

# FACES AND BASES: DEHN-SOMMERVILLE TYPE RELATIONS

ANDREY O. MATVEEV

ABSTRACT. We review several linear algebraic aspects of the Dehn-Sommerville relations and relate redundant analogues of the  $f$ - and  $h$ -vectors describing the subsets of a simplex  $\mathbf{2}^{\{1, \dots, m\}}$  that satisfy Dehn-Sommerville type relations to integer points contained in some rational polytopes.

## 1. INTRODUCTION AND PRELIMINARIES

A family  $\Delta$  of subsets of a finite set  $V$  is called an *abstract simplicial complex* (or a *complex*) on the *vertex set*  $V$  if the inclusion  $A \subseteq B \in \Delta$  implies  $A \in \Delta$ , and if  $\{v\} \in \Delta$ , for any  $v \in V$ ; see, e.g., [6, 7, 9, 10, 15, 21, 24, 30]. The sets from the family  $\Delta$  are called the *faces*. The *size* of a face  $F \in \Delta$  is its cardinality  $|F|$ . Let  $\#\Delta$  denote the number of sets in a family. If  $\#\Delta > 0$  then the *size*  $d(\Delta)$  of  $\Delta$  is defined by  $d(\Delta) := \max_{F \in \Delta} |F|$ .

The row vector  $\mathbf{f}(\Delta) := (f_0(\Delta), f_1(\Delta), \dots, f_{d(\Delta)-1}(\Delta)) \in \mathbb{N}^{d(\Delta)}$ , where  $f_i(\Delta) := \#\{F \in \Delta : |F| = i + 1\}$ , is called the *f-vector* of  $\Delta$ ; these vectors are characterized by the Schützenberger-Kruskal-Katona theorem. The row *h-vector*  $\mathbf{h}(\Delta) := (h_0(\Delta), h_1(\Delta), \dots, h_{d(\Delta)}(\Delta)) \in \mathbb{Z}^{d(\Delta)+1}$  of  $\Delta$  is defined by

$$\sum_{i=0}^{d(\Delta)} h_i(\Delta) \cdot y^{d(\Delta)-i} := \sum_{i=0}^{d(\Delta)} f_{i-1}(\Delta) \cdot (y-1)^{d(\Delta)-i}.$$

For a positive integer  $m$ , let  $[m]$  denote the set  $\{1, 2, \dots, m\}$ . We denote by  $\mathbf{2}^{[m]}$  the *simplex*  $\{F : F \subseteq [m]\}$  and relate to an arbitrary *face system*  $\Phi \subseteq \mathbf{2}^{[m]}$  “long” analogues of the  $f$ - and  $h$ -vectors, namely, the row vectors

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{f}(\Phi; m) &:= (f_0(\Phi; m), f_1(\Phi; m), \dots, f_m(\Phi; m)) \in \mathbb{N}^{m+1}, \\ \mathbf{h}(\Phi; m) &:= (h_0(\Phi; m), h_1(\Phi; m), \dots, h_m(\Phi; m)) \in \mathbb{Z}^{m+1}, \end{aligned}$$

---

*Key words and phrases.* Abstract simplicial complex, basis of a vector space, Dehn-Sommerville relations, face,  $f$ - and  $h$ -vectors, rational convex polytope.  
2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 13F55, 15A99.

where  $f_i(\Phi; m) := \#\{F \in \Phi : |F| = i\}$ , for  $0 \leq i \leq m$ , and the vector  $\mathbf{h}(\Phi; m)$  is defined by

$$\sum_{i=0}^m h_i(\Phi; m) \cdot y^{m-i} := \sum_{i=0}^m f_i(\Phi; m) \cdot (y-1)^{m-i} ;$$

see, e.g., [19, 20], where similar combinatorial tools appear. The maps  $\Phi \mapsto \mathbf{f}(\Phi; m)$  and  $\Phi \mapsto \mathbf{h}(\Phi; m)$  from the Boolean lattice  $\mathcal{D}(m)$  of all face systems (ordered by inclusion) to  $\mathbb{Z}^{m+1}$  are *valuations* on  $\mathcal{D}(m)$ . We consider the vectors  $\mathbf{f}(\Phi; m)$  and  $\mathbf{h}(\Phi; m)$  as elements from the real Euclidean space  $\mathbb{R}^{m+1}$  of row vectors.

Given a complex  $\Delta \subseteq \mathbf{2}^{[m]}$  with  $\#\Delta > 0$ , denote by  $\mathbf{U}(d(\Delta))$  the  $(d(\Delta) + 1) \times (d(\Delta) + 1)$  *backward identity matrix* whose rows and columns are indexed starting with zero and whose  $(i, j)$ th entry is the Kronecker delta  $\delta_{i+j, d(\Delta)}$ . One says that the  $h$ -vector of a complex  $\Delta$  satisfies the *Dehn-Sommerville relations* if  $\mathbf{h}(\Delta)$  is a left eigenvector of  $\mathbf{U}(d(\Delta))$  corresponding to the eigenvalue 1, that is, it holds

$$h_l(\Delta) = h_{d(\Delta)-l}(\Delta) , \quad 0 \leq l \leq d(\Delta) \quad (1.1)$$

(see, e.g., [1, §VI.6], [4, Chapter 5], [9, §II.5], [10, §§1.2, 3.6, 8.6], [15, §III.11], [24, §§II.3, II.6, III.6], [25, §3.14], [30, §8.3],) or

$$h_l(\Delta; m) = (-1)^{m-d(\Delta)} h_{m-l}(\Delta; m) , \quad 0 \leq l \leq m , \quad (1.2)$$

see, e.g., [19, p. 171].

In this paper we consider the set of all row vectors  $\mathbf{f} \in \mathbb{N}^{m+1}$  such that for each of them there exists a face system  $\Phi \subset \mathbf{2}^{[m]}$  satisfying Dehn-Sommerville type relations analogous to (1.2), and  $\mathbf{f}(\Phi; m) = \mathbf{f}$ ; we interpret the set of those vectors  $\mathbf{f}$  as a set of integer points contained in two rational polytopes.

## 2. NOTATION

Throughout the paper,  $m$  denotes an integer,  $m \geq 2$ .

The components of vectors as well as the rows and columns of matrices are indexed starting with zero.

$\mathbf{I}(m)$  denotes the  $(m+1) \times (m+1)$  *identity matrix*.

$\mathbf{T}(m)$  is the *forward shift matrix* whose  $(i, j)$ th entry is  $\delta_{j-i, 1}$ .

For a vector  $\mathbf{w} := (w_0, \dots, w_m)$ ,  $\mathbf{w}^\top$  denotes its transpose;  $\|\mathbf{w}\|^2 := \sum_{i=0}^m w_i^2$ .

If  $\mathfrak{B} := (\mathbf{b}_0, \dots, \mathbf{b}_m)$  is a basis of  $\mathbb{R}^{m+1}$  then, given a vector  $\mathbf{w} \in \mathbb{R}^{m+1}$ , we denote by  $[\mathbf{w}]_{\mathfrak{B}} := (\kappa_0(\mathbf{w}, \mathfrak{B}), \dots, \kappa_m(\mathbf{w}, \mathfrak{B})) \in \mathbb{R}^{m+1}$  the  $(m+1)$ -tuple satisfying the equality  $\sum_{i=0}^m \kappa_i(\mathbf{w}, \mathfrak{B}) \cdot \mathbf{b}_i = \mathbf{w}$ . We also set  $\kappa_i(\mathbf{w}) := \kappa_i(\mathbf{w}, \mathfrak{S}_m) = w_i$ ,  $0 \leq i \leq m$ ;  $\mathfrak{S}_m$  stands for the standard basis of  $\mathbb{R}^{m+1}$ .

$\mathbf{0} := (0, 0, \dots, 0)$ ;  $\boldsymbol{\nu}(m) := (1, 1, \dots, 1)$ .

$\text{lin}(\cdot)$ ,  $\text{pos}(\cdot)$  and  $\text{conv}(\cdot)$  stand for a linear, conical, and convex hulls, respectively.

$\mathbf{V} \oplus \mathbf{W}$  denotes the direct sum of subspaces, and  $\boxplus$  denotes the Minkowski addition.

