

Galois cohomology of p -adic fields via (Φ, Γ_K) -module in the imperfect residue field case

Kazuma Morita

Department of Mathematics, Graduate School of Science, Kyoto University,
 Kyoto, 606-8502, Japan. Email:Morita@math.kyoto-u.ac.jp

April 13, 2019

Abstract In [H1], for a p -adic local field K with perfect residue field, Herr constructed the complex which computes the Galois cohomology of K by using the theory of the (Φ, Γ_K) -module. We shall generalize his work to the imperfect residue field case.

Contents

1. Introduction
2. The theory of the (Φ, Γ_K) -module
 - (a) (Φ, Γ_K) -module in the perfect residue field case
 - (b) (Φ, Γ_K) -module in the imperfect residue field case
3. Construction of a projective resolution of \mathbb{Z}_p
 - (a) Relations in $\Lambda = \mathbb{Z}_p[[\Gamma_K]]$
 - (b) Construction of C_Λ
 - (c) Proof
4. Proof of the main theorem
 - (a) Connection between $C_{\Gamma_K}(M)$ and C_Λ
 - (b) Calculation of the complex

1 Introduction

In this article, K is a complete discrete valuation field of characteristic 0 with residue field k of characteristic $p > 0$ such that $[k, k^p] = p^n < \infty$. We choose an algebraic closure \bar{K} of K and we put

$$G_K = \text{Gal}(\bar{K}/K).$$

By p -torsion G_K -representation, we mean a discrete abelian group whose elements are killed by some powers of p endowed with a continuous action of G_K . Let V be a p -torsion G_K -representation.

In the case $n = 0$ (i.e. k is the perfect field), Herr obtained a presentation of the Galois cohomology $H^*(G_K, V)$ in terms of the (Φ, Γ_K) -module $D_K(V)$ associated to V in the sense of Fontaine [F].

Now, let n be arbitrary.

The purpose of this paper is to give a presentation of $H^*(G_K, V)$ in terms of the (Φ, Γ_K) -module (defined in this paper) associated to V . Our Γ_K is non-commutative if $n \geq 1$.

We give a precise meaning of (Φ, Γ_K) -module in our general situation and state our main result.

Fix a lifting $(b_i)_{1 \leq i \leq n}$ of a p -basis of k to \mathcal{O}_K (the ring of integers of K), and for each $m \geq 1$, fix a p^m -th root b_i^{1/p^m} of b_i in \bar{K} satisfying $(b_i^{1/p^{m+1}})^p = b_i^{1/p^m}$. We put $K^{(\prime)} = \cup_{m \geq 0} K(b_i^{1/p^m}, 1 \leq i \leq n)$, $K_\infty^{(\prime)} = \cup_{m \geq 0} K^{(\prime)}(\zeta_{p^m})$ where ζ_{p^m} denotes a primitive p^m -th of unity in \bar{K} and $K' = p$ -adic completion of $K^{(\prime)}$.

We put $\Gamma_K = \text{Gal}(K_\infty^{(\prime)}/K)$. Then, Γ_K is isomorphic to an open subgroup of the semi-direct product $\mathbb{Z}_p^* \ltimes \mathbb{Z}_p^{\oplus n}$ and it is non-commutative if $n \geq 1$.

We put $\Gamma_{K'} = \text{Gal}(K_\infty^{(\prime)}/K')$. Since K' has the perfect residue field, we can apply the theory of Fontaine to K' to obtain the $(\Phi, \Gamma_{K'})$ -module $D_{K'}(V)$. Then, $D_{K'}(V)$ is endowed with an operator $\phi : D_{K'}(V) \rightarrow D_{K'}(V)$ and also with an action of Γ_K (not only $\Gamma_{K'}$) which commutes with ϕ and which is continuous with respect to the discrete topology of $D_{K'}(V)$.

We shall obtain an equivalence of categories

$$\{p\text{-torsion representations of } G_K\} \simeq \{\text{etale } (\Phi, \Gamma_K)\text{-modules of torsion}\}$$

which is a generalization of the equivalence of Fontaine to the imperfect residue field case (for details, see the theorem 2 in the subsection 2.2).

Now, we assume that K contains a primitive p -th root of unity if $p \neq 2$ and a primitive 4-th root of unity if $p = 2$.

