

# NON-COMMUTATIVE CONTINUOUS BERNOULLI SHIFTS

JÜRGEN HELLMICH

*Mathematisches Institut, Universität Tübingen,  
Auf der Morgenstelle 10, D-72076 Tübingen, Germany*  
(e-mail:juergen.hellmich@uni-tuebingen.de)

CLAUS KÖSTLER

*Department of Mathematics and Statistics, Queen's University,  
Kingston, Ontario K7L 3N6, Canada*  
(e-mail:koestler@mast.queensu.ca)

BURKHARD KÜMMERER

*Fachbereich Mathematik, Technische Universität Darmstadt,  
Schloßgartenstr. 7, D-64289 Darmstadt, Germany*  
(e-mail:kuemmerer@mathematik.tu-darmstadt.de)

November 15th, 2004

**Abstract:** We introduce a non-commutative extension of Tsirelson-Vershik's noises [TV98, Tsi04], called *(non-commutative) continuous Bernoulli shifts*. These shifts encode stochastic independence in terms of commuting squares, as they are familiar in subfactor theory [Pop83, GHJ89]. Such shifts are, in particular, capable of producing Arveson's product system of type I and type II [Arv03]. We investigate the structure of these shifts and prove that the von Neumann algebra of a (scalar-expected) continuous Bernoulli shift is either finite or of type III.

The role of ('classical')  $G$ -stationary flows for Tsirelson-Vershik's noises is now played by *cocycles of continuous Bernoulli shifts*. We show that these cocycles provide an operator algebraic notion for Lévy processes. They lead, in particular, to units and 'logarithms' of units in Arveson's product systems [Kös04a]. Furthermore, we introduce *(non-commutative) white noises*, which are operator algebraic versions of Tsirelson's 'classical' noises. We give examples coming from probability, quantum probability and from Voiculescu's theory of free probability [VDN92].

Our main result is a bijective correspondence between additive and unital shift cocycles. For the proof of the correspondence we develop tools which are of interest on their own: non-commutative extensions of stochastic Itô integration, stochastic logarithms and exponentials.

## CONTENTS

<b>Introduction</b>	<b>3</b>
<b>1 Preliminaries</b>	<b>11</b>
1.1 General terminology	11
1.2 Non-commutative probability spaces and their morphisms	11
1.3 Filtrations	12
<b>2 Non-commutative independence</b>	<b>13</b>
2.1 $\mathcal{A}_0$ -independence and Popa's commuting squares	13
2.2 Commuting subalgebras and $\mathbb{C}$ -independence	14
2.3 From $\mathbb{C}$ -independence to $\mathcal{A}_0$ -independence	15
2.4 Non-commutative examples of $\mathcal{A}_0$ -independence	16
<b>3 Continuous Bernoulli shifts I</b>	<b>17</b>
3.1 Continuous Bernoulli shifts and their basic properties	18
3.2 The type of a $\mathbb{C}$ -expected continuous Bernoulli shift	21
3.3 Composition of continuous Bernoulli shifts	22
3.4 Decomposition of continuous Bernoulli shifts	22
<b>4 Continuous Bernoulli shifts II</b>	<b>24</b>
4.1 Local minimality and local maximality	25
4.2 Enriched independence	26
4.3 Commuting past and future	27
4.4 Commutative von Neumann algebras	28
4.5 Local minimality and compressions	29
4.6 Examples from probability theory	31
4.7 Examples from quantum probability theory	32
<b>5 Continuous GNS Bernoulli shifts</b>	<b>35</b>
5.1 Hilbert bimodules of $\mathcal{A}_0$ -expected probability spaces	36
5.2 GNS representation of morphisms	37
5.3 The product of $\mathcal{A}_0$ -independent elements	39
5.4 Continuous GNS Bernoulli shifts	41
<b>6 Cocycles of continuous (GNS) Bernoulli shifts</b>	<b>42</b>
6.1 Multiplicative cocycles of continuous Bernoulli shifts	44
6.2 Multiplicative cocycles of continuous GNS Bernoulli shifts	44
6.3 Additive cocycles of continuous GNS Bernoulli shifts	46
6.4 The correspondence	47
6.5 Non-commutative white noises	51
6.6 Examples for the correspondence	55
<b>7 Non-commutative Itô integration</b>	<b>57</b>
7.1 Non-commutative Itô integrals for simple adapted processes	58
7.2 An extension of the non-commutative Itô integral	59
7.3 Non-commutative Itô differential equations	62

**8 Non-commutative exponentials and logarithms** . . . . . **64**  
 8.1 Non-commutative exponentials of additive cocycles . . . . . 64  
 8.2 Non-commutative logarithms of unital cocycles . . . . . 67  
 8.3 Proof of the correspondence . . . . . 73  
**Appendix A: Hilbert  $W^*$ -modules** . . . . . **78**  
**Appendix B: The  $\psi$ -adjoint of morphisms** . . . . . **80**  
**References** . . . . . **82**

INTRODUCTION

Recently, Tsirelson and Vershik established the existence of intrinsically non-linear random fields [TV98]. Their surprising result was stimulated by the existence of Arveson-Power’s product systems of non-type I [Pow87, Arv89, Arv03]. These random fields or ‘noises’ go beyond the realm of the Lévy-Khintchine formula and provide, in particular, a rich probabilistic source of non-type I product systems [Tsi03, Tsi04, Lie03]. Here we are interested in Tsirelson-Vershik’s noises, as they are defined in [Tsi98, Tsi04]. We will introduce a non-commutative extension of these noises, called (*non-commutative*) *continuous Bernoulli shifts*. These shifts incorporate so-called  $\mathcal{A}_0$ -independence which extends amalgamated stochastic independence to an operator algebraic frame, known as commuting squares in subfactor theory [Pop83, GHJ89, JS97]. These shifts may be regarded as two-sided ‘time-continuous’ analogues of shifts on towers of von Neumann algebras [Rup95, GK04] (as they are also implicitly present in [JS97], for example). But the notion of  $\mathcal{A}_0$ -independence comprises also Voiculescu’s amalgamated free independence [VDN92]. Consequently, our approach is, in particular, in close contact with free probability theory. Further examples of continuous Bernoulli shifts arise, aside of fermionic and bosonic noises, on deformed Fock spaces [BS91, BS94, BG02, BKS97, GM02].

Non-commutative continuous Bernoulli shifts comprise, in an algebraic form, all ‘classical’ examples of Tsirelson-Vershik’s noises. ‘Classical noises’ are generated by additive (square integrable adapted) stationary flows, called Lévy processes, and are classified via the Lévy-Khinchin formula (see e.g. [Tsi04, Corollary 6a7]).

What is the operator algebraic counterpart of a ‘classical noise’? Here, the situation is much more complex and we are only at the beginning of understanding this complexity (see also [KS04]). Let us illustrate this in the case  $\mathcal{A}_0 = \mathbb{C}$ : Brownian motion is unique (up to stochastic equivalence), but there exist many different non-commutative Brownian motions:  $q$ -Brownian motions ( $-1 < q < 1$ ) (including free Brownian motion), bosonic and fermionic Brownian motions (parametrized by ‘temperature’ or, equivalently, the period of the modular automorphism group), and this is just the beginning of a long list. But all these diverse examples have in common that they appear as additive (adapted) cocycles in the GNS Hilbert space of a ( $\mathbb{C}$ -expected) continuous

Bernoulli shift. We will show in this paper that such additive cocycles are in correspondence to multiplicative (adapted) cocycles in the GNS Hilbert space, called unital cocycles. This will imply, in particular, that unitary (adapted) cocycles, i.e. multiplicative cocycles in the unitary operators of the von Neumann algebra of a continuous Bernoulli shift, are also in correspondence with additive cocycles. This means in the terminology of Tsirelson and Vershik that unitary cocycles are 'linearizable'. Thus we have available an operator algebraic notion of 'classical noise': a continuous Bernoulli shift is called a (non-commutative) white noise if it is generated by the set of all unitary cocycles.

Why do we avoid to say 'A continuous Bernoulli shift is called a white noise if it is generated by the set of all additive cocycles'? This would become conceptually cumbersome already for bosonic white noises in Araki-Woods representations: the vector space of additive cocycles does not capture the type of the von Neumann algebra (see also Example 6.6.3). In all examples this kind of information is normally encoded into the choice of a functor or into mixed higher moments which we do not have available in our general setting.

Continuous Bernoulli shifts comprise also all 'non-classical noises'. But we do yet not know a single example of a 'non-classical quantum noise' which is a non-commutative continuous Bernoulli shift, even though the latter object is a straightforward extension of Tsirelson-Vershik's noises. Such an example would produce, similarly as 'non-classical noises' do [Tsi04], an Arveson product system of Hilbert spaces of type  $II$  [Kös04b].

Some clarifying remarks on the terminology are appropriate at this point. The attribute 'non-commutative' will always be used the sense of 'not necessarily commutative'. Frequently, we will drop it at all and will just write, for example, 'continuous Bernoulli shift' instead of 'non-commutative continuous Bernoulli shift'. The attribute 'quantum' will be reserved for situations beyond the realm of probability theory. We will avoid the attribute 'classical' and use instead 'commutative'. This convention is motivated from a conflict with the terminology in [Tsi04]. A 'classical noise' there will be a 'commutative white noise' here, a 'white noise' therein will be a 'Gaussian white noise' herein.

We have put emphasis on a self-contained, comprehensive presentation of the subject, since our approach is not available easily in the published literature. Moreover, we refrained here to go for the most general cases. This is mainly a technical matter and can be accomplished later on. From doing so we hope that our work is better accessible for the reader coming from probability, quantum probability, quantum dynamics, quantum symmetries or operator algebras, being interested in connecting these fields.

A starting point of this work has been the operator algebraic approach to stationary quantum Markov processes in [Küm85] and results in [Küm84, Pri89, Küm93, Rup95, Kös00, Hel01]. The present conceptual form is also stimulated in parts by [Arv03, Tsi04]. In the context of product systems and their classification are, in particular, of relevance [Bha99, Bha01, MS02, Lie03,

BBS04, BS04]. Finally, we want to bring to the reader's attention also the work of Gohm [Goh04, Goh], which is in close contact to our approach. Further information on related approaches is provided within each Section.

Unital cocycles of continuous Bernoulli shifts are in closest contact to units of product systems of Hilbert spaces or modules. More details about this relation will be provided in [Kös04a].

Unitary cocycles of continuous Bernoulli shifts or white noises give immediately rise to Markovian cocycles or stationary quantum Markov processes, as they are relevant in quantum dynamics and quantum probability. There are various approaches to the construction of quantum Markov processes in the literature. An operator algebraic setting is used in [AFL82, Küm02, Arv03, Kös03]. For accounts on bosonic Fock space and Hudson-Parthasarathy's quantum stochastic calculus we refer the reader to [Par92, Mey93]. Meanwhile, this approach is further developed and a modern account can be found in [Lin04].

Next we will provide an outline of the major results and contents of all sections. More detailed introductions are contained at the beginning of each section.

**Section 1:** Throughout a non-commutative probability space  $(\mathcal{A}, \psi)$  is modeled by a von Neumann algebra  $\mathcal{A}$  together with a faithful normal state  $\psi$  on  $\mathcal{A}$ . The predual  $\mathcal{A}_*$  is always assumed to be separable. If  $\mathcal{A}_0$  is a subalgebra of  $\mathcal{A}$ , such that the conditional expectation from  $\mathcal{A}$  onto  $\mathcal{A}_0$  exists, then we will say that  $(\mathcal{A}, \psi)$  is an  $\mathcal{A}_0$ -expected non-commutative probability space (see Subsection 1.2 for a motivation).

**Section 2:** Our non-commutative extension of (amalgamated) stochastic independence is connected intimately to *commuting squares*, as they have been introduced by Popa in subfactor theory [Pop83, GHJ89, Pop90]. Let  $(\mathcal{A}, \psi)$  be an  $\mathcal{A}_0$ -expected probability space and denote by  $E_0$  the conditional expectation from  $\mathcal{A}$  onto  $\mathcal{A}_0$ .

**Definition 0.0.1.** *Let  $\mathcal{B}$  and  $\mathcal{C}$  be two von Neumann subalgebras of  $\mathcal{A}$  such that, respectively, the conditional expectations  $E_{\mathcal{B}}, E_{\mathcal{C}}$  from  $\mathcal{A}$  onto  $\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{C}$  exist. Then  $\mathcal{B}$  and  $\mathcal{C}$  are called  $\mathcal{A}_0$ -independent if  $\mathcal{B} \cap \mathcal{C} = \mathcal{A}_0$  and  $E_{\mathcal{B}}E_{\mathcal{C}} = E_0$ .*

In other words, the four von Neumann algebras  $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A}_0, \mathcal{B}$  and  $\mathcal{C}$  form a commuting square:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{C} & \subset & \mathcal{A} \\ \cup & & \cup \\ \mathcal{A}_0 & \subset & \mathcal{B} \end{array}$$

If the von Neumann algebra  $\mathcal{A}$  is commutative and  $\mathcal{A}_0 \simeq \mathbb{C}$ , then one recovers the usual notion of stochastic independence in probability theory. In the general setting,  $\mathcal{A}_0$ -independence encloses amalgamated stochastic independence, tensor product independence and Voiculescu's amalgamated free independence

[VDN92]. But most importantly, it is not restricted to non-commutative notions of stochastic independence with universal product rules [Spe97, BGS02]. Further examples of  $\mathcal{A}_0$ -independence are accessible by ‘white noise functors’ [Küm96, BKS97, GM02], applied to von Neumann algebras generated by ‘generalized Brownian motions’ [BS91, BS94]. Moreover, we expect that Anshelevich’s  $q$ -Lévy processes will provide further examples of  $\mathcal{A}_0$ -independence [Ans].

**Section 3:** We will introduce *non-commutative continuous Bernoulli shifts* and study their structure. These shifts provide a non-commutative extension of Tsirelson-Vershik’s noises, or speaking more technically, of homogeneous continuous products of probability spaces [TV98, Tsi04]. Their infrastructure, as stated in Definition 3.1.2, is integral for this paper, so that we will introduce them informally next.

An  $\mathcal{A}_0$ -expected *non-commutative continuous Bernoulli shift* encodes from an algebraic point of view the following structure. Consider an  $\mathcal{A}_0$ -expected probability space  $(\mathcal{A}, \psi)$  together with an pointwise weakly\*-continuous automorphism group  $(S_t)_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$  (called *shift*) and a family of von Neumann subalgebras  $(\mathcal{A}_{[r,s]})_{-\infty < r < s < \infty}$  (called *filtration*), indexed by (‘time’-)intervals, such that  $S_t \mathcal{A}_{[r,s]} = \mathcal{A}_{[r+t, s+t]}$ . Assume that all conditional expectations from  $\mathcal{A}$  onto  $\mathcal{A}_{[r,s]}$  ( $r \leq s$ ) exist and that  $\psi$  is  $S$ -invariant. Now we encode  $\mathcal{A}_0$ -independence by the requirement that the filtration forms a family of *commuting squares* which is moreover shifted covariantly in ‘time’ by the action of  $S$ :

$$\left( \begin{array}{c} \mathcal{A}_{[r,s]} \subset \mathcal{A}_{[r,v]} \\ \cup \\ \mathcal{A}_0 \subset \mathcal{A}_{[u,v]} \end{array} \right)_{r < s < u < v} \xrightarrow{\bar{S}_t} \left( \begin{array}{c} \mathcal{A}_{[r+t, s+t]} \subset \mathcal{A}_{[r+t, v+t]} \\ \cup \\ \mathcal{A}_0 \subset \mathcal{A}_{[u+t, v+t]} \end{array} \right)_{r < s < u < v}$$

The von Neumann algebra  $\mathcal{A}_0$  is not shifted. But we will stipulate a stronger condition:  $\mathcal{A}_0$  is required to be the fixed point algebra of the shift  $S$ . Moreover, we will impose the system to minimality: the family  $(\mathcal{A}_{[r,s]})_{-\infty < r < s < \infty}$  generates already  $\mathcal{A}$  (‘minimal filtration’). A priori we do not require ‘local minimality’,  $\mathcal{A}_{[r,s]} \vee \mathcal{A}_{[s,t]} \neq \mathcal{A}_{[r,t]}$  may occur. Denoting by the set of all (closed) intervals by  $\mathcal{I}$ , an object

$$(\mathcal{A}, \psi, S, (\mathcal{A}_I)_{I \in \mathcal{I}}),$$

enjoying all these properties, will be called an  $\mathcal{A}_0$ -expected (*non-commutative*) *continuous Bernoulli shift* (see Definition 3.1.2, where we will also allow non-closed intervals in the index set). Notice that we do not assume continuity properties for the filtration itself. Assuming for a moment the ‘local minimality’ condition  $\mathcal{A}_{[r,s]} \vee \mathcal{A}_{[s,t]} = \mathcal{A}_{[r,t]}$ , we observe the following.

- (i) Putting  $\mathcal{A}_0 \simeq \mathbb{C}$  and requiring that  $\mathcal{A}$  is commutative, one obtains an algebraic version of Tsirelson-Vershik’s noises (see Subsection 4.4).
- (ii) Putting as ‘time’  $\mathbb{Z}$  (or  $\mathbb{N}_0$ ) instead of  $\mathbb{R}$ , dropping all notions of continuity, above scheme reduces to a (one-sided) Bernoulli shift which

finds its examples in subfactor theory [Rup95, KM98, GK04], in particular.

Here we will focus onto the case of continuous ‘time’, which includes Tsirelson-Vershik’s noises. The ‘discrete time’ case and its connection to subfactor theory goes beyond the limits of this work and is postponed to sequel publications.

In Section 3 we will concentrate on properties of  $\mathcal{A}_0$ -expected continuous Bernoulli shifts which follow out of Definition 3.1.2 without any further assumptions. Among these properties are strongly mixing properties of the shift, stability with respect to compositions (like tensor products and direct sums) and decompositions (like compressions with conditional expectations). Most importantly, the structure of a  $\mathbb{C}$ -expected non-commutative continuous Bernoulli shift  $(\mathcal{A}, \psi, S, (\mathcal{A}_I)_{I \in \mathcal{I}})$  is already sufficient to give results on the type of its von Neumann algebra (see Subsection 3.2):

**Theorem 0.0.2.** *Let  $(\mathcal{A}, \psi, S, (\mathcal{A}_I)_{I \in \mathcal{I}})$  be a  $\mathbb{C}$ -expected continuous Bernoulli shift. Then  $\mathcal{A}$  is either finite or of type III. The state  $\psi$  is non-tracial if and only if  $\mathcal{A}$  is of type III.*

This result extends to  $\mathcal{A}_0$ -expected continuous Bernoulli shifts if one passes to ‘derived’ continuous Bernoulli shifts, similarly as it is understood for towers of von Neumann algebras in subfactor theory. We will present this line of research in more depth in [HK].

**Section 4:** Up to the present, all examples of continuous Bernoulli shifts enjoy much more algebraic structure and continuity properties, as they are stipulated in Definition 3.1.2. Among such additional (algebraic) properties, which we will study in this section, are *local minimality*, *local maximality*, *enriched  $\mathcal{A}_0$ -independence*, *commuting past and future*. These are properties which are quite familiar in Arveson’s approach to quantum dynamics [Arv03]. At this place we will also investigate the relationship of continuous Bernoulli shifts and Tsirelson-Vershik’s noises (see Subsection 4.4). Finally, we will give examples of continuous Bernoulli shifts, coming both from probability theory and quantum probability theory. Among the first ones are Gaussian, Poisson white noise and Tsirelson-Vershik’s black noise (see Subsection 4.6). Among the second ones are fermionic white noises and bosonic white noises in ‘finite temperature’ representations of Araki-Woods type [AW63], as well as  $q$ -white noises, in particular free white noise (see Subsection 4.7). As already stated for  $\mathcal{A}_0$ -independence, more examples of  $\mathcal{A}_0$ -expected white noises can be constructed easily from generalized Brownian motions, using again the properties of white noise functors. Moreover, Anshelevich’s  $q$ -L’evy processes lead to further examples, as soon as it can be proven that the vacuum vector is separating for the von Neumann algebras generated by these processes [Ans].

**Section 5:** The example of Gaussian white noise makes it already evident that most interesting processes, here Brownian motion, are not contained in

the  $L^\infty$ -space over the underlying measure space, but they are contained in the corresponding  $L^2$ -space. In consequence, we will extend the infrastructure of an  $\mathcal{A}_0$ -expected continuous Bernoulli shift  $(\mathcal{A}, \psi, S, (\mathcal{A}_I)_{I \in \mathcal{I}})$  to the GNS Hilbert bimodule  ${}_{\mathcal{A}}\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{A}_0}$  (see Subsection 5.1). Thus, we will obtain a ‘homogeneous continuous commuting square system of pointed Hilbert bimodules’, in allegory to [Tsi04] or alternatively, an  $\mathcal{A}_0$ -*expected (non-commutative) continuous GNS Bernoulli shift*. We will develop the representation theory of such shifts only as far as it is necessary for this paper. A key result is Proposition 5.3.1 which establishes the product of two Hilbert bimodule elements, as long as they are  $\mathcal{A}_0$ -independent. This provides a non-commutative extension of the well-known result in probability theory that the product of two stochastically independent  $L^2$ -functions is again an  $L^2$ -function. Furthermore, we will show that the shift and all the conditional expectations extend to adjointable operators on the Hilbert bimodules (see Theorem 5.2.2). This will put us finally into the position to introduce in Definition 5.4.5 an  $\mathcal{A}_0$ -expected non-commutative continuous Bernoulli shift

$$({}_{\mathcal{A}}\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{A}_0}, \mathbb{1}, \overline{S}, (\mathcal{A}_I \mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{A}_0})_{I \in \mathcal{I}})$$

that encodes, similarly as before, the covariant shift of a commuting square system of pointed Hilbert bimodules:

$$\left( \begin{array}{c} \mathcal{A}_{[r,s]} \mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{A}_0} \subset \mathcal{A}_{[r,v]} \mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{A}_0} \\ \cup \\ \mathcal{A}_0 \mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{A}_0} \subset \mathcal{A}_{[u,v]} \mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{A}_0} \end{array} \right)_{r < s < u < v} \xrightarrow{\overline{S}_t} \left( \begin{array}{c} \mathcal{A}_{[r+t,s+t]} \mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{A}_0} \subset \mathcal{A}_{[r+t,v+t]} \mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{A}_0} \\ \cup \\ \mathcal{A}_0 \mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{A}_0} \subset \mathcal{A}_{[u+t,v+t]} \mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{A}_0} \end{array} \right)_{r < s < u < v}$$

Here denotes  $\overline{S}$  the extension of the shift  $S$  to the bounded  $\mathcal{A}_0$ -linear operators on  ${}_{\mathcal{A}}\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{A}_0}$ . The Hilbert modules are ‘pointed’, because the cyclic separating vector  $\mathbb{1}$  is contained in each Hilbert bimodule and provides a (trivial) multiplicative shift cocycle (see Subsection 6.2). Now it is elementary to see that

- (iii) if  $\mathcal{A}_0 \simeq \mathbb{C}$  and  $\mathcal{A}_{[r,t]} \simeq \mathcal{A}_{[r,s]} \otimes \mathcal{A}_{[s,t]}$  for  $r < s < t$ , then the continuous GNS Bernoulli shift is a homogeneous continuous product system of pointed Hilbert spaces, in the sense of Tsirelson [Tsi04, Kös04a].
- (iv) under the assumptions of (iii), the family  $(\mathcal{A}_{[0,t]} \mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{A}_0})_{0 < t < \infty}$  defines a continuous tensor product system of Hilbert spaces, now in the sense of Arveson [Arv03, Tsi04, Kös04a].

Tsirelson-Vershik’s noises provide a rich source of Arveson’s product systems, in particular of type II. Consequently, so do  $\mathbb{C}$ -expected commutative continuous Bernoulli shifts. The relationship between these three approaches will be further explored in [Kös04a].

**Section 6:** Like stationary  $G$ -flows play a central role for a Tsirelson-Vershik’s noise, so do cocycles for a continuous Bernoulli shift or its GNS representation. These cocycles are *adapted to the filtration* of the continuous Bernoulli shift and satisfy either additive or multiplicative cocycle equations. The multiplicative cocycles come in two kinds: *unitary cocycles* for the continuous Bernoulli



shift itself (Definition 6.1.1) and *unital cocycles* for its GNS representation (Definition 6.2.1). The additive cocycles will always be given in the GNS representation (Definition 6.3.1). These cocycles provide non-commutative versions of Lévy processes, as applications of Junge-Pisier-Xu’s non-commutative martingale inequalities show [PX97, Kös00, JX03, Kös03, Kös04b]. Throughout this work we will consider only additive cocycles with a uniformly bounded variance operator. Also, the unital cocycles are such that their compression to  $\mathcal{A}_0$  is a uniformly continuous semigroup.

Let us now present our main results from Section 6. We will assume here for simplicity that the  $\mathcal{A}_0$ -expected continuous (GNS) Bernoulli shift enjoys  $\dim \mathcal{A}_0 < \infty$  (see Theorems 6.4.1 and 6.4.4 for a more general case).

**Theorem 0.0.3.** *There exists a bijective correspondence between unital cocycles and additive cocycles, where the latter ones satisfy some structure equation.*

Since every unitary cocycle defines a unital cocycle, we conclude immediately from Theorem 0.0.3 (see also Theorem 6.5.1):

**Theorem 0.0.4.** *There exists a bijective correspondence between unitary cocycles and additive cocycles, where now the latter ones satisfy a stronger version of the structure equation.*

To reveal this stronger structure equation will be the topic of sequel publications (see Subsection 6.5 and [Kös03] for the case of a tracial state). Theorem 0.0.4 resp. 6.5.1 can be regarded as a non-commutative version of Tsirelson’s result that every stationary  $\mathcal{U}(\mathcal{H}_0)$ -flow (continuous in probability) is ‘classical’ (see [Tsi04, Theorem 8a2] and also [Tsi98]). Here denotes  $\mathcal{U}(\mathcal{H}_0)$  the unitary operators on the (separable) Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}_0$  (corresponding to  $\mathcal{A}_0$ ). (Notice that our result does not cover fully Tsirelson’s results, since we will stipulate stronger continuity conditions.)

We emphasize that Theorem 0.0.3 is only based on the infrastructure of continuous Bernoulli shifts and cocycles. This puts us into the position to introduce non-commutative white noises, ensuring that they are a non-commutative version (see Definition 6.5.1) of Tsirelson’s ‘classical’ noises:

**Definition 0.0.5.** *An  $\mathcal{A}_0$ -expected (non-commutative) continuous Bernoulli shift  $(\mathcal{A}, \psi, S, (\mathcal{A}_{[r,s]})_{r \leq s})$  is called a (non-commutative) white noise if the filtration  $(\mathcal{A}_{0,t})_{t>0}$  is generated by its unitary cocycles (in an adapted manner).*

Now the correspondence ensures that white noises, as defined above, are always ‘generated’ by additive cocycles. This is in parallel to the well-known fact that, for example, Brownian motion generates the  $\sigma$ -algebras of the filtration of the Gaussian white noise (see e.g. [Tsi98]). We will show that the ‘non-commutative white noise part’ can always be extracted from a continuous Bernoulli shift by the compression with a conditional expectation (see Subsection 6.5).

The proof of Theorem 0.0.3 relies on a non-commutative extension of stochastic Itô integration and the construction of non-commutative versions of stochastic exponentials and logarithms, which we will develop in Sections 7 and 8.

**Section 7:** We will develop a theory of non-commutative Itô integration which includes an existence and uniqueness theorem for solutions of non-commutative Itô differential equations. This theory relies only on the structure of non-commutative  $\mathcal{A}_0$ -continuous (GNS) Bernoulli shifts and its additive cocycles. Crucial for this approach is the notion of  $\mathcal{A}_0$ -independence which allows to transfer the famous Itô isometry of Brownian motion to the non-commutative setting. The starting point of this theory are preliminary results in [Pri89] which gave the evidence that the present approach is promising in its generality.

Our approach to non-commutative Itô integration applies to all examples of non-commutative white noises (in the sense of Definition 0.0.5), in particular fermionic, bosonic, free and  $q$ -white noises, including the operator-valued setting. In the case of scalar-expected gauge invariant bosonic white noise one recovers early work on non-Fock bosonic quantum stochastic integration by Hudson, Lindsay and Wilde [HL85, LW86], which is constructed through an amplification of Hudson-Parthasarathy quantum stochastic integration on symmetric Fock spaces [HP84, Par92]. Moreover, one meets the pioneering work on quasi-free quantum stochastic integrals for the CAR and CCR algebra by Barnett, Streater and Wilde [BSW83]. Of special importance in applications is the Itô integration theory for so-called ‘squeezed white noises’ which are relevant in modern quantum optics [GZ00]. Their Itô integration theory is treated in [HHK<sup>+</sup>02], based on the present approach. If the underlying non-commutative probability space comes from Voiculescu’s free probability theory, one is precisely in the  $\mathbb{C}$ -expected setting of Biane-Speicher’s free stochastic calculus [BS98]. In the case of  $q$ -commutation relations, one obtains a non-commutative theory of Itô integration, as contained already in [HKK98] and independently much further developed in [DM03].

**Section 8:** We will develop the theory of non-commutative logarithms and exponentials for unital cocycles resp. additive cocycles, as far as it is necessary for the proof of Theorem 0.0.3. We will introduce the mapping  $\text{Exp}$  from the set of additive cocycles (with structure equation) to the set of unital cocycles (Subsection 8.1) and the mapping  $\text{Ln}$  from the set of unital cocycles to the set of additive cocycles (Subsection 8.2). Finally, we will show in Subsection 8.3 that the mappings  $\text{Exp}$  and  $\text{Ln}$  are each others inverse. This result completes the proof of the main theorems on the correspondence of additive and unital cocycles, as they are stated in Subsection 6.4.

## 1. PRELIMINARIES

We will fix the basic mathematical terminology for a non-commutative extension of probability theory, as we will use it throughout this paper.

**1.1. General terminology.** Throughout,  $\mathcal{A}$  is a von Neumann algebra in  $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ , the bounded operators on some fixed Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$ . We require that  $\mathcal{A}$  has a separable predual  $\mathcal{A}_*$ . Beside the norm topology on  $\mathcal{A}$ , we consider the weak\* topology  $\sigma(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A}_*)$ , the strong operator (stop) topology and the  $\sigma$ -strong operator ( $\sigma$ -stop) topology induced by the seminorms  $d_\xi(x) := \|x\xi\|$ ,  $\xi \in \mathcal{H}$  resp.  $d_\varphi(x) := |\varphi(x^*x)|^{1/2}$ ,  $\varphi \in \mathcal{A}_*$ . The unit of  $\mathcal{A}$  is denoted by  $\mathbb{1}_{\mathcal{A}}$ , or simply by  $\mathbb{1}$ , if no confusion can arise.

Since throughout  $\mathcal{A}$  is considered in the presence of a fixed faithful state  $\psi \in \mathcal{A}_*$ , we assume for our convenience that  $\mathcal{H}$  is already the GNS Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}_\psi$  corresponding to  $\psi$ . Thus we have  $\psi = \langle \Omega | \cdot \Omega \rangle$  for some vector  $\Omega \in \mathcal{H}_\psi$  which is cyclic and separating for  $\mathcal{A}$ . Moreover, since  $\mathcal{A}_*$  is separable, the GNS Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}_\psi$  is also separable. Notice that the scalar product is taken to be linear in the second component. Two elements  $x, y \in \mathcal{A}$  are called  $\psi$ -orthogonal if  $\psi(x^*y) = 0$ . Finally, the von Neumann algebra generated by a family  $(\mathcal{A}_j)_{j \in J} \subset \mathcal{A}$  is denoted by  $\bigvee_{j \in J} \mathcal{A}_j$ .

As usual, the von Neumann algebra  $\mathcal{A}'$  is the commutant of  $\mathcal{A}$  in  $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$  and  $\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{A}) := \mathcal{A} \cap \mathcal{A}'$  is the center of  $\mathcal{A}$ . The von Neumann algebra  $\mathcal{A}$  is called a factor if  $\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{A}) \simeq \mathbb{C}$ . For a faithful normal state  $\psi$  on  $\mathcal{A}$ , the associated modular automorphism group is denoted by  $\sigma^\psi$ . The centralizer  $\mathcal{A}^\psi := \{x \in \mathcal{A} \mid \psi(xy) = \psi(yx) \text{ for all } y \in \mathcal{A}\}$  is the fixed point algebra of  $\sigma^\psi$ .

We will use the modulus  $|a| := (a^*a)^{1/2}$  and, occasionally,  $\operatorname{Re} a := (a + a^*)/2$  and  $\operatorname{Im} a := (a - a^*)/2i$  for  $a \in \mathcal{A}$ . Finally, for any normed linear space  $\mathcal{N}$  we denote by  $\mathcal{N}_1 = \{x \in \mathcal{N} \mid \|x\| \leq 1\}$  the unit ball of  $\mathcal{N}$ .

**1.2. Non-commutative probability spaces and their morphisms.** The pair  $(\mathcal{A}, \psi)$  will be understood as a (*non-commutative*) *probability space* consisting of a von Neumann algebra  $\mathcal{A}$  which is equipped with a faithful normal state  $\psi$ . A *subalgebra*  $\mathcal{B}$  of  $(\mathcal{A}, \psi)$  is a von Neumann subalgebra  $\mathcal{B}$  of  $\mathcal{A}$  such that the conditional expectation  $E_{\mathcal{B}}$  from  $\mathcal{A}$  onto  $\mathcal{B}$  exists and leaves  $\psi$  invariant. We remind that such a conditional expectation exists (uniquely) if and only if  $\mathcal{B}$  is globally invariant under the action of the modular automorphism group of  $(\mathcal{A}, \psi)$  [Tak71].

The morphisms of  $(\mathcal{A}, \psi)$  are completely positive unital maps  $T$  on  $\mathcal{A}$  such that  $\psi$  is  $T$ -invariant. They are automatically normal (see Lemma B.1) and we denote them by  $\operatorname{Mor}(\mathcal{A}, \psi)$ . Similarly,  $\operatorname{Aut}(\mathcal{A}, \psi)$  denotes the automorphisms of  $(\mathcal{A}, \psi)$ . Conditional expectations, as we will consider them throughout this paper, are always morphisms. The identity map on  $\mathcal{A}$  is denoted by  $\operatorname{id}_{\mathcal{A}}$  or just by  $\operatorname{id}$ .

An  $\mathcal{A}_0$ -expected (non-commutative) probability space  $(\mathcal{A}, \psi)$  is a probability space with a distinguished subalgebra  $\mathcal{A}_0$  of  $(\mathcal{A}, \psi)$ . Occasionally, such a space will also be denoted as the triple  $(\mathcal{A}, \psi, \mathcal{A}_0)$ . The terminology is motivated from the fact that, given some von Neumann algebra  $\mathcal{B}$ , an injective \*-homomorphism  $\iota: \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$  with  $\mathcal{A}_0 := \iota(\mathcal{B})$  is a non-commutative random variable (compare e.g. [Küm88]). Here we will always identify  $\mathcal{A}_0$  and  $\mathcal{B}$ . Finally, two elements  $x, y \in \mathcal{A}$  are called  $\mathcal{A}_0$ -orthogonal if  $E_{\mathcal{A}_0}(y^*x) = 0$ .

Typical examples of  $\mathcal{A}_0$ -expected probability spaces are the following: Let  $(\mathcal{A}_0, \psi_0)$  and  $(\mathcal{B}, \varphi)$  be two probability spaces. Then an  $(\mathcal{A}_0 \otimes \text{id}_{\mathcal{B}})$ -expected probability space  $(\mathcal{A}, \psi)$  is defined by the von Neumann algebraic tensor product  $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}_0 \otimes \mathcal{B}$  and the tensor product state  $\psi = \psi_0 \otimes \varphi$ . If  $\mathcal{B}$  is commutative, then we are in the context of an operator-valued probability theory.

**1.3. Filtrations.** Let  $(\mathcal{A}, \psi)$  be an  $\mathcal{A}_0$ -expected probability space and  $(\mathcal{A}_I)_{I \in \mathcal{I}}$  a family of subalgebras of  $(\mathcal{A}, \psi)$  which is indexed by the set  $\mathcal{I}$  of possibly degenerated or possibly unbounded intervals  $I \subseteq \mathbb{R}$  and the empty set  $\emptyset$ . Degenerated intervals are points and will also be written as  $[t, t]$ ,  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ . It is called a *filtration of  $(\mathcal{A}, \psi)$*  if  $I \subseteq J$  implies  $\mathcal{A}_I \subseteq \mathcal{A}_J$  for any intervals  $I, J \in \mathcal{I}$  (*monotony*). The filtration is *minimal* if  $\bigvee \{\mathcal{A}_I \mid I \in \mathcal{I} \text{ bounded}\} = \mathcal{A}$ . In particular, a minimal filtration enjoys  $\mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{R}} = \mathcal{A}$ . Notice that monotony is equivalent to  $\mathcal{A}_I \vee \mathcal{A}_J \subseteq \mathcal{A}_K$  whenever  $I \cup J = K$ . Finally, the sub-filtrations  $(\mathcal{A}_{(-\infty, t]})_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$  and  $(\mathcal{A}_{[t, \infty)})_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$  are, respectively, called the *past* and *future filtrations*.

A filtration  $(\mathcal{A}_I)_{I \in \mathcal{I}}$  is *continuous downwards* if  $\bigcap_{\varepsilon > 0} \mathcal{A}_{[s-\varepsilon, t+\varepsilon]} = \mathcal{A}_{[s, t]}$  for any  $s \leq t$ . It is sufficient to check the downward continuity of a filtration for the intersection of closed intervals: from  $\mathcal{A}_{[s, t]} \subseteq \mathcal{A}_{(s-\varepsilon, t+\varepsilon)}$  for any  $\varepsilon > 0$  follows  $\mathcal{A}_{[s, t]} \subseteq \bigcap_{\varepsilon > 0} \mathcal{A}_{(s-\varepsilon, t+\varepsilon)} \subseteq \bigcap_{\varepsilon > 0} \mathcal{A}_{[s-\varepsilon, t+\varepsilon]}$ . A filtration  $(\mathcal{A}_I)_{I \in \mathcal{I}}$  is *continuous upwards* if  $\bigvee_{\varepsilon > 0} \mathcal{A}_{[s+\varepsilon, t-\varepsilon]} = \mathcal{A}_{[s, t]}$  for any  $s < t$  (for notational simplicity, the evident condition  $t - s > 2\varepsilon$  is always suppressed). Since  $\bigvee_{\varepsilon > 0} \mathcal{A}_{[s+\varepsilon, t-\varepsilon]} \subseteq \mathcal{A}_{(s, t)}$ , the upward continuity of a filtration implies immediately  $\mathcal{A}_{(s, t)} = \mathcal{A}_{[s, t]}$  for any  $s < t$ . A filtration is called *continuous* if it is continuous downwards and upwards. The continuity properties of the past or future filtration are understood similarly. Notice that the (downward resp. upward) continuity of a filtration  $(\mathcal{A}_I)_{I \in \mathcal{I}}$  is equivalent to the (downward resp. upward) continuity of the associated family  $(E_I)_{I \in \mathcal{I}}$  of conditional expectations  $E_I: (\mathcal{A}, \psi) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_I$  in the pointwise stop topology [Hel01, Kö00]. In particular, the past filtration  $(\mathcal{A}_{(-\infty, t]})_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$  is continuous if and only if the family  $(E_{(-\infty, t]})_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$  is continuous in the pointwise stop topology. A similar equivalence is valid for the future filtration.

## 2. NON-COMMUTATIVE INDEPENDENCE

We will introduce  $\mathcal{A}_0$ -independence in Definition 2.1.1 as a non-commutative analogue of (amalgamated) stochastic independence. Such a notion of non-commutative independence is in parallel to that of commuting squares in subfactor theory [Pop83, GHJ89]. It will be crucial for the introduction of *continuous Bernoulli shifts* in Section 3, as well as non-commutative Itô integration in Section 7.

Subsection 2.1 provides the definition of  $\mathcal{A}_0$ -independence and states its elementary properties which are well-known in subfactor theory. In Subsection 2.2 we will relate  $\mathbb{C}$ -independence to classical stochastic independence and to CCR (or bosonic) independence. Subsection 2.3 provides elementary tools how  $\mathbb{C}$ -independence is upgraded to  $\mathcal{A}_0$ -independence by tensor products of probability spaces. Finally, we illustrate  $\mathcal{A}_0$ -independence by further examples coming from non-commutative probability theory in Subsection 2.4. This list of examples includes CAR (or fermionic) independence, Voiculescu's free independence and  $\mathcal{A}_0$ -independence in the context of  $q$ -commutation relations.

**2.1.  $\mathcal{A}_0$ -independence and Popa's commuting squares.** We remind that in our terminology the phrasing ' $\mathcal{B}$  is subalgebra of  $(\mathcal{A}, \psi)$ ' always means:  $\mathcal{B}$  is a von Neumann subalgebra of  $\mathcal{A}$  such that the conditional expectation  $E_{\mathcal{B}}: (\mathcal{A}, \psi) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$  exists (see Subsection 1.2).

**Definition 2.1.1.** *Let  $\mathcal{B}$  and  $\mathcal{C}$  be two subalgebras of the  $\mathcal{A}_0$ -expected probability space  $(\mathcal{A}, \psi)$  such that  $\mathcal{A}_0 \subseteq \mathcal{B} \cap \mathcal{C}$ . The algebras  $\mathcal{B}$  and  $\mathcal{C}$  are called  $\mathcal{A}_0$ -independent, if for any  $x \in \mathcal{B}$  and  $y \in \mathcal{C}$*

$$E_0(xy) = E_0(x)E_0(y). \quad (2.1.1)$$

Here denotes  $E_0 \in \text{Mor}(\mathcal{A}, \psi)$  the conditional expectation from  $\mathcal{A}$  onto  $\mathcal{A}_0$ . Two families  $(x_i)_{i \in I}$  and  $(y_j)_{j \in J}$  in  $\mathcal{A}$  are  $\mathcal{A}_0$ -independent if  $\bigvee_{i \in I} \{x_i\}$  and  $\bigvee_{j \in J} \{y_j\}$  are  $\mathcal{A}_0$ -independent.

