A NOTE ON SELF-EXTREMAL SETS IN $L_p(\Omega)$ Spaces

by Viet Nguyen-Khac¹ & Khiem Nguyen-Van²

Hanoi Institute of Mathematics & Hanoi University of Education

1991 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 46B20, 46E30.

Abstract

We give a geometric characterization of self-extremal sets in $L_p(\Omega)$ spaces that partially extends our previous results to the case of L_p spaces.

In [5], [6] we introduced the notion of (self-) extremal sets of a Banach space $(X, \|\cdot\|)$. For a non-empty bounded subset A of X we denote by r(A) the relative Chebyshev radius of Awith respect to the closed convex hull $\overline{co}A$ of A, *i.e.* $r(A) := \inf_{y \in \overline{co}A} \sup_{x \in A} \|x-y\|$. The self-Jung constant of X is defined by $J_s(X) := \sup \{r(A) : A \subset X, \text{ with diam}(A) = 1\}$. If in this definition we replace r(A) by the relative Chebyshev radius $r_X(A)$ of A with respect to the whole X, we get the Jung constant J(X) of X. Recall that a bounded subset A of X consisting of at least two points is said to be extremal (resp. self-extremal) if $r_X(A) = J(X) d(A)$ (resp. $r(A) = J_s(X) d(A)$).

Let (Ω, μ) be a σ -finite measure space such that $L_p(\Omega)$ is infinite-dimensional. The Jung and self-Jung constants of $L_p(\Omega)$ $(1 \le p < \infty)$ were determined in [2], [4], [7], [8]:

$$J(L_p(\Omega)) = J_s(L_p(\Omega)) = \max \{2^{\frac{1}{p}-1}, 2^{-\frac{1}{p}}\}.$$

Theorem 1.1. Let (Ω, μ) be a σ -finite measure space such that $L_p(\Omega)$ is infinite-dimensional $(1 . If A is a self-extremal set in <math>L_p(\Omega)$, then $\alpha(A) = d(A)$.

Here $\alpha(A) := \inf \{ \varepsilon > 0 : A \text{ can be covered by finitely many sets of diameter } \leq \varepsilon \}$ - the Kuratowski measure of non-compactness of A.

Before proving our theorem we need the following results which for convenience we reformulate in the form of Lemmas 1.2 and 1.3 below.

¹Institute of Mathematics, 18 Hoang Quoc Viet, 10307 Hanoi, Vietnam

²Department of Mathematics & Informatics, Hanoi Univ. of Education, Cau Giay dist., Hanoi, Vietnam.

Lemma 1.2 ([2], Theorem 1.1). Let X be a reflexive strictly convex Banach space and A a finite subset of X. Then there exists a subset $B \subset A$ such that

(i) $r(B) \ge r(A);$

(ii) ||x - b|| = r(B) for every $x \in B$, where b is the relative Chebyshev center of B, i.e. $b \in \overline{coB}$ and $\sup_{x \in B} ||x - b|| = r(B)$.

Lemma 1.3 ([9], Theorem 15.1). Let (Ω, μ) be a σ -finite measure space, $1 , <math>x_1, \ldots, x_n$ vectors in $L_p(\Omega)$ and t_1, \ldots, t_n non-negative numbers such that $\sum_{i=1}^n t_i = 1$. The following inequality holds

$$2\sum_{i=1}^{n} t_i \left\| x_i - \sum_{j=1}^{n} t_j x_j \right\|^{\alpha} \le \sum_{i,j=1}^{n} t_i t_j \| x_i - x_j \|^{\alpha},$$

where

$$\alpha = \begin{cases} q := \frac{p}{p-1} & \text{if } 1 (1)$$

Proof of Theorem 1.1. We may assume that A is closed convex and r(A) = 1. For each integer $n \ge 2$ we have

$$\bigcap_{x \in A} B(x, 1 - \frac{1}{n}) \cap A = \emptyset,$$

where B(x,r) denotes the closed ball centered at x with radius r which is weakly compact since $L_p(\Omega)$ is reflexive. Hence there exist $x_{t_{n-1}+1}, x_{t_{n-1}+2}, \ldots, x_{t_n}$ in A (with convention $t_1 = 0$) such that

