

THE GEOMETRY OF FOCAL SETS

BRENDAN GUILFOYLE AND WILHELM KLINGENBERG

ABSTRACT. The space \mathbb{L} of oriented lines, or rays, in \mathbb{R}^3 is a 4-dimensional space with an abundance of natural geometric structure. In particular, it boasts a neutral Kähler metric which is closely related to the Euclidean metric on \mathbb{R}^3 . In this paper we explore the relationship between the focal set of a line congruence (or 2-parameter family of oriented lines in \mathbb{R}^3) and the geometry induced on the associated surface in \mathbb{L} . The physical context of such sets is geometric optics in a homogeneous isotropic medium, and so, to illustrate the method, we compute the focal set of the k^{th} reflection of a point source off the inside of a cylinder. The focal sets, which we explicitly parameterize, exhibit unexpected symmetries, and are found to fit well with observable phenomena.

The space of oriented affine lines in \mathbb{R}^3 , which we denote \mathbb{L} , has an abundance of natural geometric structure. The purpose of this paper is to continue recent work [7] relating this structure to geometric optics in a homogeneous isotropic medium: the theory of light propagation under the assumption that the light travels along straight lines in \mathbb{R}^3 . The fundamental objects of study are 2-parameter families of oriented lines, or *line congruences*, which we view as surfaces in \mathbb{L} . Thus we are lead to consider the geometry of immersed surfaces $\Sigma \subset \mathbb{L}$.

In the first instance, since \mathbb{L} can be identified with the tangent space to the 2-sphere, there is the natural bundle map $\pi : \mathbb{L} \rightarrow S^2$. If $\pi|_{\Sigma} : \Sigma \rightarrow S^2$ is not an immersion, we say that Σ is *flat*. Otherwise, Σ can be described, at least locally, by sections of the canonical bundle.

On the other hand, there is a natural symplectic structure Ω on \mathbb{L} , and $\Sigma \subset \mathbb{L}$ is lagrangian with respect to this symplectic structure iff the line congruence admits a family of orthogonal surfaces in \mathbb{R}^3 . In geometric optics such surfaces are the wavefronts of the propagating light. As a wavefront evolves along the line congruence, if there is any focusing, the surface becomes singular. The points at which this occurs are referred to as *focal points*.

In addition, \mathbb{L} admits a natural complex structure \mathbb{J} , which, together with the symplectic structure, forms a natural Kähler structure [8]. The metric \mathbb{G} is of signature $(+ + - -)$ and therefore the metric induced on a surface Σ may be riemannian, lorentz or degenerate.

The aim of this paper is two-fold: to relate the geometry induced on $\Sigma \subset \mathbb{L}$ by \mathbb{G} with the set of focal points of the line congruence in \mathbb{R}^3 , and to demonstrate the effectiveness of the geometric formalism in computing focal sets explicitly. Moreover, we hope to demonstrate that the results of such computations can have visible physical significance.

For the first aim we prove:

Date: October 23rd, 2005.

1991 Mathematics Subject Classification. 53A25 78A05 53C80.

Key words and phrases. line congruence, focal set, caustic, reflection.

Main Theorem 1.

Let Σ be an immersed surface in \mathbb{L} . If Σ is flat, there is exactly one focal point on each line of the congruence. If Σ is not flat, then there is none, one or two focal points on each line iff the metric induced on Σ by \mathbb{G} is riemannian, degenerate or lorentz (respectively).

In addition, we relate the distance between pairs of focal surfaces and the angle between their normals to geometric quantities on $\Sigma \subset \mathbb{L}$.

Mathematically, the focal set of a generic line congruence is well understood [1] [3] [11]. Special examples of focal sets, also referred to as caustics by some authors, have been studied for many decades [2] [4] [5] [10]. For the second aim, we compute explicitly the focal set of the line congruence formed by the multiple reflection of a point source off the inside of a cylinder. The first such reflected focal set is often referred to as the coffeecup caustic, since its cross-section is commonly observed on the top of a cup of coffee in the presence of a bright light. We prove:

Main Theorem 2.

Consider the k^{th} reflection of a point source at $(-l, 0, 0)$ off the inside of a cylinder lying along the x^3 -axis with radius a . The focal set of the reflected line congruence consists of a surface:

$$z = (-1)^{k+1} l \frac{[l \sin v i + (a^2 - l^2 \sin^2 v)^{\frac{1}{2}}]^{2k} [2kl \cos v \sin v e^{-iv} + (a^2 - l^2 \sin^2 v)^{\frac{1}{2}}]}{a^{2k} [2kl \cos v + (a^2 - l^2 \sin^2 v)^{\frac{1}{2}}]}$$

$$x^3 = \frac{k(1 - u^2)[a^2 - l^2 - 2l^2 \sin^2 v + 2kl \cos v (a^2 - l^2 \sin^2 v)^{\frac{1}{2}}]}{u[2kl \cos v + (a^2 - l^2 \sin^2 v)^{\frac{1}{2}}]}$$

and a curve in the $x^1 x^2$ -plane:

$$z = (-1)^{k+1} k a^{-2k} [l \sin v i + (a^2 - l^2 \sin^2 v)^{\frac{1}{2}}]^{2k} [l + 2k e^{iv} (a^2 - l^2 \sin^2 v)^{\frac{1}{2}}]$$

where $z = x^1 + ix^2$, $u \in \mathbb{R}$ and v is in the domain

$$0 \leq v \leq \pi \quad \text{for} \quad l \leq a$$

and

$$-\sin^{-1}(a/l) \leq v \leq \sin^{-1}(a/l) \quad \text{for} \quad l > a.$$

Thus the focal set consists of two sets: a translation invariant surface and a curve lying in the plane perpendicular to the symmetry axis and containing the source point. The commonly observed coffeecup caustic is the level sets of the former with $k = 1$, while the latter lies outside the cup and so is not observed. With the aid of a polished brass cylinder, the first author, in collaboration with Grace Weir, has photographed multiple reflections of up to 4th order. The results agree closely with the result of Main Theorem 2.

This paper is organised as follows. The next section contains a review of the complex geometric structure on the space of oriented lines in \mathbb{R}^3 , as developed in [8]. The second section describes the first order invariants of an arbitrary line congruence, while the next section contains an exposition on the construction of surfaces using line congruences. This is required in order to have a description of the reflective surfaces. To illustrate the method we compute the normal line congruence to the paraboloids in \mathbb{R}^3 .

Section 4 turns to reflection in a surface, as expounded more fully in [7]. By way of example, we compute the reflection of a plane wave off the paraboloids computed in the previous section. In section 5 we describe the general procedure for computing the focal set of an arbitrary line congruence and prove Main Theorem 1.

Finally we look in detail at the coffeecup caustics: the focal sets formed by reflection of a point source off the inside of a cylinder. We do this for multiple reflections off the cylinder and find that the focal sets consists of two distinct sets: one a translation invariant surface and the other a curve outside of the cylinder (and hence not physically seen). In the limit as the source tends to infinity, the incoming wave is flat and the resulting focal set is independent of the angle of incidence of the wave. Section 7 contains a discussion of these focal sets and their properties.

1. THE SPACE OF ORIENTED LINES

We start with 3-dimensional Euclidean space \mathbb{R}^3 and fix standard coordinates (x^1, x^2, x^3) . In what follows we combine the first two coordinates to form a single complex coordinate $z = x^1 + ix^2$, set $t = x^3$ and refer to coordinates (z, t) on \mathbb{R}^3 .


