a_4 b_5, \quad a_3 b_3^{-1} a_4^{-1} b_2, \quad a_3 b_1^{-1} a_4^{-1} b_3, \\ a_4 b_2 a_4^{-1} b_1^{-1}, \quad a_5 b_1 a_5^{-1} b_1^{-1}, \quad a_5 b_2 a_5 b_3^{-1}, \quad a_5 b_3 a_6^{-1} b_5, \quad a_5 b_4 a_5^{-1} b_4^{-1}, \\ a_5 b_5 a_6^{-1} b_2^{-1}, \quad a_5 b_2^{-1} a_6 b_3, \quad a_6 b_1 a_6^{-1} b_3, \quad a_6 b_2 a_6^{-1} b_4^{-1}, \quad a_6 b_4 a_6^{-1} b_1 \end{array} \right\}.$$

We have found Γ using programs written in GAP([4]). It is constructed such that simultaneously (compare to the proof of Theorem 5)

- $\Gamma < \text{Aut}(\mathcal{T}_{12}) \times \text{Aut}(\mathcal{T}_{10})$, where $\text{Aut}(\mathcal{T}_k)$ denotes the group of automorphisms of the k -regular tree \mathcal{T}_k ,
- Γ (as well as any finite index subgroup of Γ) does not have any non-trivial normal subgroup of infinite index by a theorem of Burger-Mozes ([3]),
- the subgroup $\langle a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4, b_1, b_2, b_3 \rangle_\Gamma$ of Γ is not residually finite by a theorem of Wise ([12, Main Theorem II.5.5]), more precisely the element $a_2 a_1^{-1} a_3 a_4^{-1}$ is contained in each finite index subgroup of $\langle a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4, b_1, b_2, b_3 \rangle_\Gamma$ and therefore in each finite index subgroup of Γ ,
- the normal closure $\langle\langle a_2 a_1^{-1} a_3 a_4^{-1} \rangle\rangle_\Gamma$ has finite index in Γ ,
- the subgroup $\langle a_5, a_1 a_2^{-1}, b_1, b_4 \rangle_\Gamma$ is isomorphic to $F_2 \times F_2$.

The latter statement will follow from Lemma 4 below, using a well-known normal form for elements in Γ :

Lemma 2. (Bridson-Wise [2, Normal Form Lemma 4.3]) *Any element $\gamma \in \Gamma$ can be written as $\gamma = \sigma_a \sigma_b = \sigma'_b \sigma'_a$, where σ_a, σ'_a are freely reduced words in the subgroup $\langle a_1, \dots, a_6 \rangle_\Gamma$ and σ_b, σ'_b are freely reduced words in $\langle b_1, \dots, b_5 \rangle_\Gamma$. The words $\sigma_a, \sigma'_a, \sigma_b, \sigma'_b$ are uniquely determined by γ . Moreover, $|\sigma_a| = |\sigma'_a|$ and $|\sigma_b| = |\sigma'_b|$, where $|\cdot|$ is the word length with respect to the standard generators $\{a_1, \dots, a_6, b_1, \dots, b_5\}^{\pm 1}$ of Γ .*

Note that Lemma 2 was proved in [2] for a certain class of fundamental groups of square complexes covered by a product of trees. The following two lemmas are a direct consequence of the uniqueness statement in Lemma 2.

Lemma 3. *The subgroup $\langle a_1, \dots, a_6 \rangle_\Gamma$ is a free group of rank 6, and $\langle b_1, \dots, b_5 \rangle_\Gamma$ is a free group of rank 5.*

Lemma 4. *Let $a, \tilde{a} \in \langle a_1, \dots, a_6 \rangle_\Gamma$ and $b, \tilde{b} \in \langle b_1, \dots, b_5 \rangle_\Gamma$, such that $ab = ba$, $a\tilde{b} = \tilde{b}a$, $\tilde{a}b = b\tilde{a}$ and $\tilde{a}\tilde{b} = \tilde{b}\tilde{a}$. Then the map $\langle a, \tilde{a}, b, \tilde{b} \rangle_\Gamma \rightarrow \langle a, \tilde{a} \rangle_\Gamma \times \langle b, \tilde{b} \rangle_\Gamma$, given by $a \mapsto (a, 1)$, $\tilde{a} \mapsto (\tilde{a}, 1)$, $b \mapsto (1, b)$, $\tilde{b} \mapsto (1, \tilde{b})$ is an isomorphism of groups. In particular, if moreover $\langle a, \tilde{a} \rangle_\Gamma \cong F_2$ and $\langle b, \tilde{b} \rangle_\Gamma \cong F_2$, then $\langle a, \tilde{a}, b, \tilde{b} \rangle_\Gamma \cong F_2 \times F_2$.*

