

Counting subgroups in a family of nilpotent semi-direct products

Christopher Voll*

June 26, 2018

Abstract

In this paper we compute the subgroup zeta functions of nilpotent semi-direct products of groups of the form

$$G_n := \langle x_1, \dots, x_n, y_1, \dots, y_{n-1} \mid [x_i, x_n] = y_i, 1 \leq i \leq n-1, \text{ all other } [,] \text{ trivial} \rangle$$

and deduce local functional equations.

1 Introduction

For $n \geq 2$ define the group

$$G_n := \langle x_1, \dots, x_n, y_1, \dots, y_{n-1} \mid [x_i, x_n] = y_i, 1 \leq i \leq n-1, \text{ all other } [,] \text{ trivial} \rangle.$$

These groups are nilpotent of class 2 and might be thought of as the direct product of $n-1$ copies of the discrete Heisenberg group with one diagonal entry identified in each copy. In Theorem 1 we shall determine the subgroup zeta functions of each G_n , i.e. the Dirichlet series

$$\zeta_{G_n}^{\leq}(s) := \sum_{H \leq G_n} |G_n : H|^{-s}.$$

This generalizes the well-known formula (cf. [6]) for the Heisenberg group G_2

$$\zeta_{G_2}^{\leq}(s) = \zeta(s)\zeta(s-1)\zeta(2s-2)\zeta(2s-3)\zeta(3s-3)^{-1}$$

where $\zeta(s) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} i^{-s}$ denotes the Riemann zeta function.

The subgroup zeta function satisfies an Euler product decomposition

$$\zeta_{G_n}^{\leq}(s) = \prod_{p \text{ prime}} \zeta_{G_n, p}^{\leq}(s)$$

into local zeta functions

$$\zeta_{G_n, p}^{\leq}(s) := \sum_{H \leq_p G_n} |G_n : H|^{-s} \tag{1}$$

enumerating subgroups of finite p -power index in G_n .

*Mathematical Institute, Oxford OX1 3LB, England.

Theorem 1 For a prime p

$$\zeta_{\widehat{G}_{n,p}}^{\leq}(s) = \zeta_p(s-1) \cdots \zeta_p(s-n+1) \zeta_p(ns-n(n-1)) W_{n-1}^{\leq}(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y}, p),$$

where

$$W_{n-1}^{\leq}(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y}, p) := \sum_{I \subseteq \{1, \dots, n-2\}} b_{n-1, I}(p^{-1}) W_{n-1, I}^{\leq}(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y}), \quad (2)$$

with

$$W_{n-1, I}^{\leq}(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y}) := \frac{X_0}{1-Z} \prod_{i \in I} \frac{X_i}{1-Z} + \frac{Y_{n-1}}{1-Z} \prod_{i \in I} \frac{Y_i}{1-Z} + \sum_{\substack{j \in I \\ i \leq j}} \prod_{\substack{i \in I \\ i \geq j}} \frac{Y_i}{1-Z} \prod_{i \in I} \frac{X_i}{1-Z} + \sum_{j \in I \cup \{n-1\}} \prod_{\substack{i \in I \\ i < j}} \frac{Y_i}{1-Z} \prod_{\substack{i \in I \\ i \geq j}} \frac{X_i}{1-Z}, \quad (3)$$

where $Z := \frac{Z}{1-Z}$ and the numerical data $\mathbf{X} = (X_0, \dots, X_{n-2})$, $\mathbf{Y} = (Y_1, \dots, Y_{n-1})$ is defined by

$$Y_i := p^{-(n-i)s+(n+i)(n-1-i)}, \quad 1 \leq i \leq n-1, \quad (4)$$

$$X_i := (p^{-2s+(n+1+i)(n-1-i)}), \quad 0 \leq i \leq n-2. \quad (5)$$

The polynomial expression $b_{n-1, I}(p)$ denotes the number of flags of type I in \mathbb{F}_p^{n-1} and $\zeta_p(s) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} p^{-is}$ denotes the p -th factor of the Riemann zeta function.

