

# CLASSIFICATION OF DEFORMATION QUANTIZATION ALGEBROIDS ON COMPLEX SYMPLECTIC MANIFOLDS

PIETRO POLESELLO

ABSTRACT. Deformation quantization algebroids over a complex symplectic manifold  $X$  are locally given by rings of WKB operators, that is, microdifferential operators with an extra central parameter  $\tau$ . In this paper, we will show that such algebroids are classified by  $H^2(X; k_X^*)$ , where  $k^*$  is a subgroup of the group of invertible formal power series in  $\tau^{-1}$ .

**Mathematics Subject Classification:** 46L65, 35A27, 18G5

## INTRODUCTION

Let  $M$  be a complex manifold, and  $T^*M$  its cotangent bundle endowed with the canonical symplectic structure. Let  $\mathcal{W}_M$  be the sheaf of rings of WKB operators, that is, microdifferential operators with an extra central parameter  $\tau$ . This ring provides a of  $T^*M$ . Recall that the order of the operators defines a filtration on  $\mathcal{W}_M$  such that its associated graded ring is isomorphic to  $\mathcal{O}_{T^*M}[\tau^{-1}, \tau]$ . Then, any filtered sheaf of rings which has  $\mathcal{O}_{T^*M}[\tau^{-1}, \tau]$  as graduate ring and which is locally isomorphic to  $\mathcal{W}_M$  gives another deformation quantization of  $T^*M$ . We call such an object a WKB-algebra.

On a complex symplectic manifold  $X$  there may not exist a sheaf of rings of WKB operators, that is, a sheaf locally isomorphic to  $i^{-1}\mathcal{W}_M$ , for any symplectic local chart  $i: X \supset U \rightarrow T^*M$ . However, it is always defined an algebroid  $\mathfrak{W}_X$ , which consists, roughly speaking, in considering the whole family of locally defined sheaves of WKB operators. This gives a deformation quantization of  $X$  (see [12, 14, 17, 9]). Again, the algebroid  $\mathfrak{W}_X$  is filtered and its associated graded is the

---

The author had the occasion of visiting Keio University and RIMS of Kyoto University during the preparation of this paper. Their hospitality is gratefully acknowledged.

trivial algebroid  $\mathcal{O}_X[\tau^{-1}, \tau]$ . Then we may define a WKB-algebroid to be a filtered algebroid with the same graded as  $\mathfrak{W}_X$ , and which is locally equivalent to  $\mathfrak{W}_X$ . As before, any of these objects provides a deformation quantization of  $X$ .

The purpose of this paper is to show that WKB-algebroids are classified by  $H^2(X; k_X^*)$ , where  $k^*$  is a subgroup of the group of invertible formal Laurent series in  $\tau^{-1}$ .

We refer to [7] for the classification of deformation quantization algebras on real symplectic manifolds.

The paper is organized as follows: we start by recalling the definition of WKB operator and that of WKB-algebra on  $T^*M$ , and by giving their classification. We then recall the main definitions and properties of filtered and graded stacks, and those of cohomology with values in a stack. With these tools at hand, we may define the WKB-algebroids on  $X$  and give their classification.

**Acknowledgement** We wish to thank Masaki Kashiwara for useful suggestions.

## 1. WKB-ALGEBRAS

The relation between Sato's microdifferential operators and WKB operators<sup>1</sup> is classical, and is discussed e.g. in [16, 1]. We follow here the presentation in [17], and we refer to [18, 11, 13] for the theory of microdifferential operators.

Let  $M$  be a complex manifold, and denote by  $\rho: J^1M \rightarrow T^*M$  the projection from the 1-jet bundle to the cotangent bundle. Let  $(t; \tau)$  be the system of homogeneous symplectic coordinates on  $T^*\mathbb{C}$ , and recall that  $J^1M$  is identified with the affine chart of the projective cotangent bundle  $P^*(M \times \mathbb{C})$  given by  $\tau \neq 0$ . Denote by  $\mathcal{E}_{M \times \mathbb{C}}$  the sheaf of microdifferential operators on  $P^*(M \times \mathbb{C})$ . In a local coordinate system  $(x, t)$  on  $M \times \mathbb{C}$ , consider the subring  $\mathcal{E}_{M \times \mathbb{C}, \hbar}^{\sqrt{\hbar}}$  of operators commuting with  $\partial_t$ . The ring of WKB operators is defined by

$$\mathcal{W}_M = \rho_*(\mathcal{E}_{M \times \mathbb{C}, \hbar}^{\sqrt{\hbar}}|_{J^1M}).$$

In a local coordinate system  $(x)$  on  $M$ , with associated symplectic local coordinates  $(x; u)$  on  $T^*M$ , a WKB operator  $P$  of order  $m$  defined

---

<sup>1</sup>WKB stands for Wentzel-Kramer-Brillouin.

on an open subset  $U$  of  $T^*M$  has a total symbol

$$\sigma(P) = \sum_{j=-\infty}^m p_j(x; u) \tau^j,$$

where the  $p_j$ 's are holomorphic functions on  $U$  subject to the estimates

$$(1.1) \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{for any compact subset } K \text{ of } U \text{ there exists a constant} \\ C_K > 0 \text{ such that for all } j < 0, \sup_K |p_j| \leq C_K^{-j} (-j)!. \end{array} \right.$$

The product structure on  $\mathcal{W}_M$  is given by the Leibniz formula not involving  $\tau$ -derivatives. If  $Q$  is another WKB operator defined on  $U$  of total symbol  $\sigma(Q)$ , then

$$\sigma(P \circ Q) = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n} \frac{\tau^{-|\alpha|}}{\alpha!} \partial_u^\alpha \sigma(P) \partial_x^\alpha \sigma(Q).$$

**Remark 1.1.** The ring  $\mathcal{W}_M$  is a deformation quantization of  $T^*M$  in the following sense. Setting  $\hbar = \tau^{-1}$ , the sheaf of formal WKB operators (obtained by dropping the estimates (1.1)) of degree less than or equal to 0 is locally isomorphic to  $\mathcal{O}_{T^*M}[[\hbar]]$  as  $\mathbb{C}_{T^*M}$ -modules (via the total symbol), and it is equipped with an unitary associative product (the Leibniz rule) which induces a star-product on  $\mathcal{O}_{T^*M}[[\hbar]]$ .

