

# Bounded geometry in relatively hyperbolic groups

F. Dahmani\*, A. Yaman†

**Abstract:** We prove that, if a group is relatively hyperbolic, the parabolic subgroups are virtually nilpotent if and only if there exists a hyperbolic space with bounded geometry on which it acts geometrically finitely.

This provides, by use of M. Bonk and O. Schramm embedding theorem, a very short proof of the finiteness of asymptotic dimension of relatively hyperbolic groups with virtually nilpotent parabolic subgroups (which is known to imply Novikov conjectures).

## 1 Introduction

The class of relatively hyperbolic groups is an important class of groups encompassing hyperbolic groups, fundamental groups of geometrically finite orbifolds with pinched negative curvature, groups acting on CAT(0) spaces with isolated flats, and many other examples. It was introduced by M. Gromov in [G1] and developed by B. Bowditch, B. Farb, and other authors (eg: [Bow],[F]). There is now an interesting and rich literature on the subject.

A finitely generated group  $\Gamma$  is *hyperbolic relative* to a family of finitely generated subgroups  $\mathcal{G}$  if it acts on a proper complete hyperbolic geodesic space  $X$ , preserving a family of disjoint open horoballs  $\{B_p, p \in P\}$ , finite up to the action of  $\Gamma$ , such that for all  $p$ , the stabiliser of  $B_p$  is an element  $G_p$  of  $\mathcal{G}$ , that acts co-compactly on the horospheres of  $B_p$ , and such that the action of  $\Gamma$  on  $X \setminus (\bigcup_{p \in P} B_p)$  is co-compact (see [Bow]).

A space  $X$  satisfying the conditions of the definition is referred to as an *associated space* to  $\Gamma$ . Geometrically, one should think of the complement of the horoballs as of the universal cover of the convex core of a geometrically finite hyperbolic manifold (or equivalently of the thick part of the manifold for Margulis decomposition), and of the horoballs as of the covers of the cusps.

In many geometrical examples, the parabolic subgroups of  $\Gamma$ , that is, the elements of the family  $\mathcal{G}$ , are virtually nilpotent. The main examples are geometrically finite manifolds with pinched negative curvature (one can also mention limit groups [D1], groups with boundary homeomorphic to a Sierpinski curve or a 2-sphere [D2]). If the curvature on the manifold is allowed to collapse to  $-\infty$ , one can obtain other parabolic subgroups (especially non-amenable ones, see [GP] Prop.0.3). The difference between these two cases can be identified.

Let us say that a space  $X$  is *geometrically bounded* if there exists a function  $f : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$  such that, for all  $R > 0$ , every ball of radius  $R$  can be covered by  $f(R)$  balls of radius 1, and every ball of radius 1 can be covered by  $f(R)$  balls of radius  $1/R$ . In some sense, the function  $f$  measures the volume of balls. Such a function always exists when there is a co-compact action on  $X$ .

A difference between a complete simply connected manifold  $M$  of pinched negative curvature, and  $M'$  in which the curvature is not bounded below, is that  $M$  is geometrically bounded, whereas the volumes of a sequence of balls of same radius in  $M'$  may tend to infinity. This remark generalizes.

**Theorem 1.1** *Let  $\Gamma$  be a finitely generated group, hyperbolic relative to family  $\mathcal{G}$  of finitely generated subgroups. Then, every element of  $\mathcal{G}$  is virtually nilpotent if, and only if, there exists a space  $X$  associated to  $\Gamma$  that has bounded geometry.*

The purpose of this Note is to prove this characterization, and explain how, in this case, one can deduce short proofs of significant results. Namely we prove that these groups have finite asymptotic dimension, a property with strong consequences.

\*The first author acknowledges support of the FIM ETHZ, Zürich.

