

# Structure of the Short Range Amplitude for General Scattering Relations

Ivana Alexandrova

Department of Mathematics, University of Toronto, Toronto, Ontario, Canada M5S 3G3, Tel.: 1-416-946-0318, Fax: 1-416-978-4107, email: alexandr@math.toronto.edu

November 25, 2004

## Abstract

We consider scattering by short range perturbations of the semi-classical Laplacian. We prove that when a polynomial bound on the resolvent holds the scattering amplitude is a semi-classical Fourier integral operator associated to the scattering relation near a non-trapped ray. Compared to previous work, we allow the scattering relation to have more general structure.

**Keywords and phrases:** Short range perturbations, scattering amplitude, scattering relation, semi-classical Fourier integral operators.

## 1 Introduction and Statement of Results

We study the structure of the scattering amplitude associated with the semi-classical Schrödinger operator with a short range potential on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . We prove that, when restricted away from the diagonal on  $\mathbb{S}^n \times \mathbb{S}^n$ , the natural scattering amplitude quantizes the scattering relation in the sense of semi-classical Fourier integral operators. The scattering relation at energy  $\lambda > 0$  here is given roughly by the Hamiltonian flow of the symbol  $p$  of the operator between two hypersurfaces “at infinity” inside the energy surface  $\{p = \lambda\}$ .

### 1.1 A Survey of Earlier Results

The structure of the scattering matrix has been of significant interest to researchers in mathematical physics. Earlier results have focused primarily on establishing asymptotic expansions of the scattering amplitude. In this section we describe briefly only those asymptotic expansions most relevant to our work and refer to [2] for a more comprehensive survey.

We begin by introducing some notation. Let  $P(h) = -\frac{1}{2}h^2\Delta + V$ ,  $0 < h \ll 1$ , where

$$|\partial^\alpha V(x)| \leq C_\alpha \langle x \rangle^{-\rho-|\alpha|}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n, \rho > 1, \quad (1)$$

where  $\langle x \rangle = (1 + \|x\|^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}$ . Let  $\lambda > 0$  and for  $\omega \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$  and  $z \in \omega^\perp$  we denote by

$$\gamma_\infty \left( \cdot; z, \sqrt{2\lambda\omega} \right) = \left\{ q_\infty \left( \cdot; z, \sqrt{2\lambda\omega} \right), p_\infty \left( \cdot; z, \sqrt{2\lambda\omega} \right) \right\}$$

the unique phase trajectory, i. e. the integral curve of the Hamiltonian vector field of  $p(x, \xi) = \frac{1}{2}\|\xi\|^2 + V(x)$ , such that

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{t \rightarrow -\infty} \left\| q_\infty \left( t; z, \sqrt{2\lambda\omega} \right) - \sqrt{2\lambda}\omega t - z \right\| &= 0, \\ \lim_{t \rightarrow -\infty} \left\| p_\infty \left( t; z, \sqrt{2\lambda\omega} \right) - \sqrt{2\lambda}\omega \right\| &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

in the  $C^\infty$  topology for the impact parameter  $z$  and  $\omega$ . If  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \left\| q_\infty \left( t; z, \sqrt{2\lambda\omega} \right) \right\| = \infty$ , then, setting  $\mathbb{S}_{2\lambda}^{n-1} = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : \|x\| = 2\lambda\}$ , we have that there exist  $U \subset T^*\mathbb{S}_{2\lambda}^{n-1}$  open,  $(\sqrt{2\lambda}\omega, z) \in U$ , where  $\mathbb{S}_{2\lambda}^{n-1} = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : \|x\| = 2\lambda\}$ ,  $\xi_\infty \in C^\infty(T^*\mathbb{S}_{2\lambda}^{n-1} \cap U; \mathbb{S}^{n-1})$ , and  $x_\infty \in C^\infty(T^*\mathbb{S}_{2\lambda}^{n-1} \cap U; \mathbb{R}^n)$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \left\| q_\infty \left( t; z, \sqrt{2\lambda\omega} \right) - \sqrt{2\lambda}\xi_\infty \left( z, \sqrt{2\lambda\omega} \right) t - x_\infty \left( z, \sqrt{2\lambda\omega} \right) \right\|_{C^\infty(U)} &= 0, \\ \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \left\| q_\infty \left( t; z, \sqrt{2\lambda\omega} \right) - \sqrt{2\lambda}\xi_\infty \left( z, \sqrt{2\lambda\omega} \right) \right\|_{C^\infty(U)} &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

The trajectory  $\gamma_\infty \left( \cdot; z, \sqrt{2\lambda\omega} \right)$  is then said to have initial direction  $\omega$  and final direction  $\theta = \xi_\infty \left( z, \sqrt{2\lambda\omega} \right)$ . We also make the following

**Definition 1.** *The outgoing direction  $\theta \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$  is called non-degenerate, or regular, for the incoming direction  $\omega \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$  if  $\theta \neq \omega$  and for all  $z' \in \omega^\perp$  with  $\xi_\infty \left( z', \sqrt{2\lambda\omega} \right) = \theta$ , the map  $\omega^\perp \ni z \mapsto \xi_\infty \left( z, \sqrt{2\lambda\omega} \right) \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$  is non-degenerate at  $z'$ .*

Several authors, working under the assumption that a certain final direction  $\theta$  is non-degenerate for a given initial direction  $\omega$ , have proved asymptotic expansions of the scattering amplitude  $A$  of the form

$$K_{A(\lambda, h)}(\omega, \theta) = \sum_{j=1}^l \hat{\sigma}(z_j, \omega; \lambda)^{-1/2} \exp(ih^{-1}S_j - i\mu_j\pi/2) + \mathcal{O}(h), \quad (2)$$

where  $(z_j)_{j=1}^l \equiv \left( \xi_\infty^{-1} \left( \cdot, \sqrt{2\lambda\omega} \right) \right) (\theta_0)$ ,  $\hat{\sigma}(z_j, \omega; \lambda) = \det \left( \mathbf{J} \xi_\infty \left( \cdot, \sqrt{2\lambda\omega} \right) \right) (z_j)$ , with  $\mathbf{J}$  denoting the Jacobian matrix,

$$S_j = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left( \frac{1}{2} \left| p_\infty \left( t; z, \sqrt{2\lambda\omega} \right) \right|^2 - V \left( q_\infty \left( t; z, \sqrt{2\lambda\omega} \right) \right) - \lambda \right) dt - \left\langle x_\infty \left( z, \sqrt{2\lambda\omega} \right), \sqrt{2\lambda}\theta \right\rangle \quad (3)$$

is a modified action along the  $j$ -th  $(\omega, \theta)$  trajectory, and  $\mu_j$  is the path index of that trajectory. Vainberg [11] has studied smooth compactly supported potentials  $V$  at energies  $\lambda > \sup V$  and has proved such an asymptotic expansion with the error term estimated uniformly over a sufficiently small neighborhood containing the final direction while the initial direction is held a constant. Guillemin [6] has established a similar asymptotic expansion in the setting of smooth compactly-supported metric perturbations of the Laplacian for fixed incoming and outgoing directions. Working with non-trapping potential perturbations of the Laplacian satisfying (1) with  $\rho > \max(1, \frac{n-1}{2})$ , Yajima [12] has proved such an asymptotic expansion in the  $L^2$  sense. For non-trapping short-range ( $\rho > 1$ ) potential perturbations of the Laplacian, Robert and Tamura [10] have established a pointwise asymptotic expansion of this form for constant initial and final directions. This result has been extended to the case of trapping energies by Michel [9] under an additional assumption on the distribution of the resonances of  $P(h)$ .

In [2] we have proved, without making the non-degeneracy assumption, that the scattering amplitude for smooth compactly supported potential and metric perturbations of the Euclidean Laplacian at both trapping and non-trapping energies is a semi-classical Fourier integral operator associated to the scattering relation. We have further showed how the expansion (2) follows from the general theory of semi-classical Fourier integral operators developed in [1], once the non-degeneracy assumption on the initial and final directions is made. Here we extend these results to the case of short-range perturbations of the Laplacian when the scattering amplitude is restricted away from the diagonal in  $\mathbb{S}^{n-1} \times \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ .

## 1.2 Statement of Main Theorem

We consider the semi-classical Schrödinger operator  $P(h) = -\frac{1}{2}h^2\Delta + V$ , on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  for  $n \geq 2$ ,  $0 < h \leq 1$ , with the potential  $V \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R})$  satisfying (1). Let  $P_0(h) = -\frac{1}{2}h^2\Delta$ . Then  $P(h)$  and  $P_0(h)$  admit unique self-adjoint realizations on  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$  with common domain  $H^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . It is well-known that the wave operators

$$W_\pm = \text{s-}\lim_{t \rightarrow \pm\infty} U(t)U_0(-t),$$

where

$$U(t) = e^{-\frac{i}{h}tP(h)}, \quad U_0(t) = e^{-\frac{i}{h}tP_0(h)}, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}.$$

We can therefore define the scattering operator

$$S = W_+^* W_- = \mathcal{F}^{-1} \int_{\lambda > 0} \bigoplus S(\lambda, h) d\lambda \mathcal{F},$$

where  $\mathcal{F}$  denotes the unitary Fourier transform on  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . The operator  $S(\lambda, h)$  is called the scattering matrix at energy  $\lambda > 0$  and is a unitary operator on  $L^2(\mathbb{S}^{n-1})$ . The scattering amplitude  $A(\lambda, h)$  is defined by  $A(\lambda, h) = c(n, \lambda, h)T(\lambda, h)$ , where  $T(\lambda, h) = -i(2\pi)^{-1}(I - S(\lambda, h))$  and

$$c(n, \lambda, h) = -2\pi(2\lambda)^{-\frac{n-1}{4}}(2\pi h)^{\frac{n-1}{2}} e^{-i\frac{(n-3)\pi}{4}}.$$

To state our Main Theorem, we let  $R(\lambda + i0, h) = \lim_{\epsilon \downarrow 0} (P(h) - \lambda - i\epsilon)^{-1}$ , where the limit is taken in the space  $\mathcal{B}(L_\alpha^2(\mathbb{R}^n), L_{-\alpha}^2(\mathbb{R}^n))$ ,  $\alpha > \frac{1}{2}$ , with  $L_\alpha^2(\mathbb{R}^n) = \{f : \langle \cdot \rangle^\alpha f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)\}$ . We further refer the reader to Section 3 for the definitions non-trapped trajectories and the scattering relation  $SR_{\bar{U}}(\lambda)$ . The class of semi-classical Fourier integral operators  $\mathcal{I}_h^r$  is defined in Appendix A, where we also review the notion of pseudodifferential operators of principal type.

We are now ready to prove our

**Main Theorem.** *Let  $\lambda > 0$  be such that the operator  $P(h) - \lambda$  is of principal type. Let also*

$$\|R(\lambda + i0, h)\|_{\mathcal{B}(L_\alpha^2(\mathbb{R}^n), L_{-\alpha}^2(\mathbb{R}^n))} = \mathcal{O}(h^s), \quad s \in \mathbb{R}, \quad \alpha > \frac{1}{2}. \quad (4)$$

*Let  $(\omega, z) \in T^*\mathbb{S}^{n-1}$  be such that  $\gamma_\infty(\cdot; z, \sqrt{2\lambda}\omega)$  is a non-trapped trajectory.*

*Then there exist open sets  $U \subset T^*\mathbb{S}^{n-1}$  with  $(\omega, z) \in U$  such that*

$$A(\lambda, h) \in \mathcal{I}_h^{\frac{n}{2}+2}(\mathbb{S}^{n-1} \times \mathbb{S}^{n-1} \setminus \text{diag}(\mathbb{S}^{n-1} \times \mathbb{S}^{n-1}), SR_{\bar{U}}(\lambda)).$$

We remark that [3, Theorem 1] gives a characterization of semi-classical Fourier integral distributions as oscillatory integrals. Applied to the scattering amplitude here this characterization says approximately that for every non-degenerate phase function  $\phi$  which locally parameterizes  $SR_{\bar{U}}(\lambda)$  we can find a symbol  $a$  admitting an asymptotic expansion in  $h$  such that  $CK_{A(\lambda, h)}$ , where  $C$  is a microlocal cut-off to  $SR_{\bar{U}}(\lambda)$  (see Appendix A), can be represented as an oscillatory integral with phase  $\phi$  and symbol  $a$ . From the discussion in [3, Section 4.1] we further know that such a non-degenerate phase function always exists, and therefore we can always express  $CK_{A(\lambda, h)}$  as an oscillatory integral admitting an asymptotic expansion in  $h$ . In the special case when the non-degeneracy assumption holds, we recover the phases (18) in (2) – see Theorem 1 below. We expect

that a finer analysis based on our method would give a precise description of the amplitudes as well. What is different here is the fact that we can handle the cases in which the non-degeneracy assumption fails.

We now introduce some of the notation we shall use below. For a sequentially continuous operator  $T : C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^m) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$  we shall denote by  $K_T$  its Schwartz kernel. On any smooth manifold  $M$  we denote by  $\sigma$  the canonical symplectic form on  $T^*M$  and everywhere below we work with the canonical symplectic structure on  $T^*M$ . We shall denote by  $H_p$  the Hamiltonian vector field of  $p$ . The integral curve of  $H_p$  with initial conditions  $(x_0, \xi_0) \in T^*\mathbb{R}^n$  will be denoted by  $\gamma(\cdot; x_0, \xi_0) = (x(\cdot; x_0, \xi_0), \xi(\cdot; x_0, \xi_0))$ . If  $C \subset T^*M_1 \times T^*M_2$ , where  $M_j$ ,  $j = 1, 2$ , are smooth manifolds, we will use the notation  $C' = \{(x, \xi; y, -\eta) : (x, \xi; y, \eta) \in C\}$ . We shall also use  $\|\cdot\|_{\pm\gamma, \mp\gamma}$  to denote the norm of a linear operator between the spaces  $L^2_{\pm\gamma}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and  $L^2_{\mp\gamma}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . Lastly, we set  $B(0, r) = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : \|x\| < r\}$  and  $B(0, r, r+1) = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : r < \|x\| < r+1\}$ .

This paper is organized as follows. We review the definition of semi-classical Fourier integral distributions and operators in the Appendix, where we also recall the relevant part of semi-classical analysis. Isozaki-Kitada's representation of the short-range scattering amplitude which we will use in this article is presented in Section 2.1. Two preliminary lemmas giving additional information on the structure of the semi-classical amplitude, are given in Section 2.2. The scattering relation is defined in Section 3, where we also prove that it can be parameterize by the modified actions when the non-degeneracy assumption is made. The proof of the Main Theorem is presented in Section 4 and its applications to non-trapping and trapping perturbations are discussed in Section 5.1 and Section 5.2, respectively. Finally, the theorem giving the microlocal representation of the scattering amplitude as an oscillatory integral under the non-degeneracy assumption is proved in Section 5.3.

## 2 Preliminaries

In this section we introduce some of the preliminary results we shall use throughout this article.

### 2.1 Representation of the Scattering Amplitude

Here we present the representation of the short range scattering amplitude developed by Isozaki and Kitada [8]. This is the representation we shall use in the proof of our Main Theorem.

**Definition 2.** Let  $\Omega \subset T^*\mathbb{R}^n$  be an open subset. We denote by  $A_m(\Omega)$  the class of symbols  $a$  such that  $(x, \xi) \mapsto a(x, \xi, h)$  belongs to  $C^\infty(\Omega)$  and

$$\left| \partial_x^\alpha \partial_\xi^\beta a(x, \xi) \right| \leq C_{\alpha\beta} \langle x \rangle^{m-|\alpha|} \langle \xi \rangle^{-L}, \text{ for all } (x, \xi) \in \Omega, (\alpha, \beta) \in \mathbb{N}^n \times \mathbb{N}^n, L > 0.$$

We denote  $A_m(T^*\mathbb{R}^n)$  by  $A_m$ .

We also use the notation

$$\Gamma_\pm(R, d, \sigma) = \left\{ (x, \xi) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n : |x| > R, \frac{1}{d} < |\xi| < d, \pm \cos(x, \xi) > \pm \sigma \right\}$$

with  $R > 1$ ,  $d > 1$ ,  $\sigma \in (-1, 1)$ , and  $\cos(x, \xi) = \frac{\langle x, \xi \rangle}{|x||\xi|}$ , for the outgoing and incoming subsets of phase space, respectively.

For  $\alpha > \frac{1}{2}$ , we denote the bounded operator  $F_0(\lambda, h) : L_\alpha^2(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow L^2(\mathbb{S}^{n-1})$  by

$$(F_0(\lambda, h)f)(\omega) = (2\pi h)^{-\frac{n}{2}} (2\lambda)^{\frac{n-2}{4}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{-\frac{i}{h}\sqrt{2\lambda}\langle \omega, x \rangle} f(x) dx, \lambda > 0.$$

Let  $R_0 \gg 0$ ,  $1 < d_4 < d_3 < d_2 < d_1 < d_0$ , and  $0 < \sigma_4 < \sigma_3 < \sigma_2 < \sigma_1 < \sigma_0 < 1$ . Using the WKB method, Isozaki and Kitada [8] have constructed parametrices for the wave operators with phase functions  $\Phi_\pm$  and symbols  $a_\pm$  and  $b_\pm$  such that:

1.  $\Phi_\pm \in C^\infty(T^*\mathbb{R}^n)$  solve the eikonal equation

$$\frac{1}{2} |\nabla_x \Phi_\pm(x, \xi)|^2 + V(x) = \frac{1}{2} |\xi|^2 \quad (5)$$

in  $(x, \xi) \in \Gamma_\pm(R_0, d_0, \pm\sigma_0)$ , respectively.

2.  $\Phi_\pm(\cdot, \cdot) - \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle \in A_0(\Gamma_\pm(R_0, d_0, \pm\sigma_0))$ .

3. For all  $(x, \xi) \in T^*\mathbb{R}^n$

$$\left| \frac{\partial^2 \Phi_\pm}{\partial x_j \partial \xi_k}(x, \xi) - \delta_{jk} \right| < \epsilon(R_0), \quad (6)$$

where  $\delta_{jk}$  is the Kronecker delta and  $\epsilon(R_0) \rightarrow R_0$  as  $R_0 \rightarrow \infty$ .

