

Full description of totally geodesic unit vector fields on 2-dimensional Riemannian manifolds.

Yampolsky A.

Abstract

We give a full geometrical description of local totally geodesic unit vector field on Riemannian 2-manifold, considering the field as a local imbedding of the manifold into its unit tangent bundle with the Sasaki metric.

Keywords: Sasaki metric, vector field, totally geodesic submanifolds.

AMS subject class: Primary 54C40,14E20; Secondary 46E25, 20C20

Introduction

Let (M, g) be an $(n + 1)$ – dimensional Riemannian manifold with metric g . A vector field ξ on it is called *holonomic* if ξ is a field of normals of some family of regular hypersurfaces in M and *non-holonomic* otherwise. The foundation of the classical geometry of unit vector fields was proposed by A.Voss at the end of the nineteenth century. The theory includes the *Gaussian* and *the mean curvature* of a vector field and their generalizations (see [1] for details).

Recently, the geometry of vector fields has been considered from another point of view. Let T_1M be a unit tangent bundle of M endowed with the Sasaki metric [15]. If ξ is a unit vector field on M , then one may consider ξ as a mapping $\xi : M \rightarrow T_1M$. The image $\xi(M)$ is a submanifold in T_1M with metric induced from T_1M and one may apply the methods from the study of the geometry of submanifolds to determine geometrical characteristics of a unit vector field. A unit vector field ξ is said to be *minimal* if $\xi(M)$ is a minimal submanifold in T_1M . A unit vector field on S^3 tangent to the fibers of the Hopf fibration $S^3 \xrightarrow{S^1} S^2$ is a unique unit vector field with globally minimal volume [11]. This result fails in higher dimensions. A lower volume is achieved by a vector field with one singular point, namely the inverse image under stereographic projection inverse image of a parallel vector field on E^n [14]. The lowest volume is reached for the *North-South* vector field with two singular points [6].

A local approach to minimality of unit vector fields was developed in [7]. A number of examples of locally minimal unit vector fields was found [2 – 4,

7 – 10, 12 – 14, 16 – 18] on various manifolds. In [19] the author presented an *explicit expression* for the second fundamental form of $\xi(M)$ and found some examples of vector fields with constant mean curvature. This expression is the key to solving a problem about *totally geodesic vector fields* on a given Riemannian manifold. Originally, the problem of a full description of *all totally geodesic submanifolds* in the tangent (sphere) bundle of spaces of constant curvature was posed by A.Borisenko in [5]. The totally geodesic vector fields form a special class of such submanifolds. In [20] this problem was solved in the case of 2-manifolds of constant curvature. In [22] an example of a totally geodesic unit vector field was found on a surface of revolution with non-constant but sign-preserving Gaussian curvature.

In this paper, we completely determine the Riemannian 2-manifolds which admit a unit vector field ξ such that $\xi(M)$ is a totally geodesic submanifold in T_1M . Moreover, we explicitly determine the vector field. Under some restrictions, we find an isometric immersion of the metric into Euclidean 3-space which gives a surface with the necessary properties.

1 The main result

Let ξ be a unit vector field on a Riemannian manifold (M^n, g) . Then ξ can be considered as a mapping $\xi : M^n \rightarrow T_1M^n$. In this way one can use geometrical properties of the submanifold $\xi(M^n)$ to determine the geometrical characteristics of the vector field.

Definition 1.1 *A unit vector field on Riemannian manifold M^n is said to be totally geodesic, if the submanifold $\xi(M^n) \subset T_1M^n$ is totally geodesic in the unit tangent bundle with the Sasaki metric.*

Definition 1.2 *A point $q \in M^n$ is said to be stationary for the vector field ξ if $\nabla_X \xi|_q = 0$ for all $X \in T_qM^n$.*

If stationary points fills a domain $D \subset M^n$, then locally $M^n = M^{n-k} \times E^k$, where E^k is a Euclidean factor of dimension $k \geq 1$. In the case $n = 2$, the manifold is then flat in D . If the manifold is of sign-preserving Gaussian curvature, then we can always restrict our considerations to the domain with no stationary points of a given unit vector field. The main result of the paper is the following theorem.

