

STRUCTURE RELATIONS IN SPECIAL  $A_\infty$ -BIALGEBRASRONALD UMBLE<sup>1</sup>

ABSTRACT. We compute the structure relations in special  $A_\infty$ -bialgebras whose operations are limited to those defining the underlying  $A_\infty$ -(co)algebra substructure. Such bialgebras appear as the homology of certain loop spaces. Whereas structure relations in general  $A_\infty$ -bialgebras depend upon the combinatorics of permutahedra, only Stasheff's associahedra are required here.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

A general  $A_\infty$ -infinity bialgebra is a DG module  $(H, d)$  equipped with a family of structurally compatible operations  $\omega_{j,i} : H^{\otimes i} \rightarrow H^{\otimes j}$ , where  $i, j \geq 1$  and  $i + j \geq 3$  (see [6]). In *special*  $A_\infty$ -bialgebras,  $\omega_{j,i} = 0$  whenever  $i, j \geq 2$ , and the remaining operations  $m_i = \omega_{1,i}$  and  $\Delta_j = \omega_{j,1}$  define the underlying  $A_\infty$ -(co)algebra substructure. Thus special  $A_\infty$ -bialgebras have the form  $(H, d, m_i, \Delta_j)_{i,j \geq 2}$  subject to the appropriate structure relations involving  $d$ , the  $m_i$ 's and  $\Delta_j$ 's. These relations are much easier to describe than those in the general case, which require the S-U diagonal  $\Delta_P$  on permutahedra. Instead, the S-U diagonal  $\Delta_K$  on Stasheff's associahedra  $K = \sqcup K_n$  is required here (see [5]).

$A_\infty$ -bialgebras are fundamentally important structures in algebra and topology. In general, the homology of every  $A_\infty$ -bialgebra inherits an  $A_\infty$ -bialgebra structure [7]; in particular, this holds for the integral homology of a loop space. In fact, over a field, the  $A_\infty$ -bialgebra structure on the homology of a loop space specializes to the  $A_\infty$ -(co)algebra structures observed by Gugenheim [2] and Kadeishvili [3].

The main result of this paper is the following simple formulation of the structure relations in special  $A_\infty$ -bialgebras that do not involve  $d$ : Let  $TH$  denote the tensor module of  $H$  and let  $e^{n-2}$  denote the top dimensional face of  $K_n$ . There is a "fraction product" on  $M = \text{End}(TH)$  (denoted here by " $\bullet$ ") and certain cellular cochains  $\xi, \zeta \in C^*(K; M)$  such that for each  $i, j \geq 2$ ,

$$\Delta_j \bullet m_i = \xi^j (e^{i-2}) \bullet \zeta^i (e^{j-2}),$$

where the exponents indicate certain  $\Delta_K$ -cup powers.

I must acknowledge the fact that many of the ideas in this paper germinated during conversations with Samson Sanebidze, whose openness and encouragement led to this paper. For this I express sincere thanks.

---

*Date:* June 22, 2005.

*1991 Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 55U05, 52B05, 05A18, 05A19; Secondary 55P35.

*Key words and phrases.* Associahedron, S-U diagonal, biderivative,  $A_\infty$ -bialgebra.

<sup>1</sup> This research was funded in part by a Millersville University faculty research grant.

## 2. MATRIX CONSIDERATIONS

We begin with a brief review of the algebraic machinery we need; for a detailed exposition see [6]. Let  $M = \bigoplus_{m,n \geq 1} M_{n,m}$  be a bigraded module over a commutative ring  $R$  with identity  $1_R$  and consider the module  $TTM$  of tensors on  $TM$ . Given matrices  $X = [x_{ij}]$  and  $Y = [y_{ij}] \in \mathbb{N}^{q \times p}$ ,  $p, q \geq 1$ , consider the submodule

$$\begin{aligned} M_{Y,X} &= (M_{y_{11},x_{11}} \otimes \cdots \otimes M_{y_{1p},x_{1p}}) \otimes \cdots \otimes (M_{y_{q1},x_{q1}} \otimes \cdots \otimes M_{y_{qp},x_{qp}}) \\ &\subset (M^{\otimes p})^{\otimes q} \subset TTM. \end{aligned}$$

