

INVARIANT f -STRUCTURES ON THE FLAG MANIFOLDS $SO(N)/SO(2) \times SO(N - 3)$

VITALY V. BALASHCHENKO, ANNA SAKOVICH

ABSTRACT. We consider manifolds of oriented flags $SO(n)/SO(2) \times SO(n - 3)$ ($n \geq 4$) as 4- and 6-symmetric spaces and indicate characteristic conditions for invariant Riemannian metrics under which the canonical f -structures on these homogeneous Φ -spaces belong to the classes **Klf**, **Nkf**, and **G₁f** of generalized Hermitian geometry.

1. INTRODUCTION

An important place among homogeneous manifolds is occupied by homogeneous Φ -spaces [8, 7] of order k (which are also referred to as k -symmetric spaces [16]), i.e. the homogeneous spaces generated by Lie group automorphisms Φ such that $\Phi^k = \text{id}$. Each k -symmetric space has an associated object, the commutative algebra $\mathcal{A}(\theta)$ of canonical affinor structures [6, 7]. In its turn, $\mathcal{A}(\theta)$ contains well-known classical structures, in particular, f -structures in the sense of K.Yano [18]. It should be mentioned that an f -structure compatible with a (pseudo-)Riemannian metric is known to be one of the central objects in the concept of generalized Hermitian geometry [13].

From this point of view it is interesting to consider manifolds of oriented flags of the form

$$(1) \quad SO(n)/SO(2) \times SO(n - 3) \quad (n \geq 4)$$

as they can be generated by automorphisms of any even finite order $k \geq 4$. At the same time, it can be proved that an arbitrary invariant Riemannian metric on these manifolds is (up to a positive coefficient) completely determined by the pair of positive numbers (s, t) . Therefore, it is natural to try to find characteristic conditions imposed on s and t under which canonical f -structures on homogeneous manifolds (1) belong to the main classes of f -structures in the generalized Hermitian geometry. This question is partly considered in the paper.

The paper is organized as follows.

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 53C15, 53C30; Secondary 53C10, 53C35.

Key words and phrases. Homogeneous Φ -space, regular Φ -space, k -symmetric space, invariant structure, canonical affinor structure, f -structure, nearly Kähler structure, flag manifold.

In Section 2, basic notions and results related to homogeneous regular Φ -spaces and canonical affinor structures on them are collected. In particular, this section includes a precise description of all canonical f -structures on homogeneous k -symmetric spaces.

In Section 3, we dwell on the main concepts of generalized Hermitian geometry and consider the special classes of metric f -structures such as **Killf**, **NKf**, and **G₁f**.

In Section 4, we describe manifolds of oriented flags of the form

$$SO(n)/\underbrace{SO(2) \times \cdots \times SO(2)}_m \times SO(n - 2m - 1)$$

and construct inner automorphisms by which they can be generated.

In Section 5, we describe the action of the canonical f -structures on the flag manifolds of the form (1) considered as homogeneous Φ -spaces of orders 4 and 6.

Finally, in Section 6, we indicate characteristic conditions for invariant Riemannian metrics on the flag manifolds (1) under which the canonical f -structures on these homogeneous Φ -spaces belong to the classes **Killf**, **NKf**, and **G₁f**.

2. CANONICAL STRUCTURES ON REGULAR Φ -SPACES

We start with some basic definitions and results related to homogeneous regular Φ -spaces and canonical affinor structures. More detailed information can be found in [17], [8], [16], [7], [5] and some others.

Let G be a connected Lie group, Φ its automorphism. Denote by G^Φ the subgroup consisting of all fixed points of Φ and by G_0^Φ the identity component of G^Φ . Suppose a closed subgroup H of G satisfies the condition

$$G_0^\Phi \subset H \subset G^\Phi.$$

Then G/H is called a *homogeneous Φ -space* [8, 7].

Among homogeneous Φ -spaces a fundamental role is played by *homogeneous Φ -spaces of order k* ($\Phi^k = \text{id}$) or, in the other terminology, *homogeneous k -symmetric spaces* (see [16]).

Note that there exist homogeneous Φ -spaces that are not reductive. That is why so-called regular Φ -spaces first introduced by N.A.Stepanov [17] are of fundamental importance.

Let G/H be a homogeneous Φ -space, \mathfrak{g} and \mathfrak{h} the corresponding Lie algebras for G and H , $\varphi = d\Phi_e$ the automorphism of \mathfrak{g} . Consider the linear operator $A = \varphi - \text{id}$ and the Fitting decomposition $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}_0 \oplus \mathfrak{g}_1$ with respect to A , where \mathfrak{g}_0 and \mathfrak{g}_1 denote 0- and 1-component of the decomposition respectively. Further, let $\varphi = \varphi_s \varphi_u$ be the Jordan decomposition, where φ_s and φ_u is a semisimple and unipotent component of φ respectively, $\varphi_s \varphi_u = \varphi_u \varphi_s$. Denote by \mathfrak{g}^γ a subspace of all fixed points for a linear endomorphism γ in \mathfrak{g} . It is clear that $\mathfrak{h} = \mathfrak{g}^\varphi = \text{Ker } A$, $\mathfrak{h} \subset \mathfrak{g}_0$, $\mathfrak{h} \subset \mathfrak{g}^{\varphi_s}$.