Theorem 1.1 *Let M^2 be a Riemannian manifold with sign-preserving Gaussian curvature K . Then, on some open subset U of M , there exists a unit totally geodesic vector field ξ if and only if*

(a) *the metric g on U is locally of the form*

$$ds^2 = du^2 + \sin^2 \alpha(u) dv^2,$$

where $\alpha(u)$ solves the differential equation $\frac{d\alpha}{du} = 1 - \frac{\alpha + 1}{\cos \alpha}$;

(b) the totally geodesic unit vector field ξ is of the form

$$\xi = \cos(av + \omega_0) \partial_u + \frac{\sin(av + \omega_0)}{\sin \alpha(u)} \partial_v,$$

where $a, \omega_0 = \text{const.}$

Remark. The Gaussian curvature K of the metric is

$$K = \frac{d\alpha}{du}. \quad (1)$$

Therefore, $\alpha(u)$ is the total curvature of the manifold along the meridian of the metric. The vector field is parallel along meridians and bends along parallels with constant angle speed a with respect to the coordinate frame.

Proof. Let ξ be a given unit vector field on Riemannian manifold M^n . For dimension reasons, the kernel of the linear operator $\nabla_X \xi : TM^n \rightarrow \xi^\perp$ is not empty. Therefore, there is a non-zero vector field e_0 such that $\nabla_{e_0} \xi = 0$. In the case $n = 2$, the field e_0 can be found explicitly. Denote by η a unit vector field on M^2 which is orthogonal to ξ . Set

$$\nabla_\xi \xi = k \eta, \quad \nabla_\eta \eta = \varkappa \xi,$$

where k and \varkappa are the signed geodesic curvatures of the integral trajectories of the fields ξ and η respectively. Introduce an orthonormal frame

$$e_0 = \frac{\varkappa}{\lambda} \xi + \frac{k}{\lambda} \eta, \quad e_1 = \frac{k}{\lambda} \xi - \frac{\varkappa}{\lambda} \eta, \quad \lambda = \sqrt{k^2 + \varkappa^2}.$$

The fields e_0 and e_1 are correctly defined on an open subset $U \subset M^2$ where the field ξ has no stationary points, i.e., points where $\lambda = 0$. Restrict ourselves to this open part. It is elementary to check that

$$\nabla_{e_0} \xi = 0, \quad \nabla_{e_1} \xi = \lambda \eta. \quad (2)$$

Denote by ω the angle function between ξ and e_0 . Then

$$k = \lambda \sin \omega, \quad \varkappa = \lambda \cos \omega \quad (3)$$

and we can set

$$\begin{aligned} \xi &= \cos \omega e_0 + \sin \omega e_1, \\ \eta &= \sin \omega e_0 - \cos \omega e_1. \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

Denote by μ and σ the *signed* geodesic curvatures of the integral curves of the fields e_0 and e_1 respectively. Then

$$\nabla_{e_0} e_0 = \mu e_1, \quad \nabla_{e_1} e_1 = \sigma e_0.$$

In these terms, the second fundamental form of the submanifold $\xi(M) \subset T_1M$ can be expressed as [20]

$$\Omega = \begin{bmatrix} -\mu \frac{\lambda}{\sqrt{1+\lambda^2}} & \frac{1}{2} \left(\sigma \lambda + \frac{1-\lambda^2}{1+\lambda^2} e_0(\lambda) \right) \\ \frac{1}{2} \left(\sigma \lambda + \frac{1-\lambda^2}{1+\lambda^2} e_0(\lambda) \right) & e_1 \left(\frac{\lambda}{\sqrt{1+\lambda^2}} \right) \end{bmatrix}. \quad (5)$$

Set

$$\cos(\alpha/2) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1+\lambda^2}}.$$

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\lambda}{\sqrt{1+\lambda^2}} &= \sin(\alpha/2), & \frac{1-\lambda^2}{1+\lambda^2} &= \cos \alpha, \\ e_0(\lambda) &= \frac{e_0(\alpha)}{2 \cos^2(\alpha/2)}, & e_1 \left(\frac{\lambda}{\sqrt{1+\lambda^2}} \right) &= \frac{1}{2} \cos(\alpha/2) e_1(\alpha). \end{aligned}$$

After these simplifications

$$\Omega = \frac{1}{2} \begin{bmatrix} -2\mu \sin(\alpha/2) & \frac{\sigma \sin \alpha + e_0(\alpha) \cos \alpha}{2 \cos^2(\alpha/2)} \\ \frac{\sigma \sin \alpha + e_0(\alpha) \cos \alpha}{2 \cos^2(\alpha/2)} & \cos(\alpha/2) e_1(\alpha) \end{bmatrix}.$$

Set $\Omega \equiv 0$. Then $\mu \equiv 0$, since $\sin(\alpha/2) \equiv 0$ implies $\lambda \equiv 0$, which contradicts the hypothesis. Therefore, a if totally geodesic vector field exists, then the *integral trajectories of the field e_0 are geodesics*.

