

EXCHANGEABLE MEASURES FOR SUBSHIFTS.

J. AARONSON, H. NAKADA AND O. SARIG

ABSTRACT. We identify ergodic, invariant measures for the exchangeable relation restricted to a) beta shifts, and b) countable state Markov shifts.

§0 INTRODUCTION

Let $(S, \mathcal{B}(S))$ be a standard measurable space and let K be a countable set. Each finite permutation $\sigma : K \rightarrow K$ induces a *finite coordinate exchange* of S^K :

$$\pi_\sigma : S^K \rightarrow S^K \text{ defined by } \pi_\sigma(x)_\gamma := x_{\sigma(\gamma)}.$$

The *exchangeable relation* restricted to $X \in \mathcal{B}(S^K)$ is

$$\mathcal{E}(X) := \{(x, x') \in X \times X : x' = \pi_\sigma(x) \text{ for some finite permutation } \sigma\}.$$

This exchangeable relation is a *countable, standard equivalence relation* in the sense of [F-M] (i.e. $\mathcal{E}(X) \subset X \times X$ is a Borel set and an equivalence relation with countable equivalence classes).

In this paper, we identify the locally finite, $\mathcal{E}(X)$ -invariant, ergodic measures on X in certain cases where $K = \mathbb{N}$, S is countable and $X \subset S^{\mathbb{N}}$ is a subshift (see the abstract). We are forced to consider infinite measures as well as probabilities by the infinity of the state space S .

By the De Finetti-Hewitt-Savage theorem ([H-S]), the $\mathcal{E}(S^K)$ -invariant, ergodic probabilities on S^K are precisely the symmetric product measures.

The notion of "restricted exchangeability" appears in [Pe-S] where the exchangeable, shift invariant, ergodic probabilities for two-sided, finite state, topological Markov shifts were identified ($K = \mathbb{Z}$, S finite and $X \subset S^{\mathbb{Z}}$ a Markov subshift). The exchangeable, ergodic probabilities for one-sided, finite state, topological Markov shifts were identified in [ANSS1].

An extensive bibliography of work in the subject is provided in [Pe-S]. As far as we know nothing is known concerning the multidimensional subshift cases, e.g. $K = \mathbb{Z}^d$ ($d \geq 2$), S finite and $X \subset S^{\mathbb{Z}^d}$ a Markov subshift.

An overview of the paper. Let $X \subset S^{\mathbb{N}}$ be a subshift, and let $T : X \rightarrow X$ be the shift. As will be checked below, if μ is forward non-singular and has a zero memory derivative (i.e. of form $\frac{d\mu \circ T}{d\mu}(x_1, x_2, \dots) = D(x_1)$), then μ is $\mathcal{E}(X)$ -invariant. This property often entails a special form. For example, in case $X = S^{\mathbb{N}}$, any probability measure having zero memory derivative is a product probability measure.

We prove that for certain subshifts X , all recurrent, $\mathcal{E}(X)$ -invariant, ergodic probability measures are forward non-singular and with zero memory derivative (theorems 4.3 and 5.6). This is done by showing that the given $\mathcal{E}(X)$ -invariant, ergodic measure is the restriction of a Maharam measure, invariant for an appropriate skew-product.

In §1 and §2, we review some of the concepts basic to our methods (and this overview): equivalence relations, cocycles, skew products, conformal and Maharam measures and fibred systems.

In §3 and §4, we identify ergodic, locally finite, exchangeable measures for a mixing topological Markov shift (TMS) with countable state space. The non-ephemeral, ergodic, exchangeable measures have similar form to those in the finite state space case (see [ANSS1]) although they may be infinite. There are also non-atomic ephemeral, ergodic, exchangeable measures.

In §5 and §6, we identify the non-atomic, ergodic, exchangeable measures for β -shifts. These are all conformal.

§1 EQUIVALENCE RELATIONS AND CONFORMAL MEASURES

Equivalence relations. Let \mathcal{R} be a countable, standard equivalence relation on the standard Borel space X . By theorem 1 in [F-M], there is a countable group Γ of measurable, invertible transformations of X so that

$$\mathcal{R} = \mathcal{R}_\Gamma := \{(x, Rx) : R \in \Gamma, x \in X\}.$$

Recall from [F-M] that a set $A \subset X$ is \mathcal{R} -invariant (or \mathcal{R} -saturated) if $\mathcal{R}_x := \{y \in X : (x, y) \in \mathcal{R}\} \subset A \forall x \in A$. Let $\mathfrak{I}(\mathcal{R})$ denote the collection of \mathcal{R} -invariant, measurable sets.

If X is equipped with a topology (generating its measurable structure), we call \mathcal{R} *topologically transitive* if $\exists x \in X$ with $\overline{\mathcal{R}_x} = X$, equivalently if $U \in \mathfrak{I}(\mathcal{R})$ has non-empty interior, then $\overline{U} = X$.

Measures. The collections of probabilities, and σ -finite measures on a standard measurable space X are denoted $\mathcal{P}(X)$ and $\mathfrak{M}(X)$ (respectively). If $\alpha \subset \mathcal{B}(X)$ is a countable partition, we set $\mathfrak{M}_\alpha(X) := \{\mu \in \mathfrak{M}(X) : \mu(A) < \infty \forall A \in \alpha\}$ and call these measures α - σ -finite. If X is equipped with a topology (generating its measurable structure), we call $\mu \in \mathfrak{M}(X)$:

- *locally finite* (on X) if there is a countable cover of X by open sets, each with finite μ -measure, and
- *topologically σ -finite* if it is locally finite on some Borel subset of full μ -measure.

A *mixture of measures* is a measure $\mu \in \mathfrak{M}(X)$ of form

$$\mu(B) = \int_{\Omega} \mu_{\omega}(B) d\nu(\omega) \forall B \in \mathcal{B}(X)$$

where $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \nu)$ is a σ -finite measure space, $\mu_{\omega} \in \mathfrak{M}(X) \forall \omega \in \Omega$ and $\omega \mapsto \mu_{\omega}$ is measurable $(\Omega \rightarrow \mathfrak{M}(X))$ in the sense that $\mu_{\omega}(A)$ is measurable $\forall A \in \mathcal{B}(X)$.

The mixture is called *finite* or *infinite* according to whether $\nu(\Omega) < \infty$ or $\nu(\Omega) = \infty$, and an *average* if $\nu(\Omega) = 1$. Note that an average of finite measures could also be an infinite mixture of probabilities (see the remark after proposition 2.2). The measures $\{\mu_\omega : \omega \in \Omega\}$ are called *components* of (the decomposition of) μ (note that components are only specified up to ν -measure zero).

A measure μ on X is \mathcal{R} -non-singular if $A \in \mathcal{B}$, $\mu(A) = 0 \Rightarrow \mu(\mathcal{R}(A)) = 0$ where $\mathcal{R}(A) := \bigcup_{x \in A} \mathcal{R}_x$, and is \mathcal{R} -ergodic if $\mathfrak{I}(\mathcal{R}) \stackrel{\mu}{=} \{\emptyset, X\}$.

If X is equipped with a topology (generating its measurable structure), and there is a globally supported, \mathcal{R} -ergodic measure, then \mathcal{R} is topologically transitive.

A measure μ is \mathcal{R} -invariant if $\mu \circ R = \mu \forall R \in \Gamma$ where $\mathcal{R} = \mathcal{R}_\Gamma$ (this is independent of the choice of Γ).

By the ergodic decomposition in [G-S], any \mathcal{R} -invariant $\mu \in \mathfrak{M}(X)$ is an average of \mathcal{R} -invariant, ergodic, σ -finite measures.

Proposition 1.1. *If $A \in \mathcal{B}$ and $\mu \in \mathfrak{M}(A)$ is $\mathcal{R} \cap (A \times A)$ -invariant and ergodic, then there is a unique $\bar{\mu} \in \mathfrak{M}(X)$, \mathcal{R} -invariant and ergodic, such that $\bar{\mu}|_A \equiv \mu$.*

Proof. Let $\mathcal{R} = \mathcal{R}_\Gamma$. There are $\gamma_n \in \Gamma$ ($n \geq 1$) and $A_n \in \mathcal{B}(A)$ so that $\bigcup_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \gamma A = \biguplus_{n=1}^\infty \gamma_n A_n$. The required measure is

$$(1.0) \quad \bar{\mu}(B) := \sum_{n=1}^\infty \mu(\gamma_n^{-1}(B \cap \gamma_n A_n)).$$

□

Holonomies. A partial Borel isomorphism Φ defined on some $\text{Dom } \Phi \in \mathcal{B}$ with image $\text{Im } \Phi := \Phi(\text{Dom } \Phi) \in \mathcal{B}$ is an \mathcal{R} -holonomy if $(x, \Phi(x)) \in \mathcal{R}$ for any $x \in A$. A collection \mathfrak{C} of \mathcal{R} -holonomies generates \mathcal{R} if for each $(x, y) \in \mathcal{R}$, $\exists \Phi \in \mathfrak{C}$ such that $x \in \text{Dom } \Phi$, $y = \Phi(x)$.

As mentioned above, there is (by theorem 1 of [F-M]) a countable group Γ of measurable, invertible transformations of X so that $\mathcal{R} = \mathcal{R}_\Gamma$. It is sometimes convenient to work with other generating collections of \mathcal{R} -holonomies.

If $A, B \in \mathcal{B}$ we write $A \xrightarrow{\mathcal{R}} B$ if \exists an \mathcal{R} -holonomy Φ with $\text{Dom } \Phi = A$ and $\text{Im } \Phi = B$.

It turns out that a measure μ is \mathcal{R} -invariant if it is invariant under a countable, generating collection of holonomies, and in this case it is invariant under all the holonomies of \mathcal{R} .

Tail relations. For (X, T) a measurable, locally invertible transformation of a measurable space, we consider the *tail relations*

$$\mathfrak{I}(T) := \{(x, y) \in X \times X : \exists k \geq 0, T^k x = T^k y\};$$

$$\mathfrak{G}(T) := \{(x, y) \in X_0 \times X_0 : \exists k, \ell \geq 0, T^k x = T^\ell y\}$$

where $X_0 := \{x \in X : T^{n+k} x \neq T^k x \forall n, k \geq 1\}$. Both $\mathfrak{I}(T)$ and $\mathfrak{G}(T)$ are countable, standard equivalence relations when the underlying measurable space is standard.

Let T be the shift on $\Omega := \{0, 1\}^\mathbb{N}$. As is well known, the unique $\mathfrak{I}(T)$ -invariant, ergodic probability on Ω is $\prod_{\mathbb{N}} \frac{1}{2}(\delta_0 + \delta_1)$. For each $K \subset \mathbb{N}$, let $\Omega_K := \{x \in \Omega : x_i = 0 \forall i \in K\} \cong \{0, 1\}^{\mathbb{N} \setminus K}$. Similarly, the probability $\mu_K := \prod_{\mathbb{N} \setminus K} \frac{1}{2}(\delta_0 + \delta_1) \in$

$\mathcal{P}(\Omega_K)$ is the unique $\mathfrak{T}(T) \cap (\Omega_K \times \Omega_K)$ -invariant, ergodic one. By proposition 1.1, $\exists ! \nu_K \in \mathfrak{M}(\Omega)$, $\mathfrak{T}(T)$ -invariant, ergodic so that $\nu_K|_{\Omega_K} = \mu_K$. Thus there are uncountably many mutually singular, σ -finite, non-atomic, $\mathfrak{T}(T)$ -invariant, ergodic measures on Ω .

Glimm-Effros theorem [E],[Gl]. *Let Γ be a countable group of Borel automorphisms of the standard Borel space X , then either (i) $X = \bigsqcup_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \gamma A$ for some $A \in \mathcal{B}$, or (ii) $\exists A \in \mathcal{B}$ so that $A \cong \{0, 1\}^{\mathbb{N}}$ and $\mathcal{R}_\Gamma \cap A \times A \cong \mathfrak{T}(T)$ where T is the shift on $\{0, 1\}^{\mathbb{N}}$.*

In case (ii) (characterised by the existence of a non-atomic, \mathcal{R}_Γ -ergodic measure) there are (as above) uncountably many mutually singular, σ -finite, non-atomic, Γ -invariant, ergodic measures on X (see also [Schm]).

Cocycle tail subrelations. For \mathbb{G} be an Abelian topological group and $F : X \rightarrow \mathbb{G}$ measurable set $F_k(x) := \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} F(T^i x)$ ($k \geq 1$) and define the *cocycle tail subrelations*

$$\mathfrak{T}(T, F) := \{(x, y) \in X \times X : \exists n \geq 0, T^n x = T^n y, F_n(x) = F_n(y)\};$$

$$\mathfrak{G}(T, F) := \{(x, y) \in X_0 \times X_0 : \exists k, \ell \geq 0, T^k x = T^\ell y, F_k(x) = F_\ell(y)\}.$$

Orbit cocycles. Let \mathbb{G} be an Abelian topological group and let $\mathcal{R} \in \mathcal{B}(X \times X)$ be a equivalence relation with countable equivalence classes. A measurable function $\Psi : \mathcal{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{G}$ is called an (\mathcal{R}) -orbit cocycle if

$$\Psi(x, z) = \Psi(x, y) + \Psi(y, z) \text{ whenever } (x, y), (y, z) \in \mathcal{R}.$$

For $T : X \rightarrow X$ a locally invertible endomorphism without periodic points and $F : X \rightarrow \mathbb{G}$ measurable, define the orbit cocycle $\widehat{F} : \mathfrak{G}(T) \rightarrow \mathbb{G}$ by

$$\widehat{F}(x, y) := F_\ell(y) - F_k(x), \quad T^k x = T^\ell y.$$

Note that

$$\mathfrak{T}(T, F) := \{(x, y) \in \mathfrak{T}(T) : \widehat{F}(x, y) = 0\};$$

$$\mathfrak{G}(T, F) := \{(x, y) \in \mathfrak{G}(T) : \widehat{F}(x, y) = 0\}.$$

Conformal Measures. Given a Borel equivalence relation $\mathcal{R} \in \mathcal{B}(X \times X)$ and an orbit cocycle $\Psi : \mathcal{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, call a measure $\mu \in \mathfrak{M}(X)$ (e^Ψ, \mathcal{R}) -conformal if $\frac{d\mu \circ \kappa}{d\mu}(x) = e^{\Psi(x, \kappa x)}$ a.e. on $\text{Dom } \kappa$ for all holonomies κ .

Let (X, T) be a measurable, locally invertible transformation of a measurable space and let $F : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be measurable. It is not hard to see that a measure $\mu \in \mathfrak{M}(X)$ is $(e^{\widehat{F}}, \mathfrak{G}(T))$ -conformal iff its support is $\mathfrak{G}(T)$ -invariant and $\frac{d\mu \circ T}{d\mu} = e^F$ (which latter property we call (e^F, T) -conformality).

Note that if $\mu \in \mathfrak{M}(X)$ is (ce^F, T) -conformal for some (constant) $c > 0$, then μ is $(e^{\widehat{F}}, \mathfrak{T}(T))$ -conformal. We'll need a converse to this:

Proposition 1.2. *Suppose that $\mu \in \mathfrak{M}(X)$ is $(e^{\widehat{F}}, \mathfrak{T}(T))$ -conformal, $\mathfrak{T}(T)$ -ergodic and $\mathfrak{G}(T)$ -non-singular, then $\exists c > 0$ constant such that $\frac{d\mu \circ T}{d\mu} = ce^F \pmod{\mu}$.*

Proof. By assumption, for any $\mathfrak{T}(T)$ -holonomy K , $\frac{d\mu \circ K}{d\mu}(x) = e^{\widehat{F}(x, Kx)}$. But also,

$$\frac{d\mu \circ K}{d\mu}(x) = e^{\widehat{\log T'}(x, Kx)}.$$

It follows that $\widehat{F} \equiv \widehat{\log T'}$ on $\mathfrak{T}(T)$.

We claim that $h := F - \log T'$ is $\mathfrak{T}(T)$ -invariant, whence constant μ -a.e.