Let \mathbb{L} be the set of oriented lines, or *rays*, in Euclidean space \mathbb{R}^3 . Such a line γ is uniquely determined by its unit direction vector \vec{U} and the vector \vec{V} joining the origin to the point on the line that lies closest to the origin. That is,

$$\gamma = \{ \vec{V} + r\vec{U} \in \mathbb{R}^3 \mid r \in \mathbb{R} \}$$

where r is an affine parameter along the line.

By parallel translation, we move \vec{U} to the origin and \vec{V} to the head of \vec{U} . Thus, we obtain a vector that is tangent to the unit 2-dimensional sphere in \mathbb{R}^3 . The mapping is one-to-one and so it identifies the space of oriented lines with the tangent bundle of the 2-sphere $T S^2$ (see Figure 1).

$$\mathbb{L} = \{ (\vec{U}, \vec{V}) \in \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3 \mid |\vec{U}| = 1 \quad \vec{U} \cdot \vec{V} = 0 \}$$



\mathbb{L} is a 4-dimensional manifold and the above identification gives a natural set of local complex coordinates. Let ξ be the local complex coordinate on the unit 2-sphere in \mathbb{R}^3 obtained by stereographic projection from the south pole.

In terms of the standard spherical polar angles (θ, ϕ) , we have $\xi = \tan(\frac{\theta}{2})e^{i\phi}$. We convert from coordinates $(\xi, \bar{\xi})$ back to (θ, ϕ) using

$$\cos \theta = \frac{1-\xi\bar{\xi}}{1+\xi\bar{\xi}} \quad \sin \theta = \frac{2\sqrt{\xi\bar{\xi}}}{1+\xi\bar{\xi}} \quad \cos \phi = \frac{\xi+\bar{\xi}}{2\sqrt{\xi\bar{\xi}}} \quad \sin \phi = \frac{\xi-\bar{\xi}}{2i\sqrt{\xi\bar{\xi}}} \quad (1.1)$$

This can be extended to complex coordinates (ξ, η) on \mathbb{L} minus the tangent space over the south pole, as follows. First note that a tangent vector \vec{X} to the 2-sphere can always be expressed as a linear combination of the tangent vectors generated by θ and ϕ :

$$\vec{X} = X^\theta \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} + X^\phi \frac{\partial}{\partial \phi}$$

In our complex formalism, we have the natural complex tangent vector

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \xi} = \cos^2\left(\frac{\theta}{2}\right) \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} - \frac{i}{2 \cos(\frac{\theta}{2}) \sin(\frac{\theta}{2})} \frac{\partial}{\partial \phi} \right) e^{-i\phi}$$

and any real tangent vector can be written as

$$\vec{X} = \eta \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi} + \bar{\eta} \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{\xi}}$$

for a complex number η . We identify the real tangent vector \vec{X} on the 2-sphere (and hence the ray in \mathbb{R}^3) with the two complex numbers (ξ, η) . Loosely speaking, ξ determines the direction of the ray, and η determines its perpendicular distance vector to the origin - complex representations of \vec{U} and \vec{V} .

The coordinates (ξ, η) do not cover all of \mathbb{L} - they omit all of the lines pointing directly downwards. However, the construction can also be carried out using stereographic projection from the north pole, yielding a coordinate system that covers all of \mathbb{L} except for the lines pointing directly upwards. Between these two coordinate patches the whole of the space of oriented lines is covered. In what follows we work in the patch that omits the south direction.

While the coordinates depend on a choice of origin, a translation of the origin simply alters the coordinates by :


$$\xi \rightarrow \xi' = \xi \quad \eta \rightarrow \eta' = \eta + \alpha + b\xi - \bar{\alpha}\xi^2, \quad (1.2)$$

while a rotation about the origin is given by

$$\xi \rightarrow \xi' = \frac{a\xi - \beta}{\beta\xi + a} \quad \eta \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi} \rightarrow \eta' \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi'} = \frac{\eta}{(\beta\xi + a)^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi'}, \quad (1.3)$$

for $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C}$ and $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ with $a^2 + \beta\bar{\beta} = 1$.

Definition 1. The map $\Phi : \mathbb{L} \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$ is defined to take $((\xi, \eta), r) \in \mathbb{L} \times \mathbb{R}$ to the point in \mathbb{R}^3 on the oriented line (ξ, η) that lies a distance r from the point on the line closest to the origin (see the Figure 2).



This map, which is of crucial importance when describing surfaces in \mathbb{R}^3 , has the following coordinate expression:

Proposition 1. [6] *If $\Phi(\xi, \eta, r) = (z(\xi, \eta, r), t(\xi, \eta, r))$, then:*

$$z = \frac{2(\eta - \bar{\eta}\xi^2) + 2\xi(1 + \xi\bar{\xi})r}{(1 + \xi\bar{\xi})^2} \quad t = \frac{-2(\eta\bar{\xi} + \bar{\eta}\xi) + (1 - \xi^2\bar{\xi}^2)r}{(1 + \xi\bar{\xi})^2}, \quad (1.4)$$

where $z = x^1 + ix^2$, $t = x^3$ and (x^1, x^2, x^3) are Euclidean coordinates in \mathbb{R}^3 .

The existence of complex coordinates implies that there is a complex structure \mathbb{J} on \mathbb{L} . In fact, this map $\mathbb{J} : T_\gamma\mathbb{L} \rightarrow T_\gamma\mathbb{L}$ can be defined as follows: given a line γ in \mathbb{R}^3 , the tangent space $T_\gamma\mathbb{L}$ can be identified with the Jacobi fields along the line that are orthogonal to the direction of γ . Then \mathbb{J} is given by rotation of the Jacobi field through 90° about the line γ [9].

The complex structure can be supplemented with a natural symplectic structure Ω . This is obtained by pulling back the canonical symplectic structure on T^*S^2 with the round metric, considered as a mapping from $T S^2$ to T^*S^2 . This symplectic structure is compatible with the complex structure and so together they define a metric by $\mathbb{G}(\cdot, \cdot) = \Omega(\mathbb{J}\cdot, \cdot)$.

The metric \mathbb{G} has many interesting properties: it is of signature $(++--)$, conformally flat and scalar flat (but not Einstein). In addition, the identity component of the isometry group of \mathbb{G} is isomorphic to the identity component of the Euclidean isometry group [8]. A Kähler structure with this property on the space of oriented lines in \mathbb{R}^n exists only when $n = 3$ or $n = 7$ [13]. The metric has the following interpretation: the norm of a vector in $T_\gamma\mathbb{L}$ with respect to \mathbb{G} is the angular momentum of the associated Jacobi field along γ .

In the next section we investigate the geometric structures induced by $(\mathbb{J}, \Omega, \mathbb{G})$ on a surface in \mathbb{L} .

2. LINE CONGRUENCES

In its simplest form, geometric optics models the propagation of light through a homogeneous isotropic medium by a 2-parameter family of rays.

Definition 2. A *line congruence* is a 2-parameter family of oriented lines in \mathbb{R}^3 .

From our perspective a line congruence is a surface Σ in \mathbb{L} . For example, a point source corresponds to the 2-parameter family of oriented lines that contain the source point, which thus defines a 2-sphere in \mathbb{L} .

For computational purposes, we must give explicit local parameterizations of the line congruence. In practice, it will be given locally by a map $\mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{L} : \mu \mapsto (\xi(\mu, \bar{\mu}), \eta(\mu, \bar{\mu}))$. A convenient choice of parameterization will often depend upon the specifics of the situation, but our formalism holds for arbitrary parameterizations.

