

Parallel Spinors on Pseudo-Riemannian $Spin^c$ Manifolds

Aziz Ikemakhen *

March 14, 2005

Abstract

We describe, by their holonomy groups, all simply connected irreducible non-locally symmetric pseudo-Riemannian $Spin^c$ manifolds which admit parallel spinors. So we generalize the Riemannian $Spin^c$ case ([11]) and the pseudo-Riemannian $Spin$ one ([1]).

Mathematics Subject Classifications: 53C50, 53C27.

Key words: holonomy groups, pseudo-Riemannian $Spin^c$ manifolds, parallel spinors.

1 Introduction

In ([11]), Moroianu described all simply connected Riemannian $Spin^c$ manifolds admitting parallel spinors. Precisely, he showed the following result:

Theorem 1 *A simply connected $Spin^c$ Riemannian manifold (M, g) admits a parallel spinor if and only if it is isometric to the Riemannian product $(M_1, g_1) \times (M_2, g_2)$ of a complete simply connected Kähler manifold (M_1, g_1) and a complete simply connected $Spin$ manifold (M_2, g_2) admitting a parallel spinor. The $Spin^c$ structure of (M, g) is then the product of the canonical $Spin^c$ structure of (M_1, g_1) and the $Spin$ structure of (M_2, g_2) .*

In ([1]), Baum and Kath characterized, by their holonomy group, all simply connected irreducible non-locally symmetric pseudo-Riemannian $Spin$ manifolds admitting parallel spinors. Precisely, they proved the following result:

Theorem 2 *Let (M, g) be a simply connected irreducible non-locally symmetric pseudo-Riemannian $Spin$ manifold of dimension $n = p + q$ and signature (p, q) . We denote by N the dimension of the space of parallel spinors on M . Then (M, g)*

*Faculté des sciences et techniques, B.P. 549, Gueliz, Marrakech, Morocco.
E-mail: ikemakhen@fstg-marrakech.ac.ma

admits a parallel spinors if and only if the holonomy group H of M is (up to conjugacy in $O(p, q)$) one in the following list :

Holonomy group	N
$SU(p', q') \subset SO(2p', 2q')$	2
$Sp(p', q') \subset SO(4p', 4q')$	$p' + q' + 1$
$G_2 \subset SO(7)$	1
$G'_{2(2)} \subset SO(4, 3)$	1
$G_2^{\mathbb{C}} \subset SO(7)$	2
$Spin(7) \subset SO(8)$	1
$Spin(4, 3) \subset SO(8, 8)$	1
$Spin(7, \mathbb{C}) \subset SO(8, 8)$	1

(table 1)

Our aim is to generalize this result for the simply connected irreducible non-locally symmetric pseudo-Riemannian $Spin^c$ manifolds. More precisely, we show that:

Theorem 3 *Let (M, g) be a connected simply connected irreducible non-locally symmetric $Spin^c$ pseudo-Riemannian manifold of dimension $n = p + q$ and signature (p, q) . Then the following conditions are equivalent*

- (i) (M, g) admits a parallel spinor,
- (ii) either (M, g) is a $Spin$ manifold which admit a parallel spinor, or (M, g) is a kähler not Ricci-flat manifold,
- (iii) the holonomy group H of (M, g) is (up to conjugacy in $O(p, q)$) one in table 1 or $H = U(p', q')$, $p = 2p'$ and $q = 2q'$.

For $H = U(p', q')$ the dimension of the space of parallel spinors on M is 1.

This theorem is a contribution to the resolution of the following problem :

(P) What are the possible holonomy groups of simply connected pseudo-Riemannian $Spin^c$ manifolds which admit parallel spinors?