†The second author acknowledges support of the Institute of Mathematics, University of Rheinische Friedrich-Wilhelms and ETH, Zürich. This work was carried out when the second author was visiting the ETH

The *asymptotic dimension* of a metric space is a quasi-isometric invariant introduced by M. Gromov in [G3]. For an introduction, we refer to [R]. It is noted  $asdim(X)$ , for a space  $X$ , and is defined as follows: it is an integer, and it is less than  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  if, and only if, for all  $d > 0$  there exists a covering of  $X$  by subset of uniformly bounded diameter, with  $d$ -multiplicity at most  $n + 1$ .

We mean, by  $d$ -multiplicity of a covering, the maximal number  $m$  such that each ball of radius  $d$  intersects at most  $m$  elements of the covering.

For the classical examples, the notion gives what is expected, as for example, for Euclidean and hyperbolic spaces,  $asdim(\mathbb{R}^n) = asdim(\mathbb{H}^n) = n$ .

**Corollary 1.2** *Let  $\Gamma$  a finitely generated group that is hyperbolic relative to a family of virtually nilpotent groups. Then, the asymptotic dimension of  $\Gamma$  is finite.*

Shortly after our preprint was first posted on arXiv, D. Osin announced a result in [O] that generalizes ours, for groups hyperbolic relative to a family of groups of finite asymptotic dimension. He uses completely different methods. The interest of our method, we believe, is its simplicity and rapidity, and that we show a general property in the spirit of the Margulis Lemma(s).

Once Theorem 0.1 is established, the Corollary 0.2 follows from the embedding theorem of M. Bonk and O. Schramm [BonS], stating, in particular, that any geometrically bounded Gromov-hyperbolic geodesic space is quasi-isometric to some convex subspace of some hyperbolic space  $\mathbb{H}^n$ . Such a space is known to have asymptotic dimension at most  $n$  (see [R] or [G3]). Applying this to the space associated to  $\Gamma$  given by Theorem 0.1, we get that  $\Gamma$  acts properly discontinuously by isometries on a space that has finite asymptotic dimension. Therefore, it has finite asymptotic dimension itself.

It is worth noting that G. Yu proved in [Y] the coarse Baum-Connes conjecture for proper metric spaces with finite asymptotic dimension. G. Carlsson and B. Goldfarb [CG] proved the integral Novikov conjecture under such hypothesis.

In order to prove Theorem 0.1, we make use of a certain space  $X$  associated to  $\Gamma$ , that is constructed by B. Bowditch in [Bow] when he proves that certain definitions are equivalent. In this model, we first prove, by a growth argument, that, if the horospheres have polynomial growth for their length metric, then the horoballs are geometrically bounded. Then we prove it for the whole space  $X$ , using the co-compactness of the action on the complement.

The converse finds its roots in a claim of M. Gromov [G3]-p.150 titled ‘‘Generalised and Weakened Margulis Lemma’’. We prove it by giving an upper bound to the growth of the volume of a space  $X$  associated to  $\Gamma$ , and deduce that a group acting properly discontinuously on an horosphere must have polynomial growth.

We would like to thank to Ilya Kapovich, for bringing the question to our attention, and Andrzej Szczepanski for their encouragements.

## 2 Preliminaries

Let  $\Gamma$  be a finitely generated group. We note by  $gr$  the growth function of  $\Gamma$ , i.e for all  $R$   $gr(R)$  is the cardinality of a ball of radius  $R$ . It is a well known Theorem of M. Gromov that a finitely generated group is virtually nilpotent if and only if it has polynomial growth (i.e.  $gr(R) \leq CR^p$  for some constants  $C$  and  $p$ ). We formulate this latter condition in a slightly different way in the following lemma.

**Lemma 2.1** *Given  $\Gamma$  a finitely generated group with a word metric. The followings are equivalent:*

- A1)** *For all  $\epsilon < 1$  there is a constant  $N = N(\epsilon)$  such that all ball  $B(R)$  of radius  $R$  can be covered by at most  $N$  balls of radius  $\epsilon R$ .*
- A2)** *The growth of  $\Gamma$  is polynomial.*

Before giving the proof let us recall a result that characterises polynomial growth.

