

PERMUTATION REPRESENTATIONS ON INVERTIBLE MATRICES

YONA CHERNIAVSKY, ELI BAGNO

ABSTRACT. We discuss permutation representations which are obtained by the natural action of $S_n \times S_n$ on some special sets of invertible matrices, defined by simple combinatorial attributes. We decompose these representations into irreducibles. The multiplicities involved have a nice combinatorial interpretation. We also generalize known results on asymptotic behavior of the conjugacy representation of S_n .

1. INTRODUCTION

The (0,1)-matrices have a wide variety of applications in combinatorics as well as in computer science. A lot of research had been devoted to this area. By considering the set of $n \times n$ (0,1)-matrices as a boolean monoid and relating them to posets, one can get interesting representations of S_n . Note that $S_n \times S_n$ acts on matrices by permuting rows and columns. Some aspects of the corresponding equivalence relation are treated in [I] and [Li]. A simultaneous lexicographic ordering of the rows and the columns using this action is shown in [MM].

The above action of $S_n \times S_n$ gives rise to a permutation representation of $S_n \times S_n$ on (0,1)-matrices. If we diagonally embed S_n in $S_n \times S_n$ we get a generalization of the conjugacy representation of S_n .

Adin and Frumkin [AF] showed that the conjugacy character of the symmetric group is close, in some sense, to the regular character of S_n . More precisely, the quotient of the norms of the regular character and the conjugacy character as well as the cosine of the angle between them tend to 1 when n tends to infinity. This implies that these representations have essentially the same decompositions.

Roichman [R] further points out a wide family of irreducible representations of S_n whose multiplicity in the conjugacy representation is asymptotically equal to their dimension, i.e. their multiplicity in the regular representation.

In this paper we use the action of $S_n \times S_n$ on the (0,1)-matrices to define two families of representations on a family of orbits of this action. The first family forms an interpolation between the regular representation of $S_n \times S_n$ and the 'diagonal sum' of the irreducible representations of S_n : $\bigoplus_{\lambda \vdash n} S^\lambda \otimes S^\lambda$. The other family is a generalization of the conjugacy representation of S_n . In both cases we calculate characters and present the decomposition of these representations into irreducibles. The second family of representations can be seen as an extension of the results of [AF] and [R].

The rest of this paper is organized as follows: In Section 2 we give some preliminaries on permutation groups and their conjugacy classes and on representations of S_n . In Sections 3 and 3.1 we define the actions of $S_n \times S_n$ and of S_n on invertible matrices. In Sections 4 and 5 we specialize them into the case of (0,1)-matrices.

We also discuss the two permutation representation they give rise to and calculate their characters. In Section 6 we show some asymptotic results concerning the generalization of the conjugacy representation of S_n . In Section 7 we decompose the representation of $S_n \times S_n$ mentioned above into irreducible representations. In Section 8 we consider the actions described above on color permutation groups instead of $(0,1)$ -matrices.

2. PRELIMINARIES

2.1. Permutation Groups. S_n is the group of all bijections from the set $\{1\dots n\}$ to itself. Every $\pi \in S_n$ may be written in *disjoint cycle form* usually omitting the 1-cycles of π . For example, $\pi = 365492187$ may also be written as $\pi = (9, 7, 1, 3, 5)(2, 6)$. Given $\pi, \tau \in S_n$ let $\pi\tau := \pi \circ \tau$ (composition of functions) so that, for example, $(1, 2)(2, 3) = (1, 2, 3)$. Note that two permutations are conjugate in S_n if and only if they have the same cycle structure. In this paper we write $\pi \sim \sigma$ if the permutations π and σ are conjugate in S_n . We denote by \hat{S}_n the set of conjugacy classes of S_n and by $C_\pi \leq S_n$ the centralizer subgroup of the element $\pi \in S_n$. Let $C(\pi) \subseteq S_n$ denote the conjugacy class of the element $\pi \in S_n$. By $\text{supp}(\pi)$ we mean the set of digits which are not fixed by π . An element $\pi \in S_n$ with $|\text{supp}(\pi)| = t$ can be considered as an element of S_t and then C_π^t denotes the centralizer subgroup of the element π in S_t while $C^t(\pi)$ denotes the conjugacy class of the element π in S_t . $\pi_k \pi_{n-k}$ denotes an element of $S_k \times S_{n-k}$ where $\pi_k \in S_k$ and $\pi_{n-k} \in S_{n-k}$. $C^{k \times (n-k)}(\pi_k \pi_{n-k})$ denotes the conjugacy class of the element $\pi_k \pi_{n-k}$ in $S_k \times S_{n-k}$.

There is an obvious embedding of S_n in $GL_n(\mathbb{F})$ where \mathbb{F} is any field. Just think about a permutation $\pi \in S_n$ as an $n \times n$ matrix obtained from the identity matrix by permutations of the rows. More explicitly: for every permutation $\pi \in S_n$ we identify π with the matrix:

$$[\pi]_{i,j} = \begin{cases} 1 & i = \pi(j) \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

2.2. Color permutation groups. For later use, we define here the color permutation groups. For $r, n \in \mathbb{N}$, let $G_{r,n}$ denote the group of all n by n monomial matrices whose non-zero entries are complex r -th roots of unity. This group can also be described as the wreath product $C_r \wr S_n$ which is the semi-direct product $C_r^n \rtimes S_n$, where C_r^n is taken as the subgroup of all diagonal matrices in $G_{r,n}$. For $r = 1$, $G_{r,n}$ is just S_n while for $r = 2$, $G_{r,n} = B_n$, the Weyl group of type B .

2.3. Representations.

2.3.1. Permutation representations. In this work we deal mainly with permutation representations. Given an action of a group G on a set M , the appropriate representation space is the space spanned by the elements of M on which G acts by linear extension. We list two well known facts about permutation representations.

Fact 2.1. *The character of the permutation representation calculated at some $g \in G$ equals to the number of fixed points under g .*

Fact 2.2. *The multiplicity of the trivial representation in a given permutation representation is equal to the number of orbits under the corresponding action.*

An important example we will use extensively in this work is the conjugacy representation which is the permutation representation obtained by the action of the group on itself by conjugation.

2.3.2. *Representations of S_n .* Let n be a nonnegative integer. A *partition* of n is an infinite sequence of nonnegative integers with finitely many nonzero terms $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots)$, where $\lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq \dots$ and $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \lambda_i = n$.

The sum $\sum \lambda_i = n$ is called the *size* of λ , denoted $|\lambda|$; write also $\lambda \vdash n$. The number of parts of λ , $\ell(\lambda)$, is the maximal j for which $\lambda_j > 0$. The unique partition of $n = 0$ is the *empty partition* $\emptyset = (0, 0, \dots)$, which has length $\ell(\emptyset) := 0$. For a partition $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_k, \dots)$ define the *conjugate partition* $\lambda' = (\lambda'_1, \dots, \lambda'_i, \dots)$ by letting λ'_i be the number of parts of λ that are $\geq i$ ($\forall i \geq 1$).

A partition $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_k)$ may be viewed as the subset

$$\{(i, j) \mid 1 \leq i \leq k, 1 \leq j \leq \lambda_i\} \subseteq \mathbb{Z}^2,$$

the corresponding *Young diagram*. Using this interpretation we may speak of the intersection $\lambda \cap \mu$, the set difference $\lambda \setminus \mu$ and the symmetric set difference $\lambda \Delta \mu$ of any two partitions. Note that $|\lambda \Delta \mu| = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |\lambda_k - \mu_k|$.

It is well known that the irreducible representations of S_n are indexed by partitions of n (See for example [Sa]) and the representations of $S_n \times S_n$ are indexed by pairs of partitions (λ, μ) where $\lambda, \mu \vdash n$. For every two representations of S_n , λ and ρ , we denote by $m(\lambda, \rho)$ the multiplicity of λ in ρ . If we denote by $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ the standard scalar product of characters of a finite group G i.e.

$$\langle \chi_1, \chi_2 \rangle = \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{\pi \in G} \chi_1(\pi) \overline{\chi_2(\pi)}$$

then $m(\lambda, \rho) = \langle \chi_\lambda, \chi_\rho \rangle$.

Similarly, $m((\lambda, \mu), \varphi)$ denotes the multiplicity of the representation of $S_n \times S_n$ corresponding to the pair of partitions (λ, μ) , $\lambda \vdash n$, $\mu \vdash n$ in the decomposition of φ , where φ is any representation of $S_n \times S_n$.

We cite here for later use the branching rule for the representations of S_n . We start with a definition needed to state the branching rule.

Definition 2.3. *Let $\lambda \vdash n$ be a Young diagram. Then a corner of λ is a cell $(i, j) \in \lambda$ such whose removal leaves leaves the Young diagram of a partition. Any partition obtained by such a removal is denoted by λ^- .*

Proposition 2.4. [Sa] *If $\lambda \vdash n$ then*

$$S^\lambda \downarrow_{S_{n-1}}^{S_n} \cong \bigoplus_{\lambda^-} S^{\lambda^-}.$$

3. THE ACTION OF $S_n \times S_n$ ON INVERTIBLE MATRICES

Definition 3.1. *Let G be a subgroup of $S_n \times S_n$ and let \mathbb{F} be any field. We define an action of G on the group $GL_n(\mathbb{F})$ by*

$$(\pi, \sigma) \bullet A = \pi A \sigma^{-1} \quad \text{where } (\pi, \sigma) \in G \text{ and } A \in GL_n(\mathbb{F}) \quad (1)$$

It is easy to see that this really defines a group action.

In this work we deal only with the cases: $G = S_n \times S_n$ and $G = (S_k \times S_{n-k}) \times (S_k \times S_{n-k})$.

Definition 3.2. Let M be a finite subset of $GL_n(\mathbb{F})$, invariant under the action of $S_n \times S_n$ defined above. We denote by α_M the permutation representation of G obtained from the action (1). In the sequel we identify the action (1) with the permutation representation α_M associated with it.

