

ON THE SUM FORMULA FOR MULTIPLE q -ZETA VALUES

DAVID M. BRADLEY

ABSTRACT. Multiple q -zeta values are a 1-parameter generalization (in fact, a q -analog) of the multiple harmonic sums commonly referred to as multiple zeta values. These latter are obtained from the multiple q -zeta values in the limit as $q \rightarrow 1$. Here, we discuss the sum formula for multiple q -zeta values, and provide a self-contained proof. As a consequence, we also derive a q -analog of Euler's evaluation of the double zeta function $\zeta(m, 1)$.

1. INTRODUCTION

Sums of the form

$$\zeta(n_1, n_2, \dots, n_r) := \sum_{k_1 > k_2 > \dots > k_r > 0} \prod_{j=1}^r \frac{1}{k_j^{n_j}} \quad (1)$$

have attracted increasing attention in recent years; see eg. [1, 2, 3, 4, 6, 7, 8, 10, 11, 20]. The survey articles [5, 12, 26, 27, 29] provide an extensive list of references. Here and throughout, n_1, \dots, n_r are positive integers with $n_1 > 1$, and we sum over all positive integers k_1, \dots, k_r satisfying the indicated inequalities. Note that with positive integer arguments, $n_1 > 1$ is necessary and sufficient for convergence. The sums (1) are sometimes referred to as Euler sums, because they were first studied by Euler [13] in the case $r = 2$. In general, they may be profitably viewed as instances of the multiple polylogarithm [2, 5, 14, 15], and are now more commonly referred to as multiple zeta values, reducing to the Riemann zeta function in the case $r = 1$. A q -analog of (1) was independently introduced in [9, 25, 28] as

$$\zeta[n_1, n_2, \dots, n_r] := \sum_{k_1 > k_2 > \dots > k_r > 0} \prod_{j=1}^r \frac{q^{(n_j-1)k_j}}{[k_j]_q^{n_j}}, \quad (2)$$

Date: November 20, 2018.

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary: 11M41; Secondary: 11M06, 05A30, 33D15, 33E20, 30B50.

Key words and phrases. Multiple harmonic series, q -analog, multiple zeta values, q -series, Lambert series.

where

$$[k]_q := \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} q^j = \frac{1 - q^k}{1 - q}, \quad 0 < q < 1.$$

Observe that we now have

$$\zeta(n_1, \dots, n_r) = \lim_{q \rightarrow 1} \zeta[n_1, \dots, n_r],$$

so that (2) represents a generalization of (1). In this note, we prove an identity for (2), the $q = 1$ case of which was originally conjectured by Moen [17] and Market [21].

It is convenient to state results in terms of the shifted multiple zeta functions defined by

$$\zeta^*[n_1, \dots, n_r] := \zeta[1 + n_1, n_2, \dots, n_r] = \sum_{k_1 > \dots > k_r > 0} \frac{q^{k_1}}{[k_1]_q} \prod_{j=1}^r \frac{q^{(n_j-1)k_j}}{[k_j]_q^{n_j}}$$

and correspondingly,

$$\zeta^*(n_1, \dots, n_r) := \zeta(1 + n_1, n_2, \dots, n_r) = \lim_{q \rightarrow 1} \zeta^*[n_1, \dots, n_r].$$

The main focus of our discussion is the following result.

Theorem 1 (*q-sum formula*). *If N and r are positive integers with $N \geq r$, then*

$$\sum_{\substack{n_1 + \dots + n_r = N \\ \forall j, n_j \geq 1}} \zeta^*[n_1, n_2, \dots, n_r] = \zeta^*[N],$$

where the sum is over all positive integers n_1, n_2, \dots, n_r such that $\sum_{j=1}^r n_j = N$.

The limiting case $q = 1$ is of course the now familiar

Corollary 1 (*sum formula*). *If N and r are positive integers with $N \geq r$, then*

$$\sum_{\substack{n_1 + \dots + n_r = N \\ \forall j, n_j \geq 1}} \zeta^*(n_1, n_2, \dots, n_r) = \zeta^*(N),$$

where the sum is over all positive integers n_1, n_2, \dots, n_r such that $\sum_{j=1}^r n_j = N$.