Corollary 1 For all $n \geq 2$ and all primes p the following local functional equation holds:

$$\zeta_{\widehat{G}_{n,p}}^{\leq}(s)|_{p \rightarrow p^{-1}} = -p^{\binom{2n-1}{2} - (2n-1)s} \zeta_{\widehat{G}_{n,p}}^{\leq}(s). \quad (6)$$

For completeness we record here the formulae for two other Dirichlet series associated to the nilpotent groups G_n , $n \geq 2$. The zeta functions

$$\zeta_{G_n}^{\triangleleft}(s) := \sum_{H \triangleleft G_n} |G_n : H|^{-s}$$

$$\zeta_{G_n}^{\widehat{\triangleleft}}(s) := \sum_{\substack{H \leq G_n \\ \widehat{H} \cong \widehat{G}_n}} |G_n : H|^{-s}$$

(here \widehat{G} denotes the profinite completion of the group G) both decompose as Euler products of *local* zeta functions, defined in complete analogy to (1).

The explicit formulae and functional equations for the factors of the *normal* zeta functions $\zeta_{G_n}^{\triangleleft}(s)$ were derived in [8]:

Theorem 2 [[8], Thm 5]

$$\zeta_{G_{n,p}}^{\triangleleft}(s) = \prod_{i=0}^{n-1} \zeta_p(s-i) \zeta_p((2n-1)s-n(n-1)) W_{n-1}^{\triangleleft}(\mathbf{X}, p),$$

where

$$W_{n-1}^{\triangleleft}(\mathbf{X}, p) := \sum_{I \subseteq \{1, \dots, n-2\}} b_{n-1, I}(p^{-1}) \prod_{i \in I} \frac{X_i}{1-X_i} \quad (7)$$

and the numerical data $\mathbf{X} = (X_1, \dots, X_{n-2})$ is defined by

$$X_i = p^{-(2(n-i)-1)s+(n+i)(n-i-1)} \text{ for } i \in \{1, \dots, n-2\}.$$

For all $n \geq 2$ and all primes p the following functional equation holds

$$\zeta_{G_n, p}^{\triangleleft}(s)|_{p \rightarrow p^{-1}} = -p^{\binom{2n-1}{2} - (3n-1)s} \zeta_{G_n, p}^{\triangleleft}(s). \quad (8)$$

The functional equations (6) and (8) were conjectured by du Sautoy (Conjecture 5.47 in [1]). The zeta functions $\zeta_{G_n}^*(s)$, $*$ $\in \{\leq, \triangleleft, \wedge\}$, $n = 3, 4, 5$, were first computed by D. Grenham in his doctoral thesis [3]. His computations are recorded in [5].

The zeta functions $\zeta_{G_n}^{\wedge}(s)$ were computed by Mark Berman, a student of du Sautoy, following the analysis of [2].

Proposition 1 [Berman] For all $n \geq 2$ and all primes p

$$\zeta_{G_n, p}^{\wedge}(s) = \zeta_p(ns - n(n-1)) \prod_{i=0}^{n-2} \zeta_p(2s - n - 1 - i). \quad (9)$$

In particular

$$\zeta_{G_n, p}^{\wedge}(s)|_{p \rightarrow p^{-1}} = (-1)^n p^{5\binom{n}{2} - (3n-2)s} \zeta_{G_n, p}^{\wedge}(s).$$

The Dirichlet series $\zeta_{G_n}^*(s)$, $*$ $\in \{\leq, \triangleleft, \wedge\}$ define analytic function on right half-planes $\{s \in \mathbb{C} \mid \Re(s) > \alpha_{G_n}^*\}$. Du Sautoy and Grunewald showed in [4] that these abscissae of convergence $\alpha_{G_n}^*$ are always *rational* numbers. But computing them effectively is a difficult problem. So far we had few examples for which they were not integers (the first one being the abscissa of convergence $\alpha_{F_{2,3}}^{\leq}$ of the subgroup zeta function of $F_{2,3}$, the free class-2-nilpotent group on three generators, which was computed by G. Taylor [7]). And it is in fact not hard to see that

$$\alpha_{G_n}^{\wedge} = \alpha_{G_n}^{\triangleleft} = n.$$

The existence of infinitely many non-integral rationals among the abscissae of the subgroup zeta functions $\zeta_{G_n, p}^{\leq}(s)$ is a consequence of a result of Pirita Paaajanen, a student of du Sautoy, obtained independently of Theorem 1:

Proposition 2 [Paaajanen]

$$\alpha_{G_n}^{\leq} = \max_{1 \leq l \leq n-2} \left\{ n, \frac{(n+l)(n-l-1)+1}{n-l} \right\}. \quad (10)$$

Note that the abscissa of convergence $\alpha_{G_n}^{\leq}$ is not an integer if $2n-1$ is a prime ≥ 11 . Indeed, calculus shows that the right hand side of (10) is greater than n for $n \geq 6$ and $\frac{(n+l)(n-l-1)+1}{n-l} \equiv \frac{1-2n}{n-l} \pmod{\mathbb{Z}}$. (This observation was made by O. Sauzet.)

