# SYMMETRIES OF THE COFFEECUP CAUSTIC

#### BRENDAN GUILFOYLE AND WILHELM KLINGENBERG

ABSTRACT. It is shown that the caustic formed by the reflection of a plane wave off the inside of a cylinder is independent of the angle of incidence of the wave with the axis of the cylinder. Moreover, the caustic formed by the reflection of a point source off a cylinder is proven to be translation symmetric.

This is done by constructing explicitly the focal sets of the reflected line congruences (2-parameter families of oriented lines in  $\mathbb{R}^3$ ) with the aid of the natural complex structure on the space of all oriented affine lines.

The coffeecup caustic is the bright curve commonly observed on the top of a cup of coffee formed by a strong light reflected off the side of the cup. Mathematically, such caustics are attributed to the focal set of the 2-parameter family of oriented lines generated by the reflection of the incoming rays [1] [2] [5].

The purpose of this paper is to demonstrate that the coffeecup caustic enjoys an invariance that is not immediately obvious. In particular, we model the caustic by the reflection of a plane wave off a cylinder and show that the caustic is invariant under change of the angle of incidence of the wave. While the caustic itself is well-known, it is normally computed for horizontal rays only, and thus the extra symmetry is not detected.

The symmetry can be traced back to the fact that the focal surface of the reflection of a point source off a cylinder is symmetric along the axis of the cylinder (even though the reflected line congruence itself has no such symmetry).

We prove the preceding by explicitly constructing the focal sets involved as parameterised sets in  $\mathbb{R}^3$ . This we do by applying recent work on immersed surfaces in the space  $\mathbb{T}$  of oriented affine lines in  $\mathbb{R}^3$  [3] [4]. The next section contains a summary of the background material on the complex geometry of  $\mathbb{T}$  and the reflection of line congruences. Section 2 discusses focal sets of arbitrary line congruences and how to compute them for a given parameterisation (Theorem 2).

At the start of Section 3 we solve the problem of reflection of an arbitrary line congruence off a cylinder (Theorem 3). While we carry this out only for the cylinder, reflection in any quadric in  $\mathbb{R}^3$  can be similarly treated. We then specialise to reflection off the inside of the cylinder of a plane and a point source, and construct the parameterisations of the focal sets (Propositions 2 and 3). The claimed symmetries follow. We end with plots of the reflected wavefront and the focal sets for varying source-to-cylinder separations.

Date: October 22, 2004.

<sup>1991</sup> Mathematics Subject Classification. 53A25 78A05 53C80.

Key words and phrases. line congruence, focal set, caustic, reflection.

### 1. The Parametric Approach to Geometric Optics

Let  $\mathbb{T}$  be the set of oriented affine lines in Euclidean  $\mathbb{R}^3$ , which, by parallel translation, we identify with the tangent bundle to the 2-sphere,  $\mathbb{TP}^1$ . We summarise now the main implications of this identification. Further details can be found in [3] [4].

The canonical projection  $\pi : \mathbb{T} \to \mathbb{P}^1$  assigns an oriented line, or *ray*, to its direction. The double fibration below lies at the heart of our investigation:



Figure 1

In the diagram, the lefthand map is projection onto the first factor. The mapping on the right, denoted by  $\Phi$ , takes  $(\gamma, r) \in \mathbb{T} \times \mathbb{R}$  to the point on the oriented line  $\gamma$  in  $\mathbb{R}^3$  that lies an affine parameter distance r from the point on  $\gamma$  closest to the origin (as shown above).