Some partial answers to this problem have been given by Wang for the Riemannian $Spin$ case ([13]), by Baum and Kath for the irreducible pseudo-Riemannian $Spin$ one ([1]), by Leistner for the Lorentzian $Spin$ one ([9, 10]), by Moroianu for the Riemannian $Spin^c$ one (Theorem 1), and by author for the totally reducible pseudo-Riemannian $Spin$ one and the Lorentzian $Spin^c$ one ([6, 7]). The problem remains open even though big progress have been made because the classification of the possible holonomy groups of pseudo-Riemannian manifolds is not yet made with the exception of the irreducible case made by Berger ([3, 4]) and the case of Lorentzian manifolds made by Bérard Bergery and the author ([2]). By De Rham-Wu's splitting theorem, the problem **(P)** can be reduced to the case of the indecomposable pseudo-Riemannian manifolds (its holonomy representation do not leave any non-degenerate proper subspace). But the general classification remains extremely difficult, because some indecomposable but non irreducible manifolds exist. I.e. its holonomy representation leaves a degenerate proper subspace but its do

not leave any non-degenerate proper subspace. In this article we are content only with studying the irreducible case that is a particular case of the indecomposable one.

In paragraph 2 of this paper we define the group $Spin^c(p, q)$ and its spin representation. We also define the $Spin^c$ -structure on pseudo-Riemannian manifolds and its associated spinor bundle. In paragraph 2 we give an algebraic characterization to the pseudo-Riemannian $Spin^c$ manifolds which admit parallel spinors and we prove Theorem 3.

2 Spinor representations and $Spin^c$ - bundles

2.1 $Spin^c(p, q)$ groups

Let $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{p,q}$ be the ordinary scalar product of signature (p, q) on \mathbb{R}^m ($m = p + q$). Let $Cl_{p,q}$ be the Clifford algebra of $\mathbb{R}^{p,q} := (\mathbb{R}^m, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{p,q})$ and $\mathbb{C}l_{p,q}$ its complexification. We denote by \cdot the Clifford multiplication of $\mathbb{C}l_{p,q}$. $\mathbb{C}l_{p,q}$ contains the groups

$$\mathbb{S}^1 := \{z \in \mathbb{C}; \|z\| = 1\}$$

and

$$Spin(p, q) := \{X_1 \cdot \dots \cdot X_{2k}; \langle X_i, X_i \rangle_{p,q} = \pm 1; k \geq 0\}.$$

Since $\mathbb{S}^1 \cap Spin(p, q) = \{-1, 1\}$, we define the group $Spin^c(p, q)$ by

$$Spin^c(p, q) = Spin(p, q) \cdot \mathbb{S}^1 = Spin(p, q) \times_{\mathbb{Z}_2} \mathbb{S}^1.$$

Consequently, the elements of $Spin^c(p, q)$ are the classes $[g, z]$ of pairs $(g, z) \in Spin(p, q) \times \mathbb{S}^1$, under the equivalence relation $(g, z) \sim (-g, -z)$. The following suites are exact (see [8]):

$$1 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_2 \rightarrow Spin(p, q) \xrightarrow{\lambda} SO(p, q) \rightarrow 1$$

$$1 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_2 \rightarrow Spin^c(p, q) \xrightarrow{\xi} SO(p, q) \times \mathbb{S}^1 \rightarrow 1,$$

where $\lambda(g)(x) = g \cdot x \cdot g^{-1}$ for $x \in \mathbb{R}^m$ and $\xi([g, z]) = (\lambda(g), z^2)$.

Let $(e_i)_{1 \leq i \leq m}$ be an orthonormal basis of $\mathbb{R}^{p,q}$ ($\langle e_i, e_j \rangle = \varepsilon_i \delta_{ij}$, $\varepsilon_i = -1$ for $1 \leq i \leq p$ and $\varepsilon_i = +1$ for $1 + p \leq i \leq m$). The Lie algebras of $Spin(p, q)$ and $Spin^c(p, q)$ are respectively

$$spin(p, q) := \{e_i \cdot e_j; 1 \leq i < j \leq m\}$$

and

$$spin^c(p, q) := spin(p, q) \oplus \mathfrak{i}\mathbb{R}.$$

The derivative of ξ is a Lie algebra isomorphism and it is given by

$$\xi_*(e_i \cdot e_j, \mathfrak{i}t) = (\alpha_*(e_i \cdot e_j), \mathfrak{i}t) = (2E_{ij}, 2\mathfrak{i}t),$$

where $E_{ij} = -\varepsilon_j D_{ij} + \varepsilon_i D_{ji}$ and D_{ij} is the standard basis of $gl(m, \mathbb{R})$ with the (i, j) -component equal 1 and all other zero.

