

Quasi-Frobenius Rational Loop Algebra

David Chataur and Jean-Claude Thomas

October 3, 2019

Abstract

Recently R. Cohen and V. Godin have proved that the loop homology $\mathcal{H}_*(LM)$ of a closed oriented manifold M with coefficients in a field \mathbf{k} has the structure of a Frobenius algebra whose coassociative coproduct is without counit. In this short note we prove that when the characteristic of \mathbf{k} is zero and if M is 1-connected then this algebraic structure depends only on the rational homotopy type of M . We describe explicitly the dual of the coproduct $\mathcal{H}^*(LM) \otimes \mathcal{H}^*(LM) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}^*(LM)$ and prove it respects the Hodge decomposition.

AMS Classification : 55P35, 54N45, 55N33, 17A65, 81T30, 17B55

Key words : Frobenius algebra, topological quantum field theory, free loop space, loop homology, rational homotopy, Hochschild cohomology, Hodge decomposition.

Introduction

In this paper we work over an arbitrary field \mathbf{k} of characteristic zero. In particular for any space X we write $H_*(X)$ (resp. $H^*(X)$) for $H_*(X; \mathbf{k})$ (resp. $H^*(X; \mathbf{k})$). For simplicity we identify $H^*(X \times X)$ with $H^*(X) \otimes H^*(X)$ and $H^*(X)$ with the graded dual of the homology $(H_*(X))^\vee$.

Let M be a 1-connected closed oriented m -manifold and let LM be the space of free loops on M .

Chas and Sullivan [6] have constructed a natural product on the desuspension of the homomology of the free loop space $\mathcal{H}_*(LM) := H_{*-m}(LM)$ so that $\mathcal{H}_*(LM)$ is a commutative graded algebra. This product is called the *loop product*. They prove

Theorem CS ([6]-Theorem 1) *The loop algebra, $\mathcal{H}_*(LM)$ is a Batalin-Vilkovisky algebra.*

On the other hand, Chas and Sullivan, [7], and Sullivan, [17], have introduced “higher string structures”. Cohen-Godin, [9], have proved that some of these higher string structures can be defined in terms of two dimensional positive boundary TQFT (topological quantum field theory). To be more precise let us recall that one of the equivalent definition of a *quasi-Frobenius algebra* is the following, [1], [2] : A unital graded commutative algebra A together with a coassociative coproduct $\Phi : A \rightarrow A \otimes A$ which is a (degree zero) A -linear map. The coproduct Φ is assumed to be without counit. As defined and explained in [9], a quasi-Frobenius algebra is equivalent to a two dimensional positive boundary TQFT. Moreover, the first result of [9] implies the following:

Theorem CG ([9]-Theorem 1) *The loop algebra, $\mathcal{H}_*(LM)$ has the structure of a quasi-Frobenius algebra.*

The coproduct defined in the above quoted result,

$$\Phi : \mathbb{H}_*(LM) \rightarrow (\mathbb{H}(LM) \otimes \mathbb{H}(LM))_* ,$$

is called *the loop-coproduct*.

Our first result is :

Theorem 1 *The structure of quasi-Frobenius algebra on $\mathbb{H}_*(M)$ depends only on the rational homotopy type of the manifold M .*

In fact we describe explicitly the loop-coproduct Φ in terms of Sullivan models and the diagonal class of M (4.5). This result together with the similar one concerning the loop product, [13]-Theorem A, achieve the proof of Theorem 1. Thus this paper appears as a complement of [13]. The tools involved in the proof of theorem 1 are Sullivan models [16]. We refer the reader systematically to [12] for notation, terminology and needed results. In this context we naturally work with the cohomology of LM instead of homology. Therefore we are interested in the *dual of the loop coproduct*

$$\Phi^\vee : (\mathbb{H}(LM) \otimes \mathbb{H}(LM))^* \rightarrow \mathbb{H}^*(LM) ,$$

rather than the loop coproduct Φ .

We establish in (4.6) a formula for Φ^\vee when $M = \mathbb{C}P^n$. This allows us with the aid of [13]-3.6 to show that the composition

$$\Phi \circ \text{loop product} : (\mathbb{H}(LM) \otimes \mathbb{H}(LM))_* \rightarrow (\mathbb{H}(LM) \otimes \mathbb{H}(LM))_*$$

is not the trivial map.

Recall that LM is equipped with a natural S^1 -action. Power maps $\varphi_n : LM \rightarrow LM$ are then induced by the covering maps $e^{i\theta} \mapsto e^{ni\theta}$. The power operations $H^*(\varphi_n) : H^*(LM) \rightarrow H^*(LM)$ define a natural Hodge decomposition, [5]:

$$H^*(LM) = \oplus_{i \geq 0} H_{(i)}^* , \text{ where } \begin{cases} H_{(0)}^* &= \tilde{H}^*(M) \\ H_{(i)}^n &= 0, \text{ if } i > n \\ H_{(i)}^n &\text{ is an eigenspace of } H^*(\varphi_n) \text{ for the eigenvalue } n^i \end{cases}$$

A second application (4.7) of the description of the dual of the loop coproduct is:

Theorem 2. *The dual of the loop coproduct Φ^\vee respects the Hodge decomposition in $H^*(LM)$.*

Acknowledgements. We would like to thank Yves Félix and Jim Stasheff for their careful reading and comments.

The paper is organized as follows;

- 1) Definition of the loop coproduct.
- 2) Shriek maps of a fibrewise embedding.
- 3) Shriek map at the level of Sullivan models.
- 4) The dual of the loop coproduct in terms of Sullivan models.

§1 - Definition of loop coproduct

The purpose of this section is to provide us with an elementary definition of the loop coproduct in algebraic topology.

1.1. Following [17] and [9] the loop product (resp. the loop coproduct) is a particular case of an “operation”

$$\mu_\Sigma : H_*(LM)^{\otimes p} \rightarrow H_*(LM)^{\otimes q}$$

with $p = 2, q = 1$ (resp. $p = 1, q = 2$) that will define a positive boundary TQFT. Here Σ denotes an oriented surface of genus 0 with a fixed parametrisation of the $p + q$ boundary components:

$$(S^1)^{\amalg p} \xrightarrow{in} \partial_{in}\Sigma \hookrightarrow \Sigma \hookleftarrow \partial_{out}\Sigma \xleftarrow{out} (S^1)^{\amalg q}.$$

Applying the functor $Map(-, M)$ one gets the diagram

$$(1) \quad (LM)^{\times p} \xleftarrow{in^\#} Map(\Sigma, M) \xrightarrow{out^\#} (LM)^{\times q}.$$

Diagram (1) is homotopically equivalent to the diagram

$$(2) \quad (LM)^{\times p} \xleftarrow{\rho_{in}} Map(c, M) \xrightarrow{\rho_{out}} (LM)^{\times q}.$$

where c denotes a reduced Sullivan chord diagram with markings which is associated to Σ , [9]-§2.