Represent a monomial  $A = (\theta_{y_{11},x_{11}} \otimes \cdots \otimes \theta_{y_{1p},x_{1p}}) \otimes \cdots \otimes (\theta_{y_{q1},x_{q1}} \otimes \cdots \otimes \theta_{y_{qp},x_{qp}}) \in M_{Y,X}$  as the  $q \times p$  matrix  $[A] = [a_{ij}]$  with  $a_{ij} = \theta_{y_{ij},x_{ij}}$ . Then  $A$  is the  $q$ -fold tensor product of the rows of  $[A]$  thought of as elements of  $M^{\otimes p}$ ; we refer to  $A$  as a  $q \times p$  monomial and often write  $A$  when we mean  $[A]$ . The *matrix submodule of  $TTM$*  is the sum

$$\overline{\mathbf{M}} = \bigoplus_{\substack{X,Y \in \mathbb{N}^{q \times p} \\ p,q \geq 1}} M_{Y,X} = \bigoplus_{p,q \geq 1} (M^{\otimes p})^{\otimes q}.$$

Given  $\mathbf{x} \times \mathbf{y} = (x_1, \dots, x_p) \times (y_1, \dots, y_q) \in \mathbb{N}^p \times \mathbb{N}^q$ , set  $X = [x_{ij} = x_j]_{1 \leq i \leq q}$ ,  $Y = [y_{ij} = y_i]_{1 \leq j \leq p}$  and denote  $\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{x}}^{\mathbf{y}} = M_{Y,X}$ . The *essential submodule of  $TTM$*  is

$$\mathbf{M} = \bigoplus_{\substack{\mathbf{x} \times \mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{N}^p \times \mathbb{N}^q \\ p,q \geq 1}} \mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{x}}^{\mathbf{y}}$$

and a  $q \times p$  monomial  $A \in \mathbf{M}$  has the form

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} \theta_{y_1,x_1} & \cdots & \theta_{y_1,x_p} \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ \theta_{y_q,x_1} & \cdots & \theta_{y_q,x_p} \end{bmatrix}.$$

Graphically represent  $A = [\theta_{y_j,x_i}] \in \mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{x}}^{\mathbf{y}}$  two ways: (1) as a matrix of “double corollas” in which  $\theta_{y_j,x_i}$  is pictured as two corollas joined at the root—one opening downward with  $x_i$  leaves and the other opening upward with  $y_j$  leaves—and (2) as an arrow in the positive integer lattice  $\mathbb{N}^2$  from  $(|\mathbf{x}|, q)$  to  $(p, |\mathbf{y}|)$ , where  $|\mathbf{u}| = u_1 + \cdots + u_k$  (see Figure 1).




Figure 1. Graphical representations of a typical monomial.

Each pairing  $\gamma : \bigoplus_{r,s \geq 1} M^{\otimes r} \otimes M^{\otimes s} \rightarrow M$  induces an *upsilon product*  $\Upsilon : \overline{\mathbf{M}} \otimes \overline{\mathbf{M}} \rightarrow \overline{\mathbf{M}}$  supported on “block transverse pairs,” which we now describe.

**Definition 1.** A monomial pair  $A^{q \times s} \otimes B^{t \times p} = [\theta_{y_{k\ell}, v_{k\ell}}] \otimes [\eta_{u_{ij}, x_{ij}}] \in \overline{\mathbf{M}} \otimes \overline{\mathbf{M}}$  is a (i) Transverse Pair (TP) if  $s = t = 1$ ,  $u_{1,j} = q$  and  $v_{k,1} = p$  for all  $j, k$ , i.e., setting  $x_j = x_{1,j}$  and  $y_k = y_{k,1}$  gives

$$A \otimes B = \begin{bmatrix} \theta_{y_{1,p}} \\ \vdots \\ \theta_{y_{q,p}} \end{bmatrix} \otimes [\eta_{q,x_1} \quad \cdots \quad \eta_{q,x_p}] \in \mathbf{M}_p^{\mathbf{y}} \otimes \mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{x}}^q.$$

(ii) Block Transverse Pair (BTP) if there exist  $t \times s$  block decompositions  $A = [A'_{k'\ell}]$  and  $B = [B'_{ij'}]$  such that  $A'_{i\ell} \otimes B'_{i\ell}$  is a TP for all  $i, \ell$ .

Unlike the blocks in a standard block matrix, the blocks  $A'_{i\ell}$  (or  $B'_{i\ell}$ ) in a general BTP may vary in length within a given row (or column). However, when  $A \otimes B \in \mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{v}}^{\mathbf{y}} \otimes \mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{x}}^{\mathbf{u}}$  is a BTP with  $\mathbf{u} = (q_1, \dots, q_t)$ ,  $\mathbf{v} = (p_1, \dots, p_s)$ ,  $\mathbf{x} = (\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_s)$  and  $\mathbf{y} = (\mathbf{y}_1, \dots, \mathbf{y}_t)$ , the TP  $A'_{i\ell} \otimes B'_{i\ell} \in \mathbf{M}_{p_\ell}^{\mathbf{y}_i} \otimes \mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{x}_\ell}^{q_i}$  so that for a fixed  $i$  (or  $\ell$ ) the blocks  $A'_{i\ell}$  (or  $B'_{i\ell}$ ) have constant length  $q_i$  (or  $p_\ell$ ); furthermore,  $A \otimes B$  is a BTP if and only if  $\mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{N}^{|\mathbf{u}|}$  and  $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{N}^{|\mathbf{v}|}$  if and only if the initial point of arrow  $A$  coincides with the terminal point of arrow  $B$ . Note that BTP block decomposition is unique.