We define our main group Λ to be the kernel of the surjective homomorphism of groups

$$\begin{aligned}\varphi : \Gamma &\rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \\ a_1, \dots, a_6 &\mapsto (1 + 2\mathbb{Z}, 0 + 2\mathbb{Z}), \\ b_1, \dots, b_5 &\mapsto (0 + 2\mathbb{Z}, 1 + 2\mathbb{Z}).\end{aligned}$$

Theorem 5. *The finitely presented group Λ is simple and incoherent. More precisely, the subgroup $\langle a_5^2 b_1^2, a_1 a_2^{-1} b_4^2, a_5^2 \rangle_\Gamma < \Lambda$ is not finitely presented.*

Proof. The simplicity of Λ follows similarly as in [8, Theorem 3.5], but we recall the main steps in the proof. First note that Γ is the fundamental group of a finite square complex X having a single vertex called x , having $6 + 5$ oriented loops (identified with $a_1, \dots, a_6, b_1, \dots, b_5$) and $6 \cdot 5$ squares (identified with the relators in R_Γ). Those 30 squares are carefully chosen such that several conditions simultaneously hold. For example, the link of x in X is a complete bipartite graph on $12 + 10$ vertices which correspond to $\{a_1, \dots, a_6\}^{\pm 1}$ and $\{b_1, \dots, b_5\}^{\pm 1}$. As a consequence, the universal covering space \tilde{X} is a product of two regular trees $\mathcal{T}_{12} \times \mathcal{T}_{10}$, and Γ is a subgroup of $\text{Aut}(\mathcal{T}_{12}) \times \text{Aut}(\mathcal{T}_{10})$. The local actions on \mathcal{T}_{12} of the projection of Γ to the first factor $\text{Aut}(\mathcal{T}_{12})$ are described by finite permutation groups $P_h^{(k)}(\Gamma) < S_{12 \cdot 11^{k-1}}$, where $k \in \mathbb{N}$ (see [3, Chapter 1] or [8]). We compute for $k = 1$

$$\begin{aligned}P_h^{(1)}(\Gamma) = \langle (9, 10)(11, 12), (1, 2)(3, 4)(5, 6, 8), (1, 2)(3, 4)(5, 8, 7)(9, 10)(11, 12), \\ (3, 9)(4, 10), (1, 9, 3, 6, 5, 2)(4, 12, 11, 8, 7, 10) \rangle = A_{12}\end{aligned}$$

and similarly (taking the projection to the second factor of $\text{Aut}(\mathcal{T}_{12}) \times \text{Aut}(\mathcal{T}_{10})$, thus getting finite permutation groups $P_v^{(k)}(\Gamma) < S_{10 \cdot 9^{k-1}}$)

$$\begin{aligned}P_v^{(1)}(\Gamma) = \langle (1, 2)(5, 6)(8, 10, 9), (1, 2, 3)(5, 6)(9, 10), (1, 2)(4, 5, 6, 7)(8, 10, 9), \\ (1, 2, 3)(4, 5, 6, 7)(9, 10), (2, 5, 6, 3)(8, 9), (1, 7, 9, 6, 5, 8)(2, 3, 10, 4) \rangle = A_{10}.\end{aligned}$$

By the Normal Subgroup Theorem of Burger-Mozes ([3], see also [8]), using the simplicity and high transitivity of A_{12} and A_{10} , the group Γ has no non-trivial normal subgroups of infinite index. This theorem can also be applied to any finite index subgroup of Γ , in particular to $\Lambda < \Gamma$ which is a subgroup of index 4 by definition.