The dual picture of light propagation is to consider the wavefronts, or surfaces that are orthogonal to a given set of rays. However, not every line congruence has such orthogonal surfaces - indeed, most don't. To explain this we consider the first order properties of Σ , which can be described by two complex functions, the *optical scalars*: $\rho, \sigma : \Sigma \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$. The real part Θ and the imaginary part λ of ρ are the *divergence* and *twist* of the congruence, while σ is the *shear*.

Definition 3. A *null frame* in \mathbb{R}^3 is a trio $\{e_0, e_+, e_-\}$ of complex vector fields in $\mathbb{C} \otimes T\mathbb{R}^3$, where e_0 is real, e_+ is the complex conjugate of e_- and they satisfy the following orthogonality properties:

$$\langle e_0, e_0 \rangle = 1 \quad \langle e_0, e_+ \rangle = 0 \quad \langle e_+, e_+ \rangle = 0 \quad \langle e_+, e_- \rangle = 1,$$

where we have extended the Euclidean inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ of \mathbb{R}^3 bilinearly over \mathbb{C} . Orthonormal frames $\{e_0, e_1, e_2\}$ on $T\mathbb{R}^3$ and null frames are related by

$$e_+ = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(e_1 - ie_2) \quad e_- = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(e_1 + ie_2). \quad (2.1)$$


Definition 4. A *congruence null frame* for $\Sigma \subset \mathbb{L}$ is a null frame $\{e_0, e_+, e_-\}$ if, for each $\gamma \in \Sigma$, we have e_0 tangent to γ in \mathbb{R}^3 , and the orientation of $\{e_0, e_1, e_2\}$ is the standard orientation on \mathbb{R}^3 .

Definition 5. Given a line congruence and null frame, the *optical scalars* are defined by:

$$\rho = \langle \nabla_{e_0} e_+, e_- \rangle \quad \sigma = \langle \nabla_{e_0} e_+, e_+ \rangle$$

where ∇ is the Euclidean connection on \mathbb{R}^3 .

These have the following geometric interpretation. Consider a specific ray γ in the line congruence and a point p along this ray. Now consider the unit circle in the plane orthogonal to the ray at p . As we flow this circle along the line congruence this circle will become distorted. To first order in the affine parameter along the ray the real part of ρ measures the divergence or contraction of the circle, the imaginary part determines the rotation of the circle, while σ measures the shearing [12] (see Figure 3).



For computational purposes the optical scalars are given by:

Proposition 2. [6] *For a parameterized line congruence the optical scalars have the following expressions in terms of first derivatives of the parameterization:*

$$\rho = \theta + \lambda i = \frac{\partial^+ \eta \bar{\partial} \bar{\xi} - \partial^- \eta \partial \bar{\xi}}{\partial^- \eta \bar{\partial}^- \eta - \partial^+ \eta \bar{\partial}^+ \eta} \quad \sigma = \frac{\bar{\partial}^+ \eta \partial \bar{\xi} - \bar{\partial}^- \eta \bar{\partial} \bar{\xi}}{\partial^- \eta \bar{\partial}^- \eta - \partial^+ \eta \bar{\partial}^+ \eta}, \quad (2.2)$$

where

$$\partial^+ \eta \equiv \partial \eta + r \partial \xi - \frac{2\eta \bar{\xi} \partial \xi}{1 + \xi \bar{\xi}} \quad \partial^- \eta \equiv \bar{\partial} \eta + r \bar{\partial} \xi - \frac{2\eta \bar{\xi} \bar{\partial} \xi}{1 + \xi \bar{\xi}},$$

and ∂ and $\bar{\partial}$ are differentiation with respect to μ and $\bar{\mu}$, respectively.

The twist has the following important interpretation:

Proposition 3. *There exists surfaces orthogonal to the rays of a line congruence if and only if the twist of the line congruence is zero.*

Moreover, in terms of the Kähler structure, the optical scalars have the following significance:

Theorem 1. [8]

A line congruence $\Sigma \subset \mathbb{L}$ is lagrangian (i.e. $\Omega|_{\Sigma} = 0$) iff the twist of Σ is zero.

A line congruence $\Sigma \subset \mathbb{L}$ is holomorphic (i.e. \mathbb{J} preserves the tangent space $T\Sigma$) iff the shear of Σ is zero.

The metric induced on Σ by \mathbb{G} is riemannian, degenerate or lorentz iff $|\sigma|^2 < \lambda^2$, $|\sigma|^2 = \lambda^2$ or $|\sigma|^2 > \lambda^2$, respectively, where λ is the imaginary part of ρ .

A further geometric quantity is the *curvature* of the line congruence, which is defined to be $\kappa = \rho \bar{\rho} - \sigma \bar{\sigma}$. A line congruence will be said to be *flat* if $\kappa = 0$. If a line congruence is non-flat, then the direction of the congruence can be used as a parameterization [6]. In other words the line congruence is locally given by $\xi \mapsto (\xi, \eta(\xi, \bar{\xi}))$. The point source line congruence is non-flat, while the set of rays orthogonal to a given line forms a flat line congruence.

3. CONSTRUCTING SURFACES USING LINE CONGRUENCES

We now describe how to construct surfaces in \mathbb{R}^3 using line congruences. Given a line congruence $\Sigma \subset \mathbb{L}$, a map $r : \Sigma \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ determines a map $\Sigma \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$ by $(\xi, \eta) \mapsto \Phi((\xi, \eta), r(\xi, \eta))$ for $(\xi, \eta) \in \Sigma$. In other words, we pick out one point on each line in the congruence (see Figure 4).

With a local parameterization μ of Σ , composition with the above map yields a map $\mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$ which comes from substituting $r = r(\mu, \bar{\mu})$ in equations (1.4).




FIGURE 4

Of particular interest are the surfaces in \mathbb{R}^3 orthogonal to the line congruence - when the line congruence is *normal*. As mentioned earlier, these exist iff the twist of the congruence vanishes. By the first of equation (2.2), this is an integrability condition for a real function:

Theorem 2. [6]

A line congruence $(\xi(\mu, \bar{\mu}), \eta(\mu, \bar{\mu}))$ is orthogonal to a surface in \mathbb{R}^3 iff there exists a real function $r(\mu, \bar{\mu})$ satisfying:

$$\bar{\partial}r = \frac{2\eta\bar{\partial}\bar{\xi} + 2\bar{\eta}\partial\xi}{(1 + \xi\bar{\xi})^2}. \quad (3.1)$$

If there exists one solution, there exists a 1-parameter family generated by a real constant of integration. An explicit parameterization of these surfaces in \mathbb{R}^3 is given by inserting $r = r(\mu, \bar{\mu})$ in (1.4).

We now show how to construct the normal line congruence of a non-flat oriented surface $S \subset \mathbb{R}^3$. As it is non-flat, we can parameterize S by the direction ξ of the unit normal. Equivalently, we use the real stereographic projection coordinates (θ, ϕ) .