**Example 1.** A pairing of monomials  $A^{4 \times 2} \otimes B^{2 \times 3} \in \mathbf{M}_{2,1}^{1,5,4,3} \otimes \mathbf{M}_{1,2,3}^{3,1}$  is a  $2 \times 2$  BTP per the block decompositions

$$\left( \begin{array}{|c|c|} \hline \theta_{1,2} & \theta_{1,1} \\ \hline \theta_{5,2} & \theta_{5,1} \\ \hline \theta_{4,2} & \theta_{4,1} \\ \hline \theta_{3,2} & \theta_{3,1} \\ \hline \end{array} \right) \quad \text{and} \quad \left( \begin{array}{|c|c|c|} \hline \eta_{3,1} & \eta_{3,2} & \eta_{3,3} \\ \hline \eta_{1,1} & \eta_{1,2} & \eta_{1,3} \\ \hline \end{array} \right).$$

Given a pairing  $\gamma = \sum_{\mathbf{x} \times \mathbf{y}} \gamma_{\mathbf{x}}^{\mathbf{y}} : \mathbf{M}_p^{\mathbf{y}} \otimes \mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{x}}^q \rightarrow \mathbf{M}_{|\mathbf{x}|}^{|\mathbf{y}|}$ , extend  $\gamma$  to an *upsilon product*  $\Upsilon : \overline{\mathbf{M}} \otimes \overline{\mathbf{M}} \rightarrow \overline{\mathbf{M}}$  via

$$(2.1) \quad \Upsilon(A \otimes B)_{i\ell} = \begin{cases} \gamma(A'_{i\ell} \otimes B'_{i\ell}), & \text{if } A \otimes B \text{ is a BTP} \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then  $\Upsilon$  sends a BTP  $A^{q \times s} \otimes B^{t \times p} \in \mathbf{M}_p^{\mathbf{y}} \otimes \mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{x}}^{\mathbf{u}}$  with  $A'_{i\ell} \otimes B'_{i\ell} \in \mathbf{M}_{p_\ell}^{\mathbf{y}_i} \otimes \mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{x}_\ell}^{q_i}$  to a  $t \times s$  monomial in  $\mathbf{M}_{|\mathbf{x}_1|, \dots, |\mathbf{x}_s|}^{|\mathbf{y}_1|, \dots, |\mathbf{y}_t|}$ . We denote  $A \cdot B = \Upsilon(A \otimes B)$ ; when  $[\theta_j] \otimes [\eta_i]$  is a TP we denote  $\gamma(\theta_1, \dots, \theta_q; \eta_1, \dots, \eta_p) = (\theta_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \theta_q) \cdot (\eta_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \eta_p)$ . As an arrow,  $A \cdot B$  runs from the initial point of  $B$  to the terminal point of  $A$ . Note that  $\mathbf{M} \cdot \mathbf{M} \subseteq \mathbf{M}$  so that  $\Upsilon$  restricts to an *upsilon product* on  $\mathbf{M}$ .

**Example 2.** Continuing Example 1, the action of  $\Upsilon$  on  $A^{4 \times 2} \otimes B^{2 \times 3} \in \mathbf{M}_{2,1}^{1,5,4,3} \otimes \mathbf{M}_{1,2,3}^{3,1}$  produces a  $2 \times 2$  monomial in  $\mathbf{M}_{3,3}^{10,3}$ :

$$\left[ \begin{array}{|c|c|} \hline \theta_{1,2} & \theta_{1,1} \\ \hline \theta_{5,2} & \theta_{5,1} \\ \hline \theta_{4,2} & \theta_{4,1} \\ \hline \theta_{3,2} & \theta_{3,1} \\ \hline \end{array} \right] \cdot \left[ \begin{array}{|c|c|c|} \hline \eta_{3,1} & \eta_{3,2} & \eta_{3,3} \\ \hline \eta_{1,1} & \eta_{1,2} & \eta_{1,3} \\ \hline \end{array} \right] = \left[ \begin{array}{cc} \gamma(\theta_{1,2}, \theta_{5,2}, \theta_{4,2}; \eta_{3,1}, \eta_{3,2}) & \gamma(\theta_{1,1}, \theta_{5,1}, \theta_{4,1}; \eta_{3,3}) \\ \gamma(\theta_{3,2}; \eta_{1,1}, \eta_{1,2}) & \gamma(\theta_{3,1}; \eta_{1,3}) \end{array} \right].$$