4.  $a_\pm \sim \sum_{j=0}^\infty h^j a_{\pm j}$ , where  $a_{\pm j} \in A_{-j}(\Gamma_\pm(3R_0, d_1, \mp\sigma_1))$ ,  $\text{supp } a_{\pm j} \subset \Gamma_\pm(3R_0, d_1, \mp\sigma_1)$ ,  $a_{\pm j}$  solve

$$\langle \nabla_x \Phi_\pm, \nabla_x a_{\pm 0} \rangle + \frac{1}{2} (\Delta_x \Phi_\pm) a_{\pm 0} = 0 \quad (7)$$

$$\langle \nabla_x \Phi_{\pm}, \nabla_x a_{\pm j} \rangle + \frac{1}{2} (\Delta_x \Phi_{\pm}) a_{\pm j} = \frac{i}{2} \Delta_x a_{\pm j-1}, j \geq 1, \quad (8)$$

with the conditions at infinity

$$a_{\pm 0} \rightarrow 1, a_{\pm j} \rightarrow 0, j \geq 1, \text{ as } |x| \rightarrow \infty. \quad (9)$$

in  $\Gamma_{\pm}(2R_0, d_2, \mp\sigma_2)$ , and solve (7) and (8) in  $\Gamma_{\pm}(4R_0, d_1, \mp\sigma_2)$ .

5.  $b_{\pm} \sim \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} h^j b_{\pm j}$ , where  $b_{\pm j} \in A_{-j}(\Gamma_{\pm}(5R_0, d_3, \pm\sigma_4))$ ,  $\text{supp } b_{\pm j} \subset \Gamma_{\pm}(5R_0, d_3, \pm\sigma_4)$ ,  $b_{\pm j}$  solve (7) and (8) with the conditions at infinity (9) in  $\Gamma_{\pm}(6R_0, d_4, \pm\sigma_3)$ , and solve (7) and (8) in  $\Gamma_{\pm}(6R_0, d_3, \pm\sigma_3)$ .

For a symbol  $c$  and a phase function  $\phi$ , we denote by  $I_h(c, \phi)$  the oscillatory integral

$$I_h(c, \phi) = \frac{1}{(2\pi h)^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{\frac{i}{h}(\phi(x, \xi) - \langle y, \xi \rangle)} c(x, \xi) d\xi$$

and let

$$K_{\pm a}(h) = P(h)I_h(a_{\pm}, \Phi_{\pm}) - I_h(a_{\pm}, \Phi_{\pm})P_0(h)$$

$$K_{\pm b}(h) = P(h)I_h(b_{\pm}, \Phi_{\pm}) - I_h(b_{\pm}, \Phi_{\pm})P_0(h).$$

The operator  $T(\lambda, h)$  for  $\lambda \in \left(\frac{1}{2d_4^2}, \frac{d_4^2}{2}\right)$  is then given by (see [8, Theorem 3.3])

$$T(\lambda, h) = T_{+1}(\lambda, h) + T_{-1}(\lambda, h) - T_2(\lambda, h),$$

where

$$T_{\pm 1}(\lambda, h) = F_0(\lambda, h)I_h(a_{\pm}, \Phi_{\pm})^* K_{\pm b}(h)F_0^*(\lambda, h)$$

and

$$T_2(\lambda, h) = F_0(\lambda, h)K_{+a}^*(h)R(\lambda + i0, h)(K_{+b}(h) + K_{-b}(h))F_0^*(\lambda, h),$$

## 2.2 Two Preparatory Lemmas

The following two lemmas will be useful in studying the structure of the scattering amplitude.

**Lemma 1.** *Let  $W = \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{B}(L^2(\mathbb{R}^n))}(h^s)$ ,  $h \rightarrow 0$ , or  $W = \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{B}(L_{\alpha}^2(\mathbb{R}^n), L_{-\alpha}^2(\mathbb{R}^n))}(h^s)$ ,  $h \rightarrow 0$ , for some  $s \in \mathbb{R}$ .*

*Then  $K_W \in \mathcal{D}'_h(\mathbb{R}^{2n})$ .*

*Proof.* By Schwartz Kernel Theorem, for some  $h_0 > 0$  and every  $h \in (0, h_0]$ , there exists  $w_h \in \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^{2n})$  such that  $\langle T\varphi, \psi \rangle = \langle w_h, \varphi \otimes \psi \rangle$ ,  $\varphi, \psi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . Let  $\chi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{2n})$  and let  $c_1 > c_2 > 0$  be such that  $\text{supp } \chi \subset K_1(c_2) \times K_2(c_2)$ , where  $K_j(d) = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : |x_l| < d, l = 1, \dots, n\}$ ,  $j = 1, 2$ ,  $d > 0$ . Let also  $\rho_j \in C_c^\infty(K_j(c_1))$ ,  $j = 1, 2$ , be such that  $\rho_1 \times \rho_2 = 1$  on  $K_1(c_2) \times K_2(c_2)$ . Then, by the proof of Schwartz Kernel Theorem [5, Theorem 6.1.1], we have that

$$\left\langle w_h, \chi e^{-\frac{i}{h}(\langle \cdot, \xi \rangle + \langle \cdot, \eta \rangle)} \right\rangle = \sum_{\mathbb{Z}^n \times \mathbb{Z}^n} \hat{\chi}_{m,k} \langle T\rho_1 E_h(\langle m, \cdot \rangle), \rho_2 E_h(\langle k, \cdot \rangle) \rangle,$$

where  $E(t) = e^{\frac{2\pi i t}{b}}$ ,  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ , and  $\hat{\chi}_{m,k} = \frac{1}{b^{2n}} \int_{K_1 \times K_2} \chi(x, y) e^{-\frac{i}{h}(\langle x, \xi \rangle + \langle y, \eta \rangle)} E(-m \cdot x - k \cdot y) dx dy$ . Integration by parts now gives

$$(1 + |m|)^M (1 + |k|)^M \hat{\chi}_{m,k} \leq C_1 h^{-2M} \langle (\xi, \eta) \rangle^M \sum_{|\alpha| \leq M, |\beta| \leq M} \left\| \partial_x^\alpha \partial_y^\beta \chi \right\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{2n})}, m, k \in \mathbb{Z}^n, M \in \mathbb{N}_0. \quad (10)$$

We also have

$$|\langle T\rho_1 E_h(\langle m, \cdot \rangle), \rho_2 E_h(\langle k, \cdot \rangle) \rangle| \leq C_2 h^s. \quad (11)$$

From estimates (10) and (11) we obtain

$$|\sum_{\mathbb{Z}^n \times \mathbb{Z}^n} \hat{\chi}_{m,k} \langle T\rho_1 E_h(\langle m, \cdot \rangle), \rho_2 E_h(\langle k, \cdot \rangle) \rangle| \leq C_3 \sum_{|\alpha| \leq M, |\beta| \leq M} \left\| \partial_x^\alpha \partial_x^\beta \chi \right\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{2n})} h^{s-2M} \langle (\xi, \eta) \rangle^M, \quad (12)$$

with

$$C_3 = C_1 C_2 \sum_{\mathbb{Z}^n \times \mathbb{Z}^n} (1 + |m|)^{-M} (1 + |k|)^{-M} < \infty,$$

if  $M$  is taken large enough. Therefore  $K_T \in \mathcal{D}'_h(\mathbb{R}^{2n})$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 2.** Let  $\nu : \mathbb{R}^{2n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{2n}$  be given by  $\nu(x, y) = (y, x)$ .

Then  $\nu^* K_{R(\lambda+i0, h)} = K_{R(\lambda+i0, h)}$  for every  $\lambda > 0$ .

*Proof.* For  $u, v \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$  let  $\langle u, v \rangle = \int uv$ . Let  $u$  and  $v$  further satisfy  $u, v \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and let  $z \in \mathbb{C}$  be such that  $\Im z > 0$ . We then have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle R(z, h) u, v \rangle &= \langle R(z, h) u, (P(h) - z) R(z, h) v \rangle \\ &= \langle (P(h) - z) R(z, h) u, R(z, h) v \rangle \\ &= \langle u, R(z, h) v \rangle. \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$



Let, now,  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$  and let  $(z_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \mathbb{C}$  satisfy  $\Im z_k \downarrow 0$ ,  $k \rightarrow \infty$ , and  $\Re z_k = \lambda$ ,  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then, from (13) we have that for every  $k$

$$\langle R(z_k, h) u, v \rangle = \langle u, R(z_k, h) v \rangle. \quad (14)$$

Letting  $k \rightarrow \infty$  in (14) and using the fact that

$$R(\lambda + i0, h) = \lim_{\epsilon \downarrow 0} R(\lambda + i\epsilon, h) \text{ in } \mathcal{B}(L_\alpha^2(\mathbb{R}^n), L_{-\alpha}^2(\mathbb{R}^n)), \alpha > \frac{1}{2},$$

we obtain

$$\langle R(\lambda + i0, h) u, v \rangle = \langle u, R(\lambda + i0, h) v \rangle.$$

Since  $C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n) \otimes C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$  is dense in  $C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{2n})$ , this completes the proof of the lemma.  $\square$

### 3 Scattering Geometry

In this section we describe the scattering relation and prove that it can be parameterized by the modified actions (3) when the non-degeneracy assumption holds. The scattering relation is a Lagrangian submanifold of  $T^*\mathbb{S}^{n-1} \times T^*\mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ , which relates the incoming and the outgoing data in the way suggested by Figure 1.