Suppose S is defined by the pre-image of zero of a function $G : \mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. Then the unit normal to S is, using standard Euclidean coordinates (x^1, x^2, x^3) ,

$$\hat{N} = \frac{\text{grad}G}{|\text{grad}G|} = A_1(x^1, x^2, x^3)\frac{\partial}{\partial x^1} + A_2(x^1, x^2, x^3)\frac{\partial}{\partial x^2} + A_3(x^1, x^2, x^3)\frac{\partial}{\partial x^3}$$

where

$$A_i = \left(\sum_{j=1}^n \left(\frac{\partial G}{\partial x^j} \right)^2 \right)^{-1/2} \frac{\partial G}{\partial x^i}$$

The link with the coordinates $\xi = \tan(\frac{\theta}{2})e^{i\phi}$ is

$$A_1(x^1, x^2, x^3) = \cos \phi \sin \theta \quad A_2(x^1, x^2, x^3) = \sin \phi \sin \theta \quad (3.2)$$

$$A_3(x^1, x^2, x^3) = \cos \theta \quad (3.3)$$

The tangent plane through a point (θ, ϕ) on S is given by

$$A_1 x^1 + A_2 x^2 + A_3 x^3 = B \quad (3.4)$$

where A_i are given by (3.2) to (3.3) and B is a function of (θ, ϕ) determined by the surface.

The function $r(\xi, \bar{\xi})$ is the distance of the point on the surface to the point on the normal line which lies closest to the origin. This is given by

$$r = \frac{B}{\sqrt{A_1^2 + A_2^2 + A_3^2}} = B$$

Finally, the exact functional relationship between ξ and η is given by

$$\eta(\xi, \bar{\xi}) = \frac{1}{2}(1 + \xi\bar{\xi})^2 \frac{\partial r}{\partial \bar{\xi}}$$

The task then, reduces to finding r as a function of θ and ϕ , or equivalently, ξ and $\bar{\xi}$. In many simple cases it is possible to solve (3.2) to (3.3) and express (x^1, x^2, x^3) as functions of θ and ϕ . These can be directly inserted into (3.4) to find $r(\theta, \phi)$.

Once we have r and η as functions of ξ , equations (1.4) give the explicit parameterization of S in terms of ξ .

We now work through an example in detail.

Example: Elliptic and Hyperbolic Paraboloids

Suppose the surface S is determined by

$$G = x^3 + \frac{(x^1)^2}{a} + \frac{(x^2)^2}{b} = 0$$

for some constants a and b . The *elliptic paraboloid* with $a = 1$ $b = 1$ and the *hyperbolic paraboloid* with $a = -b = 1$ are graphed below.

The unit normal is

$$\hat{N} = \left(1 + \frac{4(x^1)^2}{a^2} + \frac{4(x^2)^2}{b^2} \right)^{-1/2} \left(\frac{2x^1}{a} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^1} + \frac{2x^2}{b} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial}{\partial x^3} \right)$$

We can invert the the relations (3.2) to (3.3) to

$$x^1 = \frac{a}{2} \cos \phi \tan \theta \quad x^2 = \frac{b}{2} \cos \phi \tan \theta \quad x^3 = - \left(\frac{a}{4} \cos^2 \phi + \frac{b}{4} \sin^2 \phi \right) \tan^2 \theta$$

Note that the coordinate domain $0 \leq \theta < \pi/2$, $0 \leq \phi < 2\pi$ cover all of the paraboloid.


These give

$$B = - \left(a \cos^2 \phi + b \sin^2 \phi \right) \frac{\sin^2 \theta}{4 \cos \theta}$$

Finally converting to holomorphic coordinates to get

$$r = \frac{a(\xi + \bar{\xi})^2 - b(\xi - \bar{\xi})^2}{4(1 - \xi\bar{\xi})(1 + \xi\bar{\xi})} \quad \eta = \frac{a(\xi + \bar{\xi})(1 + \xi^3\bar{\xi}) + b(\xi - \bar{\xi})(1 - \xi^3\bar{\xi})}{4(1 - \xi\bar{\xi})^2}$$

The equations (1.4) now give the explicit parameterization of the paraboloid. Figure 5 shows the resulting parameterization of the paraboloids with $a = -b = 1$ and $a = b = 1$.



The lines on these surface are the images of the lines of longitude and latitude around the north pole under the coordinates.

4. REFLECTION

We turn now to the reflection of an oriented line in a surface in \mathbb{R}^3 . This is equivalent to the action of a certain group on the space of oriented lines, as described by:

Theorem 3. [7]

Consider a parametric line congruence $\xi = \xi_1(\mu_1, \bar{\mu}_1)$, $\eta = \eta_1(\mu_1, \bar{\mu}_1)$ reflected off an oriented surface with parameterized normal line congruence $\xi = \xi_0(\mu_0, \bar{\mu}_0)$, $\eta = \eta_0(\mu_0, \bar{\mu}_0)$ and $r = r_0(\mu_0, \bar{\mu}_0)$ satisfying (3.1) with $\xi = \xi_0$ and $\eta = \eta_0$. Then the reflected line congruence (ξ_2, η_2) is

$$\xi_2 = \frac{2\xi_0\bar{\xi}_1 + 1 - \xi_0\bar{\xi}_0}{(1 - \xi_0\bar{\xi}_0)\bar{\xi}_1 - 2\xi_0}, \quad (4.1)$$

$$\eta_2 = \frac{(\bar{\xi}_0 - \bar{\xi}_1)^2}{((1 - \xi_0\bar{\xi}_0)\bar{\xi}_1 - 2\xi_0)^2} \eta_0 - \frac{(1 + \xi_0\bar{\xi}_1)^2}{((1 - \xi_0\bar{\xi}_0)\bar{\xi}_1 - 2\xi_0)^2} \bar{\eta}_0 + \frac{(\bar{\xi}_0 - \bar{\xi}_1)(1 + \xi_0\bar{\xi}_1)(1 + \xi_0\bar{\xi}_0)}{((1 - \xi_0\bar{\xi}_0)\bar{\xi}_1 - 2\xi_0)^2} r_0, \quad (4.2)$$

where the incoming rays are only reflected if they satisfy the intersection equation

$$\eta_1 = \frac{(1 + \bar{\xi}_0\xi_1)^2}{(1 + \xi_0\bar{\xi}_0)^2} \eta_0 - \frac{(\xi_0 - \xi_1)^2}{(1 + \xi_0\bar{\xi}_0)^2} \bar{\eta}_0 + \frac{(\xi_0 - \xi_1)(1 + \bar{\xi}_0\xi_1)}{1 + \xi_0\bar{\xi}_0} r_0. \quad (4.3)$$

By virtue of the intersection equation, an alternative way of writing (4.2) is

$$\eta_2 = \frac{-(1+\xi_0\bar{\xi}_0)^2}{((1-\xi_0\bar{\xi}_0)\xi_1-2\bar{\xi}_0)^2}\bar{\eta}_1 + \frac{2(\bar{\xi}_0-\bar{\xi}_1)(1+\xi_0\bar{\xi}_1)(1+\xi_0\bar{\xi}_0)}{((1-\xi_0\bar{\xi}_0)\xi_1-2\bar{\xi}_0)^2}r_0. \quad (4.4)$$

The geometric content of this is: reflection of an oriented line can be decomposed into a sum of a translation and a rotation about the origin.