1.2. In order to define the loop coproduct we restrict to the case $p = 1$ and $q = 2$. Then Σ is the oriented surface of genus 0 with one incoming and two outgoing components of the boundary. The associated Sullivan chord diagram with markings is determined by the pushout diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} * \amalg * & \rightarrow & * \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ S^1 & \xrightarrow{in} & c \end{array}.$$

In particular, $c \simeq S^1 \vee S^1$, $S^1 \xrightarrow{in} c$ is homotopic to the folding map $\nabla : S^1 \rightarrow S^1 \vee S^1$ and $S^1 \amalg S^1 \xrightarrow{out} c$ is homotopic to the natural projection $S^1 \amalg S^1 \rightarrow S^1 \vee S^1$.

Diagram (2) then reduces to a commutative diagram of fibrations

$$(3) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} LM^{\times 2} & \xleftarrow{\delta_{out}} & LM \times_M LM & \xrightarrow{\delta_{in}} & LM \\ p_0 \times p_0 \downarrow & & p_0 \downarrow & & \downarrow (p_0, p_{\frac{1}{2}}) \\ M^{\times 2} & \xleftarrow{\Delta} & M & \xrightarrow{\Delta} & M^{\times 2} \end{array}$$

where

- i) $p_t(\gamma) = \gamma(t)$, $\gamma \in M^{(a,b)}$, $t \in (a, b) \subset I := (0, 1)$
- ii) $\Delta(x) = (x, x)$ and $\Delta'(x, y) = (x, y, y, x)$, $x, y \in M$

- iii) The fibration $LM \xrightarrow{p_0, p_{\frac{1}{2}}} M$ is defined by the pullback diagram

$$(4) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} LM & \xrightarrow{\delta'} & M^{[0, \frac{1}{2}] \times 2} \\ p_0 \times p_{\frac{1}{2}} \downarrow & & \downarrow p_0 \times p_{\frac{1}{2}} \times p_{\frac{1}{2}} \times p_1 \\ M^{\times 2} & \xrightarrow{\Delta'} & M^{\times 4} \end{array},$$

iv) the squares are pullback diagrams of fibrations and δ_{in} , δ_{out} are the natural inclusions.

1.3 Definition ([9]- Definition 3) The loop coproduct is the composition of the maps on the top line of the following commutative diagram in the category of graded vector spaces:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
H_*(LM)^{\otimes 2} & = & H_*(LM \times LM) & \xrightarrow{H_*(\delta_{out})} & H_*(LM \times_M LM) & \xrightarrow{\delta_{in}!} & H_*(LM) \\
H_*(p_0) \otimes H_*(p_0) \downarrow & & H_*(p_0 \times p_0) \downarrow & & H_*(p_{\frac{1}{2}}) \downarrow & & \downarrow H_*(p_0, p_{\frac{1}{2}}) \\
H_*(M)^{\otimes 2} & = & H_*(M^{\times 2}) & \xrightarrow{H_*(\Delta)} & H_*(M) & \xrightarrow{\Delta!} & H_*(M^{\times 2})
\end{array}$$

where $\delta_{in}!$ denotes the shriek map of the fibrewise embedding δ_{in} . (See section 2 for a precise definition.)

1.4 Complementary Remark. It is interesting here to compare the definition of the loop product and of the loop coproduct.

To define the loop product one consider the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
LM^{\times 2} & \xleftarrow{\delta_{out}} & LM \times_M LM & \xrightarrow{Comp} & LM \\
p_0 \times p_0 \downarrow & & p_0 \downarrow & & \downarrow p_0 \\
M \times M & \xleftarrow{\Delta} & M & = & M
\end{array}$$

where $Comp$ denotes composition of free loops and δ_{out} is a fibrewise embedding. Following [11], the loop product is defined by

$$H_*(Comp) \circ \delta_{out}! : H_*(LM)^{\otimes 2} = H_*(LM^{\times 2}) \rightarrow H_*(LM).$$

§2 - Shriek maps of a fibrewise embedding

In this section we recall the definition of the shriek map of a finite codimensional embedding, we introduce the notion of fibrewise embedding and define its shriek map. This notion of fibrewise embedding, which is implicit in [11], is very convenient in order to obtain the description of the shriek map at the level of Sullivan models.

2.1 Shriek maps of an ordinary embedding Let $f : N \rightarrow P$ be a smooth embedding of smooth manifolds possibly of infinite dimension and without boundary. Assume that:

- i) the codimension of f is equal to $k < \infty$
- ii) N and P are both connected and the normal fiber bundle of the embedding, denoted by $\nu_f \xrightarrow{\pi} f(M)$, is oriented.

We denote by $\nu_f^D \xrightarrow{\pi^D} f(M)$ (resp. $\nu_f^S \xrightarrow{\pi^S} f(M)$) the disk-bundle (resp. the sphere-bundle) of ν_f . Hereafter $\nu_f^D \xrightarrow{\theta} \text{Tube } f \subset P$ is the classical identification of the normal bundle ν_f^D with a (closed) tube around $f(M)$, so that ν_f^S identifies with the boundary of the tube, $\partial \text{Tube } f$, [14]-4.5 and 4.6, [8]-§2.

In summary, we have the following commutative diagram of graded vector space homomorphisms

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
H^*(P, P - f(N)) & \xrightarrow{j^P} & H^*(P) & & \\
Exc \downarrow \cong & & \downarrow H^*(\text{Inclusion}) & & \\
H^*(\text{Tube } f, \partial \text{Tube } f) & \xrightarrow{j^{\text{Tube } f}} & H^*(\text{Tube } f) & & \\
H^*(\theta) \downarrow \cong & & \cong \downarrow H^*(\theta) & & \\
H^*(\nu_f^D, \nu_f^S) & \xrightarrow{j_f^D} & H^*(\nu_f^D) & \xrightarrow{H^*(\pi^D)} & H^*(f(N)) \xrightarrow{H^*(f)} H^*(N)
\end{array}$$

where Exc denotes the excision isomorphism and $j^X : H^*(X, A) \rightarrow H^*(X)$ the kernel of the homomorphism $H^*(X) \rightarrow H^*(A)$ induced by the inclusion $A \subset X$.

Following [3], [10], [4] one has the following definitions:

- 1) Since $H^k(\nu_f^D, \nu_f^S) = H^k(D^k, S^{k-1})$ (\mathbb{Z} -coefficients) the *Thom class* of the embedding f is the cohomology class

$$\tau_f \in H^k(\nu_f^D, \nu_f^S)$$

whose restriction to the fibres is the orientation class. Hereafter we will also denote by τ_f the Thom class when coefficients are taken in \mathbb{K} .