Interesting open questions concern the poles of the zeta functions $\zeta_{G_n}^*(s)$. It is not clear *a priori* which factors of the denominators of the rational functions (2) and (7) will cancel out when written in lowest terms. Comparison of (9) with (5) also yields

that all the factors of the denominator of $\zeta_{G_n}^\wedge(s)$ occur as ‘candidate factors’ in the denominators of (2). The example G_5 , however, shows that they may fall victim to cancellation.

The proofs of Theorem 1 and its Corollary 1 will be given in Section 2. We will see that proving the functional equations (6) (and (8)) reduces to establishing a combinatorial property of the rational functions $W_{n-1}^{\leq}(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y}, p)$ (and $W_{n-1}^{\triangleleft}(\mathbf{X}, p)$) in (3) (and (7), respectively) in Lemma 1. To derive Theorem 1 we analyse the Cartan decomposition of certain subquotients of lattices in the Lie ring associated to the nilpotent group G_n .

2 Proofs

2.1 Proof of Corollary 1

One checks easily that it is enough to show that

$$W_n^{\leq}(\mathbf{X}^{-1}, \mathbf{Y}^{-1}, p^{-1}) = (-1)^n p^{\binom{n}{2}} W_n^{\leq}(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y}, p) \quad \forall n \geq 1, \quad (11)$$

where of course $\mathbf{X}^{-1} = (X_0^{-1}, \dots, X_{n-1}^{-1})$ etc.. (We will omit the superscript \leq from now on.) Note that the functional equation (11) is independent of the actual numerical data specified in (5) and (4). To prove (11) we need two combinatorial lemmata. Only Lemma 1 depends on the actual shape of the rational functions (3).

We shall write $[m]$ for $\{1, \dots, m\}$, $|I|$ for the cardinality of the finite set $I \subseteq [m]$, I^c for $[m] \setminus I$ and \uplus for the union of disjoint sets.

Lemma 1

$$W_{n,J}(\mathbf{X}^{-1}, \mathbf{Y}^{-1}) = (-1)^{|J|+1} \sum_{S \subseteq J} W_{n,S}(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y}) \quad \forall n \geq 1, J \subseteq [n-1].$$

Proof. Note that $\underline{Z}^{-1} = -(1 + \underline{Z})$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} (-1)^{|J|+1} W_{n,J}(\mathbf{X}^{-1}, \mathbf{Y}^{-1}) &= (1 + \underline{X}_0) \prod_{i \in J} (1 + \underline{X}_i) + (1 + Y_n) \prod_{i \in J} (1 + \underline{Y}_i) \\ &\quad - \sum_{j \in J \cup \{n\}} \prod_{\substack{i \in J \\ i < j}} (1 + \underline{Y}_i) \prod_{\substack{i \in J \\ i \geq j}} (1 + \underline{X}_i) + \sum_{j \in J} (1 + \underline{Y}_j) \prod_{\substack{i \in J \\ i < j}} (1 + \underline{Y}_i) \prod_{\substack{i \in J \\ i \geq j}} (1 + \underline{X}_i) \\ &= (1 + \underline{X}_0) \prod_{i \in J} (1 + \underline{X}_i) + (1 + \underline{Y}_n) \prod_{i \in J} (1 + \underline{Y}_i) - \prod_{i \in J} (1 + \underline{Y}_i) + \sum_{j \in J} \underline{Y}_j \prod_{\substack{i \in J \\ i < j}} (1 + \underline{Y}_i) \prod_{\substack{i \in J \\ i \geq j}} (1 + \underline{X}_i) \\ &= \sum_{S \subseteq J} \left(\underline{X}_0 \prod_{s \in S} \underline{X}_s + \underline{Y}_n \prod_{s \in S} \underline{Y}_s + \sum_{\substack{j \in S \\ s \leq j}} \prod_{\substack{s \in S \\ s \geq j}} \underline{Y}_s \prod_{s \in S} \underline{X}_s + \sum_{\substack{j \in S \\ s \leq j}} \prod_{\substack{s \in S \\ s > j}} \underline{Y}_s \prod_{s \in S} \underline{X}_s + \prod_{s \in S} \underline{X}_s \right) \\ &= \sum_{S \subseteq J} W_{n,S}(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y}), \text{ as desired.} \end{aligned}$$