**Theorem 2.2** *(H. Bass [Bas])*

*A group  $G$  has polynomial growth if, and only if, there exist constants  $K_1, K_2, p$  such that for all  $R$ , one has  $K_1 R^p \leq gr(R) \leq K_2 R^p$ .*

*Proof.* (of Lemma 1.1) If one assumes A1, then, for all  $R$ ,  $gr(R) \leq N \times gr(\epsilon R) \leq N^k gr(\epsilon^k R)$ , for all  $k$  such that  $\epsilon^k R \leq 1$ . Note that  $N^k \leq R^{-\log(N)/\log(\epsilon)}$  since  $\epsilon^k R \leq 1$ . Hence  $gr(R) \leq gr(1) \times R^{-\log(N)/\log(\epsilon)}$ , what we wanted.

Conversely if one assumes that  $\Gamma$  has polynomial growth, and that A1 is not satisfied, then we claim that there is  $\epsilon \in (0, 1)$ , such that for all  $N > 0$ , there exists  $R$  and a ball  $B$  of radius  $R$  containing  $N + 1$  disjoint ball of radius  $\epsilon R/4$ .

To see this, consider a ball  $B'$  of radius  $R'$  that is a counterexample of A1 (for given  $\epsilon < 1$  and  $N$ ), and let choose  $R = \frac{R'}{1-\epsilon/2}$ , and  $B$  the ball of same center than  $B'$  and radius  $R$ . Consider  $B_1, \dots, B_{N+1}$ ,  $N + 1$  balls of radius  $\epsilon R'/2$  in  $B$ . Assume they are not disjoint. By assumption, the balls of same centers but of radius  $\epsilon R'$  do not fill  $B$ , we choose  $x$  be a point in the complement of this union, in  $B$ . Hence, if two of the balls  $B_1 \dots B_{N+1}$  intersect, one can exchange one of them with a ball of same radius centered in  $x$ , and this one intersect no other, being at distance at least  $\epsilon R' + 1$  from any other center. After at most  $N$  of these moves, one has a family of disjoint balls of radius  $\epsilon R'/2 = \epsilon R \times (2 - \epsilon)/4 \geq \epsilon R/4$  in  $B'$ , and by triangular inequality, they all lie in  $B$ , and this proves the claim.

It follows from the claim that  $gr(R) \geq (K_1 R^p \epsilon^p / 4^p) \times (N + 1)$ . On the other hand,  $gr(R) \leq K_2 R^p$ . Therefore,  $K_2 \geq K_1 (\epsilon/4)^d \times (N + 1)$ . As this is true for all  $N$  this yields a contradiction.  $\square$

### 3 Polynomial growth for groups and bounded geometry for horoballs

We recall constructions that can be found in the work of Bowditch [Bow] that associate an hyperbolic horoball to every group  $G$ , on which  $G$  acts, cocompactly on horospheres. We remark that if  $G$  has polynomial growth then this space has bounded geometry.

In the upper half plane model of the hyperbolic plane  $\mathbb{H}^2$  let us note  $T_t = [0, 1] \times [t, \infty)$ , for all  $t \geq 1$ .

Let  $K$  be a connected graph. Let  $\mathcal{C}(K)$  be the space  $K \times [1, \infty)$ , with a minimal metric  $\rho$  that induces an isometry between  $T_1$  and  $e \times [1, \infty)$ , for every edge  $e$ . It is shown in [Bow] that  $\mathcal{C}(K)$  is a proper hyperbolic metric space in which every two rays are asymptotic. Its Gromov boundary consists in a single point  $a$ .

For all  $t \geq 1$ , let  $K_t$  be the horosphere  $K \times \{t\}$ , at distance  $t - 1$  from  $K$ . Note that  $K_t$  with its induced metric is isometric to  $(K, d_t)$  where  $d_t = e^{1-t} d_1$ , and  $d_1$  is the graph metric of  $K$ .