Let  $\xi$  be the local coordinates on  $\mathbb{P}^1$  obtained by stereographic projection from the south pole. This can be extended to coordinates  $(\xi, \eta)$  on  $\mathbb{T}$  minus the fibre over the south pole. The map  $(\xi, \eta, r) \mapsto \Phi(\xi, \eta, r) = (z(\xi, \eta, r), t(\xi, \eta, r))$  has the following coordinate expression [3]:

$$z = \frac{2(\eta - \overline{\eta}\xi^2) + 2\xi(1 + \xi\overline{\xi})r}{(1 + \xi\overline{\xi})^2} \qquad t = \frac{-2(\eta\overline{\xi} + \overline{\eta}\xi) + (1 - \xi^2\overline{\xi}^2)r}{(1 + \xi\overline{\xi})^2},$$
(1.1)

where  $z = x^1 + ix^2$ ,  $t = x^3$  and  $(x^1, x^2, x^3)$  are Euclidean coordinates in  $\mathbb{R}^3$ .

**Definition 1.** A line congruence is an immersed surface  $f : \Sigma \to \mathbb{T}$ , i.e. a 2parameter family of oriented lines in  $\mathbb{R}^3$ . A smoothly parameterised line congruence is an immersed surface  $f : \Sigma \to \mathbb{T}$  together with an open cover  $\{U_\alpha\}$  of  $\Sigma$  and diffeomorphisms  $\mathbb{C} \to U_\alpha : \mu_\alpha \mapsto \gamma$ . For short we will denote a parameterisation simply by  $\mu$ .

The first order properties of such a family can described by two complex functions, the *optical scalars*:  $\rho, \sigma : \Sigma \times \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{C}$ , which are defined relative to an orthonormal frame in  $\mathbb{R}^3$  adapted to the congruence. The real part  $\theta$  and the imaginary part  $\lambda$  of  $\rho$  are the *divergence* and *twist* of the congruence, while  $\sigma$  is the *shear* [6]. For a parameterised line congruences we compose with the coordinates above get  $\mu \mapsto (\xi(\mu, \bar{\mu}), \eta(\mu, \bar{\mu}))$ . The optical scalars then, with a natural choice of orthonormal frame, have the following expressions [3]:

$$\rho = \theta + \lambda i = \frac{\partial^+ \eta \overline{\partial} \,\overline{\xi} - \partial^- \eta \partial \overline{\xi}}{\partial^- \eta \overline{\partial}^- \eta - \partial^+ \eta \overline{\partial}^+ \eta} \qquad \sigma = \frac{\overline{\partial^+ \eta} \partial \overline{\xi} - \overline{\partial^- \eta} \,\overline{\partial} \,\overline{\xi}}{\partial^- \eta \overline{\partial}^- \eta - \partial^+ \eta \overline{\partial}^+ \eta}, \tag{1.2}$$

where

$$\partial^+ \eta \equiv \partial \eta + r \partial \xi - \frac{2\eta \overline{\xi} \partial \xi}{1 + \xi \overline{\xi}} \qquad \qquad \partial^- \eta \equiv \overline{\partial} \eta + r \overline{\partial} \xi - \frac{2\eta \overline{\xi} \overline{\partial} \xi}{1 + \xi \overline{\xi}}$$

and  $\bar{\partial}$  and  $\bar{\partial}$  are differentiation with respect to  $\mu$  and  $\bar{\mu}$ , respectively.

**Definition 2.** The *curvature* of a line congruence is defined to be  $\kappa = \rho \bar{\rho} - \sigma \bar{\sigma}$ . A line congruence is *flat* if  $\kappa = 0$ . A line congruence  $\Sigma \subset \mathbb{T}$  is flat iff the rank of the projection  $\pi : \mathbb{T} \to \mathbb{P}^1$  restricted to  $\Sigma$  is non-maximal.

Given a line congruence  $f: \Sigma \to \mathbb{T}$ , a map  $s: \Sigma \to \mathbb{R}$  determines a map  $\Sigma \to \mathbb{R}^3$ by  $\gamma \mapsto \Phi(f(\gamma), s(\gamma))$  for  $\gamma \in \Sigma$ . With a local parameterisation  $\mu$  of  $\Sigma$ , we get a map  $\mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{R}^3$  which comes from substituting  $r = s(\mu, \bar{\mu})$  in equations (1.1).