Example: Plane wave reflected off a paraboloid

Consider the paraboloid, as given earlier in section 3. Thus, it is parameterized by the direction ξ_0 of the normal, with

$$\eta_0 = \frac{a(\xi_0 + \bar{\xi}_0)(1 + \xi_0^3\bar{\xi}_0) + b(\xi_0 - \bar{\xi}_0)(1 - \xi_0^3\bar{\xi}_0)}{4(1 - \xi_0\bar{\xi}_0)^2}$$

and

$$r_0 = \frac{a(\xi_0 + \bar{\xi}_0)^2 - b(\xi_0 - \bar{\xi}_0)^2}{4(1 - \xi_0\bar{\xi}_0)(1 + \xi_0\bar{\xi}_0)}$$

Assume that the incoming plane wave has normal direction along the positive x^1 -axis, that is, $\xi_1 = 1$. By the reflection equation (4.1), the resulting direction is

$$\xi = \frac{2\xi_0 + 1 - \xi_0\bar{\xi}_0}{1 - \xi_0\bar{\xi}_0 - 2\bar{\xi}_0},$$

and substituting the equation of the paraboloid into (4.2) yields

$$\begin{aligned} \eta = & \frac{a(\xi_0 + \bar{\xi}_0)^2(-3 - 2\xi_0 + 2\bar{\xi}_0 + 2\xi_0\bar{\xi}_0 - 2\xi_0\bar{\xi}_0^2 + 2\xi_0^2\bar{\xi}_0 - 3\xi_0^2\bar{\xi}_0^2)}{(1 - \xi_0\bar{\xi}_0)^2(1 - \xi_0\bar{\xi}_0 - 2\bar{\xi}_0)^2} \\ & + \frac{b(\xi_0 - \bar{\xi}_0)(2 + 3\xi_0 - 3\bar{\xi}_0 + 2\xi_0^2 + 2\bar{\xi}_0^2 - 2\xi_0\bar{\xi}_0 + 2\xi_0\bar{\xi}_0^2 - 2\xi_0^2\bar{\xi}_0)}{(1 - \xi_0\bar{\xi}_0)^2(1 - \xi_0\bar{\xi}_0 - 2\bar{\xi}_0)^2} \\ & + \frac{b(\xi_0 - \bar{\xi}_0)(2\xi_0^2\bar{\xi}_0^2 - 2\xi_0\bar{\xi}_0^3 - 2\xi_0^3\bar{\xi}_0 - 3\xi_0^2\bar{\xi}_0^3 + 3\xi_0^3\bar{\xi}_0^2 - 2\xi_0^3\bar{\xi}_0^3)}{(1 - \xi_0\bar{\xi}_0)^2(1 - \xi_0\bar{\xi}_0 - 2\bar{\xi}_0)^2} \end{aligned} \quad (4.5)$$

Since the incoming ray direction is fixed, we are parameterizing the reflected line congruence by the direction ξ_0 of the normal to the surface at the point of reflection. A direct integration of equation (3.1) gives the function r as

$$r = -\frac{2(\xi_0 + \bar{\xi}_0)[a(\xi_0 + \bar{\xi}_0)^2 - b(\xi_0 - \bar{\xi}_0)^2]}{(1 + \xi_0\bar{\xi}_0)^2(1 - \xi_0\bar{\xi}_0)} + C$$

Finally, the wavefronts form a one-parameter family of parameterized surfaces, which can be obtained by substituting for ξ , η and r in equation (1.4). The result in spherical polar coordinates, after some simplifications, boils down to:

$$x^1 = 8a(1 - \cos \theta) \tan \theta \cos^3 \phi + C(1 - 2 \sin^2 \theta \cos^2 \phi)$$

$$x^2 = 2(2a \sin^2 \theta \cos^2 \phi + b) \tan \theta \sin \phi - 2C \sin^2 \theta \sin \phi \cos \phi$$

$$x^3 = a(4 \cos^2 \theta - 1) \tan^2 \theta \cos^2 \phi - b \tan^2 \theta \sin^2 \phi - 2C \sin \theta \cos \theta \cos \phi$$

where $0 \leq \theta < \pi/2$ and $0 \leq \phi < 2\pi$.




FIGURE 6

Figure 6 shows examples of the reflected wavefronts for an elliptic and a hyperbolic paraboloid.

5. THE FOCAL SET OF A LINE CONGRUENCE

Let σ and ρ be the optical scalars of a line congruence Σ as described in section 2.

Definition 6. A point p on a line γ in a line congruence is a *focal point* if ρ and σ blow-up at p . The set of focal points of a line congruence Σ generically form surfaces in \mathbb{R}^3 , which will be referred to as the *focal surfaces* of Σ .

Theorem 4. *The focal set of a parametric line congruence Σ is*

$$\{ \Phi(\gamma, r) \mid \gamma \in \Sigma \text{ and } 1 - (\rho_0 + \bar{\rho}_0)r + (\rho_0\bar{\rho}_0 - \sigma_0\bar{\sigma}_0)r^2 = 0 \},$$

where the coefficients of the quadratic equation are given locally by (2.2) evaluated at $r = 0$.

Proof. In terms of the affine parameter r along a given line, the Sachs equations, which σ and ρ must satisfy, are [12]:

$$\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial r} = \rho^2 + \sigma \bar{\sigma} \quad \frac{\partial \sigma}{\partial r} = (\rho + \bar{\rho})\sigma.$$

These are equivalent to the vanishing of certain components of the Ricci tensor of the Euclidean metric. They have solution:

$$\rho = \frac{\rho_0 - (\rho_0\bar{\rho}_0 - \sigma_0\bar{\sigma}_0)r}{1 - (\rho_0 + \bar{\rho}_0)r + (\rho_0\bar{\rho}_0 - \sigma_0\bar{\sigma}_0)r^2} \quad \sigma = \frac{\sigma_0}{1 - (\rho_0 + \bar{\rho}_0)r + (\rho_0\bar{\rho}_0 - \sigma_0\bar{\sigma}_0)r^2},$$

where σ_0 and ρ_0 are the values of the optical scalars at $r = 0$. The theorem follows. \square

This has the following corollary:

Corollary 1. *Let Σ be a line congruence, $\rho = \Theta + \lambda i$, σ the associated optical scalars and ρ_0 , Θ_0 , λ_0 , σ_0 their values at $r = 0$.*

If Σ is flat with non-zero divergence, then there exists a unique focal surface S given by $r = (2\Theta_0)^{-1}$. If it is flat with zero divergence, then the focal set is empty.

If Σ is non-flat, then there exists a unique focal point on each line iff $|\sigma_0|^2 = \lambda_0^2$, there exist two focal points on each line iff $|\sigma_0|^2 < \lambda_0^2$ and there are no focal points on each line iff $|\sigma_0|^2 > \lambda_0^2$. The focal set is given by

$$r = \frac{\Theta_0 \pm (|\sigma_0|^2 - \lambda_0^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}}{\rho_0 \bar{\rho}_0 - \sigma_0 \bar{\sigma}_0}.$$

Proof. The focal set of a parameterized line congruence are given by $r = r(\mu, \bar{\mu})$ satisfying the quadratic equation in Theorem 4. If $\kappa = 0$, then there is none or one solution depending on whether $\Theta_0 = 0$ or not.

If $\kappa \neq 0$ then there are two, one or no solutions iff $|\sigma_0|^2 - \lambda_0^2$ is greater than, equal to or less than zero (respectively).