To see this, note first that $\widehat{h} = \widehat{F} - \widehat{\log T'} \equiv 0$ on $\mathfrak{T}(T)$. For $(x, y) \in \mathfrak{T}(T)$, we have that $h_n(x) = h_n(y)$ whenever $T^n x = T^n y$. If $T^n x = T^n y$, then also $T^{n+1}x = T^{n+1}y$ and

$$h(x) = h_{n+1}(x) - h_n(Tx) = h_{n+1}(y) - h_n(Ty) = h(y).$$

Thus $\frac{d\mu \circ T}{d\mu} = ce^F$ for some $c > 0$. \square

The following relates the notions of conformality of a measure and its invariance under cocycle subrelations.

Proposition 1.3. *Let \mathbb{G} be an Abelian topological group and $F : X \rightarrow \mathbb{G}$ measurable. If $\mu \in \mathfrak{M}(X)$ is $\mathfrak{G}(T)$ -non-singular and $\frac{d\mu \circ T}{d\mu} = ce^{H \circ F} \pmod{\mu}$ where $H : \mathbb{G} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a homomorphism and $c > 0$, then μ is $\mathfrak{T}(T, F)$ -invariant.*

The proof of proposition 1.3 is immediate from the definitions. Much of this paper is devoted to its converses.

Skew product relation. Let $\mathcal{R} \in \mathcal{B}(X \times X)$ be an equivalence relation with countable equivalence classes and let $\Psi : \mathcal{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{G}$ be an \mathcal{R} -orbit cocycle. The Ψ -skew product relation is $\mathcal{R}_\Psi \in \mathcal{B}((X \times \mathbb{G})^2)$ defined by

$$\mathcal{R}_\Psi := \{((x, g), (x', g')) \in (X \times \mathbb{G})^2 : (x, x') \in \mathcal{R}, g' = g + \Psi(x, x')\}.$$

Let $R : X \rightarrow X$ be a locally invertible endomorphism and $F : X \rightarrow \mathbb{G}$ be measurable. It can be easily checked that

$$(1.1) \quad \mathfrak{G}(R_F) = \mathfrak{G}(R)_{\widehat{F}}$$

where $R_F : X \times \mathbb{G} \rightarrow X \times \mathbb{G}$ is the skew product transformation defined by $R_F(x, y) := (Rx, y + F(x))$.

Maharam measures. Let $\mathcal{R} \in \mathcal{B}(X \times X)$ be an equivalence relation with countable equivalence classes, let $\Psi : \mathcal{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{G}$ be an \mathcal{R} -orbit cocycle and let $H : \mathbb{G} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a continuous homomorphism. Let $\mu \in \mathfrak{M}(X)$ is $(e^{H \circ \Psi}, \mathcal{R})$ -conformal. The measure $m \in \mathfrak{M}(X \times \mathbb{G})$ defined by

$$dm(x, y) := e^{-H(y)} d\mu(x) dm_{\mathbb{G}}(y)$$

where $m_{\mathbb{G}}$ denotes Haar measure on \mathbb{G} is easily seen to be \mathcal{R}_Ψ -invariant. A measure of this form is called a Maharam measure (after [Ma]).

§2 FIBRED SYSTEMS AND EXCHANGEABILITY

Fibred systems. It is convenient to work with measurable fibred systems. A (measurable) *fibred system* is a triple (X, T, α) where X is a standard measurable space, $T : X \rightarrow X$ is a measurable transformation and $\alpha \subset \mathcal{B}(X)$ is a finite or countable partition such that:

- (1) $\bigvee_{i=0}^{\infty} T^{-i}\alpha$ generates \mathcal{B} ;
- (2) for every $A \in \alpha$, $T|_A : A \rightarrow TA$ is bimeasurable, invertible.

If (X, T, α) is a fibred system, then for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$, (X, T, α_k) and (X, T^k, α_k) are also fibred systems, where

$$\alpha_k := \bigvee_{j=0}^{k-1} T^{-j}\alpha = \left\{ \bigcap_{j=0}^{k-1} T^{-j}a_j, a_0, \dots, a_{k-1} \in \alpha \right\}.$$

The elements of α_k are called *cylinders* (of length k) and are denoted $\bigcap_{j=0}^{k-1} T^{-j}a_j =: [a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{k-1}]$.

If S is countable and $X \subset S^{\mathbb{N}}$ is a subshift, then (X, T, α) is a fibred system where T is the shift and $\alpha := \{a_s : s \in S\}$, $a_s := \{x \in X : x_1 = s\}$. Here we'll abuse notation and denote $a_s = [s]$.

Let Y be a set. The *memory* of a function $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is the minimum $k \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ so that f is α_{k+1} -measurable (i.e. constant on each $a \in \alpha_{k+1}$); and is said to have *infinite memory* if it is not α_k -measurable for any $k \geq 1$.

Let (Y, d) be a metric space. For $N \geq 1$, we say that $\phi : X \rightarrow Y$ is (α, N) -Hölder continuous on $A \subset X$ if $\exists \rho \in (0, 1), M > 0$ such that $x, y \in A \cap \alpha_k \Rightarrow d(\phi(x), \phi(y)) \leq M\rho^k$ for all $k \geq N$.

We call the fibred system (X, T, α) *full* if $TA = X \forall A \in \alpha$ and we call a probability $m \in \mathcal{P}(X)$ a (X, T, α) -*product measure* if

$$m\left(\bigcap_{j=0}^n T^{-j}A_j\right) = \prod_{j=0}^n m(A_j) \quad \forall n \geq 1, A_1, \dots, A_n \in \alpha.$$

Given a fibred system (X, T, α) , we define $\pi : X \rightarrow \alpha^{\mathbb{N}}$ by $T^{n-1}x \in \pi(x)_n \in \alpha$ and consider the subshift $\Sigma(X, T, \alpha) := \overline{\pi(X)} \subset \alpha^{\mathbb{N}}$.

The map $\pi : X \rightarrow \alpha^{\mathbb{N}}$ is injective, and we denote $x = (a_0, a_1, \dots)$ where $\pi(x) = (a_0, a_1, \dots)$. Eventually periodic points of form

$$p = (a_0, a_1, \dots, a_n, b_1, \dots, b_k, b_1, \dots, b_k, \dots)$$

are denoted $p = (a_0, a_1, \dots, a_n, \overline{b_1, \dots, b_k})$.

For a fibred system (X, T, α) , let

$$\mathcal{E}(X, T, \alpha) := (\pi \times \pi)^{-1}\mathcal{E}(\Sigma(X, T, \alpha)).$$

It turns out that $\mathcal{E}(X, T, \alpha)$ is a cocycle tail subrelation: Fix $a_0 \in \alpha$ and define the α -measurable function $F^{\natural} = F^{\natural, a_0} : X \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_0^{\alpha \setminus \{a_0\}}$ by $F^{\natural}(x)_a := 1_a(x)$ (here $\mathbb{Z}_0^{\alpha \setminus \{a_0\}} := \{x \in \mathbb{Z}^{\alpha \setminus \{a_0\}} : \#\{s \in \alpha : x_s \neq 0\} < \infty\}$ equipped with the discrete topology), then

$$\mathcal{E}(X, T, \alpha) = \mathfrak{T}(T, F^{\natural}).$$

Note also that $\{F^{\natural}(x) = F^{\natural}(y) : x, y \in X\}$ generates $\mathbb{Z}^{\alpha \setminus \{a_0\}}$

Proposition 2.0. *Let $\mu \in \mathfrak{M}_\alpha(X)$ be $\mathfrak{G}(T)$ -non-singular, then*

- (i) $\frac{d\mu \circ T}{d\mu}$ is α -measurable $\Leftrightarrow \frac{d\mu \circ T}{d\mu} = ce^{H \circ F^\natural}$ for some $c > 0$ and some homomorphism $H : \mathbb{Z}_0^{\alpha \setminus \{a_0\}} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$; and
- (ii) in this case, μ is $\mathcal{E}(X, T, \alpha)$ -invariant.

Proof.

(\Rightarrow in (i)): Let c be the value of $\frac{d\mu \circ T}{d\mu}$ on a_0 , and define a homomorphism $H : \mathbb{Z}_0^{\alpha \setminus \{a_0\}} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by $H(e_a) := \log \frac{d\mu \circ T}{d\mu}|_a - \log c$ (this is a constant) where for every $a \in \alpha$, $(e_a)_b = \delta_{a,b}$ ($b \in \alpha$). We have $\frac{d\mu \circ T}{d\mu} = ce^{H \circ F^\natural}$.

(ii) follows from proposition 1.3. \square

We'll use the following theorem and proposition extensively. Let (X, T, α) be a full fibred system.

2.1 Theorem (De Finetti-Hewitt-Savage).

- 1) $\mathcal{E}(X, T, \alpha)$ is ergodic with respect to any (X, T, α) -product measure.
- 2) If $\mu \in \mathcal{P}(X)$ is $\mathcal{E}(X, T, \alpha)$ -invariant, then μ is an average of (X, T, α) -product measures.
- 3) If $\mu \in \mathcal{P}(X)$ is $\mathcal{E}(X, T, \alpha)$ -invariant, then $\mathfrak{I}(\mathcal{E}(X, T, \alpha)) \stackrel{\mu}{=} \mathfrak{I}(\mathfrak{T}(T))$.

Proof. See [H-S] (also [Me] and [D-F]). \square

We'll need to consider extensions of part 2) of the theorem for σ -finite measures.

We call $\mu \in \mathfrak{M}(X)$ *recurrent (with respect to (X, T, α))* if $N_a := \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} 1_a \circ T^n \in \{0, \infty\}$ μ -a.e. $\forall a \in \alpha$.

Examples. Consider (X, T, α) , where $X = \{0, 1\}^{\mathbb{N}}$, T is the shift, and $\alpha = \{[0], [1]\}$.

1) Let $e_n \in X$, $(e_n)_i = 0 \ \forall i \neq n$, $(e_n)_n = 1$, then $\mu := \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \delta_{e_n} \in \mathfrak{M}(X)$ is $\mathcal{E}(X, T, \alpha)$ -invariant, ergodic but not a mixture of (X, T, α) -product measures. This example is topologically σ -finite, but not locally finite, or recurrent.

2) Let $X_\infty := \{x \in X : N_0(x) = N_1(x) = \infty\} \in \mathcal{B}(X)$. By theorem 2.1, there are non-atomic $\mathcal{E}(X, T, \alpha) \cap (X_\infty \times X_\infty)$ -invariant, ergodic probabilities. Thus, by the Glimm-Effros theorem (above), there are uncountably many mutually singular, non-atomic, infinite, σ -finite, recurrent, $\mathcal{E}(X, T, \alpha)$ -invariant, ergodic measures on X . By the next proposition, these cannot be topologically σ -finite.

2.2 Proposition. 1) If (X, T, α) is full and $\mu \in \mathfrak{M}(X)$ is topologically σ -finite, recurrent and $\mathcal{E}(X, T, \alpha)$ -invariant, then μ is a mixture of (X, T, α) -product measures.

2) If (X, T, α) is full and $\mu \in \mathfrak{M}(X)$ is locally finite, then μ is recurrent.

Proof.

1) For $x \in X$, let $S_x := \{x_n : n \geq 1\}$. By recurrence, for μ -a.e. $x \in X$, $N_s(x) = \infty \ \forall s \in S_x$.

First, we consider possible atoms of ν . If $x = (s_1, s_2, \dots) \in X$ has $\nu(\{x\}) = c > 0$, then we claim that $s_n = s_1 \ \forall n \geq 1$, for otherwise $\forall n \geq 1$, $(a_1, \dots, a_n) \in S_x^n$ we have $\#\mathcal{E}(X)_x \cap [a_1, \dots, a_n] = \infty$ and ν cannot be topologically σ -finite. If $x = (s, s, \dots)$ then δ_x is an atomic (X, T, α) -product measure. Thus ν is a mixture of atomic (X, T, α) -product measures and a non-atomic, topologically σ -finite, recurrent and $\mathcal{E}(X, T, \alpha)$ -invariant measure.

Hereafter, assume that ν is non-atomic.

By the ergodic decomposition (see [G-S]), μ is an average of $\mathcal{E}(X, T, \alpha)$ -invariant, ergodic components, each of which is non-atomic, recurrent and topologically σ -finite. We claim each component is a multiple of a (X, T, α) -product measure.

Accordingly, assume that $\mu \in \mathfrak{M}(X)$ is topologically σ -finite, recurrent and $\mathcal{E}(X, T, \alpha)$ -invariant, ergodic. For each $s \in S$, $\{x \in X : s \in S_x\} \in \mathfrak{I}(\mathcal{E}(X, T, \alpha))$, whence $\exists S' \subseteq S$ such that $S_x = S'$ a.e.. We assume (without loss of generality) that $S' = S$.

We claim that $\mu(a) > 0$, $\forall a \in \alpha_k$, $k \geq 1$. To see this, let $a = [a_1, \dots, a_k] \in \alpha_k$, then \exists disjoint subsets of \mathbb{N} , $\mathcal{K}_+ = \{K_s : s \in S_a\}$ so that $|K_s| = n_s(a)$ ($s \in S_a$) and such that $\mu(A(\mathcal{K}_+)) > 0$ where $A(\mathcal{K}_+) := \{x = (x_1, x_2, \dots) \in X : x_n = s \forall n \in K_s, s \in S_a\}$. This is because (by recurrence):

$$X \stackrel{\text{mod } \mu}{=} [N_s \geq n_s(a) \forall s \in S_a] = \bigcup_{\mathcal{K}_+} A(\mathcal{K}_+).$$

Evidently $A(\mathcal{K}_+) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{E}(X, T, \alpha)} a$, whence $\mu(a) > 0$.

Now let β be as above and for each $a \in \beta \cap \alpha_n$, define $\nu_a \in \mathcal{P}(X)$ by $\nu_a(B) := \frac{\mu(a \cap T^{-n}B)}{\mu(a)}$, then ν_a is $\mathcal{E}(X, T, \alpha)$ -invariant, ergodic and by theorem 2.1 (part 2), a (X, T, α) -product measure.

We claim that ν_a does not depend on $a \in \beta$. To see this note that for $a \in \beta$, $b \in \alpha_n$ (some $n \geq 1$) we have $ab \in \beta$ and for $c \in \alpha_k$,

$$\nu_{ab}(c) = \frac{\mu(abc)}{\mu(ab)} = \frac{\mu(a)\nu_a(b)\nu_a(c)}{\mu(a)\nu_a(b)} = \nu_a(c).$$

Thus for $a, b \in \beta$, $c \in \alpha_k$, we have $ab \xrightarrow{\mathcal{E}(X, T, \alpha)} ba$, $abc \xrightarrow{\mathcal{E}(X, T, \alpha)} bac$, whence

$$\nu_a(c) = \nu_{ab}(c) = \frac{\mu(abc)}{\mu(ab)} = \frac{\mu(bac)}{\mu(ba)} = \nu_{ba}(c) = \nu_b(c).$$

Writing $\nu_a = \nu$ ($a \in \beta$), we see that for $a, b \in \beta$:

$$\mu(a)\nu(b) = \mu(ab) = \mu(ba) = \mu(b)\nu(a).$$

Fixing $\beta_0 \subset \beta$ so that $X = \bigsqcup_{b \in \beta_0} b \pmod{\mu}$ and fixing $a \in \beta$, $\nu(a) > 0$, we have

$$\mu(X) = \sum_{b \in \beta_0} \mu(b) = \sum_{b \in \beta_0} \frac{\mu(a)\nu(b)}{\nu(a)} \leq \frac{\mu(a)}{\nu(a)} < \infty$$

and part 1 follows from part 2 of theorem 2.1.

2) Suppose that μ is locally finite, but not recurrent, then $\exists a \in S$, $N \in \mathbb{N}$ so that $\mu([N_a = N]) > 0$. By local finiteness, \exists a cylinder $b := [b_1, \dots, b_K]$ with $0 < \mu(b \cap [N_a = N]) < \infty$ and $\#\{1 \leq i \leq K : b_i = a\} = N$. $\exists \sigma$ a permutation so that $B := [b_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, b_{\sigma(K)}] = [\underbrace{a, \dots, a}_{N\text{-times}}, B_{N+1}, \dots, B_K]$. By exchangeability,

$0 < \mu(B \cap [N_a = N]) = \mu(b \cap [N_a = N]) < \infty$. By local finiteness, we may assume $0 < \mu([B_{N+1}, \dots, B_K]) < \infty$.