$$\bigcap_{i=t_{n-1}+1}^{t_n} B(x_i, 1-\frac{1}{n}) \cap A = \emptyset$$

i

Set A_n : = { $x_{t_{n-1}+1}$, $x_{t_{n-1}+2}$, ..., x_{t_n} }. By Lemma 1.2 there exists a subset $B_n = \{y_{s_{n-1}+1}, y_{s_{n-1}+2}, \ldots, y_{s_n}\}$ of A_n satisfying properties (i)-(ii) of the lemma. Let us denote the relative Chebyshev center of B_n by c_n and let $r_n := r(B_n)$. By what we said above we have $r_n > 1 - \frac{1}{n}$ and $||y_i - c_n|| = r_n$ for every $i \in I_n := \{s_{n-1} + 1, s_{n-1} + 2, \ldots, s_n\}$. Since B_n is a finite set there exist non-negative numbers $\alpha_{s_{n-1}+1}, \alpha_{s_{n-1}+2}, \ldots, \alpha_{s_n}$ with $\sum_{i \in I_n} \alpha_i = 1$ such that $c_n = \sum_{i \in I_n} \alpha_i y_i$. Applying Lemma 1.3 one gets

$$2r_n^{\alpha} = 2\sum_{i \in I_n} \alpha_i \left\| y_i - \sum_{j \in I_n} \alpha_j y_j \right\|^{\alpha} \le \sum_{i,j \in I_n} \alpha_i \alpha_j \| y_i - y_j \|^{\alpha}$$
(2)

where α as in (1).

Setting $B_{\infty} := \{y_{s_{n-1}+1}, y_{s_{n-1}+2}, \ldots, y_{s_n}\}_{n=2}^{\infty}$ we claim that $\alpha(B_{\infty}) = d(A)$. Suppose on the contrary $\alpha(A_{\infty}) < d(A)$, so there exists $\varepsilon_0 \in (0, d(A))$ satisfying $\alpha(B_{\infty}) \le d(A) - \varepsilon_0$, and subsets D_1, D_2, \cdots, D_m of $L_p(\Omega)$ with $d(D_i) \le d(A) - \varepsilon_0$ for every $i = 1, 2, \ldots, m$, such that $B_{\infty} \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^m D_i$. Then one can find at least one set among D_1, D_2, \cdots, D_m , say D_1 with the property that there are infinitely many n satisfying

$$\sum_{\in J_n} \alpha_i \ge \frac{1}{m} \tag{3}$$

where

$$J_n := \{ i \in I_n \colon y_i \in D_1 \}$$

In view of (2) and taking into account $(d(A))^{\alpha} = \left(\frac{1}{J_s(L_p(\Omega))}\right)^{\alpha} = 2$ we have for all n satisfying (3)

$$2.r_{n}^{\alpha} \leq \sum_{i,j\in I_{n}} \alpha_{i}.\alpha_{j}.\|y_{i} - y_{j}\|^{\alpha}$$

$$\leq \left(d(A) - \varepsilon_{0}\right)^{\alpha}.\left(\sum_{i,j\in J_{n}} \alpha_{i}.\alpha_{j}\right) + \left(d(A)\right)^{\alpha}.\left(1 - \sum_{i,j\in J_{n}} \alpha_{i}.\alpha_{j}\right)$$

$$\leq 2 - \left[\left(d(A)\right)^{\alpha} - \left(d(A) - \varepsilon_{0}\right)^{\alpha}\right].\frac{1}{m^{2}}$$
(4)

On the other hand, obviously $1 - \frac{1}{n} < r_n \le 1$, therefore $\lim_{n \to \infty} r_n = 1$. We get a contradiction with (4), since there are infinitely many *n* satisfying (3).

One concludes that $\alpha(B_{\infty}) = d(A)$, and hence $\alpha(A) = d(A)$.

The proof of Theorem 1.1 is complete.

As an immediate consequence one obtains an extension of Gulevich's result for $L_p(\Omega)$ spaces.

Corollary 1.4 (cf. [3]). Let (Ω, μ) be a σ -finite measure space such that $L_p(\Omega)$ is infinitedimensional $(1 . Assume A a relatively compact set in <math>L_p(\Omega)$ with d(A) > 0. Then

$$r(A) < \frac{1}{\sqrt[q]{2}} \cdot d(A), \qquad 1 < p \le 2, \ q := \frac{p}{p-1};$$

$$r(A) < \frac{1}{\sqrt[q]{2}} \cdot d(A), \qquad 2 < p < \infty.$$

Theorem 1.5. Under the assumptions of Theorem 1.1, for every $\varepsilon \in (0, d(A))$, every positive integer m, there exists an m-simplex $\Delta(\varepsilon, m)$ with vertices in A such that each edge of $\Delta(\varepsilon, m)$ has length not less than $d(A) - \varepsilon$.