The solution of the quadratic equation in each case is as stated. \square

There is also the equivalent definition for focal surfaces:

Proposition 4. *A continuously differentiable surface S in \mathbb{R}^3 is a focal surface of a line congruence Σ iff every line in Σ is tangent to S at some point.*

Proof. Let Σ be locally parameterized by $\mu \mapsto (\xi(\mu, \bar{\mu}), \eta(\mu, \bar{\mu}))$, where (ξ, η) are the canonical coordinates above. Then a surface in \mathbb{R}^3 given by $r : \Sigma \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is tangent to the line congruence iff

$$\text{Det} \begin{bmatrix} \frac{2\xi}{1+\xi\xi} & \frac{2\bar{\xi}}{1+\xi\xi} & \frac{1-\xi\bar{\xi}}{1+\xi\xi} \\ \frac{\partial z}{\partial z} & \frac{\partial \bar{z}}{\partial \bar{z}} & \frac{\partial t}{\partial t} \\ \frac{\partial z}{\partial z} & \frac{\partial \bar{z}}{\partial \bar{z}} & \frac{\partial t}{\partial t} \end{bmatrix} = 0,$$

where the partial derivatives are in μ and $\bar{\mu}$. This determinant equation, which is

$$2\xi(\partial z \bar{\partial} t - \bar{\partial} z \partial t) + 2\bar{\xi}(\partial z \bar{\partial} t - \bar{\partial} z \partial t) + (1 - \xi\bar{\xi})(\partial z \bar{\partial} z - \bar{\partial} z \partial z) = 0,$$

is a quadratic equation for $r = r(\mu, \bar{\mu})$ with coefficients given by the first derivatives of $z(\mu, \bar{\mu})$, $\bar{z}(\mu, \bar{\mu})$ and $t(\mu, \bar{\mu})$.

Carrying out the differentiation we find, for example, that

$$\begin{aligned} \partial z = & \left(\frac{2r}{(1+\xi\xi)^2} - \frac{4(\bar{\xi}\eta + \xi\bar{\eta})}{(1+\xi\xi)^3} \right) \partial \xi + \left(-\frac{2(1+2\xi\bar{\xi})\xi^2 r}{(1+\xi\xi)^2} - \frac{4(\eta - \xi^2 \bar{\eta})}{(1+\xi\xi)^3} \right) \partial \bar{\xi} \\ & + \frac{2}{(1+\xi\xi)^2} \partial \eta - \frac{2\xi^2}{(1+\xi\xi)^2} \partial \bar{\eta} + \frac{2\xi}{1+\xi\xi} \partial r. \end{aligned}$$

Similar computations finally yield the quadratic that appears in Theorem 4. \square

We now explore the geometric properties of the focal set. First we prove:

Main Theorem 1.

Let Σ be an immersed surface in \mathbb{L} . If Σ is flat, there is exactly one focal point on each line of the congruence. If Σ is not flat then there is none, one or two focal points on each line iff the metric induced on Σ by \mathbb{G} is riemannian, degenerate or lorentz (respectively).

Proof. The number of focal points on a given line is determined by the sign of the discriminant of the quadratic equation in Theorem 4: $|\sigma_0|^2 - \lambda_0^2$. By Theorem 1 (cf. Theorem 2 of [8]), this is precisely what determines the sign of the metric induced on Σ by \mathbb{G} : the metric is riemannian, degenerate or lorentz iff $|\sigma_0|^2 - \lambda_0^2$ is less than, equal to or greater than zero.

The result follows. \square

Consider now the case where there are two focal points on each line of Σ . Thus $|\sigma_0|^2 - \lambda_0^2 > 0$, and further suppose that these focal points form two continuously differentiable surfaces S_1 and S_2 in \mathbb{R}^3 . Let L be the distance between the focal points and φ the angle between the normals to S_1 and S_2 at corresponding points.

Theorem 5. *The distance L and angle φ defined above are given by*

$$L = 2 \frac{(|\sigma_0|^2 - \lambda_0^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}}{\rho_0 \bar{\rho}_0 - \sigma_0 \bar{\sigma}_0} \quad \cos^2 \varphi = \frac{\lambda_0^2}{|\sigma_0|^2}$$

Proof. The first of these follows trivially from the fact that the two focal surfaces are given by

$$r_1 = \frac{\Theta_0 + (|\sigma_0|^2 - \lambda_0^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}}{\rho_0 \bar{\rho}_0 - \sigma_0 \bar{\sigma}_0} \quad r_2 = \frac{\Theta_0 - (|\sigma_0|^2 - \lambda_0^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}}{\rho_0 \bar{\rho}_0 - \sigma_0 \bar{\sigma}_0}$$

The line congruence Σ , by assumption, is not flat, and so we parameterize it by its direction ξ . To compute the angle φ we note that parametric equations for S_1 and S_2

$$z = z_1(\xi, \bar{\xi}) \quad t = t_1(\xi, \bar{\xi}) \quad z = z_2(\xi, \bar{\xi}) \quad t = t_2(\xi, \bar{\xi})$$

are obtained by inserting $r = r_1$ and $r = r_2$ in equations (1.4). Let $\nu_1, \nu_2 \in \mathbb{P}^1$ be the directions of the normals to S_1 and S_2 , respectively. Thus, for $i = 1, 2$,

$$\frac{\nu_i}{1 - \nu_i \bar{\nu}_i} = \frac{\partial z_i \bar{\partial} t_i - \partial t_i \bar{\partial} z_i}{\partial \bar{z}_i \bar{\partial} z_i - \partial z_i \bar{\partial} \bar{z}_i}$$

If we introduce, for $i = 1, 2$,

$$\alpha_i = \partial z_i \bar{\partial} t_i - \partial t_i \bar{\partial} z_i \quad b_i = \partial \bar{z}_i \bar{\partial} z_i - \partial z_i \bar{\partial} \bar{z}_i$$

a straightforward computation shows that

$$\cos \varphi = \pm \frac{b_1 b_2 + 2(\alpha_1 \bar{\alpha}_2 + \alpha_2 \bar{\alpha}_1)}{[(b_1^2 + 4\alpha_1 \bar{\alpha}_1)(b_2^2 + 4\alpha_2 \bar{\alpha}_2)]^{\frac{1}{2}}}$$

A lengthy computation involving the explicit expressions for α_i and b_i obtained by differentiation of (1.4), yields

$$b_1 b_2 + 2(\alpha_1 \bar{\alpha}_2 + \alpha_2 \bar{\alpha}_1) = \frac{4\lambda_0 i}{\kappa_0^2 (1 + \xi \bar{\xi})^2} [\bar{\sigma}_0 (\partial L)^2 - \sigma_0 (\bar{\partial} L)^2 + 2\lambda_0 i \partial L \bar{\partial} L + 2L(\bar{\beta} \partial L - \beta \bar{\partial} L) - 4\beta^2 \bar{\sigma}_0 + 4\bar{\beta}^2 \sigma_0 - 8\lambda_0 i \beta \bar{\beta}]$$

and

$$(b_1^2 + 4\alpha_1 \bar{\alpha}_1)(b_2^2 + 4\alpha_2 \bar{\alpha}_2) = -\frac{16\sigma_0 \bar{\sigma}_0}{\kappa_0^4 (1 + \xi \bar{\xi})^4} [\bar{\sigma}_0 (\partial L)^2 - \sigma_0 (\bar{\partial} L)^2 + 2\lambda_0 i \partial L \bar{\partial} L + 2L(\bar{\beta} \partial L - \beta \bar{\partial} L) - 4\beta^2 \bar{\sigma}_0 + 4\bar{\beta}^2 \sigma_0 - 8\lambda_0 i \beta \bar{\beta}]^2$$

where we have introduced

$$\beta = (1 + \xi \bar{\xi})^2 \bar{\partial} \left(\frac{\sigma_0}{\kappa_0 (1 + \xi \bar{\xi})^2} \right) + i \partial \left(\frac{\lambda_0}{\kappa_0} \right).$$

The expression for the angle φ follows. \square

6. REFLECTION OFF A CYLINDER

Consider a cylinder of radius a , with axis lying along the x^3 -axis in \mathbb{R}^3 . Then we have:

Proposition 5. *The inward pointing normal to such a cylinder is given parametrically by:*

$$\xi = e^{iv} \quad \eta = -u e^{iv}$$

for $(u, v) \in \mathbb{R} \times S^1$. The distance of a point p on the surface from the point on the normal through p that lies closest to the origin is $r = -a$.