We use the notation  $\hat{\emptyset}$  to denote the empty set.

If  $A \subseteq C \in \mathbf{2}^{[m]}$  then  $[A, C]$  denotes the *Boolean interval*  $\{B \in \mathbf{2}^{[m]} : A \subseteq B \subseteq C\}$ .

If  $\Phi$  is a face system,  $\#\Phi > 0$ , then its *size*  $d(\Phi)$  is defined by  $d(\Phi) := \max_{F \in \Phi} |F|$ .

### 3. DEHN-SOMMERVILLE TYPE RELATIONS FOR THE LONG $h$ -VECTORS

We say, for brevity, that a face system  $\Phi \subset \mathbf{2}^{[m]}$  is a *DS-system* if the vector  $\mathbf{h}(\Phi; m)$  satisfies the Dehn-Sommerville type relations

$$h_l(\Phi; m) = (-1)^{m-d(\Phi)} h_{m-l}(\Phi; m), \quad 0 \leq l \leq m; \quad (3.1)$$

see also [18, §7].

If  $\#\Phi > 0$ , then define the integer

$$\eta(\Phi) := \begin{cases} |\bigcup_{F \in \Phi} F|, & \text{if } |\bigcup_{F \in \Phi} F| \equiv d(\Phi) \pmod{2}, \\ |\bigcup_{F \in \Phi} F| + 1, & \text{if } |\bigcup_{F \in \Phi} F| \not\equiv d(\Phi) \pmod{2}. \end{cases}$$

A face system  $\Phi$  with  $\#\Phi > 0$  is a DS-system if and only if for any  $n \in \mathbb{P}$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} \eta(\Phi) &\leq n, \\ n &\equiv d(\Phi) \pmod{2}, \end{aligned} \quad (3.2)$$

the vector  $\mathbf{h}(\Phi; n)$  is a left eigenvector (corresponding to the eigenvalue 1) of the  $(n+1) \times (n+1)$  backward identity matrix  $\mathbf{U}(n)$ :

$$\mathbf{h}(\Phi; n) = \mathbf{h}(\Phi; n) \cdot \mathbf{U}(n).$$

We denote the eigenspace of  $\mathbf{U}(m)$  corresponding to the eigenvalue 1 by  $\mathcal{E}^h(m)$ .

**3.1. The eigenvalues of a backward identity matrix.** Recall that the eigenvalues of the *permutation matrix*  $\mathbf{U}(m)$  are  $-1$  and  $1$ ; the matrix is *unimodular*, see, e.g., [23, Chapter 4], [28, Chapter 8] on unimodular matrices. The algebraic multiplicity of the eigenvalue 1 is  $\lceil \frac{m+1}{2} \rceil$ : the characteristic polynomial  $\wp(\mathbf{U}(m))$  of the matrix, in the variable  $\lambda$ , is

$$\wp(\mathbf{U}(m)) = \begin{cases} (\lambda - 1)^{\frac{m+2}{2}} (\lambda + 1)^{\frac{m}{2}}, & m \text{ even}, \\ (\lambda - 1)^{\frac{m+1}{2}} (\lambda + 1)^{\frac{m+1}{2}}, & m \text{ odd}. \end{cases}$$

In other words,

$$\wp(\mathbf{U}(m)) = \begin{cases} \sum_{s=0}^{m+1} \mathbf{K}_s(m+1, \frac{m+2}{2}) \cdot \lambda^s, & m \text{ even,} \\ \sum_{s=0}^{m+1} \mathbf{K}_s(m+1, \frac{m+1}{2}) \cdot \lambda^s, & m \text{ odd,} \end{cases}$$

where  $\mathbf{K}_s(t, i)$  stands for the *Krawtchouk polynomial* defined by  $\sum_{s=0}^t \mathbf{K}_s(t, i) \cdot \lambda^s := (-\lambda + 1)^i (\lambda + 1)^{t-i}$ ; see, e.g., [29, §1.2] on these polynomials.

The geometric multiplicity of 1 equals its algebraic multiplicity.

**3.2. The linear hulls of the long  $h$ -vectors of DS-systems.** For a positive integer  $k$ , define the complex  $\overline{\mathbf{2}^{[k]}} := \mathbf{2}^{[k]} - \{[k]\} = [\hat{0}, [k]] - \{[k]\}$ .

**Proposition 3.1.** (i) *If  $m$  is even, then*

$$\mathcal{E}^h(m) = \text{lin} \left( \mathbf{h}(\overline{\mathbf{2}^{[1]}}; m), \mathbf{h}(\overline{\mathbf{2}^{[3]}}; m), \dots, \mathbf{h}(\overline{\mathbf{2}^{[m-1]}}; m), \boldsymbol{\iota}(m) \right) ;$$

*if  $m$  is odd, then*

$$\mathcal{E}^h(m) = \text{lin} \left( \mathbf{h}(\overline{\mathbf{2}^{[2]}}; m), \mathbf{h}(\overline{\mathbf{2}^{[4]}}; m), \dots, \mathbf{h}(\overline{\mathbf{2}^{[m-1]}}; m), \boldsymbol{\iota}(m) \right) .$$

(ii) *If  $\Phi \subset \mathbf{2}^{[m]}$  is a DS-system such that  $\Phi \not\supset [m]$  (in particular, if  $\Phi$  is a complex whose  $h$ -vector satisfies (1.1)) then  $\mathbf{h}(\Phi; m) \cdot \boldsymbol{\iota}(m)^\top = 0$ .*

*Proof.* The proof of assertion (i) is straightforward, with respect to the argument from §3.1. Assertion (ii) is a consequence of [18, Eq. (3.8)].  $\square$

Define a linear hyperplane  $\mathcal{H}(m)$  in the space  $\mathcal{E}^h(m)$ , in the following way: if  $m$  is even, then

$$\mathcal{H}(m) := \text{lin} \left( \mathbf{h}(\overline{\mathbf{2}^{[1]}}; m), \mathbf{h}(\overline{\mathbf{2}^{[3]}}; m), \dots, \mathbf{h}(\overline{\mathbf{2}^{[m-1]}}; m) \right) ,$$

with  $\dim \mathcal{H}(m) = \frac{m}{2}$ ; if  $m$  is odd, then

$$\mathcal{H}(m) := \text{lin} \left( \mathbf{h}(\overline{\mathbf{2}^{[2]}}; m), \mathbf{h}(\overline{\mathbf{2}^{[4]}}; m), \dots, \mathbf{h}(\overline{\mathbf{2}^{[m-1]}}; m) \right) ,$$

$\dim \mathcal{H}(m) = \frac{m-1}{2}$ .

The one-dimensional subspace  $\text{lin}(\boldsymbol{\iota}(m))$  is the orthogonal complement of the subspace  $\mathcal{H}(m)$  of the space  $\mathcal{E}^h(m)$ , with respect to the standard scalar product.

3.3. **Some bases of  $\mathbb{R}^{m+1}$ .** Let  $\{F_0, \dots, F_m\} \subset \mathbf{2}^{[m]}$  be a face system such that  $|F_k| = k$ , for  $0 \leq k \leq m$ ; here,  $F_0 := \hat{0}$  and  $F_m := [m]$ . In [18, §4] the following six bases of  $\mathbb{R}^{m+1}$  were considered:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{S}_m &= (\sigma(0; m), \dots, \sigma(m; m)) := (\mathbf{f}(\{F_0\}; m), \dots, \mathbf{f}(\{F_m\}; m)) , \\ \mathfrak{H}_m^\bullet &= (\vartheta^\bullet(0; m), \dots, \vartheta^\bullet(m; m)) := (\mathbf{h}(\{F_0\}; m), \dots, \mathbf{h}(\{F_m\}; m)) , \\ \mathfrak{F}_m^\blacktriangle &= (\varphi^\blacktriangle(0; m), \dots, \varphi^\blacktriangle(m; m)) := (\mathbf{f}([F_0, F_0]; m), \dots, \mathbf{f}([F_0, F_m]; m)) , \\ \mathfrak{H}_m^\blacktriangle &= (\vartheta^\blacktriangle(0; m), \dots, \vartheta^\blacktriangle(m; m)) := (\mathbf{h}([F_0, F_0]; m), \dots, \mathbf{h}([F_0, F_m]; m)) , \\ \mathfrak{F}_m^\blacktriangledown &= (\varphi^\blacktriangledown(0; m), \dots, \varphi^\blacktriangledown(m; m)) := (\mathbf{f}([F_m, F_m]; m), \dots, \mathbf{f}([F_0, F_m]; m)) , \\ \mathfrak{H}_m^\blacktriangledown &= (\vartheta^\blacktriangledown(0; m), \dots, \vartheta^\blacktriangledown(m; m)) := (\mathbf{h}([F_m, F_m]; m), \dots, \mathbf{h}([F_0, F_m]; m)) ; \end{aligned}$$

the basis  $\mathfrak{H}_m^\blacktriangledown$  is up to rearrangement the standard basis  $\mathfrak{S}_m$ .