Recall that the center of  $\mathcal{W}_M$  is the constant sheaf  $k_{T^*M}$  with stalk the subfield  $k = \mathcal{W}_{\text{pt}} \subset \mathbb{C}[[\tau^{-1}, \tau]]$  of WKB operators over a point, *i.e.* series  $\sum_j a_j \tau^j$  which satisfy the estimate:

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{there exists a constant } C > 0 \text{ such that} \\ \text{for all } j < 0, |a_j| \leq C^{-j} (-j)!. \end{array} \right.$$

The sheaf  $\mathcal{W}_M$  is filtered (over  $\mathbb{Z}$ ), and one denotes by  $\mathcal{W}_M(m)$  the sheaf of operators of order less than or equal to  $m$ . We denote by

$$\sigma_m(\cdot) : \mathcal{W}_M(m) \rightarrow \mathcal{W}_M(m) / \mathcal{W}_M(m-1) \simeq \mathcal{O}_{T^*M} \cdot \tau^m$$

the symbol map of order  $m$ . This function does not depend on the local coordinate system on  $X$ . If  $\sigma_m(P)$  is not identically zero, then one says that  $P$  has order  $m$  and  $\sigma_m(P)$  is called the principal symbol of  $P$ . In particular, an element  $P$  in  $\mathcal{W}_M$  is invertible if and only if its principal symbol is nowhere vanishing. Moreover, the principal symbol map induces an isomorphism of graded rings:

$$\sigma : \mathcal{G}r(\mathcal{W}_M) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{O}_{T^*M}[\tau^{-1}, \tau].$$

Let  $\Omega_M$  be the canonical sheaf on  $M$ , that is, the sheaf of forms of top degree. Recall that each locally defined volume form  $\theta \in \Omega_M$  gives rise to a local isomorphism  $*_\theta: \mathcal{W}_M^{\text{op}} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{W}_M$ , which sends an operator  $P$  to its formal adjoint  $P^{*\theta}$  with respect to  $\theta$ . Twisting  $\mathcal{W}_M$  by  $\Omega_M$ , one then gets a globally defined isomorphism of rings

$$\mathcal{W}_M^{\text{op}} \xrightarrow{\sim} \pi^{-1}\Omega_M \otimes \mathcal{W}_M \otimes \pi^{-1}\Omega_M^{\otimes -1} \quad P \mapsto \theta \otimes P^{*\theta} \otimes \theta^{\otimes -1},$$

which does not depend on the choice of the volume form. (Here  $\pi: T^*M \rightarrow M$  denotes the natural projection,  $\Omega_M^{\otimes -1}$  the  $\mathcal{O}_M$ -dual of  $\Omega_M$  and the tensor product is over  $\pi^{-1}\mathcal{O}_M$ .) This leads to replace the ring  $\mathcal{W}_M$  by its twisted version by half-forms<sup>2</sup>

$$\mathcal{W}_M^{\sqrt{v}} = \pi^{-1}\Omega_M^{\otimes 1/2} \otimes \mathcal{W}_M \otimes \pi^{-1}\Omega_M^{\otimes -1/2}.$$

The  $k$ -algebra  $\mathcal{W}_M^{\sqrt{v}}$  is locally isomorphic to  $\mathcal{W}_M$  and has the following properties:

- (i) it is filtered;
- (ii) there is an isomorphism of graded rings

$$\sigma: \mathcal{G}r(\mathcal{W}_M^{\sqrt{v}}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{O}_{T^*M}[\tau^{-1}, \tau];$$

- (iii) it is endowed with an anti-involution, *i.e.* an isomorphism of rings

$$*: (\mathcal{W}_M^{\sqrt{v}})^{\text{op}} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{W}_M^{\sqrt{v}} \quad \text{such that } *^2 = \text{id}.$$

This suggests the following

**Definition 1.2.** A WKB-algebra on  $T^*M$  is a sheaf of  $k$ -algebras  $\mathcal{A}$  together with

- (i) a filtration  $\{F_m \mathcal{A}\}_{m \in \mathbb{Z}}$ ;
- (ii) an isomorphism of graded rings  $\nu: \mathcal{G}r(\mathcal{A}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{O}_{T^*M}[\tau^{-1}, \tau]$ ;
- (iii) an anti-involution  $\iota$ ;

such that the triplet  $(\mathcal{A}, \nu, \iota)$  is locally isomorphic to  $(\mathcal{W}_M^{\sqrt{v}}, \sigma, *)$ .

A morphism of WKB-algebras is a  $k$ -algebra morphism compatible with the structures (i), (ii) and (iii).

---

<sup>2</sup>Recall that the sections of  $\mathcal{W}_M^{\sqrt{v}}$  are locally defined by  $\theta^{\otimes 1/2} \otimes P \otimes \theta^{\otimes -1/2}$  for a volume form  $\theta$  and an operator  $P$ , with the equivalence relation  $\theta_1^{\otimes 1/2} \otimes P_1 \otimes \theta_1^{\otimes -1/2} = \theta_2^{\otimes 1/2} \otimes P_2 \otimes \theta_2^{\otimes -1/2}$  if and only if  $P_2 = (\theta_1/\theta_2)^{1/2} P_1 (\theta_1/\theta_2)^{-1/2}$ .

By definition, an isomorphism of WKB-algebras  $\varphi: \mathcal{A}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_2$  is a  $k$ -algebra isomorphism commuting with the anti-involutions, mapping  $F_m \mathcal{A}_1$  to  $F_m \mathcal{A}_2$  in such a way that  $\nu_m^2(\varphi(P)) = \nu_m^1(P)$  for all  $P \in F_m \mathcal{A}_1$ . (Here  $\nu_m^i$  denotes the symbol map  $F_m(\mathcal{A}_i) \rightarrow F_m(\mathcal{A}_i)/F_{m-1}(\mathcal{A}_i) \simeq \mathcal{O}_{T^*M} \cdot \tau^m$  of order  $m$ , for  $i = 1, 2$ .) This translates to WKB operators the notion of equivalence between star-products. Hence any (formal) WKB-algebra provides a deformation quantization of  $T^*M$ . See [2, 3] for similar definitions in the context of microdifferential and Toeplitz operators.

**Example 1.3.** Let  $f: T^*M \rightarrow T^*M$  be a symplectic transformation. Then  $\mathcal{W}_M^{\sqrt{v}}$  induces an anti-involution on  $f^{-1}\mathcal{W}_M^{\sqrt{v}}$  and a filtration such that the associated graded ring is isomorphic (via  $f$ ) to  $\mathcal{O}_{T^*M}[\tau^{-1}, \tau]$ . By [17], locally there exists a Quantized Symplectic Transformation over  $f$ , that is, an isomorphism  $f^{-1}\mathcal{W}_M^{\sqrt{v}} \simeq \mathcal{W}_M^{\sqrt{v}}$  of filtered  $k$ -algebras, commuting with the anti-involutions and which preserves the graded rings. It follows that  $f^{-1}\mathcal{W}_M^{\sqrt{v}}$  is a WKB-algebra.

Denote by  $\mathcal{A}ut_{\text{WKB}}(\mathcal{W}_M^{\sqrt{v}})$  the group of WKB-algebra automorphisms of  $\mathcal{W}_M^{\sqrt{v}}$  and set

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{W}_M^{\sqrt{v},*} &= \{P \in \mathcal{W}_M^{\sqrt{v}}; P \text{ has order } 0, \sigma_0(P) = 1 \text{ and } PP^* = 1\}, \\ k^* &= \{s(\tau) \in k; s(\tau) = 1 + \sum_{j < 0} a_j \tau^j \text{ and } s(\tau)s(-\tau) = 1\}. \end{aligned}$$

Note that  $\mathcal{W}_M^{\sqrt{v},*}$  is a subgroup of the group  $\mathcal{W}_M^{\sqrt{v},\times}$  of invertible WKB operators, and that  $k^* = \mathcal{W}_{\text{pt}}^{\sqrt{v},*}$ .