Such a structure was introduced by Popa as a 'commuting square' in subfactor theory [Pop83]. Thus the statements ' $\mathcal{B}$  and  $\mathcal{C}$  are  $\mathcal{A}_0$ -independent' and ' $\mathcal{B}$  and  $\mathcal{C}$  form a commuting square over  $\mathcal{A}_0$ ' are essentially the same. They will be used both, depending on whether we want to emphasize the probabilistic or more the algebraic aspect.

If the von Neumann algebra  $\mathcal{A}_0$  is one-dimensional, i.e.,  $\mathcal{A}_0 \sim \mathbb{C}$ , then  $E_0 = \psi(\cdot)\mathbb{1}_{\mathcal{A}}$  is verified immediately. Whenever it is convenient and does not produce confusion,  $\mathcal{A}_0$ -independence is also called  $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_0$ -independence, if  $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_0$  and  $\mathcal{A}_0$  are isomorphic as von Neumann algebras. For example, this convention simplifies ' $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{1}_{\mathcal{A}}$ -independence' to ' $\mathbb{C}$ -independence'.

$\mathcal{A}_0$ -independence is equivalent to other properties of the involved von Neumann algebras, as it is well-known for commuting squares in subfactor theory [Pop83, GHJ89, JS97]. We will make frequent use of this fact.

**Proposition 2.1.2.** *Under the assumptions of Definition 2.1.1 the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (i)  $\mathcal{B}$  and  $\mathcal{C}$  are  $\mathcal{A}_0$ -independent;
- (ii)  $E_0(x_1 y x_2) = E_0(x_1 E_0(y) x_2)$  for any  $x_1, x_2 \in \mathcal{B}$ ,  $y \in \mathcal{C}$ ;
- (iii)  $E_{\mathcal{B}}(\mathcal{C}) = \mathcal{A}_0$ ;
- (iv)  $E_{\mathcal{B}} E_{\mathcal{C}} = E_0$ ;
- (v)  $E_{\mathcal{B}} E_{\mathcal{C}} = E_{\mathcal{C}} E_{\mathcal{B}}$  and  $\mathcal{B} \cap \mathcal{C} = \mathcal{A}_0$ .

*Proof.* The equivalences follow from the proof given in [GHJ89, Prop. 4.2.1], after some elementary modifications.  $\square$

**Remark 2.1.3.** (i) In general, Definition 2.1.1 does not incorporate computational rules for expressions like  $E_0(xyxy)$  or  $E_0(yxyx)$ . But expressions like  $E_0(xy yx)$  or  $E_0(yxxy)$  are pyramidally ordered and can be simplified to  $E_0(xE_0(yy)x)$  resp.  $E_0(yE_0(xx)y)$  by the module property of conditional expectations. Whether enough information for the calculation of non-pyramidally ordered expressions is present, this depends on the additional algebraic structure of an example.

(ii)  $\mathcal{A}_0$ -independence applies, in particular, to von Neumann algebras of type III (see Example 2.2.2). Notice also that  $\mathcal{B} \vee \mathcal{C}$  may be contained properly in  $\mathcal{A}$ . If  $\mathcal{A}$  is the weakly\* closed linear span of  $\{xy \mid x \in \mathcal{B}, y \in \mathcal{C}\}$ , then the corresponding commuting square is said to be ‘degenerated’ [Pop83, JS97]. Such a situation appears if the von Neumann algebras carry enough (commutation) relations. But already *free* probability leads to an important example of  $\mathcal{A}_0$ -independence with  $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{B} \vee \mathcal{C}$ , where the corresponding commuting squares are not ‘degenerated’ (see Example 2.4.2).

**2.2. Commuting subalgebras and  $\mathbb{C}$ -independence.** A probability space that includes a pair of commuting von Neumann subalgebras produces  $\mathbb{C}$ -independence of the two subalgebras which is characterized algebraically as tensor product independence. It comprises ‘classical independence’ and ‘bosonic or CCR independence’.

Let  $\mathcal{B}_1$  and  $\mathcal{B}_2$  be two (von Neumann) subalgebras of the  $\mathbb{C}$ -expected probability space  $(\mathcal{B}, \varphi)$ . Suppose that  $\mathcal{B}_1$  and  $\mathcal{B}_2$  commute, i.e.,  $xy = yx$  for  $x \in \mathcal{B}_1$ ,  $y \in \mathcal{B}_2$ , and, for simplicity, that  $\mathcal{B} = \mathcal{B}_1 \vee \mathcal{B}_2$ . Then are equivalent:

- (i)  $\mathcal{B}_1$  and  $\mathcal{B}_2$  are  $\mathbb{C}$ -independent.
- (ii)  $(\mathcal{B}, \varphi)$  is canonically isomorphic to  $(\mathcal{B}_1 \otimes \mathcal{B}_2, \varphi_1 \otimes \varphi_2)$  with  $\varphi_i := \varphi|_{\mathcal{B}_i}$  for  $i = 1, 2$ , where we identify  $\mathcal{B}_1$  with  $\mathcal{B}_1 \otimes \mathbb{1}$  and  $\mathcal{B}_2$  with  $\mathbb{1} \otimes \mathcal{B}_2$ .

Obviously, (i) implies (ii) and we are left to prove the inverse implication. Let  $x_i \in \mathcal{B}_1$  and  $y_i \in \mathcal{B}_2$  ( $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ ). Since  $\varphi(\sum_{i=1}^n x_i y_i) = \varphi_1 \otimes \varphi_2(\sum_{i=1}^n x_i \otimes y_i)$ , the map  $\sum_{i=1}^n x_i y_i \mapsto \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \otimes y_i$  is well-defined and extends to an isomorphism from  $(\mathcal{B}, \varphi)$  onto  $(\mathcal{B}_1 \otimes \mathcal{B}_2, \varphi_1 \otimes \varphi_2)$  which is implemented unitarily on the corresponding GNS Hilbert spaces.

**Example 2.2.1** (Classical independence). In the case of a commutative von Neumann algebra  $\mathcal{B}$ , the notion of  $\mathbb{C}$ -independence is equivalent to the classical notion of independence. Let  $\mathcal{B} = L^\infty(\Omega, \Sigma, \mu)$  and  $\psi(f) = \int f d\mu$ . Then  $\mathcal{B}_1$  and  $\mathcal{B}_2$  are independent if and only if the sub- $\sigma$ -algebras of  $\Sigma$  generated by  $\mathcal{B}_1$  and  $\mathcal{B}_2$  are independent.

**Example 2.2.2** (Bosonic or CCR independence). The canonical commutation relations (CCR) lead to the first non-commutative example of  $\mathbb{C}$ -independence. It is convenient to introduce them in their Weyl form (see [BR81, Pet90] and cited literature therein). These relations are given by

$$\begin{aligned} W(f)W(g) &= \exp\left(-\frac{i}{2} \operatorname{Im}\langle f | g \rangle\right)W(f+g), \\ W(f)W(f)^* &= W(f)^*W(f) = \mathbb{1}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $f, g$  are elements of the Hilbert space  $\mathcal{K}$  with scalar product  $\langle \cdot | \cdot \rangle$ . They generate the  $C^*$ -algebra  $\operatorname{CCR}(\mathcal{K}, \operatorname{Im}\langle \cdot | \cdot \rangle)$ . Consider on this  $C^*$ -algebra the quasi-free (gauge invariant) state

$$\psi_\lambda(W(f)) = \exp\left(-\frac{1}{4}(2\lambda + 1) \|f\|^2\right)$$

for some fixed  $\lambda > 0$ . Let  $\mathcal{B}$  denote the von Neumann algebra which is generated by  $\{W(f) \mid f \in \mathcal{K}\}$  in the GNS representation associated to  $\psi_\lambda$ . Furthermore, let  $\mathcal{K}_1$  and  $\mathcal{K}_2$  be two orthogonal closed subspaces in  $\mathcal{K}$ . Then the corresponding von Neumann algebras  $\mathcal{B}_i$ , generated by  $\{W(f) \mid f \in \mathcal{K}_i\}$  ( $i = 1, 2$ ) in the GNS representation, commute and are  $\mathbb{C}$ -independent.

Above construction works also for more general quasi-free states on a CCR algebra, as we will see them in Example 4.7.2. Finally, let us remind that the condition  $\lambda > 0$  ensures that  $\psi_\lambda$  extends to a *faithful* normal state on  $\mathcal{B}$ .

**2.3. From  $\mathbb{C}$ -independence to  $\mathcal{A}_0$ -independence.** Examples of  $\mathcal{A}_0$ -independence are produced canonically from examples of  $\mathbb{C}$ -independence. Given in addition to  $(\mathcal{A}, \psi)$  the probability space  $(\mathcal{B}_0, \varphi_0)$ , we let  $\mathcal{A} := \mathcal{B}_0 \otimes \mathcal{B}$ ,  $\psi := \varphi_0 \otimes \varphi$  and  $\mathcal{A}_i := \mathcal{B}_0 \otimes \mathcal{B}_i$  for  $i = 1, 2$ , and  $\mathcal{A}_0 := \mathcal{B}_0 \otimes \mathbb{1}$ . Then  $(\mathcal{A}, \psi)$  is an  $\mathcal{A}_0$ -expected probability space where  $E_0 = \operatorname{id} \otimes \varphi(\cdot)\mathbb{1}$  is the conditional expectation onto  $\mathcal{A}_0$ . Moreover,  $\mathcal{A}_1$  and  $\mathcal{A}_2$  are  $\mathcal{A}_0$ -independent if and only if  $\mathcal{B}_1$  and  $\mathcal{B}_2$  are  $\mathbb{C}$ -independent.

**Example 2.3.1.** Following the above (widespread) construction, classical independence (Example 2.2.1) leads immediately to examples of so-called amalgamated (or operator-valued) independence. Similarly, CCR-independence (Example 2.2.2) leads to examples of  $\mathcal{A}_0$ -independence.

The tensor product construction of an  $\mathcal{A}_0$ -expected probability space from a  $\mathbb{C}$ -expected probability space looks very specific. It is worthwhile to point out that, if  $\mathcal{A}_0$  is isomorphic to the complex  $n \times n$ -matrices  $M_n$  with  $2 \leq n \leq \infty$ , this construction captures already the general situation:

**Proposition 2.3.2.** *Let  $(\mathcal{A}, \psi)$  be an  $\mathcal{A}_0$ -expected probability space with  $\mathcal{A}_0 \simeq M_n$ . Then there exists a probability space  $(\mathcal{B}, \varphi)$  such that  $\mathcal{A} \simeq M_n \otimes \mathcal{B}$  and, under this isomorphism,  $\psi = \psi|_{\mathcal{A}_0} \otimes \varphi$ .*

*Proof.* We define  $\mathcal{B}$  as the relative commutant of  $\mathcal{A}_0$  in  $\mathcal{A}$ . Then  $\mathcal{A}$  splits canonically into the tensor product  $M_n \otimes \mathcal{B}$ , [KR86, 11.4.11] and we may assume  $\mathcal{A} = M_n \otimes \mathcal{B}$ . Now  $\varphi(x) := \psi(\mathbf{1} \otimes x)$  defines a normal state on  $\mathcal{B}$ . It is checked immediately that the conditional expectation  $E_0$  from  $(\mathcal{A}, \psi)$  onto  $\mathcal{A}_0$  acts as  $E_0(x \otimes y) = x \otimes \varphi(y)$  for any  $x \in M_n$  and  $y \in \mathcal{B}$ . We conclude  $\psi(x \otimes y) = \psi(E_0(x \otimes y)) = \psi(x \otimes \mathbf{1})\varphi(y)$  and thus  $\psi = \psi|_{\mathcal{A}_0} \otimes \varphi$ , [KR86, 11.4.11, 11.2.7].  $\square$

**2.4. Non-commutative examples of  $\mathcal{A}_0$ -independence.** In the remaining part of this section we present further examples of  $\mathcal{A}_0$ -independence which, in particular, illustrate that  $\mathcal{A}_0$ -independent von Neumann algebras may not commute.

**Example 2.4.1** (Fermionic or CAR independence). We will consider the canonical anticommutation relations (CAR) (see for example [BR81, 5.2.5]). Let  $\mathcal{K}$  be a Hilbert space and let  $\text{CAR}(\mathcal{K})$  denote the  $C^*$ -algebra, generated by the elements  $\{a(f) \mid f \in \mathcal{K}\}$ , satisfying for all  $f, g \in \mathcal{K}$ :  $f \mapsto a(f)$  is antilinear and

$$\begin{aligned} a(f)a(g) + a(g)a(f) &= 0, \\ a(f)a(g)^* + a(g)^*a(f) &= \langle f \mid g \rangle \mathbf{1}. \end{aligned}$$

Consider on  $\text{CAR}(\mathcal{K})$  the quasi-free (gauge-invariant) state  $\psi_\lambda$ , defined by

$$\psi_\lambda(a^*(f)a(g)) = \lambda \langle g \mid f \rangle$$

for some fixed  $\lambda$  with  $0 < \lambda < 1$  [Ara71, Ara87]. Let  $(\mathcal{B}, \psi_\lambda)$  be the probability space obtained as the weak closure of  $\text{CAR}(\mathcal{K})$  in the GNS representation associated to  $\psi_\lambda$ . Let  $\mathcal{K}_i$ ,  $i = 0, 1, 2$ , be mutually pairwise orthogonal closed subspaces in  $\mathcal{K}$  and denote by  $\mathcal{B}_i$  the von Neumann subalgebras generated by  $\{a(f) \mid f \in \mathcal{K}_i\}$  ( $i = 0, 1, 2$ ) in the GNS representation. One verifies immediately that  $\mathcal{B}_0 \vee \mathcal{B}_1$  and  $\mathcal{B}_0 \vee \mathcal{B}_2$  are  $\mathcal{B}_0$ -independent. In particular,  $\mathcal{B}_1$  and  $\mathcal{B}_2$  are  $\mathbb{C}$ -independent.

**Example 2.4.2** (Free independence). An important example of  $\mathcal{A}_0$ -independence is given by Voiculescu's (amalgamated) free independence [VDN92]. Let  $\mathcal{B}_1, \mathcal{B}_2$  be two subalgebras of the  $\mathcal{B}_0$ -expected probability space  $(\mathcal{B}, \varphi)$  such that  $\mathcal{B}_0 \subseteq \mathcal{B}_1 \cap \mathcal{B}_2$ . Let  $E_0 \in \text{Mor}(\mathcal{B}, \varphi)$  denote the conditional expectation onto  $\mathcal{B}_0$ . The algebras  $\mathcal{B}_1$  and  $\mathcal{B}_2$  are  $\mathcal{B}_0$ -freely independent if

$$E_0(b_1 b_2 \dots b_n) = 0$$

whenever  $E(b_i) = 0$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq n$  and  $b_i \in \mathcal{B}_{j(i)}$  with  $j(i) \neq j(i+1)$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq n-1$ . Notice that for  $\mathcal{B}_0 \simeq \mathbb{C}$  this definition reduces to free independence with respect



to the state  $\varphi$ . It is elementary to check that  $\mathcal{B}_0$ -free independence implies  $\mathcal{B}_0$ -independence.

**Example 2.4.3** ( $q$ -Gaussian processes and  $\mathcal{A}_0$ -independence). A further example originates from the construction of  $q$ -Fock spaces ( $-1 < q < 1$ ) by Bożejko and Speicher [BS91]. Let  $\mathcal{K}_{\mathbb{R}}$  be a real Hilbert space and  $\mathcal{K} = \mathcal{K}_{\mathbb{R}} \oplus i\mathcal{K}_{\mathbb{R}}$  its complexification. Then the family  $\{a(f) \mid f \in \mathcal{K}_{\mathbb{R}}\}$ , satisfying

$$a(f)a(g) + a(g)a(f) = 0, \quad a(f)a(g)^* - qa(g)^*a(f) = \langle f \mid g \rangle \mathbb{1}$$

for all  $f, g \in \mathcal{K}_{\mathbb{R}}$ , is realized as bounded linear operators on the  $q$ -Fock space  $\mathcal{F}_q(\mathcal{K})$ . Let  $\mathcal{B}$  denote the von Neumann algebra generated by  $q$ -Gaussian processes  $\{\Phi(f) := a(f) + a(f)^* \mid f \in \mathcal{K}_{\mathbb{R}}\}$ , or equivalently in the case  $\mathcal{K} = L^2_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathbb{R})$ , generated by all increments of  $q$ -Brownian motions  $(\Phi(\chi_{[s,t]}))_{s < t}$  (see [BKS97]). Then the vacuum vector  $\Omega \in \mathcal{F}_q(\mathcal{K})$  defines a tracial faithful normal state  $\tau$  on  $\mathcal{B}$ . If  $\mathcal{K}_1$  and  $\mathcal{K}_2$  are two orthogonal closed subspaces in  $\mathcal{K}_{\mathbb{R}}$ , then the von Neumann subalgebras  $\mathcal{B}_i := \vee\{a(f) + a(f)^* \mid f \in \mathcal{K}_i\}$  ( $i = 1, 2$ ) are  $\mathbb{C}$ -independent. If  $\mathcal{K}_0$  is a third closed subspace, orthogonal to  $\mathcal{K}_1$  and  $\mathcal{K}_2$ , which generates the von Neumann subalgebra  $\mathcal{B}_0$ , then it is again elementary to verify that  $\mathcal{B}_0 \vee \mathcal{B}_1$  and  $\mathcal{B}_0 \vee \mathcal{B}_2$  are  $\mathcal{B}_0$ -independent.

This list of examples can be continued easily. More examples of  $\mathbb{C}$ - or  $\mathcal{A}_0$ -independence arise from von Neumann algebras generated by so-called generalized Brownian motions on deformed Fock spaces [BS94, BG02, GM02, Kr02], which contain  $q$ -Brownian motions as a simple case. All related constructions, necessary to provide these further examples, are captured by so-called functors of white noise, as introduced in [Küm85] and further considered in [GM02]. In view of Anshelevich's results on  $q$ -Lévy processes [Ans], it arises the question whether they provide also examples of  $\mathbb{C}$ -independence.

Aside of these quantum probabilistic approaches to construct new examples, it is worthwhile to remind a second rich source for  $\mathcal{A}_0$ -independence: subfactor theory with all its commuting squares.

### 3. CONTINUOUS BERNOULLI SHIFTS I

This section is devoted to the introduction of a (*non-commutative*) *continuous Bernoulli shift*. Its discrete time versions are (non-commutative) Bernoulli shifts on towers of von Neumann algebras, as they appear in subfactor theory ([GHJ89, Rup95]). On the other hand provide continuous Bernoulli shifts a non-commutative extension of *noises* in the sense of Tsirelson [Tsi04, Definition 2d1]. More technically speaking, a *noise* is a homogeneous continuous product system of probability spaces. Thus we may call a *continuous Bernoulli shift* also a 'homogeneous continuous commuting square system of non-commutative probability spaces'.

Let us outline the contents of this section. We begin in Subsection 3.1 with the definition of a *continuous Bernoulli shift*, emphasizing the probabilistic

point of view. We will study some of its elementary properties. These properties will in particular justify its name. In Subsection 3.2 we prove that the von Neumann algebra of a  $\mathbb{C}$ -expected continuous Bernoulli shift is either finite or of type III. An immediate consequence of this result is that such a continuous Bernoulli shift has a properly infinite von Neumann algebra if and only if the state of the continuous Bernoulli shift is non-tracial. After noting in Subsection 3.3 that continuous Bernoulli shifts are stable with respect to compositions by tensor products and direct sums, we turn our attention to the question whether they are also stable with respect to decompositions. We show in Subsection 3.4 that this is indeed the case for compressions given by conditional expectations or orthogonal projections, both subject to some natural conditions. This opens the door to compressions onto the relative commutant which allows to introduce ‘derived continuous Bernoulli shifts’. Further research in this direction is planned and should provide classification results, similar to subfactor theory.

A continuous Bernoulli shift, as stated in Definition 3.1.2, provides already the sufficient infrastructure for Theorem 6.4.4, our main result on the correspondence between shift cocycles. Most up to the present known examples of continuous Bernoulli shifts enjoy additional algebraic structures. The study of these additional algebraic and continuity features is postponed to Section 4. At this place the reader will also find more detailed information on the relationship of continuous Bernoulli shifts and Tsirelson’s noises. Some examples of continuous Bernoulli shifts will also be provided there.

Finally, we want to bring to the reader’s attention that the class of continuous Bernoulli shifts is richer than those of *non-commutative white noises* (in the sense of our Definition 6.5.2). This follows already from the surprising result of Tsirelson and Vershik [TV98] on the existence of *black noises* which are ‘non-classical’ (in the terminology of [Tsi04, Definition 5c4]). The relation of continuous Bernoulli shifts and non-commutative white noises will be further specified in Subsection 6.5.

**3.1. Continuous Bernoulli shifts and their basic properties.** We start with some notation and remind that our notion of a filtration does not stipulate continuity properties (see Subsection 1.3).

**Notation 3.1.1.** The set of all intervals  $I$  in  $\mathbb{R}$  and the empty set  $\emptyset$  is denoted by  $\mathcal{I}$ . Furthermore we let  $I + t := \{s + t \mid s \in I\}$  and  $\emptyset + t := \emptyset$ . The set  $\text{Int } I$  is the interior of  $I$ .

**Definition 3.1.2.** Let  $(\mathcal{A}, \psi)$  be an  $\mathcal{A}_0$ -expected probability space, equipped with a pointwise weakly\* continuous group  $S = (S_t)_{t \in \mathbb{R}} \subset \text{Aut}(\mathcal{A}, \psi)$  and a minimal filtration  $(\mathcal{A}_I)_{I \in \mathcal{I}}$ . The quadruple  $(\mathcal{A}, \psi, S, (\mathcal{A}_I)_{I \in \mathcal{I}})$  is called an  $\mathcal{A}_0$ -expected (non-commutative) continuous Bernoulli shift if it enjoys the following properties:

- (i)  $\mathcal{A}_0$  is the fixed point algebra of  $S$ ;

- (ii)  $S$  acts covariantly on the filtration:  $S_t \mathcal{A}_I = \mathcal{A}_{I+t}$  for any  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $I \in \mathcal{I}$ ;
- (iii)  $\mathcal{A}_I$  and  $\mathcal{A}_J$  are  $\mathcal{A}_0$ -independent whenever  $I \cap J = \emptyset$ .

The  $\mathcal{A}_0$ -expected continuous Bernoulli shift is said to be trivial if  $S = \text{id}$ .

For shortness, and if there is no chance of confusion,  $(\mathcal{A}, \psi, S, (\mathcal{A}_I)_{I \in \mathcal{I}})$  as well as its automorphism group  $S$  will be both just called a shift. If the shift is trivial, then  $(\mathcal{A}, \psi, S, (\mathcal{A}_I)_{I \in \mathcal{I}})$  can be identified canonically with a non-commutative probability space.

**Notation 3.1.3.** Throughout,  $E_I$  denotes the conditional expectation from  $(\mathcal{A}, \psi)$  onto  $\mathcal{A}_I$ , where  $I \in \mathcal{I}$ .

We proceed with elementary results on properties of an  $\mathcal{A}_0$ -expected continuous Bernoulli shift which, in particular, will justify its name.

In Definition 3.1.2 we required that  $\mathcal{A}_I$  and  $\mathcal{A}_J$  are  $\mathcal{A}_0$ -independent if  $I \cap J = \emptyset$ . But boundary points of such intervals don't matter for  $\mathcal{A}_0$ -independence. Moreover, we will see that  $\mathcal{A}_0$  equals  $\mathcal{A}_\emptyset$ , from which we will take advantage occasionally in proofs.

**Lemma 3.1.4.** *For an  $\mathcal{A}_0$ -expected continuous Bernoulli shift  $(\mathcal{A}, \psi, S, (\mathcal{A}_I)_{I \in \mathcal{I}})$  is  $\mathcal{A}_0 = \mathcal{A}_\emptyset$ . Moreover, the following are equivalent:*

- (iii)  $\mathcal{A}_I$  and  $\mathcal{A}_J$  are  $\mathcal{A}_0$ -independent whenever  $I \cap J = \emptyset$ .
- (iii')  $\mathcal{A}_I$  and  $\mathcal{A}_J$  are  $\mathcal{A}_0$ -independent whenever  $\text{Int } I \cap \text{Int } J = \emptyset$ .

*Proof.* We conclude  $\mathcal{A}_\emptyset = \mathcal{A}_0 \cap \mathcal{A}_\emptyset = \mathcal{A}_0$  from Definition 3.1.2 (iii) and Proposition 2.1.2 (v).

It is obvious that (iii') implies (iii). We are left to prove the inverse. For  $\text{Int } I \cap \text{Int } J = \emptyset$  exists  $t \in \mathbb{R}$  such that, without loss of generality,  $I \subset (-\infty, t]$  and  $J \subset [t, \infty)$ . From the continuity of the past and future filtration (see Lemma 3.1.5 (ii) below) we conclude  $E_I E_J = E_I E_{(-\infty, t]} E_{[t, \infty)} E_J = E_I E_{(-\infty, t)} E_{(t, \infty)} E_J = E_I E_\emptyset E_J = E_\emptyset$ .  $\square$

We collect further, frequently used properties of a continuous Bernoulli shift.

**Lemma 3.1.5.** *A shift, as stated in Definition 3.1.2, enjoys the following properties:*

- (i) *The shift acts covariantly for any  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $I \in \mathcal{I}$ :*

$$S_t E_I = E_{I+t} S_t;$$

- (ii) *the past filtration  $(\mathcal{A}_{(-\infty, t]})_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$  and the future filtration  $(\mathcal{A}_{[t, \infty)})_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$  are continuous, or equivalently, the families of conditional expectations  $(E_{(-\infty, t]})_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$  and  $(E_{[t, \infty)})_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$  are pointwise weakly\* continuous. In particular,  $\mathcal{A}_{(-\infty, t]} = \mathcal{A}_{(-\infty, t)}$  and  $\mathcal{A}_{[t, \infty)} = \mathcal{A}_{(t, \infty)}$ ;*
- (iii)  $\mathcal{A}_{[t, t]} = \mathcal{A}_0 = \mathcal{A}_\emptyset$  for any  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ ;
- (iv)  $\mathcal{A}_0 \subset \mathcal{A}_I$  for any  $I \in \mathcal{I}$ ;
- (v) *a shift is tail trivial:  $\bigcap_{t \in \mathbb{R}} \mathcal{A}_{(-\infty, t]} = \mathcal{A}_0 = \bigcap_{t \in \mathbb{R}} \mathcal{A}_{[t, \infty)}$ ;*

(vi) a shift is locally trivial, i.e.,  $\bigcap_{\varepsilon>0} \mathcal{A}_{[t-\varepsilon, t+\varepsilon]} = \mathcal{A}_0$  for any  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ .

Notice that the filtration of a shift may not be continuous downwards or upwards. These and additional properties will be discussed in more detail in Section 4.

*Proof.* From the covariant action of the shift  $S$  we get

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(xS_t E_I(y)) &= \psi(E_{I+t}(x)S_t E_I(y)) = \psi(S_{-t}E_{I+t}(x)E_I(y)) \\ &= \psi(S_{-t}E_{I+t}(x)y) = \psi(E_{I+t}(x)S_t(y)) = \psi(xE_{I+t}S_t(y)) \end{aligned}$$

for any  $x, y \in \mathcal{A}$ . This implies (i).

(ii) follows from (i) and the pointwise continuity of the shift  $S$  in the weak\* topology, since  $E_{(-\infty, t-\varepsilon]} = S_{-\varepsilon}E_{(-\infty, t]}S_\varepsilon$ . (The equivalence of the two formulations is shown by routine arguments.)

(iii)  $\mathcal{A}_{[t, t]}$  and  $\mathcal{A}_{[t, t]}$  are  $\mathcal{A}_0$ -independent by Lemma 3.1.4. But this implies  $\mathcal{A}_{[t, t]} \cap \mathcal{A}_{[t, t]} = \mathcal{A}_0$  (see Proposition 2.1.2 (v)). The equality  $\mathcal{A}_0 = \mathcal{A}_\emptyset$  is already shown in Lemma 3.1.4.

(iv) is part of the definition of  $\mathcal{A}_0$ -independence (or follows directly from (iii) by the monotony of the filtration).

The first equality of (v) follows from the observation that  $x \in \bigcap_{t \in \mathbb{R}} \mathcal{A}_{(-\infty, t]}$  and  $y \in \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{A}_{[-n, \infty)}$  are  $\mathcal{A}_0$ -independent elements. It follows  $\psi((x - E_0(x))y) = \psi((x - E_0(x)))\psi(y) = 0$ . From the weak\* density of  $\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{A}_{[-n, \infty)}$  in  $\mathcal{A}$ , we conclude  $x = E_0(x)$ , hence  $\bigcap_{t \in \mathbb{R}} \mathcal{A}_{(-\infty, t]} = \mathcal{A}_0$ . The second equality of (v) is shown by the same arguments.

We are left to prove (vi). From

$$\bigcap_{\varepsilon>0} \mathcal{A}_{[t-\varepsilon, t+\varepsilon]} \subseteq \bigcap_{\varepsilon>0} (\mathcal{A}_{(-\infty, t+\varepsilon]} \cap \mathcal{A}_{[t-\varepsilon, \infty)}) = \left[ \bigcap_{\varepsilon>0} \mathcal{A}_{(-\infty, t+\varepsilon]} \right] \cap \left[ \bigcap_{\varepsilon>0} \mathcal{A}_{[t-\varepsilon, \infty)} \right]$$

we conclude with the continuity of the past and future filtration, and finally the  $\mathcal{A}_0$ -independence,

$$\mathcal{A}_0 \subseteq \bigcap_{\varepsilon>0} \mathcal{A}_{[t-\varepsilon, t+\varepsilon]} \subseteq \mathcal{A}_{(-\infty, t]} \cap \mathcal{A}_{[t, \infty)} = \mathcal{A}_0. \quad \square$$

The following result states that the shift  $S$  is strongly mixing. It will be crucial in the proof of Theorem 3.2.1.

**Lemma 3.1.6.** *Let  $(\mathcal{A}, \psi, S, (\mathcal{A}_I)_{I \in \mathcal{I}})$  be an  $\mathcal{A}_0$ -expected shift. For any  $x \in \mathcal{A}$  it holds*

$$\lim_{|t| \rightarrow \infty} S_t(x) = E_0(x)$$

*in the weak\* topology.*

*Proof.* For bounded intervals  $I$  and  $J$  and  $x \in \mathcal{A}_I$ ,  $y \in \mathcal{A}_J$  one calculates

$$\lim_{|t| \rightarrow \infty} \psi(yS_t(x)) = \lim_{|t| \rightarrow \infty} \psi(E_0(y)S_t(x)) = \psi(E_0(y)E_0(x)) = \psi(yE_0(x)).$$

Since the filtration is minimal, these identities extend to arbitrary  $x, y \in \mathcal{A}$  by standard arguments. Now the assertion follows from the norm density of the functionals  $\{\psi(y \cdot) \mid y \in \mathcal{A}\}$  in  $\mathcal{A}_*$  and the boundedness of the set  $\{S_t(x) \mid t \in \mathbb{R}\}$ .  $\square$

Let us mention a subtle fact in the above proof: the mixing property of the shift hinges on the notion of the minimality of a filtration, as introduced in Subsection 1.3. It includes that  $\mathcal{A}$  is approximated by the ‘local’ net  $(\mathcal{A}_{[s,t]})_{-\infty < s \leq t < \infty}$ . Thus the ‘global’ structure of a continuous Bernoulli shift is already determined by its ‘local’ structure.

**3.2. The type of a  $\mathbb{C}$ -expected continuous Bernoulli shift.** We proceed with a result on the type of the von Neumann algebra of a continuous Bernoulli shift. In particular, it shows that neither type  $I_\infty$  nor type  $II_\infty$  can occur for the von Neumann algebra of a scalar-expected shift, as stated in Definition 3.1.2.

**Theorem 3.2.1.** *Let  $(\mathcal{A}, \psi, S, (\mathcal{A}_I)_{I \in \mathcal{I}})$  be a non-trivial  $\mathbb{C}$ -expected continuous Bernoulli shift. Then  $\mathcal{A}$  is either finite or of type III. Moreover,  $\mathcal{A}$  is finite if and only if  $\psi$  is a trace.*

This result generalizes immediately to a factor-expected continuous Bernoulli shift if one considers, instead of  $\mathcal{A}$ , the relative commutant  $\mathcal{A}_0' \cap \mathcal{A}$ .

Before we start the proof of the theorem, we note an immediate conclusion.

**Corollary 3.2.2.** *Let the  $\mathbb{C}$ -expected shift be given as stated in Theorem 3.2.1. If  $\mathcal{A}$  is a factor, then  $\mathcal{A}$  is either of type  $II_1$  or of type III.*

*Proof.* Choose  $x \in \mathcal{A}_{[0,1]}$  with  $E_0(x) \neq 0$  and  $x_n := S_n(x)$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then, by  $\mathbb{C}$ -independence,  $\{x_n - E_0(x_n) \mid n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  is a mutually  $\psi$ -orthogonal family in  $\mathcal{A}$ , thus  $\mathcal{A}$  is infinite-dimensional. Consequently, the factor  $\mathcal{A}$  is not of finite type I, which proves the corollary.  $\square$

*Proof of Theorem 3.2.1.* Let  $z \in \mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{A})$  be the maximal central semi-finite projection. Since for any automorphism  $\alpha$  on  $\mathcal{A}$ , the projection  $\alpha(z)$  is again a central semi-finite projection, we have  $\alpha(z) \leq z$ . Interchanging  $\alpha$  with  $\alpha^{-1}$  yields  $\alpha(z) = z$  and thus in particular  $S_t(z) = z$  for any  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ . By the mixing property of the shift  $S$ , as stated in Proposition 3.1.6, it follows  $z \in \mathbb{C} \cdot \mathbb{1}$ . If  $z = 0$  then  $\mathcal{A}$  is of type III and we are done. Therefore we may assume in the following that  $\mathcal{A}$  is semi-finite. It follows that there exists a stop-continuous unitary group  $(u_s)_{s \in \mathbb{R}} \subset \mathcal{A}$  with the property  $\sigma_s^\psi(x) = u_s^* x u_s$  for any  $x \in \mathcal{A}$  (see [Ped79, Prop. 8.14.13]). Since  $S_t$  and  $\sigma_s^\psi$  commute, one concludes  $S_t(u_s^*) x S_t(u_s) = u_s^* x u_s$  for all  $x \in \mathcal{A}$  and  $s, t \in \mathbb{R}$ . Thus  $S_t(u_s) u_s^* \in \mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{A})$  for any  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ . From this follows, again by Proposition 3.1.6, that the weak\* limit  $E_0(u_s) u_s^*$  of this sequence is an element of  $\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{A})$ . Moreover, one has  $E_0(u_s) = \psi(u_s) \mathbb{1}$ . Since  $s \mapsto u_s$  is continuous in the stop topology and  $u_0 = \mathbb{1}$ , it is  $\psi(u_s) \neq 0$  for all  $s$  in some small 0-neighborhood. Consequently,  $u_s^* \in \mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{A})$  for any  $s$  in this 0-neighborhood.

But this implies  $\sigma_s^\psi = \text{id}$  for any  $s \in \mathbb{R}$  by the group property of  $\sigma^\psi$ . Thus  $\psi$  is a normal trace on  $\mathcal{A}$  [Ped79, Lem. 8.14.6] and, since  $\psi$  is faithful, we conclude that  $\mathcal{A}$  is a finite von Neumann algebra, [Tak03a, Thm. V.2.4].

By the above arguments, we have in particular proven that the finiteness of  $\mathcal{A}$  implies that  $\psi$  is a trace. The converse is obvious.  $\square$

**Remark 3.2.3.** (i) Up to the present we know examples for  $\mathbb{C}$ -expected continuous Bernoulli shifts with a von Neumann algebra  $\mathcal{A}$  of type  $I_1$ , type  $II_1$  and type  $III_\lambda$  ( $0 < \lambda \leq 1$ ). We conjecture that for a  $\mathbb{C}$ -expected continuous Bernoulli shift  $\mathcal{A}$  cannot be of type  $I_n$  for  $n \neq 1$ , but we have yet not been successful to establish this. Also, it is of interest to investigate further the case of type  $III_0$ .

(ii) A general result for the type of  $\mathcal{A}_0' \cap \mathcal{A}$  is obtained by disintegration theory and will be presented elsewhere. It relies on the fact that the structure of continuous Bernoulli shifts is stable with respect to relative commutants. In particular, we introduce the notion of a ‘derived’ continuous Bernoulli shift and start to investigate its properties, similar as it is done in subfactor theory [HK].

**3.3. Composition of continuous Bernoulli shifts.** It is easy to see that shifts, as introduced in Definition 3.1.2, are closed under tensor product and direct sum compositions.

**Proposition 3.3.1.** *Let  $(\mathcal{A}^{(i)}, \psi^{(i)}, S^{(i)}, (\mathcal{A}_I^{(i)})_{I \in \mathcal{I}})$  be  $\mathcal{A}_0^{(i)}$ -expected continuous Bernoulli shift ( $i = 1, 2$ ).*

- (i)  $(\mathcal{A}^{(1)} \otimes \mathcal{A}^{(2)}, \psi^{(1)} \otimes \psi^{(2)}, S^{(1)} \otimes S^{(2)}, (\mathcal{A}_I^{(1)} \otimes \mathcal{A}_I^{(2)})_{I \in \mathcal{I}})$  is an  $(\mathcal{A}_0^{(1)} \otimes \mathcal{A}_0^{(2)})$ -expected continuous Bernoulli shift.
- (ii)  $(\mathcal{A}^{(1)} \oplus \mathcal{A}^{(2)}, \mu\psi^{(1)} \oplus (1 - \mu)\psi^{(2)}, S^{(1)} \oplus S^{(2)}, (\mathcal{A}_I^{(1)} \oplus \mathcal{A}_I^{(2)})_{I \in \mathcal{I}})$  is an  $(\mathcal{A}_0^{(1)} \oplus \mathcal{A}_0^{(2)})$ -expected continuous Bernoulli shift whenever  $0 < \mu < 1$ .

The tensor product of a  $\mathbb{C}$ -expected and a trivial  $\mathcal{A}_0$ -expected shift gives rise to an  $\mathcal{A}_0$ -expected shift.

**Definition 3.3.2.** *The tensor product of an  $\mathcal{A}_0$ -expected continuous Bernoulli shift  $(\mathcal{A}, \psi, S, (\mathcal{A}_I)_{I \in \mathcal{I}})$  and a trivial  $\mathcal{B}$ -expected continuous Bernoulli shift is called the amplification of  $(\mathcal{A}, \psi, S, (\mathcal{A}_I)_{I \in \mathcal{I}})$  by  $\mathcal{B}$ .*

**Remark 3.3.3.** The composition by tensor product extends to infinite tensor products. With some more technical efforts the procedure of direct sum compositions carries over to direct integrals of  $\mathcal{A}_0^{(\gamma)}$ -expected shifts,  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ , with respect to some standard probability space  $(\Gamma, \mu)$ .

**3.4. Decomposition of continuous Bernoulli shifts.** In the following we focus onto decompositions of continuous Bernoulli shifts. They are closed under compression by conditional expectations and by orthogonal projections, subject to some further conditions. These compressions provide, roughly speaking, the inverse procedures to the compositions as stated in Proposition 3.3.1.

**Proposition 3.4.1.** *Let  $E \in \text{Mor}(\mathcal{A}, \psi)$  be a conditional expectation and  $(\mathcal{A}, \psi, S, (\mathcal{A}_I)_{I \in \mathcal{I}})$  be an  $\mathcal{A}_0$ -expected continuous Bernoulli shift. If  $ES_t = S_tE$  and  $EE_I = E_I E$  for any  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $I \in \mathcal{I}$ , then  $(E(\mathcal{A}), \psi|_{E(\mathcal{A})}, S|_{E(\mathcal{A})}, (E(\mathcal{A}_I))_{I \in \mathcal{I}})$  is an  $E(\mathcal{A}_0)$ -expected continuous Bernoulli shift.*

**Definition 3.4.2.** *The shift  $(E(\mathcal{A}), \psi|_{E(\mathcal{A})}, S|_{E(\mathcal{A})}, (E(\mathcal{A}_I))_{I \in \mathcal{I}})$  is called the compression of  $(\mathcal{A}, \psi, S, (\mathcal{A}_I)_{I \in \mathcal{I}})$  by the conditional expectation  $E$ .*

If  $E = E_0$  then the compression leads to a trivial shift.

*Proof of Proposition 3.4.1.* The restriction  $\psi|_{E(\mathcal{A})}$  is a faithful normal state on  $E(\mathcal{A})$ . Since the conditional expectation  $E$  and the shift  $S$  commute,  $E(\mathcal{A})$  is globally invariant under the action of  $S$ . Thus the restriction  $S|_{E(\mathcal{A})}$  is well-defined and has  $E(\mathcal{A}_0)$  as fixed point algebra. Moreover, it is  $S_t E(\mathcal{A}_I) = ES_t(\mathcal{A}_I) = E(\mathcal{A}_{I+t})$  for any  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $I \in \mathcal{I}$ . Since  $E(\mathcal{A}_I) \subseteq E(\mathcal{A}_J)$  whenever  $I \subseteq J$ , the family  $(E(\mathcal{A}_I))_{I \in \mathcal{I}}$  defines a filtration of  $(E(\mathcal{A}), \psi|_{E(\mathcal{A})})$ . From the minimality of  $(\mathcal{A}_I)_{I \in \mathcal{I}}$  and the normality of  $E$  we conclude that  $\bigcup\{E(\mathcal{A}_I) \mid I \in \mathcal{I} \text{ is bounded}\}$  is weakly\* dense in  $E(\mathcal{A})$ . The minimality of the filtration  $(E(\mathcal{A}_I))_{I \in \mathcal{I}}$  follows now by the double commutation theorem. Finally, the independence of  $E(\mathcal{A}_I)$  and  $E(\mathcal{A}_J)$  for  $I \cap J = \emptyset$  is an immediate consequence of  $EE_I EE_J = EE_I E_J = EE_0$ .  $\square$

The following compression will be needed in Subsection 4.5. Recall that  $\mathcal{A}^\psi$  is the centralizer of  $(\mathcal{A}, \psi)$ .