Proof. We shall assume A is closed convex, and r(A) = 1. From the proof of Theorem 1.1 we derived a sequence $\{y_{s_{n-1}+1}, y_{s_{n-1}+2}, \ldots, y_{s_n}\}_{n=2}^{\infty}$ in A, and a sequence of positive numbers $\{\alpha_{s_{n-1}+1}, \alpha_{s_{n+2}+2}, \ldots, \alpha_{s_n}\}_{n=2}^{\infty}$ (with convention $s_1 = 0$) such that

$$2.r_n^{\alpha} \le \sum_{i,j \in I_n} \alpha_i . \alpha_j . \|y_i - y_j\|^{\alpha}, \quad \sum_{i \in I_n} \alpha_i = 1,$$

where $r_n \in (1 - \frac{1}{n}, 1]$, α as in (1), and $I_n := \{s_{n-1} + 1, s_{n-1} + 2, \ldots, s_n\}$.

We denote by

$$\begin{split} T_{nj} &:= \sum_{i \in I_n} \alpha_i . \|y_i - y_j\|^{\alpha}, \\ S_n &:= \left\{ j \in I_n : \ T_{nj} \ge 2.r_n^{\alpha} . \left(1 - \sqrt{1 - r_n^{\alpha}}\right) \right\}, \\ S_n(y_j) &:= \left\{ i \in I_n : \ \|y_i - y_j\|^{\alpha} \ge 2. \left(1 - \frac{1}{\sqrt[4]{n}}\right) \right\}, \ j \in S_n, \\ \hat{S}_n(y_j) &:= \left\{ y_i : \ i \in S_n(y_j) \right\}, \ j \in S_n, \\ \lambda_n &:= \sum_{i \in I_n \setminus S_n} \alpha_i = 1 - \sum_{i \in S_n} \alpha_i. \end{split}$$

Based on the method in proving Theorem 3.4 of [6] one can proceed furthermore as follows. We have

$$2 r_n^{\alpha} \leq \sum_{i,j \in I_n} \alpha_i \alpha_j \|y_i - y_j\|^{\alpha}$$

=
$$\sum_{j \in S_n} \alpha_j \sum_{i \in I_n} \alpha_i \|y_i - y_j\|^{\alpha} + \sum_{j \in I_n \setminus S_n} \alpha_j \sum_{i \in I_n} \alpha_i \|y_i - y_j\|^{\alpha}$$

$$\leq 2 \sum_{j \in S_n} \alpha_j + 2 r_n^{\alpha} \left(1 - \sqrt{1 - r_n^{\alpha}}\right) \sum_{j \in I_n \setminus S_n} \alpha_j$$

=
$$2 - 2 \lambda_n \left(1 - r_n^{\alpha} + r_n^{\alpha} \sqrt{1 - r_n^{\alpha}}\right)$$

$$\leq 2 - 2 \lambda_n \sqrt{1 - r_n^{\alpha}}.$$

Hence $\lambda_n \leq \sqrt{1 - r_n^{\alpha}} \to 0$, as $n \to \infty$. Thus $\lim_{n \to \infty} \left(\sum_{i \in S_n} \alpha_i \right) = \lim_{n \to \infty} (1 - \lambda_n) = 1$.

On the other hand

$$2 r_n^{\alpha} \le \sum_{i,j \in I_n} \alpha_i \alpha_j \|y_i - y_j\|^{\alpha} \le 2 \left(1 - \left(\sum_{i \in I_n} \alpha_i^2\right) \right) \le 2 (1 - \alpha_i^2)$$

for every $i \in I_n$. Therefore $\alpha_i \leq \sqrt{1 - r_n^{\alpha}} \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$. One concludes that the cardinality $|S_n|$ of S_n tends to ∞ as $n \to \infty$. In a similar manner as in the proof of Theorem 3.4 of [6] for every $\varepsilon \in (0, d(A))$ and a given positive integer m we choose n sufficiently large satisfying

$$|S_n| > m, \quad \frac{2 \alpha m}{\sqrt[4]{n}} < 1, \quad 2\left(1 - \frac{1}{\sqrt[4]{n}}\right) \ge \left(d(A) - \varepsilon\right)^{\alpha}$$

such that for every $1 \le k \le m$ and every choice of $i_1, i_2, \ldots, i_k \in S_n$ we have

$$\bigcap_{\nu=1}^k \hat{S}_n(y_{i_\nu}) \neq \emptyset.$$

With *m* and *n* as above and a fixed $j \in S_n$, setting $z_1 := y_j$, we take consecutively $z_2 \in \hat{S}_n(z_1), z_3 \in \hat{S}_n(z_1) \cap \hat{S}_n(z_2), \ldots, z_{m+1} \in \bigcap_{k=1}^m \hat{S}_n(z_k)$. One sees that $\|z_i - z_j\|^{\alpha} \ge 2\left(1 - \frac{1}{\sqrt[4]{n}}\right) \ge \left(d(A) - \varepsilon\right)^{\alpha}$.