**Lemma 1.4.** (cf [17]) *There is an exact sequence of groups on  $T^*M$*

$$(1.2) \quad 1 \rightarrow k_{T^*M}^* \rightarrow \mathcal{W}_M^{\sqrt{v},*} \xrightarrow{\text{ad}} \mathcal{A}ut_{\text{WKB}}(\mathcal{W}_M^{\sqrt{v}}) \rightarrow 1,$$

where  $\text{ad}(P)(Q) = PQP^{-1}$  for any  $P \in \mathcal{W}_M^{\sqrt{v},*}$  and  $Q \in \mathcal{W}_M^{\sqrt{v}}$ .

The set of isomorphism classes of WKB-algebras on  $T^*M$  is in bijection with  $H^1(T^*M; \mathcal{A}ut_{\text{WKB}}(\mathcal{W}_M^{\sqrt{v}}))$ . Hence we get

**Corollary 1.5.** *WKB-algebras on  $T^*M$  are classified by the pointed set  $H^1(T^*M; \mathcal{W}_M^{\sqrt{v},*}/k_{T^*M}^*)$ .*

## 2. FILTERED AND GRADED STACKS

To define WKB-algebroids, we need to translate the notions of filtration and graduation from sheaves to stacks. We start here by recalling what a filtered (resp. graded) category is and how to associate a graded category to a filtered one. Then we stakify these definitions. We assume that the reader is familiar with the basic notions from the theory of stacks which are, roughly speaking, sheaves of categories. (The classical reference is [10], and a short presentation is given *e.g.* in [12, 8].)

Let  $R$  be a commutative ring.

**Definition 2.1.** A filtered (resp. graded)  $R$ -category is an  $R$ -category<sup>3</sup>  $\mathbf{C}$  such that:

- for any objects  $P, Q \in \mathbf{C}$ , the  $R$ -module  $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{C}}(P, Q)$  is filtered (resp. graded) over  $\mathbb{Z}$ ;
- for any  $P, Q, R \in \mathbf{C}$  and any morphisms  $f$  in  $F_m \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{C}}(Q, R)$  (resp. in  $G_m \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{C}}(Q, R)$ ) and  $g$  in  $F_n \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{C}}(P, Q)$  (resp. in  $G_n \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{C}}(P, Q)$ ), the composition  $f \circ g$  is in  $F_{m+n} \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{C}}(P, R)$  (resp. in  $G_{m+n} \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{C}}(P, R)$ );
- for each  $P \in \mathbf{C}$ , the identity morphism  $\mathrm{id}_P$  is in  $F_0 \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{C}}(P, P)$  (resp. in  $G_0 \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{C}}(P, P)$ ).

A filtered (resp. graded)  $R$ -functor is an  $R$ -functor which respects the filtrations (resp. graduations) at the level of morphisms.

To any filtered  $R$ -category  $\mathbf{C}$  there is an associated graded  $R$ -category  $\mathrm{Gr}(\mathbf{C})$ , whose objects are the same of those of  $\mathbf{C}$  and the morphisms are defined by  $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{Gr}(\mathbf{C})}(P, Q) = \mathrm{Gr}(\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{C}}(P, Q))$  for any objects  $P, Q$ . In this way, we get a functor from filtered  $R$ -categories to graded ones.

Following the presentation in [9], recall that there is a fully faithful functor from filtered (resp. graded)  $R$ -algebras to filtered (resp. graded)  $R$ -categories, which sends a filtered (resp. graded)  $R$ -algebra  $A$  the category  $A^+$  with a single object  $\bullet$  and  $\mathrm{End}(\bullet) = A$  as set of morphisms. Hence, the functors  $\mathrm{Gr}$  and  $^+$  commutes, that is, for any filtered  $R$ -algebra  $A$  one has  $\mathrm{Gr}(A^+) = \mathrm{Gr}(A)^+$ .

Note that, if  $A$  is a filtered  $R$ -algebra, the  $R$ -category  $\mathbf{Mod}_F(A)$  of filtered left  $A$ -modules has a natural filtration: for any filtered  $A$ -modules  $M$  and  $N$ , one sets  $F_m \mathrm{Hom}_{FA}(M, N) = \mathrm{Hom}_{FA}(M, N(m))$ , where

<sup>3</sup>Recall that an  $R$ -category is a category whose sets of morphisms are endowed with an  $R$ -module structure, so that composition is bilinear. An  $R$ -functor is a functor between  $R$ -categories which is linear at the level of morphisms.

$N(m)$  has the same underlying  $A$ -module as  $N$ , and the filtration is given by  $F_n N(m) = F_{n+m} N$ . One easily checks that  $\mathbf{Mod}_F(A)$  is equivalent to the category  $\mathbf{Hom}_F(A^+, \mathbf{Mod}_F(R))$  of filtered  $R$ -functors from  $A^+$  to  $\mathbf{Mod}_F(R)$  and that the Yoneda embedding

$$A^+ \rightarrow \mathbf{Hom}_F((A^+)^{\text{op}}, \mathbf{Mod}_F(R)) \approx \mathbf{Mod}_F(A^{\text{op}})$$

identifies  $A^+$  with the full subcategory of filtered right  $A$ -modules which are free of rank one. Everything remains true replacing filtered algebras and categories by graded ones.

Let  $X$  be a topological space, and  $\mathcal{R}$  a sheaf of commutative rings.

As for categories, there are natural notions of filtered (resp. graded)  $\mathcal{R}$ -stack, and of filtered (resp. graded)  $\mathcal{R}$ -functor between filtered (resp. graded)  $\mathcal{R}$ -stacks.

As above, we denote by  $+$  the (faithful and locally full) functor from filtered (resp. graded)  $\mathcal{R}$ -algebras to filtered (resp. graded)  $\mathcal{R}$ -categories, which sends a filtered (resp. graded)  $\mathcal{R}$ -algebra  $\mathcal{A}$  to the stack  $\mathcal{A}^+$  defined as follows: it is the stack associated with the separated prestack  $X \supset U \mapsto \mathcal{A}(U)^+$ .

If  $\mathcal{A}$  is a filtered  $\mathcal{R}$ -algebra, then the stack  $\mathbf{Mod}_F(\mathcal{A})$  of filtered left  $\mathcal{A}$ -modules is filtered and equivalent to the stack of filtered functors  $\mathfrak{Hom}_F(\mathcal{A}^+, \mathbf{Mod}_F(\mathcal{R}))$ , and the Yoneda embedding gives a fully faithful functor

$$\mathcal{A}^+ \rightarrow \mathfrak{Hom}_F((\mathcal{A}^+)^{\text{op}}, \mathbf{Mod}_F(\mathcal{R})) \approx \mathbf{Mod}_F(\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}})$$

into the stack of filtered right  $\mathcal{A}$ -modules. This identifies  $\mathcal{A}^+$  with the full substack of locally free filtered right  $\mathcal{A}$ -modules of rank one. As above, everything remains true replacing filtered algebras and stacks by graded ones.