2.2 $Spin^c$ representations

Let $U = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & i \\ i & 0 \end{pmatrix}$, $V = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$, $E = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$, $T = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$, and $\mathbb{C}(2^n)$ the complex algebra consisting of $2^n \times 2^n$ -matrices. It is well known that the Clifford algebra $\mathbb{C}l_{p,q}$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{C}(2^n)$ if $m = p+q$ is even and to $\mathbb{C}(2^n) \oplus \mathbb{C}(2^n)$ if m is odd. Some natural isomorphisms are defined like follows (see [1]):

In case $m = 2n$ is even, we define $\Phi_{p,q} : \mathbb{C}l_{p,q} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}(2^n)$ by

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_{p,q}(e_{2j-1}) &= \tau_{2j-1} E \otimes \dots \otimes E \otimes U \otimes T \otimes \dots \otimes T \\ \Phi_{p,q}(e_{2j}) &= \tau_{2j} E \otimes \dots \otimes E \otimes V \otimes \underbrace{T \otimes \dots \otimes T}_{(j-1)\text{-times}}, \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

where $\tau_j = i$ if $\varepsilon_j = -1$ and $\tau_j = 1$ if $\varepsilon_j = 1$.

In case $m = 2n + 1$ is odd, $\Phi_{p,q} : \mathbb{C}l_{p,q} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}(2^n) \oplus \mathbb{C}(2^n)$ is defined by

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_{p,q}(e_k) &= (\Phi_{p,q-1}(e_k), \Phi_{p,q-1}(e_k)), \quad k = 1, \dots, m-1; \\ \Phi_{p,q}(e_m) &= (iT \otimes \dots \otimes T, -iT \otimes \dots \otimes T). \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

This yields representations of the spin group $Spin(p, q)$ in case m even by restriction and in case m odd by restriction and projection onto the first component. The module space of $Spin(p, q)$ -representation is $\Delta_{p,q} = \mathbb{C}^{2^n}$. The Clifford multiplication is defined by

$$X \cdot u := \Phi_{p,q}(X)(u) \quad \text{for } X \in \mathbb{C}^m \text{ and } u \in \Delta_{p,q}. \quad (3)$$

A usual basis of $\Delta_{p,q}$ is the following : $u(\nu_n, \dots, \nu_1) := u(\nu_n) \otimes \dots \otimes u(\nu_1)$; $\nu_j = \pm 1$, where

$$u(1) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad u(-1) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{C}^2.$$

The spin representation of the group $Spin(p, q)$ extends to a $Spin^c(p, q)$ -representation by :

$$\Phi_{p,q}([g, z])(v) = z \Phi_{p,q}(g)(v), \quad (4)$$

for $v \in \Delta_{p,q}$ and $[g, z] \in Spin^c(p, q)$. Therefore $\Delta_{p,q}$ becomes the module space of $Spin^c(p, q)$ -representation (see [5]).

There exists a hermitian inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_\Delta$ on the spinor module $\Delta_{p,q}$ defined by

$$\langle v, w \rangle_\Delta := i^{\frac{p(p-1)}{2}} (e_1 \cdot \dots \cdot e_p \cdot v, w); \quad \text{for } v, w \in \Delta_{p,q},$$

where $(z, z') = \sum_{i=1}^{2^n} z_i \cdot \overline{z'_i}$ is the standard hermitian product on \mathbb{C}^{2^n} .

$\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_\Delta$ satisfies the following properties :

$$\langle X \cdot v, w \rangle_\Delta = (-1)^{p+1} \langle v, X \cdot w \rangle_\Delta, \quad (5)$$

for $X \in \mathbb{C}^m$.

2.3 Spinor bundles

Let (M, g) be a connected pseudo- Riemannian manifold of signature (p, q) . And let $P_{SO(p,q)}$ denote the bundle of oriented positively frames on M .