2) The *Thom isomorphism* is the $H^*(N)$ -module homomorphism

$$H^*(\pi^D \circ f)(-) \cup \tau_f : H^*(N) \rightarrow H^{*+k}(\nu_f^D, \nu_f^S);$$

3) The *cohomology Thom-Pontryagin collapse map*, TP^* , is the $H^*(P)$ -module homomorphisms:

$$H^*(\nu_f^D, \nu_f^S) \xrightarrow{H^*((Exc.\circ\theta)^{-1})} H^*(N, N - f(M)) \xrightarrow{j^P} H_*(P).$$

4) The *absolute Thom class* of the embedding f is the cohomology class

$$\tau_f^P = TP^*(\tau_f) \in H^k(P).$$

5) The *cohomology shriek map* $f^!$ is the $H^*(P)$ -module homomorphism:

$$H^*(N) \xrightarrow{H^*(\pi^D \circ f)(-) \cup \tau_f} H^{*+k}(\nu_f^D, \nu_f^S) \xrightarrow{TP^*} H^{*+k}(P).$$

Here $H^*(N)$ is a $H^*(P)$ -module via $H^*(f)$.

6) Dually, the *homology shriek map* $f_!$ is the $H_*(P)$ -comodule homomorphism:

$$H_*(P) \xrightarrow{TP_*} H_*(\nu_f^D, \nu_f^S) \xrightarrow{H^*(\pi^D) \circ (\tau_f \cap -)} H_{*-k}(N).$$

where the *homology Thom-Pontryagin collapse map* is the homomorphism

$$H_*(P) \xrightarrow{j^P} H_*(P, P - f(N)) \cong H_*(\nu_f^D, \nu_f^S).$$

By definition, $\tau_f^P = f^!(1)$.

2.2 Proposition. *Let $f : N \rightarrow P$ be a smooth embedding of smooth manifolds possibly of infinite dimension and without boundary. Assume that:*

- i) *the codimension of f is equal to $k < \infty$*
- ii) *N and P are both connected and ν_f is oriented.*

If $H^(f) : H^*(N) \rightarrow H^*(P)$ is onto then*

$$f^! : H^*(N) \rightarrow H^{*+k}(P)$$

satisfies

$$f^!(\alpha) = \beta \cup \tau_f^P$$

where $\beta \in H^(P)$ is such that $H^*(f)(\beta) = \alpha$ and τ_f^P denotes the absolute Thom class of f .*

Proof. With notation of §1 let us consider the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} H^*(\nu_f^D, \nu_f^S) & \xleftarrow{\cong} & H^*(P, P - f(N)) & \xrightarrow{j^P} & H^*(P) \\ - \cup \tau_f \uparrow & & \uparrow - \cup \tau_f^{P, P-f(N)} & & \uparrow - \cup \tau_f^P \\ H^*(\nu_f^D) = H^*(N) & \xleftarrow{H^*(f)} & H^*(P) & = & H^*(P) \end{array}$$

where $\tau_f^{P, P-f(N)}$ denotes the image of the Thom class τ_f via the isomorphism $H^*(\nu_f^D, \nu_f^S) \cong H^*(P, P - f(N))$. Now let $\beta, \beta' \in H^*(P)$ be such that $H^*(f)(\beta) = \alpha = H^*(f)(\beta')$. Thus $\beta - \beta' \in \ker H^*(f)$ and then $(\beta - \beta') \cup \tau_f^{P, P-f(N)} = 0$. Therefore $(\beta - \beta') \cup \tau_f^P = 0$.

Another proof of the proposition is obtained by using the fact that $f^!$ is $H^*(P)$ -linear. Indeed since $f^!(H^*(f)(x)y) = xf^!(y)$ and that $H^*(f)$ is onto then $y = H^*(f)(x)1$, $f^!(y) = H^*(f)(x)f^!(1)$ with $f^!(1) = \tau_f^P$. \square

2.3 The diagonal embedding. Let $[M] \in H_m(M)$ be an *orientation class* of M , $[M \times M] \in H^{2m}(M \times M)$ the associated orientation class of $M \times M$.

By [4]-VI-Theorem 12.4, the absolute Thom class of the diagonal embedding $\Delta : M \rightarrow M \times M$ is the cohomology class

$$\tau_\Delta^{M \times M} = \sum_l (-1)^{|\beta_l|} \hat{\beta}_l \otimes \beta_l \in H^m(M \times M) = (H^*(M) \otimes H^*(M))^m$$

where $\{\beta_l\}$ denotes a homogeneous linear basis of $H^*(M)$ and $\{\hat{\beta}_l\}$ its Poincaré dual basis i.e.

$$\langle \hat{\beta}_l, \beta_{l'} \cap [M] \rangle = \delta_{l,l'}.$$

In other words $\tau_\Delta^{M \times M}$ is uniquely defined by :

$$\tau_\Delta^{M \times M} \cap [M \times M] = H_*(\Delta)([M]).$$

It is also called *the diagonal class on M* .

Since the normal bundle ν_Δ is identified with the tangent bundle of M and since the Euler class $e^{\nu_\Delta} \in H^m(M)$ satisfies

$$e^{\nu_\Delta} := H^*(f) \circ (H^*(\pi))^{-1} \circ j^{\nu_\Delta^D}(\tau_\Delta) = H^*(f) \circ (H^*(\pi))^{-1} \circ H^*(\theta) \circ H^*(\text{inclusion})(\tau_\Delta^{M \times M})$$

one recovers the fact that $\langle e^{\nu_\Delta}, [M] \rangle$ is the Euler characteristic of M .

Observe also that the inclusion $M \times M - \Delta M \subset M \times M$ induces the exact sequence

$$\dots \rightarrow H^m(M \times M, M \times M - \Delta M) \rightarrow H^m(M \times M) \rightarrow H^m(M \times M - \Delta M) \rightarrow \dots$$

and that the diagonal class $\tau_\Delta^{M \times M}$ lifts to a class $\tau_\Delta^{M \times M, M \times M - \Delta M} \in H^m(M \times M, M \times M - \Delta M)$ which coincides with τ_Δ via the identification $H^m(M \times M, M \times M - \Delta M) \cong H^*(\nu_\Delta^D, \nu_\Delta^S)$.

Since $H^*(\Delta) : H^*(M \times M) = H^*(M) \otimes H^*(M) \rightarrow H^*(M)$ is the cup product it is a surjective map. Proposition 2.2 then implies that,

$$\Delta^! : H^*(M) \rightarrow H^*(M \times M) = H^*(M) \otimes H^*(M)$$

satisfies

$$\Delta^!(\alpha) = \sum_l (-1)^{|\beta_l|} (\alpha \cup \hat{\beta}_l) \otimes \beta_l.$$

We recover the fact that $\Delta^!$ is the *dual of the intersection product*.