For $n \in \mathbb{N}$, define $\kappa_n : B \cap [N_a = N] \rightarrow [B_{N+1}, \dots, B_K] \cap [N_a = N]$ by

$$\kappa_n(\underbrace{a, \dots, a}_{N\text{-times}}, B_{N+1}, \dots, B_K, x_1, x_2, \dots) := (B_{N+1}, \dots, B_K, x_1, \dots, x_n, \underbrace{a, \dots, a}_{N\text{-times}}, x_{n+1}, \dots).$$

By exchangeability, $\mu(\kappa_n(B \cap [N_a = N])) = \mu(B \cap [N_a = N]) > 0 \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$ contradicting $0 < \mu([B_{N+1}, \dots, B_K]) < \infty$ as

$$[B_{N+1}, \dots, B_K] \supseteq \biguplus_{n=1}^{\infty} \kappa_n(B \cap [N_a = N]).$$

□

Remark.

The mixture above may not be finite. Let (X, T, α) be given by $X = \{0, 1\}^{\mathbb{N}}$ with T the shift and $\alpha = \{[0], [1]\}$. Set $\mu_p := \prod((1-p)\delta_0 + p\delta_1)$, then the measure $\mu := \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n+1} \mu_{\frac{1}{n}}$ is a σ -finite, infinite mixture of (X, T, α) -product measures. If $\nu_n := n\mu_{\frac{1}{n}}$, then $\mu := \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n(n+1)} \nu_n$ is an average and $\mu([1]) = \nu_n([1]) = 1 \forall n \geq 1$.

§3 TOPOLOGICAL MARKOV SHIFTS

A *topological Markov shift* (TMS) is a fibred system (X, T, α) which satisfies the *Markov property* – for every $A \in \alpha$, $T(A)$ is α -measurable – and whose topology is generated by the set of cylinders.

The elements of α are called the *states* of the shift, and the matrix $(A_{ab})_{\alpha \times \alpha}$ with $A_{ab} = 1$ if $[a, b] \neq \emptyset$ and $A_{ab} = 0$ otherwise is called the *transition matrix* of the shift. A TMS with set of states α and transition matrix $(t_{ab})_{\alpha \times \alpha}$ can be canonically identified with the set $\{x = (x_1, x_2, \dots) : \forall i \ t_{x_i x_{i+1}} = 1\}$ together with the action of the left shift and the relative product topology in $\alpha^{\mathbb{N}}$.

A topological Markov shift is *topologically transitive* is for any $a, b \in \alpha$ there exists some n such that $a \cap T^{-n}b \neq \emptyset$, and *topologically mixing* (or simply *mixing*) if for all $a, b \in \alpha$, $a \cap T^{-n}b \neq \emptyset$ for all n sufficiently large. (These definitions agree with the standard definitions of these notions for topological dynamical systems.)

A topological Markov shift is said to be *almost onto* [ANSS], if $\forall b, c \in \alpha$, $\exists n \geq 1$, $b = a_0, a_1, \dots, a_n = c \in \alpha$ such that $Ta_k \cap Ta_{k+1} \neq \emptyset$ ($0 \leq k \leq n-1$).

Example. This example (example 5.2 in [Pe-S]) shows that mixing $\not\Rightarrow$ almost onto. Let $X \subset \{0, 1, 2\}^{\mathbb{N}}$ be the TMS with transition matrix $A := \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$. Evidently, (X, T, α) is mixing, but not almost onto (see below for a connection with the notion of aperiodicity).

TOPOLOGICAL DYNAMICS OF GROUP EXTENSIONS.

Throughout this section, (X, T, α) denotes a TMS and \mathbb{G} denotes a locally compact, Abelian, Polish (LCAP) topological group.

Suppose that $\phi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{G}$ is continuous. For $s \in S$ $n \geq 1$, let $\Pi_{n,s} := \{x \in [s] : T^n x = x\}$, let $\Pi_n := \{x \in X : T^n x = x\} = \bigcup_{s \in S} \Pi_{n,s}$ and let

$$\mathbb{F}_{\phi,s} := \langle \phi_n(x) - \phi_n(y) : n \geq 1, x, y \in \Pi_{n,s} \rangle,$$

$$\mathbb{F}_{\phi} := \langle \{\phi_n(x) - \phi_n(y) : n \geq 1, x, y \in \Pi_n\} \rangle$$

where $\langle A \rangle$ denotes the subgroup generated by A .

Note that if ϕ is α -measurable, then $\{\phi_n(x) - \phi_n(y) : n \geq 1, x, y \in \Pi_{n,s}\}$ is a group for every s . If in addition (X, T, α) is mixing, then $\{\phi_n(x) - \phi_n(y) : n \geq 1, x, y \in \Pi_n\}$ is also a group.

3.1 Proposition. *If (X, T, α) is mixing and $\phi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{G}$ is α -measurable, then $\mathbb{F}_{\phi, s} = \mathbb{F}_{\phi} \forall s \in S$.*

Proof. We first show that $\mathbb{F}_{\phi, s} = \mathbb{F}_{\phi, t} \forall s, t \in S$. To see this fix (using mixing) $k \geq 2$, $sat, tbs \in \alpha_k$. If $g \in \mathbb{F}_{\phi, s}$, then $\exists n \geq 1$, $\overline{sx}, \overline{sy} \in \Pi_{n, s}$ with $g = \phi_n(\overline{sx}) - \phi_n(\overline{sy})$. It follows that $\overline{tbsxs\overline{a}}, \overline{tbsys\overline{a}} \in \Pi_{t, n+2k-2}$ and

$$\phi_{n+2k-2}(\overline{tbsxs\overline{a}}) - \phi_{n+2k-2}(\overline{tbsys\overline{a}}) = \phi_n(\overline{sx}) - \phi_n(\overline{sy}) = g \in \mathbb{F}_{\phi, t}.$$

Now we show that $\mathbb{F}_{\phi, u} = \mathbb{F}_{\phi} \forall u \in S$. Let $g \in \mathbb{F}_{\phi}$, then $\exists n \geq 1$, $s, t \in S$, $\overline{sx} \in \Pi_{n, s}$, $\overline{ty} \in \Pi_{n, t}$ with $g = \phi_n(\overline{sx}) - \phi_n(\overline{ty})$. By the previous paragraph, it suffices to show that $g \in \mathbb{F}_{\phi, s}$. To this end, using mixing, fix $k \geq 1$, $sat, tbs \in \alpha_k$, then $\overline{sxsat\overline{b}}, \overline{satyt\overline{b}} \in \Pi_{s, n+2k-2}$ and $\phi_{n+2k-2}(\overline{sxsat\overline{b}}) - \phi_{n+2k-2}(\overline{satyt\overline{b}}) = \phi_n(\overline{sx}) - \phi_n(\overline{sy}) = g \in \mathbb{F}_{\phi, s}$. \square

We call the continuous $\phi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{G}$ (topologically) *aperiodic* if $\overline{\mathbb{F}_{\phi}} = \mathbb{G}$. It follows from lemma 3.4 (below) that this is equivalent to the absence of non-trivial solutions to the functional equation $\gamma \circ \phi = \lambda \frac{g \circ T}{g}$ where $\gamma \in \widehat{\mathbb{G}}$, $\lambda \in \mathbb{S}^1$ and $g : X \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^1$ continuous.

Recall from [ADSZ] that (X, T, α) is almost onto iff $F^{\natural} : X \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_0^{\alpha \setminus \{a_0\}}$ (with $a_0 \in \alpha$ fixed, as defined above) is aperiodic and in this case, an α -measurable $\phi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{G}$ is aperiodic whenever $\langle \{\phi(x) - \phi(y) : x, y \in X\} \rangle$ is dense in \mathbb{G} . To illustrate this, we give an aperiodicity proof that the example given above is not almost onto: Define $F^{\natural} : X \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^{\{1, 2\}}$ by $F^{\natural}(x) = e_{x_1}$ if $x_1 = 1, 2$ and $F^{\natural}(x) := 0$ if $x_1 = 0$. We see that $F_1^{\natural} = F_2^{\natural} \circ T$, whence

$$F^{\natural} = (F_2^{\natural}, F_2^{\natural}) + (F_2^{\natural} \circ T, 0) - (F_2^{\natural}, 0),$$

$F^{\natural} : X \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^{\{1, 2\}}$ is not aperiodic.

3.2 Proposition (tail transitivity). *If (X, T, α) is mixing and $\phi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{G}$ is α -measurable and aperiodic, then $\mathfrak{T}(T_{\phi})$ is topologically transitive on $X \times \mathbb{G}$.*

Proof. Fix $g \in \mathbb{F}_{\phi}$, $k \geq 1$, $a, b \in \alpha_k$. We'll show that $\exists a' \subseteq a$, $b' \subseteq b$ so that $a' \times \{0\} \xrightarrow{\mathfrak{T}(T_{\phi})} b' \times \{g\}$.

Indeed, by mixing of (X, T, α) , $\exists \ell \geq 1$, $c, d \in \alpha_{\ell}$, $s \in S$ so that $acs, bds \in \alpha_{k+\ell+1}$. By aperiodicity, $\mathbb{F}_{\phi, s} = \mathbb{G}$ and $\exists p \geq 1$, $x, y \in \Pi_{p, s}$ so that $\phi_p(y) - \phi_p(x) = g + \phi_{k+\ell}(acs) - \phi_{k+\ell}(bds)$.

It follows that $[acx_1^p s] \times \{0\} \xrightarrow{\mathfrak{T}(T_{\phi})} [bdy_1^p s] \times \{g\}$. The transitivity now follows from $\overline{\mathbb{F}_{\phi}} = \mathbb{G}$. \square

Let \mathbb{G} be a LCAP group, and suppose (X, T, α) is a fibred system.

3.3 Livsic cohomology theorem [L]. *Suppose that (X, T, α) is a topologically transitive TMS. Let the topology on \mathbb{G} be generated by the norm $\|\cdot\|_{\mathbb{G}}$ and suppose that $N \geq 1$, $\phi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{G}$ is (α, N) -Hölder continuous. If $\phi_n(x) = 0 \forall x \in X$, $T^n x = x$, then $\exists g : X \rightarrow \mathbb{G}$ (α, N) -Hölder continuous such that $\phi = g - g \circ T$.*

Proof. Fix $z \in X$ with $\overline{\{T^n z : n \in \mathbb{Z}\}} = X$, fix $G(z) \in \mathbb{G}$ and define $G : \{T^n z : n \in \mathbb{Z}\} \rightarrow \mathbb{G}$ by $G(T^n z) := G(z) + \phi_n(z)$. We'll extend the domain of definition of G to all X and show that the extension is (α, N) -Hölder continuous.

Suppose that $\rho \in (0, 1)$ and $\|\phi(x) - \phi(y)\| \leq M\rho^n \forall n \geq N, x, y \in X, x_1^n = y_1^n$. It suffices to show that

$$\|G(u) - G(v)\| \leq \frac{M\rho^n}{1-\rho} \forall n \geq N, u, v \in \{T^n z : n \in \mathbb{Z}\}, u_1^n = v_1^n.$$

Accordingly, suppose that $n \geq N, \ell > k, (T^k z)_1^n = (T^\ell z)_1^n$ and let $y := \overline{z_{k+1}^\ell}$, then $y \in X, T^{\ell-k}y = y$ and $y_1^{\ell-k+n} = z_{k+1}^{\ell+n}$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \|G(T^k z) - G(T^\ell z)\| &= \|\phi_{\ell-k}(T^k z)\| \\ &= \|\phi_{\ell-k}(T^k z) - \phi_{\ell-k}(y)\| \quad (\because \phi_{\ell-k}(y) = 0) \\ &\leq \sum_{i=0}^{\ell-k-1} \|\phi(T^{k+i} z) - \phi(T^i y)\| \\ &= M \sum_{i=0}^{\ell-k-1} \rho^{n+\ell-k-i} \quad (\because z_{k+i+1}^{\ell+n} = y_{i+1}^{\ell-k+n}) \\ &\leq \frac{M\rho^n}{1-\rho}. \end{aligned}$$

□

3.4 Cohomology lemma c.f. [Pa-S]. *If (X, T, α) is mixing and $\phi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{G}$ is α -measurable, then $\phi = a + g - g \circ T + \bar{\phi}$ where $a \in \mathbb{G}, \bar{\phi} : X \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{F}}_\phi$ and $g : X \rightarrow \mathbb{G}$ are both α -measurable such that $\bar{\phi} : X \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_\phi$ is aperiodic.*

Proof. By assumption, $\forall N \geq 1$ such that $\Pi_N \neq \emptyset, \exists a_N \in \mathbb{G}$ so that $\phi_N(x) = a_N \pmod{\mathbb{F}_\phi} \forall x \in \Pi_N$. Evidently $ka_N = Na_k \pmod{\mathbb{F}_\phi}$ whence $(\phi_N - a_N)_k = 0 \pmod{\mathbb{F}_\phi}$ whenever $\Pi_k, \Pi_N \neq \emptyset$.

By Livsic's theorem, $\forall N \geq 1$ such that $\Pi_N \neq \emptyset, \exists g^{(N)} : X \rightarrow \mathbb{G}$ α -measurable such that

$$\phi_N = a_N + g^{(N)} - g^{(N)} \circ T \pmod{\mathbb{F}_\phi}.$$

Since (X, T, α) is mixing, $\exists p, q \in \mathbb{N}$ relatively prime, and $u, v \in X, T^p u = u, T^q v = v$. Suppose that $k, \ell \in \mathbb{N}$ satisfy $kp - \ell q = 1$, then $\pmod{\mathbb{F}_\phi}$,

$$\begin{aligned} \phi &= \phi_{kp} - \phi_{\ell q} \circ T \\ &= ka_p - \ell a_q + g^{(p)} - g^{(p)} \circ T^k - g^{(q)} \circ T + g^{(q)} \circ T^{\ell+1} \\ &= ka_p - \ell a_q + g_k^{(p)} - g_k^{(p)} \circ T - g_\ell^{(q)} \circ T + g_\ell^{(q)} \circ T^2 \\ &= a + g - g \circ T \end{aligned}$$

where $a := ka_p - \ell a_q$ and $g := g_k^{(p)} - g_\ell^{(q)} \circ T$.

Now let $\bar{\phi} := \phi - (a + g - g \circ T)$, then $\bar{\phi} : X \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_\phi$ is α -measurable and aperiodic since $\langle \bar{\phi}_n(x) - \bar{\phi}_n(y) : n \geq 1, x, y \in \Pi_n \rangle = \mathbb{F}_\phi$. □

ERGODIC THEORY OF GROUP EXTENSIONS.

Let (X, T, α) be a TMS. We say that a $\mathfrak{G}(T)$ -nonsingular measure $\mu \in \mathfrak{M}_\alpha(X)$ has the-

Gibbs property if $\frac{d\mu \circ T}{d\mu}$ is $(\alpha, 1)$ -Hölder continuous;

Markovian Gibbs property if $\frac{d\mu \circ T}{d\mu}$ is $(\alpha, 2)$ -Hölder continuous.

Some examples: If (X, T, α) is full, then any global (X, T, α) -product has the Gibbs property; and if (X, T, α) is a TMS, then any global Markov measure on X has the Markovian Gibbs property.

3.5 Lemma. *Suppose that (X, T, α) is full and that $m \in \mathcal{P}(X)$ is a globally supported measure with the Gibbs property so that (X, \mathcal{B}, m, T) (where $\mathcal{B} := \mathcal{B}(X)$) is conservative and exact, then m is $\mathcal{E}(X, T, \alpha)$ -ergodic.*

Proof. It is easily checked that $(X, \mathcal{B}, T, m, \alpha)$ is a Gibbs-Markov map in the sense of [AD]. As in §2, fix $a_0 \in \alpha$ and define the α -measurable function $F^\natural : X \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_0^{\alpha \setminus \{a_0\}}$ by $F^\natural(x)_a := 1_a(x)$.

Evidently,

$$\mathcal{E}(X, T, \alpha) = \mathfrak{T}(T, F^\natural) = \mathfrak{T}(T_{F^\natural}) \cap (X \times \{0\})^2.$$

By construction of F^\natural , $\{F^\natural(x) - F^\natural(y) : x, y \in X\}$ generates $\mathbb{Z}_0^{\alpha \setminus \{a_0\}}$ and so $F^\natural : X \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_0^{\alpha \setminus \{a_0\}}$ is aperiodic. It follows from the theorem in [AD] that T_{F^\natural} is exact, whence $\mathfrak{T}(T_{F^\natural})$ is ergodic with respect to $m \times m_{\mathbb{Z}_0^{\alpha \setminus \{a_0\}}}$. Inducing this equivalence relation on $(X \times \{0\})^2$ shows that $\mathcal{E}(X, T, \alpha)$ is ergodic with respect to m . \square

Let $T : X \rightarrow X$ and $\phi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{G}$ be maps. Recall that the *group extension* of T by ϕ is the skew-product $T_\phi : X \times \mathbb{G} \rightarrow X \times \mathbb{G}$ given by $T_\phi(x, y) := (Tx, y + \phi(x))$.

