

Moyal quantization of necklace Lie algebras

VICTOR GINZBURG AND TRAVIS SCHEDLER

Abstract

We use Moyal-type formulas to construct a Hopf algebra quantization of the necklace Lie bialgebra associated with a quiver.

1 Introduction

1.1 Reminder on Moyal product. Let V be a finite dimensional vector space equipped with a nondegenerate bivector $\pi \in \wedge^2 V$. Associated with π is a Poisson bracket $f, g \mapsto \{f, g\} := \langle df \wedge dg, \pi \rangle$ on $\mathbf{k}[V]$, the polynomial algebra on V . The usual commutative product $m : \mathbf{k}[V] \otimes \mathbf{k}[V] \rightarrow \mathbf{k}[V]$ and the Poisson bracket $\{-, -\}$ make $\mathbf{k}[V]$ a Poisson algebra. This Poisson algebra has a well-known *Moyal-Weyl quantization* ([Moy49], see also [CP94]). This is an associative star-product depending on a formal quantization parameter \hbar , defined by the formula

$$f *_\hbar g := m \circ e^{\frac{\hbar}{2}\pi}(f \otimes g) \in \mathbf{k}[V][\hbar], \quad \forall f, g \in \mathbf{k}[V][\hbar]. \quad (1.1.1)$$

To explain the meaning of this formula, view elements of $\text{Sym } V$ as constant-coefficient differential operators on V . Hence, an element of $\text{Sym } V \otimes \text{Sym } V$ acts as a constant-coefficient differential operator on the algebra $\mathbf{k}[V] \otimes \mathbf{k}[V] = \mathbf{k}[V \times V]$. Now, identify $\wedge^2 V$ with the subspace of skew-symmetric tensors in $V \otimes V$. This way, the bivector $\pi \in \wedge^2 V \subset V \otimes V$ becomes a second order constant-coefficient differential operator $\pi : \mathbf{k}[V] \otimes \mathbf{k}[V] \rightarrow \mathbf{k}[V] \otimes \mathbf{k}[V]$. Further, it is clear that for any element $f \otimes g \in \mathbf{k}[V] \otimes \mathbf{k}[V]$ of total degree $\leq N$, all terms with $d > N$ in the infinite sum $e^{\hbar \cdot \pi}(f \otimes g) = \sum_{d=0}^{\infty} \frac{\hbar^d}{d!} \pi^d(f \otimes g)$ vanish, so the sum makes sense. Thus, the symbol $m \circ e^{\hbar \cdot \pi}$ in the right-hand side of formula (1.1.1) stands for the composition

$$\mathbf{k}[V] \otimes \mathbf{k}[V] \xrightarrow{e^{\hbar \cdot \pi}} \mathbf{k}[V] \otimes \mathbf{k}[V] \otimes \mathbf{k}[\hbar] \xrightarrow{m \otimes \text{Id}_{\mathbf{k}[\hbar]}} \mathbf{k}[V] \otimes \mathbf{k}[\hbar],$$

where $e^{\hbar \cdot \pi}$ is an infinite-order formal differential operator.

In down-to-earth terms, choose coordinates $x_1, \dots, x_n, y_1, \dots, y_n$ on V such that the bivector π , resp., the Poisson bracket $\{-, -\}$, takes the canonical form

$$\pi = \sum_i \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \otimes \frac{\partial}{\partial y_i} - \frac{\partial}{\partial y_i} \otimes \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}, \quad \text{resp.,} \quad \{f, g\} = \sum_i \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial g}{\partial y_i} - \frac{\partial f}{\partial y_i} \frac{\partial g}{\partial x_i}. \quad (1.1.2)$$

Thus, in canonical coordinates $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n), y = (y_1, \dots, y_n)$, formula (1.1.1) for the Moyal product reads

$$\begin{aligned} (f *_h g)(x, y) &= \sum_{d=0}^{\infty} \frac{h^d}{d!} \left(\sum_i \frac{\partial}{\partial x'_i} \frac{\partial}{\partial y''_i} - \frac{\partial}{\partial y'_i} \frac{\partial}{\partial x''_i} \right)^d f(x', y') g(x'', y'') \Big|_{\substack{x'=x''=x \\ y'=y''=y}} \\ &= \sum_{\mathbf{j}, \mathbf{l} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^n} (-1)^{|\mathbf{l}|} \frac{h^{|\mathbf{j}|+|\mathbf{l}|}}{\mathbf{j}! \mathbf{l}!} \cdot \frac{\partial^{\mathbf{j}+1} f(x, y)}{\partial x^{\mathbf{j}} \partial y^{\mathbf{l}}} \cdot \frac{\partial^{\mathbf{j}+1} g(x, y)}{\partial y^{\mathbf{j}} \partial x^{\mathbf{l}}}, \end{aligned} \quad (1.1.3)$$

where for $\mathbf{j} = (j_1, \dots, j_n) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^n$ we put $|\mathbf{j}| = \sum_i j_i$ and given $\mathbf{j}, \mathbf{l} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^n$, write

$$\frac{1}{\mathbf{j}! \partial x^{\mathbf{j}} \partial y^{\mathbf{l}}} := \frac{1}{j_1! \dots j_n! l_1! \dots l_n!} \cdot \frac{\partial^{|\mathbf{j}|+|\mathbf{l}|}}{\partial x_1^{j_1} \dots \partial x_n^{j_n} \partial y_1^{l_1} \dots \partial y_n^{l_n}}.$$

A more conceptual approach to formulas (1.1.1)–(1.1.3) is obtained by introducing the *Weyl algebra* $A_h(V)$. This is a $\mathbf{k}[h]$ -algebra defined by the quotient

$$A_h(V) := (TV^*)[h]/I(u \otimes u' - u' \otimes u - h \cdot \langle \pi, u \otimes u' \rangle)_{u, u' \in V^*},$$

where TV^* denotes the tensor algebra of the vector space V^* , and $I(\dots)$ denotes the two-sided ideal generated by the indicated set. Now, a version of the Poincaré-Birkhoff-Witt theorem says that the natural *symmetrization map* yields a $\mathbf{k}[h]$ -linear bijection $\phi_W : \mathbf{k}[V][h] \xrightarrow{\sim} A_h(V)$. Thus, transporting the multiplication map in the Weyl algebra $A_h(V)$ via this bijection, one obtains an associative product

$$\mathbf{k}[V][h] \otimes_{\mathbf{k}[h]} \mathbf{k}[V][h] \rightarrow \mathbf{k}[V][h], \quad f \otimes g \mapsto \phi_W^{-1}(\phi_W(f) \cdot \phi_W(g)).$$

It is known that this associative product is equal to the one given by formulas (1.1.1)–(1.1.3).

1.2 The quiver analogue. The goal of this paper is to extend the constructions outlined above to noncommutative symplectic geometry. Specifically, following an original idea of Kontsevich [Kon93], to any quiver, one associates canonically a certain Poisson algebra ([Gin01], [BLB02]). Then, we will produce a quantization of that Poisson algebra given by an explicit formula analogous to formulas (1.1.1)–(1.1.3).

In more detail, fix a quiver with vertex set I and edge set Q , and let \overline{Q} be the double of Q obtained by adding reverse edge $e^* \in \overline{Q}$ for each edge

$e \in Q$. Let P be the *path algebra* of \overline{Q} . The commutator quotient space $P/[P, P]$ may be identified naturally with the space L spanned by cyclic paths (forgetting which was the initial edge), sometimes called *necklaces*. Letting $\text{pr}_L : P \rightarrow P/[P, P] = L$ be the projection, there is a natural bilinear pairing

$$\{-, -\} : L \otimes L \rightarrow L, \quad f \otimes g \mapsto \{f, g\} := \text{pr}_L \left(\sum_{e \in Q} \frac{\partial f}{\partial e} \frac{\partial g}{\partial e^*} - \frac{\partial f}{\partial e^*} \frac{\partial g}{\partial e} \right). \quad (1.2.1)$$

Interpreting $\frac{\partial}{\partial e}, \frac{\partial}{\partial e^*}$ appropriately as maps $L \rightarrow P, P \rightarrow P$, this formula, which is a quiver analogue of (1.1.2), provides L with a Lie algebra structure, first studied in [Gin01], [BLB02]. More recently, the second author showed in [Sch05] that there is also a natural Lie *cobacket* on L . To explain this, write $a_1 \cdots a_p \in P$ for a path of length p and let 1_i denote the trivial (idempotent) path at the vertex $i \in I$. Further, for any edge $e \in \overline{Q}$ with head $h(e) \in I$ and tail $t(e) \in I$, let $D_e : P \rightarrow P \otimes P$ be the derivation defined by the assignment

$$D_e : P \rightarrow P \otimes P, \quad a_1 \cdots a_p \mapsto \sum_{a_r=e} a_1 \cdots a_{r-1} 1_{t(e)} \otimes 1_{h(e)} a_{r+1} \cdots a_p.$$

The map D_e is a derivation. Moreover, the following map, cf. [Sch05, (1.7)-(1.8)]:

$$\delta : L \rightarrow L \wedge L, \quad f \mapsto \delta(f) = (\text{pr}_L \otimes \text{pr}_L) \left(\sum_{e \in Q} D_e \left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial e^*} \right) - D_{e^*} \left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial e} \right) \right) \quad (1.2.2)$$

(that is, in a sense, dual to (1.2.1)) makes the Lie algebra L a Lie *bialgebra*, to be referred to as the *necklace Lie bialgebra*.

