

EXEL'S CROSSED PRODUCT AND RELATIVE CUNTZ-PIMSNER ALGEBRAS

NATHAN BROWNLOWE AND IAIN RAEBURN

ABSTRACT. We consider Exel's new construction of a crossed product of a C^* -algebra A by an endomorphism α . We prove that this crossed product is universal for an appropriate family of covariant representations, and we show that it can be realised as a relative Cuntz-Pimsner algebra. We describe a necessary and sufficient condition for the canonical map from A into the crossed product to be injective, and present several examples to demonstrate the scope of this result. We also prove a gauge-invariant uniqueness theorem for the crossed product.

1. INTRODUCTION

If α is an endomorphism of a C^* -algebra A , we can form a new C^* -algebra called the crossed product of A by α . This was first done by Cuntz [2], and there are now several general theories [14, 17, 13], which have been applied in a number of settings [1, 9, 10].

In [3], Exel proposed a new definition for the crossed product of a unital C^* -algebra A by an endomorphism α . Exel's crossed product depends not only on A and α , but also on the choice of a *transfer operator*, which is a positive continuous linear map $L : A \rightarrow A$ such that $L(\alpha(a)b) = aL(b)$ for $a, b \in A$. This new theory generalises previous constructions where the endomorphism is injective and has hereditary range [13], and has applications in the study of classical irreversible dynamical systems [5].

In this paper, we re-examine Exel's crossed product, denoted $A \rtimes_{\alpha, L} \mathbb{N}$, and identify a family of representations for which $A \rtimes_{\alpha, L} \mathbb{N}$ is universal. We then show that $A \rtimes_{\alpha, L} \mathbb{N}$ can be realised as a relative Cuntz-Pimsner algebra as in [11, 6], and use known results for relative Cuntz-Pimsner algebras to study $A \rtimes_{\alpha, L} \mathbb{N}$. In particular, we identify conditions which ensure that the canonical map $A \rightarrow A \rtimes_{\alpha, L} \mathbb{N}$ is injective, thus answering a question raised by Exel in [3], and partially answered by him in [4].

We begin with a brief discussion of relative Cuntz-Pimsner algebras, and we state a lemma which we will use when considering the map $A \rightarrow A \rtimes_{\alpha, L} \mathbb{N}$. In §3 we discuss representations of Exel's crossed product. The main result in this section is the realization of $A \rtimes_{\alpha, L} \mathbb{N}$ as a relative Cuntz-Pimsner algebra.

In §4 we describe a necessary and sufficient condition on the transfer operator L for $A \rightarrow A \rtimes_{\alpha, L} \mathbb{N}$ to be injective. We also show that this condition simplifies when A is a commutative C^* -algebra, and give examples to illustrate that our results do significantly improve those of Exel. In §5 we use our realisation of $A \rtimes_{\alpha, L} \mathbb{N}$ as a relative Cuntz-Pimsner algebra and results of Katsura [8] and Muhly-Tomforde [12] to prove a gauge-invariant uniqueness theorem for $A \rtimes_{\alpha, L} \mathbb{N}$, which generalises the one of Exel and Vershik in [5].

Date: 22 March 2004.

This research has been supported by the Australian Research Council.

2. RELATIVE CUNTZ-PIMSNER ALGEBRAS

Suppose that A is a C^* -algebra and X is a Hilbert bimodule over A , where the left action $a \cdot x$ is given by a homomorphism $\phi : A \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(X)$, so that $a \cdot x = \phi(a)x$. A Toeplitz representation (ψ, π) of X in a C^* -algebra B is a pair consisting of a linear map $\psi : X \rightarrow B$ and a homomorphism $\pi : A \rightarrow B$ such that

$$\psi(x \cdot a) = \psi(x)\pi(a), \quad \psi(x)^*\psi(y) = \pi(\langle x, y \rangle_A), \quad \text{and} \quad \psi(\phi(a)x) = \pi(a)\psi(x)$$

for $x, y \in X$ and $a \in A$. Given such a representation, [7, Proposition 1.6] says there is a homomorphism $(\psi, \pi)^{(1)} : \mathcal{K}(X) \rightarrow B$ which satisfies

$$(\psi, \pi)^{(1)}(\Theta_{x,y}) = \psi(x)\psi(y)^* \text{ for } x, y \in X,$$

and

$$(2.1) \quad (\psi, \pi)^{(1)}(T)\psi(x) = \psi(Tx) \text{ for } T \in \mathcal{K}(X) \text{ and } x \in X.$$

If $\rho : B \rightarrow C$ is a homomorphism of C^* -algebras, then $(\rho \circ \psi, \rho \circ \pi)$ is a Toeplitz representation of X , and we have

$$(\rho \circ \psi, \rho \circ \pi)^{(1)}(\Theta_{x,y}) = \rho \circ \psi(x)\rho \circ \psi(y)^* = \rho \circ (\psi, \pi)^{(1)}(\Theta_{x,y}) \text{ for all } x, y \in X.$$

It follows from linearity and continuity that we have

$$(2.2) \quad (\rho \circ \psi, \rho \circ \pi)^{(1)} = \rho \circ (\psi, \pi)^{(1)}.$$

We define

$$J(X) := \phi^{-1}(\mathcal{K}(X)),$$

which is a closed two-sided ideal in A . Let K be an ideal contained in $J(X)$. Following Muhly and Solel, we say that a Toeplitz representation (ψ, π) of X is *coisometric on K* if

$$(\psi, \pi)^{(1)}(\phi(a)) = \pi(a) \quad \text{for all } a \in K.$$

Proposition 2.1. [6, Proposition 1.3] *Let X be a Hilbert bimodule over A , and let K be an ideal in $J(X)$. Then there are a C^* -algebra $\mathcal{O}(K, X)$ and a Toeplitz representation $(k_X, k_A) : X \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(K, X)$ which is coisometric on K and satisfies:*

- (i) *for every Toeplitz representation (ψ, π) of X which is coisometric on K , there is a homomorphism $\psi \times_K \pi$ of $\mathcal{O}(K, X)$ such that $(\psi \times_K \pi) \circ k_X = \psi$ and $(\psi \times_K \pi) \circ k_A = \pi$; and*
- (ii) *$\mathcal{O}(K, X)$ is generated as a C^* -algebra by $k_X(X) \cup k_A(A)$.*

The triple $(\mathcal{O}(K, X), k_X, k_A)$ is unique: if (B, k'_X, k'_A) has similar properties, there is an isomorphism $\theta : \mathcal{O}(K, X) \rightarrow B$ such that $\theta \circ k_X = k'_X$ and $\theta \circ k_A = k'_A$. There is a strongly continuous gauge action $\gamma : \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \text{Aut } \mathcal{O}(K, X)$ which satisfies $\gamma_z(k_A(a)) = k_A(a)$ and $\gamma_z(k_X(x)) = zk_X(x)$ for $a \in A, x \in X$.