3.6 Proposition. *Suppose that (X, T, α) is a mixing TMS, that \mathbb{G} is countable and that $\phi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{G}$ is aperiodic and has finite memory. Let $m \in \mathfrak{M}_\alpha(X)$ be a globally supported measure with the Markovian Gibbs property such that (X, \mathcal{B}, m, T) is conservative and exact, then $(X \times \mathbb{G}, \mathcal{B}(X \times \mathbb{G}), m \times m_\mathbb{G}, T_\phi)$ is exact.*

Proof. After possibly recoding, we may assume that $\phi(x) = \phi(x_1)$. Since m is globally supported and Gibbs, m is $\mathfrak{T}(T)$ -nonsingular.

Let $A = \biguplus_{g \in \mathbb{G}} A_g \times \{g\} \in \mathcal{B}(X \times \mathbb{G})$ be $\mathfrak{T}(T_\phi)$ -invariant. We claim first that $\forall s \in S, g \in \mathbb{G}$, either $s \subseteq A_g \pmod m$, or $m(s \cap A_g) = 0$.

To see this, fix $s \in \alpha, g \in \mathbb{G}$ and let $\tilde{\alpha}_s \subset \mathcal{B}(s)$ be the first return time partition (to s), and $T_s : s \rightarrow s$ the induced map. The Markovian Gibbs property implies that $m|_s$ is a $(s, T_s, \tilde{\alpha})$ -product measure. Since

$$\mathcal{E}(s, T_s, \tilde{\alpha}) \subset \mathcal{E}(X, T, \alpha) \cap (s \times s) \subset \mathfrak{T}(T_\phi) \cap (s \times s),$$

we have that $s \cap A_g$ is $\mathcal{E}(s, T_s, \tilde{\alpha})$ -invariant. By lemma 3.5, either $s \subseteq A_g \pmod m$, or $m(s \cap A_g) = 0$. If $m \times m_\mathbb{G}(A) > 0$, then $\exists g \in \mathbb{G}$ with $m(A_g) > 0$, whence $\exists s \in S$ with $s \times \{g\} \subset A \pmod m \times m_\mathbb{G}$. Since ϕ is aperiodic, by proposition 3.2, $\mathfrak{T}(T_\phi)$ is topologically transitive on $X \times \mathbb{G}$ and $A = X \times \mathbb{G} \pmod m \times m_\mathbb{G}$. \square

The following corollary was proved for Markov measures in [Gr].

3.7 Corollary. *Suppose that (X, T, α) is a mixing TMS. Let $m \in \mathfrak{M}_\alpha(X)$ be a globally supported measure with the Markovian Gibbs property so that (X, m, T, α) is conservative and exact, then*

- (i) *if (X, T, α) is almost onto, then m is $\mathcal{E}(X, T, \alpha)$ -ergodic;*
- (ii) *if not and $F^\natural = a + g - g \circ T + \overline{F^\natural}$ where $\overline{F^\natural} : X \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_{F^\natural}$ is aperiodic, then for each $b \in \mathbb{Z}_0^{S \setminus \{s_0\}}$, $m([g \in b + \mathbb{F}_{F^\natural}]) > 0$, $m|_{[g \in b + \mathbb{F}_{F^\natural}]}$ is $\mathcal{E}(X, T, \alpha)$ -non-singular and ergodic.*

Proof. Fix $s_0 \in S$ and apply proposition 3.5 to the aperiodic $F^\natural : X \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_0^{S \setminus \{s_0\}}$ for

(i) and to $\overline{F^\natural} : X \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_{F^\natural}$ for (ii). \square

§4 INVARIANT MEASURES FOR COCYCLE
SUBRELATIONS OF TOPOLOGICAL MARKOV SHIFTS

Let (X, T, α) be a mixing TMS with state space S and transition matrix $A = (A_{ab})_{S \times S}$ and suppose that $m \in \mathfrak{M}_\alpha(X)$. It is not hard to see that $\frac{dm \circ T}{dm}$ is α -measurable iff m is Markov of form

$$m([s_1, s_2, \dots, s_n]) = \pi_{s_1} p_{s_1, s_2} \cdots p_{s_{n-1}, s_n}$$

where

$$p_{s,t} = \frac{A_{s,t} \pi_t h(s)}{\pi_s} \quad \text{for some } h : S \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$$

in which case

$$\frac{dm \circ T}{dm}(x) = \frac{\pi_{x_2}}{\pi_{x_1} p_{x_1, x_2}} = \frac{1}{h(x_1)}.$$

Note that the condition for $p_{s,t} = \frac{A_{s,t} \pi_t h(s)}{\pi_s}$ to define a stochastic matrix is

$$\sum_{t \in S} A_{s,t} \pi_t = \frac{\pi_s}{h(s)} \quad \forall s \in S.$$

The next proposition describes these measures.

Proposition 4.0. *Let (X, T, α) be a mixing TMS with countable state space S and let $\pi : S \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$. There is a Markov $\mu \in \mathfrak{M}_\alpha(X)$ with $\frac{d\mu \circ T}{d\mu}$ α -measurable and $\mu([s]) = \pi_s$ ($s \in S$) $\iff \Pi(s) := \sum_{t \in S} A_{s,t} \pi_t < \infty \forall s \in S$. In this case, the measure μ is unique.*

Proof.

\Rightarrow) Suppose that $\mu([s_1, s_2, \dots, s_n]) = \pi_{s_1} p_{s_1, s_2} \cdots p_{s_{n-1}, s_n}$ where $p_{s,t} = \frac{A_{s,t} \pi_t h(s)}{\pi_s}$ for some $h : S \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$, then

$$\Pi(s) := \sum_{t \in S} A_{s,t} \pi_t = \sum_{t \in S} \frac{\pi_s p_{s,t}}{h(s)} = \frac{\pi_s}{h(s)} < \infty.$$

\Leftarrow) Set $\mu([s_1, s_2, \dots, s_n]) := \pi_{s_1} p_{s_1, s_2} \cdots p_{s_{n-1}, s_n}$ where $p_{s,t} = \frac{A_{s,t} \pi_t}{h(s) \pi_s}$ and $h(s) := \frac{\Pi(s)}{\pi_s}$. To see that p is a stochastic matrix:

$$\sum_{t \in S} p_{s,t} = \sum_{t \in S} \frac{A_{s,t} \pi_t}{h(s) \pi_s} = \frac{\Pi(s)}{h(s) \pi_s} = 1.$$

□

Remarks.

1) It is not clear when the measures in proposition 4.0 are recurrent. We'll give examples of recurrent measures with α -measurable derivatives on "simple, aperiodic random walks" below.

2) Let (X, T, α) be a mixing TMS with state space S . Using the methods of [VJ], one can characterise those $h : S \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ for which there is a recurrent $\nu \in \mathfrak{M}_\alpha(X)$ with $\frac{d\nu \circ T}{d\nu}(x) = \frac{c}{h(x_0)}$ for some $c > 0$. The condition is that $\exists s \in S$ for which the power series $F(x) := \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} z^n \sum_{x \in [s], T^n x = x} h(x_0) h(x_1) \cdots h(x_{n-1})$ has a positive radius of convergence R_s and $F(R_s) = \infty$.

As shown in [Sa], this is always the case if $\sum_{s \in S} h(s) < \infty$ and (X, T, α) has the *big images and preimages (BIP)* property: $\exists b_1, \dots, b_N \in S$ such that $\forall a \exists i, j$ such that $A_{b_j a} A_{a b_i} = 1$.

3) The next few results show that recurrent, exchangeable, ergodic, locally finite measures have α -measurable derivatives.

Theorem 4.1 (globally supported, aperiodic, recurrent case). *Suppose that (X, T, α) is a mixing TMS, \mathbb{G} is countable and that $\phi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{G}$ is α -measurable and aperiodic. If $\nu \in \mathfrak{M}(X)$ is globally supported, locally finite, $\mathfrak{T}(T, \phi)$ -invariant, ergodic and recurrent, then $\nu \in \mathfrak{M}_\alpha(X)$ is Markov and \exists a homomorphism $h : \mathbb{G} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and $c > 0$ such that*

$$\frac{d\nu \circ T}{d\nu} = ce^{h \circ \phi}.$$

Proof.

¶1 $\nu \in \mathfrak{M}_\alpha(X)$ and is a Markov measure.

To see this fix any $s \in S$ and let $\varphi_s(x) := \min\{n \geq 1 : T^n x \in [s]\}$ ($x \in [s]$), $\tilde{\phi} := \sum_{k=0}^{\varphi_s-1} \phi \circ T^k$, then

$$\mathfrak{T}(T, \phi) \cap ([s] \times [s]) = \mathfrak{G}(T_{[s]}, (\tilde{\phi}, \varphi_s)) \supset \mathcal{E}([s], T_{[s]}, \tilde{\alpha}_s).$$

By proposition 2.2, part 2, $\nu|_{[s]}$ is recurrent with respect to $([s], T_{[s]}, \tilde{\alpha}_s)$ and thus, by proposition 2.2, part 1, a mixture of $([s], T_{[s]}, \tilde{\alpha}_s)$ -products.

Each component product measure is partially exchangeable on $[s]$ (in the sense of [D-F]), whence by proposition 15 of [D-F], the restriction to $[s]$ of a Markov measure. By $\mathfrak{T}(T, \phi) \cap ([s] \times [s])$ -ergodicity of $\nu|_{[s]}$, there is actually only one component, whence $\nu([s]) < \infty$ and $\nu|_{[s]}$ is itself the restriction to $[s]$ of a Markov measure. The transition probability of $\nu|_{[s]}$ does not depend on $s \in S$ and ¶1 is established. It follows that ν is $\mathfrak{T}(T)$ -non-singular.

Next, let $m \in \mathfrak{M}(X \times \mathbb{G})$ be the unique $\mathfrak{T}(T_\phi)$ -invariant, ergodic measure satisfying $m(A \times \{0\}) = \nu(A) \forall A \in \mathcal{B}(X)$ (see proposition 1.1).

¶2 We claim that $m \ll \nu \times m_\mathbb{G}$ and that $m([s] \times \{g\}) < \infty \forall s \in S, g \in \mathbb{G}$.

To prove $m \ll \nu \times m_\mathbb{G}$, suppose that $m(A \times \{g\}) > 0$. By ergodicity, $\exists A' \subset A$, $m(A' \times \{g\}) > 0$ and $B \in \mathcal{B}(X)$, $\nu(B) = m(B \times \{0\}) > 0$ so that $A' \times \{g\} \xrightarrow{\mathfrak{T}(T_\phi)} B \times \{0\}$, and in particular $A' \xrightarrow{\mathfrak{T}(T)} B$. By $\mathfrak{T}(T)$ -non-singularity of ν , $\nu(A) \geq \nu(A') > 0$.

To show that $m([s] \times \{g\}) < \infty \forall s \in S, g \in \mathbb{G}$, note that for each $z \in \mathbb{G}$, $m \circ Q_z$ is a σ -finite, $\mathfrak{T}(T_\phi)$ -invariant, ergodic measure where $Q_z(x, y) := (x, y + z)$. Thus either $m \circ Q_z \propto m$, or $m \circ Q_z \perp m$. Let

$$\mathbb{H} = \mathbb{H}(m) := \{z \in \mathbb{G} : m \circ Q_z \propto m\},$$

then \exists a homomorphism $h : \mathbb{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $m \circ Q_z = e^{-h(z)} m \forall z \in \mathbb{H}$. It follows from this, and $m \ll \nu \times m_\mathbb{G}$ that for $A \in \mathcal{B}(X)$,

$$m(A \times \{z\}) = \begin{cases} e^{-h(z)} \nu(A) & z \in \mathbb{H}, \\ 0 & z \notin \mathbb{H}, \end{cases}$$

whence local finiteness of m .

¶3 We now show that $\mathbb{H} = \mathbb{G}$.

Suppose that $g \in \mathbb{G}$ and fix $s \in S$. By aperiodicity, $\mathbb{F}_{\phi, s} = \mathbb{G}$ and $\exists n \geq 1$, $a = \overline{sa_1 a_2 \dots a_{n-1}}$, $b = \overline{sb_1 b_2 \dots b_{n-1}} \in \Pi_{n, s}$ satisfying $\phi_n(b) - \phi_n(a) = g$. Since ν is globally supported, $\nu([sa_1 a_2 \dots a_{n-1}])$, $\nu([sb_1 b_2 \dots b_{n-1}]) > 0$. We claim that

by the map $((s, a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{n-1}, x), z) \mapsto ((s, b_1, b_2, \dots, b_{n-1}, x), z + g)$.

This is because

$$(s, a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{n-1}, x) \mapsto (s, b_1, b_2, \dots, b_{n-1}, x)$$

is a $\mathfrak{T}(T)$ -holonomy $([sa_1a_2 \dots a_{n-1}] \rightarrow [sb_1b_2 \dots b_{n-1}])$ and

$$\phi_n(s, b_1, b_2, \dots, b_{n-1}, x) - \phi_n(s, a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{n-1}, x) = \phi_n(b) - \phi_n(a) = g \quad \forall x \in [s].$$

Thus $m(X \times \{g\}) > 0$ and $g \in \mathbb{H}$.

¶4 We now complete the proof of the theorem by showing that $\frac{d\nu \circ T}{d\nu} = ce^{h \circ \phi}$ for some $c > 0$.

It follows from ¶3 that $m = e^{-h} \nu \times dm_{\mathbb{G}}$ (where $m_{\mathbb{G}}$ is the counting measure on \mathbb{G}). Since m is by definition $\mathfrak{T}(T_{\phi})$ -invariant, for any $\mathfrak{T}(T)$ -holonomy K , $\frac{d\nu \circ K}{d\nu}(x) = e^{h(\widehat{\phi}(x, Kx))}$. By proposition 1.2, $\frac{d\nu \circ T}{d\nu} = ce^{h \circ \phi}$ for some $c > 0$. \square

Theorem 4.2 (openly supported, periodic, recurrent case). *Suppose that \mathbb{G} is countable, that (X, T, α) is a mixing TMS, that \mathbb{F} is a subgroup of \mathbb{G} and that $\phi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{G}$ is α -measurable and of form $\phi = a + g - g \circ T + \bar{\phi}$ where $a \in \mathbb{G}$, $g : X \rightarrow \mathbb{G}$ is α -measurable and $\bar{\phi} : X \rightarrow \mathbb{F}$ is α -measurable and aperiodic.*

If $\nu \in \mathfrak{M}(X)$ is locally finite, has clopen support U , is recurrent and $\mathfrak{T}(T, \phi)$ -invariant, ergodic, then $U = [g \in z_0 + \mathbb{F}]$ for some $z_0 \in \mathbb{G}$ and \exists a homomorphism $h : \mathbb{G} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $c > 0$ and $\mu \in \mathfrak{M}_{\alpha}(X)$ Markov, such that

$$\frac{d\mu \circ T}{d\mu} = ce^{h \circ \phi}, \quad \nu = \mu|_U.$$

Proof.

As in the proof of ¶1 of theorem 4.1, $\nu \in \mathfrak{M}_{\alpha}(X)$ is the restriction to U of a Markov measure and is thus $\mathfrak{T}(T) \cap (U \times U)$ -non-singular.

Next, let $m \in \mathfrak{M}(X \times \mathbb{G})$ be the unique $\mathfrak{T}(T_{\phi})$ -invariant, ergodic measure satisfying $m(A \times \{0\}) = \nu(A) \quad \forall A \in \mathcal{B}(X)$ (see proposition 1.1) and let $\bar{m} := m \circ \pi$ where $\pi(x, y) := (x, y - g(x))$. Since $\pi^{-1} \circ T_{\phi} \circ \pi = T_{a+\bar{\phi}}$, we have that $\bar{m} \in \mathfrak{M}(X \times \mathbb{G})$ is $\mathfrak{T}(T_{\bar{\phi}})$ -invariant, ergodic.