The necklace Lie bialgebra admits a very interesting quantization. Specifically, the main construction of [Sch05] produces a Hopf $\mathbf{k}[h]$ -algebra $A_h(Q)$ equipped with an algebra isomorphism $A_h(Q)/h \cdot A_h(Q) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Sym } L$, $f \mapsto \text{pr } f$. The algebra $A_h(Q)$ is a quantization of the Lie bialgebra L in the sense that $A_h(Q)$ is flat over $\mathbf{k}[h]$ and, for any $a, b \in A_h(Q)$, one has

$$\text{pr} \left(\frac{ab - ba}{h} \right) = \{\text{pr } a, \text{pr } b\}, \quad \text{and} \quad \text{pr} \left(\frac{\Delta(a) - \Delta^{op}(a)}{h} \right) = \delta(\text{pr}(a)),$$

where $\Delta : A_h(Q) \rightarrow A_h(Q) \otimes_{\mathbf{k}[h]} A_h(Q)$ denotes the coproduct in the Hopf algebra $A_h(Q)$, and where Δ^{op} stands for the map Δ composed with the flip of the two factors in $A_h(Q) \otimes_{\mathbf{k}[h]} A_h(Q)$.

1.3 Moyal quantization for quivers. In [Sch05], the Hopf algebra $A_{\hbar}(Q)$ was defined, essentially, by generators and relations. Thus, the algebra $A_{\hbar}(Q)$ may be viewed, roughly, as a quiver analog of the Weyl algebra $A_{\hbar}(V)$. One of the main results proved in [Sch05] is a version of Poincaré-Birkhoff-Witt (PBW) theorem. The PBW theorem insures that $A_{\hbar}(Q)$ is isomorphic to $(\text{Sym } L)[\hbar]$ as a $\mathbf{k}[\hbar]$ -module, in particular, it is flat over $\mathbf{k}[\hbar]$.

The goal of the present paper is to provide an alternative construction of the Hopf algebra $A_{\hbar}(Q)$. Instead of defining the algebra by generators and relations, we define a multiplication m and comultiplication Δ on the vector space $(\text{Sym } L)[\hbar]$ by explicit formulas which are both analogous to formula (1.1.1) for the Moyal star-product. In fact, suitably interpreted, they will be written as $f *_h g = m \circ e^{\frac{1}{2}\hbar\pi}(f \otimes g)$ and $\Delta_{\hbar}(f) = e^{\frac{1}{2}\hbar\pi}f$. We directly check associativity, coassociativity and compatibility of m and Δ . Thus, the present approach is (up to some difficulties involving the antipode) independent of that used in [Sch05].

Further, in complete analogy with the case of Moyal-Weyl quantization, we construct a symmetrization map $\Phi : (\text{Sym } L)[\hbar] \rightarrow A_{\hbar}(Q)$. This map is a bijection, and we show that Hopf algebra structure on $(\text{Sym } L)[\hbar]$ defined in this paper may be obtained by transporting the Hopf algebra structure on $A_{\hbar}(Q)$ defined in [Sch05] via Φ .

1.4 Representations for the Moyal quantization. In [Gin01], an interesting representation of the necklace Lie algebra is presented which is quantized in [Sch05]. Namely, for any representation of the double quiver \overline{Q} assigning to each arrow $e \in \overline{Q}$ the matrix $M_e : V_{t(e)} \rightarrow V_{h(e)}$, we can consider the map $L \rightarrow \mathbf{k}$ given by $e_1 e_2 \cdots e_m \mapsto \text{tr}(M_{e_1} M_{e_2} \cdots M_{e_m})$. More generally, if $\mathbf{l} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^I$, then we can consider the representation space $\text{Rep}_{\mathbf{l}}(\overline{Q})$ of representations with dimension vector \mathbf{l} , meaning that $\dim V_i = l_i$. Then this is a vector space of dimension $\sum_{e \in \overline{Q}} l_{t(e)} l_{h(e)}$. It has a natural bivector $\pi((M_e)_{ij}, (M_f)_{kl}) = \delta_{il} \delta_{jk} [e, f]$, where $[e, f] = 1$ if $e \in Q, f = e^*$ and $[e, f] = -1$ if $f \in Q, e = f^*$, with $[e, f] = 0$ otherwise. We then have the Poisson algebra homomorphism

$$\text{tr}_{\mathbf{l}} : \text{Sym } L \rightarrow \mathbf{k}[\text{Rep}_{\mathbf{l}}(\overline{Q})], \quad \text{tr}_{\mathbf{l}}(e_1 e_2 \cdots e_m)(\psi) = \text{tr}(M_{e_1} M_{e_2} \cdots M_{e_m}). \quad (1.4.1)$$

In [Sch05], this representation was quantized by a representation $\rho_{\mathbf{l}} : A \rightarrow \mathcal{D}(\text{Rep}_{\mathbf{l}}(Q))$, where the latter is the space of differential operators with polynomial coefficients on $\text{Rep}_{\mathbf{l}}(Q)$. We may modify the $\rho_{\mathbf{l}}$ and A slightly

to obtain ρ_1^h, A_h so that we have the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \text{Sym } L & \xrightarrow[\text{asympt.inj.}]{\text{tr}_1} & \mathbf{k}[\text{Rep}_1(\overline{Q})] \\
 \uparrow & & \uparrow \\
 A_h & \xrightarrow[\text{asympt.inj.}]{\rho_1^h} & D_Q
 \end{array} \tag{1.4.2}$$

Here, A_h is obtained from A by modifying (3.3) in [Sch05] so that the right-hand side has an h just like (3.4). [Note: More generally, it makes sense to consider the space where (3.3) has an independent formal parameter \hbar ; for the Moyal version, we want the two to be the same.] Then, the representations ρ_1^h send elements $(e_1, 1)(e_2, 2) \cdots (e_m, m) \in A_h$ (see [Sch05]: this is one lift of $e_1 e_2 \cdots e_m \in L$) to operators $\sum_{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_m} \iota(e_1)_{i_1 i_2} \iota(e_2)_{i_2 i_3} \cdots \iota(e_m)_{i_m i_1}$, where $\iota(e)$ is the matrix M_e if $e \in Q$, and $\iota(e^*) = M_{e^*}$ for $e \in Q$, where M_{e^*} is the matrix given by $(M_{e^*})_{ij} = -\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial (M_e)_{ji}}$. Then, the space $D_Q \subset \mathcal{D}(\text{Rep}_1(Q))$ is just generated by $e_{ij}, -\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial e_{ji}}$.

The diagram indicates that the representations are ‘‘asymptotically injective’’ in the sense that the kernels of the representations ρ_1, tr_1 have zero intersection, and moreover, for any finite-dimensional vector subspace W of the algebra A , there is a vector $\mathbf{l} \in N^I$ such that for each $\mathbf{l}' \geq \mathbf{l}$ (i.e. such that $l'_i \geq l_i, \forall i$, we have that $W \cap \text{Ker } \text{tr}_1 = 0$ (and similarly for ρ).