In the target,  $(|\mathbf{x}_1|, |\mathbf{x}_2|) = (1 + 2, 3)$  since  $(p_1, p_2) = (2, 1)$ ; and  $(|\mathbf{y}_1|, |\mathbf{y}_2|) = (1 + 5 + 4, 3)$  since  $(q_1, q_2) = (3, 1)$ . As an arrow,  $A \cdot B$  initializes at  $(6, 2)$  and terminates at  $(2, 13)$ .

The applications below relate to the following special case: Let  $H$  be a graded module over a commutative ring with unity and view  $M = \text{End}(TH)$  as a bigraded module via  $M_{n,m} = \text{Hom}(H^{\otimes m}, H^{\otimes n})$ . Then a  $q \times p$  monomial  $A \in \mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{x}}^{\mathbf{y}}$  admits a representation as an operator on  $\mathbb{N}^2$  via

$$\begin{aligned} (H^{\otimes |\mathbf{x}|})^{\otimes q} &\approx (H^{\otimes x_1} \otimes \dots \otimes H^{\otimes x_p})^{\otimes q} \xrightarrow{A} (H^{\otimes y_1})^{\otimes p} \otimes \dots \otimes (H^{\otimes y_q})^{\otimes p} \\ &\xrightarrow{\sigma_{y_1,p} \otimes \dots \otimes \sigma_{y_q,p}} (H^{\otimes p})^{\otimes y_1} \otimes \dots \otimes (H^{\otimes p})^{\otimes y_q} \approx (H^{\otimes p})^{\otimes |\mathbf{y}|}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $(s, t) \in \mathbb{N}^2$  is identified with  $(H^{\otimes s})^{\otimes t}$  and  $\sigma_{s,t} : (H^{\otimes s})^{\otimes t} \xrightarrow{\cong} (H^{\otimes t})^{\otimes s}$  is the canonical permutation of tensor factors  $\sigma_{q,p} : ((a_{11} \cdots a_{q1}) \cdots (a_{1p} \cdots a_{qp})) \mapsto ((a_{11} \cdots a_{1p}) \cdots (a_{q1} \cdots a_{qp}))$ . The canonical structure map is

$$(2.2) \quad \gamma = \sum \gamma_{\mathbf{x}}^{\mathbf{y}} : \mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{x}}^{\mathbf{y}} \otimes \mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{x}}^q \xrightarrow{\iota_p \otimes \iota_q} \mathbf{M}_{pq}^{|\mathbf{y}|} \otimes \mathbf{M}_{|\mathbf{x}|}^{qp} \xrightarrow{id \otimes \sigma_{q,p}^*} \mathbf{M}_{pq}^{|\mathbf{y}|} \otimes \mathbf{M}_{|\mathbf{x}|}^{pq} \xrightarrow{\circ} \mathbf{M}_{|\mathbf{x}|}^{|\mathbf{y}|},$$

where  $\iota_p$  and  $\iota_q$  are the canonical isomorphisms and  $\sigma_{q,p}^*$  is induced by  $\sigma_{q,p}$  (c.f. [1], [4]), induces a canonical *associative*  $\Upsilon$  product on  $\mathbf{M}$  whose action on matrices of double corollas typically produces a matrix of non-planar graphs (see Figure 2).




Figure 2. The  $\gamma$ -product as a non-planar graph.

In this setting,  $\gamma$  agrees with the composition product on the universal preCROC [8].

## 3. CUP PRODUCTS

The two pairs of dual cup products defined in this section play an essential role in the theory of structure relations. Let  $(H, d)$  be a DG module over a commutative ring with unity. For each  $i, j \geq 2$ , choose operations  $m_i : H^{\otimes i} \rightarrow H$  and  $\Delta_j : H \rightarrow H^{\otimes j}$  thought of as elements of  $M = \text{End}(TH)$ . Recall that planar rooted trees (PRT's) parametrize the faces of Stasheff's associahedra  $K = \bigsqcup_{n \geq 2} K_n$  and provide

module generators for cellular chains  $C_*(K)$  [4]. Whereas top dimensional faces correspond with corollas, lower dimensional faces correspond with more general PRT's. Now given a face  $a \subseteq K$ , consider the class of all planar rooted trees with levels (PLT's) representing  $a$  and choose a representative with exactly one node in each level. In this way, we obtain a particularly nice set of module generators for  $C_*(K)$ , denoted by  $\mathcal{K}$ . Note that the elements of a class of PLT's represent the same function obtained by composing in various ways. The results obtained here are independent of choice since they depend only on the function.

