

ON A CLASS OF ONE-SIDED MARKOV SHIFTS

BEN-ZION RUBSHTEIN

ABSTRACT. We study one-sided Markov shifts, corresponding to positively recurrent Markov chains with countable (finite or infinite) state spaces. The following classification problem is considered: when two one-sided Markov shifts are isomorphic up to a measure preserving isomorphism? In this paper we solve the problem for the class of ρ -uniform (or finitely ρ -Bernoulli) one-sided Markov shifts considered in [Ru6].

We show that every ergodic ρ -uniform Markov shift T can be represented in a canonical form $T = T_G$ by means of a canonical (uniquely determined by T) stochastic graph G . In the canonical form, two such shifts T_{G_1} and T_{G_2} are isomorphic if and only if their canonical stochastic graphs G_1 and G_2 are isomorphic.

1. INTRODUCTION

In this paper we consider the classification problem for one-sided Markov shifts with respect to measure preserving isomorphism. Let G be a finite or countable stochastic graph, i.e. a directed graph, whose edges $g \in G$ are equipped with positive weights $p(g)$. The weights $p(g)$ determine transition probabilities of a Markov chain on the discrete state space G . The corresponding one-sided Markov shift T_G acts on the space (X_G, m_G) , where $X_G = G^{\mathbb{N}}$ and m_G is a stationary (probability) Markov measure on X_G . We deal only with irreducible positively recurrent Markov chains, so that such a Markov measure exists and the shift T_G is an ergodic endomorphism of the Lebesgue space (X_G, m_G) . The problem under consideration is: When for given two stochastic graphs G_1 and G_2 , does there exist an isomorphism $\Phi : X_{G_1} \rightarrow X_{G_2}$ such that $m_{G_2} = m_{G_1} \circ \Phi^{-1}$ and $\Phi \circ T_{G_1} = T_{G_2} \circ \Phi$.

It is obvious, that any (weight preserving) graph isomorphism $\phi : G_1 \rightarrow G_2$ generates such an isomorphism $\Phi = \Phi_\phi$, but nonisomorphic graphs can generate the same shift T_G .

Recently J. Ashley, B. Marcus and S. Tuncel [AsMaTu] solved the classification problem for one-sided Markov shifts corresponding to **finite** Markov chains. They used an approach which is based on the following important fact: Two one-sided Markov shifts T_{G_1} and T_{G_2} (on finite state spaces) are isomorphic iff there exists a common extension G of G_1 and G_2 by right resolving graph homomorphisms of degree 1. The result was proved implicitly in [BoTu], where regular isomorphisms and right closing maps for two-sided Markov shifts were studied (See also [As], [KiMaTr], [Tr], [Ki] and references cited there)

It should be noted that the classification problem for two-sided shifts is quite different from the one-sided case. Namely, any mixing two-sided Markov shift is isomorphic to the Bernoulli shift with the same entropy [FrOr] and two-sided Bernoulli shifts are isomorphic iff they have the same entropy by the Sinai-Ornstein theorem [Si]-[Or].

On the other hand, let T_ρ be the one-sided Bernoulli shift with a discrete state space (I, ρ) , where I is a finite or countable set, $1 < |I| \leq \infty$, and $\rho = \{\rho_i\}_{i \in I}$, $\sum_i \rho_i = 1$, $\rho_i > 0$. The endomorphism T_ρ acts as the one-sided shift on the product space $(X_\rho, m_\rho) = \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} (I, \rho)$. Consider the measurable partition $T_\rho^{-1}\varepsilon = \{T_\rho^{-1}x, x \in X_\rho\}$ generated by T_ρ on X_ρ . The partition admits an independent complement δ , which is not unique in general,

but necessarily has the distribution ρ . This implies that one-sided Bernoulli shifts T_{ρ_1} and T_{ρ_2} are isomorphic iff the distributions ρ_1 and ρ_2 coincide.