Corollary 1 was proved for $r = 2$ by Euler, for $r = 3$ by Hoffman and Moen [18], and in full generality by Granville [16]. Then Ohno derived Corollary 1 as a consequence of his generalized duality relation [23], and later as a consequence of an auxiliary result used in his proof of the cyclic sum formula [19]. Corollary 1 is also derived in [24] by specializing the height relation given there. Subsequently and independently [9, 25], q -analogs of all these results were discovered and proved. For example, Theorem 1 is derived in [9] as a consequence of generalized q -duality [9, 25] (a q -analog of the main result in [23],

but proved using an entirely different technique). Likewise, a q -analog of the cyclic sum formula [9, 25] also leads to a quick proof [9] of Theorem 1. Finally, in [25], a q -analog of the height relation is also given; we show below how this too can be used to derive Theorem 1. However, as all these proofs of Theorem 1 depend on comparatively more sophisticated results for (2), we feel it may be of interest to give a self-contained proof, more in the spirit of [16].

2. SELF-CONTAINED PROOF OF THEOREM 1

By expanding both sides in powers of z and comparing coefficients, one readily sees that Theorem 1 is equivalent to the following result.

Theorem 2. *If r is a positive integer and $z \in \mathbf{C} \setminus \{q^{-m}[m]_q : m \in \mathbf{Z}^+\}$, then*

$$\sum_{k_1 > \dots > k_r > 0} \frac{q^{k_1}}{[k_1]_q} \prod_{j=1}^r \frac{1}{[k_j]_q - zq^{k_j}} = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{q^{rm}}{[m]_q^r ([m]_q - zq^m)}. \quad (3)$$

Proof of Theorem 2. Let $L_r = L_r(z)$ denote the left hand side of (3). By partial fractions,

$$L_r = \sum_{j=1}^r S_j \quad (4)$$

where

$$S_j = S_{j,r}(z) := \sum_{k_1 > \dots > k_r > 0} \frac{q^{k_1}}{[k_1]_q ([k_j]_q - zq^{k_j})} \prod_{\substack{i=1 \\ i \neq j}}^r \frac{1}{[k_i - k_j]_q}.$$

Now rename $k_j = m$ and sum first on m , so that

$$S_j = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{A(m, j-1)B(m, r-j)}{[m]_q - zq^m}, \quad (5)$$

where $A(m, 0) := q^m/[m]_q$,

$$A(m, j-1) := \sum_{k_1 > \dots > k_{j-1} > m} \frac{q^{k_1}}{[k_1]_q} \prod_{i=1}^{j-1} \frac{1}{[k_i - m]_q} \quad \text{for } 2 \leq j \leq r,$$

$B(m, 0) := 1$ and for $1 \leq j \leq r-1$,

$$B(m, r-j) := \sum_{m > k_{j+1} > \dots > k_r > 0} \prod_{i=j+1}^r \frac{1}{[k_i - m]_q} = (-1)^{r-j} \sum_{m > k_{j+1} > \dots > k_r > 0} \prod_{i=j+1}^r \frac{q^{m-k_i}}{[m - k_i]_q}.$$

From (4) and (5) we now get that

$$L_r = \sum_{j=0}^{r-1} S_{j+1} = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{[m]_q - zq^m} \sum_{j=0}^{r-1} A(m, j) B(m, r-1-j),$$

and hence

$$\sum_{r=1}^{\infty} x^{r-1} L_r = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{A_m(x) B_m(x)}{[m]_q - zq^m}, \quad (6)$$

where the generating functions A_m and B_m are defined by

$$A_m(x) := \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} x^n A(m, n), \quad B_m(x) := \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} x^n B(m, n).$$