2.4 Fibrewise embedding. We define a fibrewise embedding as a pullback diagram of fibrations

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \tilde{N} & \xrightarrow{\tilde{f}} & \tilde{P} \\ q \downarrow & & \downarrow p \\ N & \xrightarrow{f} & P \end{array}$$

where $f : N \rightarrow P$ is a codimension k smooth embedding of smooth manifolds possibly of infinite dimension and definitively without boundary. We define the rank k vector bundle $\tilde{\nu}$ by the pullback diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \tilde{\nu} & \xrightarrow{q'} & \nu \\ \tilde{\pi} \downarrow & & \downarrow \pi \\ \tilde{N} & \xrightarrow{q} & N \end{array}.$$

Its Thom class satisfies :

$$\tilde{\tau}_f = H^*(q)(\tau_f) \in H^*(\tilde{\nu}_f^D, \tilde{\nu}_f^S).$$

Let us also consider

$$\widetilde{Tube} f = p^{-1}(Tube f), \quad \partial \widetilde{Tube} f = p^{-1}(\partial Tube f).$$

so that we obtain the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} H^*(\tilde{P}, \tilde{P} - \tilde{f}(N)) & \xrightarrow{j^{\tilde{P}}} & H^*(\tilde{P}) & & \\ \widetilde{Exc} \downarrow \cong & & \downarrow H^*(\text{Inclusion}) & & \\ H^*(\widetilde{Tube} f, \partial \widetilde{Tube} f) & \xrightarrow{j^{\widetilde{Tube} f}} & H^*(\widetilde{Tube} f) & & \\ H^*(\tilde{\theta}) \downarrow \cong & & \cong \downarrow H^*(\tilde{\theta}) & & \\ H^*(\tilde{\nu}_f^D, \tilde{\nu}_f^S) & \xrightarrow{j^{\tilde{\nu}_f^D}} & H^*(\tilde{\nu}_f^D) & \xrightarrow{H^*(\tilde{\pi}^D)} & H^*(\tilde{f}(N)) \xrightarrow{H^*(\tilde{f})} H^*(\tilde{N}) \end{array}$$

where \widetilde{Exc} denotes the fibrewise excision isomorphism and $\tilde{\theta}$ the quasi-isomorphism induced by universal property from θ .

There, as for the embedding f one can define

- 1) The *Thom isomorphism* as the $H^*(\tilde{N})$ -module homomorphism

$$H^*(\tilde{\pi}^D \circ \tilde{f})(-) \cup \tilde{\tau}_f : H^*(\tilde{N}) \rightarrow H^{*+k}(\tilde{\nu}_f^D, \tilde{\nu}_f^S);$$

- 2) The *cohomology Thom-Pontryagin collapse map*, \widetilde{TP}^* , is the $H^*(\tilde{P})$ -module homomorphisms:

$$H^*(\tilde{\nu}_f^D, \tilde{\nu}_f^S) \xrightarrow{H^*((\widetilde{Exc} \circ \theta)^{-1})} H^*(\tilde{N}, \tilde{N} - \tilde{f}(\tilde{M})) \xrightarrow{j^{\tilde{P}}} H^*(\tilde{P}).$$

- 3) The *cohomology shriek map* $\tilde{f}^!$ is the $H^*(P)$ -module homomorphism:

$$H^*(\tilde{N}) \xrightarrow{H^*(\tilde{\pi}^D \circ \tilde{f})(-) \cup \tilde{\tau}_f} H^{*+k}(\tilde{\nu}_f^D, \tilde{\nu}_f^S) \xrightarrow{\widetilde{TP}^*} H^*(\tilde{P}).$$

In particular,

- a) $\tilde{f}^!(1) = \tilde{\tau}_f^{\tilde{P}}$.
b) If $H^*(f)$ is onto then $\tilde{f}^!(x) = x \cup \tilde{\tau}_f^{\tilde{P}}$.
c) We have the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H^*(\tilde{N}) & \xrightarrow{\tilde{f}^!} & H^*(\tilde{P}) \\ H^*(q) \uparrow & & \uparrow H^*(p) \\ H^*(N) & \xrightarrow{f^!} & H^*(P) \end{array}.$$

- d) The linear map induced by $\tilde{f}^!$ on the cohomology of the fibre is the zero map.

3 Shriek map at the level of Sullivan models.

3.1 Convention, notation. If $V = \{V^i\}_{i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}}$ is a graded \mathbf{k} -vector space sV denotes the suspension of V , $(sV)^n = V^{n+1}$. We denote by $\wedge V$ the free graded commutative algebra generated by V .

A *Sullivan algebra* is a cochain algebra of the form $(\wedge V, d)$. It is minimal if $d(V) \subset \wedge^{\geq 2}(V)$.

Any path connected space X admits a Sullivan model,

$$\mathfrak{M}_X := (\wedge V, d) \xrightarrow{\sim} A_{PL}(X)$$

where A_{PL} denotes the contravariant functor of piecewise-linear differential forms, [12]-§10 and §12. If X is 1-conneted \mathfrak{M}_X can be chosen minimal and in this case it is unique up to isomorphism.

Let X and Y be two path-connected spaces. Any continuous map $f : X \rightarrow Y$ admits a *Sullivan representative* $\mathfrak{M}_f : \mathfrak{M}_Y \rightarrow \mathfrak{M}_X$: there exists a diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A_{PL}(Y) & \xrightarrow{A_{PL}(f)} & A_{PL}(X) \\ \rho_Y \uparrow \simeq & & \simeq \uparrow \rho_X \\ \mathfrak{M}_Y & \xrightarrow{\mathfrak{M}_f} & \mathfrak{M}_X \end{array}$$

such that $\rho_X \circ \mathfrak{M}_f$ and $A_{PL}(f) \circ \rho_Y$ are homotopic homomorphisms of commutative differential graded algebras. Moreover, if $f, g : X \rightarrow Y$ are homotopic then $\mathfrak{M}_f, \mathfrak{M}_g : \mathfrak{M}_Y \rightarrow \mathfrak{M}_X$ are homotopic.

3.2 Relative Sullivan model. The map $f : X \rightarrow Y$ admits also a *relative Sullivan model*, [12]-§14:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A_{PL}(Y) & \xrightarrow{A_{PL}(f)} & A_{PL}(X) \\ \rho_Y \uparrow \simeq & & \simeq \uparrow \rho_X \\ \mathfrak{M}_Y & \xrightarrow{\lambda_f} & \mathfrak{M}_X = (\mathfrak{M}_Y \otimes \wedge W, d) \end{array}$$

where λ_f denotes the natural inclusion of \mathfrak{M}_Y into $\mathfrak{M}_Y \otimes \wedge W$ and d satisfies some nilpotent condition. The relative Sullivan model of f is unique up to isomorphism. This is a cofibrant replacement of $A_{PL}(f)$ or of any Sullivan representative \mathfrak{M}_f of f . In particular, the pullback diagram in the category of topological spaces where p is a fibration :

$$\begin{array}{ccc} f^*E & \xrightarrow{f'} & E \\ p' \downarrow & & \downarrow p \\ B' & \xrightarrow{f} & B \end{array} .$$

gives rise to the pushout diagram in the category of commutative differential graded algebras

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathfrak{M}_B & \xrightarrow{\mathfrak{M}_f} & \mathfrak{M}_{B'} \\ \lambda_p \uparrow & & \uparrow \lambda_{p'} \\ \mathfrak{M}_E = (\mathfrak{M}_B \otimes \wedge W, d) & \xrightarrow{\mathfrak{M}_{f'}} & (\mathfrak{M}_{B'} \otimes \wedge W, d') = \mathfrak{M}_{E'} \end{array}$$

where $d' = \varphi \circ d \circ \varphi^{-1}$ and φ denotes the canonical isomorphism of commutative graded algebras

$$\mathfrak{M}_{B'} \otimes_{\mathfrak{M}_B} (\mathfrak{M}_B \otimes \wedge W) \xrightarrow{\varphi} \mathfrak{M}_{B'} \otimes \wedge W, \quad a \otimes b \otimes c \mapsto a \mathfrak{M}_f(b) \otimes c.$$