**Proposition 3.1** *There exists constants  $A, B > 0$  and  $\alpha, \beta > 0$  depending only on the constant of hyperbolicity of  $(\mathcal{C}(K), \rho)$  such that for all  $t \geq 0$  and for all  $x, y \in K_t$ ,  $Bexp(\beta \rho(x, y)) \leq d_t(x, y) \leq Aexp(\alpha \rho(x, y))$ .*

The lower bound is classical and true in any horosphere of any hyperbolic space. The upper bound follows from the fact that, if  $[x, y]$  is a geodesic segment of  $K_t$ , then its convex hull in  $(\mathcal{C}(K), \rho)$  is, by construction, isometric to the region of the upper half plane  $[0, d_t(x, y)] \times [t, +\infty)$ , where the result is classical.  $\square$

We denote by  $\pi_t$  the orthogonal projection map on  $K_t$ . For all  $t \geq t' \geq 0$  and for all  $x, y \in K_{t'}$  we have  $d_{t'}(x, y) = exp(t - t') d_t(\pi_t(x), \pi_t(y))$ . Note that the projection map  $\pi_t$  sends the balls of  $K_{t'}$  to the balls of  $K_t$ .

Let  $G$  be a finitely generated group given with a preferred set of generators and let  $K_G$  be its associated Cayley graph. We consider the space  $\mathcal{C}(K_G) = \mathcal{C}(G)$ .

**Proposition 3.2** *If  $G$  has polynomial growth then  $\mathcal{C}(G)$  has bounded geometry.*

*Proof.* We identify  $G$  with the set of vertices of a Cayley graph  $K_G = K_1$ . We first note that  $K_1$  also satisfies the property A1) of Lemma 2.1. In fact it suffices to show that A1) is satisfied for  $\epsilon = 1/2$ , since by iteration each ball  $B(R)$  of radius  $R$  in Cayley graph can be covered by at most  $N(1/2)^n$  balls of radius  $(1/2)^n R$ , where  $n$  is the first integer with  $(1/2)^n < \epsilon$ . Thus  $N(\epsilon) = N(1/2)^n$  gives the result. Now when  $R \geq 1$  the statement is justified by Lemma 2.1, since  $B(R)$  can be entirely covered by  $N'$  balls centered at vertices of the Cayley graph and of radius  $R/2$ . When  $R < 1$ ,  $B(R)$  is covered by  $gr(1) + 1$

balls of radius  $R/2$ . Thus by setting  $N(1/2) = \max\{N', gr(1) + 1\}$  we prove the claim. Note also that this property is invariant by homothecy, thus for all  $t$ ,  $(K_t, d_t)$  satisfies A1).

Let  $R$  be a number, and let  $R'$  be equal to  $R$  or 1. We want to cover any ball of radius  $R'$  in  $\mathcal{C}(G)$  by a controlled number of balls of radius  $R'/R$ .

Consider a ball  $B$  of radius  $R'$  in  $\mathcal{C}(G)$ . Let  $K_t$  be an horosphere intersecting it. Then  $K_t \cap B$  has diameter at most  $2R'$  in  $\mathcal{C}(G)$  and hence is contained in a ball of  $K_t$  of radius  $A \exp(2\alpha R')$  for the metric  $d_t$ , which is homothetic (with factor  $\exp(t-1)$ ) to  $(K_1, d_1)$ . By the remark above this intersection can be covered by  $N(R', R, A, B, \alpha, \beta)$  balls (note that this number does not depend on  $t$ ) of radius  $B \exp(\beta R'/2R)$  of  $(K_t, d_t)$ , which are contained in balls of  $\mathcal{C}(G)$  of same center, and radius at most  $R'/(2R)$  for the ambient metric.

To cover the entire ball  $B$  by balls of radius 1, it is enough to perform this on  $4R'$  regularly spaced horospheres (at distance  $1/(2R')$  from each other) intersecting  $B$ . One gets a number depending only on  $R$ , of balls of radius  $R'/R$  that cover  $B$ .