The algebra $\mathcal{O}(K, X)$ is called the relative Cuntz-Pimsner algebra determined by K , and was first studied by Muhly and Solel in [11]. The algebra $\mathcal{O}(\{0\}, X)$ is the Toeplitz algebra $\mathcal{T}(X)$ (see [7, Proposition 1.4]), and $\mathcal{O}(J(X), X)$ is the Cuntz-Pimsner algebra $\mathcal{O}(X)$ [15]. The following lemma tells us when $k_A : A \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(K, X)$ is injective.

Lemma 2.2. *Let X be a Hilbert bimodule over A and let $(\mathcal{O}(K, X), k_A, k_X)$ be a relative Cuntz-Pimsner algebra associated to X . Then k_A is injective if and only if $\phi|_K : K \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(X)$ is injective.*

Proof. If $\phi|_K$ is injective, then [11, Proposition 2.21] implies that k_A is injective. Conversely, suppose k_A is injective and $a \in K$ satisfies $\phi|_K(a) = 0$. Then $k_A(a) = (k_X, k_A)^{(1)}(\phi|_K(a)) = 0$, and since k_A is injective, this implies $a = 0$. Thus $\phi|_K : K \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(X)$ is injective. \square

3. EXEL'S CROSSED PRODUCT

Let A be a unital C^* -algebra and α an endomorphism of A ; we do not assume that α is unital or injective. In [3], Exel defined a *transfer operator* L for (A, α) to be a continuous linear map $L : A \rightarrow A$ such that

- (i) L is positive in the sense that $a \geq 0 \implies L(a) \geq 0$, and
- (ii) $L(\alpha(a)b) = aL(b)$, for all $a, b \in A$.

He then defined $\mathcal{T}(A, \alpha, L)$ to be the universal unital C^* -algebra generated by a copy of A and an element S satisfying the relations $Sa = \alpha(a)S$ and $S^*aS = L(a)$ for $a \in A$, so that $\mathcal{T}(A, \alpha, L)$ is by definition universal for the following representations.

Definition 3.1. A pair (ρ, V) , consisting of a unital homomorphism ρ of A into a C^* -algebra B and an element $T \in B$, is a *Toeplitz-covariant representation* of (A, α, L) in B if for every $a \in A$,

$$(TC1) \quad V\rho(a) = \rho(\alpha(a))V, \text{ and}$$

$$(TC2) \quad V^*\rho(a)V = \rho(L(a)).$$

We denote by (i_A, S) , the universal Toeplitz-covariant representation of (A, α, L) in $\mathcal{T}(A, \alpha, L)$. If (ρ, V) is a Toeplitz-covariant representation of (A, α, L) , we denote by $\rho \times V$ the representation of $\mathcal{T}(A, \alpha, L)$ such that $(\rho \times V) \circ i_A = \rho$ and $(\rho \times V)(S) = V$.

The homomorphism $i_A : A \rightarrow \mathcal{T}(A, \alpha, L)$ is injective: to see this, we need an example of a Toeplitz-covariant representation (ρ, V) with ρ injective, and one such example is given in [3].

Given the triple (A, α, L) , we recall from [3] the construction of the Hilbert A -bimodule M_L . We let A_L be a copy of the underlying vector space of A . We define a right action of A on A_L by

$$m \cdot a = m\alpha(a) \text{ for } m \in A_L \text{ and } a \in A,$$

and an A -valued map $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_L$ on A_L by

$$\langle m, n \rangle_L = L(m^*n) \text{ for } m, n \in A_L.$$

We define $N := \{a \in A_L : \langle a, a \rangle_L = 0\}$; it follows from the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality that N is a subspace of A_L , and we can form the quotient space A_L/N . We denote the quotient map by $q : A_L \rightarrow A_L/N$, and then A_L/N is a right A -module with inner-product $\langle q(a), q(b) \rangle_L = L(a^*b)$. By completing A_L/N we get a right Hilbert A -module which we denote by M_L . For $a \in A$ and $m \in A_L$ we have

$$\|\langle am, am \rangle_L\| = \|L(m^*a^*am)\| \leq \|a\|^2 \|L(m^*m)\| = \|a\|^2 \|\langle m, m \rangle_L\|,$$

and it follows that left multiplication by a on A_L extends to a bounded adjointable operator on M_L . This defines a homomorphism $\phi : A \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(M_L)$, and writing $\phi(a)m := a \cdot m$ makes M_L a Hilbert bimodule over A . Note that $q(A_L)$ is dense in M_L .

In the following lemma we see that there is a one-to-one correspondence between Toeplitz-covariant representations of (A, α, L) and Toeplitz representations of M_L .