By construction of the map Φ_W , the Moyal quantization fits into a diagram as follows:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \text{Sym } L[h]_{\text{Moyal}} & \xrightarrow[\text{asympt.inj.}]{\text{tr}_1[h]} & \mathbf{k}[h][\text{Rep}_1(\overline{Q})]_{\text{Moyal}} \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 \text{Sym } L & \xrightarrow[\text{asympt.inj.}]{\text{tr}_1} & \mathbf{k}[\text{Rep}_1(\overline{Q})] \\
 \uparrow & & \uparrow \\
 A_h & \xrightarrow[\text{asympt.inj.}]{\rho_1^h} & D_Q
 \end{array} \tag{1.4.3}$$

$\sim \left(\begin{array}{c} \text{Sym } L[h]_{\text{Moyal}} \\ \text{Sym } L \\ A_h \end{array} \right) \xrightarrow{\Phi_W} \left(\begin{array}{c} \mathbf{k}[h][\text{Rep}_1(\overline{Q})]_{\text{Moyal}} \\ \mathbf{k}[\text{Rep}_1(\overline{Q})] \\ D_Q \end{array} \right) \xrightarrow{\phi_W} \sim$

Here, we denote by $\mathbf{k}[h][\text{Rep}_1(\overline{Q})]_{\text{Moyal}}$ the Moyal quantization of $\mathbf{k}[\text{Rep}_1(\overline{Q})]$ using the bivector π , and by $\text{Sym } L[h]_{\text{Moyal}}$ the quiver version to be defined in this article. Because of the asymptotic injectivity, to prove that a Moyal quantization exists completing the diagram, all that is necessary is the map Φ_W ; then the definitions of the product, coproduct, and antipode follow. However, the definitions are interesting in their own right.

1.5 Organization of the article. The article is organized as follows: In Section 2.1, we will define the Moyal product $*_{\hbar}$ on $\text{Sym } L[\hbar]$. In Section 2.2, we define the map Φ_W . Next, in Section 2.3, we show that this transports the product on A_{\hbar} to the product $*_{\hbar}$. Finally, in Section 2.4, we directly prove the associativity of $*_{\hbar}$.

In Section 3.1 we define the Moyal coproduct Δ_{\hbar} . Then, in Section 3.2, we show that Δ_{\hbar} is obtained by transporting the coproduct from A_{\hbar} using Φ_W . Section 3.3 proves directly that Δ_{\hbar} is coassociative, and Section 3.4 shows directly that $*_{\hbar}, \Delta_{\hbar}$ are compatible, inducing a bialgebra structure on $\text{Sym } L[\hbar]_{\text{Moyal}}$.

In Section 4 we give the definition of antipode S , which clearly is the one obtained from A_{\hbar} by transportation. This makes $\text{Sym } L[\hbar]_{\text{Moyal}}$ a Hopf algebra satisfying $S^2 = \text{Id}$. The eigenvectors of S are just products of necklaces, with eigenvalue ± 1 depending on the parity of the number of necklaces.

We will make use of the following tensor convention throughout:

Notation 1.5.1. If S, T are $\mathbf{k}[\hbar]$ -modules, then we will always mean by $S \otimes T$ the tensor product over $\mathbf{k}[\hbar]$ (never over \mathbf{k}).

1.6 Acknowledgements The authors would like to thank Pavel Etingof for useful discussions. The work of both authors was partially supported by the NSF.

2 The Moyal product

2.1 Definition of the Moyal product $*_{\hbar}$. To define the product $*_{\hbar}$ on $\text{Sym } L[\hbar]_{\text{Moyal}}$, we proceed by analogy: let $\pi = \sum_{e \in \overline{Q}} \frac{\partial}{\partial e} \otimes \frac{\partial}{\partial e^*} - \frac{\partial}{\partial e^*} \otimes \frac{\partial}{\partial e}$. For each $n \geq 0$, we define an operator $\pi^n : \text{Sym } L \otimes \text{Sym } L \rightarrow \text{Sym } L$, and hence $e^{\frac{1}{2}\hbar\pi} : \text{Sym } L[\hbar] \otimes \text{Sym } L[\hbar] \rightarrow \text{Sym } L[\hbar]$ as follows. We define the action of each

$$T = \frac{\partial}{\partial a_1} \frac{\partial}{\partial a_2} \cdots \frac{\partial}{\partial a_m} \otimes \frac{\partial}{\partial a_1^*} \frac{\partial}{\partial a_2^*} \cdots \frac{\partial}{\partial a_m^*}, \quad a_i \in \overline{Q}, (e^*)^* := e; \quad (2.1.1)$$

and extend by linearity. This action is best described by considering monomials in $\text{Sym } L$ to be collections of closed paths in \overline{Q} . Each closed path corresponds to a single cyclic monomial of L , so a collection of closed paths corresponds to a symmetric product of the corresponding cyclic monomials, giving an element of $\text{Sym } L$. Such elements generate all of $\text{Sym } L$.

Take any operator of the form (2.1.1), and two elements $P, R \in \text{Sym } L$, which are symmetric products (i.e. collections) of closed paths. Then the element T of (2.1.1) acts on $P \otimes R$ by summing over all ordered choices of distinct instances of edges e_1, e_2, \dots, e_m in the graph of P such that e_i is identical with a_i as elements of \overline{Q} , and over all ordered choices of distinct instances of edges f_1, f_2, \dots, f_m in the graph of R such that f_i is identical with e_i^* as elements of \overline{Q} , and adding the following element: Delete each e_i from P and each f_i from R , and join P and R at each $h(e_i) = t(f_i)$ and each $h(f_i) = t(e_i)$. The result is some element $Z \in \text{Sym } L$ obtained from $P \otimes R$, which is some new collection of closed paths (or isolated vertices, which correspond to idempotents). So, $T(P \otimes R)$ is the sum of all such elements Z (some of them can be identical, of course; we are summing over the element Z we get for each choice of instances of the given edges in P and R).

Let us more precisely define this deletion and gluing process (as in [Sch05]). We can define an “abstract edge” of an element

$$P = a_{11}a_{12} \cdots a_{1l_1} \&a_{21}a_{22} \cdots a_{2l_2} \& \cdots \&a_{k1}a_{k2} \cdots a_{kl_k} \\ \&v_1 \&v_2 \& \cdots \&v_q \in \text{Sym } L \quad (2.1.2)$$

to be an index (i, j) where $1 \leq i \leq k$ and $1 \leq j \leq l_k$. Here we note that $v_i \in I$, the set of vertices of the quiver, which act as idempotents in the path algebra of the double quiver. These indices are considered as edges, just where we keep track of which occurrence of the edge of \overline{Q} we are considering. Let X be the set of abstract edges of such an element P ; then there is a natural map $\text{pr}_X : X \rightarrow \overline{Q}$ which gives the element of \overline{Q} defined by the given edge.

To cut and glue for a single such element P , we need a set of “cutting edges,” $I \subset X$, along with a (fixed-point free) involution $\phi : I \rightarrow I$ such that $\text{pr}_X \circ \phi = * \circ \text{pr}_X$, where $*$: $\overline{Q} \rightarrow \overline{Q}$ is the edge reversal operation. Then we can define a map $f : X \rightarrow X$ which takes each edge $(i, j) \notin I$ to the next edge, $(i, j + 1)$ (where $j + 1$ is taken modulo l_i); and takes each edge $(i, j) \in I$ to $\phi(i, j) + 1$, where the “+1” operation is just $(i, j) + 1 = (i, j + 1)$, again where $j + 1$ is taken mod l_i . The map f is bijective, and each orbit of X under f is of the form (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_p) where $f(x_i) = x_{i+1}$, taken modulo p . Each such orbit defines a cyclic monomial or idempotent as follows: for each x_i , let $\text{pr}'(x_i) = \text{pr}_X(x_i)$ if $x_i \notin I$, and $\text{pr}'(x_i) = t(x_i)$, the starting vertex idempotent, if $x_i \in I$. So pr' extends to $\text{pr}'(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_p) = \text{pr}'(x_1)\text{pr}'(x_2) \cdots \text{pr}'(x_p) \in L$, which gives us the desired cyclic monomial or vertex idempotent. Then the result of cutting and gluing along the edges

I is simply the symmetric product of pr' applied to all orbits of X under f , symmetric-multiplied by $v_1 \& v_2 \& \cdots \& v_q$ (the original vertex idempotents are “untouched” by cutting and gluing at edges).