Next we have to study the *finite* index subgroups of Λ . We start with the subgroup $\langle a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4, b_1, b_2, b_3 \rangle_\Gamma$ of Γ . It has a presentation

$$\begin{aligned}\langle a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4, b_1, b_2, b_3 \mid a_1 b_1 a_2^{-1} b_2^{-1}, a_1 b_2 a_1^{-1} b_1^{-1}, a_1 b_3 a_2^{-1} b_3^{-1}, a_1 b_3^{-1} a_2^{-1} b_2, \\ a_1 b_1^{-1} a_2^{-1} b_3, a_2 b_2 a_2^{-1} b_1^{-1}, a_3 b_1 a_4^{-1} b_2^{-1}, a_3 b_2 a_3^{-1} b_1^{-1}, \\ a_3 b_3 a_4^{-1} b_3^{-1}, a_3 b_3^{-1} a_4^{-1} b_2, a_3 b_1^{-1} a_4^{-1} b_3, a_4 b_2 a_4^{-1} b_1^{-1} \rangle,\end{aligned}$$

since it is the fundamental group $\pi_1(W, x)$ of a finite square complex W which is embedded in X by construction. This implies that $\pi_1(W, x) < \Gamma = \pi_1(X, x)$. Observe that the 12 relators in the presentation of $\pi_1(W, x)$ also appear in the presentation of Γ , and that the link of the single vertex (again called x) in W is a complete bipartite graph on $8 + 6$ vertices corresponding to $\{a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4\}^{\pm 1}$ and $\{b_1, b_2, b_3\}^{\pm 1}$. This group $\pi_1(W, x)$ is not residually finite and was introduced exactly for this purpose by Wise in [12] where it is called $\pi_1(D)$. He showed for

example that the element $a_2a_1^{-1}a_3a_4^{-1}$ is contained in each finite index subgroup of $\pi_1(W, x)$. Consequently, this element is also contained in each finite index subgroup of Γ . Since $\langle\langle a_2a_1^{-1}a_3a_4^{-1} \rangle\rangle_\Gamma$ has index 4 in Γ , it follows (see [8]) that

$$\Lambda = \langle\langle a_2a_1^{-1}a_3a_4^{-1} \rangle\rangle_\Gamma = \bigcap \{N \triangleleft \Gamma : N \text{ has finite index in } \Gamma\},$$

but the latter group is easily seen to have no proper subgroups of finite index, hence Λ is simple.

We show now that Λ is incoherent. First observe that $a_1a_2^{-1}$ commutes with b_1 in $\pi_1(W, x)$ (and therefore in Γ), since

$$a_1a_2^{-1}b_1 = a_1b_2a_2^{-1} = b_1a_1a_2^{-1},$$

using the square relators $a_2b_2a_2^{-1}b_1^{-1}$ and $a_1b_2a_1^{-1}b_1^{-1}$ from the presentation of $\pi_1(W, x)$. Moreover, we have forced X to contain certain tori, namely $a_1b_4a_1^{-1}b_4^{-1}$, $a_2b_4a_2^{-1}b_4^{-1}$, $a_5b_1a_5^{-1}b_1^{-1}$ and $a_5b_4a_5^{-1}b_4^{-1}$. This implies that

$$a_5b_1 = b_1a_5, a_5b_4 = b_4a_5 \text{ and } a_1a_2^{-1}b_4 = b_4a_1a_2^{-1}$$

holds in Γ . By Lemma 4 and Lemma 3,

$$\langle a_5, a_1a_2^{-1}, b_1, b_4 \rangle_\Gamma \cong \langle a_5, a_1a_2^{-1} \rangle_\Gamma \times \langle b_1, b_4 \rangle_\Gamma \cong F_2 \times F_2,$$

but this group is not contained in Λ (recall the definition of Λ as kernel of φ). Therefore, we take the subgroup

$$\langle a_5^2, a_1a_2^{-1}, b_1^2, b_4^2 \rangle_\Gamma \cong \langle a_5^2, a_1a_2^{-1} \rangle_\Gamma \times \langle b_1^2, b_4^2 \rangle_\Gamma \cong F_2 \times F_2,$$

which is obviously a subgroup of Λ . To see that $\langle a_5^2b_1^2, a_1a_2^{-1}b_4^2, a_5^2 \rangle_\Gamma$ is not finitely presented, we apply Proposition 1 to the following setting: $k = 2$, $s_1 = a_5^2$, $s_2 = a_1a_2^{-1}$, $t_1 = b_1^2$, $t_2 = b_4^2$, and $m = 1$, $r_1 = s_1$, that is we take $F = \langle a_5^2, a_1a_2^{-1} \rangle_\Gamma \cong F_2$ and $\overline{F} = \langle b_1^2, b_4^2 \rangle_\Gamma \cong F_2$. It remains to check that H is infinite and $R \neq \{1\}$, but this is clear since $H = \langle s_1, s_2 \mid s_1 \rangle \cong \langle a_1a_2^{-1} \rangle_\Gamma \cong \mathbb{Z}$, and $R = \langle\langle a_5^2 \rangle\rangle_F$. \square

Remark 6. Note that the group Λ can be decomposed as amalgamated products $F_9 *_{F_{97}} F_9$ and $F_{11} *_{F_{101}} F_{11}$ (see [7, Proposition 1.4]), in particular Λ is torsion-free.