3.1. A generalization of the conjugacy representation of S_n . In this section we present a conjugacy representation of S_n on a subset M of $GL_n(\mathbb{F})$.

Definition 3.3. Denote by β the permutation representation of S_n obtained by the following action on M .

$$\pi \circ A = (\pi, \pi) \bullet A = \pi A \pi^{-1} \quad (2)$$

The connection between α_M and β_M is given by the following easily seen claim:

Claim 3.4. Consider the diagonal embedding of S_n into $S_n \times S_n$. Then

$$\beta_M = \alpha_M \downarrow_{S_n \times S_n}^{S_n} . \quad \square$$

Theorem 3.5. For every finite set $M \subseteq GL_n(\mathbb{F})$ invariant under the action (1) of $S_n \times S_n$ defined above:

If π and σ are conjugate in S_n then

$$\chi_{\alpha_M}((\pi, \sigma)) = \chi_{\alpha_M}((\pi, \pi)) = \chi_{\beta_M}(\pi) = \#\{A \in M \mid \pi A = A\pi\} .$$

If π is not conjugate to σ in S_n then

$$\chi_{\alpha_M}((\pi, \sigma)) = 0 .$$

Proof. See Theorem 4.5 in [CS]. □

Example 3.6. Take $M = S_n$ (embedded in $GL_n(\mathbb{F})$ as permutation matrices). In this case β_M is just the conjugacy representation of S_n and a direct calculation shows that for every $\pi \in S_n$:

$$\chi_{\beta_M}(\pi) = |C_\pi| = \chi_{\alpha_M}(\pi, \pi) .$$

For every irreducible representation of S_n corresponding to a partition λ one has:

$$m(\lambda, \beta_M) = \sum_C \chi_\lambda(C) \text{ where } C \text{ runs over all conjugacy classes of } S_n .$$

Moreover,

$$m((\lambda, \lambda), \alpha_M) = 1 ,$$

and

$$m((\lambda, \mu), \alpha_M) = 0 \text{ when } \lambda \neq \mu .$$

This means that $\alpha_M \cong \bigoplus_{\lambda \vdash n} S^\lambda \otimes S^\lambda$ where S^λ is the irreducible S_n -module corresponding to λ . This fact will be implied by substitution $k = 0$ in Proposition 7.

4. THE ACTION OF $S_n \times S_n$ ON $(0,1)$ -MATRICES

In this section we specialize the action (1) of $S_n \times S_n$ defined in Section 3 to $(0,1)$ -matrices. Consider the group $G = GL_n(\mathbb{Z}_2)$. For every $A \in G$ denote by $o(A)$ the number of nonzero entries in A . One can associate with A a pair of partitions of $o(A)$ with n parts $(\eta(A), \theta(A))$ where $\eta(A)$ describes the distribution of nonzero entries in the rows of A and $\theta(A)$ describes the same distribution for columns. For example, if:

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

then $\eta(A) = (4, 3, 1, 1) \vdash 9$ and $\theta(A) = (3, 3, 2, 1) \vdash 9$.

If we fix a pair of partitions (η, θ) then the set of matrices corresponding to (η, θ) is closed under the action (1), but this action is not necessarily transitive on such a set, i.e. it can be decomposed into a union of several orbits. For example, if

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } B = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

then $\eta(A) = \theta(A) = \eta(B) = \theta(B) = (2, 2, 1, 1)$ but it can be easily shown that $A \neq \pi B \sigma$ for any $\pi, \sigma \in S_4$.

We present now a family of subsets of $GL_n(\mathbb{Z}_2)$ which will be proven shortly to be orbits of our action:

Definition 4.1.

$$\begin{aligned} H_n^0 &= \{A \in G \mid \eta(A) = \theta(A) = (1, 1, 1, \dots, 1) = 1^n\} \\ H_n^1 &= \{A \in G \mid \eta(A) = (n, 1, 1, \dots, 1), \theta(A) = (2, 2, \dots, 2, 1) = 2^{n-1} 1\} \\ H_n^2 &= \{A \in G \mid \eta(A) = (n, n-1, 1, \dots, 1), \theta(A) = (3, 3, \dots, 3, 2, 1) = 3^{n-2} 2 1\} \\ &\dots \dots \dots \\ H_n^k &= \{A \in G \mid \eta(A) = (n, n-1, \dots, n-(k-1), 1, \dots, 1), \\ &\theta(A) = (k+1, k+1, \dots, k+1, k, k-1, \dots, 2, 1) = (k+1)^{n-k} k(k-1) \dots 2 1\} \\ &\dots \dots \dots \\ H_n^n &= \{A \in G \mid \eta(A) = \theta(A) = (n, n-1, n-2, \dots, n-(k-1), \dots, 3, 2, 1)\} \end{aligned}$$

Note that in the above example $A \in H_4^2$. A few remarks on the sets H_n^k are in order: First, note that $|H_n^k| = n!(n)_k$. Secondly, note that H_n^0 is S_n , embedded as permutation matrices. Also note that the set $H_n^0 \cup H_n^1$ is closed under matrix multiplication and matrix inversion and is actually isomorphic to the group S_{n+1} . Another simple observation is that $H_n^n = H_n^{n-1}$.

In order to prove that the sets H_n^k are transitive under the action we need the following definition:

Definition 4.2. Denote by $U_{n,k}$ the following binary $n \times n$ matrix : the upper left $k \times k$ block is upper triangular with the upper triangle filled by ones, the upper right $k \times (n-k)$ block is filled by ones, the lower left $(n-k) \times k$ block is the zero matrix and the lower right $(n-k) \times (n-k)$ block is the identity matrix I_{n-k} .

Proposition 4.3. Each set H_n^k is transitive under the action (1). More explicitly, $H_n^k = \{\pi U_{n,k} \sigma \mid \pi, \sigma \in S_n\}$

Proof. We will prove that $U_{n,k}$ is a representative of H_n^k .

Take an arbitrary matrix $A \in H_n^k$. By the definition of H_n^k , A has a unique row which is filled by ones. Choose a permutation matrix π_1 such that this row is the first row of $\pi_1 A$. By the definition of H_n^k , $\pi_1 A$ has a unique column of type $(1, 0, \dots, 0)^T$, thus one can choose a permutation matrix σ_1 such that this is the first column of $\pi_1 A \sigma_1$. Now consider the $(n-1) \times (n-1)$ sub matrix A_1 obtained from $\pi_1 A \sigma_1$ by deleting the first row and the first column. It is easy to see that $A_1 \in H_{n-1}^{k-1}$ and we can repeat the process described above. Note that the first row and the first column of A will not be changed since their entries numbered 2..n are identical. (only 1's in the first row, only 0's in the first column. Continuing this way we get

$$\pi_t \pi_{t-1} \cdots \pi_1 A \sigma_1 \cdots \sigma_{t-1} \sigma_t = U_{n,k}$$

which proves that $H_n^k \subseteq \{\pi U_{n,k} \sigma \mid \pi, \sigma \in S_n\}$. The other inclusion, i.e. $\{\pi U_{n,k} \sigma \mid \pi, \sigma \in S_n\} \subseteq H_n^k$, follows directly from the definitions of $U_{n,k}$ and H_n^k . \square

In particular

$$H_n^0 = \left\{ \pi \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & \cdots & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \cdots & \cdots & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \sigma \mid \pi, \sigma \in S_n \right\} = S_n$$

$$H_n^1 = \left\{ \pi \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & \cdots & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \cdots & \cdots & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \sigma \mid \pi, \sigma \in S_n \right\}$$

$$H_n^3 = \left\{ \pi \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & \cdots & \cdots & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & \cdots & \cdots & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & \cdots & \cdots & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & & \ddots \\ 0 & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \sigma \mid \pi, \sigma \in S_n \right\}$$

.....

$$H_n^n = \left\{ \pi \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & \cdots & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & \cdots & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & \cdots & 1 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \cdots & \cdots & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \sigma \mid \pi, \sigma \in S_n \right\}$$

For the case $k = n$ the permutation representation $\alpha_{H_n^k}$ can be easily described:

Proposition 4.4. *The representation $\alpha_{H_n^n}$ is isomorphic to the regular representation of $S_n \times S_n$.*

Proof. As was shown in the proof of Proposition 4.3, $H_n^n = \{\pi U_{n,n} \sigma \mid \pi, \sigma \in S_n\}$, where $U_{n,n}$ is the upper triangular matrix with all the upper triangle filled by ones. Define a bijection $\varphi : H_n^n \rightarrow S_n \times S_n$ by $\varphi(\pi U_{n,n} \sigma) = (\pi, \sigma^{-1})$. Since each row (column) of $U_{n,n}$ has a different number of 1-s (from 1 to n), we have: $\pi_1 U_{n,n} \sigma_1 = \pi_2 U_{n,n} \sigma_2$ if and only if $\pi_1 = \pi_2$ and $\sigma_1 = \sigma_2$. This means that the mapping φ is well defined and bijective. Now:

$$(\omega, \tau) \bullet (\pi U_{n,n} \sigma) = \omega \pi U_{n,n} \sigma \tau^{-1} \xrightarrow{\varphi} (\omega \pi, \tau \sigma^{-1}) = (\omega, \tau) (\pi, \sigma^{-1}).$$

Thus φ is an isomorphism of $S_n \times S_n$ - modules between H_n^n and the (left) regular representation of $S_n \times S_n$. □

4.1. A natural mapping from H_n^k onto S_n . In this section we present a surjection between the representation of $S_n \times S_n$ on H_n^k to the representation of $S_n \times S_n$ on S_n . We will use this mapping later when we decompose the permutation representation α into irreducibles representations.

We deal first with H_n^n .