We will give a presentation of $H^*(G_K, V)$ in terms of $D_{K'}(V)$.

Fix a topological generator γ of $\Gamma_{K'} \subset \Gamma_K$ and define $\beta_i \in \Gamma_K$ ($1 \leq i \leq n$) by

$$\beta_i(b_i^{1/p^m}) = b_i^{1/p^m} \zeta_{p^m}, \quad \beta_i(b_j^{1/p^m}) = b_j^{1/p^m} \quad (j \neq i) \text{ and } \beta_i(\zeta_{p^m}) = \zeta_{p^m}.$$

Define $k \in \mathbb{Z}_p$ by

$$\gamma(\zeta_{p^m}) = \zeta_{p^m}^k.$$

If M is a p -torsion representation of Γ_K , we define operators

$$\omega_i : M \rightarrow M \quad (1 \leq i \leq n) \quad \text{and} \quad \tau_S : M \rightarrow M \quad (S \subset \{1, \dots, n\})$$

by

$$\omega_i = \beta_i - 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \tau_S = \left(\prod_{i \in S} \frac{\beta_i - 1}{\beta_i^k - 1} \right) \gamma - 1.$$

Notice that $(\beta_i - 1)(\beta_i^k - 1)^{-1} \in \mathbb{Z}_p[[\beta_i - 1]]$ and it acts on M .

1. **Complex** $C_{\Gamma_K}(M)$

If M is a p -torsion representation of Γ_K , we associate the complex $C_{\Gamma_K}(M)$ as follows

$$0 \longrightarrow M^{X(0)} \xrightarrow{d^0} M^{X(1)} \xrightarrow{d^1} \dots \xrightarrow{d^{i-1}} M^{X(i)} \xrightarrow{d^i} \dots \xrightarrow{d^n} M^{X(n+1)} \longrightarrow 0.$$

- (a) The i -th term of $C_{\Gamma_K}(M)$ is $M^{X(i)}$ where $X(i)$ denotes the set of all subsets of $\{0, \dots, n\}$ of order i . Notice that the order of $X(i)$ is ${}_{n+1}C_i$.
- (b) For $S \in X(i)$ and $T \in X(i+1)$, the (S, T) -component $d^i(S, T)$ of $d^i : M^{X(i)} \rightarrow M^{X(i+1)}$ is defined as follows.
- i. If $S \not\subset T$, $d^i(S, T) = 0$.
 - ii. If $S \subset T$, we put $\{j\} = T \setminus S$.
 - A. If $j = 0$, $d^i(S, T) = \tau_S$.
 - B. If $j \neq 0$, $d^i(S, T) = (-1)^{a(S, j)} \omega_j$.
Here, $a(S, j) = \#\{x \in S; x \leq j\}$.

2. **Complex** $C_{\phi, \Gamma_K}(M)$

If M is a p -torsion representation of Γ_K which endowed with a homomorphism $\phi : M \rightarrow M$ commuting with Γ_K , we associate the complex $C_{\phi, \Gamma_K}(M)$ as follows

$$C_{\phi, \Gamma_K}(M) = \text{the mapping fiber of } C_{\Gamma_K}(M) \xrightarrow{\phi-1} C_{\Gamma_K}(M).$$

Therefore, the complex $C_{\phi, \Gamma_K}(M)$ has the following form

$$0 \longrightarrow M \xrightarrow{d^0} M^{\oplus_{n+2} C_1} \xrightarrow{d^1} \dots \xrightarrow{d^{i-1}} M^{\oplus_{n+2} C_i} \xrightarrow{d^i} \dots \xrightarrow{d^{n+1}} M^{\oplus_{n+2} C_{n+2}} \longrightarrow 0.$$

Our main result is following.

Theorem 1 (main theorem) *With notation as above, for all i , the group $H^i(G_K, V)$ is isomorphic to the i -th cohomological group of the complex $C_{\phi, \Gamma_K}(D_{K'}(V))$.*

As an immediate corollary, the cohomological dimension of K is $n + 2$.