Definition 1 [8, 17, 7, 5]. A homogeneous Φ -space G/H is called a *regular Φ -space* if one of the following equivalent conditions is satisfied:

- (1) $\mathfrak{h} = \mathfrak{g}_0$.
- (2) $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{h} \oplus A\mathfrak{g}$.
- (3) The restriction of the operator A to $A\mathfrak{g}$ is non-singular.
- (4) $A^2X = 0 \implies AX = 0$ for all $X \in \mathfrak{g}$.
- (5) The matrix of the automorphism φ can be represented in the form $\begin{pmatrix} E & 0 \\ 0 & B \end{pmatrix}$, where the matrix B does not admit the eigenvalue 1.
- (6) $\mathfrak{h} = \mathfrak{g}^{\varphi^s}$.

A distinguishing feature of a regular Φ -space G/H is that each such space is reductive, its reductive decomposition being $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{h} \oplus A\mathfrak{g}$ (see [17]). $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{h} \oplus A\mathfrak{g}$ is commonly referred to as the *canonical reductive decomposition* corresponding to a regular Φ -space G/H and $\mathfrak{m} = A\mathfrak{g}$ is the *canonical reductive complement*.

It should be mentioned that any homogeneous Φ -space G/H of order k is regular (see [17]), and, in particular, any k -symmetric space is reductive.

Let us now turn to canonical f -structures on regular Φ -spaces.

An *affinor structure* on a smooth manifold is a tensor field of type $(1, 1)$ realized as a field of endomorphisms acting on its tangent bundle. It is known that any invariant affinor structure F on a homogeneous manifold G/H is completely determined by its value F_o at the point $o = H$, where F_o is invariant with respect to $Ad(H)$. For simplicity, further we will not distinguish an invariant structure on G/H and its value at $o = H$ throughout the rest of the paper.

Let us denote by θ the restriction of φ to \mathfrak{m} .

Definition 2 [6, 7]. An invariant affinor structure F on a regular Φ -space G/H is called *canonical* if its value at the point $o = H$ is a polynomial in θ .

Remark that the set $\mathcal{A}(\theta)$ of all canonical structures on a regular Φ -space G/H is a commutative subalgebra of the algebra \mathcal{A} of all invariant affinor structures on G/H . This subalgebra contains well-known classical structures such as *almost product structures* ($P^2 = \text{id}$), *almost complex structures* ($J^2 = -\text{id}$), *f -structures* ($f^3 + f = 0$).

The sets of all canonical structures of the above types were completely described in [6] and [7]. In particular, for homogeneous k -symmetric spaces the precise computational formulae were indicated. For future reference we cite here the result pertinent to f -structures and almost product structures only. Put

$$u = \begin{cases} n & \text{if } k = 2n + 1, \\ n - 1 & \text{if } k = 2n. \end{cases}$$

Theorem 1 [6, 7]. Let G/H be a homogeneous Φ -space of order k ($k \geq 3$).

- 1) All non-trivial canonical f -structures on G/H can be given by the operators

$$f(\theta) = \frac{2}{k} \sum_{m=1}^u \left(\sum_{j=1}^u \zeta_j \sin \frac{2\pi m j}{k} \right) (\theta^m - \theta^{k-m}),$$

where $\zeta_j \in \{1, 0, -1\}$, $j = 1, 2, \dots, u$, and not all ζ_j are equal to zero.

- 2) All canonical almost product structures P on G/H can be given by polynomials $P(\theta) = \sum_{m=0}^{k-1} a_m \theta^m$, where:
- a) if $k = 2n + 1$, then

$$a_m = a_{k-m} = \frac{2}{k} \sum_{j=1}^u \xi_j \cos \frac{2\pi m j}{k};$$

- b) if $k = 2n$, then

$$a_m = a_{k-m} = \frac{1}{k} \left(2 \sum_{j=1}^u \xi_j \cos \frac{2\pi m j}{k} + (-1)^m \xi_n \right).$$

Here the numbers ξ_j , $j = 1, 2, \dots, u$, take their values from the set $\{-1, 1\}$.

The results mentioned above were particularized for homogeneous Φ -spaces of smaller orders 3, 4, and 5 (see [6, 7]). Note that there are no fundamental obstructions to considering of higher orders k . Specifically, for future consideration we need the description of canonical f -structures and almost product structures on homogeneous Φ -spaces of orders 4 and 6 only.

Corollary 1 [6, 7]. *Any homogeneous Φ -space of order 4 admits (up to sign) the only canonical f -structure*

$$f_0(\theta) = \frac{1}{2}(\theta - \theta^3)$$

and the only almost product structure

$$P_0(\theta) = \theta^2.$$

Corollary 2. *On any homogeneous Φ -space of order 6 there exist (up to sign) only the following canonical f -structures:*

$$\begin{aligned} f_1(\theta) &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}(\theta - \theta^5), & f_2(\theta) &= \frac{1}{2\sqrt{3}}(\theta - \theta^2 + \theta^4 - \theta^5), \\ f_3(\theta) &= \frac{1}{2\sqrt{3}}(\theta + \theta^2 - \theta^4 - \theta^5), & f_4(\theta) &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}(\theta^2 - \theta^4) \end{aligned}$$

and only the following almost product structures:

$$\begin{aligned} P_1(\theta) &= -\text{id}, & P_2(\theta) &= \frac{\theta}{3} + \theta^2 + \frac{\theta^3}{3} + \theta^4 + \frac{\theta^5}{3}, \\ P_3(\theta) &= \theta^3, & P_4(\theta) &= -\frac{2\theta^2}{3} + \frac{\theta^3}{3} - \frac{2\theta^5}{3}. \end{aligned}$$