□

## 4 Proof of Theorem 0.1

In this part we give the proof of Theorem 0.1. We will refer to a work of Bowditch ([Bow]) where he gives a combinatorial characterization of relative hyperbolicity and use his constructions and results from this work.

We recall now some of the results and constructions given by Bowditch in [Bow]. Given a group  $\Gamma$  hyperbolic relative to the family  $\mathcal{G}$  and a space  $X$  associated to  $\Gamma$ , he shows that there is a family of disjoint  $\Gamma$ -invariant, quasi-convex horoballs  $H_p$  based at parabolic points  $p \in \partial X$  with following properties

- ★ there is only finitely many orbits of horoballs,
- ★ the quotient of an horosphere based at  $p$  (i.e the frontier in  $X$  of an horoball based at  $p$ ) by the stabiliser of  $p$  in  $\Gamma$  is compact, and
- ★ the quotient of  $X \setminus \bigcup_p \text{int}(H_p)$  by  $\Gamma$  is compact.

The proof of these statement can be found in [Bow] Chapter 6 under Lemma 6.3 and Proposition 6.13. Moreover he proves that there exists another associated space to  $\Gamma$  where the horoballs can be chosen to be isometric to the space  $\mathcal{C}(G)$  where  $G$  is a maximal parabolic subgroup in  $\mathcal{G}$  ([Bow] Chapter 3, Lemma 3.7 and Theorem 3.8). We will refer for the rest this particular space as Bowditch's space. In general a space  $X$  associated to a relatively hyperbolic group  $\Gamma$  can be different from it.

*Proof. (of Theorem 0.1)*

We first prove that if the parabolic subgroups are virtually nilpotent then Bowditch's space is geometrically bounded. Indeed, as  $\Gamma$  acts on  $X \setminus \bigcup_p \text{int}H_p$  cocompactly  $X$  has bounded geometry if and only if horoball in  $X$  has uniformly bounded geometry. On the other hand since there are only finitely many orbits of horoballs it suffices to show that they all have bounded geometry. But in this particular space, each horoball  $H_p$  is isometric to some  $\mathcal{C}(G)$  where  $G$  is the stabiliser of  $p$  in  $\Gamma$ , and therefore, by Proposition 3.2 one concludes.

We turn to the converse. Given a relatively hyperbolic group  $\Gamma$  we assume that there exists a space  $X$  of bounded geometry associated to  $\Gamma$ . Denote its metric by  $\rho$ . We considers a family of disjoint  $\Gamma$ -invariant horoballs  $H_p$  based at parabolic points with the above properties, and we note  $\Sigma_p$  their horospheres. By assumption each horoball  $H_p$  has bounded geometry.

For all parabolic point  $p$ , let  $G_p$  be the parabolic group associated. It acts cocompactly on the horosphere  $\Sigma_p$ . Let us consider  $\mathcal{O}_p$  an orbit of  $G_p$  in  $\Sigma_p$ , with a metric  $d_p$  induced by a word metric on  $G_p$ . Then  $(\mathcal{O}_p, d_p)$  is quasi-isometric to  $G_p$ , and note that it is  $s$ -separated, for a certain constant  $s$  that can be chosen to be 2, up to rescaling  $X$  once and for all (note that being geometrically bounded is preserved by re-scaling the metric). Thus to show that  $G_p$  has polynomial growth it is sufficient to show that  $(\mathcal{O}_p, d_p)$  has polynomial growth. It is classical that the distortion of  $\mathcal{O}_p$  in  $X$  is at least exponential, since it lies on a horosphere.