Example 1.1 1. $n = 0$ (the residue field k is perfect)

In this case, the complex $C_{\phi, \Gamma_K}(D_{K'}(V))$ is given by

$$0 \longrightarrow D_{K'}(V) \xrightarrow{d^0} D_{K'}(V) \oplus D_{K'}(V) \xrightarrow{d^1} D_{K'}(V) \longrightarrow 0$$

Here,

- (a) $d^0(x) = (\rho(x), \tau(x))$,
- (b) $d^1(x, y) = (\rho(y) - \tau(x))$.

Here, $\rho = \phi - 1$ and $\tau = \tau_\phi = \gamma - 1$.

This is what Herr has constructed.

2. $n = 1$ (the residue field k is imperfect and $[k : k^p] = p$)

In addition to the example 1, we have an action of $\omega_1 = \beta_1 - 1$.

Therefore, we have the more complicated complex than before.

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \longrightarrow D_{K'}(V) \xrightarrow{d^0} D_{K'}(V) \oplus D_{K'}(V) \oplus D_{K'}(V) \\ \xrightarrow{d^1} D_{K'}(V) \oplus D_{K'}(V) \oplus D_{K'}(V) \xrightarrow{d^2} D_{K'}(V) \longrightarrow 0 \quad (1) \end{aligned}$$

Here,

$$(a) \quad d^0(x) = (\rho(x), \tau(x), \omega_1(x))$$

$$(b) \quad d^1(x, y, z) = (\rho(y) - \tau(x), \rho(z) - \omega_1(x), \tau(z) - \omega_1(y))$$

$$(c) \quad d^2(x, y, z) = (\rho(z) - \tau_1(y) + \omega_1(x))$$

The appearance of τ_1 , instead of τ , reflects the non-commutativity of Γ_K .

Our proof of the main theorem is a little different from the method of Herr. He considered an "effasable" property of the complex $C_{\phi, \Gamma_K}(M)$, but our method is to construct a projective resolution of the $\mathbb{Z}_p[[\Gamma_K]]$ -module \mathbb{Z}_p . It seems that, in the case $n \geq 1$, our method is simpler than his.

This paper is organized as follows.

In the section 2, we shall review the theory of the (Φ, Γ_K) -module which is constructed by Fontaine [F], but in that paper, "the residue field is perfect" is supposed. To get rid of this assumption, we shall construct the imperfect residue field version of the (Φ, Γ_K) -module. By using this module, we can construct the complex $C_{\Gamma_K}(M)$ and $C_{\phi, \Gamma_K}(M)$ which are to be used in the main theorem.

In the section 3, we shall construct a projective resolution of \mathbb{Z}_p . In the last section, we shall connect the complex $C_{\Gamma_K}(D_{K'}(V))$ with the projective resolution of \mathbb{Z}_p .

Finally, we shall show that the cohomological group of $C_{\phi, \Gamma_K}(D_{K'}(V))$ should coincide with the Galois cohomology $H^*(G_K, V)$.

Notations

In this article,

- $Rep_{p\text{-tor}}(G_L)$ denotes the category of p -torsion G_L -representations. (G_L means the absolute Galois group of L .)
- v_p denotes the normalized p -adic valuation.

2 The theory of the (Φ, Γ_K) -module

2.1 (Φ, Γ_K) -module in the perfect residue field case

Let K be a complete discrete valuation field of characteristic 0 with the imperfect residue field k of characteristic $p > 0$ such that $[k, k^p] = p^n < \infty$. In this subsection, we assume $n = 0$ (i.e. k is the perfect field.). We put $F_k =$ the fraction field of $W(k)$ where W means the ring of Witt vectors.

Now, we review the (Φ, Γ_K) -module theory of Fontaine.

Let \bar{K} be an algebraic closure of K and \mathbb{C}_p be its p -adic completion. We put

$$\tilde{E} = \text{proj lim } \mathbb{C}_p = \{(x^{(0)}, x^{(1)}, \dots) \mid (x^{(i+1)})^p = x^{(i)}\}.$$

If $x = (x^{(i)})$ and $y = (y^{(i)})$ are two elements of \tilde{E} , we define their sum and product by

$$(x + y)^{(i)} = \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} (x^{(i+j)} + y^{(i+j)})^{p^j} \text{ and } (xy)^{(i)} = x^{(i)} y^{(i)}.$$