Definition 1 A structure $Spin^c$ on (M, g) is the data of a \mathbb{S}^1 -principal bundle $P_{\mathbb{S}^1}$ over M and a ξ -reduction $(P_{Spin^c(p,q)}, \Lambda)$ of the product $(SO(p, q) \times \mathbb{S}^1)$ -principal bundle $P_{SO(p,q)} \tilde{\times} P_{\mathbb{S}^1}$. i.e. $\Lambda : P_{Spin^c(p,q)} \rightarrow (P_{SO(p,q)} \tilde{\times} P_{\mathbb{S}^1})$ is a 2-fold covering verifying:
i) $P_{Spin^c(p,q)}$ is a $Spin^c(p, q)$ -principal bundle over M ,
ii) $\forall u \in P_{Spin^c(p,q)}, \forall a \in Spin(p, q)$,

$$\Lambda(ua) = \Lambda(a)\xi(a).$$

A structure $Spin$ on (M, g) is the data of a λ -reduction $P_{Spin(p,q)}$ of $P_{SO(p,q)}$.

We note that (M, g) carries a $Spin^c$ -structure if and only if the second Stiefl-Whitney class of M , $w_2(M) \in H^2(M, \mathbb{Z})$ is the \mathbb{Z}_2 reduction of an integral class $z \in H^2(M, \mathbb{Z}_2)$ ([8], [5]).

Example 1

Every pseudo-Riemannian $Spin$ manifold is canonically a $Spin^c$ manifold. The $Spin^c$ - manifold is obtained as

$$P_{Spin^c(p,q)} = P_{Spin(p,q)} \times_{\mathbb{Z}_2} \mathbb{S}^1,$$

where $P_{Spin(p,q)}$ is the Spin-bundle and \mathbb{Z}_2 acts diagonally by $(-1, -1)$.

Example 2

Any irreducible pseudo-Riemannian not Ricci-flat kähler manifold is canonically a $Spin^c$ manifold.

Indeed The holonomy group H of (M, g) is $U(p', q')$, where $(p, q) = (2p', 2q')$ is the signature of (M, g) . Then $P_{SO(p,q)}$ is reduced to the holonomy $U(p', q')$ -principal bundle $P_{U(p',q')}$. Moreover there exists an $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{p,q}$ -orthogonal almost complex structure J which we can imbed $U(p', q')$ in $SO(p, q)$ by

$$\begin{aligned} i : U(p', q') & \hookrightarrow SO(p, q) \\ A + \mathbf{i}B = ((a_{kl})_{1 \leq k, l \leq m} + \mathbf{i}(b_{kl})_{1 \leq k, l \leq m}) & \rightarrow \left(\left(\begin{array}{cc} a_{kl} & b_{kl} \\ -b_{kl} & a_{kl} \end{array} \right) \right)_{1 \leq k, l \leq m}, \end{aligned}$$

and $(e_k, Je_k)_{k=1, \dots, p'+q'}$ is an orthogonal basis of $\mathbb{R}^{p,q}$.

We consider the homomorphism

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha : U(p', q') & \hookrightarrow SO(p, q) \times \mathbb{S}^1 \\ C & \rightarrow (i(C), \det(C)) \end{aligned}$$

The proper values of every element $C \in U(p', q')$ is in \mathbb{S}^1 and

$$\cos 2\theta + \varepsilon_k \sin 2\theta \ e_k \cdot Je_k = \varepsilon_k (\cos \theta \ e_k + \sin \theta \ Je_k) \cdot (\sin \theta \ e_k - \cos \theta \ Je_k),$$

where $\varepsilon_k = \langle e_k, e_k \rangle_{p,q}$. Then the following homomorphism is well defined :

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\alpha} : U(p', q') &\hookrightarrow Spin^c(p, q) \\ C &\rightarrow \prod_{k=1}^m (\cos 2\theta_k + \varepsilon_k \sin 2\theta_k \ e_k \cdot J e_k) \times e^{\frac{i}{2} \sum \theta_k}, \end{aligned}$$

where $e^{i\theta_k}$, $k = 1, \dots, m$, are the proper values of C . And it is easy to verify that the following diagram commutes