Let  $\mathfrak{S}$  be a filtered  $\mathcal{R}$ -stack. We denote by  $\mathcal{Gr}(\mathfrak{S})$  the graded stack associated to the pre-stack  $X \supset U \mapsto \text{Gr}(\mathfrak{S}(U))$ .

**Proposition 2.2.** *Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a filtered  $\mathcal{R}$ -algebra and  $\mathcal{Gr}(\mathcal{A})$  its associated graded ring. Then there is an equivalence of graded stacks  $\mathcal{Gr}(\mathcal{A}^+) \approx \mathcal{Gr}(\mathcal{A})^+$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\mathcal{L}$  be a locally free right filtered  $\mathcal{A}$ -module of rank one (that is, an object of  $\mathcal{A}^+$ ). Its associated graded module  $\mathcal{Gr}(\mathcal{L})$  is a locally free right graded  $\mathcal{Gr}(\mathcal{A})$ -module of rank one (that is, an object of  $\mathcal{Gr}(\mathcal{A})^+$ ). Hence the assignment  $\mathcal{L} \mapsto$

$\mathcal{Gr}(\mathcal{L})$  induces a functor  $\mathcal{Gr}(\mathcal{A}^+) \rightarrow \mathcal{Gr}(\mathcal{A})^+$  of graded stacks. Since at

each  $x \in X$  this reduces to the equality  $\mathrm{Gr}(\mathcal{A}_x^+) = \mathrm{Gr}(\mathcal{A}_x)^+$ , it follows that it is a global equivalence.  $\square$

Recall from [14, 9] that an  $\mathcal{R}$ -algebroid stack is an  $\mathcal{R}$ -stack  $\mathfrak{A}$  which is locally non-empty and locally connected by isomorphisms. Equivalently, for any  $x \in X$  there exist an open subset  $U \subset X$  containing  $x$  and an  $\mathcal{R}$ -algebra  $\mathcal{A}$  on  $U$  such that  $\mathfrak{A}|_U \approx \mathcal{A}^+$ .

**Corollary 2.3.** *Let  $\mathfrak{A}$  be a filtered  $\mathcal{R}$ -stack. If  $\mathfrak{A}$  is an  $\mathcal{R}$ -algebroid stack, then its associated graded stack  $\mathcal{G}r(\mathfrak{A})$  is again an  $\mathcal{R}$ -algebroid stack.*

### 3. WKB-ALGEBROIDS

Let  $(X, \omega)$  be a complex symplectic manifold. Recall that a local model for  $X$  is an open subset  $U$  of the cotangent bundle  $T^*M$  of a complex manifold  $M$ , equipped with the canonical symplectic form. Although there may not exist a globally defined WKB-algebra on  $X$ , that is, a sheaf locally isomorphic to  $i^{-1}\mathcal{W}_M$  for any symplectic local chart  $i: X \supset U \rightarrow T^*M$ , Polesello-Schapira [17] defined a canonical stack of WKB-modules on  $X$ . Following [9], this result may be restated as:

**Theorem 3.1.** *On any complex symplectic manifold  $X$  there exists a canonical  $k$ -stack  $\mathfrak{W}_X$  which is locally equivalent to  $(i^{-1}\mathcal{W}_M^{\sqrt{v}})^+$  for any symplectic local chart  $i: X \supset U \rightarrow T^*M$ .*

By definition,  $\mathfrak{W}_X$  is a  $k$ -algebroid stack. Hence there exists a canonical WKB-algebra on  $X$  if and only if  $\mathfrak{W}_X$  has a global object.

**Proposition 3.2.** *The  $k$ -algebroid stack  $\mathfrak{W}_X$  has the following properties:*

- (i') *it is filtered;*
- (ii') *there is a natural equivalence of graded stacks*

$$\sigma: \mathcal{G}r(\mathfrak{W}_X) \xrightarrow{\cong} (\mathcal{O}_X[\tau^{-1}, \tau])^+;$$

- (iii') *it is endowed with an anti-involution  $*$ , that is, with a linear equivalence*

$$*: \mathfrak{W}_X^{\mathrm{op}} \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathfrak{W}_X$$

*and an invertible transformation  $\epsilon: *^2 \Rightarrow \mathrm{id}_{\mathfrak{W}_X}$  such that the transformations  $\epsilon \mathrm{id}_*: *^3 \Rightarrow *$  and  $\mathrm{id}_* \epsilon: * \Rightarrow *^3$  are inverse one to each other.*

We may mimic the definition of WKB-algebra and get the following

**Definition 3.3.** A WKB-algebroid on  $X$  is a  $k$ -stack  $\mathfrak{A}$  endowed with

- (i)<sup>+</sup> a filtration;
- (ii)<sup>+</sup> an equivalence of graded stacks  $\nu: \mathcal{G}r(\mathfrak{A}) \xrightarrow{\cong} (\mathcal{O}_X[\tau^{-1}, \tau])^+$ ;
- (iii)<sup>+</sup> an anti-involution  $\iota$ ;

such that the triplet  $(\mathfrak{A}, \nu, \iota)$  is locally equivalent to  $(\mathfrak{W}_X, \sigma, \star)$ .

A functor of WKB-algebroids is a  $k$ -functor compatible with the structures (i)<sup>+</sup>, (ii)<sup>+</sup> and (iii)<sup>+</sup>.

As (formal) WKB-algebras give the deformation quantizations of  $T^*M$ , we may say that (formal) WKB-algebroids provide the deformation quantizations of  $X$ .

**Definition 3.4.** We call  $\mathfrak{W}_X$  the canonical WKB-algebroid on  $X$ .

#### 4. COHOMOLOGY WITH VALUES IN A STACK

As for classifying WKB-algebras one uses cohomology with values in a sheaf of groups, so to classify WKB-algebroids we need a cohomology theory with values in a stack with group-like properties. In this section we briefly recall the definition of cohomology with values in a stack and show how to describe it explicitly by means of the notion of crossed module. References are made to [4, 5].

We assume that the reader is familiar with the notions of monoidal category and monoidal functor. (The classical reference is [15].)

Let  $X$  be a topological space.

**Definition 4.1.** (i) A 2-group<sup>4</sup> is a rigid monoidal groupoid, *i.e.* a monoidal category  $(\mathbf{G}, \otimes, \mathbf{1})$  with all the morphisms invertible and such that for any object  $P \in \mathbf{G}$  there exist an object  $Q$  and natural morphisms  $P \otimes Q \simeq \mathbf{1}$  and  $Q \otimes P \simeq \mathbf{1}$ . A functor of 2-groups is a monoidal functor between the underlying monoidal categories.