Lemma 3.2. *Given a Toeplitz-covariant representation (ρ, V) of (A, α, L) in a C^* -algebra B , there exists a linear map $\psi_V : M_L \rightarrow B$ such that $\psi_V(q(a)) = \rho(a)V$ and the pair (ψ_V, ρ) is a Toeplitz representation of M_L in B . Conversely, if (ψ, π) is a Toeplitz representation of M_L in B and π is unital, then the pair $(\pi, \psi(q(1)))$ is a Toeplitz-covariant representation of (A, α, L) , and $\psi_{\psi(q(1))} = \psi$.*

Proof. We define $\theta : A_L \rightarrow B$ by $\theta(a) = \rho(a)V$. Then θ is linear, and for $a \in A$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\theta(a)\|^2 &= \|\rho(a)V\|^2 = \|(\rho(a)V)^*\rho(a)V\| = \|V^*\rho(a^*a)V\| = \|\rho(L(a^*a))\| \\ &\leq \|L(a^*a)\| = \|\langle a, a \rangle_L\|, \end{aligned}$$

so θ is bounded for the semi-norm on A_L . Thus θ induces a bounded map $\psi_V : M_L \rightarrow B$ satisfying $\psi_V(q(a)) = \rho(a)V$ for $a \in A$. For $a, b, c \in A$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_V(q(b) \cdot a) &= \psi_V(q(b)\alpha(a)) = \rho(b\alpha(a))V = \rho(b)V\rho(a) = \psi_V(q(b))\rho(a), \\ \psi_V(q(b))^*\psi_V(q(c)) &= (\rho(b)V)^*\rho(c)V = V^*\rho(b^*c)V = \rho(L(b^*c)) = \rho(\langle q(b), q(c) \rangle_L), \text{ and} \\ \psi_V(a \cdot q(b)) &= \psi_V(aq(b)) = \rho(ab)V = \rho(a)\rho(b)V = \rho(a)\psi_V(q(b)). \end{aligned}$$

Thus (ψ_V, ρ) is a Toeplitz representation of M_L in B .

Now let $(\psi, \pi) : M_L \rightarrow B$ be a Toeplitz representation of M_L in a C^* -algebra B with π unital. Then for $a \in A$ we have

$$\psi(q(1))\pi(a) = \psi(q(1) \cdot a) = \psi(q(\alpha(a))) = \psi(\alpha(a) \cdot q(1)) = \pi(\alpha(a))\psi(q(1)),$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(q(1))^*\pi(a)\psi(q(1)) &= \psi(q(1))^*\psi(a \cdot q(1)) = \psi(q(1))^*\psi(q(a)) \\ &= \pi(\langle q(1), q(a) \rangle_L) = \pi(L(1^*a)) = \pi(L(a)), \end{aligned}$$

so $(\pi, \psi(q(1)))$ is a Toeplitz-covariant representation of (A, α, L) . Finally, for $a \in A$ we have

$$\psi_{\psi(q(1))}(q(a)) = \pi(a)\psi(q(1)) = \psi(a \cdot q(1)) = \psi(q(a)),$$

which implies that $\psi_{\psi(q(1))} = \psi$. □

Corollary 3.3. *The C^* -algebra $\mathcal{T}(A, \alpha, L)$ is isomorphic to the Toeplitz algebra $\mathcal{T}(M_L)$.*

Proof. We prove that $\mathcal{T}(A, \alpha, L)$ has the universal property which characterises $\mathcal{T}(M_L)$. Applying the lemma to the pair (i_A, S) gives a Toeplitz representation (ψ_S, i_A) of M_L in $\mathcal{T}(A, \alpha, L)$, which generates $\mathcal{T}(A, \alpha, L)$ because i_A and S do. Now suppose (ψ, π) is a Toeplitz representation of M_L . Note that M_L is essential as a left A -module, in the sense that $A \cdot M_L = M_L$. This implies that the essential subspace $\pi(1)\mathcal{H}$ is reducing for (ψ, π) , so we can apply the lemma to the restriction of (ψ, π) to $\pi(1)\mathcal{H}$; this gives

a Toeplitz-covariant representation $(\pi|, \psi|(q(1)))$ on $\pi(1)\mathcal{H}$. Now the representation $\mu := (\pi| \times \psi|(q(1))) \oplus 0$ has $\mu \circ i_A = \pi| \oplus 0 = \pi$, and for $a \in A$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mu \circ \psi_S(q(a)) &= \mu(i_A(a)S) = \mu(i_A(a))\mu(S) = (\pi|(a)\psi|(q(1))) \oplus 0 \\ &= \pi(a)\psi(q(1)) = \psi_{\psi(q(1))}(q(a)) = \psi(q(a)), \end{aligned}$$

which implies that $\mu \circ \psi_S = \psi$. \square

Corollary 3.3 has been obtained independently by Nadia Larsen.

Remark 3.4. The Toeplitz representation (ψ_S, i_A) induces a homomorphism $(\psi_S, i_A)^{(1)}$ of $\mathcal{K}(M_L)$ into $\mathcal{T}(A, \alpha, L)$. We claim that $(\psi_S, i_A)^{(1)}$ is injective. To see this, let $\pi : \mathcal{T}(A, \alpha, L) \rightarrow B(\mathcal{H})$ be a faithful non-degenerate representation of $\mathcal{T}(A, \alpha, L)$. Then, as in the proof of [7, Proposition 1.6], we have $(\psi_S, i_A)^{(1)} := \pi^{-1} \circ \text{Ad } U \circ \text{Ind}(\pi \circ i_A)$, where $U : M_L \otimes_A \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ is an isometry given by $U(m \otimes_A h) = \pi(\psi_S(m))h$. Since $\pi \circ i_A$ is faithful, the induced representation is faithful [16, Corollary 2.74], and $(\psi_S, i_A)^{(1)}$ is injective, as claimed.

The range of any homomorphism of C^* -algebras is closed, and since $(\psi_S, i_A)^{(1)}(\mathcal{K}(M_L))$ is dense in $\overline{\psi_S(M_L)\psi_S(M_L)^*}$, it follows that $(\psi_S, i_A)^{(1)}$ is an isomorphism of $\mathcal{K}(M_L)$ onto the C^* -algebra $\overline{\psi_S(M_L)\psi_S(M_L)^*} = \overline{i_A(A)SS^*i_A(A)}$.

We will now discuss Exel's notion of a redundancy. Define $M := \overline{i_A(A)S} = \psi_S(M_L)$. Conditions (TC1) and (TC2) imply that $i_A(A)M \subseteq M$, $Mi_A(A) \subseteq M$ and $M^*M \subseteq i_A(A)$, so M is a Hilbert bimodule over $i_A(A)$. It follows that left multiplication by elements of $i_A(A)$ on M could coincide with left multiplication by elements in $\overline{MM^*} = \overline{i_A(A)SS^*i_A(A)}$. In [3], Exel defines a *redundancy* to be a pair $(i_A(a), k)$ such that $a \in A$, $k \in \overline{i_A(A)SS^*i_A(A)}$ and

$$i_A(a)i_A(b)S = ki_A(b)S \text{ for all } b \in A.$$

The next lemma provides a useful identification of the redundancies.