Since $\cos(\alpha/2) \neq 0$, then

$$e_1(\alpha) \equiv 0. \quad (6)$$

Introduce a local semi-geodesic coordinate system (u, v) such that

$$\partial_u = e_0, \quad \partial_v = f(u, v) e_1,$$

where $f(u, v)$ is some non-zero function. Then the line element of M^2 can be written as

$$ds^2 = du^2 + f^2 dv^2$$

The condition (6) implies $\partial_v \alpha = 0$, which means that $\alpha = \alpha(u)$.

Consider now the last condition

$$\sigma \sin \alpha + e_0(\alpha) \cos \alpha = 0.$$

If $\cos \alpha \equiv 0$, then $\sin \alpha \equiv 1$ and hence $\sigma \equiv 0$. This means that e_0 is a parallel vector field on M^2 and hence $K = 0$ again. Set

$$\sigma \tan \alpha + e_0(\alpha) = 0.$$

With respect to the chosen semi-geodesic coordinate system, $\sigma = -\partial_u f/f$ and we come to the following relation

$$\frac{\partial_u f}{f} = \cot \alpha \partial_u \alpha.$$

Because of (6), we have $\alpha = \alpha(u)$ and the equation above has an evident solution

$$f(u, v) = C(v) \sin \alpha,$$

where $C(v) \neq 0$ is a constant of integration. Making a v - parameter change one can always set $C(v) \equiv 1$. Therefore, the line element of a 2-manifold M which admits a totally geodesic vector unit field is necessarily of the form

$$ds^2 = du^2 + \sin^2 \alpha(u) dv^2. \quad (7)$$

Turn now to the vector field. A direct computation yields

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_{e_0} \xi &= \nabla_{e_0} (\cos \omega e_0 + \sin \omega e_1) = (-e_0(\omega) - \mu) \eta, \\ \nabla_{e_1} \xi &= \nabla_{e_1} (\cos \omega e_0 + \sin \omega e_1) = (-e_1(\omega) + \sigma) \eta. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\mu = 0$ and $\nabla_{e_0} \xi = 0$, we see that $\partial_u \omega = 0$ and hence $\omega = \omega(v)$. The second equality means, that

$$-e_1(\omega) + \sigma = \tan(\alpha/2).$$

With respect to a chosen coordinate system, we have

$$\sigma = -\cot \alpha \partial_u \alpha$$

and hence

$$\partial_v \omega = \sin \alpha (\sigma - \tan(\alpha/2)) = -\cos \alpha \partial_u \alpha - 2 \sin^2(\alpha/2)$$

The right hand side does not depend on the v - parameter and therefore $\partial_{vv}^2 \omega = 0$ which means that

$$\omega = av + \omega_0, \quad (a, \omega_0 = \text{const}).$$

As a consequence, we come to the following differential equation for the function $\alpha(u)$:

$$\cos \alpha \partial_u \alpha + 2 \sin^2(\alpha/2) = -a$$

or equivalently

$$\frac{d\alpha}{du} = 1 - \frac{a+1}{\cos \alpha}. \quad (8)$$

The proof is complete. ■

Remark. A direct computation shows that if α is a solution of (8), then Gaussian curvature of the metric (7) takes the form (1). Since it is supposed that K is sign-preserving, the relation (1) allows to choose α as a new parameter on u -curves. With respect to the parameter α we have

$$du = \frac{d\alpha}{K} = -\frac{\cos \alpha}{a + 1 - \cos \alpha} d\alpha$$

and the line element (7) takes the form

$$ds^2 = \left(\frac{\cos \alpha}{a + 1 - \cos \alpha} \right)^2 d\alpha^2 + \sin^2 \alpha dv^2. \quad (9)$$