(ii) A pre-stack (resp. stack) of 2-groups on  $X$  is a pre-stack (resp. stack)  $\mathfrak{G}$  such that for each open subset  $U \subset X$ , the category  $\mathfrak{G}(U)$  is 2-group and the restriction functors are functors of 2-groups.

---

<sup>4</sup>We follow here the terminology of Baez-Lauda [*Higher-dimensional algebra V: 2-groups*, e-print (2004) [arXiv:math.QA/0307200](https://arxiv.org/abs/math/0307200)], which seems to us more friendly than the classical one of *gr*-category due to Grothendieck.

If there is no risk of confusion, a stack of 2-groups on  $X$  will be simply called a 2-group on  $X$ .

**Example 4.2.** Let  $\mathcal{G}$  be a sheaf of groups on  $X$ .

- (i) The discrete stack  $\mathcal{G}[0]$  defined by trivially enriching  $\mathcal{G}$  with identity arrows is a 2-group on  $X$ .
- (ii) Let  $\mathcal{G}[1]$  be the stack in groupoids associated to the separated pre-stack whose category on an open subset  $U \subset X$  has a single object  $\bullet$  and  $\text{End}(\bullet) = \mathcal{G}(U)$  as set of morphisms. Then  $\mathcal{G}[1]$  is equivalent to the stack of right  $\mathcal{G}$ -torsors and it defines a 2-group on  $X$  if and only if  $\mathcal{G}$  is commutative.

Let  $\mathfrak{G}$  be a pre-stack of 2-groups on  $X$ . We define the 0-th cohomology group of  $X$  with values in  $\mathfrak{G}$  to be

$$H^0(X; \mathfrak{G}) = \varinjlim_{\mathcal{U}} H^0(\mathcal{U}; \mathfrak{G}),$$

where  $\mathcal{U}$  ranges over open coverings of  $X$ . For an open covering  $\mathcal{U} = \{U_i\}_{i \in I}$ , the elements of  $H^0(\mathcal{U}; \mathfrak{G})$  are represented by pairs  $(\{\mathcal{P}_i\}, \{\alpha_{ij}\})$  (the 0-cocycles), where  $\mathcal{P}_i$  is an object in  $\mathfrak{G}(U_i)$  and  $\alpha_{ij}: \mathcal{P}_j \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{P}_i$  is an isomorphism on double intersection  $U_{ij} = U_i \cap U_j$ , such that  $\alpha_{ij} \circ \alpha_{jk} = \alpha_{ik}$  on triple intersection  $U_{ijk}$ , with the relation  $(\{\mathcal{P}_i\}, \{\alpha_{ij}\})$  is equivalent to  $(\{\mathcal{P}'_i\}, \{\alpha'_{ij}\})$  if and only if there exists an isomorphism  $\delta_i: \mathcal{P}'_i \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{P}_i$  compatible with  $\alpha_{ij}$  and  $\alpha'_{ij}$  on  $U_{ij}$ .

Note that, if  $\mathfrak{G}$  is a stack of 2-groups, then  $H^0(X; \mathfrak{G})$  is isomorphic to the group of isomorphism classes of objects in  $\mathfrak{G}(X)$ .

Similarly, the 1-st cohomology (pointed) set of  $X$  with values in  $\mathfrak{G}$  is defined as

$$H^1(X; \mathfrak{G}) = \varinjlim_{\mathcal{U}} H^1(\mathcal{U}; \mathfrak{G}),$$

where  $\mathcal{U}$  ranges over open coverings of  $X$ . For an open covering  $\mathcal{U} = \{U_i\}_{i \in I}$ , the elements of  $H^1(\mathcal{U}; \mathfrak{G})$  are given by pairs  $(\{\mathcal{P}_{ij}\}, \{\alpha_{ijk}\})$  (the 1-cocycles), where  $\mathcal{P}_{ij}$  is an object in  $\mathfrak{G}(U_{ij})$  and  $\alpha_{ijk}: \mathcal{P}_{ij} \otimes \mathcal{P}_{jk} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{P}_{ik}$  is an isomorphism on  $U_{ijk}$  such that the diagram on quadruple intersection  $U_{ijkl}$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{P}_{ij} \otimes \mathcal{P}_{jk} \otimes \mathcal{P}_{kl} & \xrightarrow{\alpha_{ijk} \otimes \text{id}_{\mathcal{P}_{kl}}} & \mathcal{P}_{ik} \otimes \mathcal{P}_{kl} \\ \downarrow \text{id}_{\mathcal{P}_{ij}} \otimes \alpha_{jkl} & & \downarrow \alpha_{ikl} \\ \mathcal{P}_{ij} \otimes \mathcal{P}_{jl} & \xrightarrow{\alpha_{ijl}} & \mathcal{P}_{il} \end{array}$$

commutes. The 1-cocycles  $(\{\mathcal{P}_{ij}\}, \{\alpha_{ijk}\})$  and  $(\{\mathcal{P}'_{ij}\}, \{\alpha'_{ijk}\})$  are equivalent if and only if there exists a pair  $(\{\mathcal{Q}_i\}, \{\delta_{ij}\})$ , with  $\mathcal{Q}_i$  an object of  $\mathfrak{S}(U_i)$  and  $\delta_{ij}: \mathcal{P}'_{ij} \otimes \mathcal{Q}_j \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{Q}_i \otimes \mathcal{P}_{ij}$  an isomorphism on  $U_{ij}$  such that the diagram on  $U_{ijk}$

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 \mathcal{P}'_{ij} \otimes \mathcal{P}'_{jk} \otimes \mathcal{Q}_k & \xrightarrow{\text{id}_{\mathcal{P}'_{ij}} \otimes \delta_{jk}} & \mathcal{P}'_{ij} \otimes \mathcal{Q}_j \otimes \mathcal{P}_{jk} & \xrightarrow{\delta_{ij} \otimes \text{id}_{\mathcal{P}_{jk}}} & \mathcal{Q}_i \otimes \mathcal{P}_{ij} \otimes \mathcal{P}_{jk} \\
 \downarrow \alpha'_{ijk} \otimes \text{id}_{\mathcal{Q}_k} & & & & \downarrow \text{id}_{\mathcal{Q}_i} \otimes \alpha_{ijk} \\
 \mathcal{P}'_{ik} \otimes \mathcal{Q}_k & \xrightarrow{\delta_{ik}} & & & \mathcal{Q}_i \otimes \mathcal{P}_{ik}
 \end{array}$$

commutes.

In the rest of the section we will give a more explicit description of the cohomology with values in a stack by means of cocycles with values in a crossed module. (This was Breen's approach to non abelian cohomology of Giraud [10].)