Lemma 3.5. *Let $a \in A$ and let $k \in \mathcal{T}(A, \alpha, L)$. Then $(i_A(a), k)$ is a redundancy if and only if $a \in J(M_L) := \phi^{-1}(\mathcal{K}(M_L))$ and $k = (\psi_S, i_A)^{(1)}(\phi(a))$.*

Proof. First suppose that $a \in J(M_L)$ and $k = (\psi_S, i_A)^{(1)}(\phi(a))$. Then k belongs to the image $\overline{i_A(A)SS^*i_A(A)}$ of $(\psi_S, i_A)^{(1)}$, and for $b \in A$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} i_A(a)i_A(b)S &= i_A(a)\psi_S(q(b)) = \psi_S(\phi(a)q(b)) \\ &= (\psi_S, i_A)^{(1)}(\phi(a))\psi_S(q(b)) \\ &= (\psi_S, i_A)^{(1)}(\phi(a))i_A(b)S, \end{aligned}$$

where the second last equality follows from Equation (2.1). Thus $(i_A(a), k)$ is a redundancy.

Now suppose that $(i_A(a), k)$ is a redundancy. It follows from Remark 3.4 that there exists a unique $t \in \mathcal{K}(M_L)$ such that $(\psi_S, i_A)^{(1)}(t) = k$. Then for $b \in A$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_S(\phi(a)(q(b))) &= \psi_S(q(ab)) = i_A(ab)S = i_A(a)i_A(b)S \\ &= ki_A(b)S = (\psi_S, i_A)^{(1)}(t)\psi_S(q(b)) = \psi_S(t(q(b))), \end{aligned}$$

Since $i_A : A \rightarrow \mathcal{T}(A, \alpha, L)$ is injective, ψ_S is also injective, and it follows that $\phi(a)(m) = t(m)$ for all $m \in M_L$. Hence $\phi(a) = t$, and the result follows. \square

Exel defined the crossed product of (A, α, L) to be the quotient of $\mathcal{T}(A, \alpha, L)$ by the ideal generated by the set

$$\{i_A(a) - k : (i_A(a), k) \text{ is a redundancy with } a \in \overline{A\alpha(A)A}\}.$$

We denote the quotient map by $Q : \mathcal{T}(A, \alpha, L) \rightarrow A \rtimes_{\alpha, L} \mathbb{N}$. The next corollary follows immediately from Lemma 3.5.

Corollary 3.6. *Let $K_\alpha := \overline{A\alpha(A)A} \cap J(M_L)$ and denote by $I(A, \alpha, L)$ the ideal in $\mathcal{T}(A, \alpha, L)$ generated by*

$$\{i_A(a) - (\psi_S, i_A)^{(1)}(\phi(a)) : a \in K_\alpha\}.$$

Then $A \rtimes_{\alpha, L} \mathbb{N}$ is $\mathcal{T}(A, \alpha, L)/I(A, \alpha, L)$.

To describe $A \rtimes_{\alpha, L} \mathbb{N}$ as a universal object, we need to identify the Toeplitz-covariant representations that vanish on the ideal $I(A, \alpha, L)$. We need a lemma:

Lemma 3.7. *Suppose (ρ, V) is a covariant representation of (A, α, L) . Then we have*

$$(3.1) \quad (\rho \times V) \circ (\psi_S, i_A)^{(1)} = (\psi_V, \rho)^{(1)}.$$

Proof. We know from (2.2) that

$$(\rho \times V) \circ (\psi_S, i_A)^{(1)} = ((\rho \times V) \circ \psi_S, (\rho \times V) \circ i_A)^{(1)}.$$

Since (i_A, S) is the universal Toeplitz-covariant representation, we have $(\rho \times V) \circ i_A = \rho$, and $(\rho \times V)(S) = V$. So for $a \in A$ we have

$$(\rho \times V) \circ \psi_S(q(a)) = \rho \times V(i_A(a)S) = \rho(a)V = \psi_V(q(a)),$$

and hence we also have $(\rho \times V) \circ \psi_S = \psi_V$. \square

Equation (3.1) motivates the following definition.

Definition 3.8. Consider the triple (A, α, L) , and let (ρ, V) be a Toeplitz-covariant representation in a C^* -algebra B . We say that (ρ, V) is a *covariant representation* of (A, α, L) if in addition we have

$$(C3) \quad \rho(a) = (\psi_V, \rho)^{(1)}(\phi(a)) \text{ for all } a \in K_\alpha.$$

The following Proposition says that $A \rtimes_{\alpha, L} \mathbb{N}$ is universal for covariant representations of (A, α, L) .

Proposition 3.9. *Let α be an endomorphism of a unital C^* -algebra A , and let L be a transfer operator for (A, α) . The pair $(j_A, T) := (Q \circ i_A, Q(S))$ is a covariant representation of (A, α, L) in $A \rtimes_{\alpha, L} \mathbb{N}$, and for every covariant representation (ρ, V) of (A, α, L) , there is a representation $\tau_{\rho, V}$ of $A \rtimes_{\alpha, L} \mathbb{N}$ such that $\tau_{\rho, V} \circ j_A = \rho$ and $\tau_{\rho, V}(T) = V$.*

Proof. The pair $(Q \circ i_A, Q(S))$ is Toeplitz-covariant because (i_A, S) is, and its integrated form $(Q \circ i_A) \times Q(S)$ is precisely Q . By Lemma 3.2, we get a Toeplitz representation $(\psi_{Q(S)}, Q \circ i_A) : M_L \rightarrow A \rtimes_{\alpha, L} \mathbb{N}$, and for $a \in K_\alpha$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} (Q \circ i_A)(a) &= Q(i_A(a)) = Q((\psi_S, i_A)^{(1)}(\phi(a))) \\ &= ((Q \circ i_A) \times Q(S))((\psi_S, i_A)^{(1)}(\phi(a))) \\ &= (\psi_{Q(S)}, Q \circ i_A)^{(1)}(\phi(a)), \end{aligned}$$

using Lemma 3.7. So the pair $(Q \circ i_A, Q(S))$ is covariant.