Let $\epsilon = (\epsilon^{(i)})$ denote an element of \tilde{E} such that $\epsilon^{(0)} = 1$, $\epsilon^{(1)} \neq 1$ and $(\epsilon^{(n+1)})^p = \epsilon^{(n)}$

then, it is shown that \tilde{E} is an algebraic closure of $\mathbb{F}_p((\epsilon - 1))$ and is characteristic $p > 0$ which is complete for the valuation defined by

$$v_E(x) = v_p(x^{(0)}).$$

Example 2.1 *With this valuation, we have*

$$v_E(\epsilon - 1) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} v_p((\epsilon^{(n)} - 1)^{p^n}) = \frac{p}{p-1}.$$

Notice that $v_E(\epsilon - 1) \neq v_p(0)$.

Let $\tilde{A} = W(\tilde{E})$ and $\tilde{B} = \tilde{A}[1/p]$. Let A_{F_k} be the p -adic completion of $\mathcal{O}_{F_k}[[\pi]][\pi^{-1}]$ where $\pi = [\epsilon] - 1$. This ring is a complete discrete valuation ring with the residue field $E_{F_k} = k((\epsilon - 1))$.

Let B be the p -adic completion of the maximal unramified extension of $B_{F_k} = A_{F_k}[1/p]$ in \tilde{B} . Then, the residue field E of B is the separable closure of $\mathbb{F}_p((\epsilon - 1))$ in \tilde{E} . We define $A = B \cap \tilde{A}$ which is equipped with an action of Galois group G_K and of a Frobenius σ deduced from those of \tilde{E} . We put $A_K = A^{H_K}$ where H_K denotes the kernel of the cyclotomic character $\chi : G_K \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_p^*$.

Remark 2.1 *If $K = F_k$, the two definitions of A_K coincide.*

Let $E_K = A_K/pA_K (= (E)^{H_K})$ and G_{E_K} be the Galois group of E/E_K .

It is shown that the action of G_K on E induces an isomorphism $H_K \simeq G_{E_K}$ ([FW], [W]).

Following definitions are due to Fontaine [F].

Definition 2.1 *A (Φ, Γ_K) -module over A_K is an A_K -module M equipped with*

1. a σ -semi linear operator, that is, the $\langle \text{Frobenius} \rangle$

$$\phi = \phi_M : M \rightarrow M$$

2. a continuous semi-linear action of Γ_K which commutes with that of ϕ .

In addition, we call M an etale (Φ, Γ_K) -module of torsion if, as A_K -module, it is finite length and generated by the image of ϕ .

Notation 2.1 $\Phi\Gamma M_{A_K}^{et, p-tor}$ is the category which consists of

- objects: etale (Φ, Γ_K) -modules of torsion over A_K
- morphisms: A_K -linear morphisms which commute with ϕ and Γ_K .

For all $V \in \text{Rep}_{p-tor}(G_K)$, we can associate the (Φ, Γ_K) -module

$$D_K(V) = (A \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} V)^{G_{E_K}} \in \Phi\Gamma M_{A_K}^{et, p-tor}.$$

In fact, it is equipped with an action of $\Gamma_K \simeq G_K/G_{E_K}$ and we consider the action of ϕ induced by that of Frobenius σ over A . It is shown that $D_K(V)$ is an etale (Φ, Γ_K) -module.

Conversely, for all etale (Φ, Γ_K) -module of torsion M over A_K , we can associate the corresponding p -torsion representation of G_K as follows

$$V_K(M) = (A \otimes_{A_K} M)^{\sigma \otimes \phi_M = 1}.$$

By the two constructions above, Fontaine showed the following equivalence of categories

Theorem 2.1 (Fontaine) *The functor D_K gives an equivalence of two categories*

$$\text{Rep}_{p-tor}(G_K) \text{ and } \Phi\Gamma M_{A_K}^{et, p-tor}.$$

(The quasi-inverse of D_K is given by V_K .)

2.2 (Φ, Γ_K) -module in the imperfect residue field case

In this subsection, we shall define the imperfect residue field version of the (Φ, Γ_K) -module.

Here, we do not assume that the residue field k is perfect.

As in the introduction, we choose $K^{(\prime)}$ and K' .