Table 1 collects the representations of the vectors  $\mathbf{h}(\overline{\mathbf{2}^{[k]}}; m)$  with respect to the above mentioned bases.

We define a matrix  $\mathbf{S}(m)$  whose  $(i, j)$ th entry is  $(-1)^{j-i} \binom{m-i}{j-i}$  by

$$\mathbf{S}(m) := \begin{pmatrix} \vartheta^\bullet(0; m) \\ \vdots \\ \vartheta^\bullet(m; m) \end{pmatrix} ,$$

and denote by  $S_m : \mathbb{R}^{m+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{m+1}$  the automorphism  $\mathbf{v} \mapsto \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{S}(m)$ .

TABLE 1. Representations of  $\mathbf{h}(\overline{\mathbf{2}^{[k]}}; m)$  and  $\mathbf{f}(\overline{\mathbf{2}^{[k]}}; m)$ ,  $1 \leq k \leq m$ , with respect to various bases

<i>l</i> th component	Expression
$h_l(\overline{\mathbf{2}^{[k]}}; m)$	$\vartheta_l^\bullet(k; m) - \vartheta_l^\bullet(k; m) = (-1)^l \left( \binom{m-k}{l} - (-1)^k \binom{m-k}{l-k} \right)$
$\kappa_l(\mathbf{h}(\overline{\mathbf{2}^{[k]}}; m), \mathfrak{H}_m^\bullet)$	$\binom{k}{l} - \delta_{k,l}$
$\kappa_l(\mathbf{h}(\overline{\mathbf{2}^{[k]}}; m), \mathfrak{F}_m^\blacktriangle)$	$(-1)^l \left( 2^{m-k-l} \binom{m-k}{l} - (-1)^k \sum_{s=l}^m \binom{m-k}{s-k} \binom{s}{l} \right)$
$\kappa_l(\mathbf{h}(\overline{\mathbf{2}^{[k]}}; m), \mathfrak{H}_m^\blacktriangle)$	$(-1)^{k-l} \left( \delta_{k,l} - \binom{k}{l} \right)$
$\kappa_l(\mathbf{h}(\overline{\mathbf{2}^{[k]}}; m), \mathfrak{F}_m^\blacktriangledown)$	$(-1)^{m-l} \sum_{s=0}^m \left( \binom{m-k}{s} - (-1)^k \binom{m-k}{s-k} \right) \binom{m-s}{l}$
$\kappa_l(\mathbf{h}(\overline{\mathbf{2}^{[k]}}; m), \mathfrak{H}_m^\blacktriangledown)$	$(-1)^{m-l} \left( \binom{m-k}{l-k} - (-1)^k \binom{m-k}{l} \right)$
$f_l(\overline{\mathbf{2}^{[k]}}; m)$	$\varphi_l^\blacktriangle(k; m) - \sigma_l(k; m) = \varphi_l^\blacktriangle(k; m) - \delta_{k,l} = \binom{k}{l} - \delta_{k,l}$
$\kappa_l(\mathbf{f}(\overline{\mathbf{2}^{[k]}}; m), \mathfrak{H}_m^\bullet)$	$\sum_{s=0}^{\min\{k,l\}} \binom{k}{s} \binom{m-s}{m-l} - \binom{m-k}{m-l}$
$\kappa_l(\mathbf{f}(\overline{\mathbf{2}^{[k]}}; m), \mathfrak{F}_m^\blacktriangle)$	$(-1)^{k-l} \left( \delta_{k,l} - \binom{k}{l} \right)$
$\kappa_l(\mathbf{f}(\overline{\mathbf{2}^{[k]}}; m), \mathfrak{H}_m^\blacktriangle)$	$(-1)^{m-l} \binom{k}{m-l} (2^{k+l-m} - 1)$
$\kappa_l(\mathbf{f}(\overline{\mathbf{2}^{[k]}}; m), \mathfrak{F}_m^\blacktriangledown)$	$\binom{m-k}{m-l} - (-1)^{m-k-l} \binom{m-k}{l}$
$\kappa_l(\mathbf{f}(\overline{\mathbf{2}^{[k]}}; m), \mathfrak{H}_m^\blacktriangledown)$	$\binom{k}{m-l} - \delta_{k,m-l}$

4. DEHN-SOMMERVILLE TYPE RELATIONS FOR THE LONG  
 $f$ -VECTORS

Define a unimodular matrix  $\mathbf{D}(m)$  by

$$\mathbf{D}(m) := \mathbf{S}(m) \cdot \mathbf{U}(m) \cdot \mathbf{S}(m)^{-1} = \mathbf{S}(m) \cdot \begin{pmatrix} \varphi^\nabla(0; m) \\ \vdots \\ \varphi^\nabla(m; m) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \varphi^\nabla(0; m) \\ \vdots \\ \varphi^\nabla(m; m) \end{pmatrix}^{-1} \cdot \mathbf{S}(m)^{-1};$$

its  $(i, j)$ th entry is  $(-1)^{m-i} \binom{i}{j}$ .

Since the matrices  $\mathbf{D}(m)$  and  $\mathbf{U}(m)$  are similar, the properties of  $\mathbf{D}(m)$  coincide with those of  $\mathbf{U}(m)$  mentioned in §3.1. Further, for any DS-system  $\Phi$  such that  $\#\Phi > 0$ , and for any integer  $n$  satisfying (3.2), it holds

$$\mathbf{f}(\Phi; n) = \mathbf{f}(\Phi; n) \cdot \mathbf{D}(n); \quad (4.1)$$

in other words, we have

$$f_l(\Phi; n) = (-1)^n \sum_{i=l}^{d(\Phi)} (-1)^i \binom{i}{l} f_i(\Phi; n), \quad 0 \leq l \leq d(\Phi);$$

cf., e.g., [30, p. 253].

Let  $S_m^{-1} : \mathbb{R}^{m+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{m+1}$  be the automorphism  $\mathbf{v} \mapsto \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{S}(m)^{-1}$ . We define subspaces  $\mathcal{F}(m) \subset \mathcal{E}^f(m)$  of  $\mathbb{R}^{m+1}$  by  $\mathcal{F}(m) := S_m^{-1}(\mathcal{H}(m))$  and  $\mathcal{E}^f(m) := S_m^{-1}(\mathcal{E}^h(m))$ . Thus, if  $m$  is even, then

$$\mathcal{F}(m) = \text{lin} \left( \mathbf{f}(\overline{\mathbf{2}^{[1]}}; m), \mathbf{f}(\overline{\mathbf{2}^{[3]}}; m), \dots, \mathbf{f}(\overline{\mathbf{2}^{[m-1]}}; m) \right); \quad (4.2)$$

if  $m$  is odd, then

$$\mathcal{F}(m) = \text{lin} \left( \mathbf{f}(\overline{\mathbf{2}^{[2]}}; m), \mathbf{f}(\overline{\mathbf{2}^{[4]}}; m), \dots, \mathbf{f}(\overline{\mathbf{2}^{[m-1]}}; m) \right). \quad (4.3)$$

Define the row vector

$$\boldsymbol{\pi}(m) := \left( \binom{m+1}{0}, \binom{m+1}{1}, \dots, \binom{m+1}{m} \right) = \boldsymbol{\nu}(m) \cdot \mathbf{S}(m)^{-1} \in \mathbb{N}^{m+1}.$$

For any  $m$ , we have  $\mathcal{E}^f(m) = \mathcal{F}(m) \oplus \text{lin}(\boldsymbol{\pi}(m))$ ; this is the eigenspace of the matrix  $\mathbf{D}(m)$  corresponding to its eigenvalue 1.