The proof of Theorem 2 now follows more or less immediately from the representations

$$A_m(x) = \frac{q^m}{[m]_q} \prod_{c=1}^m \left(1 - \frac{xq^c}{[c]_q}\right)^{-1} \quad \text{and} \quad B_m(x) = \prod_{b=1}^{m-1} \left(1 - \frac{xq^b}{[b]_q}\right). \quad (7)$$

To see this, observe that (7) gives

$$A_m(x) B_m(x) = \frac{q^m}{[m]_q} \left(1 - \frac{xq^m}{[m]_q}\right)^{-1} = \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} x^{r-1} \frac{q^{rm}}{[m]_q^r},$$

and hence from (6),

$$\sum_{r=1}^{\infty} x^{r-1} L_r = \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} x^{r-1} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{q^{rm}}{[m]_q^r ([m]_q - zq^m)}.$$

It now remains only to prove the representations (7). First, note that

$$\begin{aligned} B_m(x) &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} x^n (-1)^n \sum_{m > k_1 > \dots > k_n > 0} \prod_{j=1}^n \frac{q^{m-k_j}}{[m-k_j]_q} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-x)^n \sum_{m > b_n > \dots > b_1 > 0} \prod_{j=1}^n \frac{q^{b_j}}{[b_j]_q} \\ &= \prod_{b=1}^{m-1} \left(1 - \frac{xq^b}{[b]_q}\right). \end{aligned}$$

Next, we define

$$A(m, n, k) := \sum_{b_1 > \dots > b_n > k} \frac{q^{m+b_1}}{[m+b_1]_q} \prod_{j=1}^n \frac{1}{[b_j]_q},$$

and note that $A(m, n) = A(m, n, 0)$. We have

$$A(m, 1, k) = \sum_{b > k} \frac{q^{m+b}}{[m+b]_q [b]_q} = \frac{q^m}{[m]_q} \sum_{b > k} \left(\frac{q^b}{[b]_q} - \frac{q^{m+b}}{[m+b]_q} \right) = \frac{q^m}{[m]_q} \sum_{m \geq c \geq 1} \frac{q^{c+k}}{[c+k]_q},$$

and if for some positive integer n ,

$$A(m, n, k) = \frac{q^m}{[m]_q} \sum_{m \geq c_1 \geq \dots \geq c_n \geq 1} \frac{q^{c_n+k}}{[c_n+k]_q} \prod_{j=1}^{n-1} \frac{q^{c_j}}{[c_j]_q},$$

then

$$\begin{aligned} A(m, n+1, k) &= \sum_{b_1 > \dots > b_{n+1} > k} \frac{q^{m+b_1}}{[m+b_1]_q} \prod_{j=1}^{n+1} \frac{1}{[b_j]_q} \\ &= \sum_{b_2 > \dots > b_{n+1} > k} \left(\prod_{j=2}^{n+1} \frac{1}{[b_j]_q} \right) \sum_{b_1 > b_2} \frac{q^{m+b_1}}{[m+b_1]_q [b_1]_q} \\ &= \sum_{b_2 > \dots > b_{n+1} > k} \left(\prod_{j=2}^{n+1} \frac{1}{[b_j]_q} \right) A(m, 1, b_2) \\ &= \sum_{b_2 > \dots > b_{n+1} > k} \left(\prod_{j=2}^{n+1} \frac{1}{[b_j]_q} \right) \frac{q^m}{[m]_q} \sum_{c_0=1}^m \frac{q^{c_0+b_2}}{[c_0+b_2]_q} \\ &= \frac{q^m}{[m]_q} \sum_{c_0=1}^m \sum_{b_2 > \dots > b_{n+1} > k} \frac{q^{c_0+b_2}}{[c_0+b_2]_q} \prod_{j=2}^{n+1} \frac{1}{[b_j]_q} \\ &= \frac{q^m}{[m]_q} \sum_{c_0=1}^m A(c_0, n, k) \\ &= \frac{q^m}{[m]_q} \sum_{m \geq c_0 \geq \dots \geq c_n \geq 1} \frac{q^{c_n+k}}{[c_n+k]_q} \prod_{j=0}^{n-1} \frac{q^{c_j}}{[c_j]_q}, \end{aligned}$$