Remark. If ξ is a unit vector field on the Riemannian manifold M^n , then the induced metric on $\xi(M^n)$ is $d\tilde{s}^2 = g_{ik} du^i du^k + \langle \nabla_i \xi, \nabla_k \xi \rangle du^i du^k$. If ξ is a totally geodesic vector field on M^2 , then the metric of M^2 has the standard form (7) and $\nabla_{\partial_u} \xi = \nabla_{e_0} \xi = 0$, $\nabla_{\partial_v} \xi = \sin \alpha \nabla_{e_1} \xi = \sin \alpha \lambda \eta = 2 \sin^2(\alpha/2) \eta$. Thus, we have

$$d\tilde{s}^2 = du^2 + \sin^2 \alpha dv^2 + 4 \sin^4(\alpha/2) dv^2 = du^2 + 4 \sin^2(\alpha/2) dv^2.$$

Taking into account (1) we can easily find the Gaussian curvature of the totally geodesic submanifold $\xi(M^2)$, namely

$$\tilde{K} = \frac{1}{4} K (K - 2 \cot(\alpha/2) K'_\alpha),$$

where $K(\alpha)$ is the Gaussian curvature of M^2 given by relations (1) and (8).

The equations (8) and (1) completely determine the class of Riemannian 2-dimensional manifolds admitting a totally geodesic unit vector field.

Proposition 1.1 *Let M^2 be a Riemannian manifold with a line element of the form*

$$ds^2 = du^2 + \sin^2 \alpha(u) dv^2.$$

Denote by K the Gaussian curvature of M^2 . Then $K = \frac{d\alpha}{du}$ if and only if the function $\alpha(u)$ satisfies

$$\frac{d\alpha}{du} = 1 + \frac{m}{\cos \alpha} \quad (m = \text{const}).$$

Proof. The sufficient part is already proved. Suppose now that

$$\frac{d\alpha}{du} = K (\neq 0).$$

Then we have

$$\alpha' = K = -\frac{\partial_{uu}(\sin \alpha)}{\sin \alpha} = (\alpha')^2 - \cot \alpha \alpha''.$$

Therefore, $\alpha'' = -\alpha'(1 - \alpha') \tan \alpha$, or $\frac{\alpha''}{\alpha' - 1} = \alpha' \tan \alpha$, or

$$(\ln |\alpha' - 1|)' = -(\ln |\cos \alpha|)'.$$

Evidently, now $|\alpha' - 1| = \frac{|m|}{|\cos \alpha|}$ where $m = \text{const}$ is a constant of integration. Finally, $\frac{d\alpha}{du} = 1 + \frac{m}{\cos \alpha}$. ■

Corollary 1.1 *Let M^2 be a Riemannian manifold of constant curvature $c \neq 0$. Then M^2 admits a totally geodesic unit vector field if and only if $c = 1$. This vector field is parallel along meridians and moves along parallels with unit angle speed.*

Proof. If $K = c = \text{const}$, then (1) can be satisfied if and only if $c = 1$, $a = -1$. ■

The equation (1) implies an elementary non-existence result.

Corollary 1.2 *Let M^2 be a Riemannian manifold with Gaussian curvature K . Then M^2 does not admit a totally geodesic unit vector field ξ with angle speed a if $|K - 1| < |a + 1|$.*

Proof. Indeed, one can easily see that $\cos \alpha = \frac{a + 1}{1 - K}$. If $|a + 1| > |K - 1|$, then we come to a contradiction. ■

2 Integral trajectories of the totally geodesic vector field

The integral trajectories of the totally geodesic vector field ξ can be found easily as follows. Let $\gamma = \{u(s), v(s)\}$ be an integral trajectory. Since

$$\xi = \cos \omega e_0 + \sin \omega e_1 = \cos \omega \partial_u + \frac{\sin \omega}{\sin \alpha} \partial_v,$$

we can set

$$\frac{du}{ds} = \cos \omega, \quad \frac{dv}{ds} = \frac{\sin \omega}{\sin \alpha}$$

and then

$$\frac{du}{dv} = \cot \omega \sin \alpha.$$

Since $\alpha = \alpha(u)$ and $\omega = av + \omega_0$, we come to the equation with separable variables

$$\frac{du}{\sin \alpha} = \cot \omega dv.$$

Using (8), we can find

$$\frac{du}{d\alpha} = \frac{\cos \alpha}{-a - 1 + \cos \alpha}$$

and make a parameter change in the left hand side of the equation above. Then we come to the equation

$$\frac{\cos \alpha d\alpha}{\sin \alpha(-a - 1 + \cos \alpha)} = \cot \omega dv.$$