Since K' has the perfect residue field, we can apply the theory of $(\Phi, \Gamma_{K'})$ -module in the perfect residue case. We consider a p -torsion G_K -representation V and $D_{K'}(V)$.

Recall

$$D_{K'}(V) = (A \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} V)^{G_{E_{K'}}}.$$

The group G_K acts on $D_{K'}(V)$ and the subgroup $G_{K_\infty^{(\prime)}} \simeq G_{K'_\infty}$ of G_K acts trivially on $D_{K'}(V)$, therefore, the group

$$\Gamma_K = G_K/G_{K_\infty^{(\prime)}} \simeq \Gamma_{K'} \times \mathbb{Z}_p^{\oplus n}$$

and ϕ act on $D_{K'}(V)$ commuting with each other.

Remark 2.2 Notice that Γ_K is non-commutative if $n \geq 1$.

Definition 2.2 A (Φ, Γ_K) -module over $A_{K'}$ is an $A_{K'}$ -module M equipped with

1. a σ -semi linear operator, that is, the \langle Frobenius \rangle

$$\phi = \phi_M : M \rightarrow M$$

2. a continuous semi-linear action of Γ_K which commutes with that of ϕ .

In addition, we call M an etale (Φ, Γ_K) -module of torsion if, as $A_{K'}$ -module, it is finite length and generated by the image of ϕ .

Notation 2.2 $\Phi\Gamma M_{A_{K'}}^{im, et, p-tor}$ is the category which consists of

- objects: etale (Φ, Γ_K) -modules of torsion over $A_{K'}$
- morphisms: $A_{K'}$ -linear morphisms which commute with ϕ and Γ_K .

Conversely, for all etale (Φ, Γ_K) -module of torsion M over $A_{K'}$, we can associate the corresponding p-torsion representation of G_K as follows

$$V_{K'}(M) = (A \otimes_{A_{K'}} M)^{\sigma \otimes \phi_M = 1}.$$

We can deduce the imperfect residue field version of Fontaine theorem above.

Theorem 2 The functor $D_{K'}$ gives an equivalence of two categories

$$Rep_{p-tor}(G_K) \quad \text{and} \quad \Phi\Gamma M_{A_{K'}}^{im, et, p-tor}.$$

Proof

The quasi-inverse of $D_{K'}$ is given by $V_{K'}$.

3 Construction of a projective resolution of \mathbb{Z}_p

3.1 Relations in $\Lambda = \mathbb{Z}_p[[\Gamma_K]]$

Now, we assume that K contains a primitive p -th root of unity if $p \neq 2$ and a primitive 4-th root of unity if $p = 2$.

We fix topological generators

$$\gamma, \beta_1, \beta_2, \dots, \beta_n$$

of Γ_K as in the introduction.

We have following relations

1. $\gamma\beta_i = \beta_i^k \gamma$ ($k \in \mathbb{Z}_p$)
2. $\beta_i\beta_j = \beta_j\beta_i$.

For the construction of the complex, we introduce new operators;

1. $\tau = \gamma - 1$
2. $\omega_i = \beta_i - 1$
3. For $S \subset T \subset \{1, \dots, n\}$,

$$\tau_S = \prod_{i \in S} (\omega_i W_i^{-1}) \gamma - 1$$
 and $\tau_T^{(S)} = \tau_{T \setminus S}$
 where we put $W_i = \beta_i^k - 1$. Notice that $\omega_i W_i^{-1} \in \mathbb{Z}_p[[\beta_i - 1]] \subset \Lambda$.

Remark 3.1 Notice that $\tau_S = \tau$ if $S = \emptyset$.

By using formulars above, we have the following relations.