3. SOME IMPORTANT CLASSES IN GENERALIZED HERMITIAN GEOMETRY

The concept of generalized Hermitian geometry created in the 1980s (see [13]) is a natural consequence of the development of Hermitian geometry. One of its central objects is a *metric f -structure*, i.e. an f -structure compatible with a (pseudo-)Riemannian metric $g = \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ in the following sense:

$$\langle fX, Y \rangle + \langle X, fY \rangle = 0 \text{ for any } X, Y \in \mathfrak{X}(M).$$

Evidently, this concept is a generalization of one of the fundamental notions in Hermitian geometry, namely, almost Hermitian structure J . It is also worth noticing that the main classes of generalized Hermitian geometry (see [13, 11, 12, 5, 4]) in the special case $f = J$ coincide with those of Hermitian geometry (see [10]).

In what follows, we will mainly concentrate on the classes **Kill f** , **NKf**, and **G₁f** of metric f -structures defined below.

A fundamental role in generalized Hermitian geometry is played by a tensor T of type (2,1) which is called a *composition tensor* [13]. In [13] it was also shown that such a tensor exists on any metric f -manifold and it is possible to evaluate it explicitly:

$$T(X, Y) = \frac{1}{4}f(\nabla_{fX}(f)fY - \nabla_{f^2X}(f)f^2Y),$$

where ∇ is the Levi-Civita connection of a (pseudo-)Riemannian manifold (M, g) , $X, Y \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$.

The structure of a so-called *adjoint Q -algebra* (see [13]) on $\mathfrak{X}(M)$ can be defined by the formula $X * Y = T(X, Y)$. It gives the opportunity to introduce some classes of metric f -structures in terms of natural properties of the adjoint Q -algebra. For example, if $T(X, X) = 0$ (i.e. $\mathfrak{X}(M)$ is an anticommutative Q -algebra) then f is referred to as a *G_1f -structure*. **G₁f** stands for the class of G_1f -structures.

A metric f -structure on (M, g) is said to be a *Killing f -structure* if

$$\nabla_X(f)X = 0 \text{ for any } X \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$$

(i.e. f is a Killing tensor) (see [11, 12]). The class of Killing f -structures is denoted by **Kill f** . The defining property of *nearly Kähler f -structures* (or *NK f -structures*) is

$$\nabla_{fX}(f)fX = 0.$$

This class of metric f -structures, which is denoted by **NKf**, was determined in [4] (see also [1, 2]). It is easy to see that for $f = J$ the classes **Killf** and **NKf** coincide with the well-known class **NK** of *nearly Kähler structures* [9].

The following relations between the classes mentioned are evident:

$$\mathbf{Killf} \subset \mathbf{NKf} \subset \mathbf{G_1f}.$$

A special attention should be paid to the particular case of naturally reductive spaces. Recall that a homogeneous Riemannian manifold $(G/H, g)$ is known to be a *naturally reductive space* [14] with respect to the reductive decomposition $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{m}$ if

$$g([X, Y]_{\mathfrak{m}}, Z) = g(X, [Y, Z]_{\mathfrak{m}}) \text{ for any } X, Y, Z \in \mathfrak{m}.$$

It should be mentioned that if G/H is a regular Φ -space, G a semisimple Lie group then G/H is a naturally reductive space with respect to the (pseudo-)Riemannian metric g induced by the Killing form of the Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} (see [17]). In [1], [2], [3] and [4] a number of results helpful in checking whether the particular f -structure on a naturally reductive space belongs to the main classes of generalized Hermitian geometry was obtained.

4. MANIFOLDS OF ORIENTED FLAGS

In linear algebra a *flag* is defined as a finite sequence L_0, \dots, L_n of subspaces of a vector space L such that

$$(2) \quad L_0 \subset L_1 \subset \dots \subset L_n,$$

$L_i \neq L_{i+1}$, $i = 0, \dots, n-1$ (see [15]).

A flag (2) is known to be *full* if for any $i = 0, \dots, n-1$ $\dim L_{i+1} = \dim L_i + 1$. It is readily seen that having fixed any basis $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$ of L we can construct a full flag by setting $L_0 = \{0\}$, $L_i = \mathcal{L}(e_1, \dots, e_i)$, $i = 1, \dots, n$.

We call a flag $L_{i_1} \subset L_{i_2} \subset \dots \subset L_{i_n}$ (here and below the subscript denotes the dimension of the subspace) *oriented* if for any L_{i_j} and its two bases $\{e_1, \dots, e_{i_j}\}$ and $\{e'_1, \dots, e'_{i_j}\}$ $\det A > 0$, where $e'_t = Ae_t$ for any $t = 1, \dots, i_j$. Moreover, for any two subspaces $L_{i_k} \subset L_{i_j}$ their orientations should be set in accordance.