Given two elements P, R of the form (2.1.2) (except for different indices i_l, k, m , and different edges a_{ij} etc.), we can cut and glue P and R in an analogous way as follows: Let X, Y be the sets of abstract edges of P and R , and pr_X, pr_Y the projections to \overline{Q} . Then we can cut and glue along subsets $I_X \subset X, I_Y \subset Y$ equipped with a bijection $\phi : I_X \rightarrow I_Y$ such that $\text{pr}_Y \circ \phi = * \circ \phi$, much in the same way as the above: first, extend ϕ by ϕ^{-1} to I_Y to get an involution on $I_X \sqcup I_Y$. Then we take $X \sqcup Y$, look at orbits of this under the map f defined just as above, and then define the map pr' just as above (except that we need to use pr_Y instead of pr_X on edges of Y), and symmetric-multiply the result with any vertex idempotents appearing in the original formulas for P and R .

It is this latter operation which is what we precisely meant when we spoke of “cutting along edges and gluing the endpoints” in the definition of (2.1.1). In that case, we are summing over all ordered choices of distinct elements $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m \in X$ and $y_1, y_2, \dots, y_m \in Y$, such that $\text{pr}_X(x_i) = e_i$ and $\text{pr}_X(y_i) = e_i^*$. Then we let $I_X = \{x_1, \dots, x_m\}$ and $I_Y = \{y_1, \dots, y_m\}$ and $\phi(x_i) = y_i$, and perform cutting and gluing (multiplying in some coefficient in $\mathbf{k}[h]$).

Now that we have defined the action of (2.1.1), we can extend linearly over \mathbf{k} to obtain the action of $\pi^n : \text{Sym } L \otimes \text{Sym } L \rightarrow \text{Sym } L$ for any n , and by linearity over $\mathbf{k}[h]$, also $e^{\frac{1}{2}h\pi} : \text{Sym } L[h] \otimes \text{Sym } L[h] \rightarrow \text{Sym } L[h]$. (Note that only polynomials in h are required since the application of any differential operator of degree greater than the total number of edges appearing in a given $P \otimes R$ is zero).

Now, we define $*_h : \text{Sym } L[h] \otimes \text{Sym } L[h] \rightarrow \text{Sym } L[h]$ by

$$P *_h R = e^{\frac{1}{2}h\pi}(P \otimes R). \quad (2.1.3)$$

This defines the necessary product which allows us to define $\text{Sym } L[h]_{\text{Moyal}}$.

We can describe this more directly as follows: again let P, R be of the form (2.1.2) with sets of abstract edges X, Y , respectively, and maps $\text{pr}_X : X \rightarrow \overline{Q}, \text{pr}_Y : Y \rightarrow \overline{Q}$. Then

$$P *_h R = \sum_{(I_X, I_Y, \phi)} \frac{h^{\#(I_X)}}{2^{\#(I_X)}} s(I_X, I_Y, \phi) PR_{I_X, I_Y, \phi}, \quad (2.1.4)$$

where (I_X, I_Y, ϕ) is any triple of a subset $I_X \subset X, I_Y \subset Y$ and a bijection $\phi : I_X \rightarrow I_Y$ satisfying $\text{pr}_Y \circ \phi = * \circ \text{pr}_X$, and $PR_{I_X, I_Y, \phi}$ is the result

of cutting and gluing P and R along this triple as described previously. The sign $s(I_X, I_Y, \phi)$ is defined by $s(I_X, I_Y, \phi) = (-1)^{\#(I_Y \cap \text{pr}_Y^{-1}(Q))}$. This follows because $e^{\frac{1}{2}h\pi} = \sum_{N \geq 0} \frac{h^N}{2^N} \frac{\pi^N}{N!}$, and each π^N involves a sum over all cuttings and gluings of P and R along N edges counting each ordering and multiplying in -1 for each time the $\frac{\partial}{\partial e}$ appears in the second component for $e \in Q$; dividing by $N!$ means we don't count orderings of I_X so that it is only over subsets that we sum.

In general, elements $P, R \in \text{Sym } L[h]$ are linear combinations over $\mathbf{k}[h]$ of such collections of necklaces, so the element $P *_h R$ is given by summing over each choice of necklace collections in P and R , of the product of the coefficients of the two necklace collections and the element described in the previous paragraph. In other words, we sum over all ways to take the product of terms from P and R , not just by the usual product in $\text{Sym } L[h]$, but also by $\frac{h^p}{2^p}$ times the ways in which we can cut out p matching edges from each term and join them together (while just multiplying the $\mathbf{k}[h]$ -coefficients).

2.2 Definition of the symmetrization map Φ_W . Now, we define $\Phi_W : \text{Sym } L[h] \rightarrow A_h$. To do this, we need to define the notion of ‘‘height assignments’’. Let's consider a collection of necklaces P of the form (2.1.2). Let X be the set of abstract edges of P , say $\#(X) = N$. Then, a *height assignment* for P is defined to be a bijection $H : X \rightarrow \{1, 2, \dots, N\}$. We have the element $P_H \in A_h$ obtained by assigning heights to the edges in X by H , that is

$$P_H = (a_{11}, H(1, 1)) \cdots (a_{1l_1}, H((1, l_1))) \& \cdots \\ \& (a_{k1}, H(k, 1)) \cdots (a_{kl_k}, H(k, l_k)) \& v_1 \& v_2 \& \cdots \& v_q. \quad (2.2.1)$$

Note that we could also think of H as an element of S_N with some modifications to the formula.

The element Φ_W involves taking an average over all height assignments:

$$\Phi_W(P) = \frac{1}{N!} \sum_H P_H, \quad (2.2.2)$$

where H ranges over all height assignments. Following is the alternative description in terms of permutations S_N : Let $\theta(i, j) = j + \sum_{p=1}^{i-1} l_p$ so that

$\theta(k, l_k) = N$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} & \Phi_W(a_{11} \cdots a_{1l_1} \& a_{21} \cdots a_{2l_2} \& \cdots \& a_{k1} \cdots a_{kl_k} \& v_1 \& v_2 \& \cdots \& v_q) \\ &= \sum_{\sigma \in S_N} \frac{1}{N!} (a_{11}, \sigma(\theta(1, 1))) \cdots (a_{1l_1}, \sigma(\theta(1, l_1))) \& \cdots \\ & \& (a_{k1}, \sigma(\theta(k, 1))) \cdots (a_{kl_k}, \sigma(\theta(k, l_k))) \& v_1 \& v_2 \& \cdots \& v_q. \end{aligned} \quad (2.2.3)$$

2.3 Proof that \ast_h is obtained from Φ_W . Let's show that Φ_W makes the diagram (1.4.3) commute. We know that Φ_W is an isomorphism of free $\mathbf{k}[h]$ -modules (using PBW for A_h , or the fact that ρ_1 is asymptotically injective and the fact that the Weyl symmetrization map is an isomorphism on the right-hand side of (1.4.3)). So, once we show commutativity of the diagram, it will follow that Φ_W induces some multiplicative structure on $\text{Sym } L[h]_{\text{Moyal}}$ making the Φ_W an isomorphism of $\mathbf{k}[h]$ -algebras. We will then want to show that this structure is the one we have just defined, i.e. to show that Φ_W is a homomorphism of rings using our \ast_h structure.

We need to show that $\rho_1 \circ \Phi_W = \phi_W \circ \text{tr}$. This follows immediately from the definitions, because $\rho_1 \circ \Phi_W$ involves summing over the symmetrization of polynomials in $(M_e)_{ij}, \frac{\partial}{\partial (M_e)_{ji}}, e \in Q$ where $(M_e)_{ij}$ are the coordinate functions of the matrix corresponding to the vertex e ; also, tr takes an element of $\text{Sym } L[h]_{\text{Moyal}}$ and gives the element of $\mathbf{k}[h][\text{Rep}_1(\overline{Q})]$ corresponding to the trace of the (cyclic noncommutative) polynomial, which upon substituting $(M_{e^*})_{ij} \mapsto -h \frac{\partial}{\partial (M_e)_{ji}}$ and symmetrizing (which we needed to do for this to be well-defined, since the $(M_{e^*})_{ij}, (M_e)_{ij}$ commuted), gives the same element.