Relations (R)

1. $\omega_i \omega_j = \omega_j \omega_i$
2. $W_i W_j = W_j W_i$
3. For $i \in S \subset \{1, \dots, n\}$,

$$\tau_S \omega_i = \omega_i \tau_S^{(i)}.$$

This is proved as follows.

$$\begin{aligned} & \tau_S \omega_i \\ &= \left(\prod_{j \in S} (\omega_j W_j^{-1}) \gamma - 1 \right) \omega_i = \left(\prod_{j \in S} (\omega_j W_j^{-1}) \gamma \omega_i - \omega_i \right) \\ &= \left(\prod_{j \in S} (\omega_j W_j^{-1}) W_i \gamma - \omega_i \right) = \omega_i \left(\prod_{j \in S, j \neq i} (\omega_j W_j^{-1}) \gamma - 1 \right) \\ &= \omega_i \tau_S^{(i)}. \end{aligned}$$

3.2 Costruction of C_Λ

Now, we consider the following sequence C_Λ ;

$$0 \longrightarrow \Lambda^{X(n+1)} \xrightarrow{d_n} \Lambda^{X(n)} \xrightarrow{d_{n-1}} \dots \xrightarrow{d_i} \Lambda^{X(i)} \xrightarrow{d_{i-1}} \dots \xrightarrow{d_0} \Lambda^{X(0)} \longrightarrow 0.$$

1. The i -th term (from the right hand side) of C_Λ is $\Lambda^{X(i)}$ where $X(i)$ denotes the set of all subsets of $\{0, 1, \dots, n\}$ of order i .
2. For $S \in X(i)$ and $T \in X(i+1)$, the (S, T) -component $d_i(S, T)$ of $d_i : \Lambda^{X(i+1)} \rightarrow \Lambda^{X(i)}$ is defined as follows.
 - (a) If $S \not\subset T$, $d_i(S, T)(x) = 0$.
 - (b) If $S \subset T$, we put $\{j\} = T \setminus S$.
 - i. If $j = 0$, $d_i(S, T)(x) = x \tau_S$.

- ii. If $j \neq 0$, $d_i(S, T)(x) = (-1)^{a(S, j)} x \omega_j$.
Here, $a(S, j) = \#\{y \in S; y \leq j\}$.

Lemma 3.1 $d_i \circ d_{i+1} = 0$, that is, C_Λ is a complex.

Proof

It suffices to prove $d_i(S, T) \circ d_{i+1}(T, U) + d_i(S, T') \circ d_{i+1}(T', U) = 0$ in the case $\#S = i$, $\#U = i + 2$, $U = S \cup \{j, k\}$, $T = S \cup \{j\}$ and $T' = S \cup \{k\}$.

Now, we calculate $d_i(S, T) \circ d_{i+1}(T, U)$ as follows.

The following three cases are to be considered.

1. **Case 1:** $j \neq 0$ and $k \neq 0$

We have

$$d_i(S, T) \circ d_{i+1}(T, U) = (-1)^{a(T, k)} \omega_k (-1)^{a(S, j)} \omega_j = (-1)^{a(S, j) + a(T, k)} \omega_j \omega_k.$$

2. **Case 2:** $j = 0$ and $k \neq 0$

We have

$$d_i(S, T) \circ d_{i+1}(T, U) = (-1)^{a(T, k)} \omega_k \tau_S.$$

3. **Case 3:** $j \neq 0$ and $k = 0$

We have

$$d_i(S, T) \circ d_{i+1}(T, U) = \tau_T (-1)^{a(S, j)} \omega_j = (-1)^{a(S, j)} \omega_j \tau_T^{\{\{j\}\}} = (-1)^{a(S, j)} \omega_j \tau_S.$$

The calculation of $d_i(S, T') \circ d_{i+1}(T', U)$ is similar. Taking account of it, this lemma follows from the relations (R) above.

Example 3.1 In the case of $[k : k^p] = p$, we have

$$0 \longrightarrow \Lambda \xrightarrow{d_1} \Lambda^{\oplus 2} \xrightarrow{d_0} \Lambda \longrightarrow 0$$

1. $d_0(f, g) = (f\tau + g\omega_1).$

2. $d_1(f) = (-f\omega_1, f\tau_1),$

3.3 Proof

We show that the complex C_Λ in the previous subsection gives a projective resolution of \mathbb{Z}_p .

Proposition 3.1 The sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \Lambda^{X(n+1)} \xrightarrow{d_n} \Lambda^{X(n)} \xrightarrow{d_{n-1}} \dots \xrightarrow{d_0} \Lambda^{X(0)} \xrightarrow{Aug} \mathbb{Z}_p \longrightarrow 0$$

gives a projective resolution of the Λ -module \mathbb{Z}_p .