To make this precise, we first give the following

**Definition 3.** *The trajectory  $\gamma(\cdot; x_0, \xi_0)$  is non-trapped if for every  $r > 0$ , there exists  $T > 0$  such that  $\|x_0\| < r$  implies that  $\|x(s; x_0, \xi_0)\| > r$  for  $|s| > T$ . The energy  $\lambda > 0$  is non-trapping if for every  $r > 0$  there exists  $T > 0$  such that for every  $(x_0, \xi_0) \in T^*\mathbb{R}^n$  with  $\frac{1}{2}\|\xi_0\|^2 + V(x_0) = \lambda$  and  $\|x_0\| < r$  we have  $\|x(s; x_0, \xi_0)\| > r$  for  $|s| > T$ .*

*We also introduce the notation  $T(r)$  for the infimum over  $s$  with this property.*

Let, now,  $\lambda > 0$  be such that the operator  $P(h) - \lambda$  is of principal type. Then  $\Sigma_\lambda = p^{-1}(\lambda)$  is a smooth  $2n - 1$ -dimensional submanifold of  $T^*\mathbb{R}^n$ .

Let, further,  $(\omega_0, z_0) \in T^*\mathbb{S}^{n-1}$  be such that  $\gamma_\infty(\cdot; z_0, \sqrt{2\lambda}\omega_0)$  is a non-trapped trajectory with  $\xi_\infty(z_0, \sqrt{2\lambda}\omega_0) \neq \omega_0$ . Then there exists  $U \subset T^*\mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ , open,  $(\omega_0, z_0) \in U$ , such that for every  $(\omega, z) \in U$  the trajectory  $\gamma_\infty(\cdot; z, \sqrt{2\lambda}\omega)$  is non-trapped and  $\xi_\infty(z, \sqrt{2\lambda}\omega) \neq \omega$ . By decreasing  $U$ , if necessary, we therefore have that

$$SR_{\bar{U}}(\lambda) = \left\{ \left( \omega, z; \xi_\infty(z, \sqrt{2\lambda}\omega), x_\infty(z, \sqrt{2\lambda}\omega) \right) : (\omega, z) \in \bar{U} \right\}' \quad (15)$$




Figure 1: The scattering relation consists of the points  $(\omega, z; \theta, -w)$  related as in this figure.

is a closed Lagrangian submanifold of  $(T^*\mathbb{S}^{n-1} \times T^*\mathbb{S}^{n-1}, \pi_1^*\sigma + \pi_2^*\sigma)$ , which we call a scattering relation at energy  $\lambda$  (see Figure 1).

If  $\lambda$  is a non-trapping energy level, we define the scattering relation at energy  $\lambda > 0$  as

$$SR(\lambda) = \left\{ \left( \omega, z; \xi_\infty \left( z, \sqrt{2\lambda\omega} \right), x_\infty \left( z, \sqrt{2\lambda\omega} \right) \right) : (\omega, z) \in T^*\mathbb{S}^{n-1}, \omega \neq \xi_\infty \left( z, \sqrt{2\lambda\omega} \right) \right\}'$$

We now show how, under the assumption that a certain outgoing direction is regular for a given incoming direction, we can find a non-degenerate phase function which parameterizes the scattering relation. We begin with the following

**Lemma 3.** *Let  $\theta_0 \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$  be regular for  $\omega_0 \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ .*

*Then there exist  $O_j \subset \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ ,  $j = 1, 2$ , open,  $\omega_0 \in O_1$ ,  $\theta_0 \in O_2$ , and  $L \in \mathbb{N}$  such that for every  $(\omega, \theta) \in O_1 \times O_2$  the number of  $(\omega, \theta)$  trajectories is at least  $L$ .*

*Proof.* By [9, Remark 1.1] and the discussion following it, we have that there exists  $L \in \mathbb{N}$  such

that the number of  $(\omega_0, \theta_0)$  trajectories is  $L$ . Let  $(z_l)_{l=1}^L \equiv \left( \xi_\infty^{-1} \left( \cdot, \sqrt{2\lambda}\omega_0 \right) \right) (\theta_0)$ , By the Implicit Function Theorem, since  $\theta_0$  is regular for  $\omega_0$ , we have that there exist open sets  $O_1, O_2 \subset \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$  with  $\theta_0 \in O_1$  and  $\omega_0 \in O_2$  and functions  $z_l \in C^\infty(O_1 \times O_2; \mathbb{R}^{n-1})$ ,  $l = 1, \dots, L$ , such that  $z_l(\omega_0, \theta_0; \lambda) = z_l$  and  $\xi_\infty \left( z_l(\omega, \theta; \lambda), \sqrt{2\lambda}\omega \right) = \theta$ ,  $(\omega, \theta) \in O_1 \times O_2$ , which completes the proof.  $\square$

Let, now,  $w_l(\omega, \theta; \lambda) = x_\infty \left( z_l(\omega, \theta; \lambda), \sqrt{2\lambda}\omega \right)$ . As in [2, Lemma 4], we have the following

**Lemma 4.** *Let  $\theta_0 \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$  be regular for  $\omega_0 \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ .*

*Then there exist  $O_j \subset \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ ,  $j = 1, 2$ , open,  $\omega_0 \in O_1$ ,  $\theta_0 \in O_2$ , such that the map*

$$\theta^\perp \ni w \mapsto \xi_\infty \left( w, -\sqrt{2\lambda}\theta \right) \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$$

*is non-degenerate at  $w_l(\omega, \theta)$ ,  $(\omega, \theta) \in O_1 \times O_2$ ,  $l = 1, \dots, L$ .*

We now choose  $O_1$  and  $O_2$  in such a way that the conclusions of Lemmas 3 and 4 hold in some open neighborhoods of  $\bar{O}_1$  and  $\bar{O}_2$  and  $\bar{O}_1 \cap \bar{O}_2 = \emptyset$ . We set

$$SR_l(\lambda) = \{(\omega, \theta, z_l(\omega, \theta; \lambda), -w_l(\omega, \theta; \lambda)) : (\omega, \theta) \in \bar{O}_1 \times \bar{O}_2\}. \quad (16)$$

The same proof as in [10, Lemma 3.2] now shows that there exist  $\bar{R} \gg 0$ ,  $T_0 > T(\bar{R})$ , and open sets  $U_{\omega, \theta}^l \subset \omega^\perp$ ,  $z_l(\omega, \theta; \lambda) \in U_{\theta, \omega}^l$ ,  $l = 1, \dots, L$ ,  $(\omega, \theta) \in \bar{O}_1 \times \bar{O}_2$ , such that

$$\det \left( \frac{\partial x \left( t; \cdot, \nabla_x \Phi_- \left( \cdot, \sqrt{2\lambda}\omega \right) (y) \right)}{\partial y} (y) \right) \neq 0 \quad (17)$$

for  $y \in \left\{ x_\infty \left( s; z, \sqrt{2\lambda}\omega \right) \cap B(0, \bar{R}, \bar{R} + 1) : z \in U_{\omega, \theta}^l, s < 0 \right\}$ ,  $t > T_0$ .

Let, now,  $t_0 > T_0$  be fixed. From (17) it follows that for  $(\theta, \omega) \in \bar{O}_1 \times \bar{O}_2$  we define the (modified) action along the segment of the  $(\omega, \theta)$ -trajectory  $\gamma_l(\omega, \theta, \lambda) = (x_l(\omega, \theta, \lambda), \xi_l(\omega, \theta, \lambda)) = \gamma_\infty \left( \cdot; z_l(\omega, \theta; \lambda), \sqrt{2\lambda}\omega \right)$ , between the points

$$y_l(s; \omega, \theta, \lambda) = x_\infty \left( s; z_l(\omega, \theta; \lambda), \sqrt{2\lambda}\omega \right) \cap B(0, \bar{R}, \bar{R} + 1)$$

for some  $s < 0$  and  $x_l(t_0; s, \omega, \theta, \lambda) = x \left( t_0; y_l(s; \omega, \theta, \lambda), \nabla_x \Phi_- \left( y_l(s; \omega, \theta, \lambda), \sqrt{2\lambda}\omega \right) \right)$  and we set

$$S_l(\omega, \theta) = \Phi_- \left( y_l(s; \omega, \theta, \lambda), \sqrt{2\lambda}\omega \right) + \int_0^{t_0} L(x, \dot{x}) dt - \Phi_+ \left( x_l(t_0; s, \omega, \theta, \lambda), \sqrt{2\lambda}\omega \right) + \lambda t_0, \quad (18)$$

where  $L(x, \dot{x}) = \frac{1}{2} \|\dot{x}\|_g^2 - V(x)$  is the Lagrangian, and the integral is taken over the segment of the bicharacteristic curve  $x_l(\omega, \theta, \lambda)$  connecting  $y_l(s; \omega, \theta, \lambda)$  and  $x_l(t_0; s, \omega, \theta, \lambda)$ .