Definition 4.5. *Define the mapping $t : H_n^n \rightarrow S_n$ by*

$$(\pi U_{n,n} \sigma) \mapsto \pi \sigma.$$

This mapping is well defined since by the definition of $U_{n,n}$

$$\pi U_{n,n} \sigma = \rho U_{n,n} \tau \iff \pi = \rho \text{ and } \sigma = \tau.$$

It is easy to see that this mapping is a surjection and, moreover, $|t^{-1}(\pi)| = n!$ for any $\pi \in S_n$. (Indeed, any fixed $\pi \in S_n$ can be represented in exactly $n!$ ways in the form $\pi = xy$ since choosing $x \in S_n$ in $n!$ ways we must take $y = x^{-1}\pi$).

Proposition 4.6. *The mapping t preserves the action α of $S_n \times S_n$ on H_n^n , i.e.*

$$t(\pi A \sigma) = \pi t(A) \sigma \text{ for any } A \in H_n^n.$$

Proof. Any $A \in H_n^n$ can be represented $A = \rho U_{n,n} \tau$ for some $\rho, \tau \in S_n$. Thus by the definition of t we have

$$t(\pi A \sigma) = t(\pi \rho U_{n,n} \tau \sigma) = \pi \rho \tau \sigma = \pi t(\rho U_{n,n} \tau) \sigma = \pi t(A) \sigma. \quad \square$$

Now, in a similar way, for every $n, 1 \leq k \leq n$ we define to $T_{n,k} : H_n^k \rightarrow S_n$ as follows:

Definition 4.7. Define the mapping $T_{n,k} : H_n^k \rightarrow S_n$ by $T_{n,k}(\pi U_{n,k} \sigma) = \pi \sigma$.

Notice that unlike the case of the sets H_n^n , here we have to show that the mapping $T_{n,k}$ is well defined since a matrix $A \in H_n^k$ can be written in the form $\pi U_{n,k} \sigma$ in more than one way. Indeed, $T_{n,k}$ is well defined since if $\pi U_{n,k} \sigma = U_{n,k}$ then as the first k rows (columns) of $U_{n,k}$ are different, we have $\pi, \sigma \in \{e\} \times S_{n-k}$. Thus we can write $\pi = \pi_{n-k}$ and $\sigma = \sigma_{n-k}$ and it is easy to see that one must have: $\pi \sigma = e$ which says that $T_{n,k}(\pi U_{n,k} \sigma) = e = T_{n,k}(U_{n,k})$.

Just as was the case in H_n^n we have that:

Proposition 4.8. The mapping $T_{n,k}$ preserves the action α of $S_n \times S_n$ on H_n^k , i.e.

$$T_{n,k}(\pi A \sigma) = \pi T_{n,k}(A) \sigma \text{ for any } A \in H_n^k. \quad \square$$

It is also clear from the definition that $T_{n,k}$ is onto and it is easy to see that $|T_{n,k}^{-1}(\pi)| = k! \binom{n}{k} = (n)_k$.

5. THE REPRESENTATION β_M FOR $M = H_n^k$.

In [F] it was proven that the conjugacy representation of S_n contains every irreducible representation of S_n as a constituent. The representation β defined in Section 3.1 is a type of a conjugacy representation of S_n on H_n^k .

Proposition 5.1. Denote the conjugacy representation of S_n by ψ . Then every irreducible representation of S_n is a constituent in $\beta_{H_n^k}$. In other words

$$m(\lambda, \beta_{H_n^k}) > 0 \quad \text{for any } \lambda \vdash n.$$

where $m(\lambda, \beta_{H_n^k})$ denotes the multiplicity of the irreducible representation corresponding to λ in $\beta_{H_n^k}$.

Proof. Denote the conjugacy representation of S_n by ψ . In [F] it is shown that $m(\lambda, \psi) > 0$ for any $\lambda \vdash n$. By proposition 4.8, $T_{n,k}$ commutes with the conjugacy representation of S_n and thus it gives rise to an epimorphism from $\beta_{H_n^k}$ onto the conjugacy representation of S_n . Hence, by Schur Lemma,

$$m(\lambda, \beta_{H_n^k}) \geq m(\lambda, \psi) > 0. \quad \square$$

We turn now to the calculation of the character of $\beta_{H_n^k}$. By the definition, we have:

$$\chi_{\beta_{H_n^k}}(\pi) (= \chi_{\alpha_{H_n^k}}(\pi, \pi)) = \#\{A \in H_n^k \mid \pi A = A\pi\}$$

but we can achieve much more than that:

Proposition 5.2.

$$\chi_{\beta_{H_n^k}}(\pi) = |C_\pi| (n - |\text{supp}(\pi)|)_k = (n - |\text{supp}(\pi)|)_k \chi_{C_{\text{on}j}}(\pi)$$

where $\chi_{C_{\text{on}j}}$ is the conjugacy character of S_n .

Proof. Denote $t = |\text{supp}(\pi)|$. We can assume that π is of the following form:

$$\pi = \begin{pmatrix} \pi_t & 0 \\ 0 & I_{n-t} \end{pmatrix},$$

where $\pi_t \in S_t$ without fixed points.

We have to calculate the number of matrices $A \in H_n^k$ which commute with π . We recall the definition of H_n^k :

$$H_n^k = \{A \in G \mid \eta(A) = (n, n-1, \dots, n-(k-1), 1, \dots, 1), \\ \theta(A) = (k+1, k+1, \dots, k+1, k, k-1, \dots, 2, 1) = (k+1)^{n-k} k(k-1) \dots 21\}$$

For every $A \in H_n^k$ denote by $\delta(A)$ the vector of the row sums of A and by $\varepsilon(A)$ the vector of the column sums of A .

For example:

If

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \in H_4^2$$

then $\delta(A) = (1, 3, 1, 4) \models 9$ and $\varepsilon(A) = (3, 2, 3, 1) \models 9$.

Note that every $A \in H_n^k$ has k rows with row sums ranging from n to $n-k+1$, these will be called 'long rows'. The other $n-k$ rows are monomial. Likely, A has k columns with column sums ranging from 1 to k , these will be called 'short columns'. The other $n-k$ columns have $k+1$ 1-s each.

In πA only the first t rows of A are permuted while in $A\pi$ only the first t columns of A are permuted.

Note also that for every $\pi \in S_n$ we have: $\delta(A\pi) = \delta(A)$ and $\varepsilon(\pi A) = \varepsilon(A)$.

Since all of the 'long' rows of A have different row sums, if one of the first t rows of A is 'long' then $\delta(\pi A) \neq \delta(A) = \delta(A\pi)$ and thus $A\pi \neq \pi A$. Hence we can assume that all the 'long' rows in A are located after the first t rows of A . This implies that the first t rows of A are monomial. By similar arguments, the 'short' columns are located after the first t columns.

We claim now that the upper right $t \times (n-t)$ block of A is the zero matrix. (Indeed, if $A_{i,j} = 1$ for some $1 \leq i \leq t$ and $t+1 \leq j \leq n$ then for each $1 \leq i' \leq t$ with $i' \neq i$ we have: $A_{i',j} \neq 1$ since A is invertible. Now, in πA this 1 moves to another place while in $A\pi$ it is left in its original position).

Note that we have now that the upper left $t \times t$ block of A is a permutation matrix which commutes with π_t in S_t .

We turn now to the lower left $(n-t) \times t$ block of A . This block has k 'long' rows and $n-t-k$ monomial rows. Note that by the consideration we described above, the monomial rows contribute only 0-s to this block. On the other hand, since the 'short' columns are located after the first t columns, for every $1 \leq j \leq t$ the column numbered j has exactly $k+1$ 1-s. But for each j one of this 1-s must be located at the upper left $t \times t$ block since this block is a permutation matrix, hence the 'long' rows contribute only 1-s to the lower left $(n-t) \times t$ block.

Finally, as can be easily seen, the lower right $(n-t) \times (n-t)$ block is an arbitrary matrix of H_{n-t}^k .

Now calculate:

$$\begin{aligned} \chi_{\beta_{H_n^k}}(\pi) &= \#\{A \in H_n^k \mid \pi A = A\pi\} = \\ &= |C_\pi^t| |H_{n-t}^k| = |C_\pi^t| (n-t)! (n-t)_k = \\ &= |C_\pi| (n-t)_k = (n - |\text{supp}(\pi)|)_k \chi_{\text{Conj}}(\pi) \end{aligned}$$

□

Note that $\chi_{\alpha_{H_n^k}}(\pi, \pi) = \chi_{\beta_{H_n^k}}(\pi) = 0$ when $t = |\text{supp}(\pi)| > n - k$. On the other hand, if $n - t \geq k$ then $\chi_{\alpha_{H_n^k}}(\pi, \pi) = \chi_{\beta_{H_n^k}}(\pi) \neq 0$.

We turn now to the calculation of the multiplicity of every irreducible representation of S_n in $\beta_{H_n^k}$.

Proposition 5.3. *Let $\lambda \vdash n$.*

$$m(\lambda, \beta_{H_n^k}) = \sum_{C \in \hat{S}_n} \chi_\lambda(C)(n - |\text{supp}(C)|)_k$$

where \hat{S}_n denotes the set of conjugacy classes of S_n .