□

Lemma 2

$$\sum_{J \supseteq I} W_{n,J}(\mathbf{X}^{-1}, \mathbf{Y}^{-1}) = (-1)^n \sum_{J \supseteq I^c} W_{n,J}(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y}) \quad \forall n \geq 1, I \subseteq [n-1].$$

Proof. By Lemma 1 we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{J \supseteq I} W_{n,J}(\mathbf{X}^{-1}, \mathbf{Y}^{-1}) &= - \sum_{J \supseteq I} (-1)^{|J|} \sum_{S \subseteq J} W_{n,S}(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y}) \\ &= - \sum_{R \subseteq [n-1]} c_R W_{n,R}(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y}), \text{ say,} \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} c_R &= \sum_{R \cup I \subseteq J} (-1)^{|J|} = (-1)^{|R \cup I|} \sum_{S \subseteq (R \cup I)^c} (-1)^{|S|} \\ &= (-1)^{|R \cup I|} \cdot 0^{|(R \cup I)^c|} = \begin{cases} (-1)^{n-1} & \text{if } R \supseteq I^c, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

□

We are now ready to prove the functional equation (11). We will use the following notation: Given an element $w \in S_n$ of the symmetric group on n letters $\{1, \dots, n\}$ we write

$$\nu(w) := \{i \in [n-1] \mid w(i) > w(i+1)\}$$

for the (*descent*) type of w . By $l(w)$ we denote the length of w as a word in the standard generators for S_n and by w_0 the longest element. We have the standard identities

$$\nu(w w_0) = \nu(w)^c, \tag{12}$$

$$l(w) + l(w w_0) = \binom{n}{2}. \tag{13}$$

For $n \geq 1$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} W_n(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y}, p) &= \sum_{I \subseteq [n-1]} b_{n,I}(p^{-1}) W_{n,I}(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y}) \\ &= \sum_{w \in S_n} p^{-l(w)} \sum_{J \supseteq \nu(w)} W_{n,J}(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y}). \end{aligned} \tag{14}$$

We may rewrite the left hand side of (11) as

$$\begin{aligned} W_n(\mathbf{X}^{-1}, \mathbf{Y}^{-1}, p^{-1}) &= p^{\binom{n}{2}} \sum_{w \in S_n} p^{-l(w w_0)} \sum_{J \supseteq \nu(w)} W_{n,J}(\mathbf{X}^{-1}, \mathbf{Y}^{-1}) \quad [\text{by (13) and (14)}] \\ &= (-1)^n p^{\binom{n}{2}} \sum_{w \in S_n} p^{-l(w w_0)} \sum_{J \supseteq \nu(w w_0)} W_{n,J}(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y}) \quad [\text{by (12) and Lemma 2}] \\ &= (-1)^n p^{\binom{n}{2}} W_n(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y}, p), \quad [\text{by (14)}] \end{aligned}$$

as desired.

2.2 Proof of Theorem 1

We fix $n \geq 2$ and omit the subscript n . We write $Z = Z(G)$ for the centre of G and $\mathfrak{L} := G/Z \oplus Z$ for the Lie ring associated to G with Lie bracket induced from taking commutators in G . Let N denote the unique abelian ideal in \mathfrak{L} with cyclic quotient (generated by $x_n N$, say). We now fix a prime p and denote by \mathfrak{L}_p, N_p, Z_p the respective pro- p -completions. Given an additive \mathbb{Z}_p -submodule $H \subseteq (\mathfrak{L}_p, +)$ we define the three subquotients of \mathfrak{L}_p

$$\begin{aligned} H_1 &:= H \cap Z_p, \\ H_2 &:= (H \cap N_p)Z_p/Z_p, \\ H_3 &:= HN_p/N_p \text{ with } |\mathfrak{L}_p : HN_p| = p^{m_3}, \text{ say, } m_3 \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}. \end{aligned}$$

Lie bracketing with x_n induces the linear isomorphism

$$\begin{aligned} \phi : N_p/Z_p &\rightarrow Z_p \\ x &\mapsto (x, x_n). \end{aligned}$$

It is not hard to see that a lattice $H \subseteq (\mathfrak{L}_p, +)$ is a subalgebra of \mathfrak{L}_p if and only if

$$p^{m_3} \phi(H_2) \subseteq H_1, \quad \text{or, equivalently,} \quad \phi(H_2) \subseteq (p^{-m_3} H_1) \cap Z_p \subseteq Z_p \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathbb{Q}_p.$$