All the vectors that appear in expressions (4.2) and (4.3), as well as the vector  $\boldsymbol{\pi}(m)$ , lie in the affine hyperplane  $\{\mathbf{z} \in \mathbb{R}^{m+1} : z_0 = 1\}$ . We also have  $(\boldsymbol{\pi}(m), 0, 0) = \mathbf{f}(\overline{\mathbf{2}^{[m+1]}}; m+2)$ . The representations of the vectors  $\mathbf{f}(\overline{\mathbf{2}^{[k]}}; m)$  with respect to various bases are collected in Table 1.

5. THE LONG  $f$ -VECTORS OF DS-SYSTEMS, AND INTEGER POINTS  
 IN RATIONAL POLYTOPES

Studying the long  $f$ -vectors of the DS-systems  $\Phi \subset \mathbf{2}^{[m]}$  such that  $\#\Phi > 0$  and  $m \equiv d(\Phi) \pmod{2}$ , and considering relation (4.1), we are interested in the solutions  $\mathbf{z} \in \mathbb{N}^{m+1}$ ,  $\mathbf{z} \neq \mathbf{0}$ , to the system

$$\mathbf{z} \cdot (\mathbf{I}(m) - \mathbf{D}(m)) = \mathbf{0}, \quad \mathbf{0} \leq \mathbf{z} \leq \left( \binom{m}{0}, \binom{m}{1}, \dots, \binom{m}{m} \right).$$

The matrix  $\mathbf{I}(m) - \mathbf{D}(m)$  is lower-triangular, of rank  $\lfloor \frac{m+1}{2} \rfloor$ , with the eigenvalues 0 and 2.

Define the polytope

$$\mathcal{Q}^f(m) := \left\{ \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^{m+1} : \mathbf{x} \cdot (\mathbf{I}(m) - \mathbf{D}(m)) = \mathbf{0}, \right. \\ \left. \mathbf{0} \leq \mathbf{x} \leq \left( \binom{m}{0}, \binom{m}{1}, \dots, \binom{m}{m} \right) \right\}.$$

If  $m$  is even, then another description of  $\mathcal{Q}^f(m)$  is

$$\mathcal{Q}^f(m) = \text{lin}(\mathbf{f}(\overline{\mathbf{2}^{[1]}}; m), \mathbf{f}(\overline{\mathbf{2}^{[3]}}; m), \dots, \mathbf{f}(\overline{\mathbf{2}^{[m-1]}}; m), \boldsymbol{\pi}(m)) \\ \cap \left\{ \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^{m+1} : \mathbf{0} \leq \mathbf{x} \leq \left( \binom{m}{0}, \binom{m}{1}, \dots, \binom{m}{m} \right) \right\};$$

if  $m$  is odd, then we have

$$\mathcal{Q}^f(m) = \text{lin}(\mathbf{f}(\overline{\mathbf{2}^{[2]}}; m), \mathbf{f}(\overline{\mathbf{2}^{[4]}}; m), \dots, \mathbf{f}(\overline{\mathbf{2}^{[m-1]}}; m), \boldsymbol{\pi}(m)) \\ \cap \left\{ \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^{m+1} : \mathbf{0} \leq \mathbf{x} \leq \left( \binom{m}{0}, \binom{m}{1}, \dots, \binom{m}{m} \right) \right\};$$

In other words, for any  $m$ , we have

$$\mathcal{Q}^f(m) = \mathcal{E}^f(m) \cap \boldsymbol{\Pi}(m),$$

where

$$\boldsymbol{\Pi}(m) := \text{conv} \left( \sum_{k=0}^m a_k \binom{m}{k} \boldsymbol{\sigma}(k; m) : (a_0, \dots, a_m) \in \{0, 1\}^{m+1} \right). \quad (5.1)$$

On the one hand, the points  $\{\mathbf{z} \in \mathcal{Q}^f(m) \cap \mathbb{N}^{m+1} : \mathbf{z} \neq \mathbf{0}\}$  are exactly the vectors  $\mathbf{f}(\Phi; m)$  of the DS-systems  $\Phi \subset \mathbf{2}^{[m]}$  such that  $\#\Phi > 0$  and  $m \equiv d(\Phi) \pmod{2}$ . On the other hand, if  $\mathbf{z}' \in \mathcal{Q}^f(m)$  then there are  $\prod_{k=0}^m \binom{m}{z'_k}$  DS-systems  $\Phi \subset \mathbf{2}^{[m]}$  corresponding to the point  $\mathbf{z}'$ .

**Example 5.1.** We have  $\mathcal{Q}^f(2) = \text{conv}(\mathbf{0}, (1, 0, 0), (0, 1, 1), (1, 1, 1))$ , see Figure 1(a).

One more description of  $\mathcal{Q}^f(m)$  is

$$\mathcal{Q}^f(m) = \left\{ \mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{C}^f(m) : \mathbf{x} \leq \left( \binom{m}{0}, \binom{m}{1}, \dots, \binom{m}{m} \right) \right\},$$




FIGURE 1



where a convex pointed polyhedral cone  $\mathcal{C}^f(m)$  is defined by

$$\mathcal{C}^f(m) := \mathcal{E}^f(m) \cap \text{pos}(\boldsymbol{\sigma}(0; m), \boldsymbol{\sigma}(1; m), \dots, \boldsymbol{\sigma}(m; m)) .$$

**Proposition 5.2.** (i) *If  $m$  is even, then*

$$\mathcal{C}^f(m) \supseteq \text{pos}(\boldsymbol{\varphi}^\blacktriangle(i; m) \cdot \mathbf{T}(m)^i : 0 \leq i \leq \frac{m}{2}) .$$

*For any  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $i \leq \frac{m}{2}$ , the ray  $\text{pos}(\boldsymbol{\varphi}^\blacktriangle(i; m) \cdot \mathbf{T}(m)^i)$  is an extreme ray of the  $\frac{m+2}{2}$ -dimensional unimodular cone*

$$\text{pos}(\boldsymbol{\varphi}^\blacktriangle(i; m) \cdot \mathbf{T}(m)^i : 0 \leq i \leq \frac{m}{2}) . \quad (5.2)$$

(ii) *If  $m$  is odd, then*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{C}^f(m) \supseteq \text{pos}(\boldsymbol{\varphi}^\blacktriangle(i+1; m) \cdot \mathbf{T}(m)^i \\ + \boldsymbol{\varphi}^\blacktriangle(i; m) \cdot \mathbf{T}(m)^{i+1} : 0 \leq i \leq \frac{m-1}{2}) . \end{aligned}$$

*For any  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $i \leq \frac{m-1}{2}$ , the ray  $\text{pos}(\boldsymbol{\varphi}^\blacktriangle(i+1; m) \cdot \mathbf{T}(m)^i + \boldsymbol{\varphi}^\blacktriangle(i; m) \cdot \mathbf{T}(m)^{i+1})$  is an extreme ray of the  $\frac{m+1}{2}$ -dimensional unimodular cone  $\text{pos}(\boldsymbol{\varphi}^\blacktriangle(i+1; m) \cdot \mathbf{T}(m)^i + \boldsymbol{\varphi}^\blacktriangle(i; m) \cdot \mathbf{T}(m)^{i+1} : 0 \leq i \leq \frac{m-1}{2})$ .*

*Proof.* (i) The vectors from the sequence

$$(\boldsymbol{\varphi}^\blacktriangle(i; m) \cdot \mathbf{T}(m)^i : 0 \leq i \leq \frac{m}{2}) \quad (5.3)$$

are linearly independent, and for  $i, j \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $i \leq \frac{m}{2}$  and  $j \leq m$ , we have

$$\underbrace{\kappa_j}_{\text{or } \kappa_{m-j}} (\boldsymbol{\varphi}^\blacktriangle(i; m) \cdot \mathbf{T}(m)^i \cdot \mathbf{S}(m)) = (-1)^{j-i} \binom{m-2i}{j-i} , \quad (5.4)$$

hence sequence (5.3) is a basis of the space  $\mathcal{E}^f(m)$ , and the cone generated by it is simplicial. The matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} \kappa_0(\boldsymbol{\varphi}^\blacktriangle(0; m) \cdot \mathbf{T}(m)^0) & \cdots & \kappa_{\frac{m}{2}}(\boldsymbol{\varphi}^\blacktriangle(0; m) \cdot \mathbf{T}(m)^0) \\ \dots & \dots & \dots \\ \kappa_0(\boldsymbol{\varphi}^\blacktriangle(\frac{m}{2}; m) \cdot \mathbf{T}(m)^{\frac{m}{2}}) & \cdots & \kappa_{\frac{m}{2}}(\boldsymbol{\varphi}^\blacktriangle(\frac{m}{2}; m) \cdot \mathbf{T}(m)^{\frac{m}{2}}) \end{pmatrix}$$

is upper-triangular whose diagonal entries are 1. This implies that (5.3) is an integral basis of the intersection of the linear hull of (5.3) with  $\mathbb{Z}^{m+1}$ . In other words, cone (5.2) is unimodular.