Let  $x_0 \in \mathcal{O}_p$ , and let us consider  $R > 0$  and  $B_R(x_0)$  the ball of radius  $R$  of  $X$  centered at  $x_0$ . Let  $f(R)$  be the cardinality of  $\mathcal{O}_p \cap B_R(x_0)$ . By minoration by an exponential of distances on the horosphere, one deduces that  $\mathcal{O}_p \cap B_R(x_0)$  contains a ball of  $(\mathcal{O}_p, d_p)$  of center  $x_0$  and radius  $A \exp(\alpha R)$ , where

$A$  and  $\alpha$  depends only on  $X$  and  $H_p$ . Let us denote  $gr_{\mathcal{O}}$  the growth function of  $\mathcal{O}_p$ , thus one has  $gr_{\mathcal{O}}(Aexp(\alpha R)) \leq f(R)$ .

We now remark that the definition of bounded geometry allows one to map a  $N$ -regular tree  $T$  on an 1-dense image in  $X$  by a 2-lipschitz map  $\pi : T \rightarrow X$ , where  $N$  is the constant required to cover a ball of radius 2 by balls of radius 1. Indeed it suffices to map the neighbors of a vertex  $v$  of the tree to the centers of balls of radius 1 covering a ball of radius 2 centered at  $\pi(v)$ .

The growth function of a  $N$ -regular tree is exponential, therefore, the number of disjoint balls of radius 1 that belongs to a ball of radius  $R$  in  $X$  is bounded exponentially depending only on  $R$  and  $X$ , and hence the function  $f$  is at most an exponential, since it counts 2-separated elements in the ball  $B_R(x_0)$ . Let us say that  $f(R) \leq Bexp(\beta(R))$ . From this and the fact  $gr_{\mathcal{O}}(Aexp(\alpha R)) \leq f(R)$ , one computes that  $gr_{\mathcal{O}}(t) \leq B(t/A)^{\beta/\alpha}$ , which is polynomial.  $\square$

## References

- [Bas] *H. Bass*, The degree of polynomial growth of finitely generated nilpotent groups, Proc. London Math. Soc. (3) **25** (1972), 603–614.
- [BonS] *M. Bonk, O. Schramm*, Embeddings of Gromov hyperbolic spaces: Geom. Funct. Anal. **10** no. 2 (2000), 266–306.
- [Bow] *B.H. Bowditch*, Relatively hyperbolic groups: Preprint, Southampton, 1997
- [CG] *G. Carlsson, B. Goldfarb*, The integral  $K$ -theoretic Novikov conjecture for groups with finite asymptotic dimension: Invent. Math. **157** no. 2 (2004) 405–418
- [D1] *F. Dahmani* Combination of convergence groups: Geom. & Topol. **7** (2003) 933–963.
- [D2] *F. Dahmani* Parabolic groups acting on one dimensional compact spaces: to appear in Internat. J. Alg. Comp. special issue dedicated to R. Grigorchuk’s 50th birthday.
- [F] *B. Farb*, Relatively hyperbolic groups: Geom. Funct. Anal. **8** no. 5 (1998) 810–840.
- [GP] *D. Gaboriau, F. Paulin*, Sur les immeubles hyperboliques: Geom. Dedi. **88** (2001) 153–197.
- [G1] *M. Gromov*, *Hyperbolic groups*: in “Essays in geometric group theory” Math. Sci. Res. Inst. Publ. Springer, New York, **8** (1987) 75–263.
- [G2] *M. Gromov*, Groups of polynomial growth and expanding maps: Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math. 53 (1981) 53–73.
- [G3] *M. Gromov*, Asymptotic invariants of infinite groups: Geometric group theory, Vol. 2 (Sussex, 1991), London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser. **182**, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1993.
- [O] *D. Osin*, Asymptotic dimension of relatively hyperbolic groups: Preprint 2004.
- [R] *J. Roe*, Lectures on coarse geometry: University Lecture Series, American Mathematical Society, **31** 2003.
- [Y] *G. Yu*, The coarse Baum-Connes conjecture for spaces which admit a uniform embedding into Hilbert space: Invent. Math. **139** no. 1 (2000) 201–240.