Proposition 1. *The set of all oriented flags*

$$L_1 \subset L_3 \subset \dots \subset L_{2m+1} \subset L_n = L$$

of a vector space L with respect to the action of $SO(n)$ is isomorphic to

$$SO(n) / \underbrace{SO(2) \times \dots \times SO(2)}_m \times SO(n-2m-1).$$

Proof. Fix some basis $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$ in L_n . Consider the isotropy subgroup I_o at the point

$$o = (\mathcal{L}(e_1) \subset \mathcal{L}(e_1, e_2, e_3) \subset \dots \subset \mathcal{L}(e_1, \dots, e_{2m+1}) \subset \mathcal{L}(e_1, \dots, e_n)).$$

By the definition for any $A \in I_o$

$$\begin{aligned} A &: \mathcal{L}(e_1) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(e_1), \\ A &: \mathcal{L}(e_1, e_2, e_3) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(e_1, e_2, e_3), \dots, \\ A &: \mathcal{L}(e_1, \dots, e_{2m+1}) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(e_1, \dots, e_{2m+1}), \\ A &: \mathcal{L}(e_1, \dots, e_n) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(e_1, \dots, e_n). \end{aligned}$$

As $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$ is a basis, it immediately follows that

$$\begin{aligned} A &: \mathcal{L}(e_1) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(e_1), \\ A &: \mathcal{L}(e_2, e_3) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(e_2, e_3), \dots, \\ A &: \mathcal{L}(e_{2m}, e_{2m+1}) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(e_{2m}, e_{2m+1}), \\ A &: \mathcal{L}(e_{2m+2}, \dots, e_n) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(e_{2m+2}, \dots, e_n). \end{aligned}$$

Thus $L = L_n$ can be decomposed into the sum of A -invariant subspaces

$$L = \mathcal{L}(e_1) \oplus \mathcal{L}(e_2, e_3) \oplus \dots \oplus \mathcal{L}(e_{2m}, e_{2m+1}) \oplus \mathcal{L}(e_{2m+2}, \dots, e_n).$$

The matrix of the operator A in the basis $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$ is cellwise-diagonal:

$$A = \text{diag}\{A_{1 \times 1}^1, A_{2 \times 2}^3, \dots, A_{2 \times 2}^{2m+1}, A_{(n-2m-1) \times (n-2m-1)}^n\}.$$

Since $A \in SO(n)$, its cells $A^1, A^3, \dots, A^{2m+1}, A^n$ are orthogonal matrices. All the flags we consider are oriented, thus for any $i \in \{1, 3, \dots, 2m+1, n\}$ $\det A^i > 0$. This proves that $A^1 = (1)$, $A^3 \in SO(2), \dots, A^{2m+1} \in SO(2)$, $A^n \in SO(n-2m-1)$.

Therefore $I_o = \underbrace{SO(2) \times \dots \times SO(2)}_m \times SO(n-2m-1)$. This completes

the proof. \square

Proposition 2. *The manifold of oriented flags*

$$SO(n) / \underbrace{SO(2) \times \dots \times SO(2)}_m \times SO(n-2m-1)$$

is a homogeneous Φ -space. It can be generated by inner automorphisms Φ of any finite order k , where k is even, $k > 2$ and $k \geq 2m-2$:

$$\Phi : SO(n) \rightarrow SO(n), \quad A \rightarrow BAB^{-1}, \quad \text{where}$$

$$B = \text{diag}\{1, \varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_m, -1, \dots, -1\},$$

$$\varepsilon_t = \begin{pmatrix} \cos \frac{2\pi t}{k} & \sin \frac{2\pi t}{k} \\ -\sin \frac{2\pi t}{k} & \cos \frac{2\pi t}{k} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Proof. Here $G = SO(n)$, $H = \underbrace{SO(2) \times \dots \times SO(2)}_m \times SO(n-2m-1)$.

We need to prove that the group of all fixed points G^Φ satisfies the condition

$$G_0^\Phi \subset H \subset G^\Phi.$$

By definition $G^\Phi = \{A|BAB^{-1} = A\} = \{A|BA = AB\}$. Equating the correspondent elements of AB and BA and solving systems of linear equations it is possible to calculate that

$$G^\Phi = \{\pm 1\} \times \underbrace{SO(2) \times \cdots \times SO(2)}_m \times SO(n - 2m - 1).$$

□

5. CANONICAL f -STRUCTURES ON 4- AND 6-SYMMETRIC SPACE $SO(n)/SO(2) \times SO(n - 3)$

Let us consider $SO(n)/SO(2) \times SO(n - 3)$ ($n \geq 4$) as a homogeneous Φ -space of order 4. According to Proposition 2 it can be generated by the inner automorphism $\Phi : A \rightarrow BAB^{-1}$, where

$$B = \text{diag} \left\{ 1, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \underbrace{-1, \dots, -1}_{n-3} \right\}.$$

Therefore (1) is a reductive space. It is not difficult to check that the canonical reductive complement \mathfrak{m} consists of matrices of the form

$$S = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & s_{12} & s_{13} & s_{14} & \cdots & s_{1n} \\ -s_{12} & 0 & 0 & s_{24} & \cdots & s_{2n} \\ -s_{13} & 0 & 0 & s_{34} & \cdots & s_{3n} \\ -s_{14} & -s_{24} & -s_{34} & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\ -s_{1n} & -s_{2n} & -s_{3n} & 0 & \cdots & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in \mathfrak{m}.$$