Pick a vector  $\mathbf{v} \in \mathcal{E}^f(m)$  such that  $\mathbf{v} \geq \mathbf{0}$  and  $\mathbf{v} \neq \mathbf{0}$ . If  $\mathbf{v} = \sum_{i=0}^{m/2} a_i \cdot \boldsymbol{\varphi}^\blacktriangle(i; m) \cdot \mathbf{T}(m)^i$ , for some  $a_0, \dots, a_{\frac{m}{2}} \in \mathbb{R}$ , then the equalities  $\kappa_0(\mathbf{v}) = a_0$ ,  $\kappa_1(\mathbf{v}) = a_1$  and  $\kappa_{\frac{m}{2}}(\mathbf{v}) = a_{\frac{m}{2}}$  imply that  $a_0, a_1, a_{\frac{m}{2}} \geq 0$ . As a consequence, if  $m \in \{2, 4\}$  then

$$\mathcal{C}^f(m) = \text{pos}(\boldsymbol{\varphi}^\blacktriangle(i; m) \cdot \mathbf{T}(m)^i : 0 \leq i \leq \frac{m}{2}) .$$

The proof of assertion (ii) is analogous to that of (i). In particular, for  $i, j \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $i \leq \frac{m-1}{2}$  and  $j \leq m$ , we have

$$\underbrace{\kappa_j}_{\text{or } \kappa_{m-j}} \left( (\varphi^\blacktriangle(i+1; m) \cdot \mathbf{T}(m)^i + \varphi^\blacktriangle(i; m) \cdot \mathbf{T}(m)^{i+1}) \cdot \mathbf{S}(m) \right) = (-1)^{j-i} \left( \binom{m-2i-1}{j-i} - \binom{m-2i-1}{j-i-1} \right); \quad (5.5)$$

the sequence

$$\left( \varphi^\blacktriangle(i+1; m) \cdot \mathbf{T}(m)^i + \varphi^\blacktriangle(i; m) \cdot \mathbf{T}(m)^{i+1} : 0 \leq i \leq \frac{m-1}{2} \right) \quad (5.6)$$

is a basis of  $\mathcal{E}^f(m)$ .

If  $\mathbf{v} := \sum_{i=0}^{(m-1)/2} a_i \cdot (\varphi^\blacktriangle(i+1; m) \cdot \mathbf{T}(m)^i + \varphi^\blacktriangle(i; m) \cdot \mathbf{T}(m)^{i+1}) \in \mathcal{E}^f(m)$  and  $\mathbf{v} \geq \mathbf{0}$  and  $\mathbf{v} \neq \mathbf{0}$ , for some  $a_0, \dots, a_{\frac{m-1}{2}} \in \mathbb{R}$ , then the equalities  $\kappa_0(\mathbf{v}) = a_0$  and  $\kappa_{\frac{m-1}{2}}(\mathbf{v}) = a_{\frac{m-1}{2}}$  imply that  $a_0, a_{\frac{m-1}{2}} \geq 0$  and, as a consequence, it holds

$$\mathcal{C}^f(3) = \text{pos} \left( \varphi^\blacktriangle(i+1; 3) \cdot \mathbf{T}(3)^i + \varphi^\blacktriangle(i; 3) \cdot \mathbf{T}(3)^{i+1} : 0 \leq i \leq 1 \right).$$

□

The structure of bases (5.3) and (5.6) of  $\mathcal{E}^f(m)$  allows us to come to the following conclusion:

**Corollary 5.3.**

(i) Let  $\Phi \subset \mathbf{2}^{[m]}$  be a DS-system.

If  $m$  is even, then either  $f_{m-1}(\Phi; m) = \frac{m}{2}$  and  $f_m(\Phi; m) = 1$ , or  $f_{m-1}(\Phi; m) = f_m(\Phi; m) = 0$ ; if  $m$  is odd, then it holds  $f_{m-1}(\Phi; m) = f_m(\Phi; m) = 0$ .

(ii) If  $m$  is even, then for any  $t \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $t \leq \frac{m-2}{2}$ , it holds

$$\text{lin} \left( \mathbf{f}(\overline{\mathbf{2}^{[2i+1]}}; m) : 0 \leq i \leq t \right) = \text{lin} \left( \varphi^\blacktriangle(i; m) \cdot \mathbf{T}(m)^i : 0 \leq i \leq t \right);$$

if  $m$  is odd, then for any  $t \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $t \leq \frac{m-3}{2}$ , it holds

$$\text{lin} \left( \mathbf{f}(\overline{\mathbf{2}^{[2(i+1)]}}; m) : 0 \leq i \leq t \right) = \text{lin} \left( \varphi^\blacktriangle(i+1; m) \cdot \mathbf{T}(m)^i + \varphi^\blacktriangle(i; m) \cdot \mathbf{T}(m)^{i+1} : 0 \leq i \leq t \right).$$

(iii)

$$\text{lin}(\mathbf{f}(\Phi; m) : \Phi \subset \mathbf{2}^{[m]}, m \equiv d(\Phi) \pmod{2}, \Phi \text{ is DS}) = \begin{cases} \mathcal{E}^f(m), & m \text{ even,} \\ \mathcal{F}(m), & m \text{ odd.} \end{cases}$$

TABLE 2. Representations of  $\mathbf{w} := \varphi^\blacktriangle(i; m) \cdot \mathbf{T}(m)^i$ , where  $m$  is even and  $0 \leq i \leq \frac{m}{2}$ , with respect to various bases

<i>l</i> th component	Expression
$\kappa_l(\mathbf{w}, \mathfrak{S}_m)$	$\binom{i}{l-i}$
$\kappa_l(\mathbf{w}, \mathfrak{H}_m^\bullet)$	$\sum_{s=i}^{\min\{2i, l\}} \binom{i}{s-i} \binom{m-s}{m-l}$
$\kappa_l(\mathbf{w}, \mathfrak{F}_m^\blacktriangle)$	$(-1)^l \binom{i}{l-i}$
$\kappa_l(\mathbf{w}, \mathfrak{H}_m^\blacktriangle)$	$(-1)^l \sum_{s=\max\{i, m-l\}}^{2i} \binom{i}{s-i} \binom{s}{m-l}$
$\kappa_l(\mathbf{w}, \mathfrak{F}_m^\blacktriangledown)$	$(-1)^{l-i} \binom{m-2i}{l-i}$
$\kappa_l(\mathbf{w}, \mathfrak{H}_m^\blacktriangledown)$	$\binom{i}{m-l-i}$
$\kappa_l(\mathbf{w} \cdot \mathbf{S}(m), \mathfrak{S}_m)$	$(-1)^{l-i} \binom{m-2i}{l-i}$
$\kappa_l(\mathbf{w} \cdot \mathbf{S}(m), \mathfrak{H}_m^\bullet)$	$\binom{i}{l-i}$
$\kappa_l(\mathbf{w} \cdot \mathbf{S}(m), \mathfrak{F}_m^\blacktriangle)$	$(-1)^{l-i} \sum_{s=\max\{i, l\}}^{m-i} \binom{s}{l} \binom{m-2i}{s-i}$
$\kappa_l(\mathbf{w} \cdot \mathbf{S}(m), \mathfrak{H}_m^\blacktriangle)$	$(-1)^l \binom{i}{l-i}$
$\kappa_l(\mathbf{w} \cdot \mathbf{S}(m), \mathfrak{F}_m^\blacktriangledown)$	$(-1)^{l-i} \sum_{s=\max\{i, l\}}^{m-i} \binom{s}{l} \binom{m-2i}{s-i}$
$\kappa_l(\mathbf{w} \cdot \mathbf{S}(m), \mathfrak{H}_m^\blacktriangledown)$	$(-1)^{l-i} \binom{m-2i}{l-i}$