Of particular interest are the surfaces in  $\mathbb{R}^3$  orthogonal to the line congruence - when the line congruence is *normal*. These exist iff the twist of the congruence vanishes, and the surfaces are obtained from the solutions of the following equation [3]:

$$\bar{\partial}r = \frac{2\eta\bar{\partial}\bar{\xi} + 2\bar{\eta}\bar{\partial}\xi}{(1+\xi\bar{\xi})^2}.$$
(1.3)

Surfaces in  $\mathbb{R}^3$  that are tangent to the line congruence are discussed in the next section.

We turn now to the reflection of an oriented line in a surface in  $\mathbb{R}^3$ . This is equivalent to the action of a certain group on the space of oriented lines, as described by [4]:

**Theorem 1.** Consider a parametric line congruence  $\xi = \xi_1(\mu_1, \bar{\mu}_1), \eta = \eta_1(\mu_1, \bar{\mu}_1)$ reflected off an oriented surface with parameterised normal line congruence  $\xi = \xi_0(\mu_0, \bar{\mu}_0), \eta = \eta_0(\mu_0, \bar{\mu}_0)$  and  $r = r_0(\mu_0, \bar{\mu}_0)$  satisfying (1.3) with  $\xi = \xi_0$  and  $\eta = \eta_0$ . Then the reflected line congruence is

$$\xi = \frac{2\xi_0\bar{\xi}_1 + 1 - \xi_0\bar{\xi}_0}{(1 - \xi_0\bar{\xi}_0)\bar{\xi}_1 - 2\bar{\xi}_0},\tag{1.4}$$

$$\eta = \frac{(\bar{\xi}_0 - \bar{\xi}_1)^2}{((1 - \xi_0 \bar{\xi}_0) \bar{\xi}_1 - 2\bar{\xi}_0)^2} \eta_0 - \frac{(1 + \xi_0 \bar{\xi}_1)^2}{((1 - \xi_0 \bar{\xi}_0) \bar{\xi}_1 - 2\bar{\xi}_0)^2} \bar{\eta}_0 + \frac{(\bar{\xi}_0 - \bar{\xi}_1)(1 + \xi_0 \bar{\xi}_1)(1 + \xi_0 \bar{\xi}_0)}{((1 - \xi_0 \bar{\xi}_0) \bar{\xi}_1 - 2\bar{\xi}_0)^2} r_0,$$
(1.5)

where the incoming rays are only reflected if they satisfy the intersection equation

$$\eta_1 = \frac{(1+\bar{\xi}_0\xi_1)^2}{(1+\xi_0\xi_0)^2}\eta_0 - \frac{(\xi_0-\xi_1)^2}{(1+\xi_0\xi_0)^2}\bar{\eta}_0 + \frac{(\xi_0-\xi_1)(1+\bar{\xi}_0\xi_1)}{1+\xi_0\xi_0}r_0.$$
(1.6)

By virtue of the intersection equation, an alternative way of writing (1.5) is

$$\eta = \frac{-(1+\xi_0\bar{\xi}_0)^2}{((1-\xi_0\bar{\xi}_0)\bar{\xi}_1 - 2\bar{\xi}_0)^2}\bar{\eta}_1 + \frac{2(\bar{\xi}_0 - \bar{\xi}_1)(1+\xi_0\bar{\xi}_1)(1+\xi_0\bar{\xi}_0)}{((1-\xi_0\bar{\xi}_0)\bar{\xi}_1 - 2\bar{\xi}_0)^2}r_0.$$
 (1.7)

The geometric content of this is: reflection of an oriented line can be decomposed into a sum of rotation about the origin (the derived action of  $PSL(2,\mathbb{C})$  on  $T\mathbb{P}^1$ ) and translation (a fibre-mapping on  $T\mathbb{P}^1$ ).