3.3 Homology representative of $\tilde{f}^!$. Let

$$(5) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \tilde{N} & \xrightarrow{\tilde{f}} & \tilde{P} \\ q \downarrow & & \downarrow p \\ N & \xrightarrow{f} & P \end{array}$$

be a fibrewise embedding as defined in 2.4. As just recalled, diagram (5) converts to the pushout diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathfrak{M}_P & \xrightarrow{\mathfrak{M}_f} & \mathfrak{M}_N \\ \lambda_p \downarrow & & \downarrow \lambda_q \\ \mathfrak{M}_{\tilde{P}} = (\mathfrak{M}_P \otimes \wedge W, d) & \xrightarrow{\mathfrak{M}_{\tilde{f}}} & (\mathfrak{M}_N \otimes \wedge W, d') = \mathfrak{M}_{\tilde{N}} \end{array}$$

A homology representative of $\tilde{f}^!$ is a commutative diagram of linear maps

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathfrak{M}_P & \xleftarrow{\mathfrak{M}_f^!} & \mathfrak{M}_N \\ \lambda_p \downarrow & & \downarrow \lambda_q \\ \mathfrak{M}_{\tilde{P}} = (\mathfrak{M}_P \otimes \wedge W, d) & \xleftarrow{\mathfrak{M}_{\tilde{f}}^!} & (\mathfrak{M}_N \otimes \wedge W, d') = \mathfrak{M}_{\tilde{N}} \end{array}$$

such that $H(\mathfrak{M}_f^!) = f^!$ and $H(\mathfrak{M}_{\tilde{f}}^!) = \tilde{f}^!$.

3.4. Let T_f^p (resp. $\tilde{T}_{\tilde{f}}^{\tilde{P}}$) be a degree m cocycle in \mathfrak{M}_P (resp. $\mathfrak{M}_{\tilde{P}}$) representing the absolute Thom class τ_f^P (resp. $\tilde{\tau}_{\tilde{f}}^{\tilde{P}}$).

It results from 2.2 that if $H^*(f) : H^*(P) \rightarrow H^*(N)$ and $\mathfrak{M}_f : \mathfrak{M}_P \rightarrow \mathfrak{M}_N$ are surjective maps then one can choose $\mathfrak{M}_f^! : \mathfrak{M}_N \rightarrow \mathfrak{M}_P$ such that

$$\mathfrak{M}_f^!(x) = y T_f^P, \quad x = \mathfrak{M}_f(y) \in \mathfrak{M}_N.$$

We deduce from 2.4 that if $H^*(\tilde{f}) : H^*(\tilde{P}) \rightarrow H^*(\tilde{N})$ and $\mathfrak{M}_{\tilde{f}} : \mathfrak{M}_{\tilde{P}} \rightarrow \mathfrak{M}_{\tilde{N}}$ are surjective maps one can choose $\mathfrak{M}_{\tilde{f}}^! : \mathfrak{M}_{\tilde{N}} \rightarrow \mathfrak{M}_{\tilde{P}}$ such that

$$\mathfrak{M}_{\tilde{f}}^!(x) = y \tilde{T}_{\tilde{f}}^{\tilde{P}}, \quad x = \mathfrak{M}_{\tilde{f}}(y) \in \mathfrak{M}_{\tilde{N}}.$$

§4 - The dual of the loop coproduct in terms of Sullivan models

In this section we specialize the construction of section 2 in order to describe the dual of the loop coproduct

$$\Phi^\vee := \delta_{in}^! \circ H^*(\delta_{out}) : H^*(LM) \otimes H^*(LM) \rightarrow H^{*+m}(LM)$$

in terms of Sullivan models. For this purpose we convert diagram of fibrations 1.2-(3) into a diagram of relative Sullivan models.

4.1 Relative Sullivan model for $p_0 : \mathbf{LM} \rightarrow \mathbf{M}$. Let $\mathfrak{M}_M = (\wedge V, d)$ be a minimal model of M . As described in [12]-§15-Example 1,

$$\mathfrak{M}_M^{\otimes 2} = (\wedge V, d) \otimes (\wedge V, d) \xrightarrow{\lambda_{p_0 \times p_1}} (\wedge V \otimes \wedge V \otimes \wedge \bar{V}, d) = \mathfrak{M}_{M^I \times M^I}$$

is a relative Sullivan model fibration

$$p_0 \times p_1 : M^I \rightarrow M \times M$$

$$\text{where } \begin{cases} d(v \otimes 1 \otimes 1) &= dv \otimes 1 \otimes \bar{1} \\ d(1 \otimes v \otimes \bar{1}) &= 1 \otimes dv \otimes \bar{1} \\ d(1 \otimes 1 \otimes \bar{v}) &= (v \otimes 1 - 1 \otimes v) \otimes \bar{1} - \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{(sd)^i}{i!} (v \otimes 1 \otimes \bar{1}) \end{cases}, \quad v \in V, \bar{v} \in \bar{V}$$

and s being the unique degree -1 derivation of $\wedge V \otimes \wedge V \otimes \wedge \bar{V}$ defined by

$$\begin{cases} s(v \otimes 1 \otimes \bar{1}) = 1 \otimes 1 \otimes \bar{v} = s(1 \otimes v \otimes \bar{1}) \\ s(1 \otimes 1 \otimes \bar{v}) = 0 \end{cases}, \quad v \in V, \bar{v} \in \bar{V}.$$

We denote by 1 (resp. $\bar{1}$) the unit of $\wedge V$ (resp. $\wedge \bar{V}$).

Since multiplication $\mu : (\wedge V, d) \otimes (\wedge V, d) \rightarrow \wedge V, d$ is a Sullivan representative of Δ the pullback diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} LM & \rightarrow & M^I \\ p_0 \downarrow & & \downarrow p_0 \times p_1 \\ M & \xrightarrow{\Delta} & M^{\times 2} \end{array}$$

yields the pushout diagram in the category of commutative differential graded algebras

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (\wedge V, d) \otimes (\wedge V, d) & \xrightarrow{\mu} & (\wedge V, d) \\ \lambda_{p_0 \times p_1} \downarrow & & \downarrow \lambda_{p_0} \\ (\wedge V \otimes \wedge V \otimes \wedge \bar{V}, d) & \xrightarrow{\mu \otimes id} & (\wedge V \otimes \wedge \bar{V}, \bar{d}) \end{array}$$

The relative Sullivan model for $p_0 : LM \rightarrow M$ is

$$\lambda_{p_0} : \mathfrak{M}_M = (\wedge V, d) \hookrightarrow (\wedge V \otimes \wedge \bar{V}, d) = \mathfrak{M}_{LM}.$$

where $\begin{cases} d(1 \otimes v) = dv \otimes \bar{1} \\ d(1 \otimes \bar{v}) = -s(dv \otimes \bar{1}) \end{cases}$ and s being the unique degree -1 derivation of $\wedge V \otimes \wedge \bar{V}$ defined by $\begin{cases} s(v \otimes \bar{1}) = 1 \otimes \bar{v} \\ s(1 \otimes \bar{v}) = 0 \end{cases}$, $v \in V, \bar{v} \in \bar{V}$.