Proof

Now, we consider the following sequence C_ω ;

$$0 \longrightarrow \Lambda^{Y(n)} \xrightarrow{d'_{n-1}} \Lambda^{Y(n-1)} \xrightarrow{d'_{n-2}} \dots \xrightarrow{d'_0} \Lambda^{Y(0)} \xrightarrow{Aug} \mathbb{Z}_p[[\tau]] \longrightarrow 0.$$

1. The i -th term (from the right hand side) of C_ω is $\Lambda^{Y(i)}$ where $Y(i)$ denotes the set of all subsets of $\{1, \dots, n\}$ of order i . (Recall that $X(i)$ denotes the set of all subsets of $\{0, 1, \dots, n\}$.)
2. For $S \in Y(i)$ and $T \in Y(i+1)$, the (S, T) -component $d'_i(S, T)$ of $d'_i : \Lambda^{Y(i+1)} \rightarrow \Lambda^{Y(i)}$ is defined as follows.

- (a) If $S \not\subset T$, $d'_i(S, T)(x) = 0$.
- (b) If $S \subset T$, we put $\{j\} = T \setminus S$.
We define $d'_i(S, T)(x) = (-1)^{a(S, j)} x \omega_j$.
Here, $a(S, j) = \#\{x \in S; x \leq j\}$.

The sequence C_ω gives the Koszul resolution of the Λ -module $\mathbb{Z}_p[[\tau]] = \Lambda / (\sum_{i=1}^n \Lambda \omega_i)$ by the Koszul complex $K.(\omega_1, \dots, \omega_n)$

$$0 \longrightarrow \Lambda^{Y(n)} \xrightarrow{d'_{n-1}} \Lambda^{Y(n-1)} \xrightarrow{d'_{n-2}} \dots \xrightarrow{d'_0} \Lambda^{Y(0)} \longrightarrow 0.$$

In particular, the sequence C_ω is exact.

Then, we consider the following commutative diagram.

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccccc}
 & & & & & & & & & 0 & & \\
 & & & & & & & & & \downarrow & & \\
 0 & \longrightarrow & \Lambda^{Y(n)} & \xrightarrow{d'_{n-1}} & \Lambda^{Y(n-1)} & \xrightarrow{d'_{n-2}} & \dots & \xrightarrow{d'_0} & \Lambda^{Y(0)} & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{Z}_p[[\tau]] & \longrightarrow & 0 \\
 & & d''_n \downarrow & & d''_{n-1} \downarrow & & & & d''_0 \downarrow & & \tau \downarrow & & \\
 0 & \longrightarrow & \Lambda^{Y(n)} & \xrightarrow{d'_{n-1}} & \Lambda^{Y(n-1)} & \xrightarrow{d'_{n-2}} & \dots & \xrightarrow{d'_0} & \Lambda^{Y(0)} & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{Z}_p[[\tau]] & \longrightarrow & 0 \\
 & & & & & & & & & & \downarrow & & \\
 & & & & & & & & & & \mathbb{Z}_p & & \\
 & & & & & & & & & & \downarrow & & \\
 & & & & & & & & & & 0 & & .
 \end{array}$$

For $S \in Y(i)$ and $T \in Y(i)$, the (S, T) -component of $d''_i(S, T) : \Lambda^{Y(i)} \rightarrow \Lambda^{Y(i)}$ is defined as follows.

1. If $S \neq T$, $d''_i(S, T)(x) = 0$.
2. If $S = T$, $d''_i(S, T)(x) = x \tau_S$.

Since C_Λ is the simple complex associated to the double complex $d'' : K.(\omega_1, \dots, \omega_n) \rightarrow K.(\omega_1, \dots, \omega_n)$, it is quasi-isomorphic to the complex $\mathbb{Z}_p[[\tau]] \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_p[[\tau]] : x \mapsto x\tau$, and hence to \mathbb{Z}_p . Then, we get the exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \Lambda^{X(n+1)} \xrightarrow{d_n} \Lambda^{X(n)} \xrightarrow{d_{n-1}} \dots \xrightarrow{d_0} \Lambda^{X(0)} \xrightarrow{Aug} \mathbb{Z}_p \longrightarrow 0.$$

4 Proof of the main theorem

4.1 Connection between $C_{\Gamma_K}(M)$ and C_Λ

Let M be a p -torsion representation of Γ_K . Then, we define the complex $C_{\Gamma_K}(M)$ by

$$C_{\Gamma_K}(M) = Hom_\Lambda(C_\Lambda, M).$$

This $C_{\Gamma_K}(M)$ clearly coincides with the one given in the Introduction.