Evidently $\mathbb{H}(m) = \mathbb{H}(\bar{m})$ (as defined in ¶2 of the proof of theorem 4.1) because $\pi \circ Q_z = Q_z \circ \pi$.

¶1 We claim first that $\mathbb{H}(\bar{m}) \subset \mathbb{F}$.

To see this, assume (WLOG) that $m(X \times \{0\}) > 0$. If $A \in \mathcal{B}(X)$, $g \in \mathbb{G}$ satisfy $m(A \times \{g\}) > 0$, then by ergodicity, $\exists A' \subset A$, $m(A' \times \{g\}) > 0$ and $B \in \mathcal{B}(X)$, $m(B \times \{0\}) > 0$ so that $A' \times \{g\} \xrightarrow{\mathfrak{T}(T_{\bar{\phi}})} B \times \{0\}$. Since $\bar{\phi} : X \rightarrow \mathbb{F}$, it follows that $g \in \mathbb{F}$.

¶2 Next, we claim that $\mathbb{H}(\bar{m}) = \mathbb{F}$.

To see this, fix $s \in S$, $[s] \subset U$ and consider $m_s := m|_{[s] \times \mathbb{G}}$ which is $\mathfrak{T}(T_{\phi}) \cap ([s] \times \mathbb{G})^2$ -invariant, ergodic. Now

$$\mathfrak{T}(T_{\phi}) \cap ([s] \times \mathbb{G})^2 = (\mathfrak{T}(T)_{\widehat{\phi}}) \cap ([s] \times \mathbb{G})^2 = (\mathfrak{T}(T) \cap ([s] \times [s]))_{\widehat{\phi}}$$

and in a similar manner to ¶2 and ¶3 of the proof of theorem 4.1, we see that

It follows that $\exists h : \mathbb{F} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ a homomorphism, $z_0 \in \mathbb{G}$ and a σ -finite measure $\bar{\nu}$ on X so that

$$\bar{m}(A \times \{z + z_0\}) = \begin{cases} e^{h(z)} \bar{\nu}(A) & z \in \mathbb{F}, \\ 0 & z \notin \mathbb{F}. \end{cases}$$

We'll assume (WLOG) that $z_0 = 0$. Thus, for K a $\mathfrak{T}(T)$ -holonomy, $\frac{d\bar{\nu} \circ K}{d\bar{\nu}} = e^{-h(\widehat{\phi}(x, Kx))}$, whence as in ¶4 of the proof of theorem 4.1, $\frac{d\bar{\nu} \circ T}{d\bar{\nu}} = ce^{h \circ \bar{\phi}}$ for some $c > 0$.

Now

$$\begin{aligned} \nu(A) &= m(A \times \{0\}) \\ &= \bar{m} \circ \pi^{-1}(A \times \{0\}) \\ &= \bar{m}\left(\bigcup_{z \in \mathbb{G}} A \cap [g = z] \times \{z\}\right) \\ &= \sum_{z \in \mathbb{G}} \bar{m}(A \cap [g = z] \times \{z\}) \\ &= \sum_{z \in \mathbb{F}} e^{h(z)} \bar{\nu}(A \cap [g = z]) \\ &= \int_{A \cap [g \in \mathbb{F}]} e^{h \circ g} d\bar{\nu}. \end{aligned}$$

By theorem 16.1 in [Fu], \exists a homomorphism $H : \mathbb{G} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ with $H|_{\mathbb{F}} \equiv h$. Fixing one such $H : \mathbb{G} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, and setting $d\mu := e^{H \circ g} d\bar{\nu}$ we see that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d\mu \circ T}{d\mu}(x) &= ce^{H(g(Tx) - g(x))} \frac{d\bar{\nu} \circ T}{d\bar{\nu}} \\ &= ce^{H(g(Tx) - g(x)) - h(\bar{\phi}(x))} = ce^{-H(\phi(x))}. \end{aligned}$$

□

EXCHANGEABLE MEASURES FOR TOPOLOGICAL MARKOV SHIFTS.

We maintain the notation of the previous subsection. Let (X, T, α) be a mixing topological Markov shift, and suppose that $\mu \in \mathfrak{M}_\alpha(X)$ is globally supported and recurrent.

If $\frac{d\mu \circ T}{d\mu}$ is α -measurable, then by proposition 2.0, μ is exchangeable. We claim that its $\mathcal{E}(X)$ -ergodic components are given by proposition 3.7. To see that this proposition applies, we need to check that μ is Markovian, conservative, and exact. The Markov property follows from the remarks before proposition 4.0; the conservativity and exactness of recurrent Markov measures on mixing TMS is well-known (see e.g. [ADU]).

Thus the structure of exchangeable measures with α -measurable derivative is understood. The following two results show that any locally finite, recurrent, $\mathcal{E}(X)$ -invariant, ergodic measure has a similar form, thus generalising and clarifying corollary 2.8 in [ANSS1].

For ν recurrent on X , let $S_\infty = S_\infty(\nu) := \{s \in S : \nu([N_s > 0]) > 0\}$.

Theorem 4.3 (general recurrent case). *Suppose (X, T, α) is a TMS. If $\nu \in \mathfrak{M}(X)$ is locally finite, recurrent and $\mathcal{E}(X, T, \alpha)$ -invariant, ergodic, then there are*

- (1) $X' \subset X \cap S_\infty^{\mathbb{N}}$, $\mathcal{E}(X, T, \alpha)$ -invariant, such that (X', T, α) is a TMS and ;
- (2) a closed, $\mathcal{E}(X, T, \alpha)$ -invariant subset $U \subset X'$.

so that $\nu = \mu|_U$ where $\mu \in \mathfrak{M}_\alpha(X')$ is Markov with $\frac{d\mu \circ T}{d\mu}$ α -measurable.

Proof. We claim first that ν is the restriction of a Markov measure to a union of initial states. To see this, choose $s \in S_\infty$ with $\nu([s]) > 0$ and consider the induced fibred system $([s], T_{[s]}, \tilde{\alpha})$ where $\tilde{\alpha} := \{(s, a) : a = (a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{n-1}) \in (S \setminus \{s\})^{n-1}, n \geq 1\}$. This fibred system is full.

Evidently,

$$\mathcal{E}([s], T_{[s]}, \tilde{\alpha}) \subset \mathcal{E}(X, T, \alpha) \cap ([s] \times [s]) \subset \mathfrak{T}([s], T_{[s]}, \tilde{\alpha}).$$

By proposition 2.2, $\nu|_{[s]}$ is a $([s], T_{[s]}, \tilde{\alpha})$ -product measure. By Proposition 15 of [D-F], $\exists c > 0$ and a stochastic matrix $p = p^{(s)} : S_\infty \times S_\infty \rightarrow [0, 1]$ so that $\nu([s, s_1, s_2, \dots, s_n]) = cp_{s, s_1} p_{s_1, s_2} \dots p_{s_{n-1}, s_n}$. If $t \neq s$, $\nu([t]) > 0$, then by $\mathcal{E}(X, T, \alpha)$ -invariance and ergodicity, $\exists n \geq 1$, $\underline{s} = s_1, \dots, s_n$, $\underline{t} = t_1, \dots, t_n$ such that $s_n = t_n$ and such that the map $(s, \underline{s}, x) \mapsto (t, \underline{t}, x)$ ($[s, \underline{s}] \rightarrow [t, \underline{t}]$) is ν -preserving. It follows that $\exists p$ with $p^{(s)} = p \forall s \in S_\infty$ with $\nu([s]) > 0$, whence showing that ν is a Markov measure with transition probabilities p restricted to $U := \bigcup_{s \in S, \nu([s]) > 0} [s]$.

The stochastic matrix p is recurrent (by assumption) and irreducible (as ν is $\mathcal{E}(X, T, \alpha)$ -ergodic). Thus

$$X' := \{x \in S_\infty^\mathbb{N} : p_{x_n, x_{n+1}} > 0 \forall n \geq 1\}$$

is topologically transitive (with respect to the shift).

In case (X', T, α) is mixing, the result follows from theorem 4.2.

In general (see [Ch]), $X' = \biguplus_{k=1}^N X'_k$ where $N \in \mathbb{N}$ and X'_1, \dots, X'_N are clopen in X' with $TX'_k = X'_{k+1 \bmod N}$. Each (X'_k, T^N, α_N) is mixing and $\mathfrak{T}(T) = \mathfrak{T}(T^N)$ -invariant whence ν is supported on some X'_{k_0} .

Evidently, ν is $\mathcal{E}(X'_{k_0}, T^N, \alpha_N)$ -invariant, whence (by the mixing case) a mixture of conformal, Markov measures. However ν is $\mathfrak{T}(T)$ -ergodic and so this mixture is trivial. \square

Remark. The TMS X' is not necessarily mixing, even if X is mixing. To see this, let $X \subset \{0, 1\}^\mathbb{N}$ be the (mixing) Fibonacci shift with transition matrix $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$. The measure $\nu := \delta_{(1010\dots)}$ is exchangeable, the corresponding TMS $X' = \{(1010\dots), (0101\dots)\}$ being non-mixing with transition matrix $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$.

Suppose that (X, T, α) is a TMS and that $\nu \in \mathcal{P}(X)$ is $\mathcal{E}(X, T, \alpha)$ -invariant and ergodic, then for $s \in S$ $N_s := \sum_{n=0}^\infty 1_{[s]} \circ T^n$ is $\mathcal{E}(X, T, \alpha)$ -invariant, whence constant ν -a.e.. We call $s \in S$ ν -ephemeral if $1 \leq N_s < \infty$ ν -a.e., and the measure ν ephemeral if every state is ν -ephemeral.

If a $\mathcal{E}(X, T, \alpha)$ -ergodic measure ν is non-ephemeral, then

$$S_\infty = S_\infty(\nu) = \{a \in \alpha : N_a = \infty \nu\text{-a.e.}\} \neq \emptyset.$$

Theorem 4.4 (general non-ephemeral case).

Suppose (X, T, α) is a TMS. If $\nu \in \mathfrak{M}(X)$ is locally finite, $\mathcal{E}(X, T, \alpha)$ -invariant, ergodic and non-ephemeral, then there exist

- (1) a cylinder $f = [f_1, \dots, f_N] \subset \Sigma$ with f_1, \dots, f_N ν -ephemeral;
- (2) $X' \subset X \cap S_\infty^\mathbb{N}$ a $\mathcal{E}(X, T, \alpha)$ -invariant TMS;
- (3) a clopen, $\mathcal{E}(X, T, \alpha)$ -invariant subset $U \subset X'$;
- (4) a Markov measure $\mu \in \mathfrak{M}_\alpha(X')$

so that $\frac{d\mu \circ T}{d\mu}$ is α -measurable and

$$\nu = c \sum_{\sigma \in S_N, \sigma f \cap U \neq \emptyset} \delta_{\sigma f} \times \mu|_{T^N \sigma f \cap U}$$

where $\sigma f := [f_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, f_{\sigma(N)}]$.

Proof. Let S_e be the set of ν -ephemeral states and S_∞ be the set of ν -recurrent states. Let $\mathbf{n}(x) := \min \{n \geq 1 : x_n \in S_\infty\}$. We claim that \mathbf{n} is constant and that $\mathbf{n} = \sum_{f \in S_e} N_f < \infty$.

To see this, we claim first that for ν -a.e. x , $x_k \in S_\infty \forall k \geq \mathbf{n}(x)$.

Since $S_\infty \neq \emptyset$, $\mathbf{n} < \infty$ ν -a.e. Suppose that $x \in X$, $\mathbf{n}(x) = N$, $x_N = s \in S_\infty$ and $\nu([x_1, \dots, x_N]) > 0$. It follows that $\nu([x_1, \dots, x_N] \cap T^{-N}(\cdot))$ is a locally finite, $\mathcal{E}([s], T_{[s]}, \tilde{\alpha})$ -invariant, $\mathfrak{T}([s], T_{[s]}, \tilde{\alpha})$ -ergodic measure on $[s]$ which is, by proposition 2.2, a multiple of a $([s], T_{[s]}, \tilde{\alpha})$ -product measure. Thus

$$x_n \in \begin{cases} S_e & 1 \leq n \leq \mathbf{n}(x), \\ S_\infty & n > \mathbf{n}(x), \end{cases}$$

whence $\mathbf{n}(x) = \sum_{f \in S_e} N_f(x) < \infty$, which is constant by $\mathcal{E}(X, T, \alpha)$ -ergodicity.

The result follows from this, and theorem 4.3. \square

Example. The following example (from [Pe-S]) shows that there may be ν -ephemeral states.

Consider the TMS X on the states $\{0, 1, 2, 3\}^{\mathbb{N}}$ with allowed transitions

$$\underset{\circ}{0} \leftrightarrow \underset{\circ}{1} \rightarrow 2 \rightarrow 3 \rightarrow 1$$

and the exchangeable $\nu \in \mathcal{P}(X)$ given by

$$\nu([3, \epsilon_1, \epsilon_2, \dots, \epsilon_n]) := \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2^n} & \epsilon_1, \epsilon_2, \dots, \epsilon_n = 0, 1, \\ 0 & \text{else.} \end{cases}$$

EXAMPLES OF EPHEMERAL AND NON-EPHEMERAL EXCHANGEABLE MEASURES

Finite images. Let (X, T, α) be a TMS with state space S and transition matrix $A = (t_{ij})_{i,j \in S \times S}$.

We say that (X, T) has the *finite images* property if A has finitely many rows (equivalently $\{Ta : a \in \alpha\}$ is finite). Any TMS with finite state space has this property, as does the full shift on a countable alphabet, and any shift obtained from the full shift by removing a finite collection of edges.

Proposition 4.5. *If (X, T, α) has the finite images property and $\nu \in \mathfrak{M}(X)$ is topologically σ -finite, $\mathcal{E}(X, T, \alpha)$ -invariant, ergodic, then ν is not ephemeral.*

Proof. Let $\nu \in \mathfrak{M}(X)$ is topologically σ -finite, $\mathcal{E}(X, T, \alpha)$ -invariant, ergodic. Suppose also that $\nu \in \mathfrak{M}(X)$ is ephemeral.

For $a \in S$ define $R(a), C(a) : S \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$ by $R(a)(b) := t_{ab}$, $C(a)(b) := t_{ba}$. The finite images property is that $\mathcal{R} := \{R(a) : a \in S\}$ is finite, say equal to $\{R_1, \dots, R_N\}$. We claim that $\mathcal{C} := \{C(a) : a \in S\}$ is finite as well. To see this, note that

$$S = \biguplus_{i=1}^N A_i, \text{ where } A_i = \{a \in S : R(a) = R_i\}.$$

For each i , $C(a)$ is constant on A_i , because

$$C(a)(b) = t_{ba} = R(b)(a) = R_i(a) \text{ is independent of } b \in A_i.$$

It follows that $|\{C(a) : a \in S\}| \leq 2^N$.

Fix $(C, R) \in \mathcal{C} \times \mathcal{R}$ and let $S_{(C,R)} := \{a \in S : C(a) = C, R(a) = R\}$. If $a \in S_{(C,R)}$, $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-1} \notin S_{(C,R)}$ and $[S_{(C,R)}] \cap T^n[a, x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}] \neq \emptyset$ (where $[S_{(C,R)}] := \bigcup_{s \in S_{(C,R)}} [s]$), then $\exists b \in S_{(C,R)}$ with $t_{x_{n-1},b} = 1$ whence $C(x_{n-1}) = 1$ and $T^n[a, x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}] \supset [S_{(C,R)}]$.

It follows that $(A_{(C,R)}, T_{A_{(C,R)}}, \alpha_{(C,R)})$ is a full fibred system where $A_{(C,R)} := \{x \in [S_{(C,R)}] : x_n \in S_{(C,R)} \text{ infinitely often}\}$ and

$$\alpha_{(C,R)} := \{[a, x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}] : n \geq 1, a \in S_{(C,R)}, x_k \notin S_{(C,R)}, \sum_{b \in S_{(C,R)}} t_{x_{n-1},b} > 0\}.$$

Also

$$\mathcal{E}(A_{(C,R)}, T_{A_{(C,R)}}, \alpha_{(C,R)}) \subset \mathcal{E}(X, T, \alpha) \cap A_{(C,R)} \times A_{(C,R)}.$$

Since $\mathcal{C} \times \mathcal{R}$ is finite, $\exists N_0 \geq 1$, $(C, R) \in \mathcal{C} \times \mathcal{R}$ such that $\nu(T^{-N_0} A_{(C,R)}) > 0$.