#### 2. The Focal Set of a Line Congruence

Let  $\sigma$  and  $\rho$  be the optical scalars of a line congruence  $\Sigma$  as described in the last section.

**Definition 3.** A point p on a line  $\gamma$  in a line congruence is a *focal point* if  $\rho$  and  $\sigma$  blow-up at p. The set of focal points of a line congruence  $\Sigma$  generically form surfaces in  $\mathbb{R}^3$ , which will be referred to as the *focal surfaces* of  $\Sigma$ .

**Theorem 2.** The focal set of a parametric line congruence  $\Sigma$  is

$$\{\Phi(\gamma, r) \mid \gamma \in \Sigma \text{ and } 1 - 2\theta_0 r + (\rho_0 \overline{\rho}_0 - \sigma_0 \overline{\sigma}_0) r^2 = 0\},\$$

where the coefficients of the quadratic equation are given by (1.2) at r = 0.

*Proof.* In terms of the affine parameter r along a given line, the Sachs equations, which  $\sigma$  and  $\rho$  must satisfy, are [6]:

$$\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial r} = \rho^2 + \sigma \overline{\sigma} \qquad \frac{\partial \sigma}{\partial r} = (\rho + \overline{\rho})\sigma.$$

These are equivalent to the vanishing of certain components of the Ricci tensor of the Euclidean metric. They have solution:

$$\rho = \frac{\rho_0 - (\rho_0 \overline{\rho}_0 - \sigma_0 \overline{\sigma}_0)r}{1 - 2\theta_0 r + (\rho_0 \overline{\rho}_0 - \sigma_0 \overline{\sigma}_0)r^2} \qquad \sigma = \frac{\sigma_0}{1 - 2\theta_0 r + (\rho_0 \overline{\rho}_0 - \sigma_0 \overline{\sigma}_0)r^2}$$

where  $\sigma_0$ ,  $\theta_0$  and  $\rho_0$  are the values of the optical scalars at r = 0. The theorem follows.

This has the following corollary:

**Corollary 1.** Let  $\Sigma$  be a line congruence,  $\rho = \theta + \lambda i$ ,  $\sigma$  the associated optical scalars and  $\rho_0$ ,  $\theta_0$ ,  $\lambda_0$ ,  $\sigma_0$  their values at r = 0.

If  $\Sigma$  is flat with non-zero divergence, then there exists a unique focal surface S given by  $r = (2\theta_0)^{-1}$ . If it is flat with zero divergence, then the focal set is empty.

If  $\Sigma$  is non-flat, then there exists a unique focal point on each line iff  $|\sigma_0|^2 = \lambda_0^2$ , there exist two focal points on each line iff  $|\sigma_0|^2 < \lambda_0^2$  and there are no focal points on each line iff  $|\sigma_0|^2 > \lambda_0^2$ . The focal set is given by

$$r = \frac{\theta_0 \pm (|\sigma_0|^2 - \lambda_0^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}}{\rho_0 \bar{\rho}_0 - \sigma_0 \bar{\sigma}_0}$$

*Proof.* The focal set of a parameterised line congruence are given by  $r = r(\mu, \bar{\mu})$  satisfying the quadratic equation in Theorem 2. If  $\kappa = 0$ , then there is none or one solution depending on whether  $\theta_0 = 0$  or not.

If  $\kappa \neq 0$  then there are two, one or no solutions iff  $|\sigma_0|^2 - \lambda_0^2$  is greater than, equal to or less than zero (respectively).

The solution of the quadratic equation in each case is as stated.

There is also the equivalent definition for focal surfaces:

**Proposition 1.** A surface S in  $\mathbb{R}^3$  is a focal surface of a line congruence  $\Sigma$  iff every line in  $\Sigma$  is tangent to S at some point.