Now suppose (ρ, V) is a covariant representation of (A, α, L) . The Toeplitz-covariant representation (ρ, V) gives us a representation $\rho \times V$ of $\mathcal{T}(A, \alpha, L)$, and condition (C3) says that $\rho \times V$ vanishes on the generators of the ideal $I(A, \alpha, L)$. Hence Corollary 3.6 implies that $\rho \times V$ factors through a representation $\tau_{\rho, V}$ of $A \rtimes_{\alpha, L} \mathbb{N}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_{\rho, V} \circ j_A &= \tau_{\rho, V} \circ Q \circ i_A = (\rho \times V) \circ i_A = \rho, \text{ and} \\ \tau_{\rho, V}(T) &= \tau_{\rho, V}(Q(T)) = (\rho \times V)(T) = V, \end{aligned}$$

so $\tau_{\rho, V}$ has the required properties. \square

We now realise $A \rtimes_{\alpha, L} \mathbb{N}$ as a relative Cuntz-Pimsner algebra.

Proposition 3.10. *Suppose α is an endomorphism of a unital C^* -algebra A and L is a transfer operator for (A, α) . Then there is an isomorphism $\theta : \mathcal{O}(K_\alpha, M_L) \rightarrow A \rtimes_{\alpha, L} \mathbb{N}$ such that $\theta \circ k_A = j_A$ and $\theta(k_{M_L}(q(1))) = T$.*

Proof. Consider the triple (A, ψ_T, j_A) , where (ψ_T, j_A) is the Toeplitz representation of M_L induced by the pair (j_A, T) , as in Lemma 3.2. We will prove that $(A \rtimes_{\alpha, L} \mathbb{N}, \psi_T, j_A)$ satisfies the conditions of Proposition 2.1.

Since (j_A, T) is covariant, it satisfies (C3), which says precisely that (ψ_T, j_A) is coisometric on K_α . Let (ψ, π) be a Toeplitz representation of M_L which is coisometric on K_α ; since M_L is essential, we suppose by throwing away a trivial representation that π is unital (see the proof of Corollary 3.3). Then Lemma 3.2 gives a Toeplitz-covariant representation $(\pi, \psi(q(1)))$. Since $\psi_{\psi(q(1))} = \psi$ and (ψ, π) is coisometric on K_α , $(\pi, \psi(q(1)))$ is covariant. Now Proposition 3.9 gives a representation $\tau_{\pi, \psi(q(1))}$ of $A \rtimes_{\alpha, L} \mathbb{N}$ such that $\tau_{\pi, \psi(q(1))} \circ j_A = \pi$ and $\tau_{\pi, \psi(q(1))}(T) = \psi(q(1))$. For $a \in A$ we have

$$\tau_{\pi, \psi(q(1))}(\psi_T(q(a))) = \tau_{\pi, \psi(q(1))}(j_A(a)T) = \pi(a)\psi(q(1)) = \psi(q(a)),$$

and it follows that $\tau_{\pi, \psi(q(1))} \circ \psi_T = \psi$. So $\psi \times_{K_\alpha} \pi := \tau_{\pi, \psi(q(1))}$ satisfies condition (i) of Proposition 2.1. Since $\psi_T(M_L) \cup j_A(A)$ generates $A \rtimes_{\alpha, L} \mathbb{N}$, condition (ii) is also satisfied, and applying Proposition 2.1 gives the result. \square

Notice that when $\alpha(1) = 1$, we have $K_\alpha = J(M_L)$, and the crossed product $A \rtimes_{\alpha, L} \mathbb{N}$ is the Cuntz-Pimsner algebra $\mathcal{O}(M_L)$.

4. INJECTIVITY OF $j_A : A \rightarrow A \rtimes_{\alpha, L} \mathbb{N}$

Definition 4.1. Suppose that A is a unital C^* -algebra, α is an endomorphism of A and L is a transfer operator for (A, α) . We say that L is *faithful* on an ideal I of A if

$$a \in I \text{ and } L(a^*a) = 0 \implies a = 0;$$

we say that L is *almost faithful* on I if

$$a \in I \text{ and } L((ab)^*ab) = 0 \text{ for all } b \in A \implies a = 0.$$

Theorem 4.2. *Let α be an endomorphism of a unital C^* -algebra A , and let L be a transfer operator for (A, α) . Then the map $j_A : A \rightarrow A \rtimes_{\alpha, L} \mathbb{N}$ is injective if and only if L is almost faithful on $K_\alpha = \overline{A\alpha(A)A} \cap J(M_L)$.*

Proof. It follows from Proposition 3.10 that the map j_A is injective if and only if $k_A : A \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(K_\alpha, M_L)$ is injective. By Lemma 2.2 this is true if and only if $\phi|_{K_\alpha} : K_\alpha \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(M_L)$ is injective, and so it suffices to prove that the transfer operator L is almost faithful on K_α if and only if $\phi|_{K_\alpha} : K_\alpha \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(M_L)$ is injective. But for $a \in K_\alpha$ and $b \in A$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|L((ab)^*ab)\| &= \|\langle q(ab), q(ab) \rangle_L\| = \|q(ab)\|^2 = \|a \cdot q(b)\|^2 \\ &= \|\phi(a)(q(b))\|^2 = \|\phi|_{K_\alpha}(a)(q(b))\|^2, \end{aligned}$$

and this implies the desired equivalence. \square

Corollary 4.3. *Let α be an endomorphism of a unital commutative C^* -algebra A , and let L be a transfer operator for (A, α) . Then the map $j_A : A \rightarrow A \rtimes_{\alpha, L} \mathbb{N}$ is injective if and only if L is faithful on K_α .*