**Corollary 3.4.3.**  *$(\mathcal{A}^\psi, \psi|_{\mathcal{A}^\psi}, S|_{\mathcal{A}^\psi}, (\mathcal{A}^\psi \cap \mathcal{A}_I)_{I \in \mathcal{I}})$  is an  $(\mathcal{A}^\psi \cap \mathcal{A}_0)$ -expected continuous Bernoulli shift.*

*Proof.* We will prove that the conditional expectation  $E$  from  $\mathcal{A}$  onto the centralizer  $\mathcal{A}^\psi$  commutes with the shift  $S$  and the conditional expectations  $E_I$ . Since  $\mathcal{A}^\psi$  is the fixed point algebra of the modular automorphism group  $\sigma^\psi$ , we have  $E(x) = \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2T} \int_{-T}^T \sigma_t^\psi(x) dt$  in the stop topology for any  $x \in \mathcal{A}$ . Since the modular automorphism group  $\sigma^\psi$  commutes with morphisms of  $(\mathcal{A}, \psi)$ , we conclude that  $E$  commutes with  $S_t$  and  $E_I$  for any  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $I \in \mathcal{I}$ .  $\square$

In Proposition 3.4.1 is required that the conditional expectation  $E$  commutes with the conditional expectations  $E_I$  of the filtration. Dropping this condition leads to more general compressions.

**Proposition 3.4.4.** *Let  $(\mathcal{A}, \psi, S, (\mathcal{A}_I)_{I \in \mathcal{I}})$  be an  $\mathcal{A}_0$ -expected shift and  $E \in \text{Mor}(\mathcal{A}, \psi)$  a conditional expectation with  $S_t E = ES_t$  and  $EE_0 = E_0 E$  for all  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ . Then  $(\mathcal{B}, \psi|_{E(\mathcal{A})}, S|_{E(\mathcal{A})}, (E(\mathcal{A}) \cap \mathcal{A}_I)_{I \in \mathcal{I}})$  is an  $(E(\mathcal{A}) \cap \mathcal{A}_0)$ -expected continuous Bernoulli shift, where  $\mathcal{B} := \bigvee_{I \in \mathcal{I}} E(\mathcal{A}) \cap \mathcal{A}_I$ .*

Notice that  $\bigvee_{I \in \mathcal{I}} E(\mathcal{A}) \cap \mathcal{A}_I$  can be much smaller than  $E(\mathcal{A})$ .

*Proof.* All arguments in the proof of Proposition 3.4.1 are valid, aside those for the covariant action of the shift, for the minimality and the independence.

We will alter them as follows. From  $S_t(E(\mathcal{A}) \cap \mathcal{A}_I) = ES_t(\mathcal{A}) \cap S_t(\mathcal{A}_I) = E(\mathcal{A}) \cap \mathcal{A}_{I+t}$  it follows the covariant action of the shift. The minimality of the filtration  $(E(\mathcal{A}) \cap \mathcal{A}_I)_{I \in \mathcal{I}}$  is evident. Finally, let  $\tilde{E}_I$  denote the conditional expectation from  $(\mathcal{A}, \psi)$  onto  $E(\mathcal{A}) \cap \mathcal{A}_I$ . Notice that  $\tilde{E}_I = \tilde{E}_I E_I = E_I \tilde{E}_I$ ,  $\tilde{E}_I = \tilde{E}_I E = E \tilde{E}_I$ , since conditional expectations are  $\psi$ -selfadjoint (compare Theorem B.2), and  $\tilde{E}_0 = EE_0 = E_0E$ , since  $E$  and  $E_0$  commute. Consequently, we obtain  $\tilde{E}_I \tilde{E}_J = \tilde{E}_I E_I E_J \tilde{E}_J = \tilde{E}_I E_0 \tilde{E}_J = \tilde{E}_I E E_0 \tilde{E}_J = \tilde{E}_I \tilde{E}_0 \tilde{E}_J = \tilde{E}_0$  for all  $I, J \in \mathcal{I}$ . Since  $\psi$  and  $S$  restrict to  $E(\mathcal{A})$ , the compression defines a continuous Bernoulli shift as spelled out in the proposition.  $\square$

Proposition 3.3.1 states that shifts can be composed by direct sums. In the following we present compressions which, roughly speaking, provide the reverse procedure. For a non-zero orthogonal projection  $e \in \mathcal{A}$  we define  $\psi_e(x) = \psi(exe)/\psi(e)$  for any  $x \in \mathcal{A}$ .

**Proposition 3.4.5.** *Let  $e \in \mathcal{A}_0 \cap \mathcal{A}^\psi$  be a non-zero orthogonal projection. Then  $(e\mathcal{A}e, \psi_e, S|_{e\mathcal{A}e}, (e\mathcal{A}_Ie)_{I \in \mathcal{I}})$  is an  $e\mathcal{A}_0e$ -expected continuous Bernoulli shift.*

*Proof.* Clearly,  $\psi_e$  is a faithful normal state on the von Neumann algebra  $e\mathcal{A}e$ . Moreover, we observe  $\sigma_t^{\psi_e}(e\mathcal{A}_Ie) = e\sigma_t^\psi(\mathcal{A}_I)e = e\mathcal{A}_Ie$ . Thus, there exist uniquely conditional expectations  $Q_I$  from  $(e\mathcal{A}e, \psi_e)$  onto  $e\mathcal{A}_Ie$  for  $I \in \mathcal{I}$  such that  $Q_I(exe) = eE_I(x)e$  for any  $x \in \mathcal{A}$ . Since  $e$  is a fixed point of the shift  $S$ , the restriction of  $S|_{e\mathcal{A}e}$  is well-defined. It leaves the state  $\psi_e$  invariant and has the fixed point algebra  $e\mathcal{A}_0e$ . Since  $S_t(e\mathcal{A}_Ie) = eS_t(\mathcal{A}_I)e = e\mathcal{A}_{I+t}e$  for any  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $I \in \mathcal{I}$ , the shift acts covariantly on  $(e\mathcal{A}_Ie)_{I \in \mathcal{I}}$ . The family  $(e\mathcal{A}_Ie)_{I \in \mathcal{I}}$  defines a filtration on  $e\mathcal{A}e$  since  $e\mathcal{A}_Ie \subseteq e\mathcal{A}_Je$  whenever  $I \subseteq J$ . From the minimality of  $(\mathcal{A}_I)_{I \in \mathcal{I}}$  we conclude that  $\bigcup_I \{e\mathcal{A}_Ie \mid I \in \mathcal{I} \text{ is bounded}\}$  is weakly\* dense in  $e\mathcal{A}e$ . This ensures the minimality of  $(e\mathcal{A}_Ie)_{I \in \mathcal{I}}$ . Finally, the  $(e\mathcal{A}_0e)$ -independence of  $e\mathcal{A}_Ie$  and  $e\mathcal{A}_Je$  for  $I \cap J = \emptyset$  follows from  $Q_I Q_J(exe) = eE_J E_I(x)e = eE_0(x)e = eE_\emptyset(x)e = Q_\emptyset(x)$ .  $\square$

#### 4. CONTINUOUS BERNOULLI SHIFTS II

A continuous Bernoulli shift is a family of von Neumann subalgebras which enjoys essentially two further structures:  $\mathcal{A}_0$ -independence and shift covariance. We did not stipulate further algebraic structure elements in Definition 3.1.2. Here we will approach systematically some of these additional features which a continuous Bernoulli shift may carry. Wide parts of the terminology will be in analogy to [Arv03] and/or [Tsi04], in the attempt to highlight common and different grounds. Let us outline this section's contents.

In Subsection 4.1 we will show that the algebraic properties of *local minimality/maximality* imply the (*downward/upward*) *continuity* of the filtration  $(\mathcal{A}_I)_{I \in \mathcal{I}}$ . The subject of Subsection 4.2 is an *enriched independence structure*, as it appears up to the present in all known examples. We proceed in Subsection 4.3 with the situation of a *commuting past/future*, as it appears in



the setting of continuous tensor product systems. Next we discuss the case of commutative continuous Bernoulli shifts and relate them to Tsirelson-Vershik's noises in Subsection 4.4. For these additional algebraic structures will also be commented briefly whether they are stable under compressions by conditional expectations (see Subsection 3.4). In Subsection 4.5 we will present an example of a compression which obstructs the local minimality of a shift. Finally, examples of continuous Bernoulli shifts are provided in Subsection 4.6: Gaussian and Poisson white noise, CCR and CAR white noises and  $q$ -Gaussian white noises ( $-1 < q < 1$ ).

**4.1. Local minimality and local maximality.** The following two algebraic properties ensure the continuity of a filtration.

**Definition 4.1.1.** *The filtration  $(\mathcal{A}_I)_{I \in \mathcal{I}}$  of an  $\mathcal{A}_0$ -expected continuous Bernoulli shift is*

- (i) locally minimal if  $\mathcal{A}_I \vee \mathcal{A}_J = \mathcal{A}_K$  for any  $I, J, K \in \mathcal{I}$  with  $I \cup J = K$ ;
- (ii) locally maximal if  $\mathcal{A}_{(-\infty, t]} \cap \mathcal{A}_{[s, \infty)} = \mathcal{A}_{[s, t]}$  for any  $s \leq t$ .

**Lemma 4.1.2.** *Let  $(\mathcal{A}, \psi, S, (\mathcal{A}_I)_{I \in \mathcal{I}})$  be an  $\mathcal{A}_0$ -expected continuous Bernoulli shift. If the filtration  $(\mathcal{A}_I)_{I \in \mathcal{I}}$  is locally minimal (maximal), then it is continuous upwards (downwards).*

Consequently, such a filtration is continuous if it is locally minimal and locally maximal.

*Proof.* We first prove that local minimality implies upward continuity. For a closed interval  $K$  with non-empty interior we choose two intervals  $I, J$  and some  $\varepsilon > 0$  with  $I \cup J = K$  and  $I + \varepsilon, J - \varepsilon \subset K$ . The local minimality guarantees  $\mathcal{A}_I \vee \mathcal{A}_J = \mathcal{A}_K$ . If any  $x \in \mathcal{A}_I$  and  $y \in \mathcal{A}_J$  can be approximated by sequences  $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}, (y_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \bigcup \{\mathcal{A}_{K_0} \mid K_0 = \overline{K_0} \subset \text{Int } K\}$ , then  $\mathcal{A}_I \vee \mathcal{A}_J \subseteq \bigvee \{\mathcal{A}_{K_0} \mid K_0 = \overline{K_0} \subset \text{Int } K\} \subseteq \mathcal{A}_K$  implies the upward continuity. But such sequences are given by  $x_n := S_{\varepsilon/n}(x)$  resp.  $y_n := S_{-\varepsilon/n}(y)$ , since they approximate  $x$  resp.  $y$  due to the pointwise weak\* continuity of  $S$ .

For a locally maximal filtration  $(\mathcal{A}_I)_{I \in \mathcal{I}}$  holds

$$\bigcap_{\varepsilon > 0} \mathcal{A}_{[s-\varepsilon, t+\varepsilon]} = \bigcap_{\varepsilon > 0} (\mathcal{A}_{(-\infty, t+\varepsilon]} \cap \mathcal{A}_{[s-\varepsilon, \infty)}) = \left[ \bigcap_{\varepsilon > 0} \mathcal{A}_{(-\infty, t+\varepsilon]} \right] \cap \left[ \bigcap_{\varepsilon > 0} \mathcal{A}_{[s-\varepsilon, \infty)} \right].$$

Now, by Lemma 3.1.5 (ii), the continuity of the past filtration and future filtration establishes the downward continuity.  $\square$

**Corollary 4.1.3.** *A locally minimal filtration  $(\mathcal{A}_I)_{I \in \mathcal{I}}$  of a shift enjoys  $\mathcal{A}_I = \mathcal{A}_{\overline{I}}$  for any  $I \in \mathcal{I}$ .*

*Proof.* Lemma 4.1.2 insures the upward continuity. Since  $\bigvee_{\varepsilon > 0} \mathcal{A}_{[s+\varepsilon, t-\varepsilon]} \subseteq \mathcal{A}_{(s, t)}$ , the upward continuity of a filtration implies immediately  $\mathcal{A}_{(s, t)} = \mathcal{A}_{[s, t]}$  for any  $s < t$ . Similar arguments prove the two remaining cases of unbounded intervals.  $\square$

**Remark 4.1.4.** It is tempting to stipulate local minimality and/or local maximality in Definition 3.1.2 of an  $\mathcal{A}_0$ -expected continuous Bernoulli shift. But local minimality is obstructed by compressions, as it will be shown in Subsection 4.5. In the other case, it is elementary to see that local maximality is stable under the compression with conditional expectations. Local maximality is always present for continuous Bernoulli shifts, which are non-commutative white noises (see Definition 6.5.2). But we do not know whether every continuous Bernoulli shift is locally maximal.

**4.2. Enriched independence.** All examples of  $\mathcal{A}_0$ -expected shifts enjoy a richer independence structure than  $\mathcal{A}_0$ -independence, at least as known presently by the authors. Many of these examples come in particular from ‘functors of white noise’ (see [Küm85, GM02] for their notion).

**Definition 4.2.1.** *An  $\mathcal{A}_0$ -expected continuous Bernoulli shift or its filtration  $(\mathcal{A}_I)_{I \in \mathcal{I}}$  has an enriched independence if  $\mathcal{A}_I$  and  $\mathcal{A}_J$  are  $\mathcal{A}_{I \cap J}$ -independent for any  $I, J \in \mathcal{I}$ .*

**Proposition 4.2.2.** *For an  $\mathcal{A}_0$ -expected shift  $(\mathcal{A}, \psi, S, (\mathcal{A}_I)_{I \in \mathcal{I}})$  are equivalent:*

- (i)  $\mathcal{A}_I$  and  $\mathcal{A}_J$  are  $\mathcal{A}_{I \cap J}$ -independent for any  $I, J \in \mathcal{I}$ ;
- (ii)  $\mathcal{A}_I \cap \mathcal{A}_J = \mathcal{A}_{I \cap J}$  and  $E_I E_J = E_J E_I$  for any  $I, J \in \mathcal{I}$ ;
- (iii)  $(\mathcal{A}_I)_{I \in \mathcal{I}}$  is locally maximal and  $E_{(-\infty, t]} E_{[s, \infty)} = E_{[s, \infty)} E_{(-\infty, t]}$  for any  $s, t \in \mathbb{R}$ .

*A filtration  $(\mathcal{A}_I)_{I \in \mathcal{I}}$  with enriched independence is continuous and enjoys  $\mathcal{A}_I = \mathcal{A}_{\bar{I}}$  for all  $I \in \mathcal{I}$ .*

Notice that the enriched independence of a filtration does not imply its local minimality (see Proposition 4.5.1 for a counterexample).

*Proof.* The equivalence of (i) and (ii) is evident by Proposition 2.1.2 (v). Also it is clear that (ii) implies (iii). We are left to prove the converse. Local maximality guarantees  $\mathcal{A}_{(-\infty, t]} \cap \mathcal{A}_{[s, \infty)} = \mathcal{A}_{[s, t]}$  for  $s \leq t$  and consequently, by the equivalence of (v) and (iv) in Proposition 2.1.2,  $E_{(-\infty, t]} E_{[s, \infty)} = E_{[s, t]}$ . This yields  $E_{(r, t]} E_{[s, u)} = E_{(r, t]} E_{(-\infty, t]} E_{[s, \infty)} E_{[s, u)} = E_{(r, t]} E_{[s, t]} E_{[s, u)} = E_{[s, t]} = E_{[s, u)} E_{[s, t]} E_{(r, t]} = E_{[s, u)} E_{(r, t]}$  for any  $-\infty \leq r < s < t < u \leq \infty$ . Aside of the cases  $I \cap J = \emptyset$  or  $I \subseteq I \cap J$  (which follow easily from the  $\mathcal{A}_0$ -independence resp. the monotony of the filtration), the proof (iii)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii) is completed if the filtration  $(\mathcal{A}_I)_{I \in \mathcal{I}}$  is continuous upwards, entailing  $\mathcal{A}_I = \mathcal{A}_{\bar{I}}$  for any  $I \in \mathcal{I}$ . But  $E_{[s+\varepsilon, t-\varepsilon]} = E_{(-\infty, t-\varepsilon]} E_{[s+\varepsilon, \infty)} \xrightarrow{\varepsilon \searrow 0} E_{(-\infty, t]} E_{[s, \infty)} = E_{[s, t]}$  from the continuity of  $t \mapsto E_{(-\infty, t]}$  resp.  $s \mapsto E_{[s, \infty)}$  in the pointwise stop topology. Thus the filtration is continuous upwards. Finally, the local minimality implies the downward continuity. Consequently,  $(\mathcal{A}_I)_{I \in \mathcal{I}}$  is continuous.  $\square$

**Corollary 4.2.3.** *If a continuous Bernoulli shift has an enriched independence, then also its compression by a conditional expectation  $E$ , as stated in Proposition 3.4.1.*

*Proof.* This follows immediately from Proposition 4.2.2 (ii) and  $EE_I = E_I E$  for any interval  $I \subset \mathbb{R}$ , since  $(E(\mathcal{A}) \cap \mathcal{A}_I) \cap (E(\mathcal{A}) \cap \mathcal{A}_J) = E(\mathcal{A}) \cap \mathcal{A}_{I \cap J}$  and  $E_I E E_J E = E_J E E_I E$ .  $\square$

The stability of shifts with enriched independence under compressions suggests to stipulate this structure in Definition 3.1.2. Nevertheless, it is not needed for the proofs of our main results in this paper. Moreover, for more general compressions (see Proposition 3.4.4) the proof of Corollary 4.2.3 breaks down, since the conditional expectations  $E$  and  $E_I$  may no longer commute.

**Remark 4.2.4.** (i) It is an open problem to give examples of continuous Bernoulli shifts without enriched independence.

(ii) Further structure can be added to the index set  $\mathcal{I}$  on  $\mathbb{R}$  to provide other enriched forms of  $\mathcal{A}_0$ -independence. Here we focus on (possible degenerated and unbounded) intervals as set  $\mathcal{I}$ . Stipulating a Boolean algebra structure for  $\mathcal{I}$  (similar as done for example in [TV98]) leads to even more enriched forms of  $\mathcal{A}_0$ -independence.

**4.3. Commuting past and future.** Shifts with a commuting past/future form an interesting class on their own, as they stem from tensor product independence (see Subsection 2.2). Roughly speaking, such commuting structures are present in Hudson-Parthasarathy’s approach to quantum probability theory [Par92], in Arveson’s approach to continuous product systems of Hilbert spaces [Arv03] and in Tsirelson-Vershik’s approach to continuous product systems of probability spaces [Tsi04].

**Definition 4.3.1.** A  $\mathbb{C}$ -expected shift  $(\mathcal{A}, \psi, S, (\mathcal{A}_I)_{I \in \mathcal{I}})$  (or its filtration) is said to have a commuting past/future if  $\mathcal{A}_{(-\infty, 0]}$  and  $\mathcal{A}_{[0, \infty)}$  commute.

**Lemma 4.3.2.** Suppose that a  $\mathbb{C}$ -expected shift  $(\mathcal{A}, \psi, S, (\mathcal{A}_I)_{I \in \mathcal{I}})$  satisfies one of the following (equivalent) additional conditions:

- (i) the past  $\mathcal{A}_{(-\infty, 0]}$  and the future  $\mathcal{A}_{[0, \infty)}$  commute;
- (ii)  $\mathcal{A}_{(-\infty, t]}$  and  $\mathcal{A}_{[t, \infty)}$  commute for any  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ ;
- (iii)  $\mathcal{A}_I$  and  $\mathcal{A}_J$  commute for all  $I, J \in \mathcal{I}$  with  $\text{Int } I \cap \text{Int } J = \emptyset$ .

If in addition the filtration is locally minimal, then it is locally maximal, continuous and enjoys an enriched independence.

It is well-known that local maximality does not imply local minimality, even when adding commutativity of the von Neumann algebras to the assumptions of this lemma (see for example [Tsi03, Rem. 3.9]).

*Proof.* The equivalence of (i) and (ii) is obvious by stationarity, (iii) clearly implies (ii), the inverse implication follows from the monotony of the filtration and the  $\mathbb{C}$ -independence. For a locally minimal filtration with commuting past and future is  $\mathcal{A}_{(-\infty, t]} = \mathcal{A}_{(-\infty, s]} \vee \mathcal{A}_{[s, t]}$  isomorphic to  $\mathcal{A}_{(-\infty, s]} \otimes \mathcal{A}_{[s, t]} \otimes \mathbb{1}_{\mathcal{A}_{[t, \infty)}}$  with  $s < t$ . Similarly, one decomposes  $\mathcal{A}_{[s, \infty)}$  and concludes  $\mathcal{A}_{(-\infty, t]} \cap \mathcal{A}_{[s, \infty)} \simeq$

$(\mathcal{A}_{(-\infty, s]} \otimes \mathcal{A}_{[s, t]} \otimes \mathbb{1}_{\mathcal{A}_{[t, \infty)}}) \cap (\mathbb{1}_{\mathcal{A}_{(-\infty, s]}} \otimes \mathcal{A}_{[s, t]} \otimes \mathcal{A}_{[t, \infty)}) \simeq \mathcal{A}_{[s, t]}$ . This shows the local maximality of the filtration. The continuity of the filtration follows directly from Lemma 4.1.2. Finally, using ideas from Subsection 2.3, it is easy to see that  $E_{(-\infty, t]}$  and  $E_{[s, \infty)}$  commute. This ensures, by Lemma 4.2.2, the enriched independence structure.  $\square$

**Corollary 4.3.3.** *If the  $\mathbb{C}$ -expected shift  $(\mathcal{A}, \psi, S, (\mathcal{A}_I)_{I \in \mathcal{I}})$  has a commuting past/future, then also its compression by a conditional expectation  $E$ , as stated in Proposition 3.4.1.*

*Proof.* This is an elementary conclusion from Definitions 3.4.2 and 4.3.1.  $\square$

**Remark 4.3.4.**  $\mathbb{C}$ -expected continuous Bernoulli shift with a locally minimal filtration and a commuting past/future lead to examples of continuous tensor product systems of  $W^*$ -algebras (see [Lie03, Sec. 7.3, Def. 7.1]).

**4.4. Commutative von Neumann algebras.** A rich source for  $\mathbb{C}$ -expected continuous Bernoulli systems with a commutative von Neumann algebra is provided by probability theory. Here we focus on the connection to noises, as they appear in the work of Tsirelson and Vershik [TV98, Tsi98, Tsi04].

Let us start with the measure theoretic notion of a continuous Bernoulli shift. We assume throughout that the probability spaces are Lebesgue spaces and that the  $\sigma$ -algebras are completed.

**Definition 4.4.1.** *A continuous Bernoulli shift (on a probability space) consists of a probability space  $(\Omega, \Sigma, \mu)$ , a measure preserving Borel-measurable group  $(s_t)_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$  on  $\Omega$  and a family of sub- $\sigma$ -algebras  $(\Sigma_I)_{I \in \mathcal{I}} \subset \Sigma$ , such that for all  $I, J, K \in \mathcal{I}$*

- (o)  $\Sigma$  is generated by the family  $\{\Sigma_I \mid I \in \mathcal{I} \text{ bounded}\}$ ;
- (i)  $s_t$  maps  $\Sigma_I$  onto  $\Sigma_{I+t}$  for any  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ ;
- (ii)  $\Sigma_I$  and  $\Sigma_J$  are independent whenever  $\text{Int } I \cap \text{Int } J = \emptyset$ ;
- (iii)  $\Sigma_K$  contains the sub- $\sigma$ -algebra generated by the union of  $\Sigma_I$  and  $\Sigma_J$  whenever  $K = I \cup J$ .

$(\Sigma_I)_{I \in \mathcal{I}}$  (or the continuous Bernoulli shift) is called locally minimal if  $\Sigma_K$  is generated by the union of  $\Sigma_I$  and  $\Sigma_J$  whenever  $K = I \cup J$ .

A locally minimal continuous Bernoulli shift on a probability space is also called Tsirelson-Vershik's noise or a homogeneous continuous product (system) of probability spaces (see [Tsi98] or [Tsi04, Definition 2d1]).

It is elementary to turn a measure theoretic shift into an algebraic shift in the sense of Definition 3.1.2. The other direction is less elementary, but also a very familiar fact. Let us state in the following result without proof and in our terminology. Actually, it is an immediate corollary of Mackey's paper [Mac62].

**Definition 4.4.2.** *An  $\mathbb{C}$ -expected continuous Bernoulli shift  $(\mathcal{A}, \psi, S, (\mathcal{A}_I)_{I \in \mathcal{I}})$  is called to be commutative if  $\mathcal{A}$  is commutative.*

**Theorem 4.4.3.** *There is one-to-one correspondence between (isomorphism classes of)*

- (i) *(locally minimal) continuous Bernoulli shifts  $(\Omega, \Sigma, \mu)$ ,  $(s_r)_{r \in \mathbb{R}}$ ,  $(\Sigma_I)_{I \in \mathcal{I}}$ ;*
- (ii) *(locally minimal)  $\mathbb{C}$ -expected commutative continuous Bernoulli shifts  $(\mathcal{A}, \psi, S, (\mathcal{A}_I)_{I \in \mathcal{I}})$ .*

*The correspondence is given by  $\mathcal{A} = L^\infty(\Omega, \Sigma, \mu)$ ,  $\psi = \int \cdot d\mu$ ,  $S_r(f) = f \circ s_r$  for all  $f \in L^\infty(\Omega, \Sigma, \mu)$  and  $\mathcal{A}_I = L^\infty(\Omega, \Sigma_I, \mu|_{\Sigma_I})$  for all  $I \in \mathcal{I}$ .*

The terminology ‘isomorphism’ means in the present context ‘isomorphism between the dynamical systems which preserves the filtration structure’. Since we will use this equivalence only occasionally, we have omitted the (evident) notion of an isomorphism between algebraic and measure theoretic continuous Bernoulli shifts.

**Corollary 4.4.4.** *Tsirelson-Vershik’s noise corresponds to a  $\mathbb{C}$ -expected continuous Bernoulli shift which is commutative, locally minimal, locally maximal and has a continuous filtration. Moreover it enjoys an enriched independence and a commuting past/future.*

*Proof.* The isomorphism of Theorem 4.4.3 respects local minimality, consequently Lemma 4.3.2 applies. □

It is clear that all these properties can be translated back into properties of the underlying probability spaces, for example like upwards and downwards continuity in [Tsi04, 2d2, 2d4]. Let us close this section with a remark on the notation. Since Tsirelson-Vershik’s noises are continuous, one always has  $\mathcal{A}_{(s,t)} = \mathcal{A}_{[s,t]} =: \mathcal{A}_{s,t}$ . The latter notation is used for example in [Tsi04].

**4.5. Local minimality and compressions.** Local minimality of a shift is not aggregated to Definition 3.1.2, since this property is not stable with respect to compressions. This is already a well-known phenomenon in probability theory (see Remark 4.5.2). But the situation is even more dramatic for probability spaces based on properly infinite von Neumann algebras. We will describe a class of shifts, for which a quite natural compression by a conditional expectation destroys the local minimality. The von Neumann algebra of these shifts is of type  $\text{III}_\lambda$  ( $0 < \lambda < 1$ ), equipped with a periodic state, and its compression onto the centralizer yields a von Neumann algebra of type  $\text{II}_1$ . The example also shows that this obstruction cannot be removed or controlled by stipulating algebraic structures as an enriched  $\mathcal{A}_0$ -independence and/or a commuting past/future.

Recall that a state  $\psi$  on  $\mathcal{A}$  is called periodic if there exists  $T > 0$  such that  $\sigma_T^\psi = \text{id}$ . The smallest such  $T$  is called the period of  $\psi$  [Tak73].

**Lemma 4.5.1.** *Let  $(\mathcal{A}, \psi, S, (\mathcal{A}_I)_{I \in \mathcal{I}})$  be a non-trivial  $\mathbb{C}$ -expected continuous Bernoulli shift with a locally minimal filtration and a periodic state  $\psi$ . If the*

filtration carries a commuting past/future, then the compressed filtration  $(\mathcal{A}_I \cap \mathcal{A}^\psi)_{I \in \mathcal{I}}$  is not locally minimal.

*Proof.* Since the shift is  $\mathbb{C}$ -expected,  $S \subset \text{Aut}(\mathcal{A}, \psi)$  acts ergodically on  $\mathcal{A}$ . Thus  $\psi$  is a homogeneous periodic state with period  $T > 0$  [Tak73]. Put  $\kappa := e^{-2\pi/T}$  and let  $\mathcal{B}_n := \{x \in \mathcal{A} \mid \sigma_t^\psi(x) = \kappa^{int}x\}$  for  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Note that  $\mathcal{B}_0 = \mathcal{A}^\psi$ . Furthermore,  $\mathcal{B}_n$  and  $\mathcal{B}_m$  are  $\psi$ -orthogonal whenever  $n \neq m$ . Recall also  $\mathcal{B}_n \mathcal{B}_m \subseteq \mathcal{B}_{n+m}$  for any  $n, m \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Finally, we will need from [Tak73] that  $\varepsilon_n(x) := \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T \kappa^{-int} \sigma_t^\psi(x) dt$  is a projection from  $\mathcal{A}$  onto  $\mathcal{B}_n$  and  $x\Omega = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \varepsilon_n(x)\Omega$  for any  $x \in \mathcal{A}$ .

Since  $\sigma_t^\psi$  commutes with  $S_t$  and  $E_I$ , also  $\varepsilon_n$  does so. Thus, one has  $S_t(\mathcal{B}_n) = \mathcal{B}_n$  and  $E_I(\mathcal{B}_n) \subseteq \mathcal{B}_n$  for any  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $I \subseteq \mathbb{R}$  and  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Now, let  $I := [0, 1]$ ,  $J := [1, 2] = S_1(I)$  and  $K := [0, 2]$ . First, we show that there exists a non-zero  $x \in \mathcal{A}_I \cap \mathcal{B}_n$  for some  $n \neq 0$ . If  $\mathcal{A}_I \cap \mathcal{B}_n = \{0\}$  for all  $n \neq 0$ , then  $\mathcal{A}_I \subset \mathcal{A}^\psi$  and furthermore  $\mathcal{A} = \bigvee_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} S_n \mathcal{A}_I \subseteq \mathcal{A}^\psi$  by local minimality. But this contradicts the periodicity of  $\psi$ . Hence there exists a non-zero  $x \in \mathcal{A}_I \cap \mathcal{B}_n$  for some  $n \neq 0$ . Since  $S_1(x^*) \in \mathcal{A}_J \cap \mathcal{B}_{-n}$  is also non-zero, the  $\mathbb{C}$ -independence of  $x$  and  $S_1(x^*)$  implies that  $xS_1(x^*) \in \mathcal{A}_K \cap \mathcal{B}_0$  is non-zero:  $\psi(S_1(x)x^*xS_1(x^*)) = \psi(xx^*)\psi(x^*x) \neq 0$ . We are left to prove that  $xS_1(x^*) \in \mathcal{A}_K \cap \mathcal{B}_0$  is  $\psi$ -orthogonal to  $(\mathcal{A}_I \cap \mathcal{B}_0) \vee (\mathcal{A}_J \cap \mathcal{B}_0)$ . It holds

$$\psi(S_1(b)axS_1(x^*)) = \psi(ax)\psi(S_1(b)S_1(x)) = 0 \quad (4.5.1)$$

for any  $a, b \in \mathcal{A}_I \cap \mathcal{B}_0$ , since  $a \in \mathcal{B}_0$  and  $x \in \mathcal{B}_n$  are  $\psi$ -orthogonal. Now, the commuting past/future structure ensures that  $c \in (\mathcal{A}_I \cap \mathcal{B}_0) \vee (\mathcal{A}_J \cap \mathcal{B}_0)$  is approximated in the weak\* topology by some sequence  $(c_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$  with terms of the form  $c_i = \sum_l S_1(b_{i,l})a_{i,l}$ , where  $b_{i,l}, a_{i,l} \in \mathcal{A}_I \cap \mathcal{A}^\psi$ . Thus, (4.5.1) extends to  $\psi(cxS_1(x^*)) = 0$  for any  $c \in (\mathcal{A}_I \cap \mathcal{A}^\psi) \vee (\mathcal{A}_J \cap \mathcal{A}^\psi)$ . This shows that  $(\mathcal{A}_I \cap \mathcal{A}^\psi)_I$  is not locally minimal.  $\square$

A concrete example for such a continuous Bernoulli shift is provided by the CCR white noise in Example 4.7.1.

**Remark 4.5.2.** It is well-known in probability theory that multi-dimensional stochastic processes may lead to filtrations without local minimality. Let us next sketch the construction of such an example, starting from Gaussian white noise, as introduced in Example 4.6.1. Consider two independent generalized stochastic processes  $(X_f^{(1)})_{f \in \mathcal{S}}$  and  $(X_g^{(2)})_{g \in \mathcal{S}}$ , realized on  $(\mathcal{S}' \times \mathcal{S}', \Sigma \times \Sigma, \mu \times \mu)$  with characteristic functional  $C(f, g) := e^{-1/2(\|f\|^2 + \|g\|^2)}$ . A slight modification of the construction in Example 4.6.1 shows that the corresponding  $\mathbb{C}$ -expected continuous Bernoulli shift is given by  $(\mathcal{C} \otimes \mathcal{C}, \psi_\mu \otimes \psi_\mu, (S_t \otimes S_t)_{t \in \mathbb{R}}, (\mathcal{C}_I \otimes \mathcal{C}_I)_{I \in \mathcal{I}})$ , the tensor product of two Gaussian white noises. Now let  $\tilde{E}$  be the conditional expectation associated to the sub- $\sigma$ -algebra  $\tilde{\Sigma}$  generated by  $\{X_f^{(1)} \cdot X_f^{(2)} \mid f \in \mathcal{S}\}$ . Then it can be checked that  $(\tilde{E}(\mathcal{C} \otimes \mathcal{C}) \cap (\mathcal{C}_I \otimes \mathcal{C}_I))_{I \in \mathcal{I}}$  is a filtration without local minimality. From this follows that the tensor product

of two Gaussian white noises is compressed by the conditional expectation  $\tilde{E}$  to a  $\mathbb{C}$ -centred continuous Bernoulli shift which fails to be locally minimal.

**4.6. Examples from probability theory.** Tsirelson-Vershik’s noises [Tsi04, Definition 2d1] correspond to  $\mathbb{C}$ -expected continuous Bernoulli shift which are locally minimal and commutative (see Subsection 4.4). These noises divide into two classes: *classical* and *non-classical* (in the sense of [Tsi04, Definition 5c4]), or alternatively phrased: *type I* and *non-type I* (following the analogy with Arveson’s product systems [Arv03]).

‘Type I’ examples in probability theory correspond to Lévy processes described by the Lévy-Khinchin formula. Essentially, these processes are combinations of Brownian motion and Poisson processes. We will address the corresponding  $\mathbb{C}$ -expected continuous Bernoulli shifts as white noises. The attribute ‘white’ emphasizes that the spectral density of Lévy processes is constant (see also Subsection 6.5 for the general case). Notice that our usage of ‘white noise’ differs from [Tsi04] where it is reserved for ‘Gaussian white noise’ (as stated in Example 4.6.1). Thus a ‘classical noise’ therein corresponds to a ‘white noise’ herein.

**Example 4.6.1** (Gaussian white noise). Let  $\mathcal{S} \subset L^2_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathbb{R})$  denote the space of all smooth rapidly decreasing real valued functions and  $\mathcal{S}'$  its dual, the space of tempered distributions. Consider the generalized stochastic process  $(X_f)_{f \in \mathcal{S}}$  with  $X_f(x') := \langle f, x' \rangle$ ,  $x' \in \mathcal{S}'$ , on the probability space  $(\mathcal{S}', \Sigma, \mu)$ , where the measure  $\mu$  is determined by the characteristic functional  $C(f) := e^{-\frac{1}{2}\|f\|^2} = \int_{\mathcal{S}'} e^{iX_f} d\mu$  [Hid80, GV64]. Let  $\Sigma_I$  be the  $\sigma$ -algebra generated by the functions  $e^{iX_f}$  with  $\text{supp } f \subseteq I$ , where  $I \in \mathcal{I}$ . From  $C(f+g) = C(f)C(g)$  for all functions  $f, g \in \mathcal{S}$  with support in the disjoint intervals  $I$  and  $J$  we obtain the independence of the random variables  $X_f$  and  $X_g$  and hence the independence of  $\Sigma_I$  and  $\Sigma_J$ . The characteristic functional is invariant under the right shift  $\sigma_t$  on  $\mathcal{S}$ . Consequently,  $\mu$  is invariant under the dual action  $s_t := \sigma_t^*$  on  $\mathcal{S}'$ . Now properties (o) to (iii) of Definition 4.4.1 can be checked. Hence, by Theorem 4.4.3, we get a locally minimal  $\mathbb{C}$ -expected shift  $(\mathcal{C}, \psi_\mu, S, (\mathcal{C}_I)_{I \in \mathcal{I}})$  which is called *Gaussian white noise*. Notice that Brownian motion  $B_t \in L^2(\mathcal{S}', \Sigma, \mu)$  is approximated by  $(X_{f_n})_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  with  $f_n \rightarrow \chi_{[0,t]}$  in the  $L^2$ -norm and generates the sub- $\sigma$ -algebra  $\Sigma_{[0,t]}$  for any  $t > 0$ .

**Example 4.6.2** (Poisson white noises). Let  $N := (N_t)_{t \geq 0}$  be the Poisson process with intensity  $\lambda > 0$ . Then  $N$  can be realized on a probability space  $(\Omega, \Sigma, \mu)$ , where  $\Omega$  is the set of paths  $\omega: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $\omega(0) = 0$ , which are increasing and right continuous, and with left limits [Pro95]. We extend  $N$  to negative times by  $N_{-t}(\omega) := \omega(-t)$ . Thus  $\omega(t)$  and  $-\omega(-t)$  count the jumps of  $\omega$  in  $[0, t]$  resp.  $(-t, 0]$ . The  $\sigma$ -algebras  $\Sigma$  and  $\Sigma_{[s,t]}$  are generated by the sets  $\Omega_n((s, t]) := \{N_t - N_s = n\}$ ,  $s < t \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$  resp.  $\Omega_n((s', t'])$  with  $s \leq s'$  and  $t' \leq t$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ . The measure  $\mu$  is given by  $\mu(\Omega_n((s, t])) := \lambda^n (t-s)^n e^{-\lambda(t-s)} / n!$ . The  $\sigma$ -algebras  $\Sigma_{[s,t]}$  and  $\Sigma_{[u,v]}$  are independent for disjoint intervals  $[s, t]$

and  $[u, v]$ . Finally, a measure preserving shift  $(s_r)_{r \in \mathbb{R}}$  on  $\Omega$  is defined by  $(s_r(\omega))(t) := \omega(t+r) - \omega(r)$ . Now, by Theorem 4.4.3, one can associate canonically a locally minimal  $\mathbb{C}$ -expected shift  $(\mathcal{P}, \psi_\mu, S, (\mathcal{P}_I)_{I \in \mathcal{I}})$  to the Poisson process. It is called *Poisson white noise*. Notice that the sub- $\sigma$ -algebras of the filtration are generated by increments of the Poisson process.

**Remark 4.6.3.** Tensor products of Poisson white noises and Gaussian white noises, compressed to the von Neumann subalgebra generated by a specified linear combination of the underlying Brownian motion and Poisson processes, give first examples of white noises coming from Lévy processes. Moreover, (countable many) tensor products of Gaussian white noise lead again to Gaussian white noises, now with multiplicities. Furthermore, the amplification with a non-commutative probability space gives operator-expected white noises. We leave the details to the reader.

Tsirelson-Vershik’s noises with a ‘non-classical’ or ‘non-type I’ part have no representation in Fock spaces. The existence of such intrinsically non-linear random fields was revealed by A. Vershik and B. Tsirelson [TV98]. For a detailed survey on recent developments and examples, as well as the close connection to Arveson’s non-type I product systems, we refer the interested reader to [Tsi04]. Prominent examples among these ‘non-classical’ noises are ‘black noises’, as they are named by B. Tsirelson in [Tsi98]. These ‘black noises’ lead to Arveson’s continuous product systems of Hilbert spaces of type  $\text{II}_0$  [Arv03].

**Example 4.6.4** (Black noises). Examples of ‘black’ noises, as stated in [Tsi04], are locally minimal continuous Bernoulli shifts on probability spaces. They promote to  $\mathbb{C}$ -expected locally minimal continuous Bernoulli shifts according to Corollary 4.4.4 and will also be addressed as  $\mathbb{C}$ -expected black noises. They are called ‘black’ because they have only trivial additive shift cocycles (see Definition 6.3.1). Thus, no ‘linear sensors’ (as phrased by Tsirelson) exist to detect their color (see also the discussion of ‘whiteness’ at the end of Subsection 6.5). For further details on black noises and their construction we refer to [Tsi04] and the literature cited therein.

**4.7. Examples from quantum probability theory.** We continue with examples of continuous Bernoulli shifts coming from quantum probability. In analogy to probability theory, we address the ‘type I’ examples as ‘quantum white noises’. Heuristically and justified up to now by all known examples, these examples come from quantum Lévy processes which generate the filtration of the quantum white noise (see Definition 6.5.2). These quantum Lévy processes are provided by additive shift cocycles (see Definition 6.3.1). Already a rich source for the construction of quantum white noises is provided by generalized Brownian motions which are realized on (deformed) Fock spaces [BS91, BS94, BKS97, GM02, BG02]. Moreover, out the work of Anshelevich



on  $q$ -Lévy processes [Ans] appear promising candidates for further examples of quantum white noises.