Proof. This can be checked by noting that, with the aid of (1.4), the mapping $(u, v) \mapsto \Phi(\xi(u, v), \eta(u, v), r(u, v))$, with ξ , η and r as stated, yields a parameterization of the cylinder: $(u, v) \mapsto (-a \cos v, -a \sin v, u)$. Moreover, the oriented normal at the point (u, v) on the cylinder is given by the expression in the proposition. \square

The coffeecup caustic is obtained by finding the focal set of a plane wave reflected off the inside of this cylinder. This turns out to be:

Proposition 6. *Consider the reflection off the inside of a cylinder of radius a of a line congruence consisting of parallel rays traveling along the x^1 -axis making an angle β with the x^3 -axis. The focal set of the reflected line congruence is a surface given parametrically by*

$$\begin{aligned} x^1 &= a \cos v \left(\cos^2 v - \frac{3}{2} \right) & x^2 &= a \sin v (\cos^2 v - 1), \\ x^3 &= -u - \frac{a}{2} \cos v \cot \beta, \end{aligned}$$

for $u \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\pi/2 \leq v \leq 3\pi/2$.

Proof. The normal congruence to the plane wave is (ξ_1, η_1) , where ξ_1 and $\eta_1 \in \mathbb{C}$ is free. Reflecting this off the cylinder given above, we have by Theorem 3:

$$\xi_2 = -\bar{\xi}_1 e^{2iv} \quad \eta_2 = -\frac{1}{2} (a e^{-iv} - 2u \bar{\xi}_1 - a e^{iv} \bar{\xi}_1^2) e^{2iv}$$

or if we parameterize by the point of reflection $\mu = \eta_0 = -u e^{iv}$

$$\xi_2 = -\frac{\mu \bar{\xi}_1}{\bar{\mu}} \quad \eta_2 = -\frac{1}{2} \left(a e^{-iv} - 2\mu \bar{\xi}_1 - a \frac{\mu}{\bar{\mu}} \bar{\xi}_1^2 \right) \left(\frac{\mu}{\bar{\mu}} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

We now compute the optical scalars for this line congruence via equations (2.2) and find that the line congruence is flat (i.e. $\rho\bar{\rho} - \sigma\bar{\sigma} = 0$). Thus by Corollary 1 there is exactly one focal point on each line, given by, after some computation:

$$r = -u \cos \beta - \frac{a \cos v (2 \cos^2 \beta - 1)}{2 \sin \beta}$$

Inserting this, along with the expressions for ξ_2 and η_2 in (1.4) yields the stated result. The domain of v must be restricted to half a circle as the incoming rays reflect on the inside of only one half of the cylinder. \square

We now consider the focal set formed by reflection of a point source off the inside of the cylinder. To this end, the following theorem describes reflection in a cylinder as a mapping $(\xi_1, \eta_1) \mapsto (\xi_2, \eta_2)$:

Theorem 6. *A ray (ξ_1, η_1) intersects a cylinder of radius a lying along the x^3 -axis iff:*

$$\left| \frac{\xi_1 \bar{\eta}_1 - \bar{\xi}_1 \eta_1}{\xi_1(1 + \xi_1 \bar{\xi}_1)} \right| \leq a. \quad (6.1)$$

For such a ray, the reflected ray is

$$\begin{aligned} \xi_2 &= -\bar{\xi}_1 \left(\frac{\xi_1 \bar{\eta}_1 - \bar{\xi}_1 \eta_1 \pm (a^2 \xi_1 \bar{\xi}_1 (1 + \xi_1 \bar{\xi}_1)^2 + (\xi_1 \bar{\eta}_1 - \bar{\xi}_1 \eta_1)^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}}{a \bar{\xi}_1 (1 + \xi_1 \bar{\xi}_1)} \right)^2, \\ \eta_2 &= -\frac{1}{\xi_1} \left(\bar{\xi}_1 \eta_1 \pm \frac{1 - \xi_1 \bar{\xi}_1}{1 + \xi_1 \bar{\xi}_1} (a^2 \xi_1 \bar{\xi}_1 (1 + \xi_1 \bar{\xi}_1)^2 + (\xi_1 \bar{\eta}_1 - \bar{\xi}_1 \eta_1)^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right) \\ &\quad \cdot \left(\frac{\xi_1 \bar{\eta}_1 - \bar{\xi}_1 \eta_1 \pm (a^2 \xi_1 \bar{\xi}_1 (1 + \xi_1 \bar{\xi}_1)^2 + (\xi_1 \bar{\eta}_1 - \bar{\xi}_1 \eta_1)^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}}{a \bar{\xi}_1 (1 + \xi_1 \bar{\xi}_1)} \right)^2, \end{aligned} \quad (6.2)$$

where exterior and interior reflection are given by the plus and minus signs, respectively.

Proof. Consider an incoming ray (ξ_1, η_1) . The reflection equations (4.1) and (4.2) tell us again that the reflected ray is

$$\xi_2 = -\bar{\xi}_1 e^{2iv} \quad \eta_2 = -\frac{1}{2} (ae^{-iv} - 2u\bar{\xi}_1 - ae^{iv}\bar{\xi}_1^2) e^{2iv}. \quad (6.3)$$

This incoming ray intersects the cylinder iff (cf (4.3)):

$$\eta_1 = -\frac{1}{2} (ae^{iv} + 2u\xi_1 - ae^{-iv}\xi_1^2). \quad (6.4)$$

We eliminate u from this equation by combining it with its conjugate and solving the resulting equation for v . The solution, which exists iff (6.1) holds, is

$$e^{iv} = \frac{\xi_1 \bar{\eta}_1 - \bar{\xi}_1 \eta_1 \pm (a^2 \xi_1 \bar{\xi}_1 (1 + \xi_1 \bar{\xi}_1)^2 + (\xi_1 \bar{\eta}_1 - \bar{\xi}_1 \eta_1)^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}}{a \bar{\xi}_1 (1 + \xi_1 \bar{\xi}_1)}.$$

Substituting this back into the intersection equation we get that

$$u = -\frac{1}{2\xi_1 \bar{\xi}_1} \left(\xi_1 \bar{\eta}_1 + \bar{\xi}_1 \eta_1 \pm \frac{1 - \xi_1 \bar{\xi}_1}{1 + \xi_1 \bar{\xi}_1} (a^2 \xi_1 \bar{\xi}_1 (1 + \xi_1 \bar{\xi}_1)^2 + (\xi_1 \bar{\eta}_1 - \bar{\xi}_1 \eta_1)^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right).$$

Finally, putting these last two equations into the reflected ray equation (6.3) yields the stated result. \square

For multiple reflection we have the following:

Theorem 7. *The k^{th} reflection of a ray (ξ_1, η_1) off the inside of the cylinder is:*

$$\begin{aligned} \xi_{k+1} &= (-1)^k \xi_1 \left[\frac{\Psi_1 i - (|\xi_1|^2 - \Psi_1^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}}{|\xi_1|} \right]^{2k} \\ \eta_{k+1} &= \frac{(-1)^k}{\xi_1} \left[\bar{\xi}_1 \eta_1 - ka(1 - |\xi_1|^2)(|\xi_1|^2 - \Psi_1^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right] \left[\frac{\Psi_1 i - (|\xi_1|^2 - \Psi_1^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}}{|\xi_1|} \right]^{2k} \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\Psi_1 = \frac{\xi_1 \bar{\eta}_1 - \bar{\xi}_1 \eta_1}{ai(1 + |\xi_1|^2)}$$

Proof. This follows from iterations of the above theorem once we realize that $|\xi_l|$ and

$$\Psi_l \equiv \frac{\xi_l \bar{\eta}_l - \bar{\xi}_l \eta_l}{a(1 + |\xi_l|^2)}$$

are preserved by reflection in a cylinder. \square

For a point source at a finite distance, the following theorem describes the focal set of the k^{th} reflection:

Main Theorem 2.