Proof. If L is faithful on K_α then it follows from Theorem 4.2 that $j_A : A \rightarrow A \rtimes_{\alpha, L} \mathbb{N}$ is injective. Conversely, suppose $j_A : A \rightarrow A \rtimes_{\alpha, L} \mathbb{N}$ is injective. By Theorem 4.2, this implies that L is almost faithful on K_α . Suppose $a \in K_\alpha$ satisfies $L(a^*a) = 0$. Then for every $b \in A$ we have

$$\|L((ab)^*ab)\| = \|L((ba)^*ba)\| = \|L(a^*b^*ba)\| \leq \|b\|^2 \|L(a^*a)\| = 0.$$

Thus $L((ab)^*ab) = 0$ for every $b \in A$, which implies $a = 0$, and we have shown that L is faithful on K_α . \square

In [4], Exel assumed that α is a unital injective endomorphism and $L = \alpha^{-1} \circ E$, where E is a conditional expectation of A onto $\alpha(A)$ satisfying $E(a^*a) = 0 \implies a = 0$ (Exel says E is non-degenerate). Under these conditions he proves that $j_A : A \rightarrow A \rtimes_{\alpha, L} \mathbb{N}$ is injective [4, Theorem 4.12]. Notice that such L are faithful, and so [4, Theorem 4.12] follows from Theorem 4.2. The following examples show that our theorem is stronger in several different ways.

Example 4.4. In this example, the endomorphism is not unital. Let $A = c$, the space of convergent sequences under the sup norm, and let α be the forward shift τ_f . Then the backward shift $L = \tau_b$ is a transfer operator for (c, τ_f) and we have

$$M_{\tau_b} = c/\mathbb{C}e_0, \quad J(M_{\tau_b}) = c, \quad \text{and } K_{\tau_f} = \{f \in c : f(0) = 0\};$$

notice that $L = \tau_b$ is faithful on K_{τ_f} , but not on all of c . It follows from Corollary 4.3 that the map $j_c : c \rightarrow c \rtimes_{\tau_f, \tau_b} \mathbb{N}$ is injective.

Example 4.5. In this example, the endomorphism is not injective. Again the algebra A is c , but now we view the backward shift τ_b as the endomorphism, and take for L the forward shift τ_f . Then we have $M_{\tau_f} = A_L$, $J(M_{\tau_f}) = c$, and $K_{\tau_b} = c$. In this case, $L = \tau_f$ is faithful on K_{τ_b} , so Corollary 4.3 shows that $j_c : c \rightarrow c \rtimes_{\tau_b, \tau_f} \mathbb{N}$ is injective.

Example 4.6. In this example, the transfer operator is almost faithful but is not faithful. We take A to be the UHF algebra $\text{UHF}(n^\infty)$, viewed as the direct limit $\varinjlim(A_N, i_N)$ with $A_N = \bigotimes_{k=1}^N M_n(\mathbb{C})$ and

$$i_N(a_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes a_N) := a_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes a_N \otimes 1;$$

we denote the canonical embeddings by $i^N : A_N \rightarrow A$. The maps $\alpha_N : A_N \rightarrow A_{N+1}$ defined by

$$\alpha_N(a_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes a_N) = e_{11} \otimes a_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes a_N,$$

induce an injective endomorphism $\alpha : A \rightarrow A$ such that $\alpha(i^N(a)) = i^{N+1}(\alpha_N(a))$ for $a \in A_N$. Since $\text{range } \alpha$ is closed, it follows that $\text{range } \alpha = i^1(e_{11})A i^1(e_{11})$. We can then define $L : A \rightarrow A$ by

$$L(a) = \alpha^{-1}(i^1(e_{11})a i^1(e_{11})).$$

Then L is positive, continuous and linear. To see that L is a transfer operator, let $a = \bigotimes a_i \in A_N$, $b = \bigotimes b_i \in A_{N+1}$, and compute:

$$\begin{aligned} L(\alpha(i^N(a))i^{N+1}(b)) &= L(i^{N+1}(e_{11}b_1 \otimes a_1b_2 \otimes \cdots \otimes a_Nb_{N+1})) \\ &= \alpha^{-1}(i^1(e_{11})i^{N+1}(e_{11}b_1 \otimes a_1b_2 \otimes \cdots \otimes a_Nb_{N+1})i^1(e_{11})) \\ &= (b_1)_{11} \alpha^{-1}(i^{N+1}(e_{11} \otimes a_1b_2 \otimes \cdots \otimes a_Nb_{N+1})) \\ &= (b_1)_{11} i^N(a_1b_2 \otimes \cdots \otimes a_Nb_{N+1}) \\ &= (b_1)_{11} i^N(a_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes a_N) i^N(b_2 \otimes \cdots \otimes b_{N+1}) \\ &= i^N(a)(b_1)_{11} \alpha^{-1}(i^{N+1}(e_{11} \otimes b_2 \otimes \cdots \otimes b_M)) \\ &= i^N(a) \alpha^{-1}(i^1(e_{11})i^{N+1}(b)i^1(e_{11})) \\ &= i^N(a)L(i^{N+1}(b)). \end{aligned}$$

It follows from linearity and continuity of L and α that $L(\alpha(a)b) = aL(b)$ for all $a, b \in A$, and hence L is a transfer operator for (A, α) .

For $j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ define $b_j := i^1(e_{j1})$. Suppose $a \in A$ satisfies $L((ab)^*ab) = 0$ for all $b \in A$. Then $0 = L((ab_j)^*ab_j) = \alpha^{-1}(i^1(e_{11})b_j^*a^*ab_j i^1(e_{11}))$ for all j , and this implies that $ab_j = 0$ for all j . Thus

$$0 = \sum_{j=1}^n ab_j b_j^* = a i^1\left(\sum_{j=1}^n e_{jj}\right) = a i^1(1) = a,$$

and hence L is almost faithful on A . To see that L is not faithful we let $a_0 \in M_n(\mathbb{C})$ be a non-zero matrix whose first column is zero. Then $(a_0^*a_0)_{11} = 0$ and

$$L(i^1(a_0)^*i^1(a_0)) = \alpha^{-1}(i^1(e_{11}a_0^*a_0e_{11})) = \alpha^{-1}((a_0^*a_0)_{11}i^1(e_{11})) = \alpha^{-1}(0) = 0,$$

whereas $i^1(a_0) \neq 0$ because i^1 is injective.