 TABLE 3. Representations of  $\mathbf{w} := \varphi^\blacktriangle(i+1; m) \cdot \mathbf{T}(m)^i + \varphi^\blacktriangle(i; m) \cdot \mathbf{T}(m)^{i+1}$ , where  $m$  is odd and  $0 \leq i \leq \frac{m-1}{2}$ , with respect to various bases

<i>l</i> th component	Expression
$\kappa_l(\mathbf{w}, \mathfrak{S}_m)$	$\binom{i+1}{l-i} + \binom{i}{l-i-1}$
$\kappa_l(\mathbf{w}, \mathfrak{H}_m^\bullet)$	$\binom{m-i}{m-l} + \sum_{s=i+1}^{\min\{2i+1, l\}} (\binom{i+1}{s-i} + \binom{i}{s-i-1}) \binom{m-s}{m-l}$
$\kappa_l(\mathbf{w}, \mathfrak{F}_m^\blacktriangle)$	$(-1)^{l-1} (\binom{i}{l-i-1} + \binom{i+1}{l-i})$
$\kappa_l(\mathbf{w}, \mathfrak{H}_m^\blacktriangle)$	$(-1)^{l-1} \sum_{s=\max\{i, m-l\}}^{2i+1} (\binom{i+1}{s-i} + \binom{i}{s-i-1}) \binom{s}{m-l}$
$\kappa_l(\mathbf{w}, \mathfrak{F}_m^\blacktriangledown)$	$(-1)^{l-i-1} (\binom{m-2i-1}{m-l-i} - \binom{m-2i-1}{m-l-i-1})$
$\kappa_l(\mathbf{w}, \mathfrak{H}_m^\blacktriangledown)$	$\binom{i+1}{m-l-i} + \binom{i}{m-l-i-1}$
$\kappa_l(\mathbf{w} \cdot \mathbf{S}(m), \mathfrak{S}_m)$	$(-1)^{l-i-1} (\binom{m-2i-1}{m-l-i} - \binom{m-2i-1}{m-l-i-1})$
$\kappa_l(\mathbf{w} \cdot \mathbf{S}(m), \mathfrak{H}_m^\bullet)$	$\binom{i}{l-i-1} + \binom{i+1}{l-i}$
$\kappa_l(\mathbf{w} \cdot \mathbf{S}(m), \mathfrak{F}_m^\blacktriangle)$	$(-1)^{l-i} \sum_{s=\max\{i, l\}}^{m-i} (\binom{m-2i-1}{s-i} - \binom{m-2i-1}{s-i-1}) \binom{s}{l}$
$\kappa_l(\mathbf{w} \cdot \mathbf{S}(m), \mathfrak{H}_m^\blacktriangle)$	$(-1)^{l-1} (\binom{i}{l-i-1} + \binom{i+1}{l-i})$
$\kappa_l(\mathbf{w} \cdot \mathbf{S}(m), \mathfrak{F}_m^\blacktriangledown)$	$(-1)^{l-i} \sum_{s=\max\{i, l\}}^{m-i} (\binom{m-2i-1}{s-i} - \binom{m-2i-1}{s-i-1}) \binom{s}{l}$
$\kappa_l(\mathbf{w} \cdot \mathbf{S}(m), \mathfrak{H}_m^\blacktriangledown)$	$(-1)^{l-i-1} (\binom{m-2i-1}{m-l-i} - \binom{m-2i-1}{m-l-i-1})$

If  $m$  is even, define the  $\frac{m}{2}$ -dimensional polytope

$$\mathcal{P}^f(m) := \mathcal{E}^f(m) \cap \{ \mathbf{z} \in \mathbb{R}^{m+1} : z_0 = 0, \mathbf{0} \leq (z_1, \dots, z_m) \leq (\binom{m}{1}, \binom{m}{2}, \dots, \binom{m}{m}) \},$$

and note that the polytope  $\mathcal{Q}^f(m)$  is a prism whose basis is  $\mathcal{P}^f(m)$ :

$$\mathcal{Q}^f(m) = \mathcal{P}^f(m) \boxplus \varphi^\Delta(0; m) \cdot \mathbf{T}(m)^0 = \mathcal{P}^f(m) \boxplus \sigma(0; m) ;$$

as a consequence, we have

$$\sum_{\alpha \in \mathcal{Q}^f(m) \cap \mathbb{N}^{m+1}} \mathbf{x}^\alpha = (1 + x_0) \sum_{\alpha \in \mathcal{P}^f(m) \cap \mathbb{N}^{m+1}} \mathbf{x}^\alpha ,$$

where  $\mathbf{x}^\alpha := x_0^{\alpha_0} \cdots x_m^{\alpha_m}$ .

Since for any  $m$ , the generating function for the long  $f$ -vectors of DS-systems contained in  $\mathbf{2}^{[m]}$  is

$$\sum_{\substack{\mathbf{f} \in \mathbb{N}^{m+1}: \\ \exists \Phi \subset \mathbf{2}^{[m]}, \\ \Phi \text{ is DS, } \mathbf{f}(\Phi; m) = \mathbf{f}}} \mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{f}} = -1 + \sum_{\alpha \in \mathcal{Q}^f(m) \cap \mathbb{N}^{m+1}} \mathbf{x}^\alpha + \sum_{\substack{(\alpha, 0) \in \mathcal{Q}^f(m+1) \cap \mathbb{N}^{m+2}: \\ \alpha \leq \left( \binom{m}{0}, \binom{m}{1}, \dots, \binom{m}{m} \right)}} \mathbf{x}^\alpha ,$$

we come to the conclusion:

**Proposition 5.4.** (i) *If  $m$  is even, then*

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\substack{\mathbf{f} \in \mathbb{N}^{m+1}: \\ \exists \Phi \subset \mathbf{2}^{[m]}, \\ \Phi \text{ is DS, } \mathbf{f}(\Phi; m) = \mathbf{f}}} \mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{f}} &= -1 + (1 + x_0) \sum_{(0, \beta) \in \mathcal{P}^f(m) \cap \mathbb{N}^{m+1}} \mathbf{x}^\beta \\ &+ \sum_{\substack{(\gamma, 0, 0) \in \mathcal{Q}^f(m+1) \cap \mathbb{N}^{m+2}: \\ \gamma \leq \left( \binom{m}{0}, \binom{m}{1}, \dots, \binom{m}{m-1} \right)}} \mathbf{x}^\gamma . \end{aligned}$$

(ii) *If  $m$  is odd, then*

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\substack{\mathbf{f} \in \mathbb{N}^{m+1}: \\ \exists \Phi \subset \mathbf{2}^{[m]}, \\ \Phi \text{ is DS, } \mathbf{f}(\Phi; m) = \mathbf{f}}} \mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{f}} &= -1 + \sum_{(\beta, 0, 0) \in \mathcal{Q}^f(m) \cap \mathbb{N}^{m+1}} \mathbf{x}^\beta \\ &+ (1 + x_0) \sum_{\substack{(0, \gamma, 0, 0) \in \mathcal{P}^f(m+1) \cap \mathbb{N}^{m+2}: \\ \gamma \leq \left( \binom{m}{1}, \binom{m}{2}, \dots, \binom{m}{m-1} \right)}} \mathbf{x}^\gamma . \end{aligned}$$

See, e.g., [1, Chapter VIII], [2], [3], [4], [5], [8], [17], [21, Chapter 12] on lattice-point counting in polytopes. Effective tools for manipulating rational generating functions are presented in [3].