Consider the k^{th} reflection off the inside of a cylinder lying along x^3 -axis with radius a of a point source at $(-l, 0, 0)$. The focal set of the reflected line congruence consists of a surface:

$$z = (-1)^{k+1} l \frac{[l \sin v i + (a^2 - l^2 \sin^2 v)^{\frac{1}{2}}]^{2k} [2kl \cos v \sin v e^{-iv} + (a^2 - l^2 \sin^2 v)^{\frac{1}{2}}]}{a^{2k} [2kl \cos v + (a^2 - l^2 \sin^2 v)^{\frac{1}{2}}]}$$

$$x^3 = \frac{k(1 - u^2)[a^2 - l^2 - 2l^2 \sin^2 v + 2kl \cos v (a^2 - l^2 \sin^2 v)^{\frac{1}{2}}]}{u[2kl \cos v + (a^2 - l^2 \sin^2 v)^{\frac{1}{2}}]}$$

and a curve in the $x^1 x^2$ -plane:

$$z = (-1)^{k+1} k a^{-2k} [l \sin v i + (a^2 - l^2 \sin^2 v)^{\frac{1}{2}}]^{2k} [l + 2k e^{iv} (a^2 - l^2 \sin^2 v)^{\frac{1}{2}}]$$

where $z = x^1 + ix^2$, $u \in \mathbb{R}$ and v is in the domain

$$0 \leq v \leq \pi \quad \text{for} \quad l \leq a$$

and

$$-\sin^{-1}(a/l) \leq v \leq \sin^{-1}(a/l) \quad \text{for} \quad l > a.$$

Proof. Consider a point source lying at $(-l, 0, 0)$ in \mathbb{R}^3 . This line congruence can be parameterized by its direction ξ_1 and $\eta_1 = -l(1 - \xi_1^2)/2$. The line congruence obtained from k reflections of this point source off the inside of a cylinder of radius a is given by Theorem 7.

We then compute the optical scalars of this line congruence parameterized by ξ_1 using (2.2). We find, for example, that

$$\frac{|\sigma_0|}{\kappa_0} = \frac{k(1 + u^2) \left(kl \cos v + (a^2 - l^2 \sin^2 v)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right)^2}{2u \left(2kl \cos v + (a^2 - l^2 \sin^2 v)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right)},$$

where $\xi_1 = u e^{iv}$. The similar expression for ρ_0 shows that the reflected congruence is not flat. Thus each line contains exactly two focal points which can be obtained by inserting the solutions of the quadratic equation of Theorem 4 into (1.4). The results are as stated above. \square

7. DISCUSSION


The focal surface obtained from a plane wave reflected off the inside of a cylinder (Proposition 6) is symmetric along the x^3 -axis and intersects any plane parallel to the x^1x^2 -plane in a curve. This curve, called a nephroid, is often observed on the top of a cup of coffee in the presence of a strong, low and distant light source - hence the sobriquet - the coffeecup caustic. Note that this level set is independent of the angle β of incidence of the incoming light.

The focal surface of the k^{th} reflection of a point source also has a symmetry:

Corollary 2. *The focal surface generated by the k^{th} reflection of a point source is invariant under translation along the cylindrical axis.*

Proof. This follows from the fact that x^1 and x^2 of the surface in Main Theorem 2 are independent of u . \square

This symmetry is not shared by the reflected wavefront itself - just it's focal surface. In Figure 7 we illustrate the 1st reflected wavefront - the lack of translational symmetry is obvious.



In the sequence of pictures in Figure 8 we show the evolution of the level sets of the 1st focal set as the distance of the source decreases. The cylinder is shown by the heavy circle.




FIGURE 8

The focal curve lies entirely outside of the cylinder and so is not seen in reality. The cross-section of the focal surface inside the cylinder for varying values of l/a is illustrated in Figure 9. The parallel wave limit, given by Proposition 6, is also indicated with a broken line.




FIGURE 9

In Figure 10 we compare the higher reflection caustics for varying values of l/a .




FIGURE 10

These higher reflection caustics are in fact visible physically. At each reflection some of the light intensity is lost and one expects to see a series of overlapping caustics of lessening brightness. In fact, the detailed profile of light intensity near a caustic varies in ways that geometric optics does not model well. Nonetheless, the accompanying plate is a photograph of the caustics formed by a 7cm diameter brass cylinder and agrees well with the geometric optics approximation. The photograph, which was taken by the first author in collaboration with Grace Weir, shows the first and second reflection caustic formed by a light source at $l/a = 1$ (compare with the first two curves on the top row of Figure 10).

REFERENCES

- [1] V. I. Arnold, S. M. Gusein-Zade, A. N. Varchenko, *Singularities of differentiable maps, Volume I*, Birkhaeuser, Basel, 1986.

- [2] J. Bruce, P. Giblin and C. Gibson, *On caustics of plane curves*, Amer. Math. Monthly **88** (1981), 651–657.
- [3] J. Bruce, P. Giblin and C. Gibson, *On caustics by reflection*, Topology **21** (1982), 179–199.
- [4] A. Cayley, *A memoir upon caustics*, Philos. Trans. Roy. Soc. London **147** (1857), 273–312.
- [5] G. Glaeser, *Reflections on spheres and cylinders of revolution*, J. Geometry Graphics **3**, (1999) 121–139.
- [6] B. Guilfoyle and W. Klingenberg, *Generalised surfaces in \mathbb{R}^3* , Proc. of the R.I.A. **104A**, (2004) 199–209.
- [7] B. Guilfoyle and W. Klingenberg, *Reflection of a wave off a surface*, Journal of Geometry (to appear) [math.DG/0406212]
- [8] B. Guilfoyle and W. Klingenberg, *An indefinite Kähler metric on the space of oriented lines*, J. London Math. Soc. **72**, (2005) 497–509.
- [9] N.J. Hitchin, *Monopoles and geodesics*, Comm. Math. Phys. **83** (1982), no. 4, 579–602.
- [10] H. Holditch, *On the n -th caustic by reflexion from a circle*, Quart. J. Math. **2**, (1858) 301–322.
- [11] S. Izumiya, K. Saji and N. Takeuchi, *Singularities of line congruences*, Proceedings of the Royal Society of Edinburgh **133A** (2003), 1341–1359.
- [12] R. Penrose and W. Rindler, *Spinors and spacetime*, Volume 1 and 2, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge 1986.
- [13] M. Salvai, *On the geometry of the space of oriented lines in Euclidean space*, Manuscripta Math. **118(2)** (2005) 181–189.

BRENDAN GUILFOYLE, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND COMPUTING, INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY, TRALEE, CLASH, TRALEE, CO. KERRY, IRELAND.

E-mail address: `brendan.guilfoyle@ittralee.ie`

WILHELM KLINGENBERG, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, UNIVERSITY OF DURHAM, DURHAM DH1 3LE, UNITED KINGDOM.

E-mail address: `wilhelm.klingenberg@durham.ac.uk`

This figure "plate1.jpg" is available in "jpg" format from:

<http://arxiv.org/ps/math/0411189v2>