Next, let us show that the ring structure obtained from Φ_W , making Φ_W an isomorphism of rings, is exactly the product \ast_h we have described in detail.

$$\Phi_W(P \ast_h R) = \Phi_W(P) \Phi_W(R). \quad (2.3.1)$$

Now we prove (2.3.1). Let's take $P = P_1 \& P_2 \& \cdots \& P_n$, as before, to be a collection of necklaces, and similarly for $R = R_1 \& R_2 \& \cdots \& R_m$. (We can forget about the idempotents such as in (2.1.2), since they won't affect what we have to prove.) Let X be the set of abstract edges of P and Y the set of abstract edges of R . We will use $H_P : X \rightarrow \{1, \dots, |X|\}$ to denote a height assignment for P and $H_R : Y \rightarrow \{1, \dots, |Y|\}$ to denote a height assignment for R . Let us say that a height assignment $H_{PR} : X \sqcup Y \rightarrow \{1, \dots, |X| + |Y|\}$ extends height assignments H_P, H_R if H_{PR} restricted to P is equivalent to H_P and H_{PR} restricted to R is equivalent to H_R . In other

words, $H_{PR}(x_1) < H_{PR}(x_2)$ iff $H_P(x_1) < H_P(x_2)$ for all $x_1, x_2 \in X$, and similarly $H_{PR}(y_1) < H_{PR}(y_2)$ iff $H_R(y_1) < H_R(y_2)$ for all $y_1, y_2 \in Y$.

Now, we know that

$$\Phi_W(P *_h R) - \Phi_W(PR) = \sum_{N=1}^{\infty} \frac{h^N}{2^N} \Phi_W\left(\frac{\pi^N}{N!}(P \otimes R)\right), \quad (2.3.2)$$

and also that

$$\begin{aligned} & \Phi_W(P)\Phi_W(R) - \Phi_W(PR) \\ &= \frac{1}{(|X| + |Y|)!} \sum_{H_P, H_R} \sum_{H_{PR} \text{ extending } H_P, H_R} (P_{H_P} R_{H_R} - PR_{H_{PR}}). \end{aligned} \quad (2.3.3)$$

We are left to show, using the relations which define A_h , that

$$\sum_{N=1}^{\infty} \frac{h^N}{2^N} \Phi_W\left(\frac{\pi^N}{N!}(P \otimes R)\right) = \sum_{H_{PR} \text{ extending } H_P, H_R} (P_{H_P} R_{H_R} - PR_{H_{PR}}) \quad (2.3.4)$$

To prove this, let us fix a particular H_P, H_R , and H_{PR} , and expand $P_{H_P} R_{H_R} - PR_{H_{PR}}$ using the relations that define A_h . We do this by expressing this as a sum of commutators obtained by commuting a single edge coming from R with a single edge coming from P . We get

$$P_{H_P} R_{H_R} - PR_{H_{PR}} = \sum_{\substack{x \in X, y \in Y \text{ such that } H_P(x) > H_R(y), \\ \text{pr}_X(x) = \text{pr}_Y(y)^*}} [\text{pr}_X(x), \text{pr}_Y(y)] h P R'_{x,y}, \quad (2.3.5)$$

where $PR'_{x,y}$ corresponds to taking PR , deleting x and y and joining the endpoints, and using the height assignment which is (equivalent to the choice of heights) identical to H_P on elements $x' \in X$ such that $H_P(x') < H_P(x)$, and equal to $H_P(x) + H_{PR}(z)$ for all other $z \in X \sqcap Y \setminus \{x, y\}$. Here we say “equivalent to the choice of heights” in parentheses to mean that the given assignment won’t be an assignment to $\{1, \dots, |X| + |Y| - 2\}$ as we strictly defined height assignments, but we could extend the definition of height assignments to include any injective map to \mathbb{Z} , and say that two are equivalent if the ordering is the same ($H \equiv H'$ if $H(z) < H(z')$ iff $H'(z) < H'(z')$); so really we are looking for the height assignment mapping to $\{1, \dots, |X| + |Y| - 2\}$ which is equivalent to the assignment we described. Also note here that $[e, e^*] = 1$ if $e \in Q$ and -1 if $e^* \in Q$.

By applying the relations repeatedly we get that

$$\begin{aligned}
P_{H_P} R_{H_R} - PR_{H_{PR}} &= \sum_{\substack{x_1, \dots, x_k \in X, y_1, \dots, y_k \in Y \\ \text{such that } H_P(x_i) > H_R(y_i), \text{pr}_X(x_i) = \text{pr}_Y(y_i)^*}} [\text{pr}_X(x_1), \text{pr}_Y(y_1)] \\
&\quad \cdots [\text{pr}_X(x_k), \text{pr}_Y(y_k)] h^k PR''_{(x_1, y_1), \dots, (x_k, y_k)}, \quad (2.3.6)
\end{aligned}$$

where $PR''_{(x_1, y_1), \dots, (x_k, y_k)}$ involves taking PR and deleting the pairs of edges and gluing at their respective endpoints; and this time assigning heights by restricting H_{PR} to $X \sqcup Y \setminus \{x_1, \dots, x_k, y_1, \dots, y_k\}$ (and changing to an equivalent height assignment which has image $\{1, \dots, |X| + |Y| - 2k\}$).

Now, let's look at the sum again (no longer fixing H_P, H_R , and H_{PR}). We see that for any given choice of pairs $(x_1, y_1), \dots, (x_k, y_k)$ with $\text{pr}_X(x_i) = \text{pr}_Y(y_i)^*$, the summands that involve deleting these pairs and gluing their endpoints are the same in number for each choice of height assignment for the deleted pairs. The coefficient for each height is just $\frac{h^k}{(|X|+|Y|)!}$ times the number of height assignments H_{PR} that restrict to the given height assignment, and also satisfy $H_{PR}(x_i) > H_{PR}(y_i)$ for all $1 \leq i \leq k$. In other words, this is h^k times the probability of picking a height assignment randomly of PR that has x_i greater in height than y_i for all i , and is identical with the given height assignment on all $x, y \notin \{x_1, \dots, x_n, y_1, \dots, y_n\}$. So we get that the coefficient is $\frac{h^k}{2^k(|X|+|Y|-2k)!}$.

But this is exactly what we would expect, desiring that (2.3.4) hold. That is because the left-hand side, as described previously in our discussion of $\frac{\pi^N}{2^N}$, just involves summing over all N of $\frac{h^k}{2^k}$ times Φ_W of the collection of necklaces described for each choice of pairs $(x_1, y_1), \dots, (x_N, y_N)$ with some choice of sign; and then Φ_W just sums over $\frac{1}{(|X|+|Y|-2N)!}$ times each choice of height assignment for this collection of necklaces. The sign choice just matches exactly with the sign $\prod_i [\text{pr}_X(x_i), \text{pr}_Y(y_i)]$ appearing in (2.3.6), since each commutator is -1 just in the case that $\text{pr}_Y(y_i) \in Q$.

This proves (2.3.4) and hence that Φ_W is an isomorphism of $\mathbf{k}[h]$ -algebras, using $*_h$ as the ring structure on $\text{Sym } L[h]_{\text{Moyal}}$.

2.4 Associativity of $*_h$. Although we already know from commutativity of the diagram and associativity of A_h that $*_h$ is associative, it is easy to prove directly. We prove

$$(P *_h R) *_h S = P *_h (R *_h S) \quad (2.4.1)$$

where P, R , and S are collections of necklaces of the form (2.1.2) (with different indices).

First we describe the left-hand side of (2.4.1) Let X, Y , and Z be the sets of abstract edges of P, R , and S , and let $\text{pr}_X : X \rightarrow \overline{Q}$, $\text{pr}_Y : Y \rightarrow \overline{Q}$, and $\text{pr}_Z : Z \rightarrow \overline{Q}$ be the projections from occurrences of edges to edges of \overline{Q} .

We sum over all sets of pairs $\{(x_1, y_1), \dots, (x_N, y_N)\} \subset X \times Y$, such that $y_i = x_i^*$ for each i (and we assume that the x_i and the y_i are all distinct). Summing over $\frac{h^N}{2^N}$ times the necklaces we get by cutting out these pairs of edges and gluing their endpoints, we get $P *_h R$ as described in the previous section.

To get $(P *_h R) *_h S$, we will first be summing over choices of pairs $\{(x_1, y_1), \dots, (x_N, y_N)\}$, and then over pairs $\{(w_1, z_1), \dots, (w_M, z_M)\} \subset W \times Z$, where $W = (X \setminus \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_N\}) \sqcup (Y \setminus \{y_1, y_2, \dots, y_N\})$, and performing a similar operation. We can also describe this as summing over pairs $(x_1, y_1), \dots, (x_N, y_N), (x_{N+1}, z_1), (x_{N+2}, z_2), \dots, (x_{N+M_1}, z_{M_1}), (y_{N+1}, z_{M_1+1}), (y_{N+2}, z_{M_1+2}), \dots, (y_{N+M_2}, z_{M_1+M_2})$, again where all x_i, y_i , and z_i are distinct, and in each pair, one edge is the reverse of the other. This description, along with signs and coefficients, is exactly the same as what we could obtain in the same way from $P *_h (R *_h S)$, proving associativity.