We say that $H_1 \subseteq Z_p \cong \mathbb{Z}_p^{n-1}$ is of type $\nu(H_1) = (I, \mathbf{r}_{I_0})$, where

$$I = \{i_1, \dots, i_l\}_< \subseteq [n-2]^1, \quad \mathbf{r}_{I_0} = (r_0, r_{i_1}, \dots, r_{i_l}) \in \mathbb{N}_{>0} \times \mathbb{N}_{\geq 0}^{|I|}$$

if H_1 has elementary divisor type²

$$\left(\underbrace{p^{r_0}, \dots, p^{r_0}}_{i_1}, \underbrace{p^{r_0+r_{i_1}}, \dots, p^{r_0+r_{i_1}}}_{i_2-i_1}, \dots, \underbrace{p^{\sum_{i \in I_0} r_i}, \dots, p^{\sum_{i \in I_0} r_i}}_{n-1-i_1} \right)$$

where we wrote I_0 for $I \cup \{0\}$. One checks easily that

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta_{G,p}^{\leq}(s) &= \sum_{\substack{(H_1, H_2, H_3) \\ \phi(H_2) \subseteq (p^{-m_3} H_1) \cap Z_p}} |Z_p : H_1|^{n-s} |N_p/Z_p : H_2|^{1-s} |\mathfrak{L}_p/N_p : H_3|^{-s} \\ &= \prod_{i=1}^{n-1} \zeta_p(s-i) \cdot \sum_{\substack{(H_1, H_2, H_3) \\ \phi(H_2) = (p^{-m_3} H_1) \cap Z_p}} |Z_p : H_1|^{n-s} |N_p/Z_p : H_2|^{1-s} p^{-m_3 s} \\ &= \prod_{i=1}^{n-1} \zeta_p(s-i) \cdot \underbrace{\sum_{H_1 \subseteq Z_p} |Z_p : H_1|^{n-s} \sum_{m_3 \geq 0} |Z_p : (p^{-m_3} H_1) \cap Z_p|^{1-s} p^{-m_3 s}}_{=: Z(I, \mathbf{r}_{I_0})} \\ &=: Z(s) \end{aligned}$$

¹Here $\{i_1, \dots, i_l\}_<$ means $i_1 < \dots < i_l$.

²Note that in [8] we could assume H_1 to be maximal, i.e. $r_0 = 0$.

where H_1, H_2, H_3 run through the sublattices of $Z_p, N_p/Z_p$ and \mathfrak{L}_p/N_p , respectively. We observe that $Z(I, \mathbf{r}_{I_0})$ is well-defined as the respective sum only depends on the type of H_1 . Recall (e.g. from [8], Lemma 1) that

$$f(I, \mathbf{r}_{I_0}, p) := |\{H_1 \subseteq Z_p \mid \nu(H_1) = (I, \mathbf{r}_{I_0})\}| = b_{n-1, I}(p^{-1}) p^{\sum_{i \in I_0} r_i (n-1-i)},$$

and note that

$$|Z_p : H_1| = p^{\sum_{i \in I_0} r_i (n-1-i)}.$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} Z(s) &= \sum_{I \subseteq [n-2]} b_{n-1, I}(p^{-1}) \sum_{\mathbf{r}_{I_0}} p^{\sum_{i \in I_0} r_i (n-1-i)} p^{(n-s) \sum_{i \in I_0} r_i (n-1-i)} Z(I, \mathbf{r}_{I_0}) \\ &= \sum_{I \subseteq [n-2]} b_{n-1, I}(p^{-1}) \sum_{\mathbf{r}_{I_0}} \prod_{j \in I_0} y_j^{r_j} Z(I, \mathbf{r}_{I_0}) \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

where we set

$$y_i := p^{(n-s+i)(n-1-i)} \text{ for } i \in \{0, 1, \dots, n-2\}.$$

To compute the sum $Z(I, \mathbf{r}_{I_0})$ it will prove advantageous to decompose its range of summation as

$$\{m_3 \in \mathbb{N}_{\geq 0}\} = \bigsqcup_{j \in I_0} \mathcal{M}_j(\mathbf{r}_{I_0}) \sqcup \{m_3 \geq \sum_{i \in I_0} r_i\}, \text{ say,}$$

where

$$\mathcal{M}_j(\mathbf{r}_{I_0}) := \left\{ \sum_{i \in I_0, i < j} r_i \leq m_3 < \sum_{i \in I_0, i \leq j} r_i \right\}.$$