According to Corollary 1 the only canonical f -structure on this homogeneous Φ -space is determined by the formula

$$f_0(\theta) = \frac{1}{2}(\theta - \theta^3).$$

Its action can be written in the form:

$$f_0 : S \longrightarrow \begin{pmatrix} 0 & s_{13} & -s_{12} & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ -s_{13} & 0 & 0 & -s_{34} & \cdots & -s_{3n} \\ s_{12} & 0 & 0 & s_{24} & \cdots & s_{2n} \\ 0 & s_{34} & -s_{24} & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\ 0 & s_{3n} & -s_{2n} & 0 & \cdots & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Now let us consider (1) as a 6-symmetric space generated by the inner automorphism $\Phi : A \rightarrow BAB^{-1}$, where

$$B = \text{diag} \left\{ 1, \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{2} & \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} \\ -\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} & \frac{1}{2} \end{pmatrix}, \underbrace{-1, \dots, -1}_{n-3} \right\}.$$

Taking Corollary 2 into account we can represent the action of the canonical f -structures on this homogeneous Φ -space as follows:

$$f_1(\theta) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}(\theta - \theta^5) : S \longrightarrow \begin{pmatrix} 0 & s_{13} & -s_{12} & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ -s_{13} & 0 & 0 & -s_{34} & \dots & -s_{3n} \\ s_{12} & 0 & 0 & s_{24} & \dots & s_{2n} \\ 0 & s_{34} & -s_{24} & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ 0 & s_{3n} & -s_{2n} & 0 & \dots & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$f_2(\theta) = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{3}}(\theta - \theta^2 + \theta^4 - \theta^5) : S \longrightarrow \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -s_{34} & \dots & -s_{3n} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & s_{24} & \dots & s_{2n} \\ 0 & s_{34} & -s_{24} & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ 0 & s_{3n} & -s_{2n} & 0 & \dots & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$f_3(\theta) = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{3}}(\theta + \theta^2 - \theta^4 - \theta^5) : S \longrightarrow \begin{pmatrix} 0 & s_{13} & -s_{12} & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ -s_{13} & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ s_{12} & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$f_4(\theta) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}(\theta^2 - \theta^4) : S \longrightarrow \begin{pmatrix} 0 & s_{13} & -s_{12} & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ -s_{13} & 0 & 0 & s_{34} & \dots & s_{3n} \\ s_{12} & 0 & 0 & -s_{24} & \dots & -s_{2n} \\ 0 & -s_{34} & s_{24} & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ 0 & -s_{3n} & s_{2n} & 0 & \dots & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

6. CANONICAL f -STRUCTURES AND INVARIANT RIEMANNIAN METRICS ON $SO(n)/SO(2) \times SO(n-3)$

Let us consider manifolds of oriented flags of the form (1) as 4- and 6-symmetric spaces. Our task is to indicate characteristic conditions for invariant Riemannian metrics under which the canonical f -structures on these homogeneous Φ -spaces belong to the classes **Killf**, **NKf**, and **G₁f**.

We begin with some preliminary considerations.

Proposition 3. *The reductive complement \mathfrak{m} of the homogeneous space $SO(n)/SO(2) \times SO(n-3)$ admits the decomposition into the direct sum of $Ad(H)$ -invariant irreducible subspaces $\mathfrak{m} = \mathfrak{m}_1 \oplus \mathfrak{m}_2 \oplus \mathfrak{m}_3$.*

Proof. The explicit form of the reductive complement of (1) was indicated in Section 5. Put

$$\mathfrak{m}_1 = \left\{ \left(\begin{array}{cccccc} 0 & a_1 & a_2 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ -a_1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ -a_2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \end{array} \right) \middle| a_1, a_2 \in \mathbb{R} \right\},$$

$$\mathfrak{m}_2 = \left\{ \left(\begin{array}{cccccc} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & c_1 & \dots & c_{n-3} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & d_1 & \dots & d_{n-3} \\ 0 & -c_1 & -d_1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ 0 & -c_{n-3} & -d_{n-3} & 0 & \dots & 0 \end{array} \right) \middle| \begin{array}{l} c_1, \dots, c_{n-3} \in \mathbb{R} \\ d_1, \dots, d_{n-3} \in \mathbb{R} \end{array} \right\},$$

$$\mathfrak{m}_3 = \left\{ \left(\begin{array}{cccccc} 0 & 0 & 0 & b_1 & \dots & b_{n-3} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ -b_1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ -b_{n-3} & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \end{array} \right) \middle| b_1, \dots, b_{n-3} \in \mathbb{R} \right\}.$$

Since $SO(2) \times SO(n-3)$ is a connected Lie group, \mathfrak{m}_i ($i = 1, 2, 3$) is $Ad(H)$ -invariant iff $[\mathfrak{h}, \mathfrak{m}_i] \subset \mathfrak{m}_i$. It can easily be shown that this condition holds.

We claim that for any $i \in \{1, 2, 3\}$ there exist no such non-trivial subspaces $\overline{\mathfrak{m}}_i$ and $\widehat{\mathfrak{m}}_i$ that $\mathfrak{m}_i = \overline{\mathfrak{m}}_i \oplus \widehat{\mathfrak{m}}_i$ and $[\mathfrak{h}, \overline{\mathfrak{m}}_i] \subset \overline{\mathfrak{m}}_i$, $[\mathfrak{h}, \widehat{\mathfrak{m}}_i] \subset \widehat{\mathfrak{m}}_i$.