3 The Moyal coproduct

3.1 Definition of Δ_h . There is a nice formula for the coproduct on $\text{Sym } L[h]_{\text{Moyal}}$ compatible with the $*_h$ product. The formula is actually surprisingly similar to the one for $*_h$. We will be giving the coproduct which makes the diagram (1.4.3) consist of coalgebra homomorphisms (namely, the maps tr and Φ_W involving $\text{Sym } L[h]_{\text{Moyal}}$); the map Φ_W will then be an isomorphism of bialgebras. The coproduct can be described as follows: We need to define an operator $e^{\frac{1}{2}h\pi} : \text{Sym } L[h]_{\text{Moyal}} \rightarrow \text{Sym } L[h]_{\text{Moyal}} \otimes \text{Sym } L[h]_{\text{Moyal}}$. To do this, we set

$$\pi = \sum_{e \in Q} \frac{\partial}{\partial e} \frac{\partial}{\partial e^*} \tag{3.1.1}$$

and we define operators

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial e_1} \frac{\partial}{\partial e_1^*} \frac{\partial}{\partial e_2} \frac{\partial}{\partial e_2^*} \cdots \frac{\partial}{\partial e_N} \frac{\partial}{\partial e_N^*} : \text{Sym } L[\mathfrak{h}]_{\text{Moyal}} \rightarrow \text{Sym } L[\mathfrak{h}]_{\text{Moyal}} \otimes \text{Sym } L[\mathfrak{h}]_{\text{Moyal}}. \quad (3.1.2)$$

The operator (3.1.2) acts as follows: Taking a collection of necklaces $P = P_1 \& P_2 \& \cdots \& P_n \& v_1 \& v_2 \& \cdots \& v_q \in \text{Sym } L[\mathfrak{h}]_{\text{Moyal}}$, where each $P_i \in L$ is a cyclic monomial (i.e. a necklace), let X be the set of abstract edges of P and $\text{pr}_X : X \rightarrow \overline{Q}$ the projection (cf. Section 2.1). Then we sum over all choices of pairs $(x_1, y_1), \dots, (x_N, y_N)$ such that the x_i and y_i are all distinct (the set $\{x_1, y_1, \dots, x_N, y_N\}$ has $2N$ elements), and $\text{pr}_X(x_i) = \text{pr}_X(y_i)^*$ for all i . We delete the edges and glue the endpoints, obtaining another collection of necklaces. More precisely, the cutting and gluing is done as described in the previous section, for $I = \{x_1, y_1, x_2, y_2, \dots, x_N, y_N\}$ and $\phi(x_i) = y_i$ for all i . Now, the only difficult part is figuring out what components to assign to each necklace (the first or second), and what sign to attach to each choice.

We sum over all component assignments of the resulting chain of necklaces: suppose that the above procedure yields the collection $R_1 \& R_2 \& \cdots \& R_m \in \text{Sym } L[\mathfrak{h}]_{\text{Moyal}}$ (this includes the original idempotents v_1, v_2, \dots, v_q); then the contribution to the result of (3.1.2) applied to P is the following:

$$\sum_{\mathbf{c} \in \{1,2\}^m} s(\mathbf{c}, I, \phi) R_1^{c_1} \& R_2^{c_2} \& \cdots \& R_m^{c_m}, \quad (3.1.3)$$

where $R_i^{c_i}$ denotes $R_i \otimes 1$ if $c_i = 1$ and $1 \otimes R_i$ if $c_i = 2$, and the symmetric product in $\text{Sym } L[\mathfrak{h}]_{\text{Moyal}} \otimes \text{Sym } L[\mathfrak{h}]_{\text{Moyal}}$ is the expected $(X \otimes Y) \& (X' \otimes Y') = (X \& X') \otimes (Y \& Y')$, with $1 \& X = X \& 1 = X$ for all X . The term $s(\mathbf{c}, I, \phi)$ is a sign which is determined as follows:

$$s(\mathbf{c}, I, \phi) = s_1 s_2 \cdots s_n, \quad (3.1.4)$$

where $s_i = 1$ if the component assigned to the start of arrow x_i is 1 and the component assigned to the target of arrow x_i is 2; $s_i = -1$ if the component assigned to the start of arrow x_i is 2 and the component assigned to the target of arrow x_i is 1; and $s_i = 0$ if the start and target are assigned the same component.

Let's more precisely define what it means to say "the component assigned to the start/target of an arrow" which is deleted from P . What we mean by this is simply the orbit of the arrow x_i in X under f . Each orbit corresponds

to one of the R_i . So, there is a map $g : X \rightarrow \{1, 2, \dots, m\}$, which corresponds to which R_i the “start” of each edge is assigned to. The “targets” are the same as the “starts” of the next edge, so that $g(x+1)$ gives the component that the “target” of x is assigned to. Here the “+1” operation is once again $(i, j) + 1 = (i, j + 1) \bmod l_i$, or in other words, $x + 1$ is the edge succeeding x .

We then have that

$$s_i = \begin{cases} 1 & c_{g(x_i)} < c_{g(x_i)+1}, \\ 0 & c_{g(x_i)} = c_{g(x_i)+1}, \\ -1 & c_{g(x_i)} > c_{g(x_i)+1}. \end{cases} \quad (3.1.5)$$

This assignment of signs has a combinatorial flavor because it is essentially what the “colorings” of [Sch05] reduce to. There does not seem to be a way to avoid this complication in choosing signs, because the sign is what prevents the coproduct from being cocommutative.

As before, we extend linearly to powers π^N and to $e^{\frac{1}{2}h\pi}$. Then, the coproduct is given by

$$\Delta_h = e^{\frac{1}{2}h\pi} : \text{Sym } L[h]_{\text{Moyal}} \rightarrow \text{Sym } L[h]_{\text{Moyal}} \otimes \text{Sym } L[h]_{\text{Moyal}}, \quad (3.1.6)$$

and as before we can describe this action on our element P as

$$\Delta_h(P) = \sum_{(I, \phi)} \frac{h^{\#(I)/2}}{2^{\#(I)/2}} P_{I, \phi}, \quad (3.1.7)$$

where the sum is over all $I \subset X$ with involution ϕ such that $\text{pr}_X \circ \phi = * \circ \text{pr}_X$, and the element $P_{I, \phi}$ is given from the result of the cuttings and gluings by summing over component assignments as described in (3.1.3).

3.2 Proof that Δ_h is obtained from Φ_W . Let’s prove that this coproduct Δ_h makes the diagram (1.4.3) consist of coalgebra homomorphisms. It suffices to prove that Φ_W is a coalgebra homomorphism.

Take an element P of the form (2.1.2) with set of abstract edges X and projection $\text{pr}_X : X \rightarrow \overline{Q}$. Now, let’s consider what the element $\Delta(\Phi_W(P))$ is in A . We know that for each height assignment H_P of P , $\Delta(P_{H_P})$ involves summing over all pairs (I, ϕ) with $I \subset X$ and $\phi : I \rightarrow I$ an involution satisfying $\text{pr}_X \circ \phi = * \circ \text{pr}_X$, cutting and gluing as before. Then we sum over all component assignments such that if $x, y \in I$ with $\phi(x) = y$, and the heights satisfy $H(x) < H(y)$, then the component assigned to the start of x is 1 and the component assigned to the target of x is 2. When the

components cannot be assigned in this way, this pair (I, ϕ) cannot be used. These notions are all explained more precisely in the preceding section.

Then we multiply in a sign $s(I, \phi, H)$ and a power of \hbar determined as follows: for each pair $x, y \in I$ with $\phi(x) = y, H(x) < H(y)$, we multiply a $+1$ if $x \in Q, y \in Q^*$ and a -1 if $x \in Q^*, y \in Q$. We also multiply in $\hbar^{\#(I)/2}$ (note: this power of \hbar is different from the one in [Sch05] simply because we are describing the structure for A_{\hbar} , not A : it is easy to see in general how the relations for the algebra and the formula for coproduct change if we introduce a new formal parameter \hbar into (3.3) of [Sch05]).