**Example 4.7.1** (CCR white noises). We continue the discussion of Example 2.2.2. Let  $\mathcal{K} := L^2(\mathbb{R})$  and let  $\mathcal{B}_I$  be the von Neumann algebra generated by functions  $f \in \mathcal{K}$  with support in the interval  $I$ . Second quantization of the right shift on  $L^2(\mathbb{R})$  provides the shift  $S$ . It is elementary to check that  $(\mathcal{B}, \psi_\lambda, S, (\mathcal{B}_I)_{I \in \mathcal{I}})$  is a locally minimal  $\mathbb{C}$ -expected continuous Bernoulli shift, called CCR white noise. It is well-known that the von Neumann algebra of such a shift is a factor of type  $\text{III}_{\lambda/(1+\lambda)}$ . Notice that this shift has a commuting past/future and an enriched independence.

Multi-dimensional CCR white noises are just tensor products of  $\mathbb{C}$ -expected CCR white noises.

**Example 4.7.2** (Squeezed CCR white noises). More generally as done in Examples 2.2.2 and 4.7.1, consider now the quasi-free state  $\psi_{\lambda,c}$  on  $\text{CCR}(L^2(\mathbb{R}), \text{Im}\langle \cdot | \cdot \rangle)$ , given by  $\psi_{\lambda,c}(W(f)) = \exp(-\frac{1}{4}q_{\lambda,c}(f))$  with

$$q_{\lambda,c}(f) := (2\lambda + 1)\|f\|^2 + 2\text{Re}(c\langle f | Jf \rangle),$$

where  $J$  is the complex conjugation in  $L^2(\mathbb{R})$  and  $\lambda > \sqrt{|c|^2 + 1/4} - 1/2$  for some  $c \in \mathbb{C}$ . This state is non-gauge invariant for  $c \neq 0$  and the corresponding Araki-Woods representation leads again to a  $\mathbb{C}$ -expected quantum white noise. This noise has important applications in quantum optics, where it is referred to as ‘squeezed white noise’. We refer the reader to [HHK<sup>+</sup>02] for its construction and further references, moreover to [GZ00] for its applications.

**Example 4.7.3** (CAR white noise). Let  $(\mathcal{B}, \psi_\lambda)$  be the probability space introduced in Example 2.4.1 with  $\mathcal{K} := L^2(\mathbb{R})$ . For any interval  $I \subseteq \mathbb{R}$  let  $\mathcal{B}_I$  be the subalgebra generated by the functions in  $L^2(\mathbb{R})$  with support in  $I$ . Second quantization of the right shift on  $L^2(\mathbb{R})$  provides a shift  $S$ , which fulfills obviously  $S_t \mathcal{B}_I = \mathcal{B}_{I+t}$ . This gives the  $\mathbb{C}$ -expected locally minimal shift  $(\mathcal{B}, \psi_\lambda, S, (\mathcal{B}_I)_{I \in \mathcal{I}})$ , called CAR *white noise*. Note that these shifts have an enriched independence, but they do not have a commuting past and future. Moreover, the von Neumann algebra of these shifts is a type  $\text{III}_{\lambda/(1-\lambda)}$  factor for  $0 < \lambda < 1/2$  and a type  $\text{II}_1$  factor in the case  $\lambda = 1/2$ .

Aside of amplification with a non-commutative probability space, the above construction can also be promoted to a  $\mathcal{B}_0$ -expected shift as follows. Let  $\mathcal{K} := \mathcal{K}_0 \oplus L^2(\mathbb{R})$  ( $\mathcal{K}_0$  separable) and let  $\mathcal{B}_I$  be the von Neumann algebra generated by the closed subspace  $\mathcal{K}_I := \mathcal{K}_0 \oplus L^2(I) \subseteq \mathcal{K}$ . Define the shift  $S$  by second quantization of  $\text{id} \oplus s$ . Here denotes  $s$  the right shift on  $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ . It is again elementary to verify that  $(\mathcal{B}, \psi_\lambda, S, (\mathcal{B}_I)_{I \in \mathcal{I}})$  is a  $\mathcal{B}_0$ -expected shift.

**Remark 4.7.4.** Let two  $\mathbb{C}$ -expected continuous Bernoulli shifts have von Neumann algebras of type  $\text{III}_\kappa$  resp.  $\text{III}_{\tilde{\kappa}}$  ( $0 < \kappa, \tilde{\kappa} < 1$ ). If  $\ln(\kappa)/\ln(\tilde{\kappa})$  is irrational then the tensor product of these two shifts leads to a  $\mathbb{C}$ -expected white noise

with a von Neumann algebra of type III<sub>1</sub> (see also [Tak03b, Theorem 4.16] for approximately finite dimensional von Neumann algebras). Such examples are in particular provided by the CAR white noises. The authors doubt that examples of  $\mathbb{C}$ -expected continuous Bernoulli shifts with a von Neumann algebra of type III<sub>0</sub> exist, but have yet not been successful in clarifying this point.

The following class of examples contains quantum white noise from free probability theory, including a special case of amalgamated free independence.

**Example 4.7.5** (*q-Gaussian white noises*). We continue the discussion of Example 2.4.3. Let  $\mathcal{K}_{\mathbb{R}} := L^2_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathbb{R})$  and let  $\mathcal{B}_I$  be the von Neumann algebra, generated by the  $q$ -Gaussian processes  $\{\Phi(f) \mid f \in \mathcal{K}_I\}$  with  $\mathcal{K}_I := L^2_{\mathbb{R}}(I) \subseteq \mathcal{K}_{\mathbb{R}}$ . The shift  $S$  is again obtained by second quantization of the right shift on  $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ . From this one verifies that  $(\mathcal{B}, \tau, S, (\mathcal{B}_I)_{I \in \mathcal{I}})$  is a locally minimal  $\mathbb{C}$ -expected shift with enriched independence, but without commuting past and future. Such shifts are called *q-Gaussian white noises* and their von Neumann algebras are factors of type II<sub>1</sub> [BKS97].

Operator-expected shifts are produced by amplification. Similar to Example 4.7.3, let us here present an alternative way in the multi-dimensional case. Put  $\mathcal{K}_I := \mathcal{K}_0 \oplus L^2_{\mathbb{R}}(I, \mathcal{K}_1)$ , where  $\mathcal{K}_0, \mathcal{K}_1$  are two real separable Hilbert spaces. The dimension of  $\mathcal{K}_1$  will give the multiplicity of the shift. As usual,  $\mathcal{K}_I$  is identified canonically as a subspace of  $\mathcal{K}_{\mathbb{R}}$ . Let  $\mathcal{C}_I := \bigvee \{\Phi(f) \mid f \in \mathcal{K}_I\}$ . The shift  $S$  arises again through the second quantization of  $\text{id}_{\mathcal{K}_0} \oplus s_t$ , where  $(s_t)_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$  is the right shift on  $L^2(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{K}_1)$ . Now one obtains the  $\mathcal{C}_0$ -expected shift  $(\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{R}}, \psi, S, (\mathcal{C}_I)_{I \in \mathcal{I}})$ . Notice in the case  $q = 0$  that  $\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{R}}$  is isomorphic to the free product of  $\mathcal{B}_0$  with the  $\dim(\mathcal{K}_1)$ -fold free product of  $\mathcal{B}$ , as stated at the beginning of the present example.

**Remark 4.7.6.** Further examples of  $\mathcal{A}_0$ -expected shifts arise from generalized Brownian motions by functors of white noise, as indicated already at the end of Section 2.

**Remark 4.7.7.** We close this section with a digression on ‘non-classical’ (or ‘non-type I’) examples of continuous Bernoulli shifts which come from quantum probability. Presently, the existence of such examples is an open problem, if one insists on the local minimality of the filtration (see Subsection 4.5 for an example lacking local minimality). The analogy between Tsirelson-Vershik’s noises and continuous Bernoulli shifts is evident, even more for the ‘classical or type I’ parts. Thus it is tempting to conjecture the existence of ‘quantum black noises’, in the sense of continuous Bernoulli shifts. Such objects would enjoy a probabilistic interpretation and could provide the notion of an ‘intrinsically non-linear random quantum field’. Moreover, such examples would serve as a quantum probabilistic source for Arveson’s continuous tensor product systems of type II [Arv03].

## 5. CONTINUOUS GNS BERNOULLI SHIFTS

In this section we will develop the GNS representation theory of an  $\mathcal{A}_0$ -expected continuous Bernoulli shift, as far as it will be needed throughout this paper. The goal of this section is Definition 5.4.5, which provides our notion of an  $\mathcal{A}_0$ -expected continuous GNS Bernoulli shift. It will be integral for the remaining Sections 6 to 8. We will start now with a motivation.

Already a Gaussian white noise shows clearly that some of its most interesting processes will not sit in the von Neumann algebra  $L^\infty(\mathcal{S}', \Sigma, \mu)$ : Brownian motion, its generating stochastic process, is contained in the GNS Hilbert space  $L^2(\mathcal{S}', \Sigma, \mu)$ . Thus, starting with the Gaussian white noise

$$(L^\infty(\mathcal{S}', \Sigma, \mu), \psi_\mu, S, (L^\infty(\mathcal{S}', \Sigma_I, \mu|_{\Sigma_I}))_{I \in \mathcal{I}}),$$

we are led to the notion of a  $\mathbb{C}$ -*expected continuous GNS Bernoulli shift*, hereafter formulated in the example of Gaussian white noise:

$$(L^2(\mathcal{S}', \Sigma, \mu), \mathbb{1}, \bar{S}, (L^2(\mathcal{S}', \Sigma_I, \mu|_{\Sigma_I}))_{I \in \mathcal{I}}). \quad (5.0.1)$$

It captures all the necessary structure on the Hilbert space level. Here is  $\mathbb{1} \in L^2(\mathcal{S}', \Sigma, \mu)$  the cyclic and separating vector coming from the GNS representation associated to  $\psi_\mu$ . Moreover denotes  $\bar{S} = (\bar{S}_t)_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$  the strongly continuous unitary group coming from the GNS representation of the shift  $S$ .

Looking at this most familiar example, one realizes that (5.0.1) represents data which can also be captured by a *homogeneous continuous product (system) of pointed Hilbert spaces*, as it is introduced in [Tsi04, Definitions 3c1 and 6d6]. It is *pointed* because it has the *unit*  $\mathbb{1}$ .

In the general setting of an  $\mathcal{A}_0$ -expected continuous Bernoulli shift  $(\mathcal{A}, \psi, S, (\mathcal{A}_I)_{I \in \mathcal{I}})$  we can neither rely on the tensor product (independence), nor on Hilbert spaces. But due to the notion of  $\mathcal{A}_0$ -independence (Definition 2.1.1) and the rigid infrastructure of an  $\mathcal{A}_0$ -expected continuous Bernoulli shift (Definition 3.1.2), all means are at hand to construct a proper analogue. Technically speaking and picking up the analogy, a *continuous GNS Bernoulli shift* will be a ‘homogeneous continuous commuting square system of pointed Hilbert bimodules’. Here we will take a ‘lay-man’s approach’ to construct the family of Hilbert bimodules  $(\mathcal{A}_I \mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{A}_0})_{I \in \mathcal{I}}$  in  $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_\psi)$ , as we will need them to extend the structure of an  $\mathcal{A}_0$ -expected continuous Bernoulli shift  $(\mathcal{A}, \psi, S, (\mathcal{A}_I)_{I \in \mathcal{I}})$ . Let us outline the contents of the present section.

Subsection 5.1 introduces on an elementary level the GNS construction of the Hilbert  $\mathcal{A}$ - $\mathcal{A}_0$ -bimodule  $\mathcal{A} \mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{A}_0}$ , starting from an  $\mathcal{A}_0$ -expected probability space  $(\mathcal{A}, \psi)$ . In Subsection 5.2 we will identify the morphisms of an  $\mathcal{A}_0$ -expected probability space which extend to adjointable bounded linear operators on the Hilbert bimodule  $\mathcal{A} \mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{A}_0}$ . In Subsection 5.3 we will introduce the product of two  $\mathcal{A}_0$ -independent Hilbert bimodule elements. This product will be crucial for the introduction of multiplicative shift cocycles in Section 6, as well as the development of a theory of non-commutative Itô integration in Section

7. Next we will extend in Subsection 5.4 the structure of an  $\mathcal{A}_0$ -expected continuous Bernoulli shift  $(\mathcal{A}, \psi, S, (\mathcal{A}_I)_{I \in \mathcal{I}})$  to its GNS Hilbert  $\mathcal{A}$ - $\mathcal{A}_0$ -bimodule  ${}_{\mathcal{A}}\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{A}_0}$  which leads to the notion of an  $\mathcal{A}_0$ -expected continuous GNS Bernoulli shift  $(\mathcal{E}, \mathbb{1}, S, (\mathcal{E}_I)_{I \in \mathcal{I}})$ , finally stated Definition 5.4.5 .

There are various approaches to Hilbert bimodules. For the convenience of the reader, we provide in Appendix A a short survey on Hilbert  $W^*$ -modules, as far as we will need them. Further references on Hilbert modules can also be found there.

**5.1. Hilbert bimodules of  $\mathcal{A}_0$ -expected probability spaces.** In the following we will present the concrete construction of the Hilbert  $\mathcal{A}$ - $\mathcal{A}_0$  bimodule  ${}_{\mathcal{A}}\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{A}_0}$ , starting from the  $\mathcal{A}_0$ -expected probability space  $(\mathcal{A}, \psi)$ , occasionally also denoted by the triple  $(\mathcal{A}, \psi, \mathcal{A}_0)$ . It will be realized in  $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_\psi)$ , the bounded linear operators on the GNS Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}_\psi$ . We remind that  $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_\psi)$  can be assumed (see Section 1). Notice also that the constructed Hilbert bimodule will be (isomorphic to) the GNS Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}_\psi$  if  $\mathcal{A}_0 \simeq \mathbb{C}$ .

Let  $E_0$  be the conditional expectation from  $(\mathcal{A}, \psi)$  onto  $\mathcal{A}_0$ . Its GNS representation defines an orthogonal projection  $e_0$  such that  $E_0(x)e_0 = e_0xe_0$  for any  $x \in \mathcal{A}$ . Furthermore, let  $\mathcal{H}_0 := e_0\mathcal{H}_\psi$ . The vector space  $\mathcal{A}e_0 \subset \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_\psi)$  is an  $\mathcal{A}$ - $\mathcal{A}_0$ -bimodule with left multiplication

$$\mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{A}e_0 \ni (y, xe_0) \mapsto yxe_0 \in \mathcal{A}e_0 \quad (5.1.1)$$

and right multiplication

$$\mathcal{A}e_0 \times \mathcal{A}_0 \ni (xe_0, a) \mapsto xe_0a = xae_0 \in \mathcal{A}e_0. \quad (5.1.2)$$

We introduce the  $\mathcal{A}_0$ -valued inner product

$$\mathcal{A}e_0 \times \mathcal{A}e_0 \ni (x, y) \mapsto \langle x | y \rangle_0 := x^*y \in \mathcal{A}_0 \quad (5.1.3)$$

which turns  $\mathcal{A}e_0$  into a pre-Hilbert  $\mathcal{A}_0$ -module. From now on,  $\mathcal{A}_0e_0$  and  $\mathcal{A}_0$  will be identified canonically.

**Definition 5.1.1.**  ${}_{\mathcal{A}}\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{A}_0}$  is the closure of  $\mathcal{A}e_0$  in the stop topology of  $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_\psi)$ .

This closure can be identified as  ${}_{\mathcal{A}}\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{A}_0} = \{\mathcal{A}, e_0\}''e_0$ , thus it is elementary to see that the left and right multiplication (5.1.1) resp. (5.1.2), as well as the inner product (5.1.3), extend to  ${}_{\mathcal{A}}\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{A}_0}$ . The  $\mathcal{A}_0$ -valued ‘norm’ and its induced norm on  ${}_{\mathcal{A}}\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{A}_0}$  are denoted by

$$|x|_0 := \langle x | x \rangle_0^{1/2} \quad \text{resp.} \quad \|x\|_0 := \||x|_0\|.$$

In order to see the connection with the definition of a Hilbert  $W^*$ -module in Appendix A, we note, that  $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_\psi)e_0$  is canonically isomorphic to  $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_0, \mathcal{H}_\psi) \subset \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_0 \oplus \mathcal{H}_\psi)$ . Under this isomorphism  ${}_{\mathcal{A}}\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{A}_0}$  becomes a Hilbert  $W^*$ -module in the sense of Definition A.1. Identifying  ${}_{\mathcal{A}}\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{A}_0}$  with its isomorphic image, we arrive at the following Lemma.

**Lemma 5.1.2.**  ${}_{\mathcal{A}}\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{A}_0}$  is an  $\mathcal{A}$ - $\mathcal{A}_0$ -bimodule and a Hilbert  $W^*$ -module (over  $\mathcal{A}_0$ ).

Notice that the left multiplication with an element in  $\mathcal{A}$  defines a bounded linear operator on  ${}_{\mathcal{A}}\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{A}_0}$  which is adjointable.

The strong operator (stop) topology on  ${}_{\mathcal{A}}\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{A}_0}$  is generated by the seminorms

$$d_{\xi}(x) := \|\|x|_0\xi\|, \quad \xi \in \mathcal{H}_0$$

and the  $\sigma$ -stop topology by

$$d_{\varphi}(x) := \varphi(\langle x | x \rangle_0)^{1/2}, \quad \varphi \in \mathcal{A}_{0*}^+.$$

Moreover are the following continuity properties valid:

- (i) The map  ${}_{\mathcal{A}}\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{A}_0} \ni x \mapsto \langle x | y \rangle_0$  is weakly\*-weakly\* continuous for any  $y \in {}_{\mathcal{A}}\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{A}_0}$ ;
- (ii) The map  ${}_{\mathcal{A}}\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{A}_0} \times {}_{\mathcal{A}}\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{A}_0} \ni (x, y) \mapsto \langle x | y \rangle_0$  is jointly continuous on bounded sets in the stop topology on  ${}_{\mathcal{A}}\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{A}_0}$  and in the weak\* topology on  $\mathcal{A}_0$ .

Notice that  $\mathcal{A}$  is a dense subspace of  ${}_{\mathcal{A}}\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{A}_0}$  in the stop topology, since the algebra  $\mathcal{A}$  embeds contractively into  ${}_{\mathcal{A}}\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{A}_0}$  by the strongly continuous mapping  $\mathcal{A} \ni x \mapsto xe_0$ . From the separability of  $\mathcal{A}_*$  follows that  ${}_{\mathcal{A}}\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{A}_0}$  has a separable predual. (see also Theorem A.2). Finally, we note that Kaplansky's density Theorem A.5 ensures that elements in  ${}_{\mathcal{A}}\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{A}_0}$  can be approximated in the stop topology by bounded sequences in  $\mathcal{A}$ .

**5.2. GNS representation of morphisms.** We will characterize the elements of  $\text{Mor}(\mathcal{A}, \psi)$  which extend to adjointable bounded linear operators on  ${}_{\mathcal{A}}\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{A}_0}$ . The following definition is needed for the formulation of Theorem 5.2.2.

**Definition 5.2.1.** A morphism  $T^* \in \text{Mor}(\mathcal{A}, \psi)$  is called the  $\psi$ -adjoint of  $T \in \text{Mor}(\mathcal{A}, \psi)$  if  $\psi(T^*(x)y) = \psi(xT(y))$  for any  $x, y \in \mathcal{A}$ .

Notice that  $T^*$  exists (uniquely) if and only if  $T$  commutes with the modular automorphism group  $\sigma^{\psi}$  (see Theorem B.2).

**Theorem 5.2.2.** Let  $T \in \text{Mor}(\mathcal{A}, \psi)$  commute with the modular automorphism group  $\sigma^{\psi}$  and leave  $\mathcal{A}_0$  pointwise fixed. Then the morphism  $T$  has a unique extension to an adjointable bounded linear operator  $\overline{T}$  on  ${}_{\mathcal{A}}\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{A}_0}$  such that  $\overline{T^*} = \overline{T}^*$ . Moreover,  $T \mapsto \overline{T}$  is pointwise weakly\*-weakly\* continuous and pointwise strongly-strongly continuous.

*Proof of Theorem 5.2.2.* The morphism  $T$  defines by  $\overline{T}x\Omega := T(x)\Omega$ ,  $x \in \mathcal{A}$ , a contraction which extends to the GNS Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}_{\psi}$ . Moreover, we know from Theorem B.2 that its  $\psi$ -adjoint  $T^* \in \text{Mor}(\mathcal{A}, \psi)$  exists uniquely and thus also extends to a contraction  $\overline{T^*}$  such that  $\overline{T^*} = \overline{T}^*$ .

We will need that  $\mathcal{A}_0$  is contained in the fixed point algebra of  $T^*$ : Since  $\mathcal{A}_0$  is contained in the fixed point algebra of  $T$ , we conclude  $T(xa) = T(x)a$

and  $T(ax) = aT(x)$  for any  $x \in \mathcal{A}$  and  $a \in \mathcal{A}_0$  (see also [Küm84, Rob82]). Furthermore is  $\psi(T^*(a)x) = \psi(aT(x)) = \psi(T(ax)) = \psi(ax)$  for any  $x \in \mathcal{A}$ ,  $a \in \mathcal{A}_0$ . Thus  $\mathcal{A}_0$  is also contained in the fixed point algebra of  $T^*$ . We notice for later arguments that  $T^*$  satisfies also the conditions of the theorem.

We conclude next for any  $x, y \in \mathcal{A}$

$$\overline{T}xe_0y\Omega = \overline{T}xE_0(y)\Omega = T(xE_0(y))\Omega = T(x)E_0(y)\Omega = T(x)e_0y\Omega,$$

and consequently  $\overline{T}xe_0 = T(x)e_0$ . But this implies  $\overline{T}\mathcal{A}\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{A}_0} \subseteq \mathcal{A}\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{A}_0}$  and

$$|\overline{T}xe_0|_0^2 = e_0(x^*\overline{T}^*\overline{T}x)e_0 \leq \|\overline{T}\|^2|x|_0^2$$

for any  $x \in \mathcal{A}$ . From inequality (A.2) follows now  $\overline{T} \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{A}\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{A}_0})$ . Furthermore we conclude with Corollary A.3 that  $\overline{T}$  is adjointable. The morphism  $T^*$  satisfies again all conditions of the theorem and therefore extends also to an adjointable operator  $\overline{T}^*$ . Thus we can conclude

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(a\langle x | \overline{T}y \rangle_0) &= \psi(aE_0(x^*T(y))) = \psi(ax^*T(y)) \\ &= \psi(T^*(ax^*)y) = \psi(aE_0(T^*(x^*)y)) = \psi(a\langle \overline{T}^*x | y \rangle_0) \end{aligned}$$

for any  $a \in \mathcal{A}_0$ ,  $x, y \in \mathcal{A}$ . But this implies  $\overline{T}^* = \overline{T}^*$ .

Assume that  $(T_\alpha)_{\alpha \in I} \in \text{Mor}(\mathcal{A}, \psi)$  converges pointwise to  $T \in \text{Mor}(\mathcal{A}, \psi)$  in the weak\* topology. Moreover, assume that each  $T_\alpha$  and  $T$  satisfy the assumptions of the theorem. Then it follows that  $(\overline{T}_\alpha)_{\alpha \in I}$  maps the unit ball of  $\mathcal{A}\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{A}_0}$  into itself.

The map  $T \mapsto T^*$  is pointwise weakly\* continuous, since the weak\* topology on  $\mathcal{A}_1$  is induced by the family of seminorms  $\{|\psi(y \cdot)| \mid y \in \mathcal{A}\}$ . It follows

$$\varphi(\langle y | (\overline{T}_\alpha - \overline{T})x \rangle_0) = \varphi(\langle (T_\alpha^* - T^*)(y) | x \rangle_0) \xrightarrow{\alpha} 0$$

for any  $\varphi \in \mathcal{A}_{0*}^+$ ,  $y \in \mathcal{A}_1$  and  $x \in \mathcal{A}\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{A}_0}$ ,  $\|x\|_0 \leq 1$ . This implies the pointwise weak\*-weak\* continuity of the extension  $\overline{T}$ , since the weak\* topology on the unit ball of  $\mathcal{A}\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{A}_0}$  is induced by the family of seminorms  $\{|\varphi(\langle y | \cdot \rangle_0)| \mid \varphi \in \mathcal{A}_{0*}^+, y \in \mathcal{A}_1\}$ .

Now, let us assume that  $F_\alpha := T_\alpha - T$  converges to 0 in the pointwise stop-topology. Then it follows for any  $x, y \in \mathcal{A}$  that

$$\psi(y(T_\alpha^* \circ T_\alpha - T^* \circ T)(x)) = \psi(F_\alpha(y)T_\alpha(x)) + \psi(T(y)F_\alpha(x)).$$

In other words,  $T_\alpha^* \circ T_\alpha$  converges to  $T^* \circ T$  in the pointwise weak\* topology. Since  $T^*$  is weakly\*-weakly\* continuous, we conclude that  $F_\alpha^* \circ F_\alpha$  converges to 0 in the pointwise weak\* topology on  $\mathcal{A}$ . With  $x \in \mathcal{A}\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{A}_0}$  and  $\varphi \in \mathcal{A}_{0*}^+$ , we conclude further for any  $y \in \mathcal{A}$  that

$$\varphi(\langle y | \overline{F}_\alpha^* \overline{F}_\alpha x \rangle_0) = \varphi(\langle F_\alpha^* \circ F_\alpha(y) | x \rangle_0) \xrightarrow{\alpha} 0.$$

Thus one has  $\lim_\alpha \overline{F}_\alpha^* \overline{F}_\alpha x = 0$  in the weak\* topology. The pointwise strong convergence of  $\overline{T}_\alpha$  to  $\overline{T}$  is an immediate consequence.  $\square$

**Notation 5.2.3.** The argument  $x$  of a morphism  $T \in \text{Mor}(\mathcal{A}, \psi)$  will always be put in parenthesis, in contrast to the argument of  $\bar{T}$ , its extension to an adjointable bounded linear operator on  ${}_{\mathcal{A}}\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{A}_0}$ . This distinguishes morphisms acting on  $\mathcal{A}$  and bounded linear operators on  ${}_{\mathcal{A}}\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{A}_0}$  in most cases sufficiently; thus we will denote them from now on by the same symbol  $T$  to lighten the notation.

Notice finally that a conditional expectation  $E \in \text{Mor}(\mathcal{A}, \psi)$  extends to an orthogonal projection on  ${}_{\mathcal{A}}\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{A}_0}$ . In particular, the extension of the conditional expectation  $E_0$  onto  $\mathcal{A}_0$  satisfies  $E_0x = \langle \mathbb{1} | x \rangle_0 (= e_0xe_0)$ , where  $x \in {}_{\mathcal{A}}\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{A}_0}$ .

**5.3. The product of  $\mathcal{A}_0$ -independent elements.** Let  $\mathcal{B}$  be a subalgebra of  $(\mathcal{A}, \psi, \mathcal{A}_0)$  with  $\mathcal{A}_0 \subseteq \mathcal{B}$ , such that the conditional expectation  $E_{\mathcal{B}} \in \text{Mor}(\mathcal{A}, \psi)$  exists. Since  $E_{\mathcal{B}}$  is a morphism which commutes with the modular automorphism group and which leaves  $\mathcal{A}_0$  pointwise fixed, it extends to an orthogonal projection on  ${}_{\mathcal{A}}\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{A}_0}$ . One verifies easily that  $E_{\mathcal{B}\mathcal{A}}\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{A}_0} = {}_{\mathcal{B}}\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{A}_0}$ , where  ${}_{\mathcal{B}}\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{A}_0}$  corresponds to  $(\mathcal{B}, \psi, \mathcal{A}_0)$ .

**Proposition 5.3.1.** *Let  $\mathcal{B}$  and  $\mathcal{C}$  be two von Neumann subalgebras of the probability space  $(\mathcal{A}, \psi, \mathcal{A}_0)$  such that the conditional expectations  $E_{\mathcal{B}}$  resp.  $E_{\mathcal{C}}$  exist.*

*If  $\mathcal{B}$  and  $\mathcal{C}$  are  $\mathcal{A}_0$ -independent, then*

$${}_{\mathcal{B}}\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{A}_0} \times {}_{\mathcal{C}}\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{A}_0} \ni (x, y) \mapsto xy \in {}_{\mathcal{B}\vee\mathcal{C}}\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{A}_0} \tag{5.3.1}$$

*defines a product which extends the left multiplication*

$$\mathcal{B} \times {}_{\mathcal{A}}\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{A}_0} \ni (x, y) \mapsto xy \in {}_{\mathcal{A}}\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{A}_0}.$$

*This product is jointly  $\|\cdot\|_0$ -continuous. If its first component is  $\|\cdot\|_0$ -bounded, it is also jointly  $\sigma$ -stop -  $\sigma$ -stop-continuous. The product satisfies*

$$\langle x_1y_1 | x_2y_2 \rangle_0 = \langle y_1 | \langle x_1 | x_2 \rangle_0 y_2 \rangle_0, \tag{5.3.2}$$

*for any  $x_1, x_2 \in {}_{\mathcal{B}}\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{A}_0}$  and  $y_1, y_2 \in {}_{\mathcal{C}}\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{A}_0}$ . Moreover, the module property of the conditional expectations  $E_{\mathcal{B}}$  resp.  $E_{\mathcal{C}}$  extends to*

$$E_{\mathcal{B}}xy = xE_0y \quad \text{and} \quad E_{\mathcal{C}}xy = (E_0x)y, \tag{5.3.3}$$

*for any  $x \in {}_{\mathcal{B}}\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{A}_0}$  and  $y \in {}_{\mathcal{C}}\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{A}_0}$ .*

We emphasize that in general the product (5.3.1) is not minimal: The  $\|\cdot\|_0$ -closure of the product  ${}_{\mathcal{B}}\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{A}_0} {}_{\mathcal{C}}\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{A}_0}$ , where  $\mathcal{B}$  and  $\mathcal{C}$  are  $\mathcal{A}_0$ -independent, may be contained properly in  ${}_{\mathcal{B}\vee\mathcal{C}}\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{A}_0}$ . Consider for example  $\mathbb{C}$ -free independence as stated in Example 2.4.2.

*Proof.* The equation (5.3.2) reduces for  $x_i \in \mathcal{B}$  and  $y_i \in \mathcal{C}$  ( $i = 1, 2$ ) simply to the equation in Proposition 2.1.2 (ii). It is still valid for  $y_i \in {}_{\mathcal{C}}\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{A}_0}$ . This is concluded immediately from the approximation of  $y_i$  by bounded sequences in  $\mathcal{C}$ , from the continuity of the left multiplication by elements in  $\mathcal{B}$ , and finally the continuity of the inner product. Next, we approximate  $x \in {}_{\mathcal{B}}\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{A}_0}$  by a

sequence  $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ , with  $x_n \in \mathcal{B}$ , in the strong (operator) topology on  ${}_{\mathcal{B}}\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{A}_0}$  and show that for  $y \in {}_{\mathcal{C}}\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{A}_0}$  the element

$$xy := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n y \quad (5.3.4)$$

is well-defined. We verify the claimed convergence in the strong topology and the independence from the choice of the approximating sequence  $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  as follows: for another approximating sequence  $(\tilde{x}_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \mathcal{B}$  of  $x$  in the strong topology on  ${}_{\mathcal{A}}\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{A}_0}$  and for any  $\xi \in \mathcal{H}_0$  it is:

$$\begin{aligned} \|(x_n - \tilde{x}_m)y|_0 \xi\|^2 &= \langle (x_n - \tilde{x}_m)y\xi | (x_n - \tilde{x}_m)y\xi \rangle \\ &= \langle y\xi | |x_n - \tilde{x}_m|_0^2 y\xi \rangle = d_\varphi(x_n - \tilde{x}_m)^2 \xrightarrow{m, n \rightarrow \infty} 0. \end{aligned}$$

with  $\varphi := \langle y\xi | \cdot y\xi \rangle \in \mathcal{A}_0^+$ . Here we used that stop-convergent sequences are also  $\sigma$ -stop convergent. One observes that  $xy$  is just the usual left multiplication whenever  $x$  is in  $\mathcal{B}$ . Consequently, the equation (5.3.2) follows, since the inner product is continuous.

We are left to prove the continuity of the product. Let  $x = \lim_\alpha x_\alpha$  in the stop topology, where  $x_\alpha \in {}_{\mathcal{B}}\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{A}_0}$  and  $\|x_\alpha\|_0 \leq M$ . Moreover, let  $y = \lim_\beta y_\beta$  in the stop topology, where  $y_\beta \in {}_{\mathcal{C}}\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{A}_0}$ . We observe

$$x_\alpha y_\beta - xy = (x_\alpha - x)(y_\beta - y) + (x_\alpha - x)y + x(y_\beta - y).$$

For the first summand we conclude for any  $\varphi \in \mathcal{A}_0^+$

$$\begin{aligned} d_\varphi((x_\alpha - x)(y_\beta - y))^2 &= \varphi(\langle y_\beta - y | |x_\alpha - x|_0^2 (y_\beta - y) \rangle_0) \\ &\leq \|x_\alpha - x\|_0^2 d_\varphi(y_\beta - y)^2 \leq 4M^2 d_\varphi(y_\beta - y)^2 \xrightarrow{\beta} 0. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we proceed with the third summand. The second summand delivers the expression  $\varphi(\langle y | |x_\alpha - x|_0^2 y \rangle_0)$  which converges to zero, since the map  ${}_{\mathcal{A}}\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{A}_0} \ni z \mapsto \varphi(\langle y | |z|_0^2 y \rangle_0)$  is continuous in the stop topology. The  $\|\cdot\|_0$ -continuity is shown easily. Finally, we conclude (5.3.3) from the defining equation (5.3.4) of the product  $xy$  and the strong continuity of the conditional expectations  $E_{\mathcal{B}}$  resp.  $E_{\mathcal{C}}$ .  $\square$

**Remark 5.3.2.** If  $\mathcal{B}$  and  $\mathcal{C}$  are  $\mathcal{A}_0$ -independent, we obtain for  $x \in {}_{\mathcal{B}}\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{A}_0}$  and  $y \in {}_{\mathcal{C}}\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{A}_0}$

$$\langle x | y \rangle_0 = \langle x | \mathbb{1} \rangle_0 \langle \mathbb{1} | y \rangle_0. \quad (5.3.5)$$

This is evident by choosing  $x_1 = y_2 = \mathbb{1}$  and  $y_1 = x$ ,  $x_2 = y$  in equation (5.3.2). Similarly,

$$E_0 xy = \langle \mathbb{1} | xy \rangle_0 = \langle \mathbb{1} | x \rangle_0 \langle \mathbb{1} | y \rangle_0 = E_0 x E_0 y. \quad (5.3.6)$$

Notice that the module property of  $E_0$  insures  $E_0(xE_0 y) = (E_0 x)(E_0 y)$ . The identities (5.3.5) and (5.3.6) feature the factorization property (2.1.1) in the language of Hilbert modules.



**Remark 5.3.3.** The  $n$ -tuple product  $x_1x_2 \cdots x_n$  of elements  $x_i \in {}_{\mathcal{B}_i}\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{A}_0} \subset {}_{\mathcal{A}}\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{A}_0}$  ( $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ ) is well-defined and associative whenever  $\mathcal{B}_j$  and  $\bigvee_{i=1}^{j-1} \mathcal{B}_i$  are  $\mathcal{A}_0$ -independent for all  $j = 2, \dots, n$ . Moreover, it is  $E_0x_1x_2x_3 \cdots x_n = E_0x_1E_0x_2E_0x_3 \cdots E_0x_n$ .

The following notion of  $\mathcal{A}_0$ -independence will be used for  ${}_{\mathcal{A}}\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{A}_0}$ .

**Definition 5.3.4.**  ${}_{\mathcal{B}}\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{A}_0}$  and  ${}_{\mathcal{C}}\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{A}_0}$  in  ${}_{\mathcal{A}}\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{A}_0}$  are called  $\mathcal{A}_0$ -independent if  $\mathcal{B}$  and  $\mathcal{C}$  are  $\mathcal{A}_0$ -independent. Two elements  $x, y \in {}_{\mathcal{A}}\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{A}_0}$  are  $\mathcal{A}_0$ -independent if they are, respectively, elements of two  $\mathcal{A}_0$ -independent  ${}_{\mathcal{B}}\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{A}_0}$  and  ${}_{\mathcal{C}}\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{A}_0}$ .

All properties of commuting squares, as stated in Proposition 2.1.2, carry over to  ${}_{\mathcal{A}}\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{A}_0}$ .

**Proposition 5.3.5.** Under the assumptions of Proposition 5.3.1, the following conditions are equivalent:

- (i)  ${}_{\mathcal{B}}\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{A}_0}$  and  ${}_{\mathcal{C}}\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{A}_0}$  are  $\mathcal{A}_0$ -independent;
- (ii)  $\langle x_1y_1 \mid x_2y_2 \rangle_0 = \langle y_1 \mid \langle x_1 \mid x_2 \rangle_0 y_2 \rangle_0$  for any  $x_1, x_2 \in {}_{\mathcal{B}}\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{A}_0}$  and  $y_1, y_2 \in {}_{\mathcal{C}}\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{A}_0}$ ;
- (iii)  $E_{\mathcal{B}\mathcal{C}}\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{A}_0} = \mathcal{A}_0$ ;
- (iv)  $E_{\mathcal{B}}E_{\mathcal{C}} = E_0$ .

*Proof.* The stated equivalences follow from Proposition 2.1.2 and (5.3.4), if one approximates elements in  ${}_{\mathcal{A}}\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{A}_0}$  by sequences in the underlying von Neumann algebra  $\mathcal{A}$ .  $\square$

**5.4. Continuous GNS Bernoulli shifts.** We will use the results of the previous subsections to extend the structure of an  $\mathcal{A}_0$ -expected continuous Bernoulli shift  $(\mathcal{A}, \psi, S, (\mathcal{A}_I)_{I \in \mathcal{I}})$ .

**Notation 5.4.1.** We lighten the notation of Hilbert bimodules and let  $\mathcal{E} := {}_{\mathcal{A}}\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{A}_0}$  and  $\mathcal{E}_I := {}_{\mathcal{A}_I}\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{A}_0}$ .

**Proposition 5.4.2.** Let the  $\mathcal{A}_0$ -expected continuous Bernoulli shift  $(\mathcal{A}, \psi, S, (\mathcal{A}_I)_{I \in \mathcal{I}})$  be given. The filtration  $(\mathcal{A}_I)_{I \in \mathcal{I}}$  induces the family of Hilbert bimodules  $(\mathcal{E}_I)_{I \in \mathcal{I}}$  such that

- (o)  $\mathcal{E}$  is the closure of  $\{\mathcal{E}_I \mid I \in \mathcal{I} \text{ bounded}\}$  in the stop topology.
- (i)  $\mathcal{E}_I \subseteq \mathcal{E}_J$  whenever  $I \subseteq J$ ;
- (ii)  $\bigcup \{\mathcal{E}_I \mid I \in \mathcal{I} \text{ bounded}\}$  is strongly dense in  $\mathcal{E}$ .
- (iii)  $\mathcal{E}_I$  and  $\mathcal{E}_J$  are  $\mathcal{A}_0$ -independent whenever  $I \cap J = \emptyset$ ;

*Proof.* This follows from the results in the present section.  $\square$

Notice that due to Proposition 5.3.1, the product  $xy$  of independent elements  $x$  and  $y$  is well-defined in  $\mathcal{E}$ .

The shift  $S_t$  satisfies the assumptions of Theorem 5.2.2. Thus  $S_t$  extends to an identically denoted operator  $S_t$  on  $\mathcal{E}$  for any  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ .

**Proposition 5.4.3.** *Let the  $\mathcal{A}_0$ -expected continuous Bernoulli shift  $(\mathcal{A}, \psi, S, (\mathcal{A}_I)_{I \in \mathcal{I}})$  be given. Then the shift  $S$  on the Hilbert bimodule  $\mathcal{E}$  enjoys the following properties:*

- (i)  $S = (S_t)_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$  is a unitary group on  $\mathcal{E}$ , which is pointwise continuous in the stop topology;
- (ii) the fixed point space of  $S$  in  $\mathcal{E}$  is  $\mathcal{A}_0$ , in particular it is  $S_t E_0 = E_0$  for any  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ ;
- (iii)  $S_t$  maps  $\mathcal{E}_I$  onto  $\mathcal{E}_{I+t}$  for any interval  $I \subseteq \mathbb{R}$  and  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ .

*Proof.* This is clear. □

We will use frequently the following result.

**Corollary 5.4.4.** *Let a  $\mathcal{A}_0$ -continuous Bernoulli shift be given.  $(E_{(-\infty, t]})_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$  and  $(E_{[t, \infty)})_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$  are pointwise continuous in the stop topology on  $\mathcal{E}$ .*

*Proof.* This is concluded from Proposition 5.4.2 (iii) and 5.4.3 (i), similar as in Lemma 3.1.5. □

In this paper we refrain from an axiomatization of these structures in the language of Hilbert bimodules and work with the following definition.

**Definition 5.4.5.** *The quadruple  $(\mathcal{E}, \mathbb{1}, S, (\mathcal{E}_I)_{I \in \mathcal{I}})$  is called an  $\mathcal{A}_0$ -expected continuous GNS Bernoulli shift, whenever it is constructed from an  $\mathcal{A}_0$ -expected continuous Bernoulli shift  $(\mathcal{A}, \psi, S, (\mathcal{A}_I)_{I \in \mathcal{I}})$  as stated above.*

For simplicity, we will refer occasionally to continuous GNS Bernoulli shifts just as shifts.

**Remark 5.4.6.** (i) Since a  $\mathbb{C}$ -expected locally minimal commutative continuous Bernoulli shift corresponds to a Tsirelson-Vershik noise, it is evident that both cover the same class of continuous product systems of pointed Hilbert spaces (see [Tsi04, Definitions 3c1 and 6d6] for a definition).