To prove this we identify \mathfrak{m} and

$$\{(a_1, a_2, b_1, \dots, b_{n-3}, c_1, \dots, c_{n-3}, d_1, \dots, d_{n-3})\}.$$

In what follows we are going to represent any $H \in \mathfrak{h}$ in the form

$$H = \text{diag}\{0, H_1, H_2\}$$

where

$$H_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & h \\ -h & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$(3) \quad H_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & h_{12} & \dots & h_{1n-3} \\ -h_{12} & 0 & \dots & h_{2n-3} \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ -h_{1n-3} & -h_{2n-3} & \dots & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Put $F(H)(M) = [H, M]$ for any $H \in \mathfrak{h}$, $M \in \mathfrak{m}$. In the above notations we have

$$F(H)|_{\mathfrak{m}_1} : (a_1 \ a_2)^T \rightarrow H_1(a_1 \ a_2)^T,$$

$$F(H)|_{\mathfrak{m}_2} : (c_1 \dots c_{n-3} \ d_1 \dots d_{n-3})^T \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} H_2 & hE \\ -hE & H_2 \end{pmatrix} (c_1 \dots c_{n-3} \ d_1 \dots d_{n-3})^T,$$

$$F(H)|_{\mathfrak{m}_3} : (b_1 \dots b_{n-3})^T \rightarrow H_2(b_1 \dots b_{n-3})^T.$$

First, let us prove that \mathfrak{m}_3 cannot be decomposed into the direct sum of $Ad(H)$ -invariant subspaces.

The proof is by reductio ad absurdum. Suppose there exists an $Ad(H)$ -invariant subspace $W \subset \mathfrak{m}_3$. This implies that for any H_2 of the form (3) and $x = (x_1 \dots x_{n-3})^T \in W$ H_2x belongs to W .

It is possible to choose a vector $v_1 = (\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_{n-3})^T \in W$ such that $\alpha_1 \neq 0$. Indeed, the nonexistence of such a vector yields that for any $w = (w_1 \dots w_{n-3})^T \in W$ $w_1 = 0$. Take such $w \in W$ that for some $1 < i \leq n-3$ $w_i \neq 0$ and the skew-symmetric matrix $K = \{k_{ij}\}$ with all elements except $k_{1i} = -k_{i1} = 1$ equal to zero. Then $Kw = (w_i \ * \dots \ *) \notin W$.

Consider the following system of vectors $\{v_1, \dots, v_{n-3}\}$, where

$$v_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \end{pmatrix} v_1 = (\alpha_2 \ -\alpha_1 \ 0 \dots 0)^T,$$

$$v_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \end{pmatrix} v_1 = (\alpha_3 \ 0 \ -\alpha_1 \dots 0)^T, \dots,$$

$$v_{n-3} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} v_1 = (\alpha_n \ 0 \dots 0 \ -\alpha_1)^T.$$

Obviously, $\dim \mathcal{L}(v_1, \dots, v_{n-3}) =$

$$= \text{rank} \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_1 & \alpha_2 & \alpha_3 & \dots & \alpha_{n-3} \\ \alpha_2 & -\alpha_1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ \alpha_3 & 0 & -\alpha_1 & \dots & 0 \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ \alpha_{n-3} & 0 & 0 & \dots & -\alpha_1 \end{pmatrix} = n-3.$$

This contradicts our assumption.

Continuing the same line of reasoning, we see that neither \mathfrak{m}_1 nor \mathfrak{m}_2 can be decomposed into the sum of $Ad(H)$ -invariant summands. \square

It is not difficult to check that the space in question possesses the following property.

Proposition 4.

$$(4) \quad [\mathfrak{m}_i, \mathfrak{m}_{i+1}] \subset \mathfrak{m}_{i+2} \text{ (modulo } \mathfrak{g}).$$

Denote by g_0 the naturally reductive metric generated by the Killing form B : $g_0 = -B|_{\mathfrak{m} \times \mathfrak{m}}$. In our case $B = -(n-1) \text{Tr } X^T Y$, $X, Y \in \mathfrak{so}(n)$.

Proposition 5. *The decomposition $\mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{m}_1 \oplus \mathfrak{m}_2 \oplus \mathfrak{m}_3$ is B -orthogonal.*

Proof. For the explicit form of \mathfrak{m} and \mathfrak{h} see Section 5 and Section 6. It can easily be seen that for any $X \in \mathfrak{m}$, $Y \in \mathfrak{h}$ $\text{Tr } X^T Y = 0$. It should also be noted that it was proved in [17] that \mathfrak{h} is orthogonal to \mathfrak{m} with respect to B .

For any almost product structure P put

$$\mathfrak{m}^- = \{X \in \mathfrak{m} \mid P(X) = -X\}, \quad \mathfrak{m}^+ = \{X \in \mathfrak{m} \mid P(X) = X\}.$$

Suppose that P is compatible with g_0 , i.e. $g_0(X, Y) = g_0(PX, PY)$ (for example, this is true for any canonical almost product structure P [5]). Clearly, \mathfrak{m}^- and \mathfrak{m}^+ are orthogonal with respect to g_0 , since for any $X \in \mathfrak{m}^+$, $Y \in \mathfrak{m}^-$

$$g_0(X, Y) = g_0(P(X), P(Y)) = g_0(X, -Y) = -g_0(X, Y).$$

Let us consider the action of the canonical almost product structures on the 6-symmetric space (1). Here we use notations of Corollary 2.

For $P_2(\theta) = \frac{1}{3}\theta + \theta^2 + \frac{1}{3}\theta^3 + \theta^4 + \frac{1}{3}\theta^5$ $\mathfrak{m}^- = \mathfrak{m}_1 \cup \mathfrak{m}_2$, $\mathfrak{m}^+ = \mathfrak{m}_3$, therefore $\mathfrak{m}_3 \perp \mathfrak{m}_1$, $\mathfrak{m}_3 \perp \mathfrak{m}_2$.