by the induction hypothesis. It follows that

$$A(m, n) = A(m, n, 0) = \frac{q^m}{[m]_q} \sum_{m \geq c_1 \geq \dots \geq c_n \geq 1} \prod_{j=1}^n \frac{q^{c_j}}{[c_j]_q},$$

and hence

$$A_m(x) = \frac{q^m}{[m]_q} \prod_{c=1}^m \left(1 + \frac{xq^c}{[c]_q} + \left(\frac{xq^c}{[c]_q} \right)^2 + \left(\frac{xq^c}{[c]_q} \right)^3 + \dots \right) = \frac{q^m}{[m]_q} \prod_{c=1}^m \left(1 - \frac{xq^c}{[c]_q} \right)^{-1}.$$

□

3. EVALUATION OF $\zeta[m, 1]$

Euler [13, 22] (see also [1, eq. (31)]) proved that for all integers $m \geq 2$,

$$2\zeta(m, 1) = m\zeta(m+1) - \sum_{k=1}^{m-2} \zeta(m-k)\zeta(k+1),$$

thereby expressing $\zeta(m, 1)$ in terms of values of the Riemann zeta function. The following q -analog of Euler's formula is an easy consequence of the $r = 2$ case of Theorem 1 and the q -stuffle multiplication rule [9].

Corollary 2 (Corollary 8 of [9]). *Let $2 \leq m \in \mathbf{Z}$. Then*

$$2\zeta[m, 1] = m\zeta[m+1] + (1-q)(m-2)\zeta[m] - \sum_{k=1}^{m-2} \zeta[m-k]\zeta[k+1].$$

In particular, when $m = 2$ we get $\zeta[2, 1] = \zeta[3]$, which is probably the simplest non-trivial identity satisfied by the multiple q -zeta function.

Proof. For $1 \leq k \leq m-2$ the q -stuffle multiplication rule [9] implies that

$$\zeta[m-k]\zeta[k+1] = \zeta[m+1] + (1-q)\zeta[m] + \zeta[m-k, k+1] + \zeta[k+1, m-k].$$

Summing on k , we find that

$$\sum_{k=1}^{m-2} \zeta[m-k]\zeta[k+1] = (m-2)(\zeta[m+1] + (1-q)\zeta[m]) + 2 \sum_{\substack{s+t=m+1 \\ s, t \geq 2}} \zeta[s, t].$$

But Theorem 1 gives

$$\sum_{\substack{s+t=m+1 \\ s, t \geq 2}} \zeta[s, t] = \sum_{\substack{s+t=m+1 \\ s \geq 2, t \geq 1}} \zeta[s, t] - \zeta[m, 1] = \zeta[m+1] - \zeta[m, 1].$$

It follows that

$$\sum_{k=1}^{m-2} \zeta[m-k]\zeta[k+1] = m\zeta[m+1] + (1-q)(m-2)\zeta[m] - 2\zeta[m, 1].$$

□

4. HEIGHT RELATION

Corollary 2 is also derived in [9] as a consequence of the more general double generating function identity

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} x^{m+1} y^{n+1} \zeta[m+2, \{1\}^n] \\ &= 1 - \exp \left\{ \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \left\{ x^k + y^k - (x+y+(1-q)xy)^k \right\} \frac{1}{k} \sum_{j=2}^k (q-1)^{k-j} \zeta[j] \right\}, \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

which implies, among other things, that $\zeta[m+2, \{1\}^n] = \zeta[n+2, \{1\}^m]$ can be expressed in terms of sums of products of single q -zeta values for every pair of non-negative integers m and n . In fact (8) is just the constant term of an even more general result.