So we find that $\Delta(P_H)$ is just a sum over cuttings and gluings, and over component choices \mathbf{c} compatible with the heights; our sign choice satisfies $s(I, \phi, H) = s(\mathbf{c}, I, \phi)$, where $I = \{x_1, y_2, \dots, x_m, y_m\}$, and for all $i, x_i \in Q$ and $\phi(x_i) = y_i$; finally, we multiply in \hbar^m for cuttings and gluings involving $\#(I) = 2m$.

Hence, $\Delta(\Phi_W(P))$ is just given by a sum over all cuttings and gluings (I, ϕ) together with component choice \mathbf{c} , multiplying in $\hbar^{\#(I)/2}$, the sign $s(\mathbf{c}, I, \phi)$, and the coefficient $\frac{1}{\#(P)!}$ where $\#(P)$ is the number of edges in P , i.e. the total number of height assignments.

Each summand in $\Delta(\Phi_W(P))$ is clearly given by a height assignment of the term in $\Delta_{\hbar}(P)$ corresponding to the same (I, ϕ, \mathbf{c}) . For each term in $\Delta_{\hbar}(P)$, the coefficients of the height-assigned terms in $\Delta(\Phi_W(P))$ are all the same. So we see that $\Delta(\Phi_W(P)) = (\Phi_W \otimes \Phi_W)(P')$, for some $P' \in \text{Sym } L[\hbar]_{\text{Moyal}} \otimes \text{Sym } L[\hbar]_{\text{Moyal}}$, where $\Phi_W \otimes \Phi_W(P \otimes R) = \Phi_W(P) \otimes \Phi_W(R)$.

The element P' can be computed just as we were computing $\Delta(\Phi_W(P))$, but instead of multiplying in $\frac{1}{\#(P)!}$, we need to multiply by the fraction of all height choices compatible with this component choice. But clearly, each pair $x, y \in I, \phi(x) = y$ induces a single restriction on the choice of heights, namely that $H(x) < H(y)$ if the component assigned to the start of x is 1 and the component assigned to the target of x is 2, and $H(y) > H(x)$ if the opposite is true (the start of x is assigned component 2 and the target assigned 1). Note that the component assigned to the start and target of x cannot be the same for there to exist any compatible height choices.

We see then that, provided a compatible height choice exists, we have $\#(I)/2$ restrictions, each of which occur with independent probabilities $\frac{1}{2}$. Hence the coefficient is just $\frac{1}{2^{\#(I)/2}}$. This shows that $P' = \Delta_{\hbar}(P)$, proving that Φ_W is a coalgebra homomorphism and hence an isomorphism of bialgebras. (In fact we have now proved that $(\text{Sym } L[\hbar]_{\text{Moyal}}, *_h, \Delta_{\hbar})$ is in fact a bialgebra).

3.3 Coassociativity of Δ_{\hbar} . Using the coassociativity of A_{\hbar} from [Sch05], we already know from the fact that Φ_W is an isomorphism that the product Δ_{\hbar} is coassociative, but it is not difficult to prove directly. We do that here by proving

$$(1 \otimes \Delta_{\hbar})\Delta_{\hbar}(P) = (\Delta_{\hbar} \otimes 1)\Delta_{\hbar}(P), \quad (3.3.1)$$

where once again P is of the form (2.1.2).

The left-hand side can be described by summing over choices of cutting pairs and components (I, ϕ, \mathbf{c}) for P , and then cutting pairs and components for the first component of the result, (I', ϕ', \mathbf{c}') , and gluing, assigning the components, etc., and multiplying by a sign and power of $\frac{\hbar}{2}$. We see that this is the same as choosing just once the triple $(I'', \phi'', \mathbf{c}'')$, where \mathbf{c}'' assigns each necklace to one of three components, 1, 2, or 3, $I'' = I \cup I'$, and $\phi''|_I = \phi, \phi''|_{I'} = \phi'$. Then we can cut and glue just one time to get a tensor in $\text{Sym } L[\hbar]_{\text{Moyal}}^{\otimes 3}$; the sign and power of $\frac{\hbar}{2}$ can be determined by using (3.1.5) where now the two sides of the inequality have values in $\{1, 2, 3\}$.

For the same reason, the right-hand side of (3.3.1) can be described in the preceding way, proving (3.3.1) and hence coassociativity.

3.4 The bialgebra condition for $\text{Sym } L[\hbar]_{\text{Moyal}}$. Using the fact that A_{\hbar} is a bialgebra (proved in [Sch05]), we know that $\text{Sym } L[\hbar]_{\text{Moyal}}$ is a bialgebra. But it is not difficult to prove directly, which we do in this section.

We need to show, for collections of necklaces $P = P_1 P_2 \cdots P_n, R = R_1 R_2 \cdots R_m$ of the form (2.1.2), that

$$\Delta(P *_h R) = \Delta(P) *_h \Delta(R), \quad (3.4.1)$$

where we use the notation $(A \otimes B) *_h (C \otimes D) = (A *_h B) \otimes (C *_h D)$.

First, define X to be the set of abstract edges of P and Y to be the set of abstract edges for R . Define the projections $\text{pr}_X : X \rightarrow \overline{Q}, \text{pr}_Y : Y \rightarrow \overline{Q}$. Now, let us first take a closer look at the right-hand side of (3.4.1). We can expand it by the following sum over pairs. We first pick $I_X \subset X, I_Y \subset Y$, and involutions $\phi_X : I_X \rightarrow I_X, \phi_Y : I_Y \rightarrow I_Y$ such that $\text{pr}_X \circ \phi_X = * \circ \text{pr}_X, \text{pr}_Y \circ \phi_Y = * \circ \text{pr}_Y$. Pick component choices \mathbf{c} for the result of cutting and gluing P along (I_X, ϕ_X) , and \mathbf{c}' for the result of cutting and gluing R along (I_Y, ϕ_Y) . As before, we define signs $s(I_X, \phi_X, \mathbf{c}), s(I_Y, \phi_Y, \mathbf{c}')$. For example, $s(I_X, \phi_X, \mathbf{c})$ is defined by multiplying in all the ± 1 or 0 contributions from each $x \in I_X$ such that $\text{pr}_X(x) \in \overline{Q}$, according to (3.1.5). Next, we cut and glue both P and R by $(I_X, \phi_X, \mathbf{c})$ and $(I_Y, \phi_Y, \mathbf{c}')$, respectively, and multiply the first by $s(I_X, \phi_X, \mathbf{c}) \frac{\hbar^{\#(I_X)/2}}{2^{\#(I_X)/2}}$ and the second by $s(I_Y, \phi_Y, \mathbf{c}') \frac{\hbar^{\#(I_Y)/2}}{2^{\#(I_Y)/2}}$ to

obtain elements $P', R' \in \text{Sym } L[\mathfrak{h}]_{\text{Moyal}}$. This will include all the summands we need for the coproducts of P and R , respectively.

For each such summand, we need to take care of contributions from multiplying these together. So, we need to pick $J_X \subset X \setminus I_X, J_Y \subset Y \setminus I_Y$, and a bijection $\psi : J_X \rightarrow J_Y$ such that $\text{pr}_Y \circ \psi = * \circ \text{pr}_X$ and also the extra condition that ψ preserves components: that is, if $\psi(x) = y$ and x, y live in necklaces assigned components c_i, c'_j , respectively, then $c_i = c'_j$.

To be more precise, the cutting and gluing $P \mapsto T_1 \& T_2 \& \dots \& T_p$ along (I_X, ϕ_X) induces a map $\mu_X : X \setminus I_X \rightarrow \{1, 2, \dots, p\}$ depending on which necklace each edge not cut out ends up in. So each edge $x \in X \setminus I_X$ is assigned a component $c_{\mu_X(x)}$. Similarly we can define μ_Y . The condition above is that $\psi(x) = y$ implies that $c_{\mu_X(x)} = c'_{\mu_Y(y)}$.

Given each such choice of $(I_X, \phi_X, \mathbf{c}), (I_Y, \phi_Y, \mathbf{c}')$, and (J_X, J_Y, ψ) , we get the following contribution to the expression $\Delta(P) *_h \Delta(R)$: We cut and glue P' and R' together along (J_X, J_Y, ψ) , and multiply in the sign $s(J_X, J_Y, \psi) = (-1)^{\#(J_Y \cap \text{pr}_Y^{-1}(Q))}$ and the coefficient $\frac{h^{\#(J_X)/2}}{2^{\#(J_X)/2}}$. We sum this contribution over all such triples of triples to get the right-hand side of (3.4.1).