(ii)  $\mathcal{A}_0$ -expected locally minimal continuous GNS Bernoulli shifts with a commuting past/future are in close contact with product systems of Hilbert modules, as they are considered in [MS02] and [BS00, BBL04].

## 6. COCYCLES OF CONTINUOUS (GNS) BERNOULLI SHIFTS

In this section we will introduce and investigate additive and multiplicative cocycles for  $\mathcal{A}_0$ -expected (non-commutative) continuous Bernoulli shift. These cocycles are a non-commutative version of operator-valued Lévy processes and thus enjoy a probabilistic interpretation. The *unital* (or *unitary*) *shift cocycles* will take over the role of stationary multiplicative  $G$ -flow in Tsirelson's theory [Tsi04], or of units in Arveson's product systems of Hilbert spaces [Arv03]. As to expect from this parallel, an *additive shift cocycle* will play in the present operator algebraic setting the part of a stationary additive  $G$ -flows [Tsi04] resp. of the 'logarithm' of a unit [Arv03], or of an 'addit' in Bhat-Srinivasan's

sum systems [BS04]. Notice that the present approach does not cover general  $G$ -flows of Tsirelson' theory; our (semi-)group  $G$  is restricted to be the additive (resp. multiplicative semi-)group  $\mathcal{A}_0$ .

Our main result is a *bijective correspondence* between *unital shift cocycles* and *additive shift cocycles*, subject to a continuity condition resp. a structure equation (see Theorems 6.4.1 and 6.4.4). This correspondence is based on the construction of *non-commutative logarithms* and *exponentials* which is the subject of Section 8. Moreover, it rests on *non-commutative Itô integration*, which will be developed in Section 7.

The correspondence can also be regarded as a non-commutative stochastic extension of Stone's theorem on unitary groups with bounded generators. Since our main result is formulated in the language of Hilbert bimodules, it can moreover be seen as a correspondence between a concrete Stinespring representation of uniformly continuous Markovian (or  $CP_0$ -)semigroups on a von Neumann algebra and the Christensen-Evans form of its generator. From the stochastic point of view it provides a non-commutative analogue of the correspondence between square integrable Lévy processes with values in the (additive group)  $\mathcal{A}_0$  and 'unital' square integrable multiplicative Lévy processes with values in the (multiplicative semigroup)  $\mathcal{A}_0$ . Obviously, there exists also a parallel to subfactor theory in the (one- or two-sided) 'discrete time' case. We have not developed enough material here to point out this connection explicitly and thus postpone further details in this direction.

Our main result insures already the abstract form of a *bijective correspondence* between *unitary shift cocycles* and *additive shift cocycles*. But now the latter one's are satisfying a stronger structure equation. An explicit rigorous presentation of this correspondence is beyond our limits in this paper and will be presented in sequel publications. But already the abstract form of this correspondence provides enough information to introduce the notion of a *non-commutative white noise* in Definition 6.5.1. We show that the 'non-commutative white noise part' can always be extracted from an  $\mathcal{A}_0$ -expected continuous Bernoulli shift. This is in parallel to results that one can extract the 'classical part' from a Tsirelson-Vershik noise [Tsi04], or that one can extract the 'type I part' of Arveson's tensor product systems [Arv03].

Let us summarize the contents of this section. In Subsection 6.1 we introduce unitary cocycles for an  $\mathcal{A}_0$ -expected continuous Bernoulli shift and show that they lead as usual to  $CP_0$ - (or Markovian) semigroups by compressions. This gives in particular rise to stationary quantum Markov processes as they are considered in [Küm85], but now in continuous time. Subsections 6.2 and 6.3 contain the definitions of unital cocycles resp. additive cocycles for  $\mathcal{A}_0$ -expected continuous GNS Bernoulli shifts and some of their elementary properties from which we will make frequent use. In Subsection 6.4 we present with Theorems 6.4.1 and 6.4.4 the bijective correspondence between additive and unital cocycles. The first theorem states this correspondence in

an abstract manner, the second in a concrete manner which allows to identify the non-commutative logarithms and exponentials. We also include there some immediate implications of this correspondence: If the cocycles are weakly\* differentiable, one recovers Stone's theorem from the correspondence. Moreover allows the correspondence to identify the Christensen-Evans generator of a uniformly continuous  $CP_0$ -semigroup in terms of additive cocycles. We proceed in Subsection 6.5 with an existence result about another correspondence, this time between unitary cocycles and additive cocycles. This result puts us into the position to introduce the notion of an  $\mathcal{A}_0$ -expected non-commutative white noise (Definition 6.5.1) and we will study some of its properties. Finally, we apply our main result in Subsection 6.6 to the examples of Gaussian and Poisson white noise, CCR, CAR and q-white noises.

Throughout we will assume that a fixed  $\mathcal{A}_0$ -expected continuous Bernoulli shift  $(\mathcal{A}, \psi, S, (\mathcal{A}_I)_{I \in \mathcal{I}})$  and its continuous GNS Bernoulli shift  $(\mathcal{E}, \mathbb{1}, S, (\mathcal{E}_I)_{I \in \mathcal{I}})$  are given.

**6.1. Multiplicative cocycles of continuous Bernoulli shifts.** We introduce unitary cocycles. They are a non-commutative version of Lévy processes, taking values in unitary operators.

**Definition 6.1.1.** *Let  $(\mathcal{A}, \psi, S, (\mathcal{A}_I)_{I \in \mathcal{I}})$  be an  $\mathcal{A}_0$ -expected shift. A family of unitaries  $u = (u_t)_{t \geq 0}$  in  $\mathcal{A}$  is called a unitary cocycle if for any  $s, t \geq 0$*

- (i)  $t \mapsto u_t$  is weakly\* continuous;
- (ii)  $u_t \in \mathcal{A}_{[0, t]}$ ;
- (iii)  $u_{s+t} = S_t(u_s)u_t$ .

The unitary cocycle  $u$  is called trivial if  $u \subseteq \mathcal{A}_0$ . The set of all ( $\|\cdot\|_0$ -continuous) unitary cocycles is denoted by  $\mathcal{C}_0(\mathcal{A}, \cdot)$  (resp.  $\mathcal{C}_0^0(\mathcal{A}, \cdot)$ ).

Putting  $u_t = S_t(u_{-t}^*)$  for  $t \leq 0$ , the unitary cocycle  $u$  extends to  $\mathbb{R}$ . For a given  $\mathcal{A}_0$ -expected shift, a unitary cocycle  $u$  defines via  $T_t(x) = u_t^* S_t(x) u_t$ ,  $x \in \mathcal{A}$  a pointwise weakly\* continuous group of automorphism  $T = (T_t)_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$  on  $\mathcal{A}$ . As usual, the compression  $R = E_0 T E_0$  defines a pointwise weakly\* continuous unital semigroup of completely positive contractions, also called a *Markovian or  $CP_0$ -semigroup on  $\mathcal{A}_0$* .

Notice that  $T \subset \text{Aut}(\mathcal{A}, \psi)$  if and only if  $u \subset \mathcal{A}^\psi$ . In such a situation, the quadruple  $(\mathcal{A}, \psi, T; \mathcal{A}_0)$  defines an  $\mathcal{A}_0$ -valued stationary quantum Markov process in the sense of [Küm85]. The Markovian semigroup  $R = (R_t)_{t \geq 0}$  is again obtained by the compression  $R_t = E_0 T_t E_0$  and leaves  $\psi$  invariant.

**6.2. Multiplicative cocycles of continuous GNS Bernoulli shifts.** In the framework of continuous GNS Bernoulli shifts, the role of a unitary cocycle is filled by a *unital* cocycle.

**Definition 6.2.1.** *Let  $(\mathcal{E}, \mathbb{1}, S, (\mathcal{E}_I)_{I \in \mathcal{I}})$  be an  $\mathcal{A}_0$ -expected continuous GNS Bernoulli shift. A unital cocycle is a weakly\* continuous family  $u := (u_t)_{t \geq 0} \subset \mathcal{E}$  such that for any  $s, t \geq 0$*

- (i)  $|u_t|_0 = \mathbb{1}$ ; (unitality)
- (ii)  $u_t \in \mathcal{E}_{[0,t]}$ ; (adaptedness)
- (iii)  $u_{t+s} = (S_t u_s)u_t$ . (cocycle identity)

The unital cocycle  $u$  is called trivial if  $u \subseteq \mathcal{A}_0$ .

The set of all ( $\|\cdot\|_0$ -continuous) unital cocycles is denoted by  $\mathcal{C}_0(\mathcal{E}, \cdot)$  (resp.  $\mathcal{C}_0^0(\mathcal{E}, \cdot)$ ).

Notice that every unitary cocycle gives a unital cocycle. We remark also that the cocycle identity (iii) relies on the fact that the product of the independent elements  $S_t u_s \in \mathcal{E}_{[t,t+s]}$  and  $u_t \in \mathcal{E}_{[0,t]}$  is well-defined by Proposition 5.3.1.

A unital cocycle  $u$  is trivial if and only if  $u$  is a strongly continuous semigroup of isometries in  $\mathcal{A}_0$ . Notice moreover that a  $\|\cdot\|_0$ -continuous unital cocycle is trivial if and only if  $u$  is a uniformly continuous semigroup of unitaries in  $\mathcal{A}_0$ .

If  $\mathcal{A}_0 \simeq \mathbb{C}$ , then a unital cocycle is a family of unit vectors in the GNS Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}_\psi$ .

From the definition of a unital cocycle follows already  $u_0 = \mathbb{1}$ . Indeed, it is  $u_0 \in \mathcal{A}_0$  by adaptedness and  $u_0 = u_0^2$  by the cocycle identity. Moreover,  $u_0^* u_0 = \mathbb{1}$  is satisfied by unitality. From this we conclude  $u_0 - \mathbb{1} = u_0^* u_0 (u_0 - \mathbb{1}) = 0$ . As in the case of unitary cocycles, the  $\mathcal{A}_0$ -independence ensures that the compression of a unital cocycle yields contractive semigroups as follows.

**Proposition 6.2.2.** *Let  $u$  be a unital cocycle.*

- (i) *The compression  $A := (E_0 u_t)_{t \geq 0}$  is a strongly continuous semigroup of contractions in  $\mathcal{A}_0$ . Moreover, the following are equivalent:*
  - (a) *the unital cocycle  $u$  is  $\|\cdot\|_0$ -continuous;*
  - (b) *the semigroup  $A$  is  $\|\cdot\|$ -continuous;*
  - (c) *the generator  $K$  of  $A$  is in  $\mathcal{A}_0$ , i.e.,  $A_t = e^{tK}$  for any  $t \geq 0$ .*
- (ii) *The compression  $R_t(a) := \langle u_t | a u_t \rangle_0$  for  $a \in \mathcal{A}_0$  and  $t \geq 0$  defines a pointwise weakly\* continuous Markovian semigroup  $R$  on  $\mathcal{A}_0$ . If  $u$  is  $\|\cdot\|_0$ -continuous then  $R$  is uniformly continuous.*

Notice that the state  $\psi$  of the continuous Bernoulli shift may not be  $R$ -invariant.

*Proof.* (i): From the cocycle identity follows  $A_{s+t} = E_0 u_{s+t} = E_0 (S_t u_s) u_t$ . Since  $S_t u_s$  and  $u_t$  are  $\mathcal{A}_0$ -independent and  $E_0 S_t = E_0$ , we conclude  $A_{s+t} = A_s A_t$  from equation (5.3.6). The equivalence of (b) and (c) is evident from the theory of semigroups on Banach spaces (see e.g. [Dav80]). The equivalence of (a) and (b) comes essentially from Cauchy-Schwarz's inequality (A.1):

$$\|A_t - \mathbb{1}\|^2 = \|\langle \mathbb{1} | u_t - \mathbb{1} \rangle_0\|^2 \leq \|u_t - \mathbb{1}\|_0^2 = \|\mathbb{1} - A_t^* - A_t + \mathbb{1}\|. \quad (6.2.1)$$

(ii): The semigroup property is a consequence of 6.2.1 (iii) and (5.3.2):

$$R_{s+t}(a) = \langle (S_t u_s) u_t | a (S_t u_s) u_t \rangle_0 = \langle u_t | \langle u_s | a u_s \rangle_0 u_t \rangle_0 = R_t(R_s(a)).$$

Using (A.1) again we obtain the  $\|\cdot\|$ -continuity of  $R$  from  $\|\cdot\|_0$ -continuity of  $u$ :

$$\begin{aligned} \|R_t(a) - a\| &\leq \|\langle u_t - \mathbb{1} | a(u_t - \mathbb{1}) \rangle_0\| + \|\langle u_t - \mathbb{1} | a\mathbb{1} \rangle_0\| + \|\langle a^*\mathbb{1} | u_t - \mathbb{1} \rangle_0\| \\ &\leq \|u_t - \mathbb{1}\|_0 \|\langle u_t - \mathbb{1} | a^*a(u_t - \mathbb{1}) \rangle_0\|^{1/2} + 2\|a\| \|u_t - \mathbb{1}\|_0 \\ &\leq 4\|a\| \|u_t - \mathbb{1}\|_0. \end{aligned} \quad (6.2.2)$$

All other properties of the semigroup are clear by construction.  $\square$

Throughout this paper we will consider only  $\|\cdot\|$ -continuous semigroups on  $\mathcal{A}_0$ .

**6.3. Additive cocycles of continuous GNS Bernoulli shifts.** We turn our attention to additive cocycles which are non-commutative versions of Lévy processes with values in an operator algebra.

**Definition 6.3.1.** *Let  $(\mathcal{E}, \mathbb{1}, S, (\mathcal{E}_I)_{I \in \mathcal{I}})$  be an  $\mathcal{A}_0$ -expected continuous GNS Bernoulli shift. An additive cocycle is a family  $b := (b_t)_{t \geq 0} \subset \mathcal{E}$  such that for any  $s, t \geq 0$*

- (i)  $t \mapsto b_t$  is  $\|\cdot\|_0$ -continuous.
- (ii)  $b_t \in \mathcal{E}_{[0,t]}$ , (adaptedness)
- (iii)  $b_{t+s} = b_t + S_t b_s$ . (cocycle identity)

The additive cocycle  $b$  is centred if in addition  $E_0 b_t = 0$  for all  $t \geq 0$ . The operator  $\langle b_t | b_t \rangle_0$  is called the variance and  $E_0 b_t$  the drift part of  $b$ .

$\mathcal{C}_0(\mathcal{E}, +)$  is the set of all additive cocycles.  $\mathcal{C}_0^0(\mathcal{E}, +)$  is the set of all additive cocycles  $b$  satisfying the structure equation

$$\langle b - E_0 b | b - E_0 b \rangle_0 + E_0 b + (E_0 b)^* = 0.$$

**Notation 6.3.2.** Whenever it is convenient, we will use  $E_0 b := (E_0 b_t)_{t \geq 0}$  and  $\langle b | c \rangle_0 := (\langle b_t | c_t \rangle_0)_{t \geq 0}$  for additive cocycles  $b$  and  $c$ . We have already used this convention in above structure equation.

Instead of  $\|\cdot\|_0$ -continuity in condition (i) one could require the additive cocycle to be weakly\* continuous (or measurable). But standard arguments show that all these conditions are equivalent. Note also that  $\|\cdot\|_0$ -continuity is a redundant requirement for a centred additive cocycle  $b$ . This continuity property follows already from the martingale property

$$E_{(-\infty, t]} b_{t+s} = b_t \quad (s \geq 0). \quad (6.3.1)$$

and the continuity of the past filtration (see Corollary 5.4.4). Thus one needs only some continuity or measurability condition to ensure  $E_0 b_t = t E_0 b_1$  for any  $t \geq 0$  (compare the proof of the next proposition).

**Proposition 6.3.3.** *The variance of a centred additive cocycle  $b$  satisfies*

$$|b_t|_0^2 = t |b_1|_0^2. \quad (6.3.2)$$

More generally, the covariance operator  $\langle b_t | \cdot c_t \rangle_0$  of two centred additive cocycles  $b$  and  $c$  satisfies for any  $a \in \mathcal{A}_0$

$$\langle b_t | ac_t \rangle_0 = t \langle b_1 | ac_1 \rangle_0. \quad (6.3.3)$$

*Proof.* Equation (6.3.2) is obtained from equation (6.3.3) by putting  $b = c$  and  $a = \mathbb{1}$ . For the proof of the latter one we define the linear map  $\Gamma_t : \mathcal{A}_0 \ni a \mapsto \langle b_t | ac_t \rangle_0$ . By the continuity of  $b$  and  $c$  in the stop topology mentioned above,  $\Gamma$  is pointwise weakly\* continuous. From  $\langle b_t | a S_t c_s \rangle_0 = \langle b_t | \mathbb{1} \rangle_0 \langle \mathbb{1} | ac_s \rangle_0 = 0$  we conclude  $\Gamma_{t+s}(a) = \langle b_t | ac_t \rangle_0 + \langle S_t b_s | S_t ac_s \rangle_0 = \Gamma_t(a) + \Gamma_s(a)$ . Thus we obtain for any  $\varphi \in \mathcal{A}_{0*}$  the functional equation  $\varphi(\Gamma_{t+s}(a)) = \varphi(\Gamma_t(a)) + \varphi(\Gamma_s(a))$ . Since  $t \mapsto \varphi(\Gamma_t(a))$  is continuous, this functional equation has the unique solution  $\varphi(\Gamma_t(a)) = t\varphi(\Gamma_1(a))$ . Equation (6.3.3) follows now, since the predual of  $\mathcal{A}_0$  is separating for  $\mathcal{A}_0$ .  $\square$

**Remark 6.3.4.** In the case of  $q$ -Gaussian white noises and the CAR-white noises, it is well-known that their additive cocycles are bounded in the operator norm and thus contained in the von Neumann algebra  $\mathcal{A}$  itself. Nevertheless, we refrained here to define explicitly such additive cocycles for continuous Bernoulli shifts. This additional feature can be exploited in applications, for example, if it is necessary or helpful on the computational side.

**6.4. The correspondence.** Recall that  $\mathcal{C}_0^0(\mathcal{E}, \cdot)$  and  $\mathcal{C}_0^0(\mathcal{E}, +)$  are sets of  $\|\cdot\|_0$ -continuous unital resp. additive cocycles with structure equation, as introduced in Definitions 6.2.1 and 6.3.1. The abstract version of our main result is as follows.

**Theorem 6.4.1.** *Let  $(\mathcal{E}, \mathbb{1}, S, (\mathcal{E}_I)_{I \in \mathcal{I}})$  be an  $\mathcal{A}_0$ -expected continuous GNS Bernoulli shift. Then there exist two bijective mappings*

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Ln} : \mathcal{C}_0^0(\mathcal{E}, \cdot) &\rightarrow \mathcal{C}_0^0(\mathcal{E}, +) \\ \text{Exp} : \mathcal{C}_0^0(\mathcal{E}, +) &\rightarrow \mathcal{C}_0^0(\mathcal{E}, \cdot) \end{aligned}$$

such that

$$\text{Ln} \circ \text{Exp} = \text{id} = \text{Exp} \circ \text{Ln}.$$

Notice that one has  $\mathcal{C}_0^0(\mathcal{E}, \cdot) = \mathcal{C}_0(\mathcal{E}, \cdot)$  for  $\dim \mathcal{A}_0 < \infty$ , since in this case every semigroup  $A$  is uniformly continuous and thus Proposition 6.2.2 (i) applies.

**Notation 6.4.2.** The cocycles  $(\text{Exp}(b)_t)_{t \geq 0}$  and  $(\text{Ln}(u)_t)_{t \geq 0}$  will also be written as  $(\text{Exp}(b_t))_{t \geq 0}$  resp.  $(\text{Ln}(u_t))_{t \geq 0}$ . Since these two mappings will be constructed 'pointwise', this slight abuse of notation will vanish anyway.

Above result can be viewed as an abstract corollary of Theorem 6.4.4 stated below. The latter one is formulated from a (quantum) stochastic perspective and brings much more structure to the surface. This will allow us to establish the mappings Ln and Exp in a constructive manner. The related proof is

based on non-commutative Itô integration, non-commutative exponentials and logarithms. All these tools will be developed in Section 7 and 8, where we will also finish the proof of Theorem 6.4.1.

**Notation 6.4.3.**  $\mathcal{Z}(t)$  denotes an arbitrary net of partitions  $Z$  of the interval  $[0, t]$ , which is partially ordered by inclusion, such that its grid tends to zero.

**Theorem 6.4.4.** *Let  $(\mathcal{E}, \mathbb{1}, S, (\mathcal{E}_I)_{I \in \mathcal{I}})$  be an  $\mathcal{A}_0$ -expected continuous GNS Bernoulli shift. The following are in a bijective correspondence:*

- (i)  $\|\cdot\|_0$ -continuous unital cocycles  $u$  in  $\mathcal{E}$ ;
- (ii) pairs  $(c, K)$ , where  $c \subset \mathcal{E}$  is a centred additive cocycles and  $K \in \mathcal{A}_0$ , satisfying the structure equation

$$|c_t|_0^2 + t(K + K^*) = 0.$$

The unital cocycle  $u$  is obtained from the pair  $(c, K)$  as the solution of the non-commutative Itô differential equation (IDE)

$$u_t = \mathbb{1} + \int_0^t dc_s u_s + \int_0^t dt K u_s. \quad (6.4.1)$$

Conversely, the centred additive cocycle  $c$  is obtained from the unital cocycle  $u$  as the non-commutative logarithm

$$c_t = \|\cdot\|_0\text{-}\lim_{Z \in \mathcal{Z}(t)} \sum_{t_i \in Z} S_{t_i}(u_{t_{i+1}-t_i} - A_{t_{i+1}-t_i}), \quad (6.4.2)$$

and  $K$  is the generator of the semigroup  $A := (E_0 u_t)_{t \geq 0}$ .

Let us give some guidelines for the proof strategy. By doing so we will present, in particular, the concrete form of the mappings Ln and Exp.

*Outlined proof of Theorem 6.4.1 and Theorem 6.4.4.* In Section 7 we will develop a theory of non-commutative Itô integration that is based solely on an  $\mathcal{A}_0$ -expected continuous GNS Bernoulli shift  $(\mathcal{E}, \mathbb{1}, S, (\mathcal{E}_I)_{I \in \mathcal{I}})$  (see Definition 5.4.5) and its additive cocycles  $\mathcal{C}_0(\mathcal{E}, +)$  (see Definition 6.3.1). Then Proposition 7.2.1 ensures that the non-commutative Itô integral  $\int_0^t dc_s u_s$  is well-defined. With Theorem 7.3.1 is established that the IDE (6.4.1) has a unique solution. Now, all terms are introduced and well-defined as they appear in the formulation of Theorem 6.4.4.

In Section 8 we will develop the notion of non-commutative exponentials and logarithms for a given  $\mathcal{A}_0$ -expected continuous Bernoulli shift. We start in Subsection 8.1 to investigate the IDE (6.4.1) for an arbitrary additive cocycle in  $\mathcal{C}_0(\mathcal{E}, +)$ . We ensure with Theorem 8.1.1 that an additive cocycle in  $\mathcal{C}_0^0(\mathcal{E}, +)$  gives a  $\|\cdot\|_0$ -continuous unital cocycle as the solution of the IDE (6.4.1). Now we are in the position to introduce non-commutative exponentials (see Definition 8.1.2). From the uniqueness of the solution we conclude that the mapping



Exp:  $\mathcal{C}_0^0(\mathcal{E}, +) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_0^0(\mathcal{E}, \cdot)$  is well-defined by the family of IDEs

$$\text{Exp}(b_t) = \mathbb{1} + \int_0^t db_s \text{Exp}(b_s) \quad (b \in \mathcal{C}_0^0(\mathcal{E}, +), t \geq 0).$$

Here we make use of the convention  $\int db_s \cdot = \int dc_s \cdot + \int dsK \cdot$ .

We proceed in Subsection 8.2 with the proof that

$$\text{Ln}_0(u_t) := \|\cdot\|_0\text{-}\lim_{Z \in \mathcal{Z}(t)} \sum_{t_i \in Z} S_{t_i} u_{t_{i+1}-t_i} - A_{t_{i+1}-t_i} \quad (t \geq 0)$$

and

$$\text{Ln}(u_t) := \|\cdot\|_0\text{-}\lim_{Z \in \mathcal{Z}(t)} \sum_{t_i \in Z} S_{t_i} (u_{t_{i+1}-t_i} - \mathbb{1}) \quad (t \geq 0)$$

are well-defined in  $\mathcal{E}$  for every unital cocycle  $u$  in  $\mathcal{C}_0^0(\mathcal{E}, \cdot)$ . In particular, we show that  $\text{Ln}(u_t) = \text{Ln}_0(u_t) + Kt$ , where  $K$  is the generator of the semigroup  $A$ . Moreover, we verify in Theorem 8.2.2 that  $\text{Ln}(u_t)$  is an additive cocycle in  $\mathcal{C}_0^0(\mathcal{E}, +)$ . Thus we have obtained the mapping  $\text{Ln}: \mathcal{C}_0^0(\mathcal{E}, \cdot) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_0^0(\mathcal{E}, +)$ , (see Definition 8.2.3). At this state all terms are well-defined, as they appear in the formulation of Theorem 6.4.1.

We are left to prove that the correspondence in Theorem 6.4.4 is bijective, respectively that the mappings Exp and Ln in Theorem 6.4.1 are injective. But this is ensured by Proposition 8.3.1 and Proposition 8.3.3. We complete the proof of Theorem 6.4.1 and Theorem 6.4.4 at the end of Subsection 8.3.  $\square$

If the shift  $(\mathcal{A}, \psi, S, (\mathcal{A}_I)_{I \in \mathcal{I}})$  is trivial, i.e. if  $\mathcal{A}_0 = \mathcal{A}$  and thus  $S = \text{id}_{\mathcal{A}}$ , then the correspondence reduces to Stone's theorem on uniformly continuous unitary groups. The following result emphasizes the stochastic character of the additive and unital cocycles.

We remind that a function  $\mathbb{R} \ni t \mapsto x_t \in \mathcal{E}$  is weakly\* differentiable if  $\frac{d}{dt}\varphi(x_t)$  exists for any  $\varphi$  in the predual of  $\mathcal{E}$  (see Theorem A.2).

**Corollary 6.4.5.** *If the unital cocycle  $u$  or the additive cocycle  $c$ , respectively as stated in (i) or (ii) of Theorem 6.4.4, is weakly\* differentiable, then  $u$  is a semigroup of unitaries in  $\mathcal{A}_0$  with generator  $K = iH$  for some selfadjoint operator  $H \in \mathcal{A}_0$  and  $c = 0$ .*

Consequently,  $\|\cdot\|_0$ -continuous cocycles are weakly\* differentiable if and only if they are trivial.

*Proof.* We will show that a weakly\* differentiable unital cocycle  $u$  lies in  $\mathcal{A}_0$ , the fixed point algebra of the shift  $S$ . Since  $u_t - \mathbb{1} \in \mathcal{E}_{(-\infty, t]} \cap \mathcal{E}_{[0, \infty)}$  for any  $t > 0$  and since the filtration  $(\mathcal{A}_{(-\infty, t]})_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$  is continuous we conclude that the weak\* limit  $u'_0 := \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{t}(u_t - \mathbb{1})$  is in  $\bigcap_{t > 0} \mathcal{E}_{(-\infty, t]} \cap \mathcal{E}_{[0, \infty)} = \mathcal{E}_{(-\infty, 0]} \cap \mathcal{E}_{[0, \infty)} = \mathcal{A}_0$ . Furthermore, the cocycle identity implies  $u'_t = (S_t u'_0)u_t = u'_0 u_t$ , where  $u'_t$  is the weak\* derivative of  $u_t$ . Consequently,  $u_t = e^{Kt} \in \mathcal{A}_0$  with  $K := u'_0$ .

Since  $u_t = E_0 u_t$ , the correspondence of Theorem 6.4.4 implies  $c_t = 0$ , hence  $K + K^* = 0$  and consequently  $K = iH$  for some selfadjoint operator  $H \in \mathcal{A}_0$ .

Conversely, the centred additive cocycle  $c$  is weakly\* differentiable if and only if it is weakly\* differentiable at  $t = 0$ . Indeed, by the cocycle property, it is  $c'_t = S_t c'_0$ . It follows with similar arguments as for the unital cocycle that  $c'_0 \in \mathcal{A}_0$  and thus  $c'_t = c'_0$ . Hence  $c_t = c'_0 t = 0$  for any  $t \geq 0$ , since the cocycle is centred. Now  $K + K^* = 0$  entails again  $K = iH$  for some selfadjoint operator  $H \in \mathcal{A}_0$  and (6.4.1) implies  $u_t = e^{iHt}$ , which is weakly\* differentiable.  $\square$

The correspondence allows to identify the generator of the semigroup  $R$ , introduced in Proposition 6.2.2 (ii).

**Corollary 6.4.6.** *The uniformly continuous semigroup of completely positive contractions  $R$  on  $\mathcal{A}_0$  has the Christensen-Evans generator [CE79]*

$$\mathcal{L}(a) = \Lambda(a) + K^*a + aK, \quad a \in \mathcal{A}_0,$$

where  $\Lambda := \langle c_1 | \cdot c_1 \rangle_0$ .

*Proof.* Since the convergence in (6.4.2) is independent of the chosen net, we consider the sequence of equidistant partitions  $Z_n := \{i\delta_n \mid \delta_n := 2^{-n}t, i = 0, \dots, 2^n\} \in \mathcal{Z}(t)$  of  $[0, t]$ . Together with (6.3.3) we calculate

$$\begin{aligned} \Lambda(a)t &= \langle c_t | ac_t \rangle_0 = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{i,j=0}^{2^n-1} \langle S_{i\delta_n}(u_{\delta_n} - A_{\delta_n}) | a S_{j\delta_n}(u_{\delta_n} - A_{\delta_n}) \rangle_0 \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{i=0}^{2^n-1} \langle u_{\delta_n} - A_{\delta_n} | a(u_{\delta_n} - A_{\delta_n}) \rangle_0 \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\delta_n} (R_{\delta_n}(a) - a + a - A_{\delta_n}^* a A_{\delta_n})t \\ &= (\mathcal{L}(a) - K^*a - aK)t. \end{aligned}$$

Thus,  $\mathcal{L}$  is given by  $\mathcal{L}(a) = \Lambda(a) + K^*a + aK$ .  $\square$

The structure equation of the additive cocycle gives immediately conditions, how additive cocycles should be composed for the construction of unital cocycles.

**Lemma 6.4.7.** *Let  $b, c \in \mathcal{C}_0^0(\mathcal{E}, +)$ . Then it is*

$$b + c - \operatorname{Re}\langle b - E_0 b | c - E_0 c \rangle_0 \in \mathcal{C}_0^0(\mathcal{E}, +).$$

*If the centred parts of  $b$  and  $c$  are, in addition,  $\mathcal{A}_0$ -independent or  $\mathcal{A}_0$ -orthogonal then  $b + c \in \mathcal{C}_0^0(\mathcal{E}, +)$ .*

*Proof.* This is an elementary consequence of Definition 6.3.1.  $\square$

Notice that  $\mathcal{A}_0$ -independence of two centred additive cocycles implies their  $\mathcal{A}_0$ -orthogonality.

Lemma 6.4.7 allows to generalize Corollary 6.4.6 to a countable family of mutually  $\mathcal{A}_0$ -orthogonal additive centred cocycles  $(c^i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$  with drifts  $(K^i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ , satisfying the structure equation. If the sequences, defined by  $\sum_{i=1}^n c_t^i + K^i t$ , are stop-convergent for  $n \rightarrow \infty$  for any  $t > 0$ , then their limits define an additive cocycle in  $\mathcal{C}_0^0(\mathcal{E}, +)$ . In this case, the Christensen-Evans generator of the semigroup  $R$ , associated to  $\sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} c_1^i + K^i$ , is given by

$$\mathcal{L}(a) = \left\langle \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} c_1^i \mid a \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} c_1^i \right\rangle_0 + \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} K^{i*} a + a K^i .$$

The more familiar form  $\sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \langle c_1^i \mid a c_1^i \rangle_0 + K^{i*} a + a K^i$  of the generator is obtained if the considered family of additive cocycles is mutually  $\mathcal{A}_0$ -independent. Notice that the sum over infinitely many terms is meant as limit in the weak\* topology on  $\mathcal{A}_0$ .

**Remark 6.4.8.** (i) The correspondence in Theorem 6.4.4 provides a concrete Stinespring decomposition of uniformly continuous  $CP_0$ -semigroups on a von Neumann algebra. Further details are postponed to sequel publications.

(ii) There are many other independent approaches to the dilation of uniformly continuous  $CP_0$ -semigroups on von Neumann algebras. For further information on this huge subject we refer the reader to [Arv03, BS00, BLS04, GLSW01, GLSW03, GS99, LW00, MS02] and the references therein. In this context we want further mention the approach of [Sau86, CS03a, CS03b].

**6.5. Non-commutative white noises.** Our main result, stated in Theorem 6.4.4, establishes a bijective correspondence between unital and additive shift cocycles. Actually, it contains already an (abstract) result for unitary shift cocycles, as introduced in Definition 6.1.1. Recall from Subsection 6.4 that  $\text{Ln}$  maps a  $\|\cdot\|_0$ -continuous cocycle to an additive cocycle.

**Theorem 6.5.1.** *Let  $(\mathcal{A}, \psi, S, (\mathcal{A}_I)_{I \in \mathcal{I}})$  be a  $\mathcal{A}_0$ -expected continuous Bernoulli shift. Then there exists a bijective correspondence between the set of  $\|\cdot\|_0$ -continuous unitary cocycles  $\mathcal{C}_0^0(\mathcal{A}, \cdot)$  and the set of additive cocycles  $\text{Ln}(\mathcal{C}_0^0(\mathcal{A}, \cdot)) \subset \mathcal{C}_0^0(\mathcal{E}, +)$ .*

*Proof.* Every unitary cocycle defines a unital cocycle. According to Theorem 6.4.4, the latter one corresponds uniquely to an additive cocycle. This ensures the existence of the claimed bijection.  $\square$

What is the structure of the set  $\text{Ln}(\mathcal{C}_0^0(\mathcal{A}, \cdot))$ ? The development of the necessary material for a satisfying answer goes beyond the limits of the present paper. Due to the importance of this question, let us at least outline an answer for  $\mathbb{C}$ -expected shifts with a tracial state  $\psi$  (see also the survey [Kös03]). Notice that it holds  $E_0 = \psi(\cdot)\mathbb{1}$  in this case.

Up to now we have not further specified the GNS Hilbert space of a continuous Bernoulli shift. It turns out that the non-commutative  $L^2$ -space provides all the further infrastructure which is sufficient to reveal the structure of

$\text{Ln}(\mathcal{C}_0^0(\mathcal{A}, \cdot))$ . We have available the whole scale of non-commutative  $L^p$ -spaces and in particular the adjoint  $b_t^*$  of an additive cocycle  $b_t$ . More importantly, the sesquilinear quadratic variation

$$[[b, b]]_t := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} S_{jt/n} |b_{t/n}|^2,$$

is well-defined as an  $L^1$ -norm limit [Kös04b]. Now it turns out that a  $\|\cdot\|_0$ -continuous unital cocycle is unitary if and only if the corresponding additive cocycle  $b$  satisfies

$$[[b, b]]_t + b_t^* + b_t = 0.$$

Notice that the compression of this structure equation by the conditional expectation  $E_0$  gives back the structure equation as it appears for the correspondence between unital and additive cocycles. This conceptual approach works also in the more general setting of an  $\mathcal{A}_0$ -expected continuous Bernoulli shift, at least in the case of a tracial state. Publications on this issue are in preparation, including the non-tracial case.

We return to the discussion of the structure of continuous Bernoulli shifts and present an operator algebraic notion of ‘white noise’.

**Definition 6.5.2.** *An  $\mathcal{A}_0$ -expected continuous Bernoulli shift  $(\mathcal{A}, \psi, S, (\mathcal{A}_I)_{I \in \mathcal{I}})$  is called an  $\mathcal{A}_0$ -expected (non-commutative) white noise if for any  $t > 0$*

$$\mathcal{A}_{[0,t]} = \bigvee \{u_s \mid u \in \mathcal{C}_0(\mathcal{A}, \cdot), 0 \leq s \leq t\}. \quad (6.5.1)$$

*The  $\mathcal{A}_0$ -expected white noise is said to be generated by  $\mathcal{C}_0(\mathcal{A}, \cdot)$ .*

Notice that  $\mathcal{A}_0 \subseteq \bigvee \{u_s \mid u \in \mathcal{C}_0(\mathcal{A}, \cdot), 0 \leq s \leq t\}$  for any  $t > 0$ , because each unitary in  $\mathcal{A}_0$  defines canonically a trivial unitary cocycle and a von Neumann algebra is generated by its unitaries. A plausible explanation of ‘whiteness’ is provided for the reader’s convenience at the end of this subsection.

It is easy to see from the cocycle equation that an  $\mathcal{A}_0$ -expected white noise is always locally minimal. This implies upward continuity and in particular  $\mathcal{A}_I = \mathcal{A}_{\bar{I}}$  for its filtration (see Subsection 4.1).

**Proposition 6.5.3.** *An  $\mathcal{A}_0$ -expected white noise with an enriched independence is locally minimal, locally maximal and has a continuous filtration.*

*Proof.* Enriched independence implies the local maximality of the filtration and thus downward continuity (see Subsection 4.2).  $\square$

We expect that the enriched independence condition can be removed, due to the continuity of the unitary cocycles, but we didn’t yet look closer at this problem. It is evident that all continuity properties of the filtration pass to the corresponding continuous GNS Bernoulli shift  $(\mathcal{E}, \mathbb{1}, S, (\mathcal{E}_I)_{I \in \mathcal{I}})$ .

At this point it is worthwhile to remind our convention. We use the attribute ‘non-commutative’ always in the sense of ‘not necessarily commutative’. If an  $\mathcal{A}_0$ -expected non-commutative white noise does not come from (operator-expected) probability theory, we will call it a *quantum white noise*.

**Remark 6.5.4.** (i) If the von Neumann algebra  $\mathcal{A}$  of a  $\mathbb{C}$ -expected white noise is commutative then its measure theoretic version is identified as a ‘classical noise’ in [Tsi04]. Note also that unitary cocycles play a generating role similar as it do units of Arveson’s product systems. Thus white noises may also be called continuous Bernoulli shifts of ‘type I’. The ‘classical or type I’ part is well-understood for continuous product systems of probability spaces or Hilbert spaces. Stressing the analogy, we hope to gain a better understanding of ‘continuous commuting square systems of operator algebras’, starting in the (time-)homogeneous ‘type I’ setting.

(ii) There exist other notions of ‘quantum white noise’ in the literature. Essentially, these approaches have in common that they start with generalized or quantum Brownian motions (or Lévy processes). These processes are given explicitly in (deformed) Fock spaces and generate their ‘quantum white noises’. Out of our results arises the question whether every  $\mathbb{C}$ -expected non-commutative white noise induces a deformed Fock space such that it can be generated from quantum Lévy processes on this Fock space. Notice also in this context that such a Fock space structure is anticipated by multiple non-commutative Itô integrals which can be formulated easily, starting from the results in Section 7.

The following result states that one can always extract the ‘classical’ or ‘type I part’ of a shift.

**Proposition 6.5.5.** *Let  $(\mathcal{A}, \psi, S, (\mathcal{A}_I)_{I \in \mathcal{I}})$  be an  $\mathcal{A}_0$ -expected continuous Bernoulli shift  $(\mathcal{A}, \psi, S, (\mathcal{A}_I)_{I \in \mathcal{I}})$ . Then there exists a conditional expectation  $E$  such that the compression of  $(\mathcal{A}, \psi, S, (\mathcal{A}_I)_{I \in \mathcal{I}})$  by  $E$  is an  $\mathcal{A}_0$ -expected non-commutative white noise generated by  $\mathcal{C}_0(\mathcal{A}, \cdot)$ .*

Notice that a single unitary cocycle  $u \in \mathcal{C}_0(\mathcal{A}, \cdot)$  (together with all trivial unitary cocycles) may not generate a non-commutative white noise. But this is guaranteed if  $u \in \mathcal{C}_0(\mathcal{A}, \cdot) \cap \mathcal{A}^\psi$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\mathcal{B}_{[r, r+t]} := \vee \{S_r(u_s) \mid u \in \mathcal{C}_0(\mathcal{A}, \cdot), r \in \mathbb{R}, 0 \leq s \leq t\}$  and define similarly  $\mathcal{B}_I$  for more general intervals  $I \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ . We conclude from the  $\sigma^\psi$ -invariance of  $\mathcal{B}_I$  that the conditional expectation  $E_{[r, r+t]}$  from  $(\mathcal{A}, \psi)$  onto  $\mathcal{B}_{[r, r+t]}$  exists. We note that  $\mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{R}}$  is  $S$ -invariant and  $S_t(\mathcal{B}_I) = \mathcal{B}_{I+t}$ . The  $\mathcal{A}_0$ -independence of  $\mathcal{B}_I$  and  $\mathcal{B}_J$  for disjoint  $I$  and  $J$  follows immediately from the inclusions  $\mathcal{A}_0 \subseteq \mathcal{B}_K \subseteq \mathcal{A}_K$  for any  $K \in \mathcal{I}$ . Thus  $(\mathcal{B}, \psi|_{\mathcal{B}}, S|_{\mathcal{B}}, (\mathcal{B}_I)_{I \in \mathcal{I}})$  is an  $\mathcal{A}_0$ -expected continuous Bernoulli shift and, by construction, an  $\mathcal{A}_0$ -expected non-commutative white noise.  $\square$

**Proposition 6.5.6.** *Any  $\mathcal{A}_0$ -expected non-commutative white noise  $(\mathcal{A}, \psi, S, (\mathcal{A}_I)_{I \in \mathcal{I}})$  is generated already by a finite or countable set of unitary cocycles.*

*Proof.* Since  $\mathcal{A}$  has a separable predual and is represented with respect to the faithful normal state  $\psi$ , there exists a stop-dense sequence  $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  [Ped79, Proposition 3.8.4]. Kaplansky's density theorem (and the separability of the predual) ensures that each  $x_n$  can be approximated by sequence  $(x_{n,k})_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  in the algebraic hull of the set  $\{S_t u_s \mid u \in \mathcal{C}_0(\mathcal{A}, \cdot), t \in \mathbb{R}, s \geq 0\}$ . Clearly there are at most countable many cocycles involved to generate all elements  $(x_{n,k})_{n,k \in \mathbb{N}}$ .  $\square$

An immediate consequence of Theorem 6.5.1 is the following result.