The endomorphism α is injective and has hereditary range. Under these assumptions, Exel proved in [3, Theorem 4.7] that $A \rtimes_{\alpha, L} \mathbb{N}$ is isomorphic to the Stacey crossed product $A \rtimes_{\alpha} \mathbb{N}$. This crossed product was first considered by Cuntz, who showed in [2] that $\text{UHF}(n^\infty) \rtimes_{\alpha} \mathbb{N}$ is isomorphic to the Cuntz algebra \mathcal{O}_n .

Example 4.7. This is an example of a commutative C^* -algebra with a transfer operator L which is not faithful on K_α , so that A does not embed in Exel's crossed product. Let $A := C([0, 2])$, and define $\alpha : C([0, 2]) \rightarrow C([0, 2])$ by

$$\alpha(f)(x) := \begin{cases} f(2x) & \text{if } x \in [0, 1] \\ f(4 - 2x) & \text{if } x \in (1, 2]. \end{cases}$$

Then the map $L : C([0, 2]) \rightarrow C([0, 2])$ defined by $L(f)(x) = f(x/2)$, is a transfer operator for (A, α) . We have $A_L = C([0, 2])$ as a vector space, and

$$\begin{aligned} N &:= \{f \in C([0, 2]) : L(f^*f) = 0\} \\ &= \{f \in C([0, 2]) : f(x) = 0 \text{ for all } x \in [0, 1]\}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus the restriction map $r : f \mapsto f|_{[0,1]}$ induces a vector-space isomorphism of A_L/N onto $C([0, 1])$, which converts the bimodule structure into

$$\langle g, h \rangle_L(x) = \overline{g(x/2)}h(x/2), \quad g \cdot f(x) = g(x)f(2x), \quad f \cdot g(x) = f(x)g(x)$$

for $g, h \in C([0, 2])$ and $f \in A = C([0, 2])$; it follows from the first formula that r is isometric for the sup-norm on $C([0, 1])$, so A_L/N is complete and $M_L = A_L/N$. Now for $f \in A$ and $x \in [0, 1]$, we have

$$\Theta_{r(f),1}(g)(x) = r(f)(x)\langle 1, g \rangle_L(2x) = f(x)g(x) = (\phi(f)g)(x),$$

so $f \in J(M_L)$. Thus $J(M_L) = A$, which implies $K_\alpha = A$ because $\alpha(1) = 1$. The transfer function L is not faithful on $C([0, 2])$: any nonzero function $f \in C([0, 2])$ with $f|_{[0,1]} = 0$ will satisfy $L(f^*f) = 0$. Hence it follows from Corollary 4.3 that the canonical map $C([0, 2]) \rightarrow C([0, 2]) \rtimes_{\alpha, L} \mathbb{N}$ is not injective.

5. GAUGE INVARIANT UNIQUENESS THEOREM

Using the isomorphism $\theta : \mathcal{O}(K_\alpha, M_L) \rightarrow A \rtimes_{\alpha, L} \mathbb{N}$ of Proposition 3.10, we can see that there is a natural gauge action $\delta : \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \text{Aut}(A \rtimes_{\alpha, L} \mathbb{N})$ such that $\delta_z(j_A(a)) = j_A(a)$, $\delta_z(T) = zT$ and $\theta \circ \gamma_z = \delta_z \circ \theta$.

Theorem 5.1. *Let α be an endomorphism of a unital C^* -algebra A , and let L be a transfer operator for (A, α) . Suppose B is a C^* -algebra and (ρ, V) is a covariant representation of (A, α, L) in B satisfying*

- (1) *for $a \in A$, $\rho(a) = 0 \implies j_A(a) = 0$,*
- (2) *if $\rho(a) \in (\psi_V, \rho)^{(1)}(\mathcal{K}(M_L))$, then $j_A(a) \in j_A(K_\alpha)$,*
- (3) *there exists a strongly continuous action $\beta : \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \text{Aut } \tau_{\rho, V}(A \rtimes_{\alpha, L} \mathbb{N})$ such that $\beta_z \circ \tau_{\rho, V} = \tau_{\rho, V} \circ \delta_z$ for all $z \in \mathbb{T}$.*

Then the corresponding representation $\tau_{\rho, V} : A \rtimes_{\alpha, L} \mathbb{N} \rightarrow B$ is faithful.

The proof of Theorem 5.1 will use the following gauge-invariant uniqueness theorem for relative Cuntz-Pimsner algebras, which is due to Katsura [8, Corollary 11.7] and Muhly-Tomforde [12, §5].

Theorem 5.2. *Suppose X is a Hilbert bimodule over A and K is an ideal in $J(M_L)$. If $\mu : \mathcal{O}(K, X) \rightarrow B$ is a homomorphism into a C^* -algebra B satisfying*

- (i) *the restriction of μ to $k_A(A)$ is injective,*

- (ii) if $\mu(k_A(a)) \in \mu((k_X, k_A)^{(1)}(\mathcal{K}(X)))$, then $k_A(a) \in k_A(K)$,
- (iii) there exists a strongly continuous action $\beta : \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \text{Aut } \mu(\mathcal{O}(K, X))$ such that $\beta_z \circ \mu = \mu \circ \gamma_z$ for all $z \in \mathbb{T}$,

then μ is injective.

Proof of Theorem 5.1. We will prove that $\tau_{\rho, V} \circ \theta$ satisfies the conditions of Theorem 5.2. Suppose $a \in A$ satisfies $(\tau_{\rho, V} \circ \theta)(k_A(a)) = 0$. Then

$$\rho(a) = \tau_{\rho, V}(j_A(a)) = \tau_{\rho, V}(\theta(a)) = 0,$$

which by (1) implies that $j_A(a) = 0$. Hence $k_A(a) = \theta^{-1}(j_A(a)) = 0$, and so $\tau_{\rho, V} \circ \theta$ is injective on $k_A(A)$.