Now, let's compare this with the left-hand side of (3.4.1). Let the map $\text{pr} : X \sqcup Y \rightarrow \overline{Q}$ be given by $\text{pr}(x) = \text{pr}_X(x), \text{pr}(y) = \text{pr}_Y(y)$ for $x \in X, y \in Y$. Then, the left-hand side involves first a sum over (J_X, J_Y, ψ) with $J_X \subset X, J_Y \subset Y$, and $\psi : J_X \rightarrow J_Y$ a bijection such that $\text{pr}_Y \circ \psi = * \circ \text{pr}_X$. Then we sum over (I, ϕ, \mathbf{c}'') such that $I \subset (X \setminus J_X) \sqcup (Y \setminus J_Y)$ and $\phi : I \rightarrow I$ is an involution satisfying $\text{pr} \circ \phi = * \circ \text{pr}$, and \mathbf{c}'' is a component choice of $PR_{J_X, J_Y, \psi}$, the result of cutting and gluing P and R along (J_X, J_Y, ψ) and then along (I, ϕ) . For each such choice of triples, we multiply a coefficient of $\frac{h^{\#(J_X) + \#(I)/2}}{2^{\#(J_X) + \#(I)/2}}$, and a sign of $(-1)^{\#(J_Y \cap \text{pr}_Y^{-1}(Q))} s(I, \phi, \mathbf{c}'')$ where the $s(I, \phi, \mathbf{c}'')$ is calculated just as in the definition of $\Delta(PR_{J_X, J_Y, \psi})$.

If $\phi(I \cap X) \subset X$ and $\phi(I \cap Y) \subset Y$, then the summand thus obtained will be identical with the summand corresponding to $(I \cap X, \phi|_X, \mathbf{c}), (I \cap Y, \phi|_Y, \mathbf{c}'), (J_X, J_Y, \psi)$ for the choice of \mathbf{c}, \mathbf{c}' such that $c''_{\mu_{X \sqcup Y \setminus (J_X \cup J_Y)}(z)}$ equals $c_{\mu_X(z)}$ if $z \in X$ and $c'_{\mu_Y(z)}$ if $z \in Y$, where $\mu_{X \sqcup Y \setminus (J_X \cup J_Y)}$ is defined just as μ_X, μ_Y were, in the context of cutting and gluing $PR_{J_X, J_Y, \psi}$ along (I, ϕ) . The power of $\frac{h}{2}$ will clearly be the same. The sign will also be the same, since $s(I, \phi, \mathbf{c}'') = s(I \cap X, \phi|_X, \mathbf{c}) s(I \cap Y, \phi|_Y, \mathbf{c}')$ in this case.

All that remains is to show that all summands from the left-hand side not of this form cancel. Summands which are not of the above form must either include $x \in I \cap X, y \in I \cap Y$ such that $\phi(x) = y$, or else must have some component choice such that $c''_i \neq c''_j$ even though the necklaces i and j

would be joined if we had omitted some x from J_X and $\psi(x)$ from J_Y . The latter comes from the fact that $c''_i = c''_j$ is exactly what is required for \mathbf{c}'' to be compatible with some \mathbf{c}, \mathbf{c}' such that $c_{\mu_X(x)} = c'_{\mu_Y(y)}$.

Let us make the definitions

$$J'_X = \{x \in J_X \mid c''_i \neq c''_j, \text{ where } i \text{ and } j \text{ would be joined by omitting } x, \phi(x) \text{ from } I\}, \quad (3.4.2)$$

$$I'_X = \{x \in I \cap X \mid \phi(x) \in Y\}. \quad (3.4.3)$$

For each $x \in J'_X$, we can obtain a similar summand by removing x from J_X and $y = \psi(x)$ from J_Y , and adding x, y to I , setting $\phi(x) = y, \phi(y) = x$: so x ends up in I'_X . We get the same resulting necklaces and can consider the \mathbf{c}'' which makes the same assignments to the corresponding necklaces (where necklaces correspond if they come from the same edges in X or vertex idempotents in the expression for P). The only change is perhaps a change of sign; the sign changes iff $c''_{g(x)} > c''_{g(x)+1}$ where g is defined as in (3.1.5) (and $g(x) + 1$ is the edge following x in P): we are saying that the sign changes iff the component assigned to the start of x is 2 and the component assigned to the target of x is 1.

Similarly, for each such summand, we can perform the operation of removing an $x \in I'_X$ and $y = \phi(x) \in (I \cap Y)$, and adding x to J_X and y to J_Y in such a way that the component assignments remain the same: in this case, x ends up in J'_X . Again, we get a sign change just in the event that $c''_{g(x)} > c''_{g(x)+1}$.

So, if we sum up all summands which can be obtained from each other by applying the above two operations, we will get zero unless all sign changes in the above two paragraphs are positive. But, this cannot happen if J'_X or $I \cap X$ was originally nonempty for the following reason:

$$0 = \sum_{x \in X} (c''_{g(x)} - c''_{g(x)+1}) = \sum_{x \in I'_X \cup J'_X} c''_{g(x)} - c''_{g(x)+1}, \quad (3.4.4)$$

so that one of the summands on the right-hand side must be negative (since $J'_X \cup I'_X \neq \emptyset$ shows that the last summand is nonempty, and each summand is ± 1 in that last summand). The justification for passing from the first to the second summation in (3.4.4) is that the only nonzero terms we have eliminated in doing so are those that correspond to $x \in J_X \cup I \cap X$ such that x is paired by ϕ or ψ with another $x' \in X$, so that the first

summation includes both $c''_{g(x)} - c''_{g(x)+1}$ and $c''_{g(x')} - c''_{g(x')+1}$, which cancel pairwise.

We have proven that all summands in the left-hand side of (3.4.1) either correspond in a bijective fashion with a summand from the right-hand side, or else lie in a set of summands with nonempty I'_X and J'_X , whose contributions cancel. The proof of (3.4.1) is finished, so that $\text{Sym } L[\hbar]_{\text{Moyal}}$ is a bialgebra.

4 The antipode

Using Φ_W and the formula for the antipode in [Sch05], it immediately follows that our antipode $S : \text{Sym } L[\hbar]_{\text{Moyal}}$ is given by the formula

$$S(P_1 \& P_2 \& \cdots \& P_m) = (-1)^m P_1 \& P_2 \& \cdots \& P_m, \quad (4.0.5)$$

where each $P_i \in L$ is a necklace (i.e. a cyclic monomial or vertex idempotent). It is immediate that $S^2 = \text{Id}$. Indeed, S is diagonalizable with eigenvalues ± 1 and eigenvectors which are collections of necklaces of the form (2.1.2).

Unfortunately, a direct proof that (4.0.5) is the antipode for $\text{Sym } L[\hbar]_{\text{Moyal}}$ turned out to be too difficult. The authors are interested in any good proof of this fact from purely the Moyal point of view.

References

- [BLB02] Raf Bocklandt and Lieven Le Bruyn, *Necklace Lie algebras and noncommutative symplectic geometry*, Math. Z. **240** (2002), no. 1, 141–167.
- [CP94] Vyjayanthi Chari and Andrew Pressley, *A guide to quantum groups*, Cambridge University Press, 1994.
- [Gin01] Victor Ginzburg, *Non-commutative symplectic geometry, quiver varieties, and open rads*, Math. Res. Lett. **8** (2001), no. 3, 377–400.
- [Kon93] Maxim Kontsevich, *Formal (non)commutative symplectic geometry*, The Gelfand Mathematical Seminars, 1990–1992, Birkh"auser Boston, MA, 1993, pp. 173–182.
- [Moy49] J. E. Moyal, *Quantum mechanics as a statistical theory*, Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc. **45** (1949), 99–124.

[Sch05] Travis Schedler, *A Hopf algebra quantizing a necklace Lie algebra canonically associated to a quiver*, Int. Math. Res. Not. (2005), IMRN/14217, to appear; see also math.QA/0406200.

V.G.: Department of Mathematics, University of Chicago, Chicago IL 60637, USA;
ginzburg@math.uchicago.edu

T.S.: Department of Mathematics, University of Chicago, Chicago IL 60637, USA;
trasched@math.uchicago.edu