*Proof.* Let  $\Sigma$  be locally parameterised by  $\mu \mapsto (\xi(\mu, \bar{\mu}), \eta(\mu, \bar{\mu}))$ , where  $(\xi, \eta)$  are the canonical coordinates above. Then a surface in  $\mathbb{R}^3$  given by  $s : \Sigma \to \mathbb{R}$  is tangent to the line congruence iff

$$\mathrm{Det} \left[ \begin{array}{ccc} \frac{2\xi}{1+\xi\xi} & \frac{2\bar{\xi}}{1+\xi\xi} & \frac{1-\xi\bar{\xi}}{1+\xi\xi} \\ \partial z & \partial \bar{z} & \partial t \\ \bar{\partial} z & \bar{\partial} \bar{z} & \bar{\partial} t \end{array} \right] = 0,$$

where the partial derivatives are in  $\mu$  and  $\bar{\mu}$ . This determinant equation, which is

$$2\xi(\partial \bar{z}\partial t - \bar{\partial} \bar{z}\partial t) + 2\bar{\xi}(\partial z \partial t - \bar{\partial} z \partial t) + (1 - \xi \bar{\xi})(\partial z \partial \bar{z} - \bar{\partial} z \partial \bar{z}) = 0,$$

is a quadratic equation for  $r = s(\mu, \bar{\mu})$  with coefficients given by the first derivatives of  $z(\mu, \bar{\mu})$ ,  $\bar{z}(\mu, \bar{\mu})$  and  $t(\mu, \bar{\mu})$ .

Carrying out the differentiation we find, for example, that

$$\begin{aligned} \partial z &= \left(\frac{2s}{(1+\xi\xi)^2} - \frac{4(\bar{\xi}\eta + \xi\bar{\eta})}{(1+\xi\xi)^3}\right) \partial \xi + \left(-\frac{2(1+2\xi\bar{\xi})\xi^2s}{(1+\xi\xi)^2} - \frac{4(\eta - \xi^2\bar{\eta})}{(1+\xi\xi)^3}\right) \partial \bar{\xi} \\ &+ \frac{2}{(1+\xi\bar{\xi})^2} \partial \eta - \frac{2\xi^2}{(1+\xi\bar{\xi})^2} \partial \bar{\eta} + \frac{2\xi}{1+\xi\bar{\xi}} \partial s. \end{aligned}$$

Similar computations finally yield the quadratic that appears in Theorem 2.  $\Box$ 

## 3. Reflection off a Cylinder

Consider a cylinder of radius a, with axis lying along the  $x^3$ -axis in  $\mathbb{R}^3$ . Such a cylinder is given parametrically by  $(u, v) \mapsto (-a \cos v, -a \sin v, u)$  for  $(u, v) \in \mathbb{R} \times S^1$ . We find the normal congruence to the cylinder by noting that this is equivalent to  $(u, v) \mapsto \Phi(\xi(u, v), \eta(u, v), r(u, v))$ , where

$$\xi = e^{iv} \qquad \eta = -u \ e^{iv} \qquad r = -a.$$

**Theorem 3.** A ray  $(\xi_1, \eta_1)$  intersects a cylinder of radius a lying along the  $x^3$ -axis iff:

$$\left|\frac{\xi_1\bar{\eta}_1 - \bar{\xi}_1\eta_1}{\xi_1(1 + \xi_1\bar{\xi}_1)}\right| \le a.$$
(3.1)