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & Spin^c(p, q) & \\ & \nearrow \tilde{\alpha} & \downarrow \xi \\ U(p', q') & \xrightarrow{\alpha} & SO(p, q). \end{array} \quad (6)$$

Consequently,

$$P_{Spin^c(p,q)} = P_{U(p',q')} \times_{\tilde{\alpha}} Spin^c(p, q).$$

Now, let denote by $S := P_{Spin(p,q)} \times_{\Phi_{p,q}} \Delta_{p,q}$ the spinor bundle associated to the $Spin^c$ -structure $P_{Spin(p,q)}$. The Clifford multiplication given by (3) defines a Clifford multiplication on S :

$$\begin{aligned} TM \otimes S &= (P_{Spin(p,q)} \times_{\Phi_{p,q}} \mathbb{R}^m) \otimes (P_{Spin(p,q)} \times_{\Phi_{p,q}} \Delta_{p,q}^{\pm}) \rightarrow S \\ (X \otimes \psi) &= [q, x] \otimes [q, v] \rightarrow [q, x \cdot v] =: X \cdot \psi. \end{aligned}$$

Since the scalar product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\Delta}$ is $Spin_0^c(p, q)$ -invariant, it defines a scalar product on S by :

$$\langle \psi, \psi_1 \rangle_{\Delta} = \langle v, v_1 \rangle_{\Delta}, \text{ for } \psi = [q, v] \text{ and } \psi_1 = [q, v_1] \in \Gamma(S).$$

According to (5), it is then easy to verify that

$$\langle X \cdot \psi, \psi_1 \rangle_{\Delta} = (-1)^{p+1} \langle \psi, X \cdot \psi_1 \rangle_{\Delta}, \quad (7)$$

for $X \in \Gamma(M)$ and $\psi, \psi_1 \in \Gamma(S)$.

Now, as in the Riemannian case (see [5]), if (M, g) is a $Spin^c$ pseudo- Riemannian manifold, every connection form $A : TP_{\mathbb{S}^1} \rightarrow i\mathbb{R}$ on the \mathbb{S}^1 - bundle $P_{\mathbb{S}^1}$ defines (together with the Levi-Civita D of (M, g)) a covariant derivative ∇^A on the spinor bundle S , called the spinor derivative associated to $(M, g, S, P_{\mathbb{S}^1}, A)$.

Henceforth, a $Spin^c$ pseudo- Riemannian manifold will be the data of a set $(M, g, S, P_{\mathbb{S}^1}, A)$, where (M, g) is an oriented connected pseudo- Riemannian manifold, S is a $Spin^c$ structure, $P_{\mathbb{S}^1}$ is the \mathbb{S}^1 -principal bundle over M and A is a connection form on $P_{\mathbb{S}^1}$. Using (7) and by the same proof in the Riemannian case (see [5]), we conclude that

Proposition 1 $\forall X, Y \in \Gamma(M)$ and $\forall \psi, \psi_1 \in \Gamma(S)$,

$$\nabla_Y^A(X \cdot \psi) = X \cdot \nabla_Y^A(\psi) + D_Y X \cdot \psi. \quad (8)$$

$$X \langle \psi, \psi_1 \rangle_{\Delta} = \langle \nabla_X^A \psi, \psi_1 \rangle_{\Delta} + \langle \psi, \nabla_X^A \psi_1 \rangle_{\Delta}. \quad (9)$$

Let us denoted by $F_A := iw$ the curvature form of A , seen as an imaginary-valued 2-form on M , by R and Ric respectively the curvature and the Ricci tensors of (M, g) and by R^A the curvature tensor of ∇^A . Like Riemannian case (see [5]), if we put $A(X) := X \lrcorner \omega$ we have