Proof. As above $t = |\text{supp}(\pi)|$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} m(\lambda, \beta_{H_n^k}) &= \langle \chi_\lambda, \chi_{\beta_{H_n^k}} \rangle = \frac{1}{|S_n|} \sum_{\pi \in S_n} \chi_\lambda(\pi) \chi_{\beta_{H_n^k}}(\pi) = \\ &= \frac{1}{n!} \sum_{\pi \in S_n} \chi_\lambda(\pi) |C_\pi| (n - t) = \sum_{\pi \in S_n} \chi_\lambda(\pi) \frac{n!}{|C(\pi)|} (n - t)_k = \\ &= \sum_{\pi \in S_n} \chi_\lambda(\pi) \frac{1}{|C(\pi)|} (n - t)_k = \\ &= \sum_{C \in \hat{S}_n} |C| \chi_\lambda(C) \frac{1}{|C|} (n - |\text{supp}(C)|)_k = \sum_{C \in \hat{S}_n} \chi_\lambda(C) (n - |\text{supp}(C)|)_k \end{aligned}$$

□

6. ASYMPTOTIC BEHAVIOR OF THE REPRESENTATION $\beta_{H_n^k}$.

In this section we generalize the results of Roichman [R], Adin, and Frumkin [AF] concerning the asymptotic behavior of the conjugacy representation of S_n . These two results imply that the conjugacy representation and the regular representation of S_n have essentially the same decomposition. In our case, as we prove in this section, the representation $\beta_{H_n^k}$ is essentially $(n)_k$ times the regular representation of S_n . We start by citing the result from [R].

Theorem R1 Let $m(\lambda)$ be the multiplicity of the irreducible representation S^λ in the conjugacy representation of S_n , and let f^λ be the multiplicity of S^λ in the regular representation of S_n . Then for any $0 < \varepsilon < 1$ there exist $0 < \delta(\varepsilon)$ and $N(\varepsilon)$ such that, for any partition λ of $n > N(\varepsilon)$ with $\max\{\frac{\lambda_1}{n}, \frac{\lambda'_1}{n}\} \leq \delta(\varepsilon)$,

$$1 - \varepsilon < \frac{m(\lambda)}{f^\lambda} < 1 + \varepsilon.$$

The following generalization of this theorem is straightforward:

Proposition 6.1. *For any $0 < \varepsilon < 1$ there exist $0 < \delta(\varepsilon)$ and $N(\varepsilon)$ such that, for any partition λ of $n > N(\varepsilon)$ with $\max\{\frac{\lambda_1}{n}, \frac{\lambda'_1}{n}\} \leq \delta(\varepsilon)$, and for any $k \leq n$*

$$1 - \varepsilon < \frac{m(\lambda, \beta_{H_n^k})}{(n)_k f^\lambda} < 1 + \varepsilon.$$

Proof. In [R] it is shown that for any $0 < \varepsilon < 1$ there exist $0 < \delta(\varepsilon)$ and $N(\varepsilon)$ such that, for any partition λ of $n > N(\varepsilon)$ with $\max\{\frac{\lambda_1}{n}, \frac{\lambda'_1}{n}\} \leq \delta(\varepsilon)$,

$$|m(\lambda) - f^\lambda| = \left| \sum_{C \in \hat{S}_n} \chi_\lambda(C) - f^\lambda \right| = \left| \sum_{C \neq id} \chi_\lambda(C) \right| \leq \varepsilon f^\lambda,$$

which immediately implies Theorem R1. In our case we have the trivial observation $(n - |supp(C)|)_k \leq (n)_k$ which together with the above gives us

$$\begin{aligned} |m(\lambda, \beta_{H_n^k}) - (n)_k f^\lambda| &= \left| \sum_{C \in \hat{S}_n} \chi_\lambda(C) (n - |supp(C)|)_k - (n)_k f^\lambda \right| = \\ &= \left| \sum_{C \neq id} \chi_\lambda(C) (n - |supp(C)|)_k \right| \leq (n)_k \left| \sum_{C \neq id} \chi_\lambda(C) \right| \leq (n)_k \varepsilon f^\lambda \end{aligned}$$

and our claim is proved. \square

The following asymptotic result from [AF] can also be generalized for the characters $\chi_{\beta_{H_n^k}}$:

Theorem AF Let $\chi_R^{(n)}$ and $\chi_{Conj}^{(n)}$ be the regular and the conjugacy characters of S_n respectively. Then

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\|\chi_R^{(n)}\|}{\|\chi_{Conj}^{(n)}\|} = 1,$$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\langle \chi_R^{(n)}, \chi_{Conj}^{(n)} \rangle}{\|\chi_R^{(n)}\| \cdot \|\chi_{Conj}^{(n)}\|} = 1$$

where $\|\cdot\|$ denotes the norm with respect to the standard scalar product of characters.

Our generalization looks as follows:

Proposition 6.2. *In the notations of Theorem AF*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\|(n)_k \chi_R^{(n)}\|}{\|\chi_{\beta_{H_n^k}}\|} = 1,$$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\langle (n)_k \chi_R^{(n)}, \chi_{\beta_{H_n^k}} \rangle}{\|(n)_k \chi_R^{(n)}\| \cdot \|\chi_{\beta_{H_n^k}}\|} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\langle \chi_R^{(n)}, \chi_{\beta_{H_n^k}} \rangle}{\|\chi_R^{(n)}\| \cdot \|\chi_{\beta_{H_n^k}}\|} = 1,$$

where k is bounded or tends to infinity remaining less than n .

Proof. Denote for every $0 \leq k \leq n$

$$F_k(S_n) = \sum_{C \in \hat{S}_n} \frac{((n - |supp(C)|)_k)^2}{|C|}.$$

Now

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\chi_{\beta_{H_n^k}}\|^2 &= \langle \chi_{\beta_{H_n^k}}, \chi_{\beta_{H_n^k}} \rangle = \\
&= \frac{1}{n!} \sum_{\pi \in S_n} (\chi_{\beta_{H_n^k}}(\pi))^2 = \frac{1}{n!} \sum_{\pi \in S_n} |C_\pi|^2 ((n - |\text{supp}(\pi)|)_k)^2 = \\
&= \frac{1}{n!} \sum_{\pi \in S_n} \frac{(n!)^2}{|C(\pi)|^2} ((n - |\text{supp}(\pi)|)_k)^2 = \\
&= n! \sum_{\pi \in S_n} \frac{((n - |\text{supp}(\pi)|)_k)^2}{|C(\pi)|^2} = \\
&= n! \sum_{C \in \hat{S}_n} |C| \frac{((n - |\text{supp}(C)|)_k)^2}{|C|^2} = n! F_k(S_n)
\end{aligned}$$

It is well known that for every character χ of S_n :

$$\langle \chi_R^{(n)}, \chi \rangle = \chi(e) \quad \text{and} \quad \|\chi_R^{(n)}\|^2 = \langle \chi_R^{(n)}, \chi_R^{(n)} \rangle = n!,$$

hence

$$\|\chi_{\beta_{H_n^k}}\|^2 = F_k(S_n) \|\chi_R^{(n)}\|^2.$$

Moreover, we have:

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\langle \chi_R^{(n)}, \chi_{\beta_{H_n^k}} \rangle}{\|\chi_R^{(n)}\| \cdot \|\chi_{\beta_{H_n^k}}\|} &= \frac{\chi_{\beta_{H_n^k}}(e)}{\sqrt{n!} \sqrt{n! F_k(S_n)}} = \\
&= \frac{(n)_k n!}{\sqrt{n!} \sqrt{n! F_k(S_n)}} = \frac{(n)_k}{\sqrt{F_k(S_n)}}.
\end{aligned}$$

It is obvious from the definition of $F_k(S_n)$ that:

$$((n)_k)^2 \leq F_k(S_n) \leq ((n)_k)^2 \sum_{C \in \hat{S}_n} \frac{1}{|C|}.$$

In [AF] it is proved that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{C \in \hat{S}_n} \frac{1}{|C|} = 1$$

which establishes our claim. \square

7. THE REPRESENTATIONS α_M FOR $M = H_n^k$

In this section we deal with the representations $\alpha_{H_n^k}$ defined in Section 3. We use the branching rule and the Frobenius reciprocity to decompose these representations into irreducible representations of $S_n \times S_n$. As we have already seen in example 3.6, $\alpha_{H_n^0} \cong \bigoplus_{\lambda \vdash n} S^\lambda \otimes S^\lambda$ while $\alpha_{H_n^1}$ is the regular representation of $S_n \times S_n \cong \bigoplus_{\lambda, \rho \vdash n} f^\lambda f^\rho S^\lambda \otimes S^\rho$ and thus $\alpha_{H_n^k}$ can be seen as a type of an interpolation between these two representations.

First, concerning the character of $\alpha_{H_n^k}$, by combining Proposition 5.2 and Claim 3.5 together we get:

$$\chi_{\alpha_{H_n^k}}(\pi, \sigma) = \begin{cases} |C_\pi|(n - |\text{supp}(\pi)|)_k, & \pi \text{ and } \sigma \text{ are conjugate in } S_n \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

We turn now to the direct calculation of the multiplicity of an irreducible representation of $S_n \times S_n$ in $\alpha_{H_n^k}$.

Proposition 7.1. *For any n and any $0 \leq k \leq n$*

$$m((\lambda, \mu), \alpha_{H_n^k}) = \frac{1}{n!} \sum_{\pi \in S_n} \chi_\lambda(\pi) \chi_\mu(\pi) (n - |\text{supp}(\pi)|)_k$$

Proof. Recall that $t = |\text{supp}(\pi)|$.

$$\begin{aligned} m((\lambda, \mu), \alpha_{H_n^k}) &= \langle \chi_{(\lambda, \mu)}, \chi_{\alpha_{H_n^k}} \rangle_{S_n \times S_n} = \\ &= \frac{1}{|S_n \times S_n|} \sum_{(\pi, \sigma) \in S_n \times S_n} \chi_{(\lambda, \mu)}((\pi, \sigma)) \chi_{\alpha_{H_n^k}}((\pi, \sigma)) = \\ &= \frac{1}{(n!)^2} \sum_{(\pi, \sigma) : \pi \sim \sigma} \chi_{(\lambda, \mu)}((\pi, \sigma)) \chi_{\alpha_{H_n^k}}((\pi, \sigma)) = \\ &= \frac{1}{(n!)^2} \sum_{\pi \in S_n} \sum_{\sigma : \pi \sim \sigma} \chi_\lambda(\pi) \chi_\mu(\pi) \chi_{\alpha_{H_n^k}}((\pi, \pi)) = \\ &= \frac{1}{(n!)^2} \sum_{\pi \in S_n} |C(\pi)| \chi_\lambda(\pi) \chi_\mu(\pi) \chi_{\alpha_{H_n^k}}((\pi, \pi)) = \\ &= \frac{1}{(n!)^2} \sum_{\pi \in S_n} |C(\pi)| \chi_\lambda(\pi) \chi_\mu(\pi) |C_\pi|(n - t)_k = \\ &= \frac{1}{(n!)^2} \sum_{\pi \in S_n} |C(\pi)| \chi_\lambda(\pi) \chi_\mu(\pi) \frac{n!}{|C(\pi)|} (n - t)_k = \\ &= \frac{1}{n!} \sum_{\pi \in S_n} \chi_\lambda(\pi) \chi_\mu(\pi) (n - |\text{supp}(\pi)|)_k \end{aligned}$$

□

The boundary cases $k = 0$ and $k = n$ are discussed in Example 3.6 and Proposition 4.4 respectively.