**Definition 4.3.** A crossed module on  $X$  is a complex of sheaves of groups  $\mathcal{G}^{-1} \xrightarrow{d} \mathcal{G}^0$  endowed with a left action of  $\mathcal{G}^0$  on  $\mathcal{G}^{-1}$  such that for any local sections  $g \in \mathcal{G}^0$  and  $h, h' \in \mathcal{G}^{-1}$  one has

$$d(g h) = \text{ad}(g)(d(h)) \quad d(h') h = \text{ad}(h')(h).$$

(Here we use the convention as in [5] for which  $\mathcal{G}^i$  is in  $i$ -th degree.)

A morphism of crossed modules is a morphism of complexes compatible with the actions in the natural way.

Associated to each crossed module  $\mathcal{G}^{-1} \xrightarrow{d} \mathcal{G}^0$  there is 2-group on  $X$ , which we denote by  $[\mathcal{G}^{-1} \xrightarrow{d} \mathcal{G}^0]$ , defined as follows: it is the stack associated to the separated pre-stack of 2-groups whose objects on an open subset  $U \subset X$  are the sections  $g \in \mathcal{G}^0(U)$  with 2-group law  $g \otimes g' = gg'$ , and whose morphisms  $g \rightarrow g'$  are given by sections  $h \in \mathcal{G}^{-1}(U)$  such that  $g' = d(h)g$ , with the 2-group structure given by  $(g_1 \xrightarrow{h_1} g'_1) \otimes (g_2 \xrightarrow{h_2} g'_2) = g_1 g_2 \xrightarrow{h_1 g_1 h_2} g'_1 g'_2$ . Similarly, each morphism of crossed modules induces a functor of the corresponding 2-groups.

**Remark 4.4.** In fact, it is true that any 2-group on  $X$  comes from a crossed module. However, this result is not of practical use. We refer to [SGA4] for the proof of this fact in the commutative case and to [6] for the non commutative case on  $X = \text{pt}$ .

**Example 4.5.** Let  $\mathcal{G}$  be a sheaf of groups on  $X$ .

- (i) The 2-group defined by the crossed module  $1 \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$  is identified with  $\mathcal{G}[0]$ .
- (ii) If moreover  $\mathcal{G}$  is commutative, the complex  $\mathcal{G} \rightarrow 1$  is a crossed module and its associated 2-group is identified with  $\mathcal{G}[1]$ .

Let  $\mathcal{G}^{-1} \xrightarrow{d} \mathcal{G}^0$  be a crossed module on  $X$ . Then the cohomology of  $X$  with values in the 2-group  $[\mathcal{G}^{-1} \xrightarrow{d} \mathcal{G}^0]$  admits a very explicit description, which we recall below. This is usually referred as the (hyper-)cohomology of  $X$  with values in  $\mathcal{G}^{-1} \xrightarrow{d} \mathcal{G}^0$ .

By definition, an object  $\mathcal{P}$  of  $[\mathcal{G}^{-1} \xrightarrow{d} \mathcal{G}^0]$  on an open subset  $U \subset X$  is described by an open covering  $U = \bigcup_i U_i$  and sections  $\{g_i\} \in \mathcal{G}^0(U_i)$ , subject to the relation  $g_i = d(h_{ij})g_j$  on double intersections  $U_{ij}$ , for given sections  $\{h_{ij}\} \in \mathcal{G}^{-1}(U_{ij})$  satisfying  $h_{ij}h_{jk} = h_{ik}$  on triple intersections  $U_{ijk}$ . Hence, up to a refinement of the open covering  $\mathcal{U} = \{U_i\}_{i \in I}$  of  $X$ , the 0-cocycles on  $\mathcal{U}$  with values in  $[\mathcal{G}^{-1} \xrightarrow{d} \mathcal{G}^0]$ , may be described by pairs  $(\{g_i\}, \{h_{ij}\})$ , where  $g_i \in \mathcal{G}^0(U_i)$  and  $h_{ij} \in \mathcal{G}^{-1}(U_{ij})$  are sections satisfying the relations

$$\begin{cases} g_i = d(h_{ij})g_j & \text{in } \mathcal{G}^0(U_{ij}) \\ h_{ij}h_{jk} = h_{ik} & \text{in } \mathcal{G}^{-1}(U_{ijk}), \end{cases}$$

and  $(\{g_i\}, \{h_{ij}\})$  is equivalent to  $(\{g'_i\}, \{h'_{ij}\})$  if and only if there exist sections  $\{k_i\} \in \mathcal{G}^{-1}(U_i)$  such that the following relations hold

$$\begin{cases} g'_i = d(k_i)g_i \\ h'_{ij}k_j = k_i h_{ij}. \end{cases}$$

The same description for 1-cocycles needs some care, since one has to consider open coverings for any double intersection  $U_{ij}$ . In other words, one has to replace coverings by hypercoverings. Indices become thus very cumbersome, and we will not write them explicitly<sup>5</sup>. Hence the 1-cocycles on  $\mathcal{U}$  with values in  $[\mathcal{G}^{-1} \xrightarrow{d} \mathcal{G}^0]$ , may be described by pairs  $(\{g_{ij}\}, \{h_{ijk}\})$ , with  $g_{ij} \in \mathcal{G}^0(U_{ij})$  and  $h_{ijk} \in \mathcal{G}^{-1}(U_{ijk})$  satisfying

---

<sup>5</sup>Recall that, on a paracompact space, usual coverings are cofinal among hypercoverings

the relations

$$\begin{cases} g_{ij}g_{jk} = d(h_{ijk})g_{ik} & \text{in } \mathcal{G}^0(U_{ijk}) \\ h_{ijk}h_{ikl} = g_{ij}h_{jkl}h_{ijl} & \text{in } \mathcal{G}^{-1}(U_{ijkl}). \end{cases}$$

Moreover,  $(\{g_{ij}\}, \{h_{ijk}\})$  is equivalent to  $(\{g'_{ij}\}, \{h'_{ijk}\})$  if and only if there exists a pair  $(\{l_i\}, \{k_{ij}\})$ , with  $k_{ij} \in \mathcal{G}^{-1}(U_{ij})$  and  $l_i \in \mathcal{G}^0(U_i)$ , such that

$$\begin{cases} g'_{ij}l_j = d(k_{ij})l_i g_{ij} \\ h'_{ijk}k_{ik} = g'_{ij}k_{jk}k_{ij}^{l_i} h_{ijk}. \end{cases}$$

Taking the extremal cases  $[1 \rightarrow \mathcal{G}]$  and  $[\mathcal{G} \rightarrow 1]$ , the latter when the group  $\mathcal{G}$  is commutative, one easily recovers from the previous description the usual definition of the Čech cohomology of  $X$  with values in  $\mathcal{G}$ . Hence one has the following

**Proposition 4.6.** *Let  $\mathcal{G}$  be a sheaf of groups on  $X$ . Then there is an isomorphism (of groups if  $i = 0$ , of pointed sets if  $i = 1$ )*

$$H^i(X; \mathcal{G}[0]) \simeq H^i(X; \mathcal{G}).$$

*If moreover  $\mathcal{G}$  is commutative, then there are isomorphisms of groups (for  $i = 0, 1$ )*

$$H^i(X; \mathcal{G}[1]) \simeq H^{i+1}(X; \mathcal{G}).$$

## 5. CLASSIFICATION OF WKB-ALGEBROIDS

Let  $(X, \omega)$  be a complex symplectic manifold of dimension  $2n$  and  $\mathfrak{W}_X$  the canonical WKB-algebroid on  $X$ .