Let  $G$  be a DGA concentrated in degree zero and consider the cellular cochains on  $K$  with coefficients in  $G$ :

$$C^p(K; G) = \text{Hom}^{-p}(C_p(K); G).$$

A diagonal  $\Delta$  on  $C_*(K)$  induces a cup product  $\smile$  on  $C^*(K; G)$  via

$$f \smile g = \cdot (f \otimes g) \Delta,$$

where “ $\cdot$ ” denotes multiplication in  $G$ .

The essential submodule  $\mathbf{M}$ , which serves as our coefficient module, is canonically endowed with dual associative *wedge* and *Čech cross products* defined on a monomial pair  $A \otimes B \in \mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{y}}^{\mathbf{v}} \otimes \mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{x}}^{\mathbf{u}}$  by

$$A \hat{\times} B = \begin{cases} A \otimes B, & \text{if } \mathbf{v} = \mathbf{x}, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} \quad \text{and} \quad A \check{\times} B = \begin{cases} A \otimes B, & \text{if } \mathbf{u} = \mathbf{y}, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Denote  $\hat{\mathbf{M}} = (\mathbf{M}, \hat{\times})$  and  $\check{\mathbf{M}} = (\mathbf{M}, \check{\times})$  and note that  $\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{x}}^{\mathbf{y}} \hat{\times} \mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{x}}^{\mathbf{u}} \subseteq \mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{x}}^{\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{u}}$  and  $\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{y}}^{\mathbf{v}} \check{\times} \mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{x}}^{\mathbf{u}} \subseteq \mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{x}}^{\mathbf{v}}$ . Thus non-zero cross products concatenate matrices:

$$A \hat{\times} B = \begin{bmatrix} A \\ B \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad A \check{\times} B = [A \ B].$$

As arrows,  $A \hat{\times} B$  runs from vertical  $x = |\mathbf{x}|$  to vertical  $x = p$ , whereas  $A \check{\times} B$  runs from horizontal  $y = q$  to horizontal  $y = |\mathbf{y}|$ . In particular, if  $A \in \mathbf{M}_a^b$ , then  $A \hat{\times}^n \in \mathbf{M}_a^{b \cdots b}$  is an arrow from  $(a, n)$  to  $(1, nb)$  and  $A \check{\times}^n \in \mathbf{M}_{a \cdots a}^b$  is an arrow from  $(na, 1)$  to  $(n, b)$ . These cross products together with the S-U diagonal  $\Delta_K$  [5] induce wedge and Čech cup products  $\wedge$  and  $\vee$  in  $C^*(K; \hat{\mathbf{M}})$  and  $C^*(K; \check{\mathbf{M}})$ , respectively.

The modules  $C^*(K; \hat{\mathbf{M}})$  and  $C^*(K; \check{\mathbf{M}})$  are equipped with second cup products  $\wedge_\ell$  and  $\vee_\ell$  arising from the  $\Upsilon$ -product on  $\mathbf{M}$  together with the “leaf coproduct”  $\Delta_\ell : C_*(K) \rightarrow C_*(K) \otimes C_*(K)$ , which we now define. Let  $T = T^1 \in \mathcal{K}$  be a  $k$ -level PLT. Prune  $T$  immediately below the first (top) level, trimming off a single corolla with  $n_1$  leaves and  $r_1 - 1$  stalks. Numbering from left-to-right, let  $i_1$  be the position of the corolla. The (*first*) *leaf sequence* of  $T$  is the  $r_1$ -tuple  $\mathbf{x}_{i_1}(n_1) = (1 \cdots n_1 \cdots 1)$  with  $n_1$  in position  $i_1$  and 1's elsewhere. Label the pruned tree  $T^2$ ; inductively, the  $j^{\text{th}}$  *leaf sequence* of  $T$  is the leaf sequence of  $T^j$ . The induction terminates when

$j = k$ , in which case  $i_k = r_k = 1$  and  $\mathbf{x}_{i_k}(n_k) = n_k$ . The *descent sequence* of  $T$  is the  $k$ -tuple  $(\mathbf{x}_{i_1}(n_1), \dots, \mathbf{x}_{i_k}(n_k))$ .