4.2 Relative Sullivan model for $(p_0, p_{\frac{1}{2}}) : LM \rightarrow M \times M$. The diagram 1.1-(4) converts into the pushout diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (\wedge V, d)^{\otimes 2} \otimes (\wedge V, d)^{\otimes 2} & \xrightarrow{\mathfrak{M}_{\Delta'}} & (\wedge V, d) \otimes (\wedge V, d) \\ \lambda_{p_0 \times p_{\frac{1}{2}}} \otimes \lambda_{p_{\frac{1}{2}} \times p_1} \downarrow & & \downarrow \lambda_{p_0 \times p_{\frac{1}{2}}} \\ (\wedge V \otimes \wedge V \otimes \wedge \bar{V}, d) \otimes (\wedge V \otimes \wedge V \otimes \wedge \bar{V}, d) & \xrightarrow{\mathfrak{M}_{s'}} & (\wedge V \otimes \wedge V \otimes \wedge \bar{V} \otimes \wedge \bar{V}, d') \end{array}$$

where $\mathfrak{M}_{\Delta'}(a \otimes b \otimes a' \otimes b') = (-1)^{|b'|(|a'|+|b|)} ab' \otimes ba'$.

A relative Sullivan model for $(p_0, p_{\frac{1}{2}}) : LM \rightarrow M \times M$ is

$$(\mathfrak{M}_M)^{\otimes 2} = \lambda_{p_0 \times p_{\frac{1}{2}}} : (\wedge V, d) \otimes (\wedge V, d) \hookrightarrow (\wedge V \otimes \wedge V \otimes \wedge \bar{V} \otimes \wedge \bar{V}, d') := \mathfrak{M}'_{LM},$$

where

$$\begin{cases} v \otimes 1 \mapsto v \otimes 1 \otimes \bar{1} \otimes \bar{1} \\ 1 \otimes v \mapsto 1 \otimes v \otimes \bar{1} \otimes \bar{1} \end{cases},$$

$$\begin{cases} d'(v \otimes 1 \otimes \bar{1} \otimes \bar{1}) = dv \otimes 1 \otimes \bar{1} \otimes \bar{1} \\ d'(1 \otimes v \otimes \bar{1} \otimes \bar{1}) = 1 \otimes dv \otimes \bar{1} \otimes \bar{1} \\ d'(1 \otimes 1 \otimes \bar{v} \otimes \bar{1}) = (v \otimes 1 - 1 \otimes v) \otimes \bar{1} \otimes \bar{1} - \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{(sd')^n}{n!} (v \otimes 1 \otimes \bar{1} \otimes \bar{1}) \\ d'(1 \otimes 1 \otimes \bar{1} \otimes \bar{v}) = (1 \otimes v - v \otimes 1) \otimes \bar{1} \otimes \bar{1} - \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{(sd')^n}{n!} (1 \otimes v \otimes \bar{1} \otimes \bar{1}) \end{cases}$$

and s' being the unique degree -1 derivation of $\wedge V \otimes \wedge V' \otimes \wedge \bar{V} \otimes \wedge \bar{V}'$ defined by

$$\begin{cases} s'(v \otimes 1 \otimes \bar{1} \otimes \bar{1}) = 1 \otimes 1 \otimes \bar{v} \otimes \bar{1} \\ s'(1 \otimes v \otimes \bar{1} \otimes \bar{1}) = 1 \otimes 1 \otimes \bar{1} \otimes \bar{v} \\ s'(1 \otimes 1 \otimes \bar{v} \otimes \bar{1}) = 0 \\ s'(1 \otimes 1 \otimes \bar{1} \otimes \bar{v}) = 0 \end{cases}, \quad v \in V, \bar{v} \in \bar{V}.$$

Observe here that formulae

$$\begin{cases} v \otimes 1 \otimes \bar{1} \otimes \bar{1} \mapsto (v \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes v) \otimes \bar{1} \otimes \bar{1} \\ 1 \otimes v \otimes \bar{1} \otimes \bar{1} \mapsto (v \otimes 1 - 1 \otimes v) \otimes \bar{1} \otimes \bar{1} \\ 1 \otimes 1 \otimes \bar{v} \otimes \bar{1} \mapsto 1 \otimes 1 \otimes (\bar{v} \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes \bar{v}) \\ 1 \otimes 1 \otimes \bar{1} \otimes \bar{v} \mapsto 1 \otimes 1 \otimes (\bar{v} \otimes 1 - 1 \otimes \bar{v}) \end{cases}, \quad v \in V, \bar{v} \in \bar{V}$$

define an automorphism of commutative graded algebras of $\wedge V \otimes \wedge V \otimes \wedge \bar{V} \otimes \wedge \bar{V}$. This automorphism defines the differential graded algebra $(\wedge V \otimes \wedge V \otimes \wedge \bar{V} \otimes \wedge \bar{V}, d)$ as the tensor

product of \mathfrak{M}_{LM} with an acyclic commutative differential graded algebra. Therefore the surjective homomorphism of differential graded algebras

$$\rho : \mathfrak{M}'_{LM} = (\wedge V \otimes \wedge V \otimes \wedge \bar{V} \otimes \wedge \bar{V}, d') \rightarrow (\wedge V \otimes \wedge \bar{V}, d) = \mathfrak{M}_{LM}$$

uniquely defined by formulae

$$\begin{cases} v \otimes 1 \otimes \bar{1} \otimes \bar{1} \mapsto v \otimes \bar{1} \\ 1 \otimes v \otimes \bar{1} \otimes \bar{1} \mapsto v \otimes \bar{1} \\ 1 \otimes 1 \otimes \bar{v} \otimes \bar{1} \mapsto 1 \otimes \bar{v} \\ 1 \otimes 1 \otimes \bar{1} \otimes \bar{v} \mapsto 1 \otimes \bar{v} \end{cases} \quad v \in V, \bar{v} \in \bar{V},$$

is a quasi-isomorphism. ($\rho = \mu \otimes \bar{\mu}$ when μ (resp. $\bar{\mu}$) is the product of $\wedge V$ (resp. of $\wedge \bar{V}$).)