By topological σ -finiteness, $\exists N \geq N_0$, $a \in \alpha_N$ such that $0 < \nu(a \cap T^{-N} A_{(C,R)}) < \infty$.

The probability $q \in \mathcal{P}(A_{(C,R)})$ defined by $q(B) := \frac{\nu(a \cap T^{-N} B)}{\nu(a \cap T^{-N} A_{(C,R)})}$ is $\mathcal{E}(A_{(C,R)}, T_{A_{(C,R)}}, \alpha_{(C,R)})$ -invariant and $\mathcal{E}(X, T, \alpha) \cap A_{(C,R)} \times A_{(C,R)}$ -ergodic.

By theorem 2.1, part 2, q is a mixture of $(A_{(C,R)}, T_{A_{(C,R)}}, \alpha_{(C,R)})$ -product measures, but by $\mathcal{E}(X, T, \alpha) \cap A_{(C,R)} \times A_{(C,R)}$ -ergodicity, there is only one component and q is a $(A_{(C,R)}, T_{A_{(C,R)}}, \alpha_{(C,R)})$ -product measure.

In particular q is recurrent with respect to $(A_{(C,R)}, T_{A_{(C,R)}}, \alpha_{(C,R)})$ and ν cannot be ephemeral. \square

The simple, aperiodic random walks. Consider the *simple, aperiodic random walks* TMS's X_d for $d \in \mathbb{N}$ where:

$$X_d := \{x \in (\mathbb{Z}^d)^{\mathbb{N}} : \|x_{n+1} - x_n\|_{\infty} \leq 1 \ \forall n \geq 1\}$$

equipped with the shift map T and partition $\alpha := \{[s] : s \in \mathbb{Z}^d\}$. Note that

(i) (X_d, T, α) is almost onto, so by theorem 3.7, any globally supported, Markov measure on X is $\mathcal{E}(X, T, \alpha)$ -ergodic;

(ii) $X_d = X^d$ where $X := X_1$.

Now let $\mu \in \mathfrak{M}_{\alpha}(X)$ be a recurrent, $\mathcal{E}(X, T, \alpha)$ -invariant, ergodic, measure on X . By theorem 4.3, μ is Markov with α -measurable derivative $\frac{d\mu \circ T}{d\mu}$.

The symmetric, global, random walk measure m on X given by

$$m([a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n]) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{3^n} & |a_{k+1} - a_k| \leq 1, \ 1 \leq k \leq n-1, \\ 0 & \text{else} \end{cases}$$

is null recurrent and of this form. Note however that $m_d := \underbrace{m \times \dots \times m}_{d\text{-times}}$ is transient on X $\forall d \geq 2$.

Proposition 4.6. *For each $d \geq 1$ there is a positively recurrent, exchangeable, Markov measure $\mu \in \mathfrak{M}_\alpha(X_d)$.*

Proof. It suffices to find a positively recurrent, exchangeable, Markov measure $\mu \in \mathfrak{M}_\alpha(X)$ for then $\underbrace{\mu \times \dots \times \mu}_{d\text{-times}} \in \mathfrak{M}_\alpha(X_d)$ is as required.

To this end, fix $0 < z < 1$, set $\pi_s = z^{|s|}$ and let $\mu \in \mathfrak{M}_\alpha(X)$ be the Markov measure with $\mu([s]) = \pi_s$ and $\frac{d\mu \circ T}{d\mu}$ α -measurable (as in proposition 4.0). The underlying stochastic matrix is given by for $s \in \mathbb{Z}$, $i = 0, \pm 1$:

$$p_{s,s+i} = \begin{cases} \frac{z^i}{z^{-1}+1+z} & s \in \mathbb{Z}, s > 0, i = 0, \pm 1 \\ \frac{z^{-i}}{z^{-1}+1+z} & s \in \mathbb{Z}, s < 0, i = 0, \pm 1, \\ \frac{z^{|i|}}{2z+1} & s = 0, i = 0, \pm 1. \end{cases}$$

An invariant distribution on \mathbb{Z} for P is given by

$$c_t = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{1+z+z^2} & t = 0, \\ \frac{z^{2|t|-1}}{2z+1} & t \neq 0. \end{cases}$$

Since $\sum_{t \in S} c_t < \infty$, the stochastic matrix P is positively recurrent. \square

Proposition 4.7. *Set $Z := \{x \in X : N_s(x) = 0 \forall s \leq 0, N_s(x) = 2 \forall s \geq 1\}$. There is a unique $\mathcal{E}(X, T, \alpha)$ -invariant, ergodic measure $\bar{\nu} \in \mathfrak{M}_\alpha(X)$ which is carried by Z and such that $\bar{\nu}([1]) = 1$. This measure is non-atomic and ephemeral.*

Proof. Let $x \in Z \cap [1]$ and call $K \in \mathbb{N}$ good for x if $\#\{1 \leq k \leq 2K : x_k = s\} = 2 \forall 1 \leq s \leq K$ and let $\kappa(x) := \min\{K \geq 1 : K \text{ good for } x\}$. The possibilities for $\kappa(x)$ are:

- (i) $\kappa(x) = 1$ in case $x = (1, 1, \tau(x) + (1, 1, 1, \dots))$ where $\tau(x) \in Z \cap [1]$, and
- (ii) $\kappa(x) = 2$ in case $x = (1, 2, 1, 2, \tau(x) + (2, 2, 2, \dots))$ where $\tau(x) \in Z \cap [1]$. Note that $\tau : Z \cap [1] \rightarrow Z \cap [1]$ is defined by

$$\tau(x) := T^{2\kappa(x)}(x) - (\kappa(x), \kappa(x), \dots).$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} (x, y) \in \mathcal{E}(X, T, \alpha) \cap (Z \cap [1] \times Z \cap [1]) &\Leftrightarrow \\ \exists k, \ell \geq 1 \ni \kappa(\tau^{j+k}x) = \kappa(\tau^{j+\ell}y) \forall j \geq 1, &\& \sum_{j=0}^k \kappa(\tau^jx) = \sum_{j=0}^\ell \kappa(\tau^jy). \end{aligned}$$

Defining $\Phi : Z \cap [1] \rightarrow \Omega := \{1, 2\}^{\mathbb{Z}_+}$ by $\Phi(x)_n := \kappa(\tau^n x)$, we have that

$$\Phi \times \Phi(\mathcal{E}(X, T, \alpha) \cap (Z \cap [1] \times Z \cap [1])) = \mathfrak{G}(S, \vartheta) \cap (\Phi(Z \cap [1]) \times \Phi(Z \cap [1]))$$

where S is the shift map on Ω and $\vartheta(x) := x_1$.

Evidently $\Phi : Z \cap [1] \rightarrow \Phi(Z \cap [1])$ is a homeomorphism. We claim next that $\Phi(Z \cap [1]) = \Omega$.

To see this set $a(1) := (1, 1)$, $a(2) := (1, 2, 1, 2)$ and define $\pi : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^{\mathbb{N}}$ by

$$\pi(\omega) := (a(\omega_0) + s_0, a(\omega_1) + s_1, \dots, a(\omega_n) + s_n, \dots)$$

where $s_n = s_n(\omega)$ is defined by $s_0 = 0$, $s_{n+1} = s_n + \omega_n$. Evidently $\pi \circ \Phi = \text{Id.}|_{Z \cap [1]}$, whence $\pi(\Omega) = Z \cap [1]$. We've shown that

¶1 $\Phi : Z \cap [1] \rightarrow \Omega$ is a homeomorphism and
 $\Phi \times \Phi(\mathcal{E}(X, T, \alpha) \cap (Z \cap [1] \times Z \cap [1])) = \mathfrak{G}(S, \vartheta)$.

¶2 We claim next that $\mathfrak{G}(S, \vartheta)$ is uniquely ergodic in that \exists a unique $\mathfrak{G}(S, \vartheta)$ -invariant $\mu \in \mathcal{P}(\Omega)$.

It is not hard to check that if $p(\omega) = p^\omega$ ($\omega = 1, 2$) where $p + p^2 = 1$ ($p = \frac{\sqrt{5}-1}{2}$), and $\mu \in \mathcal{P}(\Omega)$, $\mu([\omega_1, \dots, \omega_n]) = \prod_{k=1}^n p(\omega_k) = p^{\vartheta_n(\omega)}$, then μ is $\mathfrak{G}(S, \vartheta)$ -invariant.

To see uniqueness of this μ , we note first that

$$\mathcal{E}(\Omega) \subset \mathfrak{G}(S, \vartheta) \subset \mathfrak{G}(S).$$

If $\bar{\mu} \in \mathcal{P}(\Omega)$ is $\mathfrak{G}(S, \vartheta)$ -invariant, ergodic, then it is $\mathcal{E}(\Omega)$ -invariant, whence by de-Finetti an average of product measures; and $\mathfrak{G}(S)$ -ergodic, whence a product measure. Writing $\bar{\mu} = \prod q$, we have by $\mathfrak{G}(S, \vartheta)$ -invariance that $q(1)^2 = \mu([11]) = \mu([2]) = q(2)$ whence $\bar{\mu} = \mu$.

¶3 We can now show that there is a unique $\mathcal{E}(X, T, \alpha) \cap (Z \cap [1] \times Z \cap [1])$ -invariant, ergodic probability measure $\nu \in \mathcal{P}(Z \cap [1])$ and this measure is non-atomic.

This follows from ¶1, ¶2 and the unique ergodicity of $\mathfrak{G}(S, \vartheta)$:
 $P \in \mathcal{P}(\Omega)$ is $\mathfrak{T}(\Omega, S)$ -invariant, ergodic iff $P \circ \pi^{-1} \in \mathcal{P}(Z \cap [1])$ is $\mathcal{E}(X, T, \alpha) \cap (Z \cap [1] \times Z \cap [1])$ -invariant, ergodic. The required probability is $\nu := \mu \circ \pi^{-1}$ where μ is as in ¶2.

To complete the proof of the theorem, we take for $\bar{\nu}$ the unique $\mathcal{E}(X, T, \alpha)$ -invariant, ergodic measure $\bar{\nu}$ on Z so that $\bar{\nu}|_{Z \cap [1]} = \nu$.

If λ is another $\mathcal{E}(X, T, \alpha)$ -invariant, ergodic measure on Z so that $\lambda(Z \cap [1]) = 1$, then by ¶3, $\lambda|_{Z \cap [1]} = \nu$, whence $\lambda = \bar{\nu}$.

Finally, we note that $Z = \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} \{(k, k-1, \dots, 2, 1, 1, 2, \dots, k-1, k, u^k(x)) : x \in Z \cap [1]\}$ where $u(x)_n := x_n + 1$, whence $\bar{\nu} \in \mathfrak{M}_\alpha(X)$. \square

Remark.

It follows from theorem 2.2 in [ANSS] that the only partition-bounded, $\mathfrak{T}(T)$ -invariant, ergodic measures on X are the random walk measures m_f of form

$$m_f([s_1, s_2, \dots, s_n]) = \pi_{s_1} p_{s_1, s_2} \cdots p_{s_{n-1}, s_n}$$

with $p_{s, s+\epsilon} := f_\epsilon$ ($\epsilon = \pm 1$), where $f_\epsilon = z^\epsilon p$ for some $0 < p \leq \frac{1}{3}$, $z = z_\pm(p) = \frac{1}{2}(\frac{1}{p} - 1 \pm \sqrt{(\frac{1}{p} - 1)^2 - 4})$ and $\pi_s = z^s$ ($s \in \mathbb{Z}$). The only recurrent measure of this form is the symmetric one with $p = \frac{1}{3}$, $z = 1$. All the others are ephemeral and not $\mathcal{E}(X, T, \alpha)$ -ergodic. Their ergodic decompositions may be of interest.

§5 β -EXPANSIONS

Given $\beta > 1$ define $T = T_\beta : I := [0, 1] \rightarrow I$ by $Tx := \{\beta x\}$. This leaves Lebesgue measure m quasi invariant ($m \circ T^{-1} \sim m$). Consider the fibred system (I, T, α) where $\alpha := \{([j-j+1] \setminus [\beta]^{-1}) \cup ([\beta]^{-1})\}$. For the basic results on β expansions, see

[Ge], [Pa] and [Re]. It is known that (I, \mathcal{B}, m, T) is an exact endomorphism with a Lebesgue-equivalent invariant probability.

The β -expansion of $x \in I$ is $\pi(x) = \pi_\beta(x) = (\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2, \dots) \in \{0, 1, \dots, [\beta]\}^\mathbb{N}$ where $\epsilon_n := [\beta T_\beta^{n-1} x]$. Evidently $x = \sum_{n=1}^\infty \frac{\epsilon_n}{\beta^n}$. Given $x \in \mathbb{Z}_+^\mathbb{N}$ and $\beta > 1$ write $x(\beta) := \sum_{n=1}^\infty \frac{x_n}{\beta^n}$.

Now fix $\beta > 1$ and set $X_\beta := \overline{\pi_\beta(I)}$. Set

$$\omega = \omega_\beta := \begin{cases} (\overline{\eta_1, \eta_2, \dots, \eta_{q-1}, \eta_q - 1}) & \pi_\beta(1) = (\eta_1, \eta_2, \dots, \eta_{q-1}, \eta_q, \overline{0}), \\ \pi_\beta(1) & \text{else.} \end{cases}$$

The following is in [Pa]:

$$(5.1) \quad X_\beta = \{y \in \{0, 1, \dots, [\beta]\}^\mathbb{N} : y_k^\infty \prec \omega \ \forall k \geq 1\}$$

where $x \prec y$ means

$$\exists n \geq 1, \ x_n < y_n, \ x_1^{n-1} = y_1^{n-1}.$$

For $a = [a_1, \dots, a_N] \in \alpha_N$, define

$$K_N(a) := \begin{cases} 0 & \nexists 1 \leq n \leq N, \ a_{N-n+1}^N = \omega_1^n, \\ \max\{1 \leq n \leq N, \ a_{N-n+1}^N = \omega_1^n\} & \text{else,} \end{cases}$$

then

$$(5.2) \quad T^N[a_1, \dots, a_N] = \{y \in X_\beta : y \prec \omega_{K_N(a)+1}^\infty\}.$$

Remark on "full cylinders". A cylinder $[x_1, \dots, x_N]$ with $T^N[x_1, \dots, x_N] = X_\beta$ is called *full*. Full cylinders were considered in [Re], [Pa], [Sm], [I-Ta], [W] and [Bl]. Various versions of (5.2) are implicit in these.

Set $\Gamma := \{x \in X_\beta : \exists n \geq 1, \ x_n^\infty = \omega\}$, then $\pi : I \setminus \pi^{-1}\Gamma \rightarrow X_\beta \setminus \Gamma$ is invertible and $\pi \circ T_\beta = T \circ \pi$ where $T : X_\beta \rightarrow X_\beta$ is the shift. Accordingly set $X_{\beta,0} := X_\beta \setminus \Gamma$ and define the *Bernoulli jump transformation* (see page 133 of [Schw]) $\psi : X_\beta \setminus \Gamma \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$, $S : X_{\beta,0} \rightarrow X_{\beta,0}$ by

$$\psi(x) := \min \{N \geq 1, \ T^N[x_1, \dots, x_N] = X_\beta\}, \quad S(x) := T^{\psi(x)}(x).$$

This is well-defined on $X_{\beta,0}$, and evidently $(X_{\beta,0}, S, \tilde{\alpha})$ is a full fibred system where

$$\tilde{\alpha} := \{a \in \alpha_n : n \geq 1, \ a \subset [\psi = n]\}.$$

We have

$$(5.3) \quad \mathcal{E}(X_{\beta,0}, S, \tilde{\alpha}) \subset \mathfrak{T}(X_{\beta,0}, T, \alpha) \subset \mathfrak{T}(X_{\beta,0}, S, \tilde{\alpha}) \subset \mathfrak{G}(X_{\beta,0}, T, \alpha).$$

5.1 Proposition (ergodicity of $\mathcal{E}(X_\beta)$ mod m). For $\beta > 1$,

$$\mathfrak{I}(\mathcal{E}(X_\beta, T, \alpha)) \stackrel{m}{=} \{\emptyset, X_\beta\}.$$

Proof. Observed on I , S is a piecewise onto affine map, and so m is a $(S, \tilde{\alpha})$ -product measure. Thus, by theorem 2.1, $\mathfrak{I}(\mathcal{E}(X_\beta, S, \tilde{\alpha})) \stackrel{m}{=} \{\emptyset, X_\beta\}$. By (5.3) $\mathfrak{I}(\mathcal{E}(X_\beta, T, \alpha)) \stackrel{m}{\subseteq} \mathfrak{I}(\mathcal{E}(X_\beta, S, \tilde{\alpha})) \stackrel{m}{=} \{\emptyset, X_\beta\}$. \square

5.2 Proposition (unique ergodicity of $\mathfrak{T}(X_\beta, T, \alpha)$). *Suppose that $\mu \in \mathfrak{M}(X_\beta)$ is topologically σ -finite and $\mathfrak{T}(X_\beta, T, \alpha)$ -invariant, then $\mu = c\mu$ (for some $c > 0$).*

Proof. Let $\mu \in \mathfrak{M}(X_\beta)$ be topologically σ -finite and $\mathfrak{T}(X_\beta, T, \alpha)$ -ergodic, invariant. Since $\mathfrak{T}(T)$ -equivalence classes are dense, μ is non-atomic, whence $\mu(X_\beta \setminus X_{\beta,0}) = 0$.