**Definition 2.** Let  $T \in \mathcal{K}$  and identify  $T$  with its descent sequence  $\mathbf{n} = (\mathbf{n}_1, \dots, \mathbf{n}_k)$ . The leaf coproduct of  $T$  is given by

$$\Delta_\ell(T) = \begin{cases} \sum_{2 \leq i \leq k} (\mathbf{n}_1, \dots, |\mathbf{n}_i|) \otimes (\mathbf{n}_i, \mathbf{n}_{i+1}, \dots, \mathbf{n}_k), & k > 1 \\ 0, & k = 1. \end{cases}$$

Define the *leaf cup products*  $\wedge_\ell$  and  $\vee_\ell$  on  $C^*(K; \hat{\mathbf{M}})$  and  $C^*(K; \check{\mathbf{M}})$  by

$$f \wedge_\ell g = \cdot (f \otimes g) \tau \Delta_\ell \text{ and } f \vee_\ell g = \cdot (f \otimes g) \Delta_\ell,$$

where  $\tau$  interchanges tensor factors and  $\cdot$  denotes the  $\Upsilon$ -product.

Note that all cup products defined in this section are non-associative and non-commutative. Unless explicitly indicated otherwise, iterated cup products are parenthesized on the extreme left, e.g.,  $f \vee g \vee h = (f \vee g) \vee h$ .

#### 4. SPECIAL $A_\infty$ -BIALGEBRAS

Structural compatibility of  $d$ , the  $m_i$ 's and  $\Delta_j$ 's is expressed in terms of the (restricted) biderivative  $d_\omega$  and the ‘‘fraction product’’  $\bullet$  by the equation  $d_\omega \bullet d_\omega = 0$ . We begin with a construction of the biderivative in our restricted setting. Let  $\varphi \in C^*(K; \hat{\mathbf{M}})$  and  $\psi \in C^*(K; \check{\mathbf{M}})$  be the cochains with top dimensional support such that

$$\varphi(e^{i-2}) = m_i \text{ and } \psi(e^{j-2}) = \Delta_j.$$

We think of  $\varphi$  and  $\psi$  as acting on uprooted and downrooted trees, respectively (see Figure 3).

Figure 3: The actions of  $\varphi$  and  $\psi$ .

Let  $T^c H$  denote the tensor coalgebra of  $H$ . The *coderivation cochain* of  $\varphi$  is the cochain  $\varphi^c \in C^*(K; \hat{\mathbf{M}})$  that extends  $\varphi$  to cells of  $K$  in *codim* 1 such that

$$\sum_{\text{codim } e = 0,1} \varphi^c(e) \in \text{Coder}(T^c H)$$

is the cofree linear coextension of  $\varphi(K) = \sum_{i \geq 2} \varphi(e^{i-2})$  as a coderivation. Thus if  $T \in \mathcal{K}$  is an uprooted 2-level tree with  $n + k$  leaves and leaf sequence  $\mathbf{x}_i(k)$ ,

$$\varphi^c(T) = 1^{\otimes i-1} \otimes m_k \otimes 1^{\otimes n-i+1} = [1 \cdots m_k \cdots 1] \in \mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{x}_i(k)}^1$$

and is represented by the arrow from  $(n + k, 1)$  to  $(n + 1, 1)$  on the horizontal axis in  $\mathbb{N}^2$ . Dually, let  $T^a(H)$  denote the tensor algebra of  $H$ . The *derivation cochain* of

$\psi$  is the cochain  $\psi^a \in C^*(K; \mathbf{M})$  that extends  $\psi$  to cells of  $K$  in *codim* 1 such that

$$\sum_{\text{codim } e = 0,1} \psi^a(e) \in \text{Der}(T^a H)$$

is the free linear extension of  $\psi(K) = \sum_{i \geq 2} \psi(e^{i-2})$  as a derivation. Thus if  $T \in \mathcal{K}$  is an downrooted 2-level tree with  $n+k$  leaves and leaf sequence  $\mathbf{y}_i(k)$ ,

$$\psi^a(T) = 1^{\otimes i-1} \otimes \Delta_k \otimes 1^{\otimes n-i+1} = [1 \cdots \Delta_k \cdots 1]^T \in \mathbf{M}_1^{\mathbf{y}_i(k)}$$

and is represented by the arrow from  $(1, n+1)$  to  $(1, n+k)$  on the vertical axis.

Evaluating leaf cup powers of  $\varphi^c$  (respt.  $\psi^a$ ) generates a representative of each class of compositions involving the  $m_i$ 's (respt.  $\Delta_j$ 's). So let

$$\xi = \varphi^c + \varphi^c \wedge_\ell \varphi^c + \cdots + (\varphi^c)^{\wedge_\ell k} + \cdots$$

$$\zeta = \psi^a + \psi^a \vee_\ell \psi^a + \cdots + (\psi^a)^{\vee_\ell k} + \cdots$$

and note that if  $e$  is a cell of  $K$ , each non-zero component of  $\xi(e)$  (respt.  $\zeta(e)$ ) is represented by a left-oriented horizontal (respt. upward-oriented vertical) arrow.