4.4 Relative Sullivan model for $p_0 : LM \times_M LM \rightarrow M$. The pullback diagram of fibrations

$$\begin{array}{ccc} LM \times_M LM & \xrightarrow{\delta_{out}} & LM \times LM \\ p_0 \downarrow & & \downarrow p_0 \times p_0 \\ M & \xrightarrow{\Delta} & M^{\times 2} \end{array}$$

converts to the pushout diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (\wedge V, d) \otimes (\wedge V, d) & \xrightarrow{\mu} & (\wedge V, d) \\ \lambda_{p_0 \times p_0} \downarrow & & \downarrow \lambda_{p_0} \\ \mathfrak{M}_{LM \times LM} = (\wedge V \otimes \wedge V \otimes \wedge \bar{V} \otimes \wedge \bar{V}, d) & \xrightarrow{\mu \otimes id \otimes id} & (\wedge V \otimes \wedge \bar{V} \otimes \bar{V}', d) = \mathfrak{M}_{LM \times_M LM} \end{array}$$

where

$$\begin{cases} d(v \otimes \bar{1} \otimes \bar{1}) &= dv \otimes \bar{1} \otimes \bar{1} \\ d(1 \otimes \bar{v} \otimes \bar{1}) &= -s dv \otimes \bar{1} \\ d(1 \otimes \bar{1} \otimes \bar{v}) &= -s' dv \end{cases}$$

Here s and s' are the unique degree -1 derivations of $\wedge V \otimes \wedge \bar{V} \otimes \wedge \bar{V}'$ defined by

$$\begin{cases} s(v \otimes \bar{1} \otimes \bar{1}) &= 1 \otimes \bar{v} \otimes \bar{1} \\ s'(v \otimes \bar{1} \otimes \bar{1}) &= 1 \otimes \bar{1} \otimes \bar{v} \\ s(1 \otimes \bar{v} \otimes \bar{1}) &= 0 = s'(1 \otimes \bar{v} \otimes \bar{1}) \\ s(1 \otimes \bar{1} \otimes \bar{v}) &= 0 = s'(1 \otimes \bar{1} \otimes \bar{v}) \end{cases} \quad v \in V, \bar{v} \in \bar{V}.$$

A relative Sullivan model for $p_0 : LM \times_M LM \rightarrow M$ is

$$\lambda_{p_0} : \mathfrak{M}_M = (\wedge V, d) \hookrightarrow (\wedge V \otimes \wedge \bar{V} \otimes \bar{V}', d) = \mathfrak{M}_{LM \times_M LM}$$

and

$$\mathfrak{M}_{\delta_{out}} = \mu \otimes id \otimes id : \mathfrak{M}_{LM \times LM} = (\wedge V \otimes \wedge V \otimes \wedge \bar{V} \otimes \wedge \bar{V}, d) \longrightarrow (\wedge V \otimes \wedge \bar{V} \otimes \bar{V}', d) = \mathfrak{M}_{LM \times_M LM}.$$

4.5 Explicit description of the dual coproduct. The pullback diagram of fibrations

$$\begin{array}{ccc} LM \times_M LM & \xrightarrow{\delta_{in}} & LM \\ p_0 \downarrow & & \downarrow p_0 \times p_{\frac{1}{2}} \\ M & \xrightarrow{\Delta} & M^{\times 2} \end{array}$$

converts to the pushout diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (\wedge V, d) \otimes (\wedge V, d) & \xrightarrow{\mu} & (\wedge V, d) \\ \lambda_{p_0 \times p_{\frac{1}{2}}} \downarrow & & \downarrow \lambda_{p_0} \\ \mathfrak{M}'_{LM} = (\wedge V \otimes \wedge V \otimes \wedge \bar{V} \otimes \wedge \bar{V}, d') & \xrightarrow{\mathfrak{M}_{\delta_{in}}} & (\wedge V \otimes \wedge \bar{V} \otimes \bar{V}', d) = \mathfrak{M}_{LM \times_M LM} \end{array}.$$

Thus

$$\mathfrak{M}_{\delta_{in}} = \mu \otimes id \otimes id.$$

Let b_l (resp. \hat{b}_l) be a cocycle of $(\wedge V, d)$ representing $\beta_l \in H^*(\wedge V, d) = H^*(M)$ (resp. $\hat{\beta}_l \in H^*(\wedge V, d) = H^*(M)$) as defined in 2.3.

It results from 2.3 and 2.4 that

$$\tau_{\Delta}^{M \times M} = [\sum_l (-1)^{|b_l|} \hat{b}_l \otimes b_l] \in H^m(M \times M)$$

and

$$\tilde{\tau}_{\Delta}^{LM \times_M LM} = [\lambda_{p_0 \times p_{\frac{1}{2}}} (\sum_l (-1)^{|b_l|} \hat{b}_l \otimes b_l)] = [\sum_l (-1)^{|b_l|} \hat{b}_l \otimes b_l \otimes 1 \otimes 1].$$

Now 3.4 implies that one can choose

$$\mathfrak{M}_{\delta_{in}}^! : \mathfrak{M}_{LM \times_M LM} = (\wedge V \otimes \wedge \bar{V} \otimes \wedge \bar{V}, d) \rightarrow (\wedge V \otimes \wedge V \otimes \wedge \bar{V} \otimes \wedge \bar{V}, d) = \mathfrak{M}'_{LM}$$

such that

$$\mathfrak{M}_{\delta_{in}}^! (a \otimes \bar{x} \otimes \bar{y}) = \left(\frac{1}{2} (a \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes a) \otimes \bar{x} \otimes \bar{y} \right) \sum_l (-1)^{|b_l|} \hat{b}_l \otimes b_l \otimes \bar{1} \otimes \bar{1}.$$

Finally, the dual of the loop coproduct

$$\Phi^{\vee} := \delta_{in}^! \circ H^*(\delta_{out}) : H^*(LM) \otimes H^*(LM) \rightarrow H^{*+m}(LM)$$

is induced by the map

$$\mathfrak{M}_{\delta_{in}}^! \circ \mathfrak{M}_{\delta_{out}} : \mathfrak{M}_{LM \times LM} \rightarrow \mathfrak{M}'_{LM},$$

or equivalently by the composition

$$\mathfrak{M}_{LM}^{\otimes 2} \cong \mathfrak{M}_{LM \times LM} \xrightarrow{\mathfrak{M}_{\delta_{in}}^! \circ \mathfrak{M}_{\delta_{out}}} \mathfrak{M}'_{LM} \xrightarrow{\rho} \mathfrak{M}_{LM}.$$