Proposition 2 *For $q = (e_1, \dots, e_m)$ a local section of $P_{Spin(p,q)}$, $\forall X, Y \in \Gamma(M)$ and $\forall \psi \in \Gamma(S)$,*

$$R^A(X, Y)\psi = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq m} \varepsilon_i \varepsilon_j g(R(X, Y)e_i, e_j) e_i \cdot e_j \cdot \psi + i \frac{1}{2} \omega(X, Y) \cdot \psi, \quad (10)$$

and

$$\sum_{1 \leq i \leq m} \varepsilon_i R^A(X, e_i)\psi = -\frac{1}{2} Ric(X) \cdot \psi + i \frac{1}{2} A(X) \cdot \psi. \quad (11)$$

Remark 1 *According to Example 1, if (M, g) is Spin then it is $Spin^c$. Moreover, the auxiliary bundle $P_{\mathbb{S}^1}$ is trivial and then there exists a global section $\sigma : M \rightarrow P_{\mathbb{S}^1}$. We choose the connection defined by A to be flat, and we denote ∇^A by ∇ . Conversely, if the auxiliary bundle $P_{\mathbb{S}^1}$ of a $Spin^c$ -structure is trivial, it is canonically identified with a Spin-structure. Moreover, if the connection A is flat, by this identification, ∇^A corresponds to the covariant derivative on the spinor bundle.*

3 parallel Spinors

3.1 algebraic characterization

It is well know that there exists a bijection between the space \mathcal{PS} of all parallel spinors on (M, g) and the space

$$V_{\tilde{H}} = \{v \in \Delta_{p,q}; \Phi_{p,q}(\tilde{H})(v) := \tilde{H} \cdot v = v\}$$

of all fixed spinors of $\Delta_{p,q}$ with respect to the holonomy group \tilde{H} of the connection ∇^A ([1]). If (M, g) is supposed simply connected, then \mathcal{PS} is in bijection with

$$V_{\mathcal{H}} = \{v \in \Delta_{p,q}; \tilde{\mathcal{H}} \cdot v = 0\},$$

where $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}$ is the Lie algebra of \tilde{H} . Moreover, provided with the connection led by the Levi-Civita connection D and the form connection A the holonomy group of $P \times P_{\mathbb{S}^1}$ is $\xi(\tilde{H}) \subset H \times H_A$, where H is the holonomy group of (M, g) and H_A the one of A (see propositions 6.1 and 6.3 [12]). $H_A = \{1\}$ if A is flat and $H_A = \mathbb{S}^1$ otherwise. With the notations introduced in subsection 2.1, for $(B, it) \in \xi_*(\tilde{\mathcal{H}})$, where $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}$ is the Lie algebra of \tilde{H} we have

$$\xi_*^{-1}(B, it) = (\lambda_*^{-1}(B), \frac{1}{2}it).$$

Now if we differentiate the relation (4) at $[1,1]$, we get :

$$\phi_{p,q}(C, it)(v) = itv + \phi_{p,q}(C)(v),$$

for $(C, it) \in \text{spin}^c(p, q)$ and $v \in \Delta_{p,q}$. Then

$$\phi_{p,q}(\xi_*^{-1}(B, it))(v) = \frac{1}{2}itv + \phi_{p,q}(\lambda_*^{-1}(B))(v).$$

We conclude that

Proposition 3 (M, g) admits a non trivial parallel spinor if and only if there exists $0 \neq v \in \Delta_{p,q}$ such that

$$B \cdot v := \phi_{p,q}(\lambda_*^{-1}(B))(v) = -\frac{1}{2}itv, \quad \forall (B, it) \in \xi_*(\tilde{\mathcal{H}}) \subset \mathcal{H} \oplus \mathcal{H}_A, \quad (12)$$

where $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}$, \mathcal{H} and \mathcal{H}_A are respectively the Lie algebras of \tilde{H} , H and H_A .

3.2 Proof of Theorem 3

Let $(M, g, S, P_{\mathbb{S}^1}, A)$ be a Spin^c structure where (M, g) is a connected simply connected irreducible non-locally symmetric pseudo-Riemannian manifold of dimension $n = p + q$ and signature (p, q) , which admits a non trivial parallel spinor ψ .