Furthermore, evaluating wedge and Čech cup powers of  $\xi$  (respt.  $\zeta$ ) generates the components of the cofree coextension of  $\xi(K)$  as a  $\Delta_K$ -coderivation (respt. free extension of  $\zeta(K)$  as a  $\Delta_K$ -derivation). So let

$$\hat{\varphi} = \xi + \xi \wedge \xi + \cdots + \xi^{\wedge k} + \cdots$$

$$\check{\psi} = \zeta + \zeta \vee \zeta + \cdots + \zeta^{\vee k} + \cdots$$

and note that the component  $\xi^{\wedge k}(e^{i-2}) : (H^{\otimes i})^{\otimes k} \rightarrow (H^{\otimes 1})^{\otimes k}$  is represented by a left-oriented horizontal arrow from  $(i, k)$  to  $(1, k)$  while the component  $\zeta^{\vee k}(e^{i-2}) : (H^{\otimes 1})^{\otimes k} \rightarrow (H^{\otimes i})^{\otimes k}$  is represented by a upward-oriented vertical arrow from  $(k, 1)$  to  $(k, i)$ .

Let  $M_0 = M_{1,1}$ . For reasons soon to become clear, the only structure relations involving the differential  $d$  are the classical quadratic relations in an  $A_\infty$ -(co)algebra. Note that  $d \in M_0$  and let  $\mathbf{1}^s = (1, \dots, 1) \in \mathbb{N}^s$ . Given  $\theta \in M_0$  and  $p, q \geq 1$ , consider the monomials  $\theta_i^{q \times 1} \in \mathbf{M}_1^{\mathbf{1}^q}$  and  $\theta_j^{1 \times p} \in \mathbf{M}_1^{\mathbf{1}^p}$  all of whose entries are the identity except the  $i^{\text{th}}$  in  $\theta_i^{q \times 1}$  and the  $j^{\text{th}}$  in  $\theta_j^{1 \times p}$ , both of which are  $\theta$ . Define  $Bd_0 : M_0 \rightarrow \mathbf{M}$  by

$$Bd_0(\theta) = \sum_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq q, 1 \leq j \leq p \\ p, q \geq 1}} \theta_i^{q \times 1} + \theta_j^{1 \times p}.$$

Then  $Bd_0(\theta)$  is the (co)free linear (co)extension of  $\theta$  as a (co)derivation. Note that each component of  $Bd_0(\theta)$  is represented by an arrow of “length” zero.

Let  $M_1 = (M_{1,*} \oplus M_{*,1})/M_{1,1}$  and define  $Bd_1 : M_1 \rightarrow \mathbf{M}$  by

$$(4.1) \quad Bd_1(\theta) = \sum_{\substack{e \subseteq K \\ \text{codim } e = 0}} (\hat{\varphi} + \check{\psi})(e) + \sum_{\substack{e \subseteq K \\ \text{codim } e = 1}} (\varphi^c + \psi^a)(e).$$

Note that the components of  $Bd_1(\theta)$  are represented by upward-oriented vertical arrows and left-oriented horizontal arrows; the right-hand component of (4.1) is given by Gerstenhaber’s  $\circ$ -(co)operation.

Let  $\rho_0 : \mathbf{M} \rightarrow \mathbf{M}_0$  and  $\rho_1 : \mathbf{M} \rightarrow \mathbf{M}_1$  denote the canonical projections.

**Definition 3.** The restricted biderivative is the (non-linear) map  $d_- : \mathbf{M} \rightarrow \mathbf{M}$  given by

$$d_- = Bd_0 \circ \rho_0 + Bd_1 \circ \rho_1.$$

The symbol  $d_\theta$  denotes the restricted biderivative of  $\theta$ .

Finally, the composition

$$\bullet : \mathbf{M} \times \mathbf{M} \xrightarrow{d \otimes d} \mathbf{M} \times \mathbf{M} \xrightarrow{\Upsilon} \mathbf{M}$$

defines the *fraction product*. Special  $A_\infty$ -bialgebras are defined in terms of the fraction product as follows:

**Definition 4.** Let  $\omega = d + \sum_{i,j \geq 2} (m_i + \Delta_j) \in M_0 \oplus M_1$ . Then  $(H, d, m_i, \Delta_j)_{i,j \geq 2}$  is a special  $A_\infty$ -bialgebra provided

$$d_\omega \bullet d_\omega = 0.$$

Note that one recovers the classical quadratic relations in an  $A_\infty$ -algebra when  $\omega = d + \sum_{i \geq 2} m_i$ .