From the representations [10, (4.5)]

$$\Phi_-(x, \sqrt{2\lambda}\omega) = 2\tau\lambda + \int_{-\infty}^{\tau} \left( \frac{1}{2} \left| p_\infty(t; z, \sqrt{2\lambda}\omega) \right|^2 - V(q_\infty(t; z, \sqrt{2\lambda}\omega)) - \lambda \right) dt \quad (19)$$

for  $x = q_\infty(\tau; z, \sqrt{2\lambda}\omega) \in B(0, \bar{R}, \bar{R} + 1)$  and [10, (4.4)]

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_+(x, \xi) &= 2\lambda\tau + \left\langle x_\infty(z, \sqrt{2\lambda}\omega), \xi \right\rangle \\ &\quad - \int_{\tau}^{\infty} \left( \frac{1}{2} \left| p_\infty(t; z, \sqrt{2\lambda}\omega) \right|^2 - V(q_\infty(t; z, \sqrt{2\lambda}\omega)) - \lambda \right) dt \end{aligned} \quad (20)$$

for  $(x, \xi) \in \Gamma_+(R_0, d_0, -\sigma_0)$  with  $x = q_\infty(\tau; z, \sqrt{2\lambda}\omega)$ ,  $\xi = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} p_\infty(t; z, \sqrt{2\lambda}\omega)$ , we see that  $S_l(\omega, \theta)$  is independent of the choice of  $s$  with the specified properties.

We now have the following

**Lemma 5.** *Let  $\omega_0 \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$  be regular for  $\theta_0 \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ .*

*Then  $SR_l(\lambda) = \Lambda_{S_l}$ , where  $\Lambda_{S_l} = \{(\omega, \theta, d_\omega S_l, d_\theta S_l) : (\omega, \theta) \in \bar{O}_1 \times \bar{O}_2\}$ ,  $l = 1, \dots, L$ .*

*Proof.* We consider

$$\begin{aligned} d_\theta S_l(\omega, \theta) &= d_\theta \left( \Phi_- \left( y_l(s; \omega, \theta, \lambda), \sqrt{2\lambda}\omega \right) + \int_0^{t_0} L(x, \dot{x}) dt \right) - d_\omega \Phi_+ \left( x_l(t_0; s, \omega, \cdot, \lambda), \sqrt{2\lambda}\cdot \right) (\theta) \\ &= \left\langle \xi \left( t_0; y_l(s; \omega, \theta, \lambda), \nabla_x \Phi_- \left( y_l(s, \omega, \theta, \lambda), \sqrt{2\lambda}\omega \right) \right), d_\theta x_l(t_0; s, \omega, \cdot, \lambda)(\theta) \right\rangle \\ &\quad - \left\langle \nabla_x \Phi_+ \left( x_l(t_0; s, \omega, \theta, \lambda), \sqrt{2\lambda}\theta \right), d_\theta x_l(t_0; s, \omega, \cdot, \lambda)(\theta) \right\rangle \\ &\quad - d_\theta \left\langle \nabla_\xi \Phi_+ \left( x_l(t_0; s, \omega, \theta, \lambda), \sqrt{2\lambda}\theta \right), \sqrt{2\lambda}\cdot \right\rangle (\theta) \\ &= -d_\theta \left\langle \nabla_\xi \Phi_+ \left( x_l(t_0; s, \omega, \theta, \lambda), \sqrt{2\lambda}\theta \right), \sqrt{2\lambda}\cdot \right\rangle (\theta), \end{aligned} \quad (21)$$

where (17) has allowed us to use [3, Theorem 46.C] to obtain the second equality. Lastly, we recall from [10, Lemma 4.1] that

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \left| x_\infty \left( t; z_l(\omega, \theta, \lambda), \sqrt{2\lambda}\omega \right) - \sqrt{2\lambda}\theta t - \nabla_\xi \Phi_+ \left( x_l(t_0; s, \omega, \theta, \lambda), \sqrt{2\lambda}\theta \right) \right| = 0. \quad (22)$$

To compute  $d_\omega S_l$  we first reparameterize the phase trajectories in the reverse direction, which is equivalent to considering the reverse of the initial and final directions. Using (19) and (20) we

further re-write  $S_l(\omega, \theta)$  in the following way

$$S_l(\omega, \theta) = -\Phi_+ \left( x_l(s; \omega, \theta, \lambda), \sqrt{2\lambda\theta} \right) + \int_0^{t_0} L(x_l, \dot{x}_l) dt + \Phi_- \left( y_l(t_0; s, \omega, \theta, \lambda), \sqrt{2\lambda\omega} \right) + \lambda t_0,$$

where  $x_l(s; \omega, \theta, \lambda) = x_\infty \left( s; z_l(\omega, \theta, \lambda), \sqrt{2\lambda\omega} \right) \cap B(0, \bar{R}, \bar{R} + 1)$  for some  $s > 0$ ,

$$y_l(t_0; s, \omega, \theta, \lambda) = x \left( t_0; x_l(s; \omega, \theta, \lambda), -\nabla_x \Phi_+ \left( x_l(s; \omega, \theta, \lambda), \sqrt{2\lambda\theta} \right) \right),$$

and the integral is taken over the segment of the bicharacteristic curve  $x_l(\omega, \theta, \lambda)$  connecting  $x_l(s; \omega, \theta, \lambda)$  and  $y_l(t_0; s, \omega, \theta, \lambda)$ . We observe that this bicharacteristic curve is uniquely defined by Lemma 4 and (17).

Lemma 4 and (17) further allow us to proceed as in (23) and we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} d_\omega S_l(\omega, \theta) &= d_\omega \left( -\Phi_+ \left( x_l(s; \omega, \theta, \lambda), \sqrt{2\lambda\theta} \right) + \int_0^{t_0} L(x, \dot{x}) dt \right) \\ &\quad + d_\omega \Phi_- \left( y_l(t_0; s, \cdot, \theta, \lambda), \sqrt{2\lambda \cdot} \right) (\omega) \\ &= d_\omega \left\langle \nabla_\xi \Phi_- \left( y_l(t_0; s, \omega, \theta, \lambda), \sqrt{2\lambda\omega} \right), \sqrt{2\lambda \cdot} \right\rangle (\omega). \end{aligned} \quad (23)$$

As above, we have that

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow -\infty} \left| x_\infty \left( t; z_l(\omega, \theta, \lambda), \sqrt{2\lambda\omega} \right) - \sqrt{2\lambda\theta} t - \nabla_\xi \Phi_- \left( y_l(t_0; s, \omega, \theta, \lambda), \sqrt{2\lambda\omega} \right) \right| = 0. \quad (24)$$

From (21), (22), (23), and (24) we therefore have that  $S_l$  is a non-degenerate phase function such that  $SR_l(\lambda) = \Lambda_{S_l}$ .  $\square$

We remark that (19) and (20) allow us to rewrite  $S_l(\omega, \theta)$  in the following way

$$\begin{aligned} S_l(\omega, \theta) &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left( \frac{1}{2} \left| p_\infty \left( t; z_l(\omega, \theta), \sqrt{2\lambda\omega} \right) \right|^2 - V \left( q_\infty \left( t; z_l(\omega, \theta), \sqrt{2\lambda\omega} \right) \right) - \lambda \right) dt \\ &\quad - \left\langle x_\infty \left( z_l(\omega, \theta), \sqrt{2\lambda\omega} \right), \sqrt{2\lambda\theta} \right\rangle, \end{aligned} \quad (25)$$

which is the same as the modified actions given by (3).

## 4 Proof of Main Theorem

We now turn to the proof of the Main Theorem.

*Proof.* Since  $S(\lambda, h)$  is a unitary operator on  $L^2(\mathbb{S}^{n-1})$ , we have, by Lemma 1, that  $K_{S(\lambda, h)} \in \mathcal{D}'_h(\mathbb{S}^{n-1} \times \mathbb{S}^{n-1})$  and therefore  $K_{T(\lambda, h)} \in \mathcal{D}'_h(\mathbb{S}^{n-1} \times \mathbb{S}^{n-1})$ .

Since we are working away from the diagonal in  $\mathbb{S}^{n-1} \times \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$  we can use integration by parts, as in [10] and [9], and obtain

$$K_{T_{\pm 1}} = \mathcal{O}_{L^2(\mathbb{S}^{n-1} \times \mathbb{S}^{n-1} \setminus \text{diag}(\mathbb{S}^{n-1} \times \mathbb{S}^{n-1}))}(h^\infty).$$

Therefore, by (12), we obtain

$$WF_h^f(K_{T_{\pm 1}}) = \emptyset. \quad (26)$$

We now observe that the proof of [10, Lemma 2.1] depends only on the estimate (4) and the support properties of the symbols  $a_\pm$  and  $b_\pm$  and therefore its assertion holds here as well and we have the following estimates for  $\gamma > \frac{n}{2}$  close to  $\frac{n}{2}$

$$\begin{aligned} \|K_{+a}^*(h)R(\lambda + i0, h)K_{+b}(h)\|_{-\gamma, \gamma} &= \mathcal{O}(h^\infty) \\ \|K_{+a}^*(h)R(\lambda + i0, h)(1 - \chi_b)K_{-b}(h)\|_{-\gamma, \gamma} &= \mathcal{O}(h^\infty) \\ \|((1 - \chi_a)K_{+a})^*(h)R(\lambda + i0, h)K_{-b}(h)\|_{-\gamma, \gamma} &= \mathcal{O}(h^\infty), \end{aligned} \quad (27)$$

where  $\chi_a \in C_c^\infty(B(0, 20R_0 + 1))$ ,  $\chi_a(x) = 1$ ,  $|x| < 20R_0$  and  $\chi_b \in C_c^\infty(B(0, 10R_0 + 1))$ ,  $\chi_b(y) = 1$ ,  $|y| < 10R_0$ .