Table 4 collects some illustrative information obtained with the help of the software LattE [11], [12], [13].

TABLE 4. The number of the long  $f$ -vectors of the DS-systems contained in  $\mathbf{2}^{[m]}$ ,  $2 \leq m \leq 10$ 

$m$	$ \{ \mathbf{f} \in \mathbb{N}^{m+1} : \exists \Phi \subset \mathbf{2}^{[m]}, \#\Phi > 0, m \equiv d(\Phi) \pmod{2}, \Phi \text{ is DS}, \mathbf{f}(\Phi; m) = \mathbf{f} \} $	$ \{ \mathbf{f} \in \mathbb{N}^{m+1} : \exists \Phi \subset \mathbf{2}^{[m]}, \#\Phi > 0, m \equiv d(\Phi) + 1 \pmod{2}, \Phi \text{ is DS}, \mathbf{f}(\Phi; m) = \mathbf{f} \} $	$ \{ \mathbf{f} \in \mathbb{N}^{m+1} : \exists \Phi \subset \mathbf{2}^{[m]}, \Phi \text{ is DS}, \mathbf{f}(\Phi; m) = \mathbf{f} \} $
2	3	1	5
3	1	7	9
4	19	5	25
5	7	71	79
6	291	41	333
7	103	2223	2327
8	17465	1107	18573
9	4905	271619	276525
10	3959091	103057	4062149

## 6. APPENDICES

**6.1. The long  $h$ -vectors of DS-systems, and integer points in rational polytopes.** We now consider the polytope  $\mathcal{Q}^h(m) := S_m(\mathcal{Q}^f(m)) := \{ \mathbf{z} \cdot \mathbf{S}(m) : \mathbf{z} \in \mathcal{Q}^f(m) \}$ .

The relation  $\mathbf{h}(\Phi; m) = \mathbf{h}(\Phi; m) \cdot \mathbf{U}(m)$ ,  $\mathbf{h}(\Phi; m) \neq \mathbf{0}$ , describes the long  $h$ -vectors of the DS-systems  $\Phi \subset \mathbf{2}^{[m]}$  such that  $\#\Phi > 0$  and  $m \equiv d(\Phi) \pmod{2}$ , and we are interested in the solutions  $\mathbf{z} \in \mathbb{Z}^{m+1}$ ,  $\mathbf{z} \neq \mathbf{0}$ , to the system

$$\mathbf{z} \cdot (\mathbf{I}(m) - \mathbf{U}(m)) = \mathbf{0},$$

$$\mathbf{z} \in S_m(\mathbf{II}(m)) = \text{conv} \left( \sum_{k=0}^m a_k \binom{m}{k} \vartheta^\bullet(k; m) : (a_0, \dots, a_m) \in \{0, 1\}^{m+1} \right). \quad (6.1)$$

The matrix  $\mathbf{I}(m) - \mathbf{U}(m)$  of rank  $\lfloor \frac{m+1}{2} \rfloor$  is *totally unimodular* (see, e.g., [23, §19] on totally unimodular matrices,) that is, any its minor is either  $-1$  or  $0$  or  $1$ ; this matrix can be substituted in system (6.1) by the submatrix composed of the first  $\lfloor \frac{m+1}{2} \rfloor$  columns.

System (6.1) describes the intersection

$$\mathcal{Q}^h(m) := \bigcap_{1 \leq k \leq \lfloor (m+1)/2 \rfloor} \{ \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^{m+1} : x_{k-1} = x_{m-k+1} \} \cap S_m(\mathbf{II}(m)) \quad (6.2)$$

of the  $\lfloor \frac{m+1}{2} \rfloor$ -dimensional *center* of a *graphical hyperplane arrangement* (see, e.g., [22, §2.4], [26, Lecture 2] on graphical arrangements) with an  $(m+1)$ -dimensional parallelepiped. The *intersection poset* of the arrangement  $\{ \{ \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^{m+1} : x_{k-1} = x_{m-k+1} \} : 1 \leq k \leq \lfloor \frac{m+1}{2} \rfloor \}$  is a Boolean lattice of rank  $\lfloor \frac{m+1}{2} \rfloor$ .

**Example 6.1.**  $\mathcal{Q}^h(2) = \text{conv}(\mathbf{0}, (1, -2, 1), (0, 1, 0), (1, -1, 1))$ , see Figure 1(b).

Another description of polytope (6.2) is

$$\mathcal{Q}^h(m) = \text{lin}(\mathbf{h}(\overline{\mathbf{2}^{[1]}}; m), \mathbf{h}(\overline{\mathbf{2}^{[3]}}; m), \dots, \mathbf{h}(\overline{\mathbf{2}^{[m-1]}}; m), \boldsymbol{\iota}(m)) \cap S_m(\mathbf{II}(m))$$

in the case of  $m$  even, and

$$\mathcal{Q}^h(m) = \text{lin}(\mathbf{h}(\overline{\mathbf{2}^{[2]}}; m), \mathbf{h}(\overline{\mathbf{2}^{[4]}}; m), \dots, \mathbf{h}(\overline{\mathbf{2}^{[m-1]}}; m), \boldsymbol{\iota}(m)) \cap S_m(\mathbf{II}(m)) ,$$

if  $m$  is odd. In other words, for any  $m$ , we have

$$\mathcal{Q}^h(m) = \mathcal{E}^h(m) \cap S_m(\mathbf{II}(m)) .$$

**6.2. Biorthogonality.** By the *principle of biorthogonality* [16, Theorem 1.4.7], we have

$$\mathbf{h}(\overline{\mathbf{2}^{[s]}}; m) \cdot \mathbf{h}(\overline{\mathbf{2}^{[t]}}; m)^\top = 0 ,$$

for all positive integers  $s$  and  $t$  less than or equal to  $m$  such that  $s \not\equiv t \pmod{2}$ . Indeed, one of the vectors  $\mathbf{h}(\overline{\mathbf{2}^{[s]}}; m)$  and  $\mathbf{h}(\overline{\mathbf{2}^{[t]}}; m)$  is a left eigenvector of the backward identity matrix  $\mathbf{U}(m)$  corresponding to an eigenvalue  $\lambda \in \{-1, 1\}$ , while the other vector is a right eigenvector corresponding to the other eigenvalue  $\mu \in \{-1, 1\}$ .

**6.3. The norms.** For a positive integer  $k$  such that  $k \leq m$ , we have

$$\|\mathbf{h}(\overline{\mathbf{2}^{[k]}}; m)\|^2 = 2 \left( \binom{2(m-k)}{m-k} - (-1)^k \binom{2(m-k)}{m} \right)$$

and

$$\|\mathbf{f}(\overline{\mathbf{2}^{[k]}}; m)\|^2 = \binom{2k}{k} - 1 .$$

**6.4. Orthogonal projectors.**

- If  $m$  is even, then the matrix of the orthogonal projector (see, e.g., [27, Chapter 3] on projectors) into  $\mathcal{H}(m)$ , relative either to the standard basis  $\mathfrak{S}_m$  or to the basis  $\mathfrak{H}_m^\nabla$ , is

$$\begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{h}(\overline{\mathbf{2}^{[1]}}; m)^\top & \mathbf{h}(\overline{\mathbf{2}^{[3]}}; m)^\top & \dots & \mathbf{h}(\overline{\mathbf{2}^{[m-1]}}; m)^\top \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{h}(\overline{\mathbf{2}^{[1]}}; m) \\ \mathbf{h}(\overline{\mathbf{2}^{[3]}}; m) \\ \vdots \\ \mathbf{h}(\overline{\mathbf{2}^{[m-1]}}; m) \end{pmatrix} \cdot \left( \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{h}(\overline{\mathbf{2}^{[1]}}; m)^\top & \mathbf{h}(\overline{\mathbf{2}^{[3]}}; m)^\top & \dots & \mathbf{h}(\overline{\mathbf{2}^{[m-1]}}; m)^\top \end{pmatrix} \right)^{-1} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{h}(\overline{\mathbf{2}^{[1]}}; m) \\ \mathbf{h}(\overline{\mathbf{2}^{[3]}}; m) \\ \vdots \\ \mathbf{h}(\overline{\mathbf{2}^{[m-1]}}; m) \end{pmatrix} .$$