Remark 7. It is well-known that the word problem is solvable for any finitely presented simple group. In fact, by a theorem of Boone-Higman ([1]), a finitely generated group has solvable word problem if and only if it can be embedded in a simple subgroup of a finitely presented group. However, the *generalized* word problem is not solvable for the simple group Λ , since it contains $F_2 \times F_2$ (using a result of Mihařlova [6]). Recall that the generalized word problem is solvable for a group G if it is decidable for any element $g \in G$ and any finitely generated subgroup $H < G$ whether or not g lies in H . It is also known that Λ has solvable conjugacy problem (being bi-automatic).

Remark 8. We mention two other ways to construct finitely presented incoherent simple groups: One is to directly embed $F_2 \times F_2$ into a virtually simple group by [3, Theorem 6.5]. The second one is a finitely presented simple group containing $\text{GL}_4(\mathbb{Z})$ constructed by E. Scott ([9]). It is known ([5]) that $\text{SL}_4(\mathbb{Z}) < \text{GL}_4(\mathbb{Z})$ is incoherent. In fact, if $A, B \in \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ generate a free group of rank 2, and E denotes the identity matrix in $\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$, then

$$\left\langle \left(\begin{array}{cc} A & 0 \\ 0 & E \end{array} \right), \left(\begin{array}{cc} B & 0 \\ 0 & E \end{array} \right), \left(\begin{array}{cc} E & 0 \\ 0 & A \end{array} \right), \left(\begin{array}{cc} E & 0 \\ 0 & B \end{array} \right) \right\rangle_{\text{SL}_4(\mathbb{Z})} \cong F_2 \times F_2.$$

Explicitly, one can take

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, B = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 2 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

REFERENCES

- [1] W. W. Boone and G. Higman, ‘An algebraic characterization of groups with soluble word problem’, Collection of articles dedicated to the memory of Hanna Neumann, IX. J. Austral. Math. Soc. **18**(1974), 41–53.
- [2] M. R. Bridson and D. T. Wise, ‘ VH complexes, towers and subgroups of $F \times F$ ’, Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. **126**(1999), no. 3, 481–497.
- [3] M. Burger and S. Mozes, ‘Lattices in product of trees’, Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math. No. 92 (2001), 151–194.
- [4] The GAP Group, GAP – Groups, Algorithms, and Programming, Version 4.4; 2004. (<http://www.gap-system.org>)
- [5] F. J. Grunewald, ‘On some groups which cannot be finitely presented’, J. London Math. Soc. (2) **17**(1978), no. 3, 427–436.
- [6] K. A. Mihaïlova, ‘The occurrence problem for direct products of groups’, (Russian) Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR **119**(1958), 1103–1105.
- [7] D. Rattaggi, ‘Computations in groups acting on a product of trees: normal subgroup structures and quaternion lattices’, Ph.D. thesis, ETH Zürich, 2004.
- [8] D. Rattaggi, ‘A finitely presented torsion-free simple group’, Preprint, 2004, available at [arXiv:math.GR/0411546](http://arxiv.org/abs/math/0411546).
- [9] E. A. Scott, ‘The embedding of certain linear and abelian groups in finitely presented simple groups’, J. Algebra **90**(1984), no. 2, 323–332.
- [10] G. P. Scott, ‘Finitely generated 3-manifold groups are finitely presented’, J. London Math. Soc. (2) **6**(1973), 437–440.
- [11] J. Stallings, ‘Coherence of 3-manifold fundamental groups’, Séminaire Bourbaki, Vol. 1975/76, 28 ème année, Exp. No. 481, pp. 167–173. Lecture Notes in Math., Vol. 567, Springer, Berlin, 1977.
- [12] D. T. Wise, ‘Non-positively curved squared complexes, aperiodic tilings, and non-residually finite groups’, Ph.D. thesis, Princeton University, 1996.

UNIVERSITÉ DE GENÈVE, SECTION DE MATHÉMATIQUES, 2–4 RUE DU LIÈVRE, CP 64, CH–1211 GENÈVE 4, SWITZERLAND

E-mail address: rattaggi@math.unige.ch