On the other hand, by the previous section, we have

$$Hom_\Lambda(C_\Lambda, M) \simeq RHom_\Lambda(\mathbb{Z}_p, M).$$

We have also

$$RHom_\Lambda(\mathbb{Z}_p, M) \simeq R\Gamma(\Gamma_K, M).$$

(See [NSW, p231, (5.2.7)].)

Hence, we obtain

$$C_{\Gamma_K}(M) \simeq R\Gamma(\Gamma_K, M).$$

4.2 Calculation of the complex

It is time to calculate the Galois cohomology $R\Gamma(G_K, V)$ for a p -torsion representation of V of G_K .

At first, we have

$$[D_{K'}(V) \xrightarrow{\rho} D_{K'}(V)] \simeq R\Gamma(G_{K'_\infty}, V) \simeq R\Gamma(G_{K'_\infty}, V).$$

On the other hand, we have

$$R\Gamma(G_K, V) \simeq R\Gamma(\Gamma_K, R\Gamma(G_{K'_\infty}, V)).$$

We obtain

$$R\Gamma(G_K, V) = R\Gamma(\Gamma_K, [D_{K'}(V) \xrightarrow{\rho} D_{K'}(V)])$$

$$= \left[\begin{array}{ccccccc} & & 0 & & 0 & & \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & D_{K'}(V)^{X(0)} & \xrightarrow{\rho} & D_{K'}(V)^{X(0)} & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & d^0 \downarrow & & d^0 \downarrow & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & D_{K'}(V)^{X(1)} & \xrightarrow{\rho} & D_{K'}(V)^{X(1)} & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & d^1 \downarrow & & d^1 \downarrow & & \\ & & \vdots & & \vdots & & \\ & & d^n \downarrow & & d^n \downarrow & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & D_{K'}(V)^{X(n+1)} & \xrightarrow{\rho} & D_{K'}(V)^{X(n+1)} & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\ & & 0 & & 0 & & \end{array} \right]$$

where the second equality follows from 4.1 (here, two vertical sequences are complexes $C_{\Gamma_K}(D_{K'}(V))$).

With this, we have

$$R\Gamma(G_K, V) = C_{\phi, \Gamma_K}(D_{K'}(V)).$$

References

- [B1] Berger L.: Représentations p -adiques et équations différentielles. Invent. Math. 148 (2002), 219–284.
- [B2] Berger L.: An introduction to the theory of p -adic representations. Geometric aspects of Dwork theory. Vol. I, II, 255–292, 2004.
- [F] Fontaine J-M.: Représentations p -adiques des corps locaux. I. The Grothendieck Festschrift, Vol. II, 249–309, Progr. Math., 87, Birkhauser, 1990.
- [FW] Fontaine J-M., Wintenberger J-P.: Le "corps des normes" de certaines extensions algébriques de corps locaux. C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Ser. A-B 288 (1979), A367–A370.
- [H1] Herr L.: Sur la cohomologie galoisienne des corps p -adiques. Bull. Soc. Math. France 126 (1998), 563–600.
- [H2] Herr L.: Φ - Γ -modules and Galois cohomology. Invitation to higher local fields (Munster, 1999), 263–272 (electronic), Geom. Topol. Monogr., 3, Geom. Topol. Publ., Coventry, 2000.
- [H3] Herr L.: Une approche nouvelle de la dualité locale de Tate. Math. Ann. 320 (2001), 307–337.
- [NSW] Neukirch J., Schmidt A., Wingberg K.: Cohomology of number fields. Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften [Fundamental Principles of Mathematical Sciences], 323. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2000.

[S] Serre J-P.: Local fields. Graduate Texts in Mathematics, 67. Springer-Verlag, New York-Berlin, 1979.

[W] Wintenberger J-P.: Le corps des normes de certaines extensions infinies de corps locaux; applications. Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4) 16 (1983), 59–89.