**Corollary 6.5.7.** *If the set of centred additive cocycles is  $\{0\}$ , then the  $\mathcal{A}_0$ -expected continuous Bernoulli shift  $(\mathcal{A}, \psi, S, (\mathcal{A}_I)_{I \in \mathcal{I}})$  restricts to a trivial non-commutative white noise.*

*Proof.* It follows from Theorem 6.5.1 that  $\mathcal{C}_0(\mathcal{A}, \cdot)$  is a subset of  $\mathcal{A}_0$ . But this implies the triviality of the compressed shift stated in Proposition 6.5.5.  $\square$

From the cocycle identity follows that a continuous Bernoulli shift without local minimality already fails to be a white noise. An example of a continuous Bernoulli shifts without locally minimal filtration is presented in Subsection 4.5. We emphasize that a locally minimal *commutative*  $\mathbb{C}$ -expected continuous Bernoulli shift may not be a white noise. The surprising existence of such examples emerges from work of Tsirelson and Vershik on the construction of intrinsically non-linear random fields [TV98]. We summarize their result, phrased in our terminology, as follows (see also Example 4.6.4).

**Theorem 6.5.8** (Tsirelson-Vershik). *There exist non-trivial locally minimal commutative  $\mathbb{C}$ -expected continuous Bernoulli shift with  $\{0\}$  as the set of centred additive cocycles.*

We close this subsection with a (widely known) explanation of ‘whiteness’ which also captures the non-commutative case. By Theorem 6.5.1, a  $\|\cdot\|_0$ -continuous unitary cocycle  $u$  determines uniquely a centred additive cocycle  $b$ . Let  $\mathcal{K}$  be the Hilbert space given by the closed  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear span of  $\{S_t b_s \mid t \in \mathbb{R}, s \geq 0\}$ . The shift  $S$  defines on  $\mathcal{K}$  a strongly continuous unitary group, denoted by the same symbol. Thus we obtain a Hilbert space cocycle system  $(\mathcal{K}, S, b)$  which captures the linear theory of a white noise (up to multiplicity). Its theory is equivalent to that of the triple  $(L^2(\mathbb{R}), (\sigma_t)_{t \in \mathbb{R}}, (\chi_{[0,t]})_{t \geq 0})$  (see e.g. [Gui71, Gui72]). Here denotes  $\sigma_t$  the right shift on  $L^2(\mathbb{R})$  and the family  $(\chi_{[0,t]})_{t \geq 0}$  satisfies the cocycle equation  $\chi_{[0,t+s]} = \chi_{[0,t]} + \sigma_t \chi_{[0,s]}$ . In the discussion of ‘whiteness’, it is instructive to think of ‘white noise’ as the family of formal derivatives ‘ $db_t/dt$ ’, thus as the family of Dirac distributions  $\delta_t$  centred at  $t$  in the equivalent picture. The latter one is better expressed in

the spectral representation of the shift. By Fourier transformation one obtains the triple  $(L^2(\mathbb{R}), (e^{it\lambda})_{t \in \mathbb{R}}, ((i\lambda)^{-1}(e^{it\lambda} - 1))_{t \geq 0})$ . The derivatives ‘ $db_t/dt$ ’ are now given by the family of functions  $(e^{it\lambda})_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$  (not contained in  $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ ). The attribute ‘white’ features that these functions (‘frequency modes’) evolve independently in time  $t$  and that they are equally weighted by the Lebesgue measure. It is worthwhile to remind that  $\mathbb{C}$ -expected white noises, each of them generated by a single unitary cocycle, have *all* the same linear theory as sketched above. It is determined by the second order correlation functions of the additive cocycle. The differences between  $\mathbb{C}$ -expected non-commutative white noises appear, aside of multiplicities, by looking at the correlation functions of higher orders.

**6.6. Examples for the correspondence.** We illustrate the explicit form of additive cocycles which satisfy the structure equation of Theorem 6.4.4 and thus lead to unital cocycles. Let us remark that all examples presented below are  $\mathcal{A}_0$ -expected non-commutative white noises in the sense of Definition 6.5.2) (we will omit here most arguments about this fact).

**Example 6.6.1** (Gaussian white noise). We continue the discussion of Example 4.6.1. The  $\mathbb{C}$ -expected GNS Bernoulli shift is given explicitly by  $(L^2(\mathcal{S}', \Sigma, \mu), \mathbb{1}, S, L^2(\mathcal{S}', \Sigma_I, \mu_I))$ . Here denotes  $\mu_I$  the restriction of  $\mu$  to  $\Sigma_I$ . The Brownian motion  $B_t \in L^2(\mathcal{S}', \Sigma, \mu)$  is the limit of  $(X_{f_n})_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  with  $f_n \rightarrow \chi_{[0,t]}$  in the  $L^2$ -norm. All additives cocycle  $b_t$  are of the form  $\lambda B_t + Kt$ , where  $\lambda, K \in \mathbb{C}$ . It is elementary to check that  $b_t$  satisfies the structure equation as stated in Theorem 6.4.4 (ii) if and only if  $\text{Re } K = -|\lambda|^2/2$  and  $\text{Im } K = h$  for some  $h \in \mathbb{R}$ . Thus we obtain  $b_t = \lambda B_t - (|\lambda|^2/2 - ih)t$ . By straightforward calculations the corresponding unital cocycle is given by

$$\text{Exp } b_t = \exp(\lambda B_t - (\lambda \text{Re}(\lambda) - ih)t).$$

**Example 6.6.2** (Poisson white noise). Consider the Poisson process  $N$  with intensity  $\lambda > 0$  of Example 4.6.2. Then  $c_t := \varepsilon(N_t - \lambda t)$  defines a centred additive cocycle. From  $\psi_\mu(|c_t|^2) = |\varepsilon|^2 \lambda t$  we conclude that the additive cocycle  $b_t := c_t + Kt$  satisfies the structure equation if and only if  $K = -|\varepsilon|^2 \lambda/2 + ih$  for some  $h \in \mathbb{R}$ . The explicit form of the corresponding unital cocycle is found after some calculations to be of the form

$$\text{Exp } b_t = (1 + \varepsilon)^{N_t} \exp(-(\frac{1}{2}|\varepsilon|^2 \lambda + \varepsilon \lambda - ih)t).$$

**Example 6.6.3** (CCR white noises). We continue Examples 2.2.2 and 4.7.1. To find the form of additive cocycles, we pass to a concrete GNS representation of the  $C^*$ -algebra  $\text{CCR}(L^2(\mathbb{R}), \text{Im}\langle \cdot | \cdot \rangle)$  with the state  $\psi_\lambda(W(f)) = \exp(-(2\lambda + 1)/4 \|f\|^2)$  ( $\lambda > 0$ ). This representation of Araki-Woods type is given on the tensor product of two symmetric Fock spaces  $\mathcal{F}_+(L^2(\mathbb{R})) \otimes \mathcal{F}_+(L^2(\mathbb{R}))$  with cyclic separating vector  $\Omega \otimes \Omega$  such that

$$\psi_\lambda(W(f)) = \langle \Omega \otimes \Omega | W_{\mathcal{F}}(\sqrt{\lambda + 1}f)\Omega \otimes W_{\mathcal{F}}(\sqrt{\lambda}Jf)\Omega \rangle$$

Here denotes  $W_{\mathcal{F}}(f)$  the Weyl operator on the symmetric Fock space and  $J$  the complex conjugation on  $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ . One finds from the represented Weyl operators, via Stone's Theorem, the annihilation operator

$$a_{\lambda}(f) := \sqrt{\lambda+1} a(f) \otimes \mathbb{1} + \sqrt{\lambda} \mathbb{1} \otimes a^*(Jf)$$

and the annihilation operator  $a_{\lambda}(f)^*$  as its adjoint, in terms of the usual annihilation operator  $a(f)$  on  $\mathcal{F}_+(L^2(\mathbb{R}))$ . This gives immediately the general form of a centred additive cocycle as a linear combination of  $a_{\lambda}(\chi_{[0,t]})$  and  $a_{\lambda}^*(\chi_{[0,t]})$ :

$$c_t = a_1 \sqrt{\lambda} \Omega \otimes \chi_{[0,t]} + a_2 \sqrt{\lambda+1} \chi_{[0,t]} \otimes \Omega \quad (a_1, a_2 \in \mathbb{C}).$$

One verifies easily from this form that an additive cocycle  $b_t = c_t + Kt$  satisfies the structure equation whenever  $K = -1/2(\lambda|a_1|^2 + (\lambda+1)|a_2|^2) + ih$  for some  $h \in \mathbb{R}$ . Obviously, the fixed constants  $\sqrt{\lambda}$  and  $\sqrt{\lambda+1}$  are superfluous. Their effect can be compensated by rescaling the coefficients, as long as one calculates only time-ordered higher moments factorizing into second moments.

The examples 6.6.1 to 6.6.3 generalize straightforward to the case of  $\mathcal{A}_0$ -expected white noises with infinite multiplicity

$$(\mathcal{A}_0 \otimes (\bigotimes_{n=1}^{\mathbb{N}} \mathcal{C}), \psi \otimes (\bigotimes_{n=1}^{\mathbb{N}} \psi), \text{id} \otimes (\bigotimes_{n=1}^{\mathbb{N}} S), (\mathcal{A}_0 \otimes (\bigotimes_{n=1}^{\mathbb{N}} \mathcal{C}_I))_{I \in \mathcal{I}}).$$

Here denotes  $(\mathcal{C}, \psi, S, (\mathcal{C}_I)_{I \in \mathcal{I}})$  a  $\mathbb{C}$ -expected white noise as considered in the last three examples. The general form of an additive cocycle is now

$$b_t = \sum_n a_n \otimes c_t^{(n)} + (K \otimes \text{id})t,$$

where  $K \in \mathcal{A}_0$  and  $c_t^{(n)}$  denotes the canonical embedding of the  $\mathbb{C}$ -expected centred additive cocycle with variance  $\langle c_1 | c_1 \rangle = 1$  into the infinite tensor product at the  $n$ th position. Moreover, it is required for the sequence  $(a_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \mathcal{A}_0$  that  $\sum_{i=1}^n |a_i|^2$  is stop convergent for  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . The structure equation is satisfied by  $b$  if and only if  $\text{Re } K = -1/2 \sum_n |a_n|^2$  and  $\text{Im } K = h$  for some selfadjoint operator  $h \in \mathcal{A}_0$ . One obtains, as usual, the Markovian semigroup

$$\mathcal{A}_0 \ni x \mapsto R_t(x) := \langle \text{Exp}(b_t) | x \text{Exp}(b_t) \rangle_0,$$

where we identify  $\mathcal{A}_0$  and  $\mathcal{A}_0 \otimes \mathbb{1}$ . As it is well-known, this semigroup has a generator of Lindblad form:

$$\mathcal{L}(x) = \sum_n (a_n^* x a_n - \frac{1}{2} \{a_n^* a_n, x\}) + i[h, x]$$

(here denote  $\{a, b\}$  and  $[a, b]$  the anti-commutator resp. the commutator).

**Example 6.6.4** (CAR white noises). We continue the discussion of Examples 2.4.1 and 4.7.3. Similar as done for the CCR-algebra, we pass for the  $C^*$ -algebra  $\text{CAR}(L^2(\mathbb{R}))$  with the quasi-free state  $\psi_{\lambda}(a^*(f)a(g)) = \lambda \langle g | f \rangle$  ( $0 < \lambda < 1$ ) to an Araki-Woods representation on the tensor product of two antisymmetric



Fock spaces  $\mathcal{F}_-(L^2(\mathbb{R})) \otimes \mathcal{F}_-(L^2(\mathbb{R}))$  and find that an additive cocycle is always of the form

$$b_t = a_1 \Omega \otimes \chi_{[0,t]} + a_2 \chi_{[0,t]} \otimes \Omega \quad (a_1, a_2 \in \mathbb{C}).$$

In the case of  $\text{CAR}(K_0 \oplus L^2(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{K}_1))$ , where one obtains a  $\mathcal{B}_0$ -expected white noise, the general form of an additive cocycle is now

$$b_t = \sum_n x_n \Omega \otimes (\chi_{[0,t]} \otimes e_n) + y_n (\chi_{[0,t]} \otimes e_n) \otimes \Omega \quad (x_n, y_n \in \mathcal{B}_0).$$

Here,  $\{e_n\}_n$  is an orthonormal basis of  $\mathcal{K}_1$ . Moreover, we identified  $L^2(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{K}_1)$  and  $L^2(\mathbb{R}) \otimes \mathcal{K}_1$ . If  $\mathcal{K}_1$  is infinite dimensional, one needs also that  $\sum_i^n x_i^* x_i + y_i^* y_i$  is stop convergent for  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . The computation of the Christensen-Evans generator is straightforward. We leave these details to the reader.

**Example 6.6.5** ( $q$ -Gaussian white noises). We continue the discussion of Examples 2.4.2, 2.4.3 and 4.7.5. Let us immediately consider the case

$$(\mathcal{F}_0(\mathcal{K}_0 \oplus L^2(\mathbb{R})), \tau, S, (\mathcal{F}_0(\mathcal{K}_0 \oplus L^2(I)))_{I \in \mathcal{I}}).$$

The general form of an  $\mathcal{F}_0(\mathcal{K}_0)$ -expected additive cocycle is now

$$b_t = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i \Phi(0 \oplus \chi_{[0,t]}) \tilde{a}_i + Kt,$$

where  $a_i, \tilde{a}_i, K \in \mathcal{F}_q(\mathcal{K}_0 \oplus 0)$  ( $1 \leq i \leq n$ ). The structure equation is satisfied if  $K = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j=1}^n \tilde{a}_i^* \Gamma_q(q)(a_i^* a_j) \tilde{a}_j + ih$  for some selfadjoint operator  $h \in \mathcal{B}_0$ . Here denotes  $\Gamma_q(q)$  the second quantization of the multiplication operator  $M_q(g) := gg$  with  $g \in \mathcal{K}_0 \oplus L^2_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathbb{R})$ . Moreover we used the identity

$$E_0(\tilde{a}^* \Phi(0 \oplus \chi_{[0,t]}) a^* a \Phi(0 \oplus \chi_{[0,t]}) \tilde{a}) = t \tilde{a}^* \Gamma_q(q)(a^* a) \tilde{a},$$

where  $a, \tilde{a} \in \mathcal{F}_q(\mathcal{K}_0 \oplus 0)$  (see [DM03]). Notice that  $\Gamma_0(0)(x) = \tau(x)$  in free probability. The generator of the semigroups has now the Christensen-Evans form

$$\mathcal{L}(x) = \sum_{i,j=1}^n (\tilde{a}_i^* \Gamma_q(q)(a_i^* x a_j) \tilde{a}_j - \frac{1}{2} \{\tilde{a}_i^* \Gamma_q(q)(a_i^* a_j) \tilde{a}_j, x\}) + i[h, x].$$

**Remark 6.6.6.** This list of examples can be enlarged by examples coming from generalized Brownian motions, as soon as white noise functors are available and the vacuum vector of the deformed Fock space is separating for the von Neumann algebra, generated by these generalized Brownian motions.

## 7. NON-COMMUTATIVE ITÔ INTEGRATION

This section is devoted to the development of operator-valued non-commutative Itô integration, as it is needed for the correspondence stated in Theorem 6.4.4. In the case of a  $\mathbb{C}$ -expected Bernoulli shift with a commutative von Neumann algebra, our approach reduces to an  $L^2$ -theory of stochastic Itô

integration, as it is known for Lévy processes, in particular Brownian motion. Since we work with  $\mathcal{A}_0$ -expected shifts, this approach covers Itô integration for operator-valued Lévy processes with uniformly bounded covariance operators. Notice also that our filtrations may not necessarily be ‘generated by the non-commutative Lévy processes’, we will make use only of the additive cocycle’s adaptedness. This adaptedness implies already that a centred additive cocycle is a non-commutative martingale with respect to the filtration of the given continuous Bernoulli shift.

The GNS representation  $(\mathcal{E}, \mathbb{1}, S, (\mathcal{E}_I)_{I \in \mathcal{I}})$  of a continuous Bernoulli shift  $(\mathcal{A}, \psi, S, (\mathcal{A}_I)_{I \in \mathcal{I}})$  and its additive cocycles  $\mathcal{C}_0(\mathcal{E}, +)$  provide in our approach all the infrastructure which is needed to develop this theory of non-commutative Itô integration. We will restrict our presentation to results, as far as they are necessary for the proof of Theorem 6.4.4. We emphasize that our approach to Itô integration is purely operator algebraic. A priori, it is not based on (deformed) Fock space structures. Nevertheless, it can be utilized for quantum stochastic integration on (deformed) Fock spaces, reserved to the condition that a continuous Bernoulli shift is present in the bounded operators of this Fock space.

Throughout this section, we work in the presence of a fixed  $\mathcal{A}_0$ -expected continuous GNS Bernoulli shift  $(\mathcal{E}, \mathbb{1}, S, (\mathcal{E}_I)_{I \in \mathcal{I}})$ . Moreover, we assume that this shift has at least one non-zero centred additive cocycle. (We remind that Tsirelson’s black noises provide examples which have only trivial additive cocycles.)

**7.1. Non-commutative Itô integrals for simple adapted processes.** In the following, a centred additive cocycle  $c$  will serve as the non-commutative generalization of a stochastic process with stationary independent increments, like Brownian motion or Poisson process.

We start with the usual notion of adapted processes, as it is known from stochastic Itô integration in probability theory. A *process* is a family  $x = (x_t)_{t \geq 0} \subset \mathcal{E}$ . It is called (*locally*) *adapted* if  $x_t \in \mathcal{E}_{(-\infty, t]}$  (resp.  $\mathcal{E}_{[0, t]}$ ) for any  $t \geq 0$ . A *simple adapted process*  $x = (x_t)_{t \geq 0} \subset \mathcal{E}$  is given by

$$x := \sum_{i \geq 0} x_i \chi_{[s_i, s_{i+1})}, \quad x_i \in \mathcal{E}_{(-\infty, s_i]}.$$

Here  $Z := \{s_i \mid s_i < s_{i+1}, i \in \mathbb{N}_0\}$  defines a partition of  $\mathbb{R}^+$ . For a simple adapted process  $x$  (putting  $s_m := t_0$  and  $s_n := t$  for suitable  $0 \leq m < n$ ), we introduce the ((left) non-commutative) Itô integral

$$\int_{t_0}^t dc_s x_s := \sum_{i=m}^{n-1} (c_{s_{i+1}} - c_{s_i}) x_i. \quad (7.1.1)$$

It is sufficient to define the integrals of simple adapted processes just for intervals  $[t_0, t]$  with boundaries  $t_0, t \in Z$  (using a sub-partition of  $Z$  if necessary).

Indeed, this expression is well-defined, since  $c_{s_{i+1}} - c_{s_i} = S_{s_i} c_{s_{i+1}-s_i}$  and  $x_i$  are  $\mathcal{A}_0$ -independent, and thus, by Proposition 5.3.1, their product makes sense. The following operator identity in  $\mathcal{A}_0$ , the so-called (*non-commutative*) *Itô identity*, will be crucial for the extension of the integral to a larger class of adapted processes.

**Lemma 7.1.1.** *If  $x$  and  $y$  are two simple adapted processes, then*

$$\left\langle \int_{t_0}^t dc_s x_s \mid \int_{t_0}^t dc_s y_s \right\rangle_0 = \int_{t_0}^t \langle x_s \mid \Lambda(\mathbb{1}) y_s \rangle_0 ds,$$

where  $\Lambda = \langle c_1 \mid \cdot c_1 \rangle_0$  is the uniformly bounded covariance operator of  $b$ .

*Proof.* By refinement, we may assume that  $x$  and  $y$  are simple adapted processes with respect to the same partition. From equation (5.3.2) we conclude

$$\langle (c_{s_{i+1}} - c_{s_i}) x_i \mid (c_{s_{j+1}} - c_{s_j}) y_j \rangle_0 = 0 \quad \text{for } i \neq j.$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} \left\langle \int_{t_0}^t dc_s x_s \mid \int_{t_0}^t dc_s y_s \right\rangle_0 &= \sum_{i=m}^{n-1} \langle (c_{s_{i+1}} - c_{s_i}) x_i \mid (c_{s_{i+1}} - c_{s_i}) y_i \rangle_0 \\ &\stackrel{(5.3.2)}{=} \sum_{i=m}^{n-1} \langle x_i \mid |c_{s_{i+1}-s_i}|^2 y_i \rangle_0 \stackrel{(6.3.2)}{=} \sum_{i=m}^{n-1} \langle x_i \mid \Lambda(\mathbb{1}) y_i \rangle_0 (s_{i+1} - s_i) \\ &= \int_{t_0}^t \langle x_s \mid \Lambda(\mathbb{1}) y_s \rangle_0 ds. \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

**Remark 7.1.2.** In this paper we make use only of left Itô integrals. Nevertheless, using the same techniques, the right non-commutative Itô integral  $\int_{t_0}^t x_s dc_s$  is introduced. It goes along with an Itô identity of the form  $\langle \int_{t_0}^t x_s dc_s \mid \int_{t_0}^t y_s dc_s \rangle_0 = \int_{t_0}^t \Lambda(\langle x_s \mid y_s \rangle_0) ds$ . We emphasize that here, in contrast to stochastic Itô integration or Hudson-Parthasarathy quantum stochastic integration, the left and right integral differ in most cases, since the past/future structure may not commute, even in the case  $\mathcal{A}_0 \simeq \mathbb{C}$ . A typical example is Itô integration in the case of free Brownian motion (see also [BS98]).

**7.2. An extension of the non-commutative Itô integral.** For the purposes of this paper, it will be sufficient to extend the Itô integral, introduced in Subsection 7.1, to the vector space  $\mathcal{V}$  of piecewise stop-continuous adapted processes

$$\mathbb{R}_0^+ \ni t \mapsto x_t \in \mathcal{E}$$

(which are locally  $\|\cdot\|_0$ -bounded by the uniform boundedness principle). Notice that  $\mathcal{V}$  includes locally bounded  $\|\cdot\|_0$ -continuous adapted processes, in particular centred additive cocycles.

The key for this extension is provided by the Itô identity. We introduce on  $\mathcal{V}$  the family of seminorms

$$d_{\xi_0, I}(x) := \left[ \int_I \| |x_s|_0 \xi_0 \|^2 ds \right]^{1/2},$$

where  $\xi_0 \in \mathcal{H}_0$  and  $I$  ranges over all compact intervals in  $\mathbb{R}^+$ . We have

$$d_{\xi_0, I}(x) \leq \|\xi_0\| \sup_{s \geq 0} \|x_s\|_0 |I|.$$

Notice that for a simple adapted process  $x \in \mathcal{V}$ , the estimate

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \int_I dc_s x_s \Big|_0 \xi_0 \right\|^2 &= \left\langle \xi_0 \Big| \int_I \langle x_s | \Lambda(\mathbb{1}) x_s \rangle_0 ds \xi_0 \right\rangle = \int_I \langle \xi_0 | \langle x_s | \Lambda(\mathbb{1}) x_s \rangle_0 \xi_0 \rangle ds \\ &\leq \|\Lambda(\mathbb{1})\| d_{\xi_0, I}(x)^2. \end{aligned}$$

is valid. Here, we used the Itô identity and  $\Lambda(\mathbb{1}) \leq \|\Lambda(\mathbb{1})\| \mathbb{1}$ .

Next, we show that processes in  $\mathcal{V}$  can be approximated by simple processes in  $\mathcal{V}$ . By a simple reduction argument, we may assume that the process  $x \in \mathcal{V}$  is stop-continuous on  $I$ . We define the simple adapted processes  $x^Z := \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}^+} x_{s_i} \chi_{[s_i, s_{i+1})} \in \mathcal{V}$ , where  $Z := \{s_i | s_i < s_{i+1}, i \in \mathbb{N}_0\}$  denotes a partition of  $\mathbb{R}^+$ . The continuity of  $x$  and

$$d_{\xi_0, I}(x - x^Z)^2 \leq \sum_{s_i \in I} \int_{s_i}^{s_{i+1}} \| |x_r - x_{s_i}|_0 \xi_0 \|^2 dr + \int_{s_{i-1}}^{s_i} \| |x_r - x_{s_{i-1}}|_0 \xi_0 \|^2 dr,$$

imply  $d_{\xi_0, I}(x - x^Z) \rightarrow 0$ , whenever the grid of the partition  $Z$  tends to 0. From this and from

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \int_I dc_s x_s^Z \Big|_0 \xi_0 \right\| &= \sup_{\|\xi_0\| \leq 1} \left\| \int_I dc_s x_s^Z \Big|_0 \xi_0 \right\| \leq \|\Lambda(\mathbb{1})\|^{1/2} \sup_{s \geq 0} \|x_s^Z\|_0 |I| \\ &\leq \|\Lambda(\mathbb{1})\|^{1/2} \sup_{s \geq 0} \|x_s\|_0 |I|, \end{aligned} \tag{7.2.1}$$

and furthermore

$$\left\| \int_I dc_s x_s^Z - \int_I dc_s x_s^{Z'} \Big|_0 \xi_0 \right\| \leq \|\Lambda(\mathbb{1})\|^{1/2} (d_{\xi_0, I}(x^Z - x) + d_{\xi_0, I}(x - x^{Z'})),$$

we conclude that  $(\int_I dc_s x_s^Z)_Z$  is a bounded Cauchy net in the stop topology on  $\mathcal{E}$ . Its limit in  $\mathcal{E}$  is denoted by  $\int_0^t dc_s x_s$ . Finally, one verifies by routine arguments that the definition of the integral is independent from the chosen net of partitions. Moreover, it is elementary to see from the definition that  $\int_0^t dc_s x_s$  carries all the usual properties, as  $\int_{t_0}^t dc_s x_s = \int_{t_0}^{t_1} dc_s x_s + \int_{t_1}^t dc_s x_s$  ( $t_0 \leq t_1 \leq t$ ), and as linearity with respect to vector space structure of  $\mathcal{V}$ . We summarize the above discussion as follows:

**Proposition 7.2.1.** *The non-commutative Itô integral extends from simple adapted processes in  $\mathcal{E}$  to the vector space  $\mathcal{V}$  of piecewise stop-continuous adapted processes. Moreover, the Itô identity*

$$\left\langle \int_{t_0}^t dc_s x_s \mid \int_{t_0}^t dc_s x_s \right\rangle_0 = \int_{t_0}^t \langle x_s \mid \Lambda(\mathbb{1}) x_s \rangle_0 ds \quad (7.2.2)$$

is valid for any  $x \in \mathcal{V}$ .

We remark that the integral on the right-hand side of the Itô identity is a weak\* integral in  $\mathcal{A}_0$ .

Notice also that  $X_t := \int_{t_0}^t dc_r x_r$  is a  $\|\cdot\|_0$ -continuous non-commutative martingale with respect to the filtration  $(\mathcal{A}_{(-\infty, s]})_{s \geq 0}$ , i.e.,  $E_{(-\infty, s]} X_t = X_s$  for any  $t_0 \leq s \leq t$ . This is seen easily for a simple process  $x$ :

$$\begin{aligned} E_{(-\infty, s]} \int_s^t dc_r x_r &= \int_s^t E_{(-\infty, s]} \circ E_{(-\infty, r]} dc_r x_r \\ &= \int_s^t E_{(-\infty, s]} E_{(-\infty, r]} (dc_r) x_r = 0. \end{aligned}$$

This equation extends from simple processes to processes  $x \in \mathcal{V}$  by approximation and thus proves that  $(X_t)_{t_0 \leq t}$  is a martingale. The  $\|\cdot\|_0$ -continuity follows directly from inequality (7.2.1), which, by (7.2.2), extends to the piecewise stop-continuous adapted processes. Moreover,  $X_t$  is locally adapted if  $x$  is locally adapted.

Since a (non)-centred additive cocycle  $b$  decomposes uniquely into its centred part  $c := b - E_0 b$  and its drift  $E_0 b_t = t E_0 b_1$ , we let for any  $x \in \mathcal{V}$

$$\int_{t_0}^t db_s x_s := \int_{t_0}^t dc_s x_s + \int_{t_0}^t (E_0 b_1) x_s ds.$$

By routine calculations it is shown that

$$\left\| \int_{t_0}^t db_s x_s \right\|_0^2 \leq 2(1 + t - t_0) \|b_1\|_0^2 \int_{t_0}^t \|x_s\|_0^2 ds.$$

**Remark 7.2.2.** The following argument ensures that the vector space  $\mathcal{V}$  contains, roughly speaking, many processes. Let  $P := (E_{(-\infty, t]})_{t \geq 0}$  be the projection from the vector space of processes onto the vector space of adapted processes in  $\mathcal{E}$ . For a stop-continuous process  $x$ , the map  $s \mapsto E_{(-\infty, s]} x_s$  is also stop-continuous, since  $s \mapsto E_{(-\infty, s]}$  is pointwise stop-continuous. Consequently, a stop-continuous process is mapped by the projection  $P$  to a stop-continuous adapted process. Notice that these arguments do not apply to locally adapted processes and the family  $(E_{[0, s]})_{s \geq 0}$  if  $s \mapsto E_{[0, s]}$  fails to be pointwise stop-continuous.

A further extension of the non-commutative Itô integral to the class of  $L^2$ -integrable adapted processes is possible, but this requires tremendously more

technical efforts. These processes are imposed to the condition of integrability of  $t \mapsto \|x_t \xi\|^2$  on any compact interval, aside of measurability conditions for any  $\xi \in \mathcal{H}_0$ . The relevant tools for the development of such a theory are well-known and can be found, e.g., in [Tak03a], Chapter IV. We will not elaborate further this direction, because the integration class of stop-continuous processes will be sufficient for the purpose of this paper.

**7.3. Non-commutative Itô differential equations.** We will close our digression on non-commutative Itô integration with an existence and uniqueness theorem for solutions of (non-commutative) Itô differential equations (IDEs).

Let  $c$  be a centred additive cocycle in  $\mathcal{C}_0(\mathcal{E}, +)$ . We say that the process  $x \in \mathcal{V}$  has the differential  $dx_t = \alpha_t dt + dc_t \beta_t$ , if it has the form  $x_t = x_0 + \int_0^t \alpha_s ds + \int_0^t dc_s \beta_s$  for any  $t \geq t_0$ , where  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  are processes in  $\mathcal{V}$ . We call a function  $\alpha : \mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}$  *adapted*, if  $\alpha(t, y) \in \mathcal{E}_{(-\infty, t]}$  for any  $t \geq 0$  and  $y \in \mathcal{E}_{(-\infty, t]}$ . Moreover, we say that the function  $\alpha$  is *locally adapted*, if the previous statement is satisfied with respect to  $\mathcal{E}_{[0, t]}$ , instead of  $\mathcal{E}_{(-\infty, t]}$ . Finally, we say that such a function is stop-continuous, if  $t \mapsto \alpha(t, y)$  is stop-continuous for any  $y \in \mathcal{E}$  and  $y \mapsto \alpha(t, y)$  is stop-stop-continuous for any  $t \geq 0$ .

If  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  are adapted, a process  $x \in \mathcal{V}$  is called a solution of the non-commutative Itô differential equation (IDE)

$$dx_t = \alpha(t, x_t) dt + dc_t \beta(t, x_t), \quad x_{t_0} \in \mathcal{E}_{(-\infty, t_0]}, \quad (7.3.1)$$

if  $x$  solves the integral equation

$$x_t = x_0 + \int_{t_0}^t \alpha(s, x_s) ds + \int_{t_0}^t dc_s \beta(s, x_s). \quad (7.3.2)$$

In particular, the following result insures that the IDE, as stated in Theorem 6.4.4, has a unique solution.

**Theorem 7.3.1.** *Let the  $\mathcal{A}_0$ -expected continuous GNS Bernoulli shift  $(\mathcal{E}, \mathbb{1}, S, (\mathcal{E}_I)_{I \in \mathcal{I}})$  be given. Let  $c \in \mathcal{C}_0(\mathcal{E}, +)$  be a centred additive cocycle and  $\alpha, \beta$  adapted stop-continuous functions from  $\mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathcal{E}$  to  $\mathcal{E}$ . Furthermore, assume for  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  that for any compact interval  $[t_0, t_1]$  with  $t_0 \geq 0$  and  $\xi \in \mathcal{H}_0$ , there exists a constant  $C_\xi \geq 0$  such that for any  $x, y \in \mathcal{E}$  and  $t, s \in [t_0, t_1]$*

$$d_\xi(\alpha(t, x) - \alpha(s, x)) \leq C_\xi (\|\xi\|^2 + d_\xi(x)) |f_\xi(t) - f_\xi(s)|, \quad (7.3.3)$$

$$d_\xi(\beta(t, x) - \beta(s, x)) \leq C_\xi (\|\xi\|^2 + d_\xi(x)) |g_\xi(t) - g_\xi(s)|, \quad (7.3.4)$$

$$d_\xi(\alpha(t, x) - \alpha(t, y)) \leq C_\xi d_\xi(x - y), \quad (7.3.5)$$

$$d_\xi(\beta(t, x) - \beta(t, y)) \leq C_\xi d_\xi(x - y). \quad (7.3.6)$$

Here,  $f_\xi$  and  $g_\xi$  are continuous, real-valued functions on  $\mathbb{R}^+$ .

If all these assumptions are satisfied, then there is a unique process  $x$  in  $\mathcal{V}$  that solves the IDE

$$dx_t = \alpha(t, x_t) dt + dc_t \beta(t, x_t), \quad x_{t_0} \in \mathcal{E}_{(-\infty, t_0]}. \quad (7.3.7)$$

Moreover, this solution  $x$  is  $\|\cdot\|_0$ -continuous. In addition, if  $x_{t_0} \in \mathcal{E}_{[0,t_0]}$  and if  $\alpha, \beta$  are locally adapted functions, then this solution  $x$  is locally adapted.

**Remark 7.3.2.** A similar theorem is valid for IDEs which contain both left and right non-commutative Itô integrals.

*Proof.* By the Picard iteration, we will construct on the interval  $[t_0, t_1]$  a unique stop-continuous solution  $x$  of the IDE (7.3.7). In particular, we will produce from the initial data  $(t_0, x_{t_0})$  the new data  $(t_1, x_{t_1})$ . The latter ones will serve as initial data for the Picard iteration to produce a solution on the interval  $[t_1, t_2]$  (with  $t_2 \leq t_1 + 1$ ). By this iterative method, we will cover the interval  $[t_0, t]$  by finitely many intervals  $[t_i, t_{i+1}]$ , since the iteration procedure will show that we can choose all intervals  $[t_i, t_{i+1}]$  to be of the same length  $0 < \Delta t \leq 1$ . Thus, we will produce successively a unique solution for each interval  $[t_i, t_{i+1}]$ , and consequently a solution for any time  $t \geq 0$ .

We already know from (7.3.3) and (7.3.5) that, for a stop-continuous process  $x$ , the function  $t \mapsto \alpha(t, x_t)$  is stop-continuous and consequently  $\|\cdot\|_0$ -bounded on any compact interval. The same is true for the function  $t \mapsto \beta(t, x_t)$ .

We choose some fixed  $\Delta t$  with  $0 < \Delta t \leq 1$  and start the iteration on the interval  $[t_0, t_1]$  with  $t_1 := t_0 + \Delta t$ . Let  $x_t^0 := x_{t_0}$  for any  $t \in [t_0, t_1]$ . The functions  $t \mapsto \alpha(t, x_t^0)$  and  $t \mapsto \beta(t, x_t^0)$  are stop-continuous and (locally) adapted if  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  are (locally) adapted and if  $x_{t_0}$  is an element in  $L^2(\mathcal{A}_{(-\infty, t_0]}, E_0)$  (resp.  $L^2(\mathcal{A}_{[0, t_0]}, E_0)$ ). Thus the  $n$ th iteration step

$$x_t^n := x_{t_0} + \int_{t_0}^t ds \alpha(s, x_s^{n-1}) + \int_{t_0}^t dc_s \beta(s, x_s^{n-1})$$

is well-defined by induction. Notice that  $x^n$  is stop-continuous and (locally) adapted. We let  $M := \sup_{s \in [t_0, t_1]} \|x_s^1 - x_s^0\|_0$ . Moreover, we define  $q_\xi := C_\xi((\Delta t)^{1/2} + \|\Lambda(\mathbb{1})\|^{1/2})$ . From (7.3.5) and (7.3.6) we find for any  $t \in [t_0, t_1]$  and normalized  $\xi \in \mathcal{H}_0$  the estimate

$$\begin{aligned} d_\xi(x_t^{n+1} - x_t^n) &\leq \int_{t_0}^t d_\xi(\alpha(s, x_s^n) - \alpha(s, x_s^{n-1})) ds \\ &\quad + \|\Lambda(\mathbb{1})\|^{1/2} \left[ \int_{t_0}^t d_\xi(\beta(s, x_s^n) - \beta(s, x_s^{n-1}))^2 ds \right]^{1/2} \\ &\leq q_\xi \left[ \int_{t_0}^t d_\xi(x_s^n - x_s^{n-1})^2 ds \right]^{1/2} \leq M q_\xi^n \frac{(\Delta t)^{n/2}}{\sqrt{n!}}. \end{aligned}$$

For any  $n > q_\xi^2$  follows

$$\max_{t \in [t_0, t_1]} d_\xi(x_t^{n+m} - x_t^n) \leq \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} \max_{t \in [t_0, t_1]} d_\xi(x_t^{n+k+1} - x_t^{n+k})$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq M q_\xi^n \frac{(\Delta t)^{n/2}}{\sqrt{n!}} \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} \sqrt{\frac{n!}{(n+k)!}} (\Delta t)^{k/2} q_\xi^k \\
&\leq M q_\xi^n \frac{(\Delta t)^{n/2}}{\sqrt{n!}} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{q_\xi}{\sqrt{n}}\right)^k (\Delta t)^{k/2} \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} 0.
\end{aligned}$$

We conclude that the limit  $x_t := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_t^n$  exists uniformly on  $[t_0, t_1]$  in the stop-topology and defines a stop-continuous, (strongly) adapted process on this interval. Next, we obtain from (7.3.5) and (7.3.6) that  $\int_{t_0}^t \alpha(s, x_s) ds = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{t_0}^t \alpha(s, x_s^n) ds$  and  $\int_{t_0}^t dc_s \beta(s, x_s) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{t_0}^t dc_s \beta(s, x_s^n)$  in the strong operator topology. Now one concludes with routine arguments that  $x$  solves the IDE on  $[t_0, t_1]$ . Since  $q_\xi$  depends only on  $\Delta t$ , this procedure applies iteratively to all right next neighbor intervals with the same length  $\Delta t$ . The  $\|\cdot\|_0$ -continuity of the solution  $x$  follows from the fact that the integrals, as they appear in the integral equation for  $x$ , contain stop-continuous integrands and thus define  $\|\cdot\|_0$ -continuous functions. Finally, the uniqueness of the solution follows with the help of the estimates (7.3.3) - (7.3.6) in the usual way.  $\square$

**Remark 7.3.3.** A more complete treatment of non-commutative Itô integration, compatible with the setting of continuous GNS Bernoulli shifts is contained in [Hel01]. If the shift is scalar-expected, then our approach reduces to non-commutative Itô integration in the GNS Hilbert space of the shift, as it is already contained in [Pri89]. If the state of the  $\mathcal{A}_0$ -expected shift is tracial, then the continuous GNS Bernoulli shifts are realized as subspaces of non-commutative  $L^2$ -spaces in [Kös00]. This approach produces similar results on non-commutative Itô integration. Notice also that one-sided integrands in [BS98] fall into our setting.

## 8. NON-COMMUTATIVE EXPONENTIALS AND LOGARITHMS

This section is devoted to the development of non-commutative exponentials (Subsection 8.1) and non-commutative logarithms (Subsection 8.2). The presented constructions generalize beyond the frame of non-commutative exponentials  $\text{Exp}$  of additive cocycles and non-commutative logarithms  $\text{Ln}$  of unital cocycles. Here we will refrain to include all these, in parts immediate generalizations and will just focus on the development of sufficient tools to complete the proof of Theorem 6.4.1 and Theorem 6.4.4. This will be done in Subsection 8.3, where we will show that the mappings  $\text{Exp}$  and  $\text{Ln}$  are injective and each other's inverse.

We assume throughout this section that a fixed  $\mathcal{A}_0$ -expected continuous Bernoulli shift  $(\mathcal{A}, \psi, S, (\mathcal{A}_I)_{I \in \mathcal{I}})$  and its GNS representation  $(\mathcal{E}, \mathbb{1}, S, (\mathcal{E}_I)_{I \in \mathcal{I}})$  are given.



**8.1. Non-commutative exponentials of additive cocycles.** Non-commutative exponentials will be obtained as solutions of non-commutative Itô differential equations (IDEs).