For such a ray the reflected ray is

$$\begin{split} \xi &= -\bar{\xi}_1 \left( \frac{\xi_1 \bar{\eta}_1 - \bar{\xi}_1 \eta_1 \pm (a^2 \xi_1 \bar{\xi}_1 (1 + \xi_1 \bar{\xi}_1)^2 + (\xi_1 \bar{\eta}_1 - \bar{\xi}_1 \eta_1)^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}}{a \bar{\xi}_1 (1 + \xi_1 \bar{\xi}_1)} \right)^2, \\ \eta &= -\frac{1}{\xi_1} \left( \bar{\xi}_1 \eta_1 \pm \frac{1 - \xi_1 \bar{\xi}_1}{1 + \xi_1 \bar{\xi}_1} (a^2 \xi_1 \bar{\xi}_1 (1 + \xi_1 \bar{\xi}_1)^2 + (\xi_1 \bar{\eta}_1 - \bar{\xi}_1 \eta_1)^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right) \\ &\cdot \left( \frac{\xi_1 \bar{\eta}_1 - \bar{\xi}_1 \eta_1 \pm (a^2 \xi_1 \bar{\xi}_1 (1 + \xi_1 \bar{\xi}_1)^2 + (\xi_1 \bar{\eta}_1 - \bar{\xi}_1 \eta_1)^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}}{a \bar{\xi}_1 (1 + \xi_1 \bar{\xi}_1)} \right)^2, \end{split}$$

where exterior and interior reflection are given by the plus and minus signs, respectively.

*Proof.* Consider an incoming ray  $(\xi_1, \eta_1)$ . The reflection equations (1.4) and (1.5) tell us that the reflected ray is

$$\xi = -\bar{\xi}_1 e^{2iv} \qquad \eta = -\frac{1}{2} \left( a e^{-iv} - 2u\bar{\xi}_1 - a e^{iv}\bar{\xi}_1^2 \right) e^{2iv}. \tag{3.3}$$

This incoming ray intersects the cylinder iff (cf(1.6)):

$$\eta_1 = -\frac{1}{2} \left( a e^{iv} + 2u\xi_1 - a e^{-iv}\xi_1^2 \right).$$
(3.4)

We eliminate u from this equation by combining it with its conjugate and solving the resulting equation for v. The solution, which exists iff (3.1) holds, is

$$e^{iv} = \frac{\xi_1 \bar{\eta}_1 - \bar{\xi}_1 \eta_1 \pm (a^2 \xi_1 \bar{\xi}_1 (1 + \xi_1 \bar{\xi}_1)^2 + (\xi_1 \bar{\eta}_1 - \bar{\xi}_1 \eta_1)^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}}{a \bar{\xi}_1 (1 + \xi_1 \bar{\xi}_1)}.$$

Substituting this back into the intersection equation we get that

$$u = -\frac{1}{2\xi_1\bar{\xi}_1} \left( \xi_1\bar{\eta}_1 + \bar{\xi}_1\eta_1 \pm \frac{1-\xi_1\bar{\xi}_1}{1+\xi_1\bar{\xi}_1} (a^2\xi_1\bar{\xi}_1(1+\xi_1\bar{\xi}_1)^2 + (\xi_1\bar{\eta}_1 - \bar{\xi}_1\eta_1)^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right).$$

Finally, putting these last two equations into the reflected ray equation (3.3) yields the stated result.

3.1. **Plane Wave Source.** We apply the preceding to the case when the incoming wave is a plane.

**Proposition 2.** Consider the reflection off the inside of a cylinder of radius a of a line congruence consisting of parallel rays making an angle  $\beta$  with the axis. The focal set of the reflected line congruence is a surface given parametrically by

$$x^{1} = a \cos v \left( \cos^{2} v - \frac{3}{2} \right) \qquad x^{2} = a \sin v \left( \cos^{2} v - 1 \right),$$
$$x^{3} = u - \frac{a}{2} \cos v \cot \beta,$$

for  $u \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $\pi \leq v \leq 3\pi/2$ .

*Proof.* Since the incoming ray direction  $\xi_1$  is fixed, it is appropriate to parameterise the reflected line congruence by the point of contact with cylinder. Thus we let  $\mu = ue^{iv}$  for  $u \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $\pi \leq v \leq 3\pi/2$  and then, by Theorem 3, the reflected line congruence is

$$\xi = -\bar{\xi}_1 \frac{\mu}{\bar{\mu}} \qquad \eta = -\frac{1}{2} \left( a - 2\mu \bar{\xi}_1 - a \frac{\mu}{\bar{\mu}} \bar{\xi}_1^2 \right) \left( \frac{\mu}{\bar{\mu}} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

We rotate the cylinder and incoming wave so that the wave is travelling in the  $x^1x^3$ -plane i.e.  $\xi_1 = \tan(\beta/2)$ .