We list now several simple corollaries from Proposition 7.

Corollary 7.2.

$$m((\lambda, \mu), \alpha_{H_n^k}) = m((\lambda', \mu'), \alpha_{H_n^k}),$$

where λ' is the partition conjugate to λ , and

$$m((\lambda, \mu), \alpha_{H_n^k}) = m((\mu, \lambda), \alpha_{H_n^k}).$$

Proof. The first statement is due to the well known fact that $\chi_{\lambda'}(\pi) = \text{sgn}(\pi) \chi_\lambda(\pi)$. The second one is obvious. □

Note that $m(((n), (n)), \alpha_{H_n^k}) = 1$ since the action α of $S_n \times S_n$ is transitive on H_n^k . This implies the following corollary:

Corollary 7.3.

$$\sum_{\pi \in S_n} (n - |\text{supp}(\pi)|)_k = n! \quad \text{for all } 0 \leq k \leq n.$$

□

Corollary 7.4.

$$m(((n), 1^n), \alpha_{H_n^k}) = \frac{1}{n!} \sum_{\pi \in S_n} \text{sgn}(\pi) (n - |\text{supp}(\pi)|)_k = 0$$

Proof. Clearly

$$\begin{aligned} m(((n), 1^n), \alpha_{H_n^k}) &= \frac{1}{n!} \sum_{\pi \in S_n} \text{sgn}(\pi) (n - |\text{supp}(\pi)|)_k < \\ &< \frac{1}{n!} \sum_{\pi \in S_n} (n - |\text{supp}(\pi)|)_k = m(((n), (n)), \alpha_{H_n^k}) = 1 \end{aligned}$$

But $m(((n), 1^n), \alpha_{H_n^k})$ is a non negative integer, therefore it must be zero. □

7.1. A combinatorial view of $\alpha_{H_n^k}$. In this section we present another approach to the representation $\alpha_{H_n^k}$. This approach will give us a combinatorial view on the multiplicity formulas we calculated in the last section.

Definition 7.5. Define the following subset of H_n^k :

$$W_n^k = \{\pi_k \pi_{n-k} U_{n,k} \sigma_k \sigma_{n-k} \mid \pi_k, \sigma_k \in S_k \text{ and } \pi_{n-k}, \sigma_{n-k} \in S_{n-k}\}.$$

The set W_n^k is the orbit of the matrix $U_{n,k}$ under the action α restricted to the subgroup $(S_k \times S_{n-k}) \times (S_k \times S_{n-k})$.

Definition 7.6. Denote by $\omega_{n,k}$ the permutation representation of the group $(S_k \times S_{n-k}) \times (S_k \times S_{n-k})$ on W_n^k corresponding to the action α .

Claim 7.7.

$$\omega_{n,k} \cong R_k \otimes \left(\bigoplus_{\rho \vdash n-k} S^\rho \otimes S^\rho \right)$$

where R_k is the regular representation of $S_k \times S_k$.

Proof. We can view the action α of $(S_k \times S_{n-k}) \times (S_k \times S_{n-k})$ on W_n^k as composed of two independent actions. One of them is the action of $S_k \times S_k$ on H_k^k (this corresponds to the upper left block of $U_{n,k}$) and the other is an action of $S_{n-k} \times S_{n-k}$ on S_{n-k} (this corresponds to the lower right block of $U_{n,k}$). The permutation representation corresponding to the first action is actually the regular representation of $S_k \times S_k$ (see Proposition 4.4) while the second one is $\bigoplus_{\rho \vdash n-k} S^\rho \otimes S^\rho$ (see Example 3.6). □

This implies the following:

Claim 7.8.

$$\chi_{\omega_{n,k}}(\pi_k \pi_{n-k}, \sigma_k \sigma_{n-k}) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{when } \pi_k \neq e \text{ or } \sigma_k \neq e \\ 0 & \text{when } \pi_{n-k} \text{ is not conjugate to } \sigma_{n-k} \text{ in } S_{n-k} \\ (k!)^2 |C_{\pi_{n-k}}^{n-k}| & \text{when } \pi_k = \sigma_k = e \text{ and } \pi_{n-k} \sim \sigma_{n-k} \text{ in } S_{n-k} \end{cases}$$

□

We can use $\omega_{n,k}$ to get information of $\alpha_{n,k}$.

Proposition 7.9.

$$\alpha_{H_n^k} = \omega_{n,k} \uparrow_{(S_k \times S_{n-k}) \times (S_k \times S_{n-k})}^{S_n \times S_n}$$

Proof. Write $G = S_n \times S_n$ and $H = (S_k \times S_{n-k}) \times (S_k \times S_{n-k})$ and identify G/H with a prescribed set of left transversals of H in G . We clearly have:

$$\begin{aligned} H_n^k &= \{g \bullet U_{n,k} \mid g \in S_n \times S_n\} = \\ &= \{(\sigma h) \bullet U_{n,k} \mid \sigma \in G/H, h \in H\} = \\ &= \{\sigma \bullet (h \bullet U_{n,k}) \mid \sigma \in G/H, h \in H\} = \\ &= \{\sigma \bullet W_n^k \mid \sigma \in G/H\} = \\ &= \bigsqcup_{\sigma \in G/H} \sigma \bullet W_n^k \end{aligned}$$

where \sqcup denotes disjoint union.

This implies that

$$\alpha_{H_n^k} = \bigoplus_{\sigma \in G/H} \sigma \bullet \text{span}_{\mathbb{C}} W_n^k = \omega_{n,k} \uparrow_{(S_k \times S_{n-k}) \times (S_k \times S_{n-k})}^{S_n \times S_n}$$

as claimed. \square

We use now the Frobenius reciprocity to obtain the multiplicity of any irreducible representation of $S_n \times S_n$ in $\alpha_{H_n^k}$.

Proposition 7.10. *Let $0 \leq k \leq n$ and let λ, μ be partitions of n . Then*

$$m((\lambda, \mu), \alpha_{H_n^k}) = \langle \chi_\lambda \downarrow_{S_{n-k}}, \chi_\mu \downarrow_{S_{n-k}} \rangle$$

or in other words:

$$\alpha_{H_n^k} = \bigoplus_{\lambda, \mu \vdash n} \langle \chi_\lambda \downarrow_{S_{n-k}}, \chi_\mu \downarrow_{S_{n-k}} \rangle S^\lambda \otimes S^\mu$$

Proof. Recall that $\chi_{(\lambda, \mu)}$ is the character of the irreducible representation $S^\lambda \otimes S^\mu$ of $S_n \times S_n$. Then, by Frobenius reciprocity, Claim 7.8 and Proposition 7.9 we have

$$\begin{aligned} m((\lambda, \mu), \alpha_{H_n^k}) &= \langle \chi_{\alpha_{H_n^k}}, \chi_{(\lambda, \mu)} \rangle = \\ &= \langle \chi_{\omega_{n,k}} \uparrow_{(S_k \times S_{n-k}) \times (S_k \times S_{n-k})}^{S_n \times S_n}, \chi_{(\lambda, \mu)} \rangle = \\ &= \langle \chi_{\omega_{n,k}}, \chi_{(\lambda, \mu)} \downarrow_{(S_k \times S_{n-k}) \times (S_k \times S_{n-k})}^{S_n \times S_n} \rangle = \\ &= \frac{1}{(k!)^2 ((n-k)!)^2} \sum_{(\pi_k \pi_{n-k}, \sigma_k \sigma_{n-k})} \chi_{\omega_{n,k}}(\pi_k \pi_{n-k}, \sigma_k \sigma_{n-k}) \chi_\lambda(\pi_k \pi_{n-k}) \chi_\mu(\sigma_k \sigma_{n-k}) = \\ &= \frac{1}{(k!)^2 ((n-k)!)^2} \sum_{\pi_{n-k} \sim \sigma_{n-k}} \chi_{\omega_{n,k}}(\pi_{n-k}, \pi_{n-k}) \chi_\lambda(\pi_{n-k}) \chi_\mu(\pi_{n-k}) = \\ &= \frac{1}{(k!)^2 ((n-k)!)^2} \sum_{\pi_{n-k} \in S_{n-k}} |C^{n-k}(\pi_{n-k})| (k!)^2 |C_{\pi_{n-k}}^{n-k}| \chi_\lambda(\pi_{n-k}) \chi_\mu(\pi_{n-k}) = \\ &= \frac{1}{(n-k)!} \sum_{\pi_{n-k} \in S_{n-k}} \chi_\lambda(\pi_{n-k}) \chi_\mu(\pi_{n-k}) = \\ &= \langle \chi_\lambda \downarrow_{S_{n-k}}, \chi_\mu \downarrow_{S_{n-k}} \rangle \end{aligned}$$

□

The number $\langle \chi_\lambda \downarrow_{S_{n-k}}^{S_n}, \chi_\mu \downarrow_{S_{n-k}}^{S_n} \rangle$ has a very nice combinatorial interpretation. It follows from the branching rule that this is just the number of ways to delete k boundary cells from the diagrams corresponding to the partitions λ and μ to get the same Young diagram of $n - k$ cells. By the branching rule (see Proposition 2.4) we have thus:

Claim 7.11.

$$\langle \chi_\lambda \downarrow_{S_{n-k}}^{S_n}, \chi_\mu \downarrow_{S_{n-k}}^{S_n} \rangle = 0 \text{ when } |\lambda \triangle \mu| > 2k$$

and it does not vanish otherwise.