**Theorem 8.1.1.** *Let the centred additive cocycle  $c \in \mathcal{C}_0(\mathcal{E}, +)$  and the operator  $K \in \mathcal{A}_0$  be given. Then the (unique) solution  $u$  of the IDE*

$$u_t = \mathbb{1} + \int_0^t dc_s u_s + \int_0^t dt K u_s. \quad (8.1.1)$$

*is a locally adapted  $\|\cdot\|_0$ -continuous process in  $\mathcal{E}$  that satisfies the cocycle identity  $u_{s+t} = (S_t u_s)u_t$  ( $s, t \geq 0$ ). Moreover, it enjoys the following additional properties:*

- (i) *The compression  $A := (E_0 u_t)_{t \geq 0}$  defines a  $\|\cdot\|$ -continuous semigroup with  $A_t = e^{tK}$ .*
- (ii) *The compression  $R := (\langle u_t | \cdot u_t \rangle_0)_{t \geq 0}$  defines a  $\|\cdot\|$ -continuous semigroup of completely positive mappings on  $\mathcal{A}_0$ . It has the Christensen-Evans generator*

$$\mathcal{L}(a) = \Lambda(a) + K^* a + aK,$$

*where  $\Lambda = \langle c_1 | \cdot c_1 \rangle_0$  is the covariance of  $b$ .*

*In particular, the following are equivalent:*

- (a)  *$u$  is a unital cocycle;*
- (b)  *$R_t(\mathbb{1}) = \mathbb{1}$  for any  $t \geq 0$ , or equivalently  $\mathcal{L}(\mathbb{1}) = 0$ ;*
- (c)  *$|c_t|_0^2 + (K + K^*)t = 0$ .*

*If the conditions (a) to (c) are satisfied, then the semigroup  $R$  is contractive.*

Let  $b$  denote the additive cocycle defined by  $b_t = c_t + Kt$ ,  $t \geq 0$ .

**Definition 8.1.2.** *The solution  $u$  of the IDE (8.1.1) is called the exponential of the additive cocycle  $b$  and is denoted by  $\text{Exp}(b)$ .*

We remark that in stochastic analysis the additive cocycle  $b$  falls into the class of semi-martingales and that a solution of (8.1.1) is called an exponential semi-martingale.

*Proof of Theorem 8.1.1.* Putting  $\alpha(t, u_t) = K u_t$  and  $\beta(t, u_t) = u_t$ , the functions  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  are locally adapted and evidently satisfy the Lipschitz conditions in Theorem 7.3.1. Moreover, the initial conditions is locally adapted, i.e.,  $u_0 = \mathbb{1} \in \mathcal{A}_0$ . Thus the IDE (8.1.1) has a unique  $\|\cdot\|_0$ -continuous locally adapted solution  $u \in \mathcal{E}$ .

In the following we will verify the cocycle property of the solution  $u$ . Let  $(Z_n[a, b])_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  be a sequence of partitions of the interval  $[a, b]$  with grid tending to zero. In a first step we determine for an arbitrary  $w \in \mathcal{E}_{[0, t]}$

$$\left( S_t \int_0^s dc_r u_r \right) w = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{r_i \in Z_n[0, s]} (S_t(c_{r_{i+1}} - c_{r_i})) (S_t u_{r_i}) w$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{r_i \in Z_n[0, s]} (c_{t+r_{i+1}} - c_{t+r_i})(S_t u_{t+r_i-t})w \\
&= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{t_i \in Z_n[t, s+t]} (c_{t_{i+1}} - c_{t_i})(S_t u_{t_i-t})w \\
&= \int_t^{t+s} dc_r(S_t u_{r-t})w,
\end{aligned}$$

where the limits are taken in the stop-topology on  $\mathcal{E}$ . Throughout these calculations we have used the continuity properties of the product of  $\mathcal{A}_0$ -independent elements in  $\mathcal{E}$  (Proposition 5.3.1). Moreover, we used triple products (cf. 5.4) of the three  $\mathcal{A}_0$ -independent, increasingly ordered factors  $w$ ,  $S_t u_{r_i}$  and  $c_{t+r_{i+1}} - c_{t+r_i}$ . (Here, ‘increasingly ordered’ means  $[0, t] \leq [t, t+r_i] \leq [t+r_i, t+r_{i+1}]$ .) We define for a fixed  $t > 0$

$$v_r := \begin{cases} u_r, & 0 \leq r \leq t, \\ S_t(u_{r-t})u_t, & t < r. \end{cases}$$

The continuity of the product of  $\mathcal{A}_0$ -independent elements implies the continuity of  $r \mapsto v_r$  in the stop-topology and hence the integrability. Clearly,  $v_r$  solves the IDE (8.1.1), whenever  $0 \leq r \leq t$ . Hence we conclude

$$\begin{aligned}
v_{t+s} &= S_t \left( \mathbb{1} + \int_0^s dc_r u_r + \int_0^s dr K u_r \right) u_t \\
&= u_t + \int_t^{t+s} dc_r (S_t u_{r-t}) u_t + \int_0^s dr K (S_t u_r) u_t \\
&= \mathbb{1} + \int_0^t dc_r u_r + \int_t^{t+s} dc_r (S_t u_{r-t}) u_t + \int_0^t dr K u_r + \int_t^{t+s} dr K (S_t u_{r-t}) u_t \\
&= \mathbb{1} + \int_0^{t+s} dc_r v_r + \int_0^{t+s} dr K v_r.
\end{aligned}$$

This calculation shows that  $v$  solves (8.1.1). Now, the uniqueness of the solution implies  $u_r = v_r$  for any  $r \geq 0$  and consequently the cocycle identity  $u_{t+s} = v_{t+s} = (S_t u_s)u_t$  of the solution  $u$ .

(i) The compression  $E_0 u$  of the solution  $u$  defines a semigroup. Indeed, we apply  $E_0$  on both sides of (8.1.1) and obtain

$$E_0 u_t = \mathbb{1} + \int_0^t ds K E_0 u_s.$$

This integral equation has the unique solution  $t \mapsto E_0 u_t = e^{Kt}$ .

(ii)  $R_t$  is completely positive by construction. By the cocycle property, it is shown immediately that  $R_{s+t}(a) = R_s(R_t(a))$  for any  $s, t \geq 0$  and  $a \in \mathcal{A}_0$ . Since  $u$  is  $\|\cdot\|_0$ -continuous and  $u_0 = \mathbb{1}$ , the uniform continuity of  $R$  follows from (6.2.2). Thus  $R$  has a bounded generator  $\mathcal{L}$  (such that  $R_t = e^{t\mathcal{L}}$ ). Next, we will identify the form of  $\mathcal{L}$ . For this purpose we rewrite  $R_t$  with the help of the

IDE (8.1.1). Recall that  $\Lambda = \langle c_1 | \cdot c_1 \rangle_0$ . An elementary calculation shows that for any  $a \in \mathcal{A}_0$

$$\begin{aligned} R_t(a) &= \langle u_t | au_t \rangle_0 \\ &= a + \int_0^t \langle \mathbb{1} | aKu_s \rangle_0 ds + \int_0^t \langle Ku_s | a \rangle_0 ds + \int_0^t \langle u_s | \Lambda(a)u_s \rangle_0 ds \\ &\quad + \int_0^t \left\langle Ku_s \left| a \int_0^s dc_r u_r \right. \right\rangle_0 ds + \int_0^t \left\langle \int_0^s dc_r u_r \left| aKu_s \right. \right\rangle_0 ds \\ &\quad + \int_0^t \int_0^s \langle Ku_s | aKu_r \rangle_0 dr ds + \int_0^t \int_0^r \langle Ku_s | aKu_r \rangle_0 ds dr . \end{aligned}$$

Notice that, due to the  $\|\cdot\|_0$ -continuity of  $u$ , all integrals of the form  $\int \langle \cdot | \cdot \rangle_0 ds$  are Bochner integrals on  $\mathcal{A}_0$ . Consequently, we are allowed to differentiate separately each term and obtain for any  $a \in \mathcal{A}_0$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} R_t(a) &= \langle \mathbb{1} | aKu_t \rangle_0 + \langle Ku_t | a \rangle_0 + \langle u_t | \Lambda(a)u_t \rangle_0 \\ &\quad + \left\langle Ku_t \left| a \int_0^t dc_r u_r \right. \right\rangle_0 + \left\langle \int_0^t dc_r u_r \left| aKu_t \right. \right\rangle_0 \\ &\quad + \left\langle Ku_t \left| a \int_0^t dr Ku_r \right. \right\rangle_0 + \left\langle \int_0^t dr Ku_r \left| aKu_t \right. \right\rangle_0 \end{aligned}$$

– we use again the IDE (8.1.1) –

$$\begin{aligned} &= \langle \mathbb{1} | aKu_t \rangle_0 + \langle Ku_t | a \rangle_0 + R_t(\Lambda(a)) \\ &\quad + \langle Ku_t | a(u_t - \mathbb{1}) \rangle_0 + \langle u_t - \mathbb{1} | aKu_t \rangle_0 \\ &= R_t(\Lambda(a)) + R_t(K^*a + aK) . \end{aligned}$$

Thus, the generator  $\mathcal{L}$  is identified as  $\mathcal{L}(a) = \Lambda(a) + (K^*a + aK)$ , where  $a \in \mathcal{A}_0$ .

Finally, the equivalence of (a) to (c) and the contractivity of  $R$  is evident from the form of the generator  $\mathcal{L}$  and the definition of a unital cocycle.  $\square$

**8.2. Non-commutative logarithms of unital cocycles.** We will construct an additive cocycle in  $\mathcal{E}$  from a  $\|\cdot\|_0$ -continuous unital cocycle. Motivated by Corollary 6.4.5 and probability theory, we shall call the constructed additive cocycle the non-commutative logarithm of the unital cocycle. Our main result of this subsection is stated in Theorem 8.2.2.

**Notation 8.2.1.**  $\mathcal{Z}(t)$  will denote a net of partitions  $Z := \{t_i \geq 0 \mid 0 = t_0 < t_1 < \dots < t_{n_Z} = t\}$  of the interval  $[0, t]$ . The set of partitions in  $\mathcal{Z}(t)$  is partially ordered by inclusion such that their grid  $|Z| := \max\{|t_{i+1} - t_i| \mid i = 0, \dots, n_Z - 1\}$  tends to zero.

**Theorem 8.2.2.** *A  $\|\cdot\|_0$ -continuous unital cocycle  $u \subset \mathcal{E}$  with the associated contractive semigroup  $A_t := E_0 u_t = e^{Kt}$  defines via*

$$b_t := \|\cdot\|_0\text{-}\lim_{Z \in \mathcal{Z}(t)} \sum_{i=0}^{n_Z-1} S_{t_i}(u_{t_{i+1}-t_i} - \mathbb{1}), \quad (8.2.1)$$

$$c_t := \|\cdot\|_0\text{-}\lim_{Z \in \mathcal{Z}(t)} \sum_{i=0}^{n_Z-1} S_{t_i} u_{t_{i+1}-t_i} - A_{t_{i+1}-t_i} \quad (8.2.2)$$

two additive cocycles  $b, c$  in  $\mathcal{C}_0(\mathcal{E}, +)$ . They are related uniquely by  $b_t = c_t + Kt$  and  $K = E_0 b_1$ . Moreover, the additive cocycle  $b$  is in  $\mathcal{C}_0^0(\mathcal{E}, +)$ , in other words, it satisfies the structure equation

$$|b_t - E_0 b_t|_0^2 + t(E_0 b_1)^* + t E_0 b_1 = 0$$

and the pair  $(c, K)$ , containing the centred additive cocycle  $c$  and the drift  $K$ , satisfies the structure equation

$$|c_t|_0^2 + t(K^* + K) = 0.$$

**Definition 8.2.3.** *The additive cocycle  $b$  (resp.  $c$ ), constructed in Theorem 8.2.2, is called the (centred) non-commutative logarithm of the unital cocycle  $u$  and denoted by  $\text{Ln}(u)$  (resp. by  $\text{Ln}_0(u)$ ).*

For brevity, we will also say that  $b$  is the logarithm and that  $c$  is the centred logarithm of  $u$ . Notice that for a trivial unital cocycle  $u$ , i.e.  $u_t = \exp(itH)$  with  $H = H^* \in \mathcal{A}_0$ , one finds  $\text{Ln}(u_t) = itH$  and  $\text{Ln}_0(u_t) = 0$ . Let us further motivate this definition:

**Corollary 8.2.4.** *Let  $u, v \subset \mathcal{A}$  be two  $\|\cdot\|_0$ -continuous unital cocycles. If  $u$  and  $v$  are  $\mathcal{A}_0$ -independent and satisfy the commutation relation  $u_t(S_t v_s) = (S_t v_s)u_t$  for any  $s, t \geq 0$ , then  $uv := (u_t v_t)_{t \geq 0}$  is again a unital  $\|\cdot\|_0$ -continuous cocycle and*

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Ln}(uv) &= \text{Ln}(u) + \text{Ln}(v) = \text{Ln}(vu), \\ \text{Ln}_0(uv) &= \text{Ln}_0(u) + \text{Ln}_0(v) = \text{Ln}_0(vu). \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* The  $\mathcal{A}_0$ -independence of  $u$  and  $v$  ensures that the product  $u_t v_t$  is well-defined in  $\mathcal{E}$  for any  $t \geq 0$ . The commutation property for  $u$  and  $v$  guarantees that  $uv$  is a cocycle. The  $\|\cdot\|_0$ -continuity of  $t \mapsto u_t v_t$  is also elementary to check. Due to

$$\begin{aligned} S_{t_i}(u_{t_{i+1}-t_i} v_{t_{i+1}-t_i} - u_0 v_0) &= S_{t_i}(u_{t_{i+1}-t_i} - u_0)v_0 + u_0 S_{t_i}(v_{t_{i+1}-t_i} - v_0) \\ &\quad + S_{t_i}((u_{t_{i+1}-t_i} - u_0)(v_{t_{i+1}-t_i} - v_0)), \end{aligned}$$

it is sufficient to establish

$$\|\cdot\|_0\text{-}\lim_{Z \in \mathcal{Z}(t)} \sum_{i=0}^{n_Z-1} S_{t_i}((u_{t_{i+1}-t_i} - u_0)(v_{t_{i+1}-t_i} - v_0)) = 0.$$

Indeed, this follows from estimates, similar as they appear in the proof of Theorem 8.2.2. We leave these details to the reader.  $\square$

We prepare the proof of Theorem 8.2.2 with a technical result.

**Lemma 8.2.5.** *For  $r, s, t \geq 0$  and  $a \in \mathcal{A}_0$  hold the following identities:*

- (i)  $\langle S_r u_s | au_t \rangle_0 = R_s(aA_{t-r-s}) A_r, \quad 0 \leq s \leq t - r,$
- (ii)  $\langle S_r u_s | au_t \rangle_0 = R_{t-r}(A_{s-t+r}^* a) A_r, \quad 0 \leq t - r \leq s.$

*Proof.* For  $t - r \geq 0$  one calculates

$$\begin{aligned} \langle S_r u_s | au_t \rangle_0 &= \langle S_r u_s | (S_r a u_{t-r}) u_r \rangle_0 = \langle \mathbb{1} | \langle u_s | au_{t-r} \rangle_0 u_r \rangle_0 \\ &= \langle u_s | au_{t-r} \rangle_0 A_r. \end{aligned}$$

In case (i) with  $0 \leq s \leq t - r$  we conclude further

$$\begin{aligned} \langle S_r u_s | au_t \rangle_0 &= \langle u_s | a(S_s u_{t-r-s}) u_s \rangle_0 A_r \\ &= \langle u_s | a \langle \mathbb{1} | u_{t-r-s} \rangle_0 u_s \rangle_0 A_r = R_s(aA_{t-r-s}) A_r. \end{aligned}$$

In the case (ii) with  $0 \leq t - r \leq s$  one finds

$$\begin{aligned} \langle S_r u_s | au_t \rangle_0 &= \langle (S_{t-r} u_{s-(t-r)}) u_{t-r} | au_{t-r} \rangle_0 A_r \\ &= \langle u_{t-r} | A_{s-t+r}^* a u_{t-r} \rangle_0 A_r = R_{t-r}(A_{s-t+r}^* a) A_r. \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

*Proof of Theorem 8.2.2.* We let

$$c_Z(t) := \sum_{i=0}^{n_Z-1} S_{t_i} u_{t_{i+1}-t_i} - A_{t_{i+1}-t_i} \in \mathcal{E}_{[0,t]} \quad (8.2.3)$$

and will prove that  $(c_Z(t))_{Z \in \mathcal{Z}(t)}$  is a  $\|\cdot\|_0$ -Cauchy net in  $\mathcal{E}$ . This convergence implies immediately that

$$b_Z(t) := \sum_{i=0}^{n_Z-1} S_{t_i} u_{t_{i+1}-t_i} - \mathbb{1} \in \mathcal{E}_{[0,t]} \quad (8.2.4)$$

converges to  $b_t$ , since the difference  $b_Z(t) - c_Z(t)$  converges evidently to  $Kt$ . Moreover the equivalence of the structure equations of  $b$  and  $c$  is ensured, since the decomposition of an additive cocycle in its centred part and its drift is unique. Thus, we will concentrate in the following on the convergence of  $c_Z(t)$  to  $c_t$ , the cocycle property of  $c_t$  and its structure equation.

Let us denote the joint refinement of  $Z, W \in \mathcal{Z}(t)$  by  $ZW$ . Since

$$\|c_Z(t) - c_W(t)\|_0 \leq \|c_Z(t) - c_{ZW}(t)\|_0 + \|c_W(t) - c_{ZW}(t)\|_0, \quad (8.2.5)$$

it is sufficient to investigate  $\|c_Z(t) - c_{ZW}(t)\|_0^2$ . This expression is controlled by  $\langle c_Z(t) | c_{ZW}(t) \rangle_0$ . For the refinement  $ZW$  of  $Z$  we let  $t_i + s_{i,j}$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, n^i - 1$ ,

be the additional points in the interval  $[t_i, t_{i+1}]$ . Moreover, we put  $s_{i,0} := 0$  and  $s_{i,n^i} := t_{i+1} - t_i$ . Setting  $v_s := u_s - A_s$  for  $s \in [0, t]$ , one calculates

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle c_Z(t) | c_{ZW}(t) \rangle_0 &= \sum_{i=0}^{n_Z-1} \sum_{k=0}^{n_Z-1} \sum_{j=0}^{n^k-1} \langle S_{t_i} v_{t_{i+1}-t_i} | S_{t_k+s_{k,j}} v_{s_{k,j+1}-s_{k,j}} \rangle_0 \\
&= \sum_{i=0}^{n_Z-1} \sum_{j=0}^{n^i-1} \langle u_{t_{i+1}-t_i} - A_{t_{i+1}-t_i} | S_{s_{i,j}} u_{s_{i,j+1}-s_{i,j}} - A_{s_{i,j+1}-s_{i,j}} \rangle_0 \\
&= \sum_{i=0}^{n_Z-1} \sum_{j=0}^{n^i-1} \langle u_{t_{i+1}-t_i} | S_{s_{i,j}} u_{s_{i,j+1}-s_{i,j}} \rangle_0 - A_{t_{i+1}-t_i}^* A_{s_{i,j+1}-s_{i,j}} \\
&= \sum_{i=0}^{n_Z-1} \sum_{j=0}^{n^i-1} A_{s_{i,j}}^* R_{s_{i,j+1}-s_{i,j}} (A_{t_{i+1}-t_i-s_{i,j+1}}^*) - A_{t_{i+1}-t_i}^* A_{s_{i,j+1}-s_{i,j}}
\end{aligned}$$

$-s_{i,j+1} - s_{i,j} \leq t_{i+1} - t_i - s_{i,j}$  admits the application of Lemma 8.2.5 (i) –

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \sum_{i=0}^{n_Z-1} \sum_{j=0}^{n^i-1} A_{s_{i,j}}^* (R_{s_{i,j+1}-s_{i,j}} - \text{id})(A_{t_{i+1}-t_i-s_{i,j+1}}^* - \mathbb{1}) \\
&+ \sum_{i=0}^{n_Z-1} \sum_{j=0}^{n^i-1} A_{(t_{i+1}-t_i)-(s_{i,j+1}-s_{i,j})}^* (\mathbb{1} - A_{s_{i,j+1}-s_{i,j}}^* A_{s_{i,j+1}-s_{i,j}}).
\end{aligned}$$

We will investigate the two double sums separately.

From the norm continuity of the semigroups  $R$  and  $A$  we conclude that there exists an upper bound  $M > 0$  such that  $\|R_t - \text{id}\| \leq Mt$ ,  $\|A_t - \mathbb{1}\| \leq Mt$  and  $\|A_t^* A_t - \mathbb{1}\| \leq Mt$ . With this bound we produce the following estimate of the first summand:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left\| \sum_{i=0}^{n_Z-1} \sum_{j=0}^{n^i-1} A_{s_{i,j}}^* (R_{s_{i,j+1}-s_{i,j}} - \text{id})(A_{t_{i+1}-t_i-s_{i,j+1}}^* - \mathbb{1}) \right\| \\
&\leq M^2 \sum_{i=0}^{n_Z-1} \sum_{j=0}^{n^i-1} (s_{i,j+1} - s_{i,j})(t_{i+1} - t_i - s_{i,j+1}) \\
&\leq M^2 \sum_{i=0}^{n_Z-1} \sum_{j=0}^{n^i-1} (s_{i,j+1} - s_{i,j})(t_{i+1} - t_i) \\
&= M^2 \sum_{i=0}^{n_Z-1} (t_{i+1} - t_i)^2 \leq M^2 \max\{|Z|, |W|\}t.
\end{aligned}$$

The second summand converges to  $\lambda t$  with  $\lambda := -(K + K^*)$ :

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \left\| \sum_{i=0}^{n_Z-1} \sum_{j=0}^{n^i-1} A_{(t_{i+1}-t_i)-(s_{i,j+1}-s_{i,j})}^* (\mathbb{1} - A_{s_{i,j+1}-s_{i,j}}^* A_{s_{i,j+1}-s_{i,j}}) - \lambda t \right\| \\
 & \leq \sum_{i=0}^{n_Z-1} \sum_{j=0}^{n^i-1} \|A_{(t_{i+1}-t_i)-(s_{i,j+1}-s_{i,j})}^* - \mathbb{1}\| \|\mathbb{1} - A_{s_{i,j+1}-s_{i,j}}^* A_{s_{i,j+1}-s_{i,j}}\| \\
 & \quad + \sum_{i=0}^{n_Z-1} \sum_{j=0}^{n^i-1} \left\| \frac{\mathbb{1} - A_{s_{i,j+1}-s_{i,j}}^* A_{s_{i,j+1}-s_{i,j}}}{s_{i,j+1} - s_{i,j}} - \lambda \right\| (s_{i,j+1} - s_{i,j}) \\
 & \leq \sum_{i=0}^{n_Z-1} \sum_{j=0}^{n^i-1} M^2 [(t_{i+1} - t_i) - (s_{i,j+1} - s_{i,j})] (s_{i,j+1} - s_{i,j}) \\
 & \quad + \sum_{i=0}^{n_Z-1} \sum_{j=0}^{n^i-1} \varepsilon (s_{i,j+1} - s_{i,j}) \\
 & \leq \sum_{i=0}^{n_Z-1} \sum_{j=0}^{n^i-1} M^2 (t_{i+1} - t_i) (s_{i,j+1} - s_{i,j}) + \varepsilon t \\
 & = \sum_{i=0}^{n_Z-1} M^2 (t_{i+1} - t_i)^2 + \varepsilon t \\
 & \leq (M^2 |Z| + \varepsilon) t \leq (M^2 \max\{|Z|, |W|\} + \varepsilon) t.
 \end{aligned}$$

During this calculations we used the fact that  $\left\| \frac{1 - A_\delta^* A_\delta}{\delta} - \lambda \right\| \leq \varepsilon$  for any  $0 < \delta \leq \delta_\varepsilon$ , with some appropriate  $\delta_\varepsilon > 0$  such that  $\max\{|Z|, |W|\} < \delta_\varepsilon$ . Consequently, we obtain for any partitions  $Z, W \in \mathcal{Z}(t)$  with  $\max\{|Z|, |W|\} < \min\{\delta_\varepsilon, \varepsilon\}$  the estimates

$$\| \langle c_Z(t) | c_{ZW}(t) \rangle_0 - \lambda t \| \leq \varepsilon (M^2 + 1) t$$

and

$$\| c_Z(t) - c_{ZW}(t) \|_0^2 \leq 4\varepsilon (M^2 + 1) t.$$

A similar estimate is produced along the same line of arguments for  $\| c_W(t) - c_{ZW}(t) \|_0^2$ . According to the inequality (8.2.5), we conclude that  $(c_Z(t))_{Z \in \mathcal{Z}(t)}$  is a Cauchy net with limit  $c_t := \|\cdot\|_0\text{-}\lim_{Z \in \mathcal{Z}(t)} c_Z(t) \in \mathcal{E}_{[0,t]}$ . The independence of the limit  $c_t$  from the used net  $\mathcal{Z}(t)$  is concluded immediately with the help of this inequality.

We are left to prove the cocycle property of  $c := (c_t)_{t \geq 0}$ . Given the nets  $\mathcal{Z}(s)$  and  $\mathcal{Z}(t)$  of partitions of the intervals  $[0, s]$  resp.  $[0, t]$ , we define the net  $\mathcal{Z}(s+t)$  of partitions associated to the interval  $[0, s+t]$  in the following manner. For  $Z_s := \{s_i \geq 0 \mid 0 = s_0 < s_1 < \dots < s_{n_s} = s\} \in \mathcal{Z}(s)$  let  $t + Z_s$  denote the partition  $\{t + s_i \mid 0 = s_0 < s_1 < \dots < s_{n_s} = s\}$  of the interval  $[t, t+s]$ . Now we

define for any pair  $(Z_t, Z_s)$  of partitions  $Z_t \in \mathcal{Z}(t)$  and  $Z_s \in \mathcal{Z}(s)$  an element  $Z$  of the net  $\mathcal{Z}(t+s)$  by  $Z := Z_t \cup (t + Z_s)$ . Obviously, the grid of this net tends to zero. We observe

$$\begin{aligned} c_Z(t+s) &= \sum_{i=0}^{n_t-1} S_{t_i}(v_{t_{i+1}-t_i}) + \sum_{i=0}^{n_s-1} S_{t+s_i}(v_{s_{i+1}-s_i}) \\ &= c_{Z_t}(t) + S_t c_{Z_s}(s). \end{aligned}$$

From the net convergence of the left-hand side of this equality and the convergence of each summand of the right-hand side to  $c_{t+s}$ ,  $c_t$  resp.  $S_t c_s$ , we obtain the cocycle identity  $c_{t+s} = c_t + S_t c_s$ .

We have already identified in Corollary 6.4.6 the Christensen-Evans generator  $\mathcal{L}$  of  $R$  as

$$\mathcal{L}(a) = \Lambda(a) + K^*a + aK.$$

Since  $\mathcal{L}(\mathbb{1}) = 0$  and  $|c_t|_0^2 = t|c_1|_0^2$  by (6.3.2), the structure equation follows immediately from

$$\Lambda(\mathbb{1}) = |c_1|_0^2 = -(K + K^*). \quad \square$$

We close this section with a technical result which will be needed in Section 8.3 to finish the proof of Theorem 6.4.4.

**Lemma 8.2.6.** *Let  $u$  be a  $\|\cdot\|_0$ -continuous unital cocycle and  $c := \text{Ln}_0(u)$  the centred logarithm of  $u$ . Setting  $A_t := E_0 u_t$  and  $\Lambda := \langle c_1 | \cdot c_1 \rangle_0$ , it follows*

$$\langle c_t | a u_t \rangle_0 = \int_0^t \Lambda(a A_{t-s}) A_s \, ds, \quad a \in \mathcal{A}_0. \quad (8.2.6)$$

*Proof.* We recall Lemma 8.2.5 (i) to see that  $\langle S_r u_s | a u_t \rangle_0 = R_s(a A_{t-r-s}) A_r$ , whenever  $0 \leq s \leq t-r$  and  $a \in \mathcal{A}_0$ . Next, we choose for  $[0, t]$  the equidistant partition  $Z_n := \{i\delta_n \mid \delta_n := 2^{-n}t, i = 0, \dots, 2^n\} \in \mathcal{Z}(t)$ . Since the approximants  $c_{Z_n}$  in (8.2.2) converge to  $c_t$  in the  $\|\cdot\|_0$ -topology, we obtain in the uniform topology on  $\mathcal{A}_0$  that

$$\begin{aligned} \langle c_t | a u_t \rangle_0 &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{i=0}^{2^n-1} \langle S_{i\delta_n} u_{\delta_n} - A_{\delta_n} | a u_t \rangle_0 \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{i=0}^{2^n-1} [R_{\delta_n}(a A_{t-(i+1)\delta_n}) A_{i\delta_n} - A_{\delta_n}^* a A_{\delta_n}] \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{i=0}^{2^n-1} \left[ \frac{R_{\delta_n} - id}{\delta_n} - \mathcal{L} \right] (a A_{t-(i+1)\delta_n}) A_{i\delta_n} \delta_n \\ &\quad + \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{i=0}^{2^n-1} \mathcal{L}(a A_{t-(i+1)\delta_n}) A_{i\delta_n} \delta_n \end{aligned}$$



$$\begin{aligned}
 & + \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} t \left[ a \frac{A_{t-\delta_n} - A_t}{\delta_n} + \frac{\mathbb{1} - A_{\delta_n}^*}{\delta_n} a A_t \right] \\
 & = \int_0^t \mathcal{L}(a A_{t-s}) A_s ds - t(aK + K^*a)A_t
 \end{aligned}$$

– we use the form of the generator  $\mathcal{L}$  from Corollary 6.4.6 –

$$\begin{aligned}
 & = \int_0^t \Lambda(a A_{t-s}) A_s ds + tK^*aA_t \\
 & \quad + \int_0^t a A_{t-s} K A_s ds - t(aK + K^*a)A_t \\
 & = \int_0^t \Lambda(a A_{t-s}) A_s ds. \quad \square
 \end{aligned}$$

**8.3. Proof of the correspondence.** In this section we will complete the proof of Theorem 6.4.1 and Theorem 6.4.4. We will show that the mappings  $\text{Ln}$  and  $\text{Exp}$ , as introduced in Section 8.2 resp. Section 8.1, are injective. This will show that  $\text{Ln}$  and  $\text{Exp}$  are each-other inverse. Thus we will have completed the proof of Theorem 6.4.1. Notice that the injectivity of both mappings will also provide the bijectivity of the correspondence in Theorem 6.4.4. We divide its proof in several intermediate results.

Let the  $\mathcal{A}_0$ -expected continuous GNS Bernoulli shift  $(\mathcal{E}, \mathbb{1}, S, (\mathcal{E}_I)_{I \in \mathcal{I}})$  be given.

**Proposition 8.3.1.** *For any additive cocycle  $b$  in  $\mathcal{C}_0^0(\mathcal{E}, +)$  holds*

$$\text{Ln}(\text{Exp}(b)) = b.$$

*Consequently, the mapping  $\text{Exp}: \mathcal{C}_0^0(\mathcal{E}, +) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_0^0(\mathcal{E}, \cdot)$  is injective.*

We will need the following Lemma for the proof of Proposition 8.3.1.

**Lemma 8.3.2.** *Let  $b$  be an additive cocycle with centred part  $c$  and drift  $K$ , satisfying the structure equation  $\langle c_t | c_t \rangle_0 + t(K^* + K) = 0$ . Let  $u_t := \text{Exp}(c_t + Kt)$  and  $A_t := e^{Kt}$ . For any  $a \in \mathcal{A}_0$  holds*

$$\left\langle c_t \left| a \int_0^t dc_s u_s \right. \right\rangle_0 = \int_0^t \Lambda(a) A_s ds.$$

*Proof.* Since  $u$  is the solution of the IDE (8.1.1), the map  $t \mapsto u_t$  is  $\|\cdot\|_0$ -continuous and thus the Itô integral  $\int_0^t dc_s u_s$  is well-defined. Moreover,  $(a^* c_t)_{t \geq 0}$  is a centred additive cocycle. We calculate with the Itô identity (7.2.2)

$$\begin{aligned}
 \left\langle c_t \left| a \int_0^t dc_s u_s \right. \right\rangle_0 & = \left\langle \int_0^t d(a^* c_s) \left| \int_0^t dc_s u_s \right. \right\rangle_0 = \int_0^t \langle \mathbb{1} | \Lambda(a) u_s \rangle_0 ds \\
 & = \int_0^t \Lambda(a) A_s ds. \quad \square
 \end{aligned}$$

*Proof of Proposition 8.3.1.* By Theorem 8.1.1  $u := \text{Exp}(c)$  is a unital cocycle and by Theorem 8.2.2 we know that  $\text{Ln}(\text{Exp}(c))$  is again an additive cocycle satisfying the structure equation. We are left with the task to identify this cocycle as  $c$ . For this we consider, as stated in the previous Lemma, the unique decomposition  $b_t = c_t + Kt$  of the additive cocycle  $b$  and  $A_t = E_0 \text{Exp}(b_t)$ . We will prove

$$\text{Ln}(\text{Exp}(b_t)) - b_t = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{i=0}^{2^n-1} S_{i\delta_n}(\text{Exp}(b_{\delta_n}) - \mathbb{1}) - b_t = 0,$$

where  $\delta_n := t2^{-n}$ , by showing

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{i=0}^{2^n-1} S_{i\delta_n}(A_{\delta_n} - \mathbb{1}) - Kt = 0 \quad (8.3.1)$$

and

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{i=0}^{2^n-1} S_{i\delta_n}(\text{Exp}(b_{\delta_n}) - A_{\delta_n}) - c_t = 0 \quad (8.3.2)$$

in the  $\|\cdot\|_0$ -topology. The equation (8.3.1) is obvious, since  $\mathcal{A}_0$  is the fixed point algebra of  $S$  and  $A_t$  is a uniformly continuous semigroup with generator  $K$ . Next we focus on the limit stated in equation (8.3.2) and let  $u_t := \text{Exp}(b_t)$  for shortness:

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=0}^{2^n-1} S_{i\delta_n}(u_{\delta_n} - A_{\delta_n}) - c_t &= \sum_{i=0}^{2^n-1} S_{i\delta_n}(u_{\delta_n} - \mathbb{1} - c_{\delta_n}) - \sum_{i=0}^{2^n-1} (A_{\delta_n} - \mathbb{1}) \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^{2^n-1} S_{i\delta_n} \int_0^{\delta_n} dc_s(u_s - \mathbb{1}) - \sum_{i=0}^{2^n-1} S_{i\delta_n} \int_0^{\delta_n} ds K u_s - \sum_{i=0}^{2^n-1} \frac{A_{\delta_n} - \mathbb{1}}{\delta_n} \delta_n. \end{aligned}$$

Obviously, the last summand tends to  $-Kt$ . The second summand tends to  $Kt$ , since

$$\left\| \int_0^{\delta_n} K(u_{\delta_n} - \mathbb{1}) ds \right\|_0 \leq \sqrt{2} \|K\| \int_0^{\delta_n} \|A_s - \mathbb{1}\|^{1/2} ds$$

and thus  $\int_0^{\delta_n} K(u_{\delta_n} - \mathbb{1}) ds$  tends to zero with order  $\delta_n^{3/2}$ . Finally, the first summand converges to zero:

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \sum_{i=0}^{2^n-1} S_{i\delta_n} \int_0^{\delta_n} dc_s(u_s - \mathbb{1}) \right|_0^2 &= \sum_{i=0}^{2^n-1} \left| \int_0^{\delta_n} dc_s u_s - c_{\delta_n} \right|_0^2 \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^{2^n-1} \left( \int_0^{\delta_n} R_s(\Lambda(\mathbb{1})) ds + \delta_n \Lambda(\mathbb{1}) \right) - \sum_{i=0}^{2^n-1} 2 \text{Re} \left\langle c_{\delta_n} \left| \int_0^{\delta_n} dc_s u_s \right\rangle_0 \right. \end{aligned}$$

– we use Lemma 8.3.2 –

$$= \sum_{i=0}^{2^n-1} \int_0^{\delta_n} R_s(\Lambda(\mathbb{1})) ds + \Lambda(\mathbb{1})t - \sum_{i=0}^{2^n-1} 2 \operatorname{Re} \int_0^{\delta_n} \Lambda(\mathbb{1}) A_s ds$$

which tends to zero for  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , since both sums converge to  $2\Lambda(\mathbb{1})t$  in the  $\|\cdot\|_0$ -topology on  $\mathcal{A}_0$ .  $\square$

We prove next the injectivity of the mapping  $\operatorname{Ln}$ .

**Proposition 8.3.3.** *For any unital cocycle  $u$  in  $\mathcal{C}_0^0(\mathcal{E}, \cdot)$  holds*

$$\operatorname{Exp}(\operatorname{Ln}(u)) = u.$$

*Consequently, the mapping  $\operatorname{Ln}: \mathcal{C}_0^0(\mathcal{E}, \cdot) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_0^0(\mathcal{E}, +)$  is injective.*

We will show that each  $\|\cdot\|_0$ -continuous unital cocycle  $u$  is a solution of the IDE (6.4.1), where  $c = \operatorname{Ln}_0(u)$ , the centred non-commutative logarithm of  $u$ .

*Proof.* We prepare the proof by some results which we will need in the sequel. By Theorem 8.2.2 we know already: the semigroup  $R := (\langle u_t | \cdot u_t \rangle_0)_{t \geq 0}$  has the generator  $\mathcal{A}_0 \ni a \mapsto \mathcal{L}(a) := \Lambda(a) + K^*a + aK$ , where  $\Lambda := \langle c_1 | \cdot c_1 \rangle_0$  and  $A_t := E_0 u_t = e^{Kt}$ , as well as  $\Lambda(\mathbb{1}) = -(K^* + K)$ . The non-commutative logarithm of  $u$  we obtain as  $c_t = \|\cdot\|_0\text{-}\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{i=0}^{2^n-1} S_{i\delta_n}(u_{\delta_n} - A_{\delta_n})$ , with  $\delta_n := t2^{-n}$ . To prove the Lemma we will show

$$\left| u_t - \mathbb{1} - \int_0^t dc_s u_s - \int_0^t ds K u_s \right|_0^2 = 0. \quad (8.3.3)$$

The inner product leads to the following ten expressions:

- (i)  $|u_t|_0^2 = \mathbb{1}$
- (ii)  $|\mathbb{1}|_0^2 = \mathbb{1}$
- (iii)  $\left| \int_0^t dc_s u_s \right|_0^2 = \int_0^t R_s(\Lambda(\mathbb{1})) ds$
- (iv)  $\left| \int_0^t ds K u_s \right|_0^2 = \int_0^t R_s(\Lambda(\mathbb{1})) ds$   
 $-2 \operatorname{Re} \left[ \int_0^t R_s(\Lambda(A_{t-s})) ds - (\mathbb{1} - A_t) \right]$
- (v)  $-2 \operatorname{Re} \langle u_t | \mathbb{1} \rangle_0 = -2 \operatorname{Re} A_t$
- (vi)  $2 \operatorname{Re} \left\langle \mathbb{1} \left| \int_0^t dc_s u_s \right\rangle_0 = 0$
- (vii)  $-2 \operatorname{Re} \left\langle u_t \left| \int_0^t dc_s u_s \right\rangle_0 = -2 \operatorname{Re} \int_0^t R_s(\Lambda(A_{t-s})) ds$
- (viii)  $-2 \operatorname{Re} \left\langle u_t \left| \int_0^t ds K u_s \right\rangle_0 = 2 \operatorname{Re} \left[ \int_0^t R_s(\Lambda(A_{t-s})) ds - (\mathbb{1} - A_t) \right]$

$$\begin{aligned}
(ix) \quad & 2 \operatorname{Re} \left\langle \mathbb{1} \left| \int_0^t ds K u_s \right\rangle_0 = -2 \operatorname{Re}(\mathbb{1} - A_t) \\
(x) \quad & 2 \operatorname{Re} \left\langle \int_0^t ds K u_s \left| \int_0^t dc_s u_s \right\rangle_0 = 2 \operatorname{Re} \left[ \int_0^t R_s(\Lambda(A_{t-s})) ds \right. \\
& \qquad \qquad \qquad \left. - \int_0^t R_s(\Lambda(\mathbb{1})) ds \right]
\end{aligned}$$

Equation (8.3.3) is obtained by adding (i) to (x). The equations (i), (ii), (iii), (v) and (vi), as well as (ix), are evident.