4.6 Example $M = \mathbb{C}P^n$. One knows that $\mathfrak{M}_{\mathbb{C}P^n} = (\wedge(x, y), d)$ with $|x| = 2$, $dy = x^{n+1}$ and that $H^*(\mathbb{C}P^n) = \wedge x / (x^{n+1})$. Moreover there is a quasi-isomorphism $(\wedge(x, y), d) \xrightarrow{\cong} (\wedge x / (x^{n+1}), 0) = \bar{\mathfrak{M}}_{\mathbb{C}P^n}$. (The space $\mathbb{C}P^n$ is called rationally formal.) For simplicity we will replace $\mathfrak{M}_{\mathbb{C}P^n}$ by $\bar{\mathfrak{M}}_{\mathbb{C}P^n}$ so that we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\mathfrak{M}}_{LM} &= (\wedge x / (x^{n+1}) \otimes \wedge(\bar{x}, \bar{y}), \bar{d}) \\ \bar{\mathfrak{M}}_{LM \times_M LM} &= (\wedge x / (x^{n+1}) \otimes \wedge(\bar{x}, \bar{y}) \otimes \wedge(\bar{x}, \bar{y}), \bar{d}) \\ \bar{\mathfrak{M}}'_{LM} &= (\wedge x / (x^{n+1}) \otimes \wedge x / (x^{n+1}) \otimes \wedge(\bar{x}, \bar{y}) \otimes \wedge(\bar{x}, \bar{y}), \bar{d}') \\ \bar{\mathfrak{M}}_{\delta_{in}}^! (x^k \otimes \bar{u} \otimes \bar{v}) &= \frac{1}{2} \left(\sum_{i=0}^n x^i + k \otimes x^{n-i} + x^i \otimes x^{n-i+k} \right) \otimes \bar{u} \otimes \bar{v} \\ \bar{\mathfrak{M}}_{\delta_{out}} (x^k \otimes x^l \otimes \bar{u} \otimes \bar{v}) &= x^{k+l} \otimes \bar{u} \otimes \bar{v} \\ \bar{\rho} \circ \bar{\mathfrak{M}}_{\delta_{in}}^! \circ \bar{\mathfrak{M}}_{\delta_{out}} (x^k \otimes x^l \otimes \bar{x}^{\epsilon} \bar{y}^s \otimes \bar{x}^{\epsilon'} \bar{y}^{s'}) &= \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } k+l > 0 \\ x^n \otimes \bar{x}^{\epsilon+\epsilon'} \bar{y}^{s+s'} & \text{if } k=l=0 \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Where ϵ and ϵ' is 0 or 1. Since,

$$\{1, [x \otimes \bar{y}^s], [x^2 \otimes \bar{y}^s], \dots, [x^n \otimes \bar{y}^s], [x \otimes \bar{x} \bar{y}^s], \dots, [x^{n-1} \otimes \bar{x} \bar{y}^s]\}_{s \geq 0}$$

is a linear basis of $H^*(LM)$ we have obtained an explicit formula for Φ^\vee in this basis.

Let us denote by $\theta : \mathfrak{M}_{LM} \rightarrow \mathfrak{M}_{LM} \otimes \mathfrak{M}_{LM}$ a map inducing the dual product. From the formula obtained for θ in [13]-3 (see also [11]). One deduces that

$$\begin{aligned} \theta \circ \bar{\rho} \circ \bar{\mathfrak{M}}_{\delta_{in}}^! \circ \bar{\mathfrak{M}}_{\delta_{out}}(x^k \otimes x^l \otimes \bar{x}^\epsilon \bar{y}^s \otimes \bar{x}^{\epsilon'} \bar{y}^{s'}) \\ = \begin{cases} (1 \otimes \bar{x} + \bar{x} \otimes 1) \sum_{j=0}^{s+s'} \binom{s+s'}{j} x^n \otimes \bar{y}^i \otimes x^n \otimes \bar{y}^{s+s'-j} & \text{if } \epsilon + \epsilon' = 1 \\ = \sum_{j=0}^{s+s'} \binom{s+s'}{j} x^n \otimes \bar{y}^i \otimes x^n \otimes \bar{y}^{s+s'-j} & \text{if } \epsilon = \epsilon' = 0. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

This proves in particular that the composition $\Phi \circ \text{loop}$ product is not trivial.

4.7 - Proof of theorem 2. Let us denote by $\wedge V \otimes \wedge^p \bar{V}$ the subvector space of $\wedge V \otimes \wedge \bar{V}$ generated by the words of length p in \bar{V} . The differential of $\mathfrak{M}_{LM} = (\wedge V \otimes \wedge \bar{V}, d)$ satisfies

$$d(\wedge V \otimes \wedge^p V) \subset \wedge V \otimes \wedge^p V.$$

It results from [18]-Theorem 3.3 that

$$H_{(i)}^n(LM) = H^n(\wedge V \otimes \wedge^i V, d).$$

The proof of theorem 2 is completed when one checks that the maps $\mathfrak{M}_{\delta_{in}}^!$, $\mathfrak{M}_{\delta_{out}}$, and ρ introduced in 4.4 respect the word length in \bar{V} . □

References

- [1] L. Abrams *Two dimensional topological quantum field theory and Frobenius algebras*, J. Knot theory ramifications **5** (1996) 569-587.
- [2] L. Abrams *Modules, comodules and cotensor products over Frobenius algebras*, Preprint Homepage Rutgers University.
- [3] M.F. Atiyah, *Thom complexes*, Proc. London Math. Soc. **3** (1961) 1-310.
- [4] G.E. Bredon *Topology and geometry* Graduate Texts in Mathematics 139, Springer-Verlag, 1993.
- [5] D. Burghlea and M. Vigué *A model for cyclic homology and algebraic K-theory for 1-connected topological space*, J. of differential Geometry **22** (1985) 243-253.
- [6] M. Chas and D. Sullivan, *String topology*, preprint math.GT/9911159 To appear in Annals of Math.
- [7] M. Chas and D. Sullivan, *Closed String Operators in topology leading to Lie bialgebra and higher string algebra*, preprint math.GT/9911159
- [8] D. Chataur, *A bordism approach to string topology*, preprint, math. AT/0306080.
- [9] R.L. Cohen and V. Godin *A Polarized view of string topology*, math.AT/03030003.
- [10] R.L. Cohen, J.D.S. Jones, *A Homotopy theoretic realization of string topology*, Math. Ann. **324** (2002) 773-798.

- [11] R.L. Cohen, J.D.S. Jones, and Jun Yan, *The Loop Homology algebra of Spheres and Projective Spaces*. in Categorical Decomposition Techniques in Algebraic Topology. Progress in Mathematics **215** - Birkhäuser-Verlag - 2004
- [12] Y. Felix, S. Halperin and J.-C. Thomas, *Rational homotopy theory*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics 205, Springer-Verlag, 2000.
- [13] Y. Felix, J.-C. Thomas and M. Vigué-Poirrier, *Rational String homology*, Preprint math AT/0406593.
- [14] S. Lang, *Differential and Riemannian manifolds. Third edition*. Graduate text in mathematics, **160** Springer-Verlag , New York 1995.
- [15] J. Milnor and J.D. Stasheff, *Characteristic classes*, Annals of Math. Studies 76, Princeton University Press, 1974.
- [16] D. Sullivan, *Infinitesimal computations in topology*, Inst. Hautes Etudes Sci. Publ. Math. **47** (1977), 269-331.
- [17] D. Sullivan, *Open and closed string field theory interpreted in classical algebraic topology*, Inst. Hautes Etudes Sci. Publ. Math. **47** (1977), 269-331.
- [18] M. Vigué-Poirrier, *Décomposition de l'homologie des algèbres différentielles graduées commutatives*, K-Theory **4** (1991) 399-410.

david.chataur@univ-angers.fr and jean-claude.thomas@univ-angers.fr
 Département de mathématique
 Faculté des Sciences
 2, Boulevard Lavoisier
 49045 Angers, France .