From (26), (27), and (12) we then conclude, as in [10, Corollary], that

$$WF_h^f(\chi(K_{A(\lambda, h)} - c_1(n, \lambda, h)K_{G_0})) = \emptyset, \quad (28)$$

for every  $\chi \in C^\infty(\mathbb{S}^{n-1} \times \mathbb{S}^{n-1} \setminus \text{diag}(\mathbb{S}^{n-1} \times \mathbb{S}^{n-1}))$ , where

$$\begin{aligned} G_0(\theta, \omega; \lambda, h) &= \left\langle e^{-\frac{i}{h}\Phi_+(\cdot, \sqrt{2\lambda}\theta)} g_{+a}(\cdot, \theta; h) \otimes e^{\frac{i}{h}\Phi_-(\cdot, \sqrt{2\lambda}\omega)} g_{-b}(\cdot, \omega; h), K_{R(\lambda+i0, h)} \right\rangle, \\ g_{+a}(x, \theta; h) &= e^{-\frac{i}{h}\Phi_+(x, \sqrt{2\lambda}\theta)} [\chi_a, P_0(h)] a_+(x, \sqrt{2\lambda}\theta; h) e^{\frac{i}{h}\Phi_+(x, \sqrt{2\lambda}\theta)}, \\ g_{-b}(y, \omega; h) &= e^{-\frac{i}{h}\Phi_-(y, \sqrt{2\lambda}\omega)} [\chi_b, P_0(h)] b_-(y, \sqrt{2\lambda}\omega; h) e^{\frac{i}{h}\Phi_-(y, \sqrt{2\lambda}\omega)}, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$c_1(n, \lambda, h) = 2\pi(2\lambda)^{\frac{n-3}{4}} (2\pi h)^{-\frac{n+1}{2}} e^{-\frac{i(n-3)\pi}{4}}.$$

Let, now,  $\bar{p} \in SR_U(\lambda)$  be such that  $\tilde{\pi}_1(\bar{p}) = (\omega, z)$ , where  $\tilde{\pi}_1 : T^*\mathbb{S}^{n-1} \times T^*\mathbb{S}^{n-1} \rightarrow T^*\mathbb{S}^{n-1}$  is the canonical projection onto the first factor. Let  $A_j \in \Psi_h^0(1, \mathbb{S}^{n-1} \times \mathbb{S}^{n-1} \setminus \text{diag}(\mathbb{S}^{n-1} \times \mathbb{S}^{n-1}))$ ,

$j = 0, \dots, N$ , have compactly supported symbols near  $\bar{p}$  and satisfy  $\sigma_0(A_j)|_{SR_{\bar{U}}(\lambda)} = 0$ ,  $j < N$ . We also set  $\varphi_+(x, \theta) = \Phi_+(x, \sqrt{2\lambda}\theta)$ ,  $(x, \sqrt{2\lambda}\theta) \in \Gamma_+(R_0, d_0, \sigma_0)$ , and  $\varphi_-(y, \omega) = \Phi_-(y, \sqrt{2\lambda}\omega)$ ,  $(y, \omega) \in \Gamma_-(R_0, d_0, -\sigma_0)$ . First, we shall prove that the generalization of Egorov's Theorem to manifolds of unequal dimensions [1, Lemma 7] can be applied to the semi-classical Fourier integral operator  $F$  given by the Schwartz kernel

$$K_F = e^{-\frac{i}{h}\varphi_+} g_{+a} \otimes e^{\frac{i}{h}\varphi_-} g_{-b}.$$

For that, let

$$\Lambda_F = \left\{ \begin{aligned} & \left( x, y, -\nabla_x \varphi_+(x, \theta), \nabla_y \varphi_-(y, \omega); \theta, \omega, -\nabla_\theta \varphi_+(x, \theta), \nabla_\omega \varphi_-(y, \omega) : \right. \\ & \quad \left( x, \sqrt{2\lambda}\theta \right) \in \Gamma_+(R_0, d_0, \sigma_0) \cap (T^*(\text{supp } \nabla \chi_a) \times T^*\mathbb{S}_{2\lambda}^{n-1}), \\ & \quad \left. \left( y, \sqrt{2\lambda}\omega \right) \in \Gamma_-(R_0, d_0, -\sigma_0) \cap (T^*(\text{supp } \nabla \chi_b) \times T^*\mathbb{S}_{2\lambda}^{n-1}) \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

For every  $(x, \xi) \in \Gamma_\pm(R_0, d_0, \pm\sigma_0)$  there exist unique phase trajectories  $(q_\pm(\cdot; x, \xi), p_\pm(\cdot; x, \xi))$  such that  $q_\pm(0; x, \xi) = x$  and  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \pm\infty} p_\pm(t; x, \xi) = \xi$ , respectively (see [10, Subsection 4.1] as well as the discussion following [7, Definition 1.10]). Furthermore, by the construction of  $\Phi_\pm$ ,

$$\nabla_x \Phi_\pm(q_\pm(t; x, \xi), \xi) = p_\pm(t; x, \xi).$$

By [10, Lemma 4.1], we also have that

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \pm\infty} |q_\pm(t; x, \xi) - \xi t - \nabla_\xi \Phi_\pm(x, \xi)| = 0.$$

These considerations imply that

$$\pi_1|_{\Lambda_F} \text{ is an immersion,} \tag{29}$$

where

$$\pi_1 : T^*\mathbb{R}^n \times T^*\mathbb{R}^n \times T^*\mathbb{S}^{n-1} \times T^*\mathbb{S}^{n-1} \rightarrow T^*\mathbb{R}^n \times T^*\mathbb{R}^n$$

is the canonical projection. With (29) the hypotheses of the generalization of Egorov's Theorem to manifolds of unequal dimensions [1, Lemma 7] are satisfied and applying [1, Lemma 7] we obtain that there exist  $B_j \in \Psi_h^0(1, \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n)$ ,  $j = 0, \dots, N$ , satisfying the following conditions

1.  $\sigma(B_j)$ ,  $j = 0, \dots, N$ , have compact support near a point  $\bar{q} \in T^*\mathbb{R}^n \times T^*\mathbb{R}^n$  such that  $\hat{\pi}_1(\bar{q}) \in \gamma_\infty(\cdot; z, \sqrt{2\lambda}\theta)$ , where  $\hat{\pi}_1 : T^*\mathbb{R}^n \times T^*\mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow T^*\mathbb{R}^n$  is the canonical projection onto the first factor.

2.  $\sigma_0(B_j)|_{\Lambda_R(\lambda)} = 0, j < N$ , where  $\Lambda_R(\lambda) = \cup_{t>0} (\text{graph exp}(tH_p)|_{\Sigma_\lambda})'$ .

3. Near  $(\bar{p}, \bar{q})$ ,

$$\left( \prod_{j=0}^N A_j \right) \left( e^{-\frac{i}{h}\phi_+ g_{+a}} \otimes e^{\frac{i}{h}\phi_- g_{-b}} \right) \equiv \left( e^{-\frac{i}{h}\phi_+ g_{+a}} \otimes e^{\frac{i}{h}\phi_- g_{-b}} \right) \left( \prod_{j=0}^N B_j \right). \quad (30)$$

Assumption (4) and Lemma 1, now, imply that  $K_{R(\lambda+i0, h)} \in \mathcal{D}'_h(\mathbb{R}^{2n})$ . From (30) we therefore obtain

$$\left( \prod_{j=0}^N A_j \right) K_{A(\lambda, h)} \equiv c_1(n, \lambda, h) \left( e^{-\frac{i}{h}\phi_+ g_{+a}} \otimes e^{\frac{i}{h}\phi_- g_{-b}} \right) \left( \prod_{j=0}^N B_j \right) (\chi_2 \otimes \chi_1) K_{R(\lambda+i0, h)}, \quad (31)$$

near  $(\bar{p}, \bar{q})$ , where  $\chi_j \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R})$ ,  $j = 1, 2$ , are such that  $\chi_2 = 1$  on  $\text{supp } g_{+a}$ ,  $\chi_1 = 1$  on  $\text{supp } g_{-b}$ , and  $\text{supp } \chi_1 \cap \text{supp } \chi_2 = \emptyset$ .

Estimate (4), Lemma 2, and the same proof as in [2, Theorem 1] further give that there exists an open set  $V \subset \Lambda_R(\lambda)$ ,  $\bar{q} \in V$ , such that  $(\chi_2 \otimes \chi_1) K_{R(\lambda+i0, h)} \in I_h^1(\mathbb{R}^{2n}, \Lambda_R(\lambda) \cap \bar{V})$ . (We recall here that the fact that  $\chi_1$  and  $\chi_2$  have disjoint support is crucial in the proof of [2, Theorem 1].)