Computing the optical scalars for this congruence using (1.2) we find that

$$\rho_0 = -|\sigma_0| = -\frac{b^2}{u},$$

and so the line congruence is flat ( $\kappa = 0$ ). By Theorem 2 it has a single focal surface at  $r = (2\theta_0)^{-1}$ . Substitution of this in (1.1) proves the result.

This focal surface is symmetric along the  $x^3$ -axis and intersects any plane parallel to the  $x^1x^2$ -plane in a caustic, called the coffeecup caustic.

**Corollary 2.** The caustic generated by a plane wave source is invariant under change of angle of the wave with the cylindrical axis.

*Proof.* This follows from the fact that  $x^1$  and  $x^2$  in Proposition 2 are independent of  $\beta$ .

3.2. **Point Source.** We now apply the preceding results to the case when the incoming wave is generated by a point source.

**Proposition 3.** Consider the reflection off the inside of a cylinder with radius a of a point source a distance l from the axis. The focal set of the reflected line congruence consists of a curve in the  $x^1x^2$ -plane:

$$x^{1} = a^{-2}l(a^{2} - 4a^{2}\sin^{2}v - 2l^{2}\sin^{2}v + 4l^{2}\sin^{4}v) + 2a^{-2}\cos v \ (a^{2} - 2l^{2}\sin^{2}v)(a^{2} - l^{2}\sin^{2}v)^{\frac{1}{2}},$$

 $x^{2} = 2a^{-2}\sin v \left(2l\cos v(a^{2} - l^{2}\sin^{2}v) + (a^{2} - l^{2} - 2l^{2}\sin^{2}v)(a^{2} - l^{2}\sin^{2}v)^{\frac{1}{2}}\right),$ 

and a surface:

$$\begin{aligned} x^{1} &= \frac{2l^{2}\cos v \sin^{2} v (a^{2} - 2l^{2} \sin^{2} v)}{a^{2} \left(2l\cos v + (a^{2} - l^{2} \sin^{2} v)^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)} \\ &+ \frac{l(a^{2} + 2l^{2} \sin^{2} v - 4l^{2} \sin^{4} v)(a^{2} - l^{2} \sin^{2} v)^{\frac{1}{2}}}{a^{2} \left(2l\cos v + (a^{2} - l^{2} \sin^{2} v)^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)}, \\ x^{2} &= \frac{2l^{2} \sin^{3} v \left(a^{2} + l^{2} - 2l^{2} \sin^{2} v + 2l\cos v(a^{2} - l^{2} \sin^{2} v)^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)}{a^{2} \left(2l\cos v + (a^{2} - l^{2} \sin^{2} v)^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)}, \\ x^{3} &= \frac{(1 - u^{2}) \left(a^{2} + l^{2} - 2l^{2} \sin^{2} v + 2l\cos v(a^{2} - l^{2} \sin^{2} v)^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)}{u \left(2l\cos v + (a^{2} - l^{2} \sin^{2} v)^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $0 \le v \le \pi$  for  $l \le a$  and  $-\sin^{-1}(a/l) \le v \le \sin^{-1}(a/l)$  for l > a.

*Proof.* Consider a point source lying at (-l, 0, 0) in  $\mathbb{R}^3$ . This line congruence can be parameterised by its direction  $\xi_1$  and  $\eta_1 = -l(1 - \xi_1^2)/2$ .