Now suppose $a \in A$ and $(\tau_{\rho, V} \circ \theta)(k_A(a)) \in (\tau_{\rho, V} \circ \theta)((k_{M_L}, k_A)^{(1)}(\mathcal{K}(M_L)))$. We have $(\tau_{\rho, V} \circ \theta)(k_A(a)) = \rho(a)$, and Lemma 3.7 gives

$$\begin{aligned} (\tau_{\rho, V} \circ \theta)((k_{M_L}, k_A)^{(1)}(\mathcal{K}(M_L))) &= \tau_{\rho, V}((\theta \circ k_{M_L}, \theta \circ k_A)^{(1)}(\mathcal{K}(M_L))) \\ &= \tau_{\rho, V}((\psi_T, j_A)^{(1)}(\mathcal{K}(M_L))) \\ &= \tau_{\rho, V} \circ Q((\psi_S, i_A)^{(1)}(\mathcal{K}(M_L))) \\ &= (\rho \times V)((\psi_S, i_A)^{(1)}(\mathcal{K}(M_L))) \\ &= (\psi_V, \rho)^{(1)}(\mathcal{K}(M_L)). \end{aligned}$$

So $\rho(a) \in (\psi_V, \rho)^{(1)}(\mathcal{K}(M_L))$, and then it follows from (2) that $j_A(a) \in j_A(K_\alpha)$. Hence $k_A(a) \in k_A(K_\alpha)$. By (3), we have

$$\beta_z \circ \tau_{\rho, V} \circ \theta = \tau_{\rho, V} \circ \delta_z \circ \theta = \tau_{\rho, V} \circ \theta \circ \gamma_z,$$

so Theorem 5.2 implies that $\tau_{\rho, V} \circ \theta$ is injective. Thus $\tau_{\rho, V}$ is injective. \square

When the transfer operator L is almost faithful on K_α , our main theorem says that j_A is injective. Using [8, Corollary 11.8] instead of Theorem 5.2 yields the following gauge-invariant uniqueness theorem which directly generalises [5, Theorem 4.2] (because the second condition (2') trivially holds when $K_\alpha = J(M_L)$, as is the case when $\alpha(1) = 1$).

Corollary 5.3. *Let α be an endomorphism of a unital C^* -algebra A , and let L be a transfer operator for (A, α) which is almost faithful on K_α . Suppose B is a C^* -algebra and (ρ, V) is a covariant representation of (A, α, L) in B satisfying*

- (1') ρ is faithful,
- (2') for $a \in J(M_L)$, $\rho(a) = (\psi_V, \rho)^{(1)}(\phi(a))$ implies $j_A(a) = (\psi_T, j_A)^{(1)}(\phi(a))$,
- (3) there exists a strongly continuous action $\beta : \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \text{Aut } \tau_{\rho, V}(A \rtimes_{\alpha, L} \mathbb{N})$ such that $\beta_z \circ \tau_{\rho, V} = \tau_{\rho, V} \circ \gamma_z$ for all $z \in \mathbb{T}$.

Then the corresponding representation $\tau_{\rho, V} : A \rtimes_{\alpha, L} \mathbb{N} \rightarrow B$ is faithful.

REFERENCES

- [1] S. Adji, M. Laca, M. Nilsen and I. Raeburn, *Crossed products by semigroups of endomorphisms and the Toeplitz algebras of ordered groups*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **122** (1994), 1133–1141.
- [2] J. Cuntz, *The internal structure of simple C^* -algebras*, Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., vol. 38, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, 1982, pages 85–115.

- [3] R. Exel, *A new look at the crossed-product of a C^* -algebra by an endomorphism*, Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems **23** (2003), 1–18.
- [4] R. Exel, *Crossed-products by finite index endomorphisms and KMS states*, J. Funct. Anal. **199** (2003), 153–188.
- [5] R. Exel and A. Vershik, *C^* -algebras of irreversible dynamical systems*, preprint, arXiv:math.OA/0203185.
- [6] N. J. Fowler, P. S. Muhly and I. Raeburn, *Representations of Cuntz-Pimsner algebras*, Indiana Univ. Math. J. **52** (2003), 569–605.
- [7] N. J. Fowler and I. Raeburn, *The Toeplitz algebra of a Hilbert bimodule*, Indiana Univ. Math. J. **48** (1999), 155–181.
- [8] T. Katsura, *Ideal structure of C^* -algebras associated with C^* -correspondences*, preprint, arXiv:math.OA/0309294.
- [9] M. Laca and I. Raeburn, *Semigroup crossed products and the Toeplitz algebras of nonabelian groups*, J. Funct. Anal. **139** (1996), 415–440.
- [10] M. Laca and I. Raeburn, *A semigroup crossed product arising in number theory*, J. London Math. Soc. **59** (1999), 330–344.
- [11] P. S. Muhly and B. Solel, *Tensor algebras over C^* -correspondences (representations, dilations, and C^* -envelopes)*, J. Funct. Anal. **158** (1998), 389–457.
- [12] P. S. Muhly and M. Tomforde, *Adding tails to C^* -correspondences*, preprint, arXiv:math.OA/0212277.
- [13] G. J. Murphy, *Crossed products of C^* -algebras by endomorphisms*, Integral Equations Operator Theory **24** (1996), 298–319.
- [14] W. L. Paschke, *The crossed products of a C^* -algebra by an endomorphism*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **80** (1980), 113–118.
- [15] M. V. Pimsner, *A class of C^* -algebras generalizing both Cuntz-Krieger algebras and crossed products by \mathbb{Z}* , Fields Institute Communications **12** (1997), 189–212.
- [16] I. Raeburn and D. P. Williams *Morita equivalence and continuous-trace C^* -algebras*, Math. Surveys and Monographs, vol. 60, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, 1998.
- [17] P. J. Stacey, *Crossed products of C^* -algebras by $*$ -endomorphisms*, J. Austral. Math. Soc. Ser. A **54** (1993), 204–212.

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL AND PHYSICAL SCIENCES, UNIVERSITY OF NEWCASTLE, NSW 2308, AUSTRALIA

E-mail address: nathan.brownlowe@studentmail.newcastle.edu.au

E-mail address: iain@maths.newcastle.edu.au