Corollary 7.12.

$$m((\lambda, \mu), \alpha_{H_n^k}) = 0 \text{ when } |\lambda \triangle \mu| > 2k$$

and

$$m((\lambda, \mu), \alpha_{H_n^k}) \neq 0 \text{ when } |\lambda \triangle \mu| \leq 2k. \quad \square$$

7.2. Some asymptotic results. In this section we use the fact that $\beta_{H_n^k} = \alpha_{H_n^k} \downarrow_{S_n}^{S_n \times S_n}$ to obtain some asymptotic results.

Embed S_n in $S_n \times S_n$ as the diagonal subgroup. For $\lambda, \mu, \nu \vdash n$, denote

$$\gamma_{\lambda\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{n!} \sum_{\pi \in S_n} \chi_\lambda(\pi) \chi_\mu(\pi) \chi_\nu(\pi).$$

We have the following:

Claim 7.13. For $\lambda \vdash n$

$$S^\lambda \uparrow_{S_n}^{S_n \times S_n} \cong \bigoplus_{\mu, \nu \vdash n} \gamma_{\lambda\mu\nu} S^\mu \otimes S^\nu$$

Proof. Let $\mu, \nu \vdash n$. By the Frobenius reciprocity,

$$\begin{aligned} m((\mu, \nu), S^\lambda \uparrow_{S_n}^{S_n \times S_n}) &= \langle \chi_{(\mu, \nu)}, \chi_\lambda \uparrow_{S_n}^{S_n \times S_n} \rangle = \\ &= \langle \chi_{(\mu, \nu)} \downarrow_{S_n}^{S_n \times S_n}, \chi_\lambda \rangle = \frac{1}{n!} \sum_{\pi \in S_n} \chi_\lambda(\pi) \chi_\mu(\pi) \chi_\nu(\pi) = \gamma_{\lambda\mu\nu} \end{aligned}$$

□

Remark: The numbers $\gamma_{\lambda\mu\nu}$ appear in [Md, page 115] in the context of the Schur functions within the following formula:

$$s_\lambda(xy) = \sum_{\mu, \nu} \gamma_{\lambda\mu\nu} s_\mu(x) s_\nu(y),$$

where $x = (x_1, x_2, \dots)$, $y = (y_1, y_2, \dots)$ and (xy) means the set of variables $x_i y_j$. We have now the following asymptotic result:

Proposition 7.14. For any $0 < \varepsilon < 1$ there exist $0 < \delta(\varepsilon)$ and $N(\varepsilon)$ such that, for any partition λ of $n > N(\varepsilon)$ with $\max\{\frac{\lambda_1}{n}, \frac{\lambda'_1}{n}\} \leq \delta(\varepsilon)$,

$$1 - \varepsilon < \frac{\sum_{\mu, \nu \vdash n} \langle \chi_\mu \downarrow_{S_{n-k}}^{S_n}, \chi_\nu \downarrow_{S_{n-k}}^{S_n} \rangle \gamma_{\lambda\mu\nu}}{(n)_k f^\lambda} < 1 + \varepsilon.$$

Proof. By Proposition 6.1 we have:

$$1 - \varepsilon < \frac{m(\lambda, \beta_{H_n^k})}{(n)_k f^\lambda} < 1 + \varepsilon.$$

so we have to show that

$$m(\lambda, \beta_{H_n^k}) = \sum_{\mu, \nu \vdash n} \langle \chi_\mu \downarrow_{S_{n-k}}^{S_n}, \chi_\nu \downarrow_{S_{n-k}}^{S_n} \rangle \gamma_{\lambda\mu\nu}.$$

Indeed by the previous claims and the Frobenius reciprocity:

$$\begin{aligned} m(\lambda, \beta_{H_n^k}) &= \langle \chi_{\beta_{H_n^k}}, \chi_\lambda \rangle = \\ &= \langle \chi_{\alpha_{H_n^k}} \downarrow_{S_n}^{S_n \times S_n}, \chi_\lambda \rangle = \langle \chi_{\alpha_{H_n^k}}, \chi_\lambda \uparrow_{S_n}^{S_n \times S_n} \rangle = \\ &= \sum_{\mu, \nu \vdash n} \langle \chi_\mu \downarrow_{S_{n-k}}^{S_n}, \chi_\nu \downarrow_{S_{n-k}}^{S_n} \rangle \gamma_{\lambda\mu\nu}. \end{aligned}$$

□

Substituting in the above proposition $k = 0$ and $k = n$ we get the following:

Corollary 7.15.

$$\begin{aligned} 1 - \varepsilon &< \frac{\sum_{\mu \vdash n} \gamma_{\lambda\mu\mu}}{f^\lambda} < 1 + \varepsilon, \\ 1 - \varepsilon &< \frac{\sum_{\mu, \nu \vdash n} \gamma_{\lambda\mu\nu} f^\mu f^\nu}{n! f^\lambda} < 1 + \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

□

Remark: The first statement of this corollary follows from Theorem R1 and the equality

$$\sum_{\mu \vdash n} \gamma_{\lambda\mu\mu} = \sum_{C \in \mathcal{S}_n} \chi_\lambda(C)$$

which follows from the character orthogonality relation.

8. THE ACTIONS α AND β ON COLORED PERMUTATIONS

In this section we introduce actions of S_n and $S_n \times S_n$ on another family of sets, namely the colored permutation groups. We start with the actions on $B_n = C_2 \wr S_n$.

8.1. The action α of $S_n \times S_n$ on signed permutations. Consider the action α of $S_n \times S_n$ on B_n . We start by describing the orbits of this action.

Definition 8.1. For every $0 \leq k \leq n$ define

$$X_n^k = \{A \in B_n \mid A \text{ has exactly } k \text{ minuses}\}.$$

For example

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in X_4^2.$$

It is easy to see that the sets X_n^k form a partition of B_n . Also, note that $|X_n^k| = n! \binom{n}{k}$.

Claim 8.2. *Each set X_n^k is an orbit under the action α of $S_n \times S_n$ on B_n , i.e.*

$$X_n^k = \{\pi \tilde{U}_{n,k} \sigma \mid \pi, \sigma \in S_n\},$$

where

$$\tilde{U}_{n,k} = \begin{pmatrix} -I_k & 0_{k \times (n-k)} \\ 0_{(n-k) \times k} & I_{n-k} \end{pmatrix}$$

and I_t is the identity $t \times t$ matrix.

Proof. Let $A \in X_n^k$. Note that due to the semi direct decomposition $B_n = C_2^n \rtimes S_n$ we have $A = ZP$ where Z is a diagonal $n \times n$ matrix with only ± 1 -s on the main diagonal and P is a permutation matrix. Assuming that the rows numbered i_1, \dots, i_k in A have minuses, denoting $\tau = (1, i_1)(2, i_2) \cdots (k, i_k)$ we have $\tau AP^{-1} \tau^{-1} = \tilde{U}_{n,k}$. \square

We decompose now the representations $\alpha_{X_n^k}$ into irreducible representations just as we did in the previous section.

Definition 8.3. *Define the following subset of X_n^k :*

$$\tilde{W}_n^k = \left\{ \pi_k \pi_{n-k} \tilde{U}_{n,k} \sigma_k \sigma_{n-k} \mid \pi_k, \sigma_k \in S_k \text{ and } \pi_{n-k}, \sigma_{n-k} \in S_{n-k} \right\}.$$

The set \tilde{W}_n^k is the orbit of the matrix $\tilde{U}_{n,k}$ under the action α by the group $(S_k \times S_{n-k}) \times (S_k \times S_{n-k})$.

Definition 8.4. *Denote $\tilde{\omega}_{n,k}$ the permutation representation of the group $(S_k \times S_{n-k}) \times (S_k \times S_{n-k})$ which is obtained from the action α of this group on the set \tilde{W}_n^k .*

The proof of the following simple observation is similar to the proof of Claim 7.7.

Claim 8.5.

$$\tilde{\omega}_{n,k} \cong \left(\bigoplus_{\rho \vdash k} S^\rho \otimes S^\rho \right) \otimes \left(\bigoplus_{\rho \vdash n-k} S^\rho \otimes S^\rho \right)$$

$$\chi_{\tilde{\omega}_{n,k}}(\pi_k \pi_{n-k}, \sigma_k \sigma_{n-k}) = \begin{cases} |C_{\pi_k}^k| |C_{\pi_{n-k}}^{n-k}| & \text{when } \pi_k \pi_{n-k} \sim \sigma_k \sigma_{n-k} \text{ in } S_k \times S_{n-k} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

\square

Proposition 8.6.

$$\alpha_{X_n^k} = \tilde{\omega}_{n,k} \uparrow_{(S_k \times S_{n-k}) \times (S_k \times S_{n-k})}^{S_n \times S_n}$$

Proof. Very similar to the proof of Prop. 7.9. \square

Recall from [Sa] the definition of $c_{\rho\nu}^\lambda$ – the Littlewood-Richardson coefficients defined by the following formula:

$$(S^\rho \otimes S^\nu) \uparrow_{S_k \times S_{n-k}}^{S_n} = \bigoplus_{\lambda \vdash n} c_{\rho\nu}^\lambda S^\lambda,$$

where $\rho \vdash k$ and $\nu \vdash n - k$. Using the Frobenius reciprocity formula we have for every $\lambda \vdash n$:

Claim 8.7.

$$S^\lambda \downarrow_{S_k \times S_{n-k}}^{S_n} = \bigoplus_{\rho \vdash k, \nu \vdash n-k} c_{\rho\nu}^\lambda (S^\rho \otimes S^\nu).$$

$$\chi_\lambda \downarrow_{S_k \times S_{n-k}}^{S_n} = \sum_{\rho \vdash k, \nu \vdash n-k} c_{\rho\nu}^\lambda \chi_{(\rho, \nu)}.$$

□

We use now the Frobenius reciprocity to obtain the multiplicity of any irreducible representation of $S_n \times S_n$ in $\alpha_{X_n^k}$.