We claim that μ is locally finite on $X_{\beta,0}$. To see this, note first that by topological σ -finiteness, there is a cylinder set of positive, finite measure. By non-atomicity, we may assume that the cylinder is full. Namely: $\exists b \in \alpha_N \cap \tilde{\alpha}_{N'}$ with $0 < \mu(b) < \infty$. Now let $x \in X$. Since $T^N b = X$, we may define the $\mathfrak{T}(X_\beta, T, \alpha)$ -holonomy $\kappa : x_1^N \rightarrow b$ by $\kappa(x_1^N, z) := (b, z)$. By $\mathfrak{T}(X_\beta, T, \alpha)$ -invariance,

$$\mu([x_1^N]) \leq \mu(\kappa[x_1^N]) \leq \mu(b) < \infty$$

and μ is locally finite on $X_{\beta,0}$.

By (5.3), μ is $\mathfrak{T}(X_{\beta,0}, S, \tilde{\alpha})$ -ergodic and $\mathcal{E}(X_{\beta,0}, S, \tilde{\alpha})$ -invariant. By proposition 2.2, μ is a $(S, \tilde{\alpha})$ -product measure.

The $\mathfrak{T}(X_\beta, T, \alpha)$ -invariance implies that $\exists t > 0$ so that $\mu(a) = t^n \forall a \in \tilde{\alpha} \cap \alpha_n$. To see this, suppose that $a \in \tilde{\alpha} \cap \alpha_k$, $b \in \tilde{\alpha} \cap \alpha_\ell$, then

$$\underbrace{[a, \dots, a]}_{\ell\text{-times}} \xrightarrow{\mathfrak{T}(X_\beta, T, \alpha)} \underbrace{[b, \dots, b]}_{k\text{-times}} \quad \text{by} \quad \underbrace{(a, \dots, a, x)}_{\ell\text{-times}} \mapsto \underbrace{(b, \dots, b, x)}_{k\text{-times}}$$

so $\mu(a)^\ell = \mu(b)^k$. The case $k = \ell$ shows that $\exists t_k > 0$ so that $\mu(a) = t_k \forall a \in \tilde{\alpha} \cap \alpha_k$ and the other cases show that $\exists t > 0$ so that $t_k^{\frac{1}{k}} = t \forall k \geq 1$, $\tilde{\alpha} \cap \alpha_k \neq \emptyset$. This $t > 0$ is uniquely determined by $\sum_{a \in \tilde{\alpha}} \mu(a) = 1$, whence $t = \frac{1}{\beta}$ and $m = \mu$. \square

Note that the proof of proposition 5.2 only used the existence of one cylinder with positive, finite μ -measure.

As before, define $F^\natural : X_\beta \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^{[\beta]}$ by $F^\natural(x)_j := \delta_{j, x_1}$.

5.3 Lemma.

$$\mathcal{E}(X_{\beta,0}, T, \alpha) = \{(x, y) \in X_{\beta,0} \times X_{\beta,0} : \exists N \geq 1, x_{N+1}^\infty = y_{N+1}^\infty, x_1^N \bowtie y_1^N\}$$

where $x_1^N \bowtie y_1^N$ means that $F_N^\natural(x) = F_N^\natural(y)$ and $\exists k, \ell, [x_1^N] \in \tilde{\alpha}_k, [y_1^N] \in \tilde{\alpha}_\ell$.

Construction. In the following, we fix $\beta > 1$ and $J \subset \{0, 1, \dots, [\beta]\}$, $|J| > 1$. Note that $X_{\beta,0}(J) := X_{\beta,0} \cap J^\mathbb{N} \neq \emptyset$ (since $j_1 < j_2 \in J \Rightarrow (j_1, j_1, \dots) \in X_{\beta,0}(J)$).

Setting $\alpha_n(J) := \{a = [\underline{a}] \in \alpha_n : \underline{a} \in J^n\}$ and $\tilde{\alpha}(J) := \bigcup_{n=1}^\infty \tilde{\alpha} \cap \alpha_n(J)$, we have that $(X_{\beta,0}(J), S, \tilde{\alpha}(J))$ is a full fibred system whence $X_{\beta,0}(J)$ is either a singleton, or uncountable, in which latter case $X_\beta(J) := X_\beta \cap J^\mathbb{N} = \overline{X_{\beta,0}(J)}$.

To see that the singleton case is possible, let $\beta = \frac{3+\sqrt{5}}{2}$, then $\omega = (2, \bar{1})$ and $X_{\beta,0}(\{1, 2\}) = \{(1, 1, \dots)\}$.

As before, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{E}(X_{\beta,0}(J), S, \tilde{\alpha}(J)) &\subset \mathfrak{T}(X_{\beta,0}(J), T, \alpha(J)) \\ (5.3(J)) \quad &\subset \mathfrak{T}(X_{\beta,0}(J), S, \tilde{\alpha}(J)) \subset \mathfrak{G}(X_{\beta,0}(J), T, \alpha(J)). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, in the infinite case, $\mathfrak{G}(T) \cap X_{\beta,0}(J) \times X_{\beta,0}(J)$ is minimal.

5.4 Proposition. *Suppose that $X_\beta(J)$ is infinite, and let $H : J \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$, then*

- (i) *there is a unique $\lambda > 0$ and $(\frac{1}{\lambda H}, T)$ -conformal $\mu_{J,H} \in \mathcal{P}(X_\beta(J))$;*
- (ii) *$\mu_{J,H}$ is a $(S, \tilde{\alpha})$ -product measure and is $\mathcal{E}(X_\beta)$ -invariant and ergodic.*

Proof. In case ω_β is eventually periodic, $X_\beta(J)$ is sofic (see [Bl]) and a continuous, equivariant image of a TMS. Existence follows from Ruelle's Perron-Frobenius theorem, which provides a non-atomic, $(\frac{1}{\lambda H}, T)$ -conformal measure.

In the case that ω_β is not eventually periodic, we prove existence (as in §2 and §3 of [W]) by considering $X_\beta(J)$ endowed with the (lexicographic) order topology and disconnecting it at $\Gamma := \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} T^n\{(\bar{0}), \omega_\beta\}$ to obtain a compact metric space

$$Y = Y_\beta(J) := (X_\beta(J) \setminus \Gamma) \cup \Gamma \times \{-, +\},$$

continuous maps $T_Y : Y \rightarrow Y$ and $\pi : Y \rightarrow X_\beta(J)$ defined by

$$(T_Y)|_{X_\beta(J) \setminus \Gamma} \equiv T, \quad \pi|_{X_\beta(J) \setminus \Gamma} \equiv \text{Id}, \quad T_Y(\gamma, \epsilon) := (T\gamma, \epsilon), \quad \pi(\gamma, \epsilon) := \gamma$$

so that $\pi \circ T_Y = T \circ \pi$. It follows that $H \circ \pi : Y \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ is continuous.

By Schauder's fixed point theorem (as in §2 and §3 of [W]), $\exists \nu_Y \in \mathcal{P}(Y)$ $(\frac{1}{\lambda H \circ \pi}, T_Y)$ -conformal for some $\lambda > 0$.

We claim that $\nu_Y(\pi^{-1}\Gamma) = 0$.

- We show first that $\nu_Y\{((\bar{0}), \pm)\} = 0$.

Otherwise one of the preimages $z = ((j, \bar{0}), \pm)$ has positive measure. The exchangeable orbit of $((j, \bar{0}), \pm)$ is $\{(\underbrace{(0, \dots, 0)}_{n\text{-times}}, j, \bar{0}), \pm\}_{n=1}^\infty$. Since ν_Y is $(\frac{1}{\lambda H \circ \pi}, T_Y)$ -

conformal, $\nu_Y(\{(\underbrace{(0, \dots, 0)}_{n\text{-times}}, j, \bar{0}), \pm\}) = \nu_Y(\{z\}) \forall n \geq 0$, a contradiction to the finiteness of ν_Y .

- We next show that $\nu_Y(\{(\omega_\beta, \pm)\}) = 0$.

Suppose (to get a contradiction) that $\nu_Y(\{(\omega_\beta, \pm)\}) > 0$. In this case, $\omega_\beta \in X_\beta(J)$, whence $a_n \in J \forall n \geq 1$. In particular $a_{\min} := \min\{a_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\} \in J$. We construct a preimage of (ω_β, \pm) , which on one hand belongs to $Y_\beta(J)$ (and by T_Y -non-singularity has positive measure); and on the other hand has an infinite exchangeable orbit (with infinite measure), in contradiction to the finiteness of ν_Y .

Set $z^\pm := ((a_{\min}, \omega_\beta), \pm)$, then $z^\pm \in Y(J)$ and its exchangeable orbit consists of $\{(a_1^{n-1}, a_{\min}, a_{n+1}^\infty) : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$.

This is an infinite set whenever ω_β is not eventually periodic.

- We now prove that $\nu_Y(\pi^{-1}\Gamma) = 0$.

For every $\gamma \in \Gamma$, $\epsilon = \pm 1$, either $(\gamma, \epsilon) \notin Y(J)$ in which case $\nu_Y\{(\gamma, \epsilon)\} = 0$, or $(\gamma, \epsilon) \in Y(J)$ and $\exists n, k > 0$ such that $T_Y^n((\gamma, \epsilon)) \in T^k\{(\bar{0}), \omega_\beta\}$ and $\nu_Y\{(\gamma, \epsilon)\} = 0$ by T_Y -non-singularity of ν_Y .

- We now obtain a non-atomic, $(\frac{1}{\lambda H}, T)$ -conformal $\mu_{J,H} \in \mathcal{P}(X_{\beta,0}(J))$.

Since ν_Y is supported on $X_\beta(J) \setminus \Gamma$, $\pi : (Y, \mathcal{B}(Y), \nu_Y) \rightarrow (X_\beta(J), \mathcal{B}, \nu_Y \circ \pi^{-1})$ is a measure theoretic isomorphism. It follows that $\mu_{J,H} := \nu_Y \circ \pi^{-1}$ is the required conformal measure supported on $X_{\beta,0}$.

We now turn to unicity, and (ii).

- By $(\frac{1}{\lambda H}, T)$ -conformality,

where $\tilde{H} : \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ is defined by

$$\tilde{H}([a_1^n]) := \prod_{k=1}^n H(a_k) \quad ([a_1^n] \in \tilde{\alpha} \cap \alpha_n).$$

This shows that $\mu_{J,H}$ is a $(S, \tilde{\alpha})$ -product measure.

- Let $B_n := \sum_{a \in \tilde{\alpha} \cap \alpha_n} \tilde{H}(a)$, then $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} B_n \lambda^n = 1$. This shows that the $\lambda > 0$ appearing in (i) is unique.
- Since $\mu_{J,H}$ is a $(S, \tilde{\alpha})$ -product measure, it is $\mathcal{E}(X_{\beta,0}, S, \tilde{\alpha})$ -invariant, and (by theorem 2.1, part 1), $\mathcal{E}(X_{\beta,0}, S, \tilde{\alpha})$ -ergodic, whence by (5.3), $\mathcal{E}(X_{\beta,0}, T, \alpha)$ -ergodic.
- It remains to show $\mathcal{E}(X_{\beta,0}, T, \alpha)$ -invariance.
By lemma 5.3, $\mathcal{E}(X_{\beta,0}, T, \alpha)$ is generated by holonomies of form $(\tilde{x}, z) \mapsto (\tilde{y}, z)$ where $[\tilde{x}] \bowtie [\tilde{y}]$, which implies $\mu_{J,H}([\tilde{x}]) = \mu_{J,H}([\tilde{y}])$ whence $\mathcal{E}(X_{\beta,0}, T, \alpha)$ -invariance.
- To see unicity of $\mu_{J,H}$, any nontrivial convex combination of $(\frac{1}{\lambda H}, T)$ -conformal probabilities would yield a $(\frac{1}{\lambda H}, T)$ -conformal probability which was not $\mathcal{E}(X_{\beta,0}, T, \alpha)$ -ergodic. \square

Remark. Lebesgue measure m corresponds to the case $J = \{0, 1, \dots, [\beta]\}$ and H constant.

5.5 Corollary. *If $X_{\beta}(J)$ is infinite, then $\mathcal{E}(X_{\beta,0}, T, \alpha) \cap X_{\beta}(J)^2$ is topologically transitive.*

Our main result on β -expansions is:

5.6 Theorem. *If $\nu \in \mathfrak{M}(X_{\beta,0})$ is locally finite and $\mathcal{E}(X_{\beta,0}, T, \alpha)$ -invariant and ergodic, then $\nu = \mu_{J,H}$ for some $J \subset \{0, 1, \dots, [\beta]\}$, $H : J \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$.*

Remark. Theorem 5.6 fails for $\mathcal{E}(X_{\beta}, T, \alpha)$ -invariant, ergodic $\nu \in \mathcal{P}(X_{\beta})$. For $\beta = \frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2}$, $\omega_{\beta} = (\overline{1}, \overline{0})$ and $\delta_{\omega_{\beta}}$ is $\mathcal{E}(X_{\beta}, T, \alpha)$ -invariant and ergodic but not of form $\mu_{J,H}$.

§6 PROOF OF THEOREM 5.6

6.0 Lemma. *Suppose that (X, T, α) is a fibred system s.t. $\forall x \in X, \exists N \geq 1$ s.t. $T^N[x_1, \dots, x_N] = X$. Let $\psi(x) := \min \{N \geq 1 : T^N[x_1, \dots, x_N] = X\}$, then*

$$\mathfrak{T}(T) = \mathfrak{G}(S, \psi)$$

where $Sx := T^{\psi(x)}x$.

Proof.

\supseteq : Suppose that $x \stackrel{\mathfrak{G}(S, \psi)}{\sim} y$, then $\exists k, \ell \geq 0, S^k x = S^{\ell} y, \psi_k(x) = \psi_{\ell}(y) =: N$ whence $T^N x = S^k x = S^{\ell} y = T^N y$ and $x \stackrel{\mathfrak{T}(T)}{\sim} y$.

\subseteq : Suppose that $x \stackrel{\mathfrak{T}(T)}{\sim} y$, then $\exists N \geq 0, T^N x = T^N y =: z$ and $\exists \ell \geq 1$ so that

$$\kappa := \psi_{\ell}(z) > N + \max\{\psi(T^j x), \psi(T^j y) : 0 \leq j \leq N\}.$$

It suffices to show that $\kappa = \psi_p(x) = \psi_q(y)$ for some $p, q \geq 1$ as in this case,

$\psi_p(x) = \psi_q(y) = \kappa$ and $x \stackrel{\mathfrak{G}(S, \psi)}{\sim} y$.

To see that $\kappa = \psi_p(x) = \psi_q(y)$ for some $p, q \geq 1$ we prove that

$$T^{N+\kappa}[x_1, \dots, x_{N+\kappa}] = T^{N+\kappa}[y_1, \dots, y_{N+\kappa}] = X.$$

We'll show only that $T^{N+\kappa}[x_1, \dots, x_{N+\kappa}] = X$ (the other case being analogous). Suppose otherwise, and let $K := \max\{k \geq 1 : T^{N+\kappa-k}[x_{k+1}, \dots, x_{N+\kappa}] \neq X\}$.