for all $i \neq j$ in $\{1, 2, \ldots, m+1\}$, with *n* sufficiently large. We obtain an *m*-simplex formed by $z_1, z_2, \ldots, z_{m+1}$, whose edges have length not less than $d(A) - \varepsilon$, as claimed.

The proof of Theorem 1.5 is complete.

Remark 1.6. (i) Since for $L_p(\Omega)$ spaces $J_s = J$ the extremal sets in $L_p(\Omega)$ are also self-extremal. Thus we obtain a characterization for extremal sets in $L_p(\Omega)$ via Theorem 1.5 above.

(ii) In particular $\Omega = \mathbb{N}$, $\mu(A) := \text{card } (A)$, $A \subset \mathbb{N}$ leads to the ℓ_p space case (Theorem 3.4 of [6]).

Example 1.7. (i) Let $p \ge 2$, consider a sequence $\{\Omega_n\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ consisting of measurable subsets of Ω such that

$$0 < \mu(\Omega_i) < \infty, \ i = 1, 2, \ldots; \quad \Omega_i \cap \Omega_j = \emptyset, \ \forall \ i \neq j; \quad \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} \Omega_i = \Omega.$$

Let χ_{Ω_i} denote the characteristic function of Ω_i , and set

$$A := \{f_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}, \text{ where } f_i := \frac{\chi_{\Omega_i}}{\mu(\Omega_i)}$$

One can check easily that r(A) = 1, $d(A) = 2^{\frac{1}{p}}$, hence A is a self-extremal set in $L_p(\Omega)$.

(ii) In the case $1 we set <math>B := \{r_i\}_{i=0}^{\infty}$, where $\{r_i\}_{i=0}^{\infty}$ is the sequence of Rademacher functions in $L_p[0, 1]$. If $r \in co\{r_0, r_1, \ldots, r_n\}$ and $k \ge n + 1$ then it is easy to see that $d(B) = 2^{1-\frac{1}{p}}$ and

$$||r - r_k||_p := \left(\int_0^1 |r - r_k|^p d\mu\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \ge \left|\int_0^1 (r - r_k)r_k d\mu\right| = 1,$$

hence r(B) = 1. Thus B is a self-extremal set in $L_p[0, 1]$ with $1 . This is in contrast to the <math>\ell_p$ case ([6]), where we conjectured that there are no (self)-extremal sets in ℓ_p , spaces with 1 .

References

- Amir, D., On Jung's constant and related constants in normed linear spaces, Pacific J. Math., 118 (1985), 1–15.
- [2] Dominguez-Benavides, T., Normal structure coefficients of $L_p(\Omega)$, Proc. Royal Soc. Edinburgh, **117**A (1990), 299–303.
- [3] Gulevich, N. M., *The radius of a compact set in a Hilbert space*, Zapiski Nauchnykh Seminarov LOMI im. V. A. Steklova, AN SSSR, vol. **164** (1988), 157–158 (Russian).
- [4] Ivanov, V. I., Pichugov, S. A., Jung's constants of ℓ_p^n -spaces, Matem. Zametki, **48** (1990), N_-⁰ 4, 37–47 (Russian).

- [5] Nguyen-Khac, V., Nguyen-Van, K., A characterization of extremal sets in Hilbert spaces, arXiv: math. MG/0203190.
- [6] Nguyen-Khac, V., Nguyen-Van, K., A geometric characterization of extremal sets in l_p spaces, arXiv: math. MG/0207304.
- [7] Pichugov, S. A., Jung's constants of L_p space, Matem. Zametki, **43** (1988), 604–614 (Russian).
- [8] Pichugov, S. A., Relative Jung's constants of L_p space, Ukr. Matem. Zh., **42** (1990), N⁰₋ 1, 122–125 (Russian).
- [9] Wells, J. H., Williams, L. R., Embeddings and Extensions in Analysis, Springer-Verlag, 1975.