For any multi-index $\vec{n} = (n_1, \dots, n_r)$ of positive integers, the weight, depth, and height of \vec{n} are the integers $n = n_1 + n_2 + \dots + n_r$, r , and $s = \#\{j : n_j > 1\}$, respectively. Denote the set of multi-indices of weight n , depth r and height s with the additional requirement $n_1 > 1$ by $I_0(n, r, s)$, and set

$$G_0[n, r, s] := \sum_{\vec{n} \in I_0(n, r, s)} \zeta[\vec{n}], \quad \Phi_0[x, y, z] := \sum_{n, r, s=0}^{\infty} G_0[n, r, s] x^{n-r-s} y^{r-s} z^{s-1}.$$

Okuda and Takeyama [25] proved that

$$\begin{aligned} 1 + (z - xy)\Phi_0[x, y, z] &= \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{([n]_q - \alpha q^n)([n]_q - \beta q^n)}{([n]_q - xq^n)([n]_q - yq^n)} \\ &= \exp \left\{ \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} (x^k + y^k - \alpha^k - \beta^k) \frac{1}{k} \sum_{j=2}^k (q-1)^{k-j} \zeta[j] \right\}, \end{aligned}$$

where α and β are determined by

$$\alpha + \beta = x + y + (q-1)(z - xy), \quad \alpha\beta = z.$$

The limiting case $q \rightarrow 1$ reduces to the height relation of [24]. The case $z = 0$ gives (8). As with the $q = 1$ case [24], taking the limit as $z \rightarrow xy$ gives

$$\Phi_0[x, y, xy] = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{q^m}{([m]_q - xq^m)([m]_q - yq^m)} = \sum_{n>r>0} \zeta[n] x^{n-r-1} y^{r-1}.$$

On the other hand, by definition,

$$\Phi_0[x, y, xy] = \sum_{n>r>0} G_0[n, r] x^{n-r-1} y^{r-1},$$

where $G_0[n, r]$ is the sum of all multiple q -zeta values of weight n and depth r . Thus, we obtain $G_0[n, r] = \zeta[n]$ i.e. Theorem 1 again. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] J. M. Borwein, D. J. Broadhurst, and D. M. Bradley, Evaluations of k -fold Euler/Zagier sums: a compendium of results for arbitrary k , *Electronic J. Combinatorics*, **4** (1997), no. 2, #R5. Wilf Festschrift.
- [2] J. M. Borwein, D. J. Broadhurst, D. M. Bradley, and P. Lisoněk, Special values of multiple polylogarithms, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **353** (2001), no. 3, 907–941. <http://arXiv.org/abs/math.CA/9910045>
- [3] ———, Combinatorial aspects of multiple zeta values, *Electronic J. Combinatorics*, **5** (1998), no. 1, #R38. <http://arXiv.org/abs/math.NT/9812020>
- [4] D. Bowman and D. M. Bradley, Resolution of some open problems concerning multiple zeta evaluations of arbitrary depth, *Compositio Mathematica*, **139** (2003), no. 1, 85–100. <http://arXiv.org/abs/math.CA/0310061>
- [5] ———, Multiple polylogarithms: A brief survey, *Proceedings of a Conference on q -Series with Applications to Combinatorics, Number Theory and Physics*, (B. C. Berndt and K. Ono eds.) Amer. Math. Soc., Contemporary Math., **291** (2001), 71–92. <http://arXiv.org/abs/math.CA/0310062>
- [6] ———, The algebra and combinatorics of shuffles and multiple zeta values, *J. Combinatorial Theory, Ser. A*, **97** (2002), no. 1, 43–61. <http://arXiv.org/abs/math.CO/0310082>
- [7] D. Bowman, D. M. Bradley, and J. Ryoo, Some multi-set inclusions associated with shuffle convolutions and multiple zeta values, *European J. Combinatorics*, **24** (2003), 121–127.
- [8] D. M. Bradley, Partition identities for the multiple zeta function, to appear in *Zeta Functions, Topology, and Physics*, Kinki University Mathematics Seminar Series, Developments in Mathematics. <http://arXiv.org/abs/math.CO/0402091>
- [9] ———, Multiple q -zeta values, *J. Algebra*, to appear. <http://arXiv.org/abs/math.QA/0402093>
- [10] ———, Duality for finite multiple harmonic q -series, submitted for publication October 26, 2003. <http://arXiv.org/abs/math.CO/0402092>
- [11] David J. Broadhurst and Dirk Kreimer, *Association of multiple zeta values with positive knots via Feynman diagrams up to 9 loops*, Phys. Lett. B, **393** (1997) no. 3-4, 403–412.
- [12] P. Cartier, Fonctions polylogarithmes, nombres polyzêtas et groupes pro-unipotents, Séminaire Bourbaki, 53^eme année, 2000-2001, N^o 885, 1–35.
- [13] L. Euler, *Meditationes Circa Singulare Serierum Genus*, Novi Comm. Acad. Sci. Petropol., **20** (1775), 140–186. Reprinted in “Opera Omnia,” ser. I, **15**, B. G. Teubner, Berlin, 1927, pp. 217–267.
- [14] Alexander B. Goncharov, *Polylogarithms in arithmetic and geometry*, Proceedings of the International Congress of Mathematicians, **1, 2** (Zürich, 1994), 374–387, Birkhäuser, Basel, 1995.
- [15] ——— *Multiple polylogarithms, cyclotomy and modular complexes*, Math. Res. Lett., **5** (1998), no. 4, 497–516.
- [16] A. Granville, A decomposition of Riemann’s zeta-function, in *Analytic Number Theory*, London Mathematical Society Lecture Notes Series 247, Cambridge University Press, Y. Motohashi ed., (1997), 95–101.
- [17] M. E. Hoffman, Multiple harmonic series, *Pacific J. Math.*, **152** (1992), no. 2, 275–290.
- [18] M. E. Hoffman and C. Moen, Sums of triple harmonic series, *J. Number Theory*, **60** (1996), 329–331.
- [19] M. E. Hoffman and Y. Ohno, Relations of multiple zeta values and their algebraic expression, *J. Algebra*, **262** (2003), 332–347. <http://arXiv.org/abs/math.QA/0010140>
- [20] Tu Quoc Thang Le and Jun Murakami, *Kontsevich’s integral for the Homfly polynomial and relations between values of multiple zeta functions*, Topology and its Applications, **62** (1995), no. 2, 193–206.