We consider the two distributions T and E defined by

$$T_x := \{X \in T_x M; X \cdot \psi = 0\},$$

$$E_x = \{X \in T_x M; \exists Y \in T_x M; X \cdot \psi = \mathbf{i}Y \cdot \psi\},$$

for $x \in M$. Since ψ is parallel, By (8), T and E are parallel. Since T is isotropic and the manifold (M, g) is supposed irreducible, by the holonomy principle, we have

$$T = 0. \quad (13)$$

Now denote by F the image of the Ricci tensor:

$$F_x := \{\text{Ric}(X); X \in T_x M\}.$$

Since ψ is parallel, (11) shows that

$$\text{Ric}(X) \cdot \psi = \mathbf{i}A(X) \cdot \psi. \quad (14)$$

Then $F \subset E$. Consequently, from (13), we obtain

$$E^\perp \subset F^\perp = \{Y \in TM; \text{Ric}(Y) = 0\} = \{Y \in TM; A(Y) = 0\}.$$

(M, g) is supposed irreducible, by the holonomy principle, $E = 0$ or $E = TM$.

If $E = 0$, then $F = 0$. This gives $\text{Ric} = 0$ and $A = 0$. According to Remark 1,

(M, g) is Spin and ψ is a parallel spinor on M .

If $E = TM$, we have a $(1, 1)$ -tensor J definite by

$$X \cdot \psi = \mathbf{i}J(X) \cdot \psi, \quad \text{where } X \in TM. \quad (15)$$

Lemma 1 For $X, Y \in T_x M$, if $(X + iY) \cdot \psi = 0$ then $g(X, Y) = 0$ and $g(X, X) = g(Y, Y)$.

Proof of Lemma 1. If we denote by g^c the complex form of g then

$$\begin{aligned} (X + iY) \cdot (X + iY) \cdot \psi &= g^c(X + iY, X + iY)\psi \\ &= (g(X, X) - g(Y, Y) + 2ig(X, Y))\psi = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Since ψ is non trivial we obtain the lemma.

Lemma 1 implies that J defines an orthogonal almost complex structure on M . Moreover, from (8) and (15) we obtain J is parallel, since ψ is parallel. In consequence, (M, g) is a kähler manifold.

Now if (M, g) is a kähler manifold then there exists a canonical $Spin^c$ structure of (M, g) . And from Remark 1, the following conditions are equivalent

- (a) (M, g) is not $Spin$,
- (b) $H_A = \mathbb{S}^1$,
- (c) (M, g) is not Ricci-flat,
- (d) $H = U(p', q')$.

Then the equivalence between (i) and (ii) of Theorem 3 are proved. And from Theorem 2, we have the equivalence between (ii) and (iii). To finish the proof of Theorem 3, it remains to show for $H = U(p', q')$ that the dimension of parallel spinors on M is 1. For this, we remark that if we reduce the principle bundles $P_{Spin^c(p,q)}$ and $P_{SO(p,q)} \tilde{\times} P_{\mathbb{S}^1}$ to their holonomy bundles the diagram (6) becomes

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & & \tilde{H} \\ & \nearrow \tilde{\alpha} & \downarrow \xi \\ U(p', q') & \xrightarrow{\alpha} & \alpha(U(p', q')), \end{array} \quad (16)$$

and the holonomy group of $P_{SO(p,q)} \tilde{\times} P_{\mathbb{S}^1}$ is exactly $\alpha(U(p', q')) = \xi(\tilde{H})$. However $U(p', q') = SU(p', q') \times U_{\mathbb{S}^1}$, where

$$U_{\mathbb{S}^1} = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} \lambda & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & \ddots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & 0 \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}; \lambda \in \mathbb{S}^1 \right\},$$