Proposition 8.8. *Let $0 \leq k \leq n$ and $\lambda, \mu \vdash n$.*

$$\begin{aligned} m((\lambda, \mu), \alpha_{X_n^k}) &= \\ &= \langle \chi_\lambda \downarrow_{S_k \times S_{n-k}}^{S_n}, \chi_\mu \downarrow_{S_k \times S_{n-k}}^{S_n} \rangle = \\ &= \sum_{\rho \vdash k, \nu \vdash n-k} c_{\rho\nu}^\lambda c_{\rho\nu}^\mu \end{aligned}$$

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned} m((\lambda, \mu), \alpha_{X_n^k}) &= \langle \chi_{\alpha_{X_n^k}}, \chi_{(\lambda, \mu)} \rangle = \\ &= \langle \chi_{\tilde{\omega}_{n,k}} \uparrow_{(S_k \times S_{n-k}) \times (S_k \times S_{n-k})}^{S_n \times S_n}, \chi_{(\lambda, \mu)} \rangle = \\ &= \langle \chi_{\tilde{\omega}_{n,k}}, \chi_{(\lambda, \mu)} \downarrow_{(S_k \times S_{n-k}) \times (S_k \times S_{n-k})}^{S_n \times S_n} \rangle = \\ &= \frac{1}{(k!)^2 ((n-k)!)^2} \sum_{(\pi_k \pi_{n-k}, \sigma_k \sigma_{n-k})} \chi_{\tilde{\omega}_{n,k}}(\pi_k \pi_{n-k}, \sigma_k \sigma_{n-k}) \chi_\lambda(\pi_k \pi_{n-k}) \chi_\mu(\sigma_k \sigma_{n-k}) = \\ &= \frac{1}{(k!)^2 ((n-k)!)^2} \sum_{\pi_k \pi_{n-k} \sim \sigma_k \sigma_{n-k}} \chi_{\tilde{\omega}_{n,k}}(\pi_k \pi_{n-k}, \pi_k \pi_{n-k}) \chi_\lambda(\pi_k \pi_{n-k}) \chi_\mu(\pi_k \pi_{n-k}) = \\ &= \frac{1}{(k!)^2 ((n-k)!)^2} \sum_{\pi_k \pi_{n-k}} |C^{k \times (n-k)}(\pi_k \pi_{n-k})| |C_{\pi_k}^k| |C_{\pi_{n-k}}^{n-k}| \chi_\lambda(\pi_k \pi_{n-k}) \chi_\mu(\pi_k \pi_{n-k}) = \\ &= \frac{1}{k!(n-k)!} \sum_{\pi_k \pi_{n-k} \in S_k \times S_{n-k}} \chi_\lambda(\pi_k \pi_{n-k}) \chi_\mu(\pi_k \pi_{n-k}) = \\ &= \langle \chi_\lambda \downarrow_{S_k \times S_{n-k}}^{S_n}, \chi_\mu \downarrow_{S_k \times S_{n-k}}^{S_n} \rangle = \sum_{\rho \vdash k, \nu \vdash n-k} c_{\rho\nu}^\lambda c_{\rho\nu}^\mu \end{aligned}$$

The last equality follows from Claim 8.7. □

By the definition of X_n^k we have $\alpha_{B_n} = \bigoplus_{k=0}^n \alpha_{X_n^k}$ and thus:

Corollary 8.9.

$$m((\lambda, \mu), \alpha_{B_n}) = \sum_{k=0}^n \sum_{\rho \vdash k, \nu \vdash n-k} c_{\rho\nu}^\lambda c_{\rho\nu}^\mu.$$

□

There is a natural mapping between the sets H_n^k and X_n^k defined by:

$$H_n^k \ni \pi U_{n,k} \sigma \xrightarrow{\tilde{T}} \pi \tilde{U}_{n,k} \sigma \in X_n^k$$

One can verify that \tilde{T} is well defined. Moreover, \tilde{T} commutes with the action α of $S_n \times S_n$ on X_n^k , i.e.:

$$\tilde{T}(\pi A \sigma) = \pi \tilde{T}(A) \sigma \text{ for any } A \in X_n^k.$$

It is easy to see that \tilde{T} is also surjective and thus it induces epimorphisms of modules from the $S_n \times S_n$ -module $\alpha_{H_n^k}$ to the $S_n \times S_n$ -module $\alpha_{X_n^k}$ and from the S_n -module $\beta_{H_n^k}$ to the S_n -module $\beta_{X_n^k}$. Note also that for $k = 0$ this mapping is the identity mapping since $H_n^0 = X_n^0 = S_n$ and for $k = 1$ this mapping is bijective. We conclude:

Claim 8.10.

$$m((\lambda, \mu), \alpha_{H_n^k}) \geq m((\lambda, \mu), \alpha_{X_n^k})$$

□

This implies that if

$$\sum_{\rho \vdash k, \nu \vdash n-k} c_{\rho\nu}^\lambda c_{\rho\nu}^\mu \neq 0$$

then $|\lambda \triangle \mu| \leq 2k$. This can also be seen by the combinatorial interpretation of the Littlewood-Richardson coefficients.

8.2. The action β on colored permutations. Recall that every matrix $B \in B_n$ can be written uniquely in the form $B = Z\pi$ for some $\pi \in S_n$ and some $Z \in C_2^n$. There exists a natural epimorphism from B_n onto S_n defined by omitting the minuses:

$$p : B_n \longrightarrow S_n \quad p(Z\pi) = \pi.$$

If we restrict p to X_n^k we obtain a surjective mapping from X_n^k onto S_n which commutes with the action α of $S_n \times S_n$ on X_n^k (and clearly also commutes with the action β of S_n on X_n^k by conjugation). It gives us a surjective homomorphism from the representation $\beta_{X_n^k}$ onto the conjugacy representation of S_n denoted by ψ . Therefore, using the result of [F], we have

$$m(\lambda, \beta_{X_n^k}) \geq m(\lambda, \psi) > 0 \quad \text{for any } \lambda \vdash n,$$

$$m(\lambda, \beta_{B_n}) = \sum_{k=0}^n m(\lambda, \beta_{X_n^k}) > 0 \quad \text{for any } \lambda \vdash n.$$

Although the calculation of $\chi_{\beta_{X_n^k}}$ is rather involved the asymptotic results of [R] and [AF] can be generalized for the representations $\beta_{X_n^k}$ and β_{B_n} . We start by presenting the generalization of Theorem R1:

Proposition 8.11. *For any $0 < \varepsilon < 1$ there exist $0 < \delta(\varepsilon)$ and $N(\varepsilon)$ such that, for any partition λ of $n > N(\varepsilon)$ with $\max\{\frac{\lambda_1}{n}, \frac{\lambda'_1}{n}\} \leq \delta(\varepsilon)$,*

$$1 - \varepsilon < \frac{m(\lambda, \beta_{X_n^k})}{\binom{n}{k} f^\lambda} < 1 + \varepsilon,$$

$$1 - \varepsilon < \frac{m(\lambda, \beta_{B_n})}{2^n f^\lambda} < 1 + \varepsilon.$$

Proof. Let $\pi \in S_n$. We have to estimate $\chi_{\beta_{X_n^k}}(\pi) = \#\{B \in X_n^k \mid B\pi = \pi B\}$. If $B \in X_n^k$ commutes with π then $p(B)$ commutes with $p(\pi) = \pi$ and thus

$$|\{B \in X_n^k \mid \pi B = B\pi\}| \leq |\{B \in X_n^k \mid p(B) \in C_\pi\}| = \binom{n}{k} |C_\pi|.$$

By the same considerations we get:

$$\chi_{\beta_{B_n}}(\pi) = \#\{B \in B_n \mid \pi B = B\pi\} \leq 2^n |C_\pi|.$$

In [R] it is shown that for any $0 < \varepsilon < 1$ there exist $0 < \delta(\varepsilon)$ and $N(\varepsilon)$ such that, for any partition λ of $n > N(\varepsilon)$ with $\max\{\frac{\lambda_i}{n}, \frac{\lambda'_i}{n}\} \leq \delta(\varepsilon)$,

$$|m(\lambda) - f^\lambda| = \left| \sum_{C \in \hat{S}_n} \chi_\lambda(C) - f^\lambda \right| = \left| \sum_{C \neq id} \chi_\lambda(C) \right| \leq \varepsilon f^\lambda,$$

which immediately implies Theorem R1. (Here $m(\lambda)$ denotes the multiplicity of the irreducible representation λ in the conjugacy representation of S_n .) In our case we have $\chi_{\beta_{X_n^k}}(e) = |X_n^k| = \binom{n}{k} n!$ and therefore

$$\begin{aligned} |m(\lambda, \beta_{X_n^k}) - \binom{n}{k} f^\lambda| &= \left| \frac{1}{n!} \sum_{e \neq \pi \in S_n} \chi_\lambda(\pi) \chi_{\beta_{X_n^k}}(\pi) \right| \leq \\ &\leq \binom{n}{k} \frac{1}{n!} \sum_{e \neq \pi \in S_n} |\chi_\lambda(\pi)| |C_\pi| = \binom{n}{k} \sum_{\{e\} \neq C \in \hat{S}_n} |\chi_\lambda(C)| \leq \binom{n}{k} \varepsilon f^\lambda \end{aligned}$$

which establishes the first statement. Substituting 2^n instead of $\binom{n}{k}$ in this calculation we get the second statement. \square

The generalization of Theorem [AF] is as follows:

Proposition 8.12. *In the notations of Theorem [AF]*

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\| \binom{n}{k} \chi_R^{(n)} \|}{\| \chi_{\beta_{X_n^k}} \|} &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\| 2^n \chi_R^{(n)} \|}{\| \chi_{\beta_{B_n}} \|} = 1, \\ \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\langle \chi_R^{(n)}, \chi_{\beta_{X_n^k}} \rangle}{\| \chi_R^{(n)} \| \cdot \| \chi_{\beta_{X_n^k}} \|} &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\langle \chi_R^{(n)}, \chi_{\beta_{B_n}} \rangle}{\| \chi_R^{(n)} \| \cdot \| \chi_{\beta_{B_n}} \|} = 1, \end{aligned}$$

where k is bounded or tends to infinity remaining less than n .