Therefore

$$\left( \prod_{j=0}^N B_j \right) (\chi_2 \otimes \chi_1) K_{R(\lambda+i0, h)} = \mathcal{O}_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^{2n})} \left( h^{N-1-\frac{n}{2}} \right), h \rightarrow 0. \quad (32)$$

Since  $g_{+b}, g_{-a} \in S_{2n-1}^{-1}(1) \cap C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{S}^{n-1})$ , we easily find that

$$\left\| \left( e^{-\frac{i}{h}\phi_+ g_{+a}} \otimes e^{\frac{i}{h}\phi_- g_{-b}} \right) \right\|_{\mathcal{B}(L^2(\mathbb{R}^n), L^2(\mathbb{S}^{n-1}))} = \mathcal{O}(h). \quad (33)$$

Estimates (32) and (33) together with (28) and (31) now imply that

$$\left( \prod_{j=0}^N A_j \right) K_{A(\lambda, h)} = \mathcal{O}_{L^2(\mathbb{S}^{n-1} \times \mathbb{S}^{n-1})} \left( h^{N-n-\frac{3}{2}} \right),$$

and therefore

$$A(\lambda, h) \in \mathcal{I}_h^{\frac{n}{2}+2}(\mathbb{S}^{n-1} \times \mathbb{S}^{n-1} \setminus \text{diag}(\mathbb{S}^{n-1} \times \mathbb{S}^{n-1}), SR_{\bar{U}}(\lambda)). \quad \square$$

## 5 Applications

In this section we discuss two applications of our Main Theorem to trapping and non-trapping energies, respectively.



## 5.1 Non-Trapping Energies

**Corollary 1.** *Let  $\lambda > 0$  be a non-trapping energy level for  $P$  and such that  $P(h) - \lambda$  is of principal type.*

*Then  $A(\lambda, h) \in \mathcal{I}_h^{\frac{n}{2}+2}(\mathbb{S}^{n-1} \times \mathbb{S}^{n-1} \setminus \text{diag}(\mathbb{S}^{n-1} \times \mathbb{S}^{n-1}), SR(\lambda))$ .*

*Proof.* From [10, Lemma 2.2] we have that  $\|R(\lambda + i0, h)\|_{\alpha, -\alpha} = \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{h}\right)$ ,  $\alpha > \frac{1}{2}$ . The result now follows from the Main Theorem.  $\square$

## 5.2 Trapping Energies

**Corollary 2.** *Let  $\lambda > 0$  be a trapping energy level for  $P$  and such that  $P(h) - \lambda$  is of principal type. Let also*

*(i) there exist  $\theta_0 \in [0, \pi)$ ,  $R > 0$  such that the potential  $V$  extends holomorphically to the domain  $D_{R, \theta_0} = \{z \in \mathbb{C}^n : |z| > R, |\Im z| \leq \tan \theta_0 |\Re z|\}$  and  $|V(x)| \leq C|x|^{-\beta}$  for all  $x \in D_{R, \theta_0}$  and some  $\beta > 0$ ,  $C > 0$ , and*

*(ii)  $\text{Res}(P(h)) \cap ([\lambda - \epsilon, \lambda + \epsilon] + i[0, Ch^M]) = \emptyset$  for some  $\epsilon > 0$ ,  $C > 0$ , and  $M > 0$ .*

*Lastly, let there exist  $(\theta, z) \in T^*\mathbb{S}^{n-1}$  such that  $\gamma_\infty(\cdot; z, \sqrt{2\lambda}\theta)$  is a non-trapped trajectory.*

*Then there exists an open set  $U \subset T^*\mathbb{S}^{n-1}$  such that*

$$A(\lambda, h) \in \mathcal{I}_h^{\frac{n}{2}+2}(\mathbb{S}^{n-1} \times \mathbb{S}^{n-1} \setminus \text{diag}(\mathbb{S}^{n-1} \times \mathbb{S}^{n-1}), SR_{\bar{U}}(\lambda)).$$

*Proof.* We choose  $U$  as in Definition 15. From [9, Proposition 4.1], we have that there exists  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  such that

$$\|R(\lambda + i0, h)\|_{\alpha, -\alpha} = \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{h^m}\right), \alpha > \frac{1}{2}.$$

The assertion of the Corollary now follows from the Main Theorem.  $\square$

## 5.3 Microlocal Representation of the Scattering Amplitude

Here we show how under the non-degeneracy assumption the expansion (2) follows from the results we have proved in this article and the characterization of semi-classical Fourier integral distributions as oscillatory integrals, which we have developed in [2, Theorem 1]. More precisely, we have the following

**Theorem 1.** Let  $\omega_0 \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$  be regular for  $\theta_0 \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$  and  $L \in \mathbb{N}$  be the number of  $(\theta_0, \omega_0)$  phase trajectories. Let  $\lambda > 0$  be such that  $P - \lambda$  is of principle type and  $\|R(\lambda + i0, h)\|_{\alpha, -\alpha} = \mathcal{O}(h^m)$ ,  $m \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\alpha > \frac{1}{2}$ .

Then, if  $P_l \in \Psi_h^0(1, \mathbb{S}^{n-1} \times \mathbb{S}^{n-1})$ ,  $l = 1, \dots, L$ , are microlocal cut-offs to the Lagrangian submanifolds  $SR_l(\lambda)$  defined by (16), respectively,

$$P_l K_{A(\lambda, h)} = e^{\frac{i}{h} S_l} a_l, \quad l = 1, \dots, L,$$

where  $S_l$ ,  $l = 1, \dots, L$ , are as given by (18) and  $a_l \in S_{2n-2}^{n+\frac{3}{2}}(1)$ ,  $l = 1, \dots, L$ , have compact support.

*Proof.* By our Main Theorem,  $A(\lambda, h) \in \mathcal{I}_h^{\frac{n}{2}+2}(\mathbb{S}^{n-1} \times \mathbb{S}^{n-1}, \cup_{l=1}^L SR_l(\lambda))$ . From [2, Lemma 5] it follows that  $P_l K_{A(\lambda, h)} \in I_h^{\frac{3}{2}}(\mathbb{S}^{n-1} \times \mathbb{S}^{n-1}, SR_l(\lambda))$ ,  $l = 1, \dots, L$ . With this and Lemma 5 the hypotheses of [2, Theorem 1] are satisfied and we obtain that there exist  $a_l \in S_{2n-2}^{n+\frac{3}{2}}(1)$ ,  $l = 1, \dots, L$ , such that  $P_l K_{A(\lambda, h)} = e^{\frac{i}{h} S_l} a_l$  microlocally near  $SR_l(\lambda)$ ,  $l = 1, \dots, L$ .  $\square$

We remark that the conclusion of this theorem holds whenever we have polynomial bound on the resolvent. We also remark that this theorem recovers the phases (3) in (2), due to (25).

## A Elements of Semi-Classical Analysis

In this section we recall some of the elements of semi-classical analysis which we use in this paper.

First we define two classes of symbols

$$S_{2n}^m(1) = \left\{ a \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{2n} \times (0, h_0]) : \forall \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{N}^n, \sup_{(x, \xi, h) \in \mathbb{R}^{2n} \times (0, h_0]} h^m \left| \partial_x^\alpha \partial_\xi^\beta a(x, \xi; h) \right| \leq C_{\alpha, \beta} \right\}$$

and

$$S^{m, k}(T^*\mathbb{R}^n) = \left\{ a \in C^\infty(T^*\mathbb{R}^n \times (0, h_0]) : \forall \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{N}^n, \left| \partial_x^\alpha \partial_\xi^\beta a(x, \xi; h) \right| \leq C_{\alpha, \beta} h^{-m} \langle \xi \rangle^{k-|\beta|} \right\},$$

where  $h_0 \in (0, 1]$  and  $m, k \in \mathbb{R}$ . For  $a \in S_{2n}(1)$  or  $a \in S^{m, k}(T^*\mathbb{R}^n)$  we define the corresponding semi-classical pseudodifferential operator of class  $\Psi_h^m(1, \mathbb{R}^n)$  or  $\Psi_h^{m, k}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , respectively, by setting

$$Op_h(a) u(x) = \frac{1}{(2\pi h)^n} \int \int e^{\frac{i(x-y, \xi)}{h}} a(x, \xi; h) u(y) dy d\xi, \quad u \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n),$$

and extending the definition to  $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$  by duality (see [4]). Here we work only with symbols which admit asymptotic expansions in  $h$  and with pseudodifferential operators which are quantizations

of such symbols. For  $A \in \Psi_h^k(1, \mathbb{R}^n)$  or  $A \in \Psi_h^{m,k}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , we shall use  $\sigma_0(A)$  and  $\sigma(A)$  to denote its principal symbol and its complete symbol, respectively. A semi-classical pseudodifferential operator is said to be of principal type if its principal symbol  $a_0$  satisfies

$$a_0 = 0 \implies da_0 \neq 0. \quad (34)$$

For  $a \in S_n^{m,k}(T^*\mathbb{R}^n)$  we define:

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{ess-sup}_h a \\ &= \left\{ (x, \xi) \in T^*\mathbb{R}^n \mid \exists \epsilon > 0 \partial_x^\alpha \partial_\xi^\beta a(x', \xi') = \mathcal{O}_{C(B((x,\xi), \epsilon))}(h^\infty), \forall \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{N}^n \right\}^c \\ & \cup \left( \left\{ (x, \xi) \in T^*\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\} \mid \exists \epsilon > 0 \partial_x^\alpha \partial_\xi^\beta a(x', \xi') = \mathcal{O}(h^\infty \langle \xi \rangle^{-\infty}), \right. \right. \\ & \quad \left. \left. \text{uniformly in } (x', \xi') \text{ such that } \|x - x'\| + \frac{1}{\|\xi'\|} + \left\| \frac{\xi}{\|\xi\|} - \frac{\xi'}{\|\xi'\|} \right\| < \epsilon \right) / \mathbb{R}_+ \right)^c \\ & \subset T^*\mathbb{R}^n \sqcup S^*\mathbb{R}^n, \end{aligned}$$

where we define  $S^*\mathbb{R}^n = (T^*\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}) / \mathbb{R}_+$ . For  $A \in \Psi_h^{m,k}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , we then define

$$WF_h(A) = \text{ess-sup}_h a, A = Op_h(a).$$

We also define the class of semi-classical distributions  $\mathcal{D}'_h(\mathbb{R}^n)$  with which we will work here

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{D}'_h(\mathbb{R}^n) = & \{u \in C_h^\infty((0, 1]; \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^n)) : \forall \chi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n) \exists N \in \mathbb{N} \text{ and } C_N > 0 : \\ & |\mathcal{F}_h(\chi u)(\xi, h)| \leq C_N h^{-N} \langle \xi \rangle^N \} \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\mathcal{F}_h(u)(\xi, h) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{-\frac{i}{h}\langle x, \xi \rangle} u(x, h) dx$$

with the obvious extension of this definition to  $\mathcal{E}'_h(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . We work with the  $L^2$ -based semi-classical Sobolev spaces  $H^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$ ,  $s \in \mathbb{R}$ , which consist of the distributions  $u \in \mathcal{D}'_h(\mathbb{R}^n)$  such that  $\|u\|_{H^s(\mathbb{R}^n)}^2 \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \frac{1}{(2\pi h)^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (1 + \|\xi\|^2)^s |\mathcal{F}_h(u)(\xi, h)|^2 d\xi < \infty$ .

For  $u \in \mathcal{D}'_h(\mathbb{R}^n)$  we also define its finite semi-classical wavefront set as follows.

**Definition 4.** Let  $u \in \mathcal{D}'_h(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and let  $(x_0, \xi_0) \in \hat{T}^*(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . Then the point  $(x_0, \xi_0)$  does not belong to  $WF_h^f(u)$  if there exist  $\chi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$  with  $\chi(x_0) \neq 0$  and an open neighborhood  $U$  of  $\xi_0$ , such that  $\forall N \in \mathbb{N}, \forall \xi \in U, |\mathcal{F}(\chi u)(\xi, h)| \leq C_N h^N$ .

We say that  $u = v$  *microlocally* (or  $u \equiv v$ ) near an open set  $U \subset T^*\mathbb{R}^n$ , if  $P(u - v) = \mathcal{O}(h^\infty)$  in  $C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$  for every  $P \in \Psi_h^0(1, \mathbb{R}^n)$  such that

$$WF_h(P) \subset \tilde{U}, \bar{U} \Subset \tilde{U} \Subset T^*\mathbb{R}^n, \tilde{U} \text{ open.} \quad (35)$$

We also say that  $u$  satisfies a property  $\mathcal{P}$  *microlocally* near an open set  $U \subset T^*\mathbb{R}^n$  if there exists  $v \in \mathcal{D}'_h(\mathbb{R}^n)$  such that  $u = v$  microlocally near  $U$  and  $v$  satisfies property  $\mathcal{P}$ .

For open sets  $U, V \subset T^*\mathbb{R}^n$ , the operators  $T, T' \in \Psi_h^m(\mathbb{R}^n)$  are said to be *microlocally equivalent* near  $V \times U$  if for any  $A, B \in \Psi_h^0(\mathbb{R}^n)$  such that

$$WF_h(A) \subset \tilde{V}, WF_h(B) \subset \tilde{U}, \bar{V} \Subset \tilde{V} \Subset T^*\mathbb{R}^n, \bar{U} \Subset \tilde{U} \Subset T^*\mathbb{R}^n, \tilde{U}, \tilde{V} \text{ open}$$

$$A(T - T')B = \mathcal{O}(h^\infty) : \mathcal{D}'_h(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n).$$

We also use the notation  $T \equiv T'$ .

We extend these notions to compact manifolds through the following definition of a semi-classical pseudodifferential operator on a compact manifold. Let  $M$  be a smooth compact manifold and  $\kappa_j : M_j \rightarrow X_j$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, N$ , a set of local charts. A linear continuous operator  $A : C^\infty(M) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}'_h(M)$  belongs to  $\Psi_h^m(1, M)$  if for all  $j \in \{1, \dots, N\}$  and  $u \in C_c^\infty(M_j)$  we have  $Au \circ \kappa_j^{-1} = A_j(u \circ \kappa_j^{-1})$  with  $A_j \in \Psi_h^m(X_j)$ , and  $\chi_1 A \chi_2 : \mathcal{D}'_h(M) \rightarrow h^\infty C^\infty(M)$  for  $\chi_j \in C^\infty(M)$  with  $\text{supp } \chi_1 \cap \text{supp } \chi_2 = \emptyset$ .

Lastly, we define global semi-classical Fourier integral operators.

**Definition 5.** Let  $M$  be a smooth  $k$ -dimensional manifold and let  $\Lambda \subset T^*M$  be a smooth closed Lagrangian submanifold with respect to the canonical symplectic structure on  $T^*M$ . Let  $r \in \mathbb{R}$ . Then the space  $I_h^r(M, \Lambda)$  of semi-classical Fourier integral distributions of order  $r$  associated to  $\Lambda$  is defined as the set of all  $u \in \mathcal{D}'_h(M)$  such that

$$\left( \prod_{j=0}^N A_j \right) (u) = \mathcal{O}_{L^2(M)} \left( h^{N-r-\frac{k}{4}} \right), h \rightarrow 0, \quad (36)$$

for all  $N \in \mathbb{N}_0$  and for all  $A_j \in \Psi_h^0(1, M)$ ,  $j = 0, \dots, N-1$ , with compactly supported symbols and principal symbols vanishing on  $\Lambda$ , and any  $A_N \in \Psi_h^0(1, M)$  with a compactly supported symbol.

A continuous linear operator  $C_c^\infty(M_1) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}'_h(M_2)$ , where  $M_1, M_2$  are smooth manifolds, whose Schwartz kernel is an element of  $I_h^r(M_1 \times M_2, \Lambda)$  for some Lagrangian submanifold  $\Lambda \subset T^*M_1 \times$

$T^*M_2$  and some  $r \in \mathbb{R}$  will be called a global semi-classical Fourier integral operator of order  $r$  associated to  $\Lambda$ . We denote the space of these operators by  $\mathcal{I}_h^r(M_1 \times M_2, \Lambda)$ .

**Acknowledgements.** I would like to thank Maciej Zworski for the idea to use the proof of Schwartz Kernel Theorem in the proof of Lemma 1.

## References

- [1] Alexandrova, Ivana. Semi-Classical Wavefront Set and Fourier Integral Operators. Preprint math.AP/0407460 on arxiv.org.
- [2] Alexandrova, Ivana. Structure of the Semi-Classical Amplitude for General Scattering Relations. Preprint math.AP/0407502 on arxiv.org.
- [3] Arnold, Vladimir. *Mathematical Methods of Classical Mechanics*; Springer-Verlag: New York, 1980.
- [4] Dimassi, Mouez; Sjöstrand, Johannes. *Spectral Asymptotics in the Semi-Classical Limit*; Cambridge University Press: Cambridge, 1999.
- [5] Friedlander, Friedrich. *Introduction to the Theory of Distributions*; Cambridge University Press: Cambridge, 1982.
- [6] Guillemin, Victor. Sojourn Times and Asymptotic Properties of the Scattering Matrix. Publications of the Research Institute for Mathematical Sciences, Supplement **1977**, *12*, 69 – 88.
- [7] Isozaki, Hitoshi. On the Generalized Fourier Transforms Associated with Schrödinger Operators with Long-range Perturbations. Journal für die Reine und Angewandte Mathematik. **1982**, *337*, 18-67.
- [8] Isozaki, Hitoshi; Kitada, Hitoshi. Scattering Matrices for Two-Body Schrödinger Operators. Scientific Papers of the College of the Arts and Sciences. University of Tokyo. **1985**, *35*, 81–107.
- [9] Michel, Laurent. Semi-Classical Behavior of the Scattering Amplitude for Trapping Perturbations at Fixed Energy. Canadian Journal of Mathematics. **2004**, *56*, (4), 794–824.

- [10] Robert, Didier; Tamura, Hideo. Asymptotic Behavior of Scattering Amplitudes in Semi-Classical and Low Energy Limits. *Annales de l'Institut Fourier* **1989**, *39* (1), 155–192.
- [11] Vainberg, Boris. Quasiclassical Approximation in Stationary Scattering Problems. *Functional Analysis and its Applications* **1977**, *11* (4), 6–18.
- [12] Yajima, Kenji. The Quasiclassical Limit of Scattering Amplitude.  $L^2$  Approach for Short Range Potentials. *Japanese Journal of Mathematics* **1987**, *13* (1), 77–126.