- [21] C. Markett, Triple sums and the Riemann zeta function, *J. Number Theory*, **48** (1994), 113–132.
- [22] N. Nielsen, *Die Gammafunktion*, Chelsea, New York, 1965, 47–59.
- [23] Y. Ohno, A generalization of the duality and sum formulas on the multiple zeta values, *J. Number Theory*, **74** (1999), 39–43.
- [24] Y. Ohno and D. Zagier, Multiple zeta values of fixed weight, depth, and height, *Indag. Math. N.S.*, **12** (2001), no. 4, 483–487.
- [25] J. Okuda and Y. Takeyama, On relations for the q -multiple zeta values, preprint. <http://arXiv.org/abs/math.QA/0402152> v1, Feb. 10, 2004.
- [26] M. Waldschmidt, Multiple polylogarithms: an introduction, in *Number Theory and Discrete Mathematics*, Hindustan Book Agency and Birkhäuser Verlag, 2002, 1–12.
- [27] ———, Valeurs zêta multiples: une introduction, *Journal de Theorie des Nombres de Bordeaux*, **12** (2000), no. 2, 581–595.
- [28] J. Zhao, q -multiple zeta functions and q -multiple polylogarithms, <http://arXiv.org/abs/math.QA/0304448> v2, 23 May 2003.
- [29] W. Zudilin [V. V. Zudilin], Algebraic relations for multiple zeta values (Russian), *Uspekhi Mat. Nauk*, **58** (2003), no. 1, 3–32; translation in *Russian Math. Surveys*, **58** (2003), vol. 1, 1–29.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS & STATISTICS, UNIVERSITY OF MAINE, 5752 NEVILLE HALL
ORONO, MAINE 04469-5752, U.S.A.

E-mail address: bradley@math.umaine.edu, dbradley@member.ams.org