By choice of κ , $T^\kappa[x_{N+1}, \dots, x_{N+\kappa}] = X$, whence $T^{\kappa-j}[x_{N+j+1}, \dots, x_{N+\kappa}] = X \forall 0 \leq j \leq \kappa$. It follows that $K \leq N-1$.

Let $L := \psi(T^K x)$, then $T^L[x_{K+1}, \dots, x_{K+L}] = X$. By choice of κ , $K+L < N+\kappa$ whence

$$\begin{aligned} & T^{N+\kappa-K}[x_{K+1}, \dots, x_{N+\kappa}] \\ &= T^{N+\kappa-K}([x_{K+1}, \dots, x_{K+L}] \cap T^{-L}[x_{K+L+1}, \dots, x_{N+\kappa}]) \\ &= T^L[x_{K+1}, \dots, x_{K+L}] \cap T^{N+\kappa-(K+L)}[x_{K+L+1}, \dots, x_{N+\kappa}] \\ &= T^{N+\kappa-(K+L)}[x_{K+L+1}, \dots, x_{N+\kappa}] \neq X \end{aligned}$$

contradicting maximality of K . \square

6.1 Lemma.

$$\mathcal{E}(X_{\beta,0}, T, \alpha) = \mathfrak{G}(X_{\beta,0}, S, \Phi^{(\beta)})$$

where

$$\Phi^{(\beta)} := (F^\flat, \psi) : X_{\beta,0} \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_\beta := \mathbb{Z}^{[\beta]} \times \mathbb{Z},$$

F^\flat being defined by $F^\flat := \sum_{k=0}^{\psi-1} F^\natural \circ T^k$.

Proof. By proposition 2.0,

$$(x, y) \in \mathcal{E}(X_{\beta,0}, T, \alpha) \Leftrightarrow (x, y) \in \mathfrak{T}(T) \text{ \& } F_n^\natural(x) = F_n^\natural(y) \text{ whenever } T^n x = T^n y.$$

By lemma 6.0, $(x, y) \in \mathfrak{T}(T)$ iff $\exists k, \ell \geq 1$ with $\psi_k(x) = \psi_\ell(y) =: N$ and $S^k x = T^N x = T^N y = S^\ell y$. Thus

$$(x, y) \in \mathcal{E}(X_{\beta,0}, T, \alpha) \Leftrightarrow \exists k, \ell \geq 1$$

such that

$$\psi_k(x) = \psi_\ell(y) =: N, \quad S^k x = T^N x = T^N y = S^\ell y$$

and

$$F_k^\flat(x) = F_N^\natural(x) = F_N^\natural(y) = F_\ell^\flat(y);$$

equivalently $(x, y) \in \mathfrak{G}(S, \Phi^{(\beta)})$. \square

Proof of theorem 5.6.

By (5.3), ν is $\mathcal{E}(X_{\beta,0}, S, \tilde{\alpha})$ -invariant and $\mathfrak{T}(X_{\beta,0}, S, \tilde{\alpha})$ -ergodic. By proposition 2.2, ν is a $(S, \tilde{\alpha})$ -product measure, whence

- for each $0 \leq b \leq [\beta]$, $N_b := \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \delta_{x_k, b} = 0, \infty$ ν -a.e..

It follows that ν is

- either a point mass or non-atomic;
- $\mathfrak{G}(X_{\beta,0}, S)$ non-singular where $X_{\beta,0}$ denotes the closed support of ν in $X_{\beta,0}$.

Let

$$J := \{b \in \{0, 1, \dots, [\beta]\} : N_b = \infty\}.$$

By the topological transitivity of $\mathcal{E}(X_{\beta,0}, T, \alpha) \cap X_{\beta}(J)^2$ (corollary 5.5), $X_{\beta,\nu} = X_{\beta}(J)$. Assume that ν is not a point mass.

Now fix $j_0 := \min J$, define $F^{J,\natural} : X_{\beta}(J) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^{J \setminus \{j_0\}}$ by $F^{J,\natural}(x)_j := \delta_{x_1,j}$, $F^{J,b} : X_{\beta}(J) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^{J \setminus \{j_0\}}$ by $F^{J,b} := \sum_{k=0}^{\psi-1} F^{J,\natural}$ and $\Phi = \Phi^{(J)} : X_{\beta}(J) \rightarrow \mathbb{G} := \mathbb{Z}^{J \setminus \{j_0\}} \times \mathbb{Z}$ by $\Phi := (F^{J,b}, \psi)$.

By lemma 6.1 and (1.1),

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{E}(X_{\beta}(J), T, \alpha) &= \mathfrak{G}(X_{\beta}(J), S, \Phi) \\ &\cong \mathfrak{G}(X_{\beta}(J) \times \mathbb{G}, S_{\Phi}) \cap (X_{\beta}(J) \times \{0\})^2. \end{aligned}$$

By proposition 1.1, there is a unique σ -finite, $\mathfrak{G}(X_{\beta}(J) \times \mathbb{G}, S_{\Phi})$ -invariant, ergodic measure m on $X_{\beta}(J) \times \mathbb{G}$ so that

$$m(A \times \{0\}) = \nu(A) \quad \forall \quad A \in \mathcal{B}(X_{\beta}(J)).$$

¶1 $m(X_{\beta}(J) \times \{g\}) < \infty \quad \forall \quad g \in \mathbb{G}$.

Proof We claim first that $\nu_g \ll \nu \quad \forall \quad g \in \mathbb{G}$ where $\nu_g(A) := m(A \times \{g\})$. To see this, suppose that $B \in \mathcal{B}(X_{\beta}(J))$, $m(B \times \{g\}) > 0$, then by $\mathfrak{G}(X_{\beta}(J) \times \mathbb{G}, S_{\Phi})$ -ergodicity of m , $\exists k, \ell \geq 1$, $a \in \tilde{\alpha}_k$, $b \in \tilde{\alpha}_{\ell}$ and $A \in \mathcal{B}(X_{\beta})$, $\nu(A) > 0$, $A \subset a$ so that $\Pi(A \times \{0\}) \subset B \times \{g\}$ where $\Pi : a \times \mathbb{G} \rightarrow b \times \mathbb{G}$ is defined by

$$\Pi(y, z) := (\pi(y), z + \hat{\Phi}(y, \pi(y))), \quad \pi(a, x) := (b, x).$$

In particular $\Pi(A) \subset B$ whence, by $\mathfrak{G}(X_{\beta}(J), S)$ -non-singularity of ν , $\nu(B) > 0$.

Next, for $g \in \mathbb{G}$, define $Q_g : X_{\beta}(J) \times \mathbb{G} \rightarrow X_{\beta}(J) \times \mathbb{G}$ by $Q_g(x, z) := (x, z + g)$. Evidently $Q_g \times Q_g \mathfrak{G}(X_{\beta}(J) \times \mathbb{G}, S_{\Phi}) = \mathfrak{G}(X_{\beta}(J) \times \mathbb{G}, S_{\Phi})$ whence $m \circ Q_g$ is also a σ -finite, $\mathfrak{G}(X_{\beta}(J) \times \mathbb{G}, S_{\Phi})$ -invariant, ergodic measure m on $X_{\beta}(J) \times \mathbb{G}$. It follows that either $m \circ Q_g \perp m$ or $m \circ Q_g = c_g m$ for some $c_g \in \mathbb{R}_+$. In particular,

$$m(X_{\beta} \times \{g\}) = \begin{cases} 0 & m \circ Q_g \perp m, \\ c_g < \infty & \text{else.} \end{cases}$$

□

Set $\mathbb{H} := \{h \in \mathbb{G} : m \circ Q_h \sim m\}$, then \mathbb{H} is a subgroup and $m \circ Q_h \perp m \quad \forall \quad h \notin \mathbb{H}$.

¶2 It suffices to show $\mathbb{H} = \mathbb{G}$.

Proof If $\mathbb{H} = \mathbb{G}$, then $m(A \times \{g\}) = e^{H(g)} \mu(A)$ for some homomorphism $H : \mathbb{G} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, whence $\frac{d\mu \circ S}{d\mu} = e^{-H \circ \Phi}$ and $\mu = \mu_{J,h}$ where $h := H|_{\mathbb{Z}^{J \setminus \{j_0\}} \times \{0\}}$. □

¶3 Group $\Phi(X_{\beta}(J)) = \mathbb{G}$.

Proof Evidently for $j \in J$, $j \neq [\beta]$, $[j] \in \tilde{\alpha}$ and so $(e_j, 1) = \Phi([j]) \in \Phi(X_{\beta}(J))$ ($j \in J \setminus \{j_0, [\beta]\}$) and $(0, 1) = \Phi([j_0]) \in \Phi(X_{\beta}(J))$.

In case $[\beta] \in J$, $\#\{n \geq 1 : \omega_n = [\beta]\} = \infty$ and $\exists N \geq 1$ with $\omega_1^N = ([\beta], \omega_2, \dots, \omega_{N-1}, [\beta])$ where $\omega_2, \dots, \omega_{N-1} \neq [\beta]$, whence $[[\beta], j_0^k] \in \tilde{\alpha}$ for some $1 \leq k \leq N-1$ and $(e_{[\beta]}, 1) = \Phi([[\beta], j_0^k]) \in \Phi(X_{\beta}(J))$.

$\mathbb{H} = \mathbb{G}$.

Proof We show that $\Phi(X_\beta(J)) \subset \mathbb{H}$. Accordingly, let $\Phi \equiv h$ on $a \in \tilde{\alpha}$.

To obtain a contradiction, suppose that $h \notin \mathbb{H}$ then

- $m \circ Q_h \perp m$ and $\exists Z \in \mathcal{B}(X)$, $m(Z \times \{0\}) = 1$, $m(Z \times \{-h\}) = 0$, whence
- $\exists \xi \subset \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \tilde{\alpha}_n$ countable, such that $U := \bigcup_{A \in \xi} A \supset Z$ and $m(U \times \{-h\}) < \frac{1}{3}$.
- Since $m(U \times \{0\}) = 1$, $\exists A \in \xi$ such that $m(A \times \{-h\}) < \frac{1}{3}m(A \times \{0\})$.

Define $\kappa : A \rightarrow A$ by $\kappa(A, x) := (A, a, x)$. Evidently $(x, \kappa(x)) \in \mathfrak{G}(S) \forall x \in A$, and $\tilde{\Phi}(x, \kappa(x)) = -h \forall x \in A$. Thus, if $\tilde{\kappa} : A \times \mathbb{G} \rightarrow A \times \mathbb{G}$ is defined by $\tilde{\kappa}(x, g) := (\kappa(x), g - h)$, then $((x, g), \tilde{\kappa}(x, g)) \in \mathfrak{G}(S_\Phi) \forall (x, g) \in A \times \mathbb{G}$. It follows that

$$m(A \times \{0\}) = m(\tilde{\kappa}(A \times \{0\})) \leq m(A \times \{-h\}) < \frac{1}{3}m(A \times \{0\}).$$

By the contradiction, $h \in \mathbb{H}$. \square

References

- [AD] J. Aaronson, M. Denker, Group extensions of Gibbs-Markov maps, *Probab. Theory Related Fields* **123** (2002), no. 1, 38–40.
- [ADSZ] J. Aaronson, M. Denker, O. Sarig, R. Zweimüller, Aperiodicity of cocycles and conditional local limit theorems, <http://www.math.tau.ac.il/~aaro>
- [ANSS] J. Aaronson, H. Nakada, O. Sarig, R. Solomyak, Invariant measures and asymptotics for some skew products. *Israel J. Math.* **128** (2002), 93–134.
- [ANSS1] ———, Corrections to :Invariant measures and asymptotics for some skew products, *Israel J. Math.* **138** (2003), 377–379.
- [Bl] F. Blanchard, β -expansions and symbolic dynamics, *Theoret. Comput. Sci.* **65**, (1989), no. 2, 131–141.
- [Ch] K.L Chung, *Markov chains with stationary transition probabilities*, Springer, Heidelberg 1960.
- [D-F] P. Diaconis, D. Freedman, De Finetti’s theorem for Markov chains, *Annals Probab.* **8**, no. 8, (1980) 115–130.
- [E] E. Effros, Transformation groups and C^* -algebras, *Ann. of Math.* (2) **81**, (1965) 38–55.
- [F-M] J. Feldman and C. C. Moore, Ergodic equivalence relations, cohomology, and von Neumann algebras.I *Trans. Am. Math. Soc.*, Volume 234, **2**, (1977), 289–324.
- [Fu] L. Fuchs, *Abelian groups*. International Series of Monographs on Pure and Applied Mathematics Pergamon Press, New York-Oxford-London-Paris 1960.
- [Ge] A. O. Gel’fond, A common property of number systems. (Russian) *Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR. Ser. Mat.* **23**, (1959) 809–814.
- [Gl] J. Glimm, Locally compact transformation groups, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **101**, (1961) 124–138.
- [G-S] G. Greschonig, K. Schmidt, Ergodic decomposition of quasi-invariant probability measures. *Colloq. Math.* **84/85** (2000), part 2, 495–514.

- [Gr] L.A. Grigorenko, On the σ -algebra of symmetric events for a countable Markov chain. (English) *Theory Probab. Appl.***24** (1979) 199–204.
- [H-S] E. Hewitt, L.J. Savage, Symmetric measures on Cartesian products, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **80** (1955), 470–501.
- [I-Ta] S. Ito, Y. Takahashi, Markov subshifts and realization of β -expansions, *J. Math. Soc. Japan*, **26**, (1974), 33–55.
- [L] A. N. Livšic, Certain properties of the homology of Y -systems. (Russian) *Mat. Zametki* **10** (1971), 555–564.
- [Me] P-A. Meyer, *Probability and potentials*, Blaisdell Publishing Co. Ginn and Co., Waltham, Mass.-Toronto, Ont.-London 1966.
- [Ma] D. Maharam, Incompressible transformations, *Fund. Math.* **56**, (1964), 35–50.
- [Pa] W. Parry, On the β -expansions of real numbers, *Acta Math. Acad. Sci. Hungar.***11** (1960) 401–416.
- [Pa-S] W. Parry, K. Schmidt, Natural coefficients and invariants for Markov-shifts, *Invent. Math.* **76** (1984), no. 1, 15–32.
- [Pe-S] K. Petersen, K. Schmidt, Symmetric Gibbs measures. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **349**, (1997), 2775–2811.
- [Re] A. Rényi, Representations for real numbers and their ergodic properties, *Acta Math. Acad. Sci. Hungar.***8** (1957) 477–493.
- [Sa] O. Sarig, Existence of Gibbs measures for countable Markov shifts, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.***131** (2003), no. 6, 1751–1758
- [Schm] K. Schmidt, Infinite invariant measures on the circle. *Symposia Mathematica*, Vol. **XXI** (Convegno sulle Misure su Gruppi e su Spazi Vettoriali, Convegno sui Gruppi e Anelli Ordinati, INDAM, Rome, 1975), pp. 37–43. Academic Press, London, 1977.
- [Schw] F. Schweiger, *Ergodic theory of fibred systems and metric number theory*, Oxford Science Publications. The Clarendon Press, Oxford University Press, New York, 1995.
- [Sm] M. Smorodinsky, β -automorphisms are Bernoulli shifts, *Acta. Math. Acad. Sci. Hungar.* **24** (1973), 273–278.
- [VJ] D. Vere-Jones, Ergodic properties of nonnegative matrices. I. *Pacific J. Math.* **22** 1967 361–386.
- [W] P. Walters, Equilibrium states for β -transformations and related transformations. *Math. Z.* **159** (1978), no. 1, 65–88.

[JON. AARONSON] SCHOOL OF MATH. SCIENCES, TEL AVIV UNIVERSITY, 69978 TEL AVIV, ISRAEL.

E-mail address: aaro@tau.ac.il

[HITOSHI NAKADA] DEPT. OF MATH., KEIO UNIVERSITY, HIYOSHI 3-14-1 KOHOKU, YOKOHAMA 223, JAPAN

E-mail address: nakada@math.keio.ac.jp

[OMRI SARIG] DEPT. OF MATH., PENN STATE UNIVERSITY, UNIVERSITY PARK, PA 16802, U.S.A

E-mail address: sarig@math.psu.edu