Ad (iv): We use Lemma 8.2.5 (i) and (ii) to obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\left| \int_0^t ds K u_s \right|_0^2 &= \int_0^t \int_0^r \langle u_s | K^* K u_r \rangle_0 ds dr + \int_0^t \int_r^t \langle u_s | K^* K u_r \rangle_0 ds dr \\
&= \int_0^t \int_0^r R_s(K^* K A_{r-s}) ds dr + \int_0^t R_r \left( \int_r^t A_{s-r}^* K^* K ds \right) dr.
\end{aligned}$$

The second integrals evaluates to  $\int_0^t R_r((A_{t-r}^* - \mathbb{1})K) dr$ . In the first integrals we exchange the order of integration and obtain  $\int_0^t R_s(\int_s^t K^* K A_{r-s} dr) ds = \int_0^t R_s(K^*(A_{t-s} - \mathbb{1})) ds$ . We collect all expressions and obtain

$$\left| \int_0^t ds K u_s \right|_0^2 = \int_0^t R_s(\Lambda(\mathbb{1})) ds + \int_0^t R_s(A_{t-s}^* K + K^* A_{t-s}) ds.$$

The next calculation will make use of

$$- \int_0^t R_s(K^* A_{t-s}^*) ds = \int_0^t R_s \left( \frac{d}{ds} A_{t-s}^* \right) ds = \mathbb{1} - A_t^* - \int_0^t R_s(\mathcal{L}(A_{t-s}^*)) ds.$$

and of a similar equation for the adjoint expression  $-\int_0^t R_s(A_{t-s} K) ds$ . We take into account these two equations and the form of the generator  $\mathcal{L}$  to find

$$\begin{aligned}
\left| \int_0^t ds K u_s \right|_0^2 &= \int_0^t R_s(\Lambda(\mathbb{1})) ds \\
&+ \int_0^t R_s(A_{t-s}^* K + K^* A_{t-s}^*) ds + \int_0^t R_s(A_{t-s} K + K^* A_{t-s}) ds \\
&- \int_0^t R_s(K^* A_{t-s}^*) ds - \int_0^t R_s(A_{t-s} K) ds \\
&= \int_0^t R_s(\Lambda(\mathbb{1})) ds \\
&+ \int_0^t R_s((A_{t-s} + A_{t-s}^*) K + K^*(A_{t-s} + A_{t-s}^*)) ds \\
&- \int_0^t R_s(\mathcal{L}(A_{t-s} + A_{t-s}^*)) ds + \mathbb{1} - A_t + \mathbb{1} - A_t^*
\end{aligned}$$

$$= \int_0^t R_s(\Lambda(\mathbb{1})) ds - 2 \operatorname{Re} \int_0^t R_s(\Lambda(A_{t-s})) ds + 2 \operatorname{Re}(\mathbb{1} - A_t).$$

Ad (vii): Next of all we calculate for  $0 \leq s \leq t - \delta$ :

$$\begin{aligned} \langle u_t | (S_s c_\delta) u_s \rangle_0 &= \langle (S_s u_{t-s}) u_s | (S_s c_\delta) u_s \rangle_0 = R_s(\langle u_{t-s} | c_\delta \rangle_0) \\ &= R_s(\langle A_{t-s-\delta} u_\delta | c_\delta \rangle_0) \stackrel{(8.2.6)}{=} R_s\left(\int_0^\delta A_r^* \Lambda(A_{\delta-r}^* A_{t-s-\delta}^*) dr\right) \\ &= R_s\left(\int_0^\delta A_r^* \Lambda(A_{t-s-r}^*) dr\right). \end{aligned}$$

Thus we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} \left\langle u_t \left| \int_0^t dc_s u_s \right\rangle_0 &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{i=0}^{2^n-1} \langle u_t | (S_{i\delta_n} c_{\delta_n}) u_{i\delta_n} \rangle_0 \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{i=0}^{2^n-1} \underbrace{R_{i\delta_n} \left( \frac{1}{\delta_n} \int_0^{\delta_n} A_r^* \Lambda(A_{t-i\delta_n-r}^*) dr - \Lambda(A_{t-i\delta_n}^*) \right)}_{o(\delta_n)} \delta_n \\ &\quad + \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{i=0}^{2^n-1} R_{i\delta_n} (\Lambda(A_{t-i\delta_n}^*)) \delta_n = \int_0^t R_s(\Lambda(A_{t-s}^*)) ds. \end{aligned}$$

Ad (viii):

$$\begin{aligned} \left\langle u_t \left| \int_0^t ds K u_s \right\rangle_0 &= \int_0^t \langle (S_s u_{t-s}) u_s | K u_s \rangle_0 ds = \int_0^t R_s(A_{t-s}^* K) ds \\ &= \int_0^t R_s(A_{t-s}^* K + K^* A_{t-s}^*) ds - \int_0^t R_s(K^* A_{t-s}^*) ds \\ &= \int_0^t R_s \mathcal{L}(A_{t-s}^*) ds - \int_0^t R_s \Lambda(A_{t-s}^*) ds - \int_0^t R_s(K^* A_{t-s}^*) ds \\ &= \mathbb{1} - A_t^* - \int_0^t R_s(\Lambda(A_{t-s}^*)) ds. \end{aligned}$$

The last equation is found, similar to (iv), by partial integration.

Ad (x): The same calculation as for (vii) shows  $\langle K u_s | \int_0^s dc_r u_r \rangle_0 = \int_0^s R_r(\Lambda(K^* A_{s-r}^*)) dr$ . Consequently, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left\langle \int_0^t ds K u_s \left| \int_0^t dc_s u_s \right\rangle_0 &= \int_0^t \int_0^s R_r(\Lambda(K^* A_{s-r}^*)) dr ds \\ &= \int_0^t \int_r^t R_r(\Lambda(K^* A_{s-r}^*)) ds dr \\ &= \int_0^t R_r(\Lambda(A_{t-r}^*)) dr - \int_0^t R_r(\Lambda(\mathbb{1})) dr. \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

Now we have provided all results to finish the proof of Theorem 6.4.1 and Theorem 6.4.4.

*Proof of Theorems 6.4.1 and 6.4.4.* According to Theorem 8.1.1 we can associate to each additive cocycle  $b$  in  $\mathcal{C}_0^0(\mathcal{E}, +)$  a unital cocycle  $u = \text{Exp}(b)$  in  $\mathcal{C}_0^0(\mathcal{E}, \cdot)$ . By Proposition 8.3.1 the mapping  $\text{Exp}$  is injective and by Proposition 8.3.3 it is also surjective. This completes the proof of the two theorems.  $\square$

## APPENDIX A. HILBERT $W^*$ -MODULES

For the convenience of the reader we provide some background results on Hilbert  $W^*$ -modules, as far as we will need them throughout this paper.

We start with a (pre-) Hilbert  $C^*$ -module  $\mathcal{E}$  over a von Neumann algebra  $\mathcal{A}_0 \subseteq \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_0)$  and present the construction of a Hilbert  $W^*$ -module which we will need within the framework of continuous Bernoulli shifts. For a detailed approach to Hilbert modules we refer to [Lan95] and for the notion of Hilbert  $W^*$ -modules to [Fra90, Pas73, Sch96]. The  $\mathcal{A}_0$ -valued inner product  $\langle \cdot | \cdot \rangle_0$  induces by  $|x|_0 := \langle x | x \rangle_0^{1/2}$  an  $\mathcal{A}_0$ -valued ‘norm’ which gives rise to the norm  $\|x\|_0 := \||x|_0\|$  on  $\mathcal{E}$ . The completion of  $\mathcal{E}$  in this norm is a Hilbert  $C^*$ -module which we will also denote by  $\mathcal{E}$ . The Cauchy-Schwarz inequality for the inner product is valid in the following form:

$$|\langle x | y \rangle_0|^2 \leq \|x\|_0^2 \|y\|_0^2, \quad x, y \in \mathcal{E}. \quad (\text{A.1})$$

$\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{E})$  is the Banach algebra of bounded module maps, i.e., the continuous  $\mathcal{A}_0$ -linear maps  $T : \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}$  such that  $T(xa) = T(x)a$  for any  $x \in \mathcal{E}$  and  $a \in \mathcal{A}_0$ . A bounded linear map  $T : \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}$  is  $\mathcal{A}_0$ -linear if and only if the inequality

$$\langle Tx | Tx \rangle_0 \leq M \langle x | x \rangle_0, \quad x \in \mathcal{E}. \quad (\text{A.2})$$

is satisfied [Pas73].  $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{E})$  denotes the  $C^*$ -algebra of adjointable  $\mathcal{A}_0$ -linear maps, i.e., maps  $T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{E})$  for which a linear map  $T^*$  exists such that  $\langle x | Ty \rangle_0 = \langle T^*x | y \rangle_0$  for any  $x, y \in \mathcal{E}$ . In general an  $\mathcal{A}_0$ -linear map is not adjointable (but this property will always be the case for  $W^*$ -modules). The topological dual  $\mathcal{E}'$  of  $\mathcal{E}$  is given by the  $\mathcal{A}_0$ -linear maps from  $\mathcal{E}$  into  $\mathcal{A}_0$ . The map  $\hat{x} : \mathcal{E} \ni y \mapsto \langle x | y \rangle_0$  defines an isometric embedding of  $\mathcal{E}$  into  $\mathcal{E}'$ . Notice that, in general, the image  $\widehat{\mathcal{E}}$  is not identical to  $\mathcal{E}'$ . A Hilbert module  $\mathcal{E}$  with the property  $\widehat{\mathcal{E}} = \mathcal{E}'$  is called selfdual.

$\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{E}) := \text{lh}\{\Theta_{x,y} | x, y \in \mathcal{E}\}^{-\|\cdot\|} \subseteq \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{E})$ , with  $\Theta_{x,y}z := x\langle y | z \rangle_0$  is the two-sided  $*$ -ideal of ‘compact operators’ on  $\mathcal{E}$ . The ideal  $\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{E})$  has an approximate unit  $(e_\alpha)_{\alpha \in I}$  of the form  $e_\alpha := \sum_{i=1}^{n_\alpha} \Theta_{y_i^\alpha, y_i^\alpha}$  (which can be constructed by an obvious adaption of the proof in [BR79, Prop. 2.2.18]).

In the following, we present a concrete realization of the Hilbert  $W^*$ -module via the minimal Kolmogorov decomposition of the kernel  $\mathcal{E} \times \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_0; (x, y) \mapsto \langle x | y \rangle_0$  [EL77, Mur97]. By this decomposition the Hilbert module  $\mathcal{E}$  is realized as a subspace of  $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_0, \mathcal{H}')$  for some Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}'$  such that  $\overline{\mathcal{E}\mathcal{H}_0} = \mathcal{H}'$  and

thus, by an embedding, as a subspace of  $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_0 \oplus \mathcal{H}')$ . Consequently, we assume that the inner product of  $\mathcal{E}$  takes the form  $\langle x | y \rangle_0 = x^*y$ .

**Definition A.1.** *The closure of  $\mathcal{E}$  in the stop topology of  $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_0 \oplus \mathcal{H}')$  is called a Hilbert  $W^*$ -module.*

The strong operator (stop) topology resp. the  $\sigma$ -strong operator ( $\sigma$ -stop) topology on  $\mathcal{E}$  is induced by the seminorms  $x \mapsto \| |x|_0 \xi_0 \|$ ,  $\xi_0 \in \mathcal{H}_0$ , resp.  $x \mapsto |\varphi(\langle x | x \rangle_0)|^{1/2}$ ,  $\varphi \in \mathcal{A}_{0*}$ . The weak\* topology on  $\mathcal{E}_1$  is induced by the seminorms  $x \mapsto |\varphi(\langle y | x \rangle_0)|$ ,  $\varphi \in \mathcal{A}_{0*}$ ,  $y \in \mathcal{E}$ .

**Theorem A.2.** *A Hilbert  $W^*$ -module  $\mathcal{E}$  over the von Neumann algebra  $\mathcal{A}_0$  has the predual  $\mathcal{E}_* = \text{lh}\{\varphi(\langle y | \cdot \rangle_0) \mid y \in \mathcal{E}, \varphi \in \mathcal{A}_{0*}\}^{-\|\cdot\|}$  and is selfdual in the sense of Hilbert modules.*

*Proof.* Since  $\mathcal{E}$  is a weakly\* closed subspace of  $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_0 \oplus \mathcal{H}')$ , it is the dual of the Banach space  $\mathcal{T}(\mathcal{H}_0 \oplus \mathcal{H}')/\mathcal{E}^\circ$ . Here,  $\mathcal{T}(\mathcal{H}_0 \oplus \mathcal{H}')$  denotes the trace class operators on  $\mathcal{H}_0 \oplus \mathcal{H}'$  and  $\mathcal{E}^\circ$  the polar of  $\mathcal{E}$  in  $\mathcal{T}(\mathcal{H}_0 \oplus \mathcal{H}')$ . Obviously, the functionals  $x \mapsto \langle \xi | x \xi_0 \rangle$ ,  $\xi \in \mathcal{H}'$ ,  $\xi_0 \in \mathcal{H}_0$  form a total set in  $\mathcal{E}_*$ . Due to the minimality of the Kolmogorov decomposition, they are approximated by functionals  $x \mapsto \langle y \eta_0 | x \xi_0 \rangle = \langle \eta_0 | \langle y | x \rangle_0 \xi_0 \rangle$ ,  $\eta_0, \xi_0 \in \mathcal{H}_0$ ,  $y \in \mathcal{E}$ . The latter can be used to approximate  $x \mapsto \varphi(\langle y | x \rangle_0)$ ,  $\varphi \in \mathcal{A}_{0*}$ . Thus, we conclude  $\mathcal{E}_* = \text{lh}\{\varphi(\langle y | \cdot \rangle_0) \mid y \in \mathcal{E}, \varphi \in \mathcal{A}_{0*}\}^{-\|\cdot\|}$ .

The self duality of  $\mathcal{E}$  is proved with the help of the approximate unit  $(e_\alpha)_{\alpha \in I}$  of  $\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{E})$ . For  $\Psi \in \mathcal{E}'$  we check

$$\Psi(e_\alpha x) = \sum_{i=1}^{n_\alpha} \Psi(y_i^\alpha) \langle y_i^\alpha | x \rangle_0 = \left\langle \sum_{i=1}^{n_\alpha} y_i^\alpha \Psi(y_i^\alpha)^* \mid x \right\rangle_0 =: \langle z_\alpha | x \rangle_0.$$

This expression converges in norm to  $\Psi(x)$ . Thus  $\varphi(\Psi(e_\alpha x))$  converges to  $\varphi(\Psi(x))$  for any  $\varphi \in \mathcal{A}_{0*}$ . From this we conclude that  $(z_\alpha)_{\alpha \in I}$  converges to some  $z \in \mathcal{E}$  in the weak\* topology on  $\mathcal{E}$ , since the net is bounded:  $\|z_\alpha\|_0 = \|\langle z_\alpha | \cdot \rangle_0\| = \|\Psi \circ e_\alpha\| \leq \|\Psi\| \|e_\alpha\|_0 \leq \|\Psi\|$ . Therefore we get  $\varphi(\Psi(x)) = \varphi(\langle z | x \rangle_0)$  for any  $\varphi \in \mathcal{A}_{0*}$  and any  $x \in \mathcal{E}$ , i.e.,  $\Psi = \langle z | \cdot \rangle_0$ .  $\square$

**Corollary A.3.** *For a Hilbert  $W^*$ -module  $\mathcal{E}$  is  $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{E}) = \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{E})$ .*

*Proof.* For  $T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{E})$  and  $y \in \mathcal{E}$  defines  $\mathcal{E} \ni x \mapsto \langle y | Tx \rangle_0$  an element in  $\mathcal{E}'$ , i.e., there exists a unique element  $z_y \in \mathcal{E}$  such that  $\langle z_y | x \rangle_0 = \langle y | Tx \rangle_0$  for any  $x \in \mathcal{E}$ . From [Lan95] it is known that  $T^* : y \mapsto z_y$  is the adjoint of  $T$ . This proves  $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{E}) \subseteq \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{E})$ . The inverse inclusion is obvious.  $\square$

**Corollary A.4.** *Let  $\mathcal{E} \subseteq \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_0 \oplus \mathcal{H}')$  be a Hilbert  $W^*$ -module over the von Neumann algebra  $\mathcal{A}_0 \subseteq \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_0)$ . Then  $y \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_0 \oplus \mathcal{H}')$  and  $y^*x \in \mathcal{A}_0$  for any  $x \in \mathcal{E}$  implies  $y \in \mathcal{E}$ .*

*Proof.*  $\mathcal{E} \ni x \mapsto y^*x$  defines an element in  $\mathcal{E}'$ , i.e., it is  $y^*x \xi_0 = \langle z | x \rangle_0 \xi_0 = z^*x \xi_0$  for any  $x \in \mathcal{E}$ ,  $\xi_0 \in \mathcal{H}_0$  and some element  $z \in \mathcal{E}$ . Since  $\mathcal{H}'$  is generated by  $\mathcal{E}\mathcal{H}_0$  we conclude  $y^* = z^*$ , and thus  $y \in \mathcal{E}$ .  $\square$

**Theorem A.5** (Kaplansky). *Let  $\mathcal{E}$  be the Hilbert  $W^*$ -module generated by the pre-Hilbert module  $\mathcal{E}_0$ . Then the unit ball  $\mathcal{E}_{0,1}$  of  $\mathcal{E}_0$  is  $\sigma$ -stop dense in the unit ball  $\mathcal{E}_1$  of  $\mathcal{E}$ .*

*Proof.* The so-called linking algebra  $\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{E}} := \begin{bmatrix} \mathcal{A}_0 & \mathcal{E}^* \\ \mathcal{E} & \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{E}) \end{bmatrix}$  is a  $W^*$ -algebra. Here we have  $\mathcal{E}^* := \{x^* \mid x \in \mathcal{E}\}$ . The density follows from the contractivity of the embedding of  $\mathcal{E}$  in  $\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{E}}$  and Kaplansky's density theorem for  $\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{E}}$ .  $\square$

## APPENDIX B. THE $\psi$ -ADJOINT OF MORPHISMS

We provide results which are needed in the proof of Theorem 5.2.2.

**Lemma B.1.** *Let  $(\mathcal{A}, \psi)$  be a probability space and  $T: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$  a completely positive map such that there exists an  $a \in \mathcal{A}$  with the property*

$$\psi(T(x)) = \psi(xa) \quad (\text{B.1})$$

*for all  $x \in \mathcal{A}$ . Then  $T$  is normal (i.e. weakly\*-weakly\*-continuous),  $\sigma$  stop- $\sigma$  stop- and  $\sigma$  stop\*- $\sigma$  stop\*-continuous.*

Note that the operator  $a$  is necessary unique, if it exists. For the proof of the lemma we introduce the so called  $\psi$ -norm on  $\mathcal{A}$  by  $\|x\|_{\psi} := \psi(x^*x)^{1/2}$ .

*Proof.* Using [Sak71, Prop. 1.24.1], we obtain the inequality

$$\psi(T(x)) \leq \|a\| \psi(x), \quad x \in \mathcal{A}^+ \quad (\text{B.2})$$

from the positivity of  $\psi \circ T$ . The Kadison-Schwarz inequality for  $T$  leads to  $\|T(x)\|_{\psi} \leq \|a\|^{1/2} \|x\|_{\psi}$ . Now the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality shows for each  $x \in \mathcal{A}$  the  $\sigma$  stop-continuity of  $\mathcal{A} \ni y \mapsto \psi_x(T(y))$ , where  $\psi_x(y) := \psi(xy)$ . Since  $\{\psi_x \mid x \in \mathcal{A}\}$  is uniformly dense in  $\mathcal{A}_*$  by the bipolar theorem, the usual  $\varepsilon/2$ -argument shows the stop-continuity of the maps  $y \mapsto \varphi(T(y))$ ,  $\varphi \in \mathcal{A}_*$ , on bounded subsets of  $\mathcal{A}$ . From [Tak03a, Thm. II.2.6] we conclude  $\varphi \circ T \in \mathcal{A}_*$  for any  $\varphi \in \mathcal{A}_*$ , i.e.  $T$  is normal. Now let  $(x_{\alpha})_{\alpha \in I}$  be a net converging  $\sigma$ -strongly to zero. Then for any positive  $\varphi \in \mathcal{A}_*$  we have by Kadison-Schwarz's inequality  $\varphi(T(x_{\alpha}^*)T(x_{\alpha})) \leq \varphi \circ T(x_{\alpha}^*x_{\alpha}) \xrightarrow{\alpha} 0$ , i.e.  $T(x_{\alpha}) \xrightarrow{\alpha} 0$   $\sigma$ -strongly. The  $\sigma$  stop\*- $\sigma$  stop\*-continuity of  $T$  is shown analogously.  $\square$

For the readers convenience we include a direct proof of a result from [Küm84], which is needed in Theorem 5.2.2 (cf. [Hel01]). In the following  $\Delta$  and  $J$  denotes, as usual, the modular operator and the modular conjugation with respect to  $\psi = \langle \Omega \mid \cdot \Omega \rangle$ .

**Theorem B.2.** *For a completely positive map  $T$  on the probability space  $(\mathcal{A}, \psi)$  with property (B.1) the following are equivalent:*

- (i) *There exists a completely positive map  $T^*$  on  $\mathcal{A}$  with the property  $\psi(xT(y)) = \psi(T^*(y)x)$  f.a.  $x, y \in \mathcal{A}$ .*
- (ii)  *$T$  commutes with the modular automorphism group  $\sigma^{\psi}$  of  $\psi$ .*



Consequently, the operator  $a$  in equation (B.1) belongs to the centralizer  $\mathcal{A}^\psi$  of  $\psi$  and is given by  $T^*(\mathbb{1})$ .

**Definition B.0.4.**  $T^*$  is called the  $\psi$ -adjoint of  $T$ .

*Proof.* By (B.2),  $T$  has an extension  $\overline{T}$  to a bounded operator on the GNS Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}_\psi$ , which is defined by  $\overline{T}x\Omega := T(x)\Omega$ ,  $x \in \mathcal{A}$ .

(ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (i): Since  $T$  and  $\sigma^\psi$  commute,  $T$  maps the stop-dense subspace of entire analytic elements  $\mathcal{A}_a$  for  $\sigma^\psi$  into itself. Hence, for any  $y \in \mathcal{A}_a$ , the analytic continuation of  $\mathbb{R} \ni t \mapsto \overline{T}\Delta^{it}y^*\Omega = \Delta^{it}T(y^*)\Omega$ , evaluated at  $t = -i/2$ , yields

$$\overline{T}Jy\Omega = \overline{T}\Delta^{1/2}y^*\Omega = \Delta^{1/2}\overline{T}y^*\Omega = \Delta^{1/2}T(y^*)\Omega = JT(y)\Omega = J\overline{T}y\Omega.$$

It follows  $[\overline{T}, J] = 0$ , since  $\mathcal{A}_a\Omega$  is dense in  $\mathcal{H}_\psi$ . Next we will show  $\overline{T}^*\mathcal{A}^+\Omega \subseteq \mathcal{A}^+\Omega$ . To begin with, by the duality of the cones  $\overline{\mathcal{A}^+\Omega}$  and  $\overline{\mathcal{A}'^+\Omega}$  and the estimation

$$\langle Jx\Omega | \overline{T}^*y\Omega \rangle = \langle JT(x)\Omega | y\Omega \rangle = \langle T(x)^{1/2}\Omega | JyJT(x)^{1/2}\Omega \rangle \geq 0,$$

for all  $x, y \in \mathcal{A}^+$ , we obtain  $\overline{T}^*y\Omega \in \overline{\mathcal{A}^+\Omega}$ . Next we observe that the positive linear functional  $x \mapsto \langle Jx\Omega | \overline{T}^*y\Omega \rangle$  is dominated by  $\psi$ : For  $x \in \mathcal{A}^+$  we have

$$\langle T(x)^{1/2}\Omega | JyJT(x)^{1/2}\Omega \rangle \leq \|y\| \langle \Omega | T(x)\Omega \rangle \stackrel{(B.2)}{\leq} \|y\| \|a\| \psi(x).$$

Hence, by the Radon-Nikodym theorem, there is a unique  $z \in \mathcal{A}^+$  with the property  $\langle Jx\Omega | \overline{T}^*y\Omega \rangle = \langle Jz\Omega | x\Omega \rangle = \langle Jx\Omega | z\Omega \rangle$  for any  $x \in \mathcal{A}$ . That is,  $\overline{T}^*y\Omega = z\Omega \in \mathcal{A}^+\Omega$ .

Therefore,  $T^*(y)\Omega := \overline{T}^*y\Omega$ ,  $y \in \mathcal{A}$ , defines a positive linear map  $T^*$  on  $\mathcal{A}$ , which fulfills obviously  $\psi(xT(y)) = \psi(T^*(x)y)$ . Thus the uniqueness of  $T^*$  and  $a = T^*(\mathbb{1}) \geq 0$  are evident.  $T^*(\mathbb{1}) \in \mathcal{A}^\psi$  follows from

$$\psi(T^*(\mathbb{1})x) = \overline{\psi(T(x^*))} = \overline{\psi(T^*(\mathbb{1})x^*)} = \psi(xT^*(\mathbb{1})).$$

Up to now we have used only the positivity of  $T$ . We are left to show that  $T^*$  is completely positive. To this end consider the map  $T_{(n)} := \text{id}_n \otimes T$  on the probability space  $(M_n \otimes \mathcal{A}, \psi_{(n)})$ , where  $\psi_{(n)} := \tau_n \otimes \psi$ , with  $\tau_n$  the normed trace on  $M_n$ . The modular operator  $\Delta_{(n)}$  and the modular conjugation  $J_{(n)}$  of  $\psi_{(n)}$  are, respectively, given by  $\Delta_{(n)} := \mathbb{1}_n \otimes \Delta$  and  $J_{(n)} := J_n \otimes J$ , with  $J_n$  the modular conjugation of  $\tau_n$ . Obviously,  $T_{(n)}$  commutes with the modular automorphism group of  $\psi_{(n)}$ , given by  $\text{id}_n \otimes \sigma^\psi$ . An elementary calculation shows  $\psi_{(n)}(xT_{(n)}(y)) = \psi_{(n)}(T_{(n)}^*(x)y)$  for all  $x, y \in M_n \otimes \mathcal{A}$ , with  $T_{(n)}^* := \text{id}_n \otimes T^*$ . Choosing  $x = \mathbb{1}_n \otimes \mathbb{1}$ , we arrive at (B.1) for  $\psi_{(n)}$  and  $T_{(n)}$ , with  $a$  replaced by  $\mathbb{1}_n \otimes a$ . Since  $T$  is completely positive,  $T_{(n)}$  is positive. Hence, our considerations above show the existence of the adjoint map  $(T_{(n)})^*$ , which by uniqueness is given by  $T_{(n)}^*$ . Since  $(T_{(n)})^*$  is positive,  $T^*$  is completely positive.

(i)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii): Let  $y \in \text{Dom}(\Delta)$  and  $x \in \mathcal{A}$ . Then we have, using  $\overline{T}^* = \overline{T^*}$ :

$$\langle x\Omega | \overline{T}\Delta y\Omega \rangle = \langle \Delta^{1/2}T^*(x)\Omega | \Delta^{1/2}y\Omega \rangle = \langle JT^*(x^*)\Omega | Jy^*\Omega \rangle$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \langle y^* \Omega | T^*(x^*) \Omega \rangle = \langle T(y^*) \Omega | x^* \Omega \rangle \\
&= \langle J \Delta^{1/2} T(y) \Omega | J \Delta^{1/2} x \Omega \rangle = \langle \Delta^{1/2} x \Omega | \Delta^{1/2} \overline{T} y \Omega \rangle.
\end{aligned}$$

Since  $\mathcal{A}\Omega$  is a core for  $\Delta^{1/2}$ ,  $\Delta^{1/2} \overline{T} y \Omega$  is in the domain of  $\Delta^{1/2}$  and we have  $\Delta \overline{T} y \Omega = \overline{T} \Delta y \Omega$ . Hence  $\overline{T}$  and  $\Delta$  commute strongly. From this we obtain  $[\overline{T}, \Delta^{it}] = 0$  and finally  $T \circ \sigma_t^\psi = \sigma_t^\psi \circ T$ .  $\square$

#### REFERENCES

- [AFL82] L. Accardi, A. Frigerio, and J.T. Lewis. Quantum stochastic processes. *Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci.*, 18:97–133, 1982.
- [Ans] M. Anshelevich.  $q$ -Lévy processes. *J. Reine Angew. Math.* To appear.
- [Ara87] H. Araki. Bogoliubov automorphisms and Fock representations of canonical anticommutation relations. *Amer. Math. Soc. Contemporary Mathematics*, 62:23–141, 1987.
- [Ara71] H. Araki. On quasifree states of CAR and Bogoliubov automorphisms. *Publ. RIMS Kyoto Univ.*, 6:385–442, 1970/71.
- [Arv89] W. Arveson. An addition formula for the index of semigroups of endomorphisms of  $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ . *Pac. J. Math.*, 137:19–36, 1989.
- [Arv03] W. Arveson. *Noncommutative Dynamics and E-Semigroups*. Springer Monographs in Mathematics. Springer-Verlag, 2003.
- [AW63] H. Araki and E.J. Woods. Representations of the canonical commutation relations describing a nonrelativistic infinite free Bose gas. *J. Mathematical Phys.*, 4:637–662, 1963.
- [BBL04] S.D. Barreto, B.V.R Bhat, V. Liebscher, and M. Skeide. Type I product systems of Hilbert modules. *J. Funct. Anal.*, 212(1):121–181, 2004.
- [BG02] M. Bożejko and M. Gută. Functors of white noise associated to characters of the infinite symmetric group. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 229:209–227, 2002.
- [BGS02] A. Ben Ghorbal and M. Schürmann. Non-commutative notions of stochastic independence. *Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.*, 133:531–561, 2002.
- [Bha99] B.V.R Bhat. Minimal dilations of quantum dynamical semigroups to semigroups of endomorphism of  $C^*$ -algebras. *J. Ramanujan Math. Soc.*, 14(2):109–124, 1999.
- [Bha01] B.V.R. Bhat. *Cocycles of CCR flows*, volume 149 of *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.* 2001.
- [BKS97] M. Bożejko, B. Kümmerer, and R. Speicher.  $q$ -Gaussian processes: non-commutative and classical aspects. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 185:129–154, 1997.

- [BR79] O. Bratteli and D.W. Robinson. *Operator Algebras and Quantum Statistical Mechanics I*. Springer-Verlag, 1979.
- [BR81] O. Bratteli and D.W. Robinson. *Operator Algebras and Quantum Statistical Mechanics II*. Springer-Verlag, 1981.
- [BS91] M. Bożejko and R. Speicher. An example of generalized Brownian motion. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 137:519–531, 1991.
- [BS94] M. Bożejko and R. Speicher. Completely positive maps on Coxeter groups, deformed commutation relations and operator spaces. *Math. Ann.*, 300:97–120, 1994.
- [BS98] P. Biane and R. Speicher. Stochastic calculus with respect to free Brownian motion and analysis on the Wigner space. *Probab. Theory Relat. Fields*, 112:373–409, 1998.
- [BS00] B.V.R Bhat and M. Skeide. Tensor product systems of Hilbert modules and dilations of completely positive semigroups. *Infin. Dimens. Anal. Quantum Probab. Relat. Top.*, 3:519–575, 2000.
- [BS04] B.V.R Bhat and R. Srinivasan. On product systems arising from sum systems. *arXiv:math.OA/0405267v1*, 2004.
- [BSW83] C. Barnett, R. Streater, and I.F. Wilde. Quasi-free quantum stochastic integrals for the CAR and CCR. *J. Funct. Anal.*, 52:19–44, 1983.
- [CE79] E. Christensen and D.E. Evans. Cohomology of operator algebras and quantum dynamical semigroups. *J. London Math. Soc.*, 20:358–368, 1979.
- [CS03a] F. Cipriani and J.-L. Sauvageot. Derivations as square roots of Dirichlets forms. *J. Funct. Anal.*, 201(1):78–120, 2003.
- [CS03b] F. Cipriani and J.-L. Sauvageot. Strong solutions to the Dirichlet problem for differential forms: a quantum dynamical semigroup approach. In *Advances in Quantum Dynamics (South Hadley, MA, 2002)*, volume 335 of *Contemp. Math.*, pages 109–117, Providence, RI., 2003.
- [Dav80] E.B. Davies. *One-Parameter Semigroups*. Academic Press, London, 1980.
- [DM03] C. Donati-Martin. Stochastic integration with respect to  $q$ -Brownian motion. *Prob. Theory Related Fields*, 125(1):77–95, 2003.
- [EL77] D.E. Evans and J.T. Lewis. *Dilations of Irreversible Evolutions in Algebraic Quantum Theory*, volume 24 of *Comm. of the Dublin Institute for Advanced Studies, Series A (Theoretical Physics)*. 1977.
- [Fra90] M. Frank. Self-duality and  $C^*$ -reflexivity of Hilbert  $C^*$ -moduli. *Z. Anal. Anwendungen*, 9:165–176, 1990.
- [GHJ89] F.M. Goodman, P. de la Harpe, and V.F.R. Jones. *Coxeter Graphs and Towers of Algebras*. Springer-Verlag, 1989.
- [GK04] R. Gohm and C. Köstler. On non-commutative Bernoulli shifts with order invariance. In preparation, 2004.

- [GLSW01] D. Goswami, J.M. Lindsay, K.B. Sinha, and S.J. Wills. A stochastic Stinespring Theorem. *Math. Ann.*, 319:647–673, 2001.
- [GLSW03] D. Goswami, J.M. Lindsay, K.B. Sinha, and S.J. Wills. Dilation of Markovian cocycles on a von Neumann algebra. *Pacific J. Math.*, 221–247(2), 2003.
- [GM02] M. Gută and H. Maassen. Generalized Brownian motion and second quantization. *J. Funct. Anal.*, 191:241–275, 2002.
- [Goh] R. Gohm. A probabilistic index for completely positive maps and an application. *J. Operator Theory*.
- [Goh04] R. Gohm. *Noncommutative Stationary Processes*, volume 1839 of *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*. Springer, 2004.
- [GS99] D. Goswami and K.B. Sinha. Hilbert modules and stochastic dilations of a quantum dynamical semigroup on a von Neumann algebra. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 204:377–403, 1999.
- [Gui71] A. Guichardet. Sur la cohomologie des groupes topologiques. *Bull. Sci. Math.*, 2<sup>e</sup> Sér., 95:161–176, 1971.
- [Gui72] A. Guichardet. Sur la cohomologie des groupes topologiques II. *Bull. Sci. Math.*, 2<sup>e</sup> Sér., 96:305–332, 1972.
- [GV64] I.M. Gel'fand and N.Ya. Vilenkin. *Applications of Harmonic Analysis*, volume 4 of *Generalized Functions*. Academic Press, 1964.
- [GZ00] C.W. Gardiner and P. Zoller. *Quantum Noise. A Handbook of Markovian and Non-Markovian Quantum Stochastic Methods with Applications to Quantum Optics*, volume 56 of *Springer Series in Synergetics*. Springer, second enlarged edition, 2000.
- [Hel01] J. Hellmich. *Quantenstochastische Integration in Hilbertmoduln*. PhD thesis, Univ. Tübingen, <http://w210.ub.uni-tuebingen.de/dbt/volltexte/2002/478>, 2001.
- [HHK<sup>+</sup>02] J. Hellmich, R. Honegger, C. Köstler, B. Kümmerer, and A. Rieckers. Couplings to classical and non-classical squeezed white noise as stationary Markov processes. *Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci.*, 38:1–31, 2002.
- [Hid80] T. Hida. *Brownian Motion*, volume 11 of *Applications of Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, 1980.
- [HK] J. Hellmich and C. Köstler. Derived non-commutative continuous Bernoulli shifts. In preparation.
- [HKK98] J. Hellmich, C. Köstler, and B. Kümmerer. Stationary quantum Markov processes as solutions of stochastic differential equations. In *Quantum Probability*, volume 43 of *Banach Center Publications*, pages 217–229, Warszawa, 1998.
- [HL85] R.L. Hudson and J.M. Lindsay. A non-commutative martingale representation theorem for non-Fock quantum Brownian motion. *J. Funct. Anal.*, 61(2):202–221, 1985.

- [HP84] R.L. Hudson and K.R. Parthasarathy. Quantum Ito's formula and stochastic evolutions. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 93(3):301–323, 1984.
- [JS97] V. Jones and V.S. Sunder. *Introduction to Subfactors*. Cambridge University Press, 1997.
- [JX03] M. Junge and Q. Xu. Non-commutative Burkholder/Rosenthal inequalities. *Ann. Probab.*, 31(2):948–995, 2003.
- [KM98] B. Kümmerer and H. Maassen. Elements of quantum probability. *Quantum Prob. Comm.*, X:73–100, 1998.
- [Kös00] C. Köstler. *Quanten-Markoff-Prozesse und Quanten-Brownsche Bewegungen*. PhD thesis, Univ. Stuttgart, 2000.
- [Kös03] C. Köstler. Survey on a quantum stochastic extension of Stone's theorem. In *Advances in Quantum Dynamics (Mount Holyoke 2002)*, Contemporary Mathematics, 2003.
- [Kös04a] C. Köstler. On the relationship between continuous Bernoulli systems, Tsirelson's continuous products of probability spaces and Arveson's product systems. In preparation, 2004.
- [Kös04b] C. Köstler. An operator algebraic approach to non-commutative Lévy processes. Preprint, 2004.
- [KR86] K.V. Kadison and J.R. Ringrose. *Fundamentals of the Theory of Operator Algebras*, volume 2. Academic Press, 1986.
- [Kró02] I. Królak. *Von Neumann algebras connected with general commutation relations*. PhD thesis, Wrocław, 2002.
- [KS04] C. Köstler and R. Speicher. On the structure of non-commutative white noises. Preprint, 2004.
- [Küm84] B. Kümmerer. Adjoints of operators on  $W^*$ -algebras. Unpublished manuscript, 1984.
- [Küm85] B. Kümmerer. Markov dilations on  $W^*$ -algebras. *J. Funct. Anal.*, 63:139–177, 1985.
- [Küm88] B. Kümmerer. Survey on a theory of non-commutative stationary Markov processes. In *Quantum Probability and Applications III*. Proc. Conf., Oberwolfach/FRG 1987, 1988.
- [Küm93] B. Kümmerer. Stochastic processes with values in  $M_n$  as couplings to free evolutions. Unpublished manuscript, 1993.
- [Küm96] B. Kümmerer. Quantum white noise. In H. Heyer and et.al., editors, *Infinite dimensional harmonic analysis, Bamberg*, pages 156–168. D. u. M. Graebner, 1996.
- [Küm02] B. Kümmerer. Quantum Markov processes. In *Coherent evolutions in noisy environments (Dresden, 2001)*, number 611 in Lecture Notes in Phys., pages 139–198, Berlin, 2002. Springer.
- [Lan95] E.C. Lance. *Hilbert  $C^*$ -Modules*, volume 210 of *London Mathematical Society Lecture Notes Series*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1995.

- [Lie03] V. Liebscher. Random sets and invariants for (type II) continuous tensor product systems of Hilbert spaces. Preprint arXiv:math.PR/0306365, 2003.
- [Lin04] J.M. Lindsay. Quantum Stochastic Analysis – an Introduction. Preprint, 2004.
- [LW86] J.M. Lindsay and I.F. Wilde. On non-Fock Boson stochastic integrals. *J. Funct. Anal.*, 65(2):76–82, 1986.
- [LW00] J.M. Lindsay and S.J. Wills. Markovian cocycles on operator algebras, adapted to Fock filtrations. *J. Funct. Anal.*, 178(2):269–305, 2000.
- [Mac62] G.W. Mackey. Point realizations of transformation groups. *Illinois J. Math.*, 6:327–335, 1962.
- [Mey93] P.A. Meyer. *Quantum Probability for Probabilists*, volume 1538 of *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, 1993.
- [MS02] P. Muhly and B. Solel. Quantum Markov processes (correspondences and dilations). *Int. J. Math.*, 13:863–906, 2002.
- [Mur97] G.J. Murphy. Positive definite kernels and Hilbert  $C^*$ -modules. *Proc. Edinb. Math. Soc. (2)*, 40:367–374, 1997.
- [Par92] K.R. Parthasarathy. *An Introduction to Quantum Stochastic Calculus*. Birkhäuser, 1992.
- [Pas73] W.L. Paschke. Inner product modules over  $B^*$ -algebras. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 182:443–468, 1973.
- [Ped79] G.K. Pedersen.  *$C^*$ -Algebras and their Automorphism Groups*. Academic Press, 1979.
- [Pet90] D. Petz. *An Invitation to the Algebra of Canonical Commutation Relations*. Leuven Notes in Math. and Theor. Physics, Leuven, 1990.
- [Pop83] S. Popa. Orthogonal pairs of  $*$ -subalgebras in finite von Neumann algebras. *J. Operator Theory*, 9:253–268, 1983.
- [Pop90] S. Popa. Classification of subfactors: the reduction to commuting squares. *Invent. Math.*, 101:19–43, 1990.
- [Pow87] R. Powers. A non-spatial continuous semigroup of  $*$ -endomorphisms of  $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ . *Publ. RIMS (Kyoto University)*, 23(6):1054–1069, 1987.
- [Pri89] J. Prin. Verallgemeinertes weißes Rauschen und nichtkommutative stochastische Integration. Master’s thesis, Univ. Tübingen, 1989.
- [Pro95] P. Protter. *Stochastic Integration and Differential Equations*, volume 21 of *Applications of Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, 1995.
- [PX97] G. Pisier and Q. Xu. Non-commutative martingale inequalities. *Comm. Math, Phys*, 189:667–698, 1997.
- [Rob82] D.W. Robinson. Strongly positive semigroups and faithful invariant states. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 85:129–142, 1982.

- [Rup95] C. Rupp. *Non-Commutative Bernoulli Shifts on Towers of von Neumann Algebras*. PhD thesis, Univ. Tübingen, 1995.
- [Sak71] S. Sakai. *C\*-Algebras and W\*-Algebras*. Springer-Verlag, 1971.
- [Sau86] J.-L. Sauvageot. Markovian quantum semigroups admit covariant C\*-dilations. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 106:91–103, 1986.
- [Sch96] J. Schweizer. *Interplay between Noncommutative Topology and Operators on C\*-Algebras*. PhD thesis, Univ. Tübingen, 1996.
- [Spe97] R. Speicher. On universal products. In *Free probability theory (Waterloo, ON, 1995)*, Fields Inst. Commun., Providence, RI, 1997. Amer. Math. Soc.,.
- [Tak71] M. Takesaki. States and automorphisms of operator algebras, standard representations and the Kubo-Martin-Schwinger boundary condition. In *Summer Rencontres in Mathematics and Physics*, volume 20 of *Lecture Notes in Physics*, pages 205–246. Battelle Seattle, Wash., 1971.
- [Tak73] M. Takesaki. The structure of a von Neumann algebra with a homogenous periodic state. *Acta Math.*, 131:249–310, 1973.
- [Tak03a] M. Takesaki. *Theory of Operator Algebras I*. Encyclopaedia of Mathematical Sciences. Springer, 2003.
- [Tak03b] M. Takesaki. *Theory of Operator Algebras III*. Springer, 2003.
- [Tsi98] B. Tsirelson. Unitary Brownian motions are linearizable. 1998. arXiv: math.PR/9806112 v1.
- [Tsi03] B. Tsirelson. Non-isomorphic product systems. In *Advances in Quantum Dynamics (South Hadley, MA, 2002)*, pages 273–328. Americ. Math. Soc., 2003. arXiv: math.FA/0210457 v2.
- [Tsi04] B. Tsirelson. Nonclassical stochastic flows and continuous products. *arXiv: math.PR/0402431 v2*, 2004.
- [TV98] B. Tsirelson and A.V. Vershik. Examples of nonlinear continuous tensor products of measure spaces and non-Fock factorizations. *Rev. Math. Phys.*, 10:81–145, 1998.
- [VDN92] D.V. Voiculescu, K.J. Dykema, and A. Nica. *Free Random Variables*, volume 1 of *CRM Monograph Series*. American Math. Society, 1992.