$u(p', q') = su(p', q') \oplus u_{\mathbb{S}^1}$ where $u_{\mathbb{S}^1} \simeq \mathfrak{i}\mathbb{R}$ is the Lie algebra of $U_{\mathbb{S}^1}$. If we consider the imbedding

$$\begin{aligned} i : u(p', q') &\hookrightarrow so(2p', 2q') \\ A + \mathfrak{i}B = ((a_{kl})_{1 \leq k, l \leq n} + \mathfrak{i}(b_{kl})_{1 \leq k, l \leq n}) &\rightarrow \left(\begin{pmatrix} a_{kl} & b_{kl} \\ -b_{kl} & a_{kl} \end{pmatrix} \right)_{1 \leq k, l \leq n} \end{aligned}$$

$\alpha_*(u_{\mathbb{S}^1})$ is generated by (E_{12}, i) . Then

$$\begin{aligned}\xi_*(\tilde{\mathcal{H}}) = \alpha_*(u(p', q')) &= \alpha_*(su(p', q')) \oplus \alpha_*(u_{\mathbb{S}^1}) \\ &= i(su(p', q')) \oplus (E_{12}, i)\mathbb{R}\end{aligned}$$

From [1], $u^+ := u(1, \dots, 1)$ and $u^- := u(-1, \dots, -1)$ generate the space $V_{su(p', q')} = \{v \in \Delta_{p, q}; su(p', q') \cdot v = 0\}$. Moreover, by (1)

$$E_{12} \cdot u^+ = \frac{1}{2}e_1 \cdot e_2 \cdot u^+ = \frac{1}{2}iu^+ \quad \text{and} \quad E_{12} \cdot u^- = -\frac{1}{2}iu^-.$$

Then u^- belongs to the space

$$V_{u(p', q')} = \{v \in \Delta_{p, q}; B \cdot v + \frac{1}{2}itv = 0, \forall (B, it) \in \xi_*(\tilde{\mathcal{H}})\},$$

and it is easy to verify that u^- generates $V_{u(p', q')}$. This completes the proof of Theorem 3.

Remark 2 *By Theorem 3, we deduce the results of Moroianau made in the riemannian case (see [11]).*

References

- [1] Baum, H. and Kath, I.: *Parallel spinors and holonomy groups on pseudo-Riemannian spin manifolds*. Ann. Glog. Anal. Geom., 17:1-17 (1999).
- [2] Bérard Bergery, L. and Ikemakhen, A. : *On the Holonomy of Lorentzian Manifolds*, Proceeding of Sympo. in Pure Math., V **54** (1993), Part2.
- [3] Berger, M. : *Sur les groupes d'holonomie des variétés à connexion affine et des variétés riemanniennes*, Bull. Soc. Math. France 83 (1955), 279-330.
- [4] Berger, M. : *Les espaces symtriques non compacts*, Ann. Sci. Ecole Norm. Sup. 74 (1957), 85-177.
- [5] Friedrich, Th.: *Dirac Operators in Riemannian Geometry*. Graduate Studies in Mathematics. Volume 25. AMS Providence, Rhode Island 2000.
- [6] Ikemakhen, A.: *Groupes d'holonomie et spineurs paralleles sur les variétés pseudo-Riemanniennes complètement réductibles*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, Ser. I 339 (2004) 203-208.
- [7] Ikemakhen, A.: *Parallel Spinors on Lorentzian Spin^c Manifolds*, Préprint Université Cadi-Ayyad (2005).
- [8] Lawson, H.B. and Michelsohn, M.-L.: *Spin geometry* Princeton Univ.Press 1989.

- [9] Leistner, Th.: *Holonomy and Parallel Spinors in Lorentzian Geometry*, PhD thesis, Humboldt-University of Berlin, (2003).
- [10] Leistner, Th.: *Towards a classification of Lorentzian holonomy groups. Part II: Semisimple, non-simple weak-Berger algebras*, arXiv:math.DG/0309274 v1, (2003).
- [11] Moroianu, A.: *Parallel and Killing Spinors on $Spin^c$ Manifolds*. Commun. Math. Phys. 187, 417-427 (1997).
- [12] Kobayashi, S. and Nomizu, K. : *Foundations of differentiable geometry*, Vol.1, Interscience, Wiley, New York (1963).
- [13] Wang, M.Y.: *Parallel spinors and parallel forms*, Ann. Global Anal. Geom. 7 (1989) 1, 59-68.