Taking primitives, we have

$$\tan(\alpha/2) \sin(av + \omega_0) = c(a + (a + 2) \tan^2(\alpha/2))^{\frac{a+1}{a+2}} \quad \text{for } a \neq 0, -2,$$

$$\tan(\alpha/2) \sin(-2v + \omega_0) = c e^{\frac{1}{2} \tan^2(\alpha/2)} \quad \text{for } a = -2,$$

$$\frac{1}{2} \tan \omega_0 \left(\frac{1}{1 - \cos \alpha} + \ln |\tan(\alpha/2)| \right) = v - c \quad \text{for } a = 0.$$

Taking into account (3), we remark that $\tan(\alpha/2) \sin \omega = k$ and $\tan^2(\alpha/2) = k^2 + \varkappa^2$. Therefore, we have an intrinsic equation on the integral curves of the totally geodesic vector field

$$k = c [a + (a + 2)(k^2 + \varkappa^2)]^{\frac{a+1}{a+2}} \quad \text{for } a \neq 0, -2,$$

$$k = c e^{\frac{1}{2}(k^2 + \varkappa^2)} \quad \text{for } a = -2,$$

$$k = \sin \omega_0 \exp \left[2 \cot \omega_0 (v - c) - \frac{1}{2} \frac{1 + k^2 + \varkappa^2}{k^2 + \varkappa^2} \right] \quad \text{for } a = 0,$$

where c is a constant of integration.

Moreover, in any case

$$\begin{aligned} \xi(k) &= \cos \omega \partial_u [\tan(\alpha/2) \sin \omega] + \frac{\sin \omega}{\sin \alpha} \partial_v [\tan(\alpha/2) \sin \omega] = \\ &= \frac{\cos \omega \sin \omega \alpha'_u}{2 \cos^2(\alpha/2)} + \frac{a \sin \omega \cos \omega \tan(\alpha/2)}{\sin \alpha} = \frac{\cos \omega \sin \omega}{2 \cos^2(\alpha/2)} (\alpha'_u + a). \end{aligned}$$

The equation (8) yields

$$\xi(k) = \frac{(a + 1) \cos \omega \sin \omega}{2 \cos^2(\alpha/2)} \left(1 - \frac{1}{\cos \alpha} \right).$$

Thus, if $a = -1$, then the integral trajectories of the field ξ form a family of circles. The metric of M^2 is

$$ds^2 = du^2 + \sin^2 u dv^2$$

and we are dealing with the unit sphere parameterized by

$$r = \{ \sin u \cos v, \sin u \sin v, \cos u \}.$$

These circles satisfy

$$\tan(u/2) \sin v = c. \quad (10)$$

Let (ρ, φ) be polar coordinates in a Cartesian plane which passes through the center of the sphere such that $(0, 0, 1)$ is the *north* pole on the sphere. Then the parameters (ρ, φ) and (u, v) are connected via stereographic projection from the *south* pole as

$$\begin{aligned} \rho &= \tan(u/2), \\ \varphi &= v. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, the equation (10) defines a family of parallel straight lines on the Cartesian plane. *The family of integral curves of a totally geodesic vector field on the unit sphere can be obtained as inverse images under stereographic projection of this family.*

An explicit equation of this family is

$$r(v) = \left\{ \frac{2c \sin v \cos v}{c^2 + \sin^2 v}, \frac{2c \sin^2 v}{c^2 + \sin^2 v}, -\frac{c^2 - \sin^2 v}{c^2 + \sin^2 v} \right\}$$

where c is the geodesic curvature of the corresponding circle. All of these circles pass through the south pole $(0, 0, -1)$ when $v = 0, \pi$. We can find this by using the expression $\tan(u/2) = c/\sin v$ and trigonometric expressions for $\sin u$ and $\cos u$ via $\tan(u/2)$.

The unit sphere is not the unique surface that realizes the metric (9). Let (x, y, z) be standard Cartesian coordinates in E^3 . We can find an isometric immersion of the metric (9) into E^3 in a class of a surfaces of revolution. To do this, set

$$\begin{aligned} x(\alpha) &= \sin \alpha, \\ (x'_\alpha)^2 + (z'_\alpha)^2 &= \left(\frac{\cos \alpha}{a + 1 - \cos \alpha} \right)^2 \end{aligned}$$

and we easily find

$$\begin{aligned} x(\alpha) &= \sin \alpha, \\ z(\alpha) &= \int_{\alpha_0}^{\alpha} \frac{\cos t}{a + 1 - \cos t} \sqrt{1 - (a + 1 - \cos t)^2} dt, \end{aligned}$$

where the interval of integration is limited by the restrictions

$$\begin{cases} 1 + a < \cos \alpha < 2 + a, \\ -2 < a < -1, \end{cases} \quad \text{or} \quad \begin{cases} a < \cos \alpha < 1 + a, \\ -1 < a < 0. \end{cases}$$

The restrictions mean that if $|a + 1| \geq 1$, then the metric (9) does not admit an isometric immersion into E^3 in a class of surfaces of revolution.