The matrix of the orthogonal projector (relative to the standard basis  $\mathfrak{S}_m$ ) into the subspace  $\mathcal{F}(m)$  is

$$\begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{f}(\overline{\mathbf{2}^{[1]}}; m)^\top & \mathbf{f}(\overline{\mathbf{2}^{[3]}}; m)^\top & \dots & \mathbf{f}(\overline{\mathbf{2}^{[m-1]}}; m)^\top \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{f}(\overline{\mathbf{2}^{[1]}}; m) \\ \mathbf{f}(\overline{\mathbf{2}^{[3]}}; m) \\ \vdots \\ \mathbf{f}(\overline{\mathbf{2}^{[m-1]}}; m) \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{f}(\overline{\mathbf{2}^{[1]}}; m)^\top & \mathbf{f}(\overline{\mathbf{2}^{[3]}}; m)^\top & \dots & \mathbf{f}(\overline{\mathbf{2}^{[m-1]}}; m)^\top \end{pmatrix}^{-1} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{f}(\overline{\mathbf{2}^{[1]}}; m) \\ \mathbf{f}(\overline{\mathbf{2}^{[3]}}; m) \\ \vdots \\ \mathbf{f}(\overline{\mathbf{2}^{[m-1]}}; m) \end{pmatrix}.$$

The matrices of the orthogonal projectors in the case of  $m$  odd are built in an analogous way.

- Let  $k$  be a positive integer such that  $k \leq m$ . The  $(i, j)$ th entry of the matrix of the orthogonal projector into the one-dimensional subspace  $\text{lin}(\mathbf{h}(\overline{\mathbf{2}^{[k]}}; m))$  of the space  $\mathbb{R}^{m+1}$ , relative to either of the bases  $\mathfrak{S}_m$  and  $\mathfrak{H}_m^\nabla$ , is

$$\frac{(-1)^{i+j} \left( \binom{m-k}{i} - (-1)^k \binom{m-k}{i-k} \right) \left( \binom{m-k}{j} - (-1)^k \binom{m-k}{j-k} \right)}{2 \left( \binom{2(m-k)}{m-k} - (-1)^k \binom{2(m-k)}{m} \right)};$$

the  $(i, j)$ th entry of the matrix of the orthogonal projector into the one-dimensional subspace  $\text{lin}(\mathbf{f}(\overline{\mathbf{2}^{[k]}}; m))$  of  $\mathbb{R}^{m+1}$ , relative to  $\mathfrak{S}_m$ , is

$$\frac{\binom{k}{i} \binom{k}{j}}{\binom{2k}{k} - 1}.$$

### REFERENCES

- [1] A.I. Barvinok, *A Course in Convexity*, Graduate Studies in Mathematics, vol. 54, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2002.
- [2] A.I. Barvinok and J.E. Pommersheim, *An algorithmic theory of lattice points in polyhedra*, in: *New perspectives in algebraic combinatorics (Berkeley, CA, 1996–97)*, 91–147, Math. Sci. Res. Inst. Publ., 38, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1999.
- [3] A.I. Barvinok and K. Woods, *Short rational generating functions for lattice point problems*. J. Amer. Math. Soc. **16** (2003), no. 4, 957–979.
- [4] M. Beck and S. Robins, *Computing the Continuous Discretely. Integer-point Enumeration in Polyhedra*, Undergraduate Texts in Mathematics, Springer-Verlag, in preparation.
- [5] M. Beck and T. Zaslavsky, *Inside-out polytopes*, preprint, 2003. (Available at <http://arXiv.org/abs/math/0309330>)
- [6] L.J. Billera and A. Björner, *Face numbers of polytopes and complexes*, in: *Handbook of Discrete and Computational Geometry*, J.E. Goodman and J. O’Rourke (eds.) CRC Press, Boca Raton, New York, 1997, 291–310.
- [7] A. Björner, *Topological methods*, in: *Handbook of Combinatorics*, R.L. Graham, M. Grötschel and L. Lovász (eds.) Vol. 2, Elsevier, Amsterdam, 1995, 1819–1872.
- [8] M. Brion, *Points entiers dans les polyèdres convexes*. Ann. Sci. Ecole Norm. Sup. (4) **21** (1988), no. 4, 653–663.

- [9] W. Bruns and J. Herzog, *Cohen-Macaulay Rings*, Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, vol. 39, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1993.
- [10] V.M. Buchstaber and T.E. Panov, *Toricheskie Deistviya v Topologii i Kombinatorike*. (in Russian) [*Torus Actions in Topology and Combinatorics*] Moskovskii Tsentr Nepreryvnogo Matematicheskogo Obrazovaniya, Moscow, 2004.
- [11] J.A. De Loera, D. Haws, R. Hemmecke, P. Huggins, B. Sturmfels and R. Yoshida, *Short rational functions for toric algebra and applications*, J. Symbolic Comput., *to appear*.
- [12] J.A. De Loera, D. Haws, R. Hemmecke, P. Huggins, J. Tauzer and R. Yoshida, *A User's Guide for LattE v1.1*, 2003; software package LattE is available at <http://www.math.ucdavis.edu/~latte/>
- [13] J.A. De Loera, R. Hemmecke, J. Tauzer and R. Yoshida, *Effective lattice point counting in rational convex polytopes*, J. Symbolic Comput., *to appear*.
- [14] J.A. De Loera and B. Sturmfels, *Algebraic unimodular counting*. *Algebraic and geometric methods in discrete optimization*, Math. Program. **96** (2003), no. 2, Ser. B, 183–203.
- [15] T. Hibi, *Algebraic Combinatorics on Convex Polytopes*, Carslaw Publications, Glebe, Australia, 1992.
- [16] R.A. Horn and C.R. Johnson, *Matrix Analysis*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1986.
- [17] J.B. Lasserre and E.S. Zeron, *On counting integral points in a convex rational polytope*, Math. Oper. Res. **28** (2003), no. 4, 853–870.
- [18] A.O. Matveev, *Faces and bases: Boolean intervals*, preprint (2004).
- [19] P. McMullen and G.C. Shephard, *Convex Polytopes and the Upper Bound Conjecture*. Prepared in collaboration with J.E. Reeve and A.A. Ball. London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series, vol. 3, Cambridge University Press, London New York, 1971.
- [20] P. McMullen and D.W. Walkup, *A generalized lower-bound conjecture for simplicial polytopes*, Mathematika **18** (1971) 264–273.
- [21] E. Miller and B. Sturmfels, *Combinatorial Commutative Algebra*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, Springer-Verlag, 2004, *to appear*.
- [22] P. Orlik and H. Terao, *Arrangements of Hyperplanes*, Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften 300, Springer-Verlag, 1992.
- [23] A. Schrijver, *Theory of Linear and Integer Programming*, Wiley-Interscience Series in Discrete Mathematics, Wiley-Interscience, Chichester New York Brisbane Toronto Singapore, 1986.
- [24] R.P. Stanley, *Combinatorics and Commutative Algebra, Second edition*, Progress in Mathematics, Vol. 41, Birkhauser Boston, Inc., Boston, MA, 1996.
- [25] R.P. Stanley, *Enumerative Combinatorics, Vol. 1, Second edition*, Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, vol. 49, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1997.
- [26] R.P. Stanley, *An Introduction to Hyperplane Arrangements*, book in preparation.
- [27] G. Strang, *Linear Algebra and Its Applications*, Academic Press, New York San Francisco London, 1976.
- [28] B. Sturmfels, *Gröbner Bases and Convex Polytopes*, AMS University Lecture Series, vol. 8, AMS, Providence Rhode Island, 1995.



- [29] J.H. van Lint, *Introduction to Coding Theory*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 86, Springer-Verlag, New York Heidelberg Berlin, 1982.
- [30] G.M. Ziegler, *Lectures on Polytopes*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 152, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1995.

DATA-CENTER CO., RU-620034, EKATERINBURG, P.O. BOX 5, RUSSIAN FED-  
ERATION

*E-mail address:* `aomatveev@{dc.ru, hotmail.com}`