## 5. STRUCTURE RELATIONS

The structure relations in a special  $A_\infty$ -bialgebra  $(H, d, m_i, \Delta_j)_{i,j \geq 2}$  follow easily from the following two observations:

- (1) If  $\theta, \eta \in \mathbf{M}$ , then  $\theta \bullet \eta = 0$  whenever the projection of  $\theta$  or  $\eta$  to  $M_0 \oplus M_1$  is zero.
- (2) Each non-zero component in the projections of  $\theta$  and  $\eta$  is represented by a horizontal, vertical or zero length arrow.

By (1), each component of  $d_\omega \bullet d_\omega$  is a ‘‘transgression’’ represented by a ‘‘2-step’’ path of arrows from the horizontal axis  $M_{1,*}$  to the vertical axis  $M_{*,1}$ ; and by (2), each such 2-step path follows the edges of a (possibly degenerate) rectangle positioned with one of its vertices at  $(1, 1)$ .

Now relations involving  $d$  arise from degenerate rectangles since arrows of length zero represent components in the (co)extensions of  $d$ . Hence  $d$  interacts with the  $m_i$ ’s or the  $\Delta_j$ ’s exclusively and the relations involving  $d$  are exactly the classical quadratic relations in an  $A_\infty$ -(co)algebra.

On the other hand, relations involving the  $m_i$ ’s and  $\Delta_j$ ’s arise from non-degenerate rectangles since  $m_i$  and  $\Delta_j$  are represented by the arrows  $(i, 1) \rightarrow (1, 1)$  and  $(1, 1) \rightarrow (1, j)$ . While the two-step path  $(i, 1) \rightarrow (1, 1) \rightarrow (1, j)$  represents the (usual) composition  $\Delta_j \bullet m_i$ , the two-step path  $(i, 1) \rightarrow (i, j) \rightarrow (1, j)$  represents  $\xi^j (e^{i-2}) \bullet \zeta^i (e^{j-2})$ . Thus we obtain the relation

$$\Delta_j \bullet m_i = \xi^j (e^{i-2}) \bullet \zeta^i (e^{j-2}).$$

For example, by setting  $i = j = 2$  we obtain the classical bialgebra relation


$$\Delta_2 \bullet m_2 = \begin{bmatrix} m_2 \\ m_2 \end{bmatrix} \bullet [\Delta_2 \ \Delta_2].$$

And with  $(i, j) = (3, 2)$  we obtain

$$\Delta_2 \bullet m_3 = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} m_3 \\ m_2 (1 \otimes m_2) \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} m_2 (m_2 \otimes 1) \\ m_3 \end{bmatrix} \right\} \bullet [\Delta_2 \ \Delta_2 \ \Delta_2]$$

(see Figure 4).



Figure 4: Some low order arrows in  $\mathcal{M}$ .

We summarize the discussion above in our main theorem:

**Theorem 1.**  $(H, d, m_i, \Delta_j)_{i,j \geq 2}$  is a special  $A_\infty$ -bialgebra if  $(H, d, m_i)_{i \geq 2}$  is an  $A_\infty$ -algebra,  $(H, d, \Delta_j)_{j \geq 2}$  is an  $A_\infty$ -coalgebra and for all  $i, j \geq 2$ ,

$$\Delta_j \bullet m_i = \xi^j (e^{i-2}) \bullet \zeta^i (e^{j-2}).$$

## REFERENCES

- [1] J. F. Adams, "Infinite Loop Spaces," Annals of Mathematical Studies, **90**, Princeton University Press, Princeton, New Jersey (1978).
- [2] V.K.A.M. Gugenheim, On a Perturbation Theory for the Homology of the Loop Space, *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* **25** (1982), 197-205.
- [3] T. Kadeishvili, On the Homology Theory of Fibre Spaces, *Russian Math. Survey*, **35** (1980), 131-138.
- [4] M. Markl, S. Shnider and J. Stasheff, "Operads in Algebra, Topology and Physics," Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, **96** (2002).
- [5] S. Saneblidze and R. Umble, Diagonals on the Permutahedra, Multiplihedra and Associahedra, *J. Homology, Homotopy and Appl.*, **6** (1) (2004), 363-411.
- [6] ———, The Biderivative and  $A_\infty$ -bialgebras, *J. Homology, Homotopy and Appl.*, **7** (2) (2005), 161-177.
- [7] ———, Matrons and the Category of  $A_\infty$ -bialgebras, in preparation.
- [8] B. Shoikhet, The CROCs, Non-commutative Deformations, and (Co)associative Bialgebras, preprint, math. QA/0306143.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, MILLERSVILLE UNIVERSITY OF PENNSYLVANIA, MILLERSVILLE, PA. 17551