Proof. Recall that

$$\begin{aligned} \| \chi_R^{(n)} \|^2 = \langle \chi_R^{(n)}, \chi_R^{(n)} \rangle &= n!, \quad \langle \chi_R^{(n)}, \chi_{\beta_{X_n^k}} \rangle = \chi_{\beta_{X_n^k}}(e) = \binom{n}{k} n! \\ \text{and } \langle \chi_R^{(n)}, \chi_{\beta_{B_n}} \rangle &= \chi_{\beta_{B_n}}(e) = 2^n n!. \end{aligned}$$

Using the inequality $\chi_{\beta_{X_n^k}}(\pi) \leq \binom{n}{k} |C_\pi|$ of Proposition 8.11 we can write

$$\| \chi_{\beta_{X_n^k}} \|^2 = \frac{1}{n!} \sum_{\pi \in S_n} \left(\chi_{\beta_{X_n^k}}(\pi) \right)^2 \leq \frac{1}{n!} \binom{n}{k}^2 \sum_{\pi \in S_n} |C_\pi|^2 = \binom{n}{k}^2 n! \sum_{C \in \hat{S}_n} \frac{1}{|C|}.$$

Also we obviously have

$$\|\chi_{\beta_{X_n^k}}\|^2 = \frac{1}{n!} \sum_{\pi \in S_n} (\chi_{\beta_{X_n^k}}(\pi))^2 \geq \frac{1}{n!} (\chi_{\beta_{X_n^k}}(e))^2 = \binom{n}{k} n!.$$

Taking the two last inequalities together we have:

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{\sum_{C \in \hat{S}_n} \frac{1}{|C|}}} = \frac{\binom{n}{k} \sqrt{n!}}{\binom{n}{k} \sqrt{n!} \sqrt{\sum_{C \in \hat{S}_n} \frac{1}{|C|}}} \leq \frac{\| \binom{n}{k} \chi_R^{(n)} \|}{\|\chi_{\beta_{X_n^k}}\|} \leq \frac{\binom{n}{k} \sqrt{n!}}{\binom{n}{k} \sqrt{n!}} = 1.$$

Also

$$\frac{\binom{n}{k} n!}{\sqrt{n!} \binom{n}{k} \sqrt{n!} \sqrt{\sum_{C \in \hat{S}_n} \frac{1}{|C|}}} \leq \frac{\langle \chi_R^{(n)}, \chi_{\beta_{X_n^k}} \rangle}{\|\chi_R^{(n)}\| \cdot \|\chi_{\beta_{X_n^k}}\|} = \frac{\binom{n}{k} n!}{\sqrt{n!} \|\chi_{\beta_{X_n^k}}\|} \leq \frac{\binom{n}{k} n!}{\sqrt{n!} \binom{n}{k} \sqrt{n!}} = 1.$$

Substituting 2^n instead of $\binom{n}{k}$ we get similar inequalities for $\|\chi_{\beta_{B_n}}\|$. In [AF] it is proved that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{C \in \hat{S}_n} \frac{1}{|C|} = 1$$

and thus using the above inequalities the proof is finished. \square

These asymptotic results can be also obtained for the action β (conjugation by permutations) on the group $C_r \wr S_n$. Similarly to $X_n^k \subset B_n$ define the sets $Y_n^k \subset C_r \wr S_n$:

Definition 8.13.

$$Y_n^k = \{A \in C_r \wr S_n \mid A \text{ has exactly } k \text{ entries } \neq 0, 1\}.$$

Note that the sets Y_n^k form a partition of $C_r \wr S_n$ and $Y_n^k = n! \binom{n}{k} (r-1)^k$. The sets Y_n^k are closed under the action α of $S_n \times S_n$ but they are not transitive under this action.

Consider C_r^n as the group of diagonal matrices with the entries of the form ω^ℓ (where $\omega = \exp \frac{2\pi i}{r}$ – the primitive r -th root of unity and $0 \leq \ell < r$) on the diagonal. Then each matrix $A \in C_r \wr S_n$ can be uniquely written as $A = Z\sigma$ for some $\sigma \in S_n$ and some $Z \in C_r^n$. Just as in the case of B_n , we consider the epimorphism $p : B_n \rightarrow S_n$ defined by: $p(Z\sigma) = \sigma$.

p induces an epimorphism of modules between $\beta_{Y_n^k}$ and the conjugacy representation of S_n .

We conclude, using the result of [F]:

$$m(\lambda, \beta_{Y_n^k}) \geq m(\lambda, \psi) > 0 \quad \text{for any } \lambda \vdash n,$$

$$m(\lambda, \beta_{C_r \wr S_n}) = \sum_{k=0}^n m(\lambda, \beta_{Y_n^k}) > 0 \quad \text{for any } \lambda \vdash n.$$

The Theorems R1 and AF are obtained in a way similar to the one we used for B_n :

Proposition 8.14. *In the conditions and notations of Theorem R1*

$$1 - \varepsilon < \frac{m(\lambda, \beta_{Y_n^k})}{\binom{n}{k} (r-1)^k f^\lambda} < 1 + \varepsilon,$$

$$1 - \varepsilon < \frac{m(\lambda, \beta_{C_r \wr S_n})}{r^n f^\lambda} < 1 + \varepsilon.$$

In the notations of Theorem AF

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\| \binom{(n)}{k} (r-1)^k \chi_R^{(n)} \|}{\| \chi_{\beta_{Y_n^k}} \|} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\| r^n \chi_R^{(n)} \|}{\| \chi_{\beta_{C_r \wr S_n}} \|} = 1,$$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\langle \chi_R^{(n)}, \chi_{\beta_{Y_n^k}} \rangle}{\| \chi_R^{(n)} \| \cdot \| \chi_{\beta_{Y_n^k}} \|} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\langle \chi_R^{(n)}, \chi_{\beta_{C_r \wr S_n}} \rangle}{\| \chi_R^{(n)} \| \cdot \| \chi_{\beta_{C_r \wr S_n}} \|} = 1,$$

where k is bounded or tends to infinity remaining less than n .

9. APPENDIX

We defined the sets H_n^k in order to study the representations $\beta_{H_n^k}$ and $\alpha_{H_n^k}$. These representations can be obtained from the action β of S_n and the action α of $S_n \times S_n$ on some other sets of matrices instead of H_n^k :

1) In the definition of the matrix $U_{n,k}$ change only one thing: put the upper left $k \times (n-k)$ block to be a zero matrix instead of ones in $U_{n,k}$. Taking the orbit of this matrix under the action (1) of $S_n \times S_n$ we have a natural bijection between the obtained set (this orbit) and H_n^k which preserves the action (1). So we get the representations which are isomorphic to the representations $\beta_{H_n^k}$ and $\alpha_{H_n^k}$.

2) Instead of H_n^k we can take the following subset of $C_{k+1} \wr S_n$:

$$\left. \begin{aligned} \{ A \in C_{k+1} \wr S_n \mid A \text{ has } \omega, \omega^2, \dots, \omega^k \text{ exactly once} \\ \text{and all the other is as in a permutation matrix.} \} \end{aligned} \right\}$$

The representations α and β obtained from the corresponding actions on these sets are also isomorphic to $\alpha_{H_n^k}$ and $\beta_{H_n^k}$.

REFERENCES

- [AF] R. M. ADIN AND A. FRUMKIN, *The conjugacy character tends to be regular*, Israel J. Math. **59** (1987), 234–240.
- [CS] Y. CHERNIAVSKY AND M. SKLARZ, *On conjugation action of S_n on invertible matrices*, Preprint, available on the website: www.math.biu.ac.il/~cherniy
- [F] A. FRUMKIN, *Theorem about the conjugacy representation of S_n* , Israel J. Math. **55** (1986), 121–128.
- [I] IWAHORI N., *On an equivalence relation among $(0,1)$ -matrices*, Sci.Papers College Gen.Ed.Univ.Tokyo **21**(1971), 1-9.
- [Li] LI J.S., *Permutation equivalence of $(0,1)$ - square matrices*, Acta Math.Sinica **26** (1983), no.5, 586-596.
- [Md] I. G. MACDONALD, *Symmetric Functions and Hall Polynomials*, second edition, Oxford Math. Monographs, Oxford Univ. Press, Oxford, 1995.
- [MM] MADER A., MUTZBAUER O., *Double orderings of $(0,1)$ -matrices*, Ars Combin. **61**(2001),81-95.
- [R] Y. ROICHMAN, *Decomposition of the conjugacy representation of the symmetric groups*, Israel J. Math. **97** (1997), 305–316.
- [Sa] B. SAGAN, *The symmetric group: representations, combinatorial algorithms, and symmetric functions*, Springer Verlag (2001).

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS BAR-ILAN UNIVERSITY RAMAT-GAN, ISRAEL
52900

E-mail address: `cherniy@math.biu.ac.il` , `bagnoe@math.biu.ac.il`