Let  $\mathfrak{A}$  be another WKB-algebroid. By definition, there exists an open covering  $X = \bigcup_i U_i$  such that  $\mathfrak{A}|_{U_i}$  is equivalent to  $\mathfrak{W}_X|_{U_i}$  as WKB-algebroids. Let  $\Phi_i: \mathfrak{A}|_{U_i} \rightarrow \mathfrak{W}_X|_{U_i}$  and  $\Psi_i: \mathfrak{W}_X|_{U_i} \rightarrow \mathfrak{A}|_{U_i}$  be quasi-inverse to each other. On double intersections  $U_{ij}$  there are WKB-algebroid equivalences  $\Phi_{ij} = \Phi_i \Psi_j: \mathfrak{W}_X|_{U_{ij}} \rightarrow \mathfrak{W}_X|_{U_{ij}}$ , and on triple intersections  $U_{ijk}$  there are invertible transformations  $\alpha_{ijk}: \Phi_{ij} \Phi_{jk} \Rightarrow \Phi_{ik}$  induced by  $\Psi_j \Phi_j \Rightarrow \text{id}$ . On quadruple intersections  $U_{ijkl}$  the following diagram commutes

$$(5.1) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \Phi_{ij} \Phi_{jk} \Phi_{kl} & \xrightarrow{\alpha_{ijk} \text{id}_{\Phi_{kl}}} & \Phi_{ik} \Phi_{kl} \\ \downarrow \text{id}_{\Phi_{ij}} \alpha_{jkl} & & \downarrow \alpha_{ikl} \\ \Phi_{ij} \Phi_{jl} & \xrightarrow{\alpha_{ijl}} & \Phi_{il}. \end{array}$$

It follows that WKB-algebroids are described by 1-cocycles  $(\Phi_{ij}, \alpha_{ijk})$  with values in the stack of 2-groups  $\mathfrak{Aut}_{\text{WKB}}(\mathfrak{W}_X)^\times$  of autoequivalences of  $\mathfrak{W}_X$  as WKB-algebroid. (Here the upper index  $\times$  means that all the non-invertible morphisms have been removed.)

Denote by  $\text{WKB}(X)$  the set of equivalence classes of WKB-algebroid on  $X$ , pointed by the class of  $\mathfrak{W}_X$ . Hence one gets an isomorphism of pointed sets

$$\text{WKB}(X) \simeq H^1(X; \mathfrak{Aut}_{\text{WKB}}(\mathfrak{W}_X)^\times).$$

Let us briefly recall how to describe more explicitly the 1-cocycle  $(\Phi_{ij}, \alpha_{ijk})$  attached to a WKB-algebroid  $\mathfrak{A}$ . We follow [17, 9].

By definition,  $\mathfrak{W}_X$  is locally equivalent to  $(f^{-1}\mathcal{W}_M^{\sqrt{v}})^+$  for any symplectic local chart  $f: X \supset U \rightarrow T^*M$ . Hence, up to a refinement of the open covering  $X = \bigcup_i U_i$ , one may suppose that  $\mathfrak{W}_X$  is equivalent on  $U_i$  to  $\mathcal{W}_i^{\sqrt{v}+} = (f_i^{-1}\mathcal{W}_M^{\sqrt{v}})^+$ , for a symplectic embedding  $f_i: U_i \rightarrow T^*M$  with  $M = \mathbb{C}^n$ . On  $U_{ij}$  the functor  $\Phi_{ij}: \mathcal{W}_j^{\sqrt{v}+} \rightarrow \mathcal{W}_i^{\sqrt{v}+}$  is then locally induced by WKB-algebra isomorphisms. Shrinking again the open covering, we may find an isomorphism of WKB-algebras  $\varphi_{ij}: \mathcal{W}_j^{\sqrt{v}} \rightarrow \mathcal{W}_i^{\sqrt{v}}$  on  $U_{ij}$  such that  $\varphi_{ij}^+ = \Phi_{ij}|_{U_{ij}}$ . On  $U_{ijk}$  we have an invertible transformation  $\alpha_{ijk}: \varphi_{ij}^+ \varphi_{jk}^+ \Rightarrow \varphi_{ik}^+$ , so that there exist a section  $P_{ijk} \in \mathcal{W}_i^{\sqrt{v},*}$  such that

$$\varphi_{ij} \varphi_{jk} = \text{ad}(P_{ijk}) \varphi_{ik}.$$

Finally, on  $U_{ijkl}$  the diagram (5.1) corresponds to the equality

$$P_{ijk} P_{ikl} = \varphi_{ij}(P_{jkl}) P_{ijl}.$$

The datum of  $(\{f_i\}, \{\varphi_{ij}\}, \{P_{ijk}\})$  as above is enough to reconstruct  $\mathfrak{A}$  (up to equivalence).

In the particular case of  $X = T^*M$ , one has  $f_i = \text{id}$ . A direct computation as above shows that there is an equivalence of 2-groups

$$(5.2) \quad \mathfrak{Aut}_{\text{WKB}}((\mathcal{W}_M^{\sqrt{v}})^+)^\times \approx \left[ \mathcal{W}_M^{\sqrt{v},*} \xrightarrow{\text{ad}} \mathcal{Aut}_{\text{WKB}}(\mathcal{W}_M^{\sqrt{v}}) \right].$$

We are now ready to prove the following

**Theorem 5.1.** *There is an isomorphism of pointed sets*

$$\text{WKB}(X) \simeq H^2(X; k_X^*).$$

*Proof.* Consider the natural functor of 2-groups

$$F: k_X^*[1] \longrightarrow \mathfrak{Aut}_{\text{WKB}}(\mathfrak{W}_X)^\times$$

induced by the functor of pre-stacks which sends the unique object  $\bullet$  to the identity functor  $\text{id}_{\mathfrak{W}_X}$ . At any point  $p \in X$ , we may find a symplectic local chart  $i: X \supset U \rightarrow T^*M$  around  $p$ , such that  $\mathfrak{W}_X|_U$  is equivalent to  $\mathcal{W}_U^{\sqrt{v}+}$  as WKB-algebroid. (Here we set  $\mathcal{W}_U^{\sqrt{v}} = i^{-1}\mathcal{W}_M^{\sqrt{v}}$ .) We thus have a chain of equivalences of 2-groups

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{Aut}_{\text{WKB}}(\mathfrak{W}_X)^\times|_U &\approx \mathfrak{Aut}_{\text{WKB}}(\mathfrak{W}_X|_U)^\times \\ &\approx \mathfrak{Aut}_{\text{WKB}}((\mathcal{W}_U^{\sqrt{v}})^+)^\times \\ &\approx \left[ \mathcal{W}_U^{\sqrt{v},*} \xrightarrow{\text{ad}} \mathcal{A}ut_{\text{WKB}}(\mathcal{W}_U^{\sqrt{v}}) \right], \end{aligned}$$