For $P_3(\theta) = \theta^3$ $\mathfrak{m}^- = \mathfrak{m}_1 \cup \mathfrak{m}_3$, $\mathfrak{m}^+ = \mathfrak{m}_2$, thus $\mathfrak{m}_2 \perp \mathfrak{m}_1$. The statement is proved. \square

It can be deduced from Proposition 3 and Proposition 5 that any invariant Riemannian metric g on (1) is (up to a positive coefficient) uniquely defined by the two positive numbers (s, t) . It means that

$$(5) \quad g = g_0|_{\mathfrak{m}_1} + sg_0|_{\mathfrak{m}_2} + tg_0|_{\mathfrak{m}_3}.$$

Definition 3. (s, t) are called the *characteristic numbers* of the metric (5).

It should be pointed out that the canonical f -structures on the homogeneous Φ -space (1) of the orders 4 and 6 are metric f -structures with respect to all invariant Riemannian metrics, which is proved by direct calculations.

Recall that in case of an arbitrary Riemannian metric g the Levi-Civita connection has its Nomizu function defined by the formula (see [14])

$$(6) \quad \alpha(X, Y) = \frac{1}{2}[X, Y]_{\mathfrak{m}} + U(X, Y),$$

where $X, Y \in \mathfrak{m}$, the symmetric bilinear mapping U is determined by means of the formula

$$(7) \quad 2g(U(X, Y), Z) = g(X, [Z, Y]_{\mathfrak{m}}) + g([Z, X]_{\mathfrak{m}}, Y), \quad X, Y, Z \in \mathfrak{m}.$$

Suppose g is an invariant Riemannian metric on the homogeneous Φ -space (1) with the characteristic numbers (s, t) ($s, t > 0$). The following statement is true.

Proposition 6.

$$(8) \quad U(X, Y) = \frac{t-s}{2}([X_{\mathfrak{m}_2}, Y_{\mathfrak{m}_3}] + [Y_{\mathfrak{m}_2}, X_{\mathfrak{m}_3}]) + \frac{t-1}{2s}([X_{\mathfrak{m}_1}, Y_{\mathfrak{m}_3}] + [Y_{\mathfrak{m}_1}, X_{\mathfrak{m}_3}]) + \frac{s-1}{2t}([X_{\mathfrak{m}_1}, Y_{\mathfrak{m}_2}] + [Y_{\mathfrak{m}_1}, X_{\mathfrak{m}_2}]).$$

Outline of the proof. First we apply (5) and the definition of g_0 to (7). We take four matrices $X = \{x_{ij}\}$, $Y = \{y_{ij}\}$, $Z = \{z_{ij}\}$ and $U = \{u_{ij}\}$ and calculate the right-hand and left-hand side of the equality obtained. After that we can represent it in the form

$$(9) \quad c_{12}z_{12} + c_{13}z_{13} + \sum_{i=1}^n c_{1i}z_{1i} + \sum_{i=1}^n c_{2i}z_{2i} + \sum_{i=1}^n c_{3i}z_{3i} = 0,$$

where c_{12} , c_{13} , c_{1i} , c_{2i} , c_{3i} ($i = 1, \dots, n$) depend on elements of the matrices X, Y and U . As (9) holds for any $Z \in \mathfrak{m}$, it follows in the standard way that

$$(10) \quad c_{12} = c_{13} = c_{1i} = c_{2i} = c_{3i} = 0, \quad (i = 1, \dots, n).$$

Using (10), we calculate $u_{ij} = u_{ij}(X, Y)$. To conclude the proof, it remains to transform the formula for $U(X, Y)$ into (8), which is quite simple. \square

In the notations of Section 2 we have the following statement.

Theorem 2. *Consider $SO(n)/SO(2) \times SO(n-3)$ as a 4-symmetric Φ -space. Then the only canonical f -structure f_0 on this space is*

- 1) a Killing f -structure iff the characteristic numbers of a Riemannian metric are $(1, \frac{4}{3})$;
- 2) a nearly Kähler f -structure iff the characteristic numbers of a Riemannian metric are $(1, t)$, $t > 0$;
- 3) a G_1f -structure with respect to any invariant Riemannian metric.

Proof. Application of (6) to the definitions of the classes **Killf**, **NKf** and **G_1f** yields that

- 1) $f \in \mathbf{Killf}$ iff $\frac{1}{2}[X, fX]_{\mathfrak{m}} + U(X, fX) - f(U(X, X)) = 0$;
- 2) $f \in \mathbf{NKf}$ iff $\frac{1}{2}[fX, f^2X]_{\mathfrak{m}} + U(fX, f^2X) - f(U(fX, fX)) = 0$;
- 3) $f \in \mathbf{G_1f}$ iff $f(2U(fX, f^2X) - f(U(fX, fX)) + f(U(f^2X, f^2X))) = 0$.