References

- [1] Aminov Yu. *The geometry of vector fields*. Gordon&Breach Publ., 2000.
- [2] Boeckx E., Vanhecke L. *Harmonic and minimal radial vector fields*. Acta Math. Hungar. 90 (2001), 317-331.
- [3] Boeckx E., Vanhecke L. *Harmonic and minimal vector fields on tangent and unit tangent bundles*. Differential Geom. Appl. 13 (2000), 77-93.
- [4] Boeckx E., Vanhecke L. *Isoparametric functions and harmonic and minimal unit vector fields*, Contemp. Math. 288 (2001), 20–31.
- [5] Borisenko A., Yampolsky A. *Riemannian geometry of bundles*. Uspehi Mat. Nauk, 26/6 (1991), 51-95; Engl. transl.: Russian Math. Surveys, 46/6 (1991), 55–106.
- [6] Brito F., Chacon P., Naveira A. *On the volume of vector fields on spaces of constant sectional curvature*, Preprint, 2001.
- [7] Gil-Medrano O., Llinares-Fuster E. *Minimal unit vector fields*, Tôhoku Math. J. 54 (2002), 71 – 84.
- [8] Gil-Medrano O., Llinares-Fuster E. *Second variation of volume and energy of vector fields. Stability of Hopf vector fields*, Math. Ann. 320 (2001), 531-545.
- [9] Gil-Medrano O. *Relationship between volume and energy of unit vector fields*, Diff. Geom. Appl. 15 (2001), 137-152.
- [10] Gil-Medrano O., González-Dávila J.C., Vanhecke L. *Harmonic and minimal invariant unit vector fields on homogeneous Riemannian manifolds*, Houston J. Math. 27 (2001), 377-409.
- [11] Gluck H., Ziller W. *On the volume of a unit vector field on the three-sphere*. Comm. Math. Helv. 61 (1986), 177-192.
- [12] González-Dávila J.C., Vanhecke L. *Examples of minimal unit vector fields*. Ann Global Anal. Geom. 18 (2000), 385-404.
- [13] González-Dávila J.C., Vanhecke L. *Minimal and harmonic characteristic vector fields on three-dimensional contact manifolds*. J. Geom. 72 (2001), 65-76.
- [14] Pedersen S. L. *Volumes of vector fields on spheres*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 336 (1993), 69-78.
- [15] Sasaki S. *On the differential geometry of tangent bundles of Riemannian manifolds*. Tôhoku Math. J. 10 (1958), 338-354.

- [16] Tsukada K., Vanhecke L. *Minimality and harmonicity for Hopf vector fields*, Illinois J. Geom. 45 (2001), 441 – 451.
- [17] Tsukada K., Vanhecke L. *Invariant minimal unit vector fields on Lie groups*, Period. Math. Hungar. 40 (2000), 123-133.
- [18] Tsukada K., Vanhecke L. *Minimal and harmonic vector fields on $G_2(C^{m+2})$ and its dual space*. Monatsh. Math. 130 (2000), 143-154.
- [19] Yampolsky A. *On the mean curvature of a unit vector field*, Math. Publ. Debrecen, 60/1-2 (2002), 131 – 155.
- [20] Yampolsky A. *On the intrinsic geometry of a unit vector field*, Comment. Math. Univ. Carol. 43/2 (2002), 299-317.
- [21] Yampolsky A. *A totally geodesic property of Hopf vector fields*, Acta Math. Hungar. 101/1-2 (2003), 93-112.
- [22] Yampolsky A. *On extrinsic geometry of unit normal vector field of Riemannian hyperfoliation*, Math. Publ. Debrecen 63/4 (2003), 555 – 567.

Department of Geometry,
 Faculty of Mechanics and Mathematics,
 Kharkiv National University,
 Svobody Sq. 4,
 61077, Kharkiv,
 Ukraine.
 e-mail: yamp@univer.kharkov.ua