Notice that only \mathcal{M}_0 may be empty and that

$$|Z_p : (p^{-m_3} H_1) \cap Z_p| = 1 \text{ if and only if } m_3 \geq \sum_{i \in I_0} r_i.$$

We may now write

$$\begin{aligned} Z(I, \mathbf{r}_{I_0}) &= \sum_{j \in I_0} \sum_{m_3 \in \mathcal{M}_j(\mathbf{r}_{I_0})} |Z_p : (p^{-m_3} H_1) \cap Z_p|^{1-s} p^{-m_3 s} + \sum_{m_3 \geq \sum_{i \in I_0} r_i} p^{-m_3 s} \\ &= \sum_{j \in I_0} p^{(-s) \sum_{i \in I_0, i < j} r_i + (1-s) \sum_{i \in I_0, i \geq j} r_i (n-1-i)} \left(\frac{1 - \left(\frac{p^{-s}}{p^{(1-s)(n-1-j)}} \right)^{r_j}}{1 - \left(\frac{p^{-s}}{p^{(1-s)(n-1-j)}} \right)} \right) + \sum_{m_3 \geq \sum_{i \in I_0} r_i} p^{-m_3 s} \\ &= \sum_{j \in I_0} \prod_{\substack{i \in I_0 \\ i < j}} z^{r_i} \prod_{\substack{i \in I_0 \\ i \geq j}} x_i^{r_i} \left(\frac{1 - \left(\frac{z}{x_j} \right)^{r_j}}{1 - \frac{z}{x_j}} \right) + \frac{z^{\sum_{i \in I_0} r_i}}{1 - z} \end{aligned}$$

with the following abbreviations

$$\begin{aligned} x_i &:= p^{(1-s)(n-1-i)} \text{ for } i \in \{0, 1, \dots, n-2\} \\ z &:= p^{-s}. \end{aligned}$$

We rewrite (15) as

$$Z(s) = \frac{1}{1 - y_0 z} \sum_{I \subseteq [n-2]} b_{n-1, I}(p^{-1}) \left(\prod_{i \in I_0} \frac{x_i y_i}{1 - x_i y_i} + \frac{1}{1 - y_j z} \prod_{\substack{i \in I_0 \\ i < j}} \frac{y_i z}{1 - y_i z} \prod_{\substack{i \in I_0 \\ i \geq j}} \frac{x_i y_i}{1 - x_i y_i} + \frac{1}{1 - z} \prod_{i \in I} \frac{y_i z}{1 - y_i z} \right) \quad (16)$$

as one checks without difficulty. The result now follows by setting

$$\begin{aligned} Y_i &:= y_i z, \\ X_i &:= x_i y_i \text{ for } i \in \{0, 1, \dots, n-2\} \end{aligned}$$

and rearranging the sum in brackets³ in (16).

Acknowledgements. We should like to thank the UK's Engineering and Physical Sciences Research Council (EPSRC) for their support in the form of a Postdoctoral Fellowship.

References

- [1] M.P.F. du Sautoy, *Zeta functions of groups: The quest for order versus the flight from ennui*, preprint (<http://www.maths.ox.ac.uk/~dusautoy/newdetails.htm>).
- [2] M.P.F. du Sautoy and A. Lubotzky, *Functional equations and uniformity for local zeta functions of nilpotent groups*, Amer. J. Math. **118** (1996), no. 1, 39–90.
- [3] D. Grenham, *Some topic in nilpotent group theory*, University of Oxford (1988), D. Phil. thesis.
- [4] F.J. Grunewald and M.P.F. du Sautoy, *Analytic properties of zeta functions and subgroup growth*, Ann. Math. **152** (2000), 793–833.
- [5] ———, *Zeta functions of groups: zeros and friendly ghosts*, Amer. J. Math. **124** (2000), no. 1, 1–48.
- [6] F.J. Grunewald, D. Segal, and G.C. Smith, *Subgroups of finite index in nilpotent groups*, Invent. Math. **93** (1988), 185–223.
- [7] G. Taylor, *Zeta functions of algebras and resolution of singularities*, Ph.D. thesis, University of Cambridge, 2001.
- [8] C. Voll, *Functional equations for local normal zeta functions of nilpotent groups*, Geom. Funct. Anal., with an Appendix by A. Beauville, to appear (<http://arxiv.org/abs/math.GR/0305362>).

³Paaajenen's result (Proposition 2) amounts to showing that replacing the sum in brackets in (16) by its last summand yields a Dirichlet series whose abscissa of convergence equals $\alpha_{G_n}^{\leq}$.