The proof is straightforward. For example, it is known that f_0 is a nearly Kähler f -structure in the naturally reductive case, which means that $\frac{1}{2}[f_0X, f_0^2X]_{\mathfrak{m}} = 0$ for any $X \in \mathfrak{m}$ (see [4]). Making use of Proposition 4 and Proposition 6, we obtain $U(f_0X, f_0X) \in \text{Ker } f_0$ for any $X \in \mathfrak{m}$, $U(f_0X, f_0^2X) = 0$ for any $X \in \mathfrak{m}$ iff $s = 1$. Thus we have 2). Other statements are proved in the same manner. \square

The similar technique is used to prove

Theorem 3. Consider $SO(n)/SO(2) \times SO(n-3)$ as a 6-symmetric space. Let (s, t) be the characteristic numbers of an invariant Riemannian metric. Then

- 1) f_1 is a Killing f -structure iff $s = 1$, $t = \frac{4}{3}$;
 f_2, f_3, f_4 do not belong to $\mathbf{Kill} f$ for any s and t .
- 2) f_1 is an NKf -structure iff $s=1$;
 f_2 and f_3 are NKf -structures for any s and t ;
 f_4 is not an NKf -structure for any s and t .
- 3) f_1, f_2, f_3, f_4 are G_1f -structures for any s and t .

REFERENCES

- [1] Balashchenko V.V., *Naturally reductive Killing f -manifolds*, Uspekhi Mat. Nauk, 1999, V.54, no.3, 151–152; English transl. in Russian Math. Surveys, 1999, V.54, no.3, 623–625.
- [2] Balashchenko V.V., *Homogeneous nearly Kähler f -manifolds*, Doklady Akademii Nauk, 2001, V.376, no.4, 439–441; English transl. in Doklady Mathematics, 2001, V.63, no.1, 56–58.
- [3] Balashchenko V.V., *Homogeneous Hermitian f -manifolds*, Uspekhi Mat. Nauk, 2001, V.56, no.3, 159–160; English transl. in Russian Math. Surveys, 2001, V.56, no.3, 575–577.
- [4] Balashchenko V.V., *Invariant nearly Kähler f -structures on homogeneous spaces*, Contemporary Mathematics, 2001, V.288, 263–267.
- [5] Balashchenko V.V., *Invariant structures generated by Lie group automorphisms on homogeneous spaces*, Proceedings of the Workshop "Contemporary Geometry and Related Topics" (Belgrade, Yugoslavia, 15-21 May, 2002). Editors: N.Bokan, M.Djoric, A.T.Fomenko, Z.Rakic, J.Wess. World Scientific, 2004, 1–32.
- [6] Balashchenko V.V., Stepanov N.A. *Canonical affinor structures on regular Φ -spaces*, Uspekhi Mat. Nauk, 1991, V.46, no.1, 205–206; English transl. in Russian Math. Surveys, 1991, V.46, no.1, 247–248.
- [7] Balashchenko V.V., Stepanov N.A., *Canonical affinor structures of classical type on regular Φ -spaces*, Matematicheskii Sbornik, 1995, V.186, no.11, 3–34; English transl. in Sbornik: Mathematics, 1995, V.186, no.11, 1551–1580.
- [8] Fedenko A.S., *Spaces with symmetries*, Belarussian State University, Minsk, 1977 (in Russian).
- [9] Gray A., *Nearly Kähler manifolds*, J. Diff. Geom., 1970, V.4, no.3, 283–309.
- [10] Gray A., Hervella L.M., *The sixteen classes of almost Hermitian manifolds and their linear invariants*, Ann. Mat. Pura ed Appl., 1980, V.123, no.4, 35–58.
- [11] Gritsans A.S., *Geometry of Killing f -manifolds*, Uspekhi Mat. Nauk, 1990, V.45, no.4, 149–150; English transl. in Russian Math. Surveys, 1990, V.45, no.4, 168–169.
- [12] Gritsans A.S., *On construction of Killing f -manifolds*, Izv. Vyssh. Uchebn. Zaved. Mat., 1992, no.6, 49–57; English transl. in Soviet Math. (Iz. VUZ), 1992, V.36, no.6.
- [13] Kirichenko V.F., *Methods of generalized Hermitian geometry in the theory of almost contact manifolds*, Itogi Nauki i Tekhniki: Probl. Geom., V.18, VINITI, Moscow, 1986, 25–71; English transl. in J. Soviet Math., V.42, no.5, 1988.
- [14] Kobayashi S., Nomizu K., *Foundations of differential geometry*, V.2, Intersc. Publ. J.Wiley&Sons, New York–London, 1969.
- [15] Kostrikin A.I., Manin Yu.I., *Linear algebra and geometry*, Moscow: Nauka, 1986 (in Russian).
- [16] Kowalski O., *Generalized symmetric spaces*, Lect. Notes in Math., V.805, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York: Springer–Verlag, 1980.

- [17] Stepanov N.A., *Basic facts of the theory of φ -spaces*, Izv. Vyssh. Uchebn. Zaved. Mat., 1967, no.3, 88–95; English transl. in Soviet Math. (Iz. VUZ), 1967, V.11, no.3.
- [18] K. Yano, *On a structure defined by a tensor field f of type $(1, 1)$ satisfying $f^3 + f = 0$* , Tensor, 1963, V.14, 99–109.

VITALY V. BALASHCHENKO
FACULTY OF MATHEMATICS AND MECHANICS
BELARUSIAN STATE UNIVERSITY
F.SCORINA AV. 4
MINSK 220050, BELARUS
E-mail address: `balashchenko@bsu.by`; `vitbal@tut.by`

ANNA SAKOVICH
FACULTY OF MATHEMATICS AND MECHANICS
BELARUSIAN STATE UNIVERSITY
F.SCORINA AV. 4
MINSK 220050, BELARUS
E-mail address: `anya_sakovich@tut.by`