By Theorem 3, the line congruence obtained from reflection of a point source off the inside of a cylinder of radius a is

0

$$\begin{split} \xi &= -\bar{\xi}_1 \left( \frac{l(\bar{\xi}_1 - \xi_1) - (4a^2\xi_1\bar{\xi}_1 + l^2(\bar{\xi}_1 - \xi_1)^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}}{2a\bar{\xi}_1} \right)^2,\\ \eta &= \frac{1}{2\xi_1} \left( l\bar{\xi}_1(1 - \xi_1^2) + (1 - \xi_1\bar{\xi}_1)(4a^2\xi_1\bar{\xi}_1 + l^2(\bar{\xi}_1 - \xi_1)^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right)\\ &\cdot \left( \frac{l(\bar{\xi}_1 - \xi_1) - (4a^2\xi_1\bar{\xi}_1 + l^2(\bar{\xi}_1 - \xi_1)^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}}{2a\bar{\xi}_1} \right)^2. \end{split}$$

As in the plane wave case, we compute the optical scalars in this parameterisation using (1.2). We find, for example, that

$$\frac{|\sigma_0|}{\kappa_0} = \frac{(1+u^2)\left(l\cos v + (a^2 - l^2\sin^2 v)^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)^2}{2u\left(2l\cos v + (a^2 - l^2\sin^2 v)^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)},$$

where  $\xi_1 = ue^{iv}$ . The similar expression for  $\rho_0$  shows that the reflected congruence is not flat. Thus each line contains exactly two focal points which can be obtained by inserting the solutions of the quadratic equation of Theorem 2 into (1.1). The results are as stated above.

**Corollary 3.** The caustic generated by a point source is invariant under translation along the cylindrical axis.

*Proof.* This follows from the fact that  $x^1$  and  $x^2$  of the surface in Proposition 3 are independent of u.

3.3. **Illustrations.** In the sequence of pictures in Figure 2 we show the evolution of the coffeecup caustic (inside and outside the cup) as the distance of the source decreases.



Figure 2

The focal curve lies outside the circle of radius a and so is not seen physically. The focal surface is symmetric along the  $x^3$ -axis, even though the reflected line congruence is not. In Figure 3 we show the three-dimensional focal set for l = 0.9a, the cross-section of which is shown in the middle left of Figure 2.

8



Figure 3

The cross-section of the focal surface inside the cup for varying values of l/a is illustrated in Figure 4. The parallel limit, given by Proposition 2, is also indicated with a broken line.



Figure 4

Figure 5 shows a reflected wavefront for l = 0.8 and a = 1. This is obtained by integration of the integrability equations (1.3). Note the lack of translation symmetry.



# References

- V. I. Arnold, S. M. Gusein-Zade, A. N. Varchenko, Singularities of differentiable maps, Volume I, Birkhaeuser, Basel, 1986.
- [2] J. Bruce, P. Giblin and C. Gibson, On caustics by reflection, Topology 21 (1982), 179-199.
- [3] B. Guilfoyle and W. Klingenberg, *Generalised surfaces in*  $\mathbb{R}^3$ , Proc. of the R.I.A. **104A(2)** [math.DG/0406185].
- [4] B. Guilfoyle and W. Klingenberg, *Reflection of a wave off a surface*, submitted to the Journal of Geometry (2004) [math.DG/0406212]
- [5] S. Izumiya, K. Saji and N. Takeuchi, *Singularities of line congruences*, Proceedings of the Royal Society of Edinburgh **133A** (2003), 1341-1359.
- [6] R. Penrose and W. Rindler, Spinors and spacetime, Volume 1 and 2, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge 1986.

BRENDAN GUILFOYLE, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND COMPUTING, INSTITUTE OF TECH-NOLOGY, TRALEE, CLASH, TRALEE, CO. KERRY, IRELAND. *E-mail address:* brendan.guilfoyle@ittralee.ie

WILHELM KLINGENBERG, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, UNIVERSITY OF DURHAM, DURHAM DH1 3LE, UNITED KINGDOM.

E-mail address: wilhelm.klingenberg@durham.ac.uk