(the last one follows from (5.2)) and hence the functor  $F$  restricts on  $U$  to

$$F|_U: k_U^*[1] \longrightarrow \left[ \mathcal{W}_U^{\sqrt{v},*} \xrightarrow{\text{ad}} \mathcal{A}ut_{\text{WKB}}(\mathcal{W}_U^{\sqrt{v}}) \right].$$

By Lemma 5.2, this is an equivalence of 2-groups so that the functor  $F$  is locally, and hence globally, an equivalence. We thus get a chain of isomorphisms of pointed sets

$$H^1(X; \mathfrak{Aut}_{\text{WKB}}(\mathfrak{W}_X)^\times) \simeq H^1(X; k_X^*[1]) \simeq H^2(X; k_X^*),$$

where the latter follows by Proposition 4.6.  $\square$

**Lemma 5.2.** *Let  $M$  be a complex manifold. Then there is an equivalence of 2-groups on  $T^*M$*

$$k_{T^*M}^*[1] \approx \left[ \mathcal{W}_M^{\sqrt{v},*} \xrightarrow{\text{ad}} \mathcal{A}ut_{\text{WKB}}(\mathcal{W}_M^{\sqrt{v}}) \right].$$

*Proof.* This follows by a direct computation, using (1.2).  $\square$

**Corollary 5.3.** *The set  $\text{WKB}(X)$  has an abelian group structure.*

Note that, by much the same proof of Theorem 5.1, one gets an isomorphism between the group  $\text{Pic}_{\text{WKB}}(\mathfrak{W}_X)$  of isomorphism classes of autoequivalences<sup>6</sup> of  $\mathfrak{W}_X$  as WKB-algebroid and  $H^1(X; k_X^*)$ .

---

<sup>6</sup>For a sheaf of rings  $\mathcal{A}$ , one usually denotes by  $\text{Pic}(\mathcal{A})$  the group of isomorphism classes of invertible  $\mathcal{A} \otimes_{\mathcal{R}} \mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}$ -modules. This is consistent with our notation, since by Morita theorem  $\text{Pic}(\mathcal{A})$  is isomorphic to the group of isomorphism classes of linear autoequivalences of  $\mathfrak{Mod}(\mathcal{A})$ .

**Remark 5.4.** If  $X = T^*M$  for a complex manifold  $M$ , the coboundary map

$$\delta: H^1(T^*M; \mathcal{W}_M^{\sqrt{v},*} / k_{T^*M}^*) \rightarrow H^2(T^*M; k_{T^*M}^*)$$

associated to the exact sequence (1.2), may be interpreted as the map which sends the class  $[\mathcal{A}]$  of a WKB-algebra to the class  $[\mathcal{A}^+]$  of the corresponding WKB-algebroid. We refer to [7, 3] for similar constructions in the framework of real manifolds.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] T. Aoki, T. Kawai, T. Koike and Y. Takei, *On the exact WKB analysis of operators admitting infinitely many phases*, Advances in Math. **181** (2004), 165–189.
- [2] L. Boutet de Monvel, *Star-produits et star-algèbres holomorphes*, in: Conférence Moshé Flato 1999, Vol. I (Dijon), Math. Phys. Stud. **21**, Kluwer Acad. Publ., Dordrecht (2000), 109–120.
- [3] ———, *Related semi-classical and Toeplitz algebras*, in: Deformation quantization (Strasbourg, 2001), IRMA Lect. Math. Theor. Phys. **1**, de Gruyter, Berlin (2002), 163–190.
- [4] L. Breen, *Théorie de Schreier supérieure*, Ann. Sci. ole Norm. Sup. (4) **25** no. 5 (1992), 465–514.
- [5] ———, *On the classification of 2-gerbes and 2-stacks*, Astérisque **225** (1994).
- [6] R. Brown and C. Spencer, *G-groupoids, crossed modules and the fundamental groupoid of a topological group*, Nederl. Akad. Wetensch. Indag. Math. **38** no. 4 (1976), 296–302.
- [7] P. Deligne, *Déformations de l’algèbre des fonctions d’une variété symplectique: comparaison entre Fedosov et De Wilde*, Lecomte, Selecta Mathematica, **1** pp 667–698 (1995).
- [8] A. D’Agnolo and P. Polesello, *Stacks of twisted modules and integral transforms*, in: Geometric Aspects of Dwork’s Theory. Vol. I, II, Walter de Gruyter GmbH & Co. KG, Berlin (2004), 463–507.
- [9] A. D’Agnolo and P. Polesello, *Deformation quantization of complex involutive submanifolds*, in: Noncommutative Geometry and Physics, World Scientific (2005), 127–137.
- [10] J. Giraud, *Cohomologie non abélienne*, Grundlehren der Math. Wiss. **179**, Springer (1971).
- [11] M. Kashiwara, *Introduction to microlocal analysis*, L’Enseignement Mathématiques, **32** (1986) 5–37.
- [12] ———, *Quantization of contact manifolds*, Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci. **32** no. 1 (1996), 1–7.
- [13] ———, *D-modules and microlocal calculus*, translated from the 2000 Japanese original by M. Saito, Transl. of Math. Monographs **217**, A.M.S. (2003).

- [14] M. Kontsevich, *Deformation quantization of algebraic varieties*. in: Euro-Conference Moshé Flato, Part III (Dijon, 2000). Lett. Math. Phys. **56** no. 3 (2001), 271–294.
- [15] S. MacLane, *Categories for the working mathematician*, Graduate Texts in Math. 5, Springer 2nd ed. (1998).
- [16] F. Pham, *Resurgence, quantized canonical transformations and multi-instantons expansions*, in: Algebraic Analysis, dedicated to Prof. M. Sato, Academic Press (1988), 699–726.
- [17] P. Polesello and P. Schapira, *Stacks of quantization-deformation modules over complex symplectic manifolds*, Int. Math. Res. Notices **2004:49** (2004), 2637–2664
- [18] M. Sato, T. Kawai, and M. Kashiwara, *Microfunctions and pseudo-differential equations*, in: Komatsu (ed.), *Hyperfunctions and pseudo-differential equations*, Proceedings Katata 1971, Lecture Notes in Math. Springer-Verlag **287** (1973), 265–529.
- [SGA4] M. Artin, A. Grothendieck, and J.L. Verdier, *Théorie des topos et cohomologie étale des schémas. Tome 1: Théorie des topos*. Lecture Notes in Mathematics 269, Springer (1972).

UNIVERSITÀ DI PADOVA, DIPARTIMENTO DI MATEMATICA PURA ED APPLICATA, VIA G. BELZONI, 7, 35131 PADOVA, ITALY  
*E-mail address:* `pietro@math.unipd.it`