This simple observation motivates the following definition. An endomorphism T of a Lebesgue space (X, m) is called **ρ -uniform** (or **finitely ρ -Bernoulli** according to [Ru₆]) if the measurable partition $T^{-1}\varepsilon = \{T^{-1}x, x \in X\}$ admits an independent complement δ with $\text{distr } \delta = \rho$. We denote by $\mathcal{UE}(\rho)$ the class of all ρ -uniform endomorphisms.

Recall that the cofiltration $\xi(T)$ generated by an endomorphism T is the decreasing sequence $\{\xi_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ of the measurable partitions $\xi_n = T^{-n}\varepsilon$ of the space X onto inverse images $T^{-n}x$. If two endomorphisms T_1 and T_2 are isomorphic, i.e. there exists an isomorphism Φ such that $\Phi \circ T_1 = T_2 \circ \Phi$, then $\Phi(T_1^{-n}x) = T_2^{-n}(\Phi x)$ for almost all $x \in X$, i.e. $\Phi(T_1^{-n}\varepsilon) = T_2^{-n}\varepsilon$ for all n . This means that the cofiltrations $\xi(T_1)$ and $\xi(T_2)$ are isomorphic.

If $T \in \mathcal{UE}(\rho)$, the cofiltration $\xi(T)$ is not necessarily isomorphic to the **standard** cofiltration $\xi(T_\rho)$, generated by the Bernoulli shift T_ρ . However, it is **finitely isomorphic** to $\xi(T_\rho)$, i.e. for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ there exists an isomorphism Φ_n such that $\Phi_n(T^{-k}\varepsilon) = T_\rho^{-k}\varepsilon$ for all $1 \leq k \leq n$.

The isomorphism problem for ρ -uniform endomorphisms is decomposed into the following two parts: When are the cofiltrations $\xi(T_1)$ and $\xi(T_2)$ isomorphic? When are T_1 and T_2 isomorphic provided that $\xi(T_1) = \xi(T_2)$?

In particular, for given $T \in \mathcal{UE}(\rho)$: When is the cofiltration $\xi(T)$ standard, i.e. isomorphic to $\xi(T_\rho)$? When are T_1 and T_2 isomorphic provided that $\xi(T_1) = \xi(T_2)$?

All these problems are quite nontrivial even in the dyadic case $\rho = (\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2})$. Various classes of decreasing sequences of measurable partitions were considered by A.M. Vershik [Ve₁]-[Ve₄], V.G. Vinokurov [Vi], A.M. Stepin

[St] and by author [Ru₁],[Ru₂],[Ru₄]-[Ru₆]. A new remarkable progress in the theory is due to J. Feldman, D.J. Rudolph, D. Hecklen and Ch. Hoffman (See [FeR], [HeHo], [HeHoR], [Ho], [HoR]). Note also that, as it was shown in [Ru₆, Corollary 4.4], a ρ -uniform one-sided Markov shift T_G is isomorphic to the Bernoulli shift T_ρ iff the cofiltration $\xi(T_G)$ is isomorphic to standard cofiltration $\xi(T_\rho)$.

The purpose of this paper is to classify the ρ -uniform one-sided Markov shifts. We show that every ergodic ρ -uniform Markov shift T can be represented in a **canonical form** $T = T_G$ by means of a **canonical** (uniquely determined by T) stochastic graph G . In the canonical form, two such shifts T_{G_1} and T_{G_2} are isomorphic if and only if their canonical stochastic graphs G_1 and G_2 are isomorphic.

First we consider (Section 2) general ρ -uniform endomorphisms and use the following results from [Ru₆]. Any ergodic $T \in \mathcal{UE}(\rho)$ can be represented as a skew product over T_ρ on the space $X_\rho \times Y_d$, $d \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}$, where Y_d consists of d atoms of equal measure $\frac{1}{d}$ for $d < \infty$ and Y_∞ is a Lebesgue space with no atoms, (see Section 2.2 below). According to [Ru₆] we introduce the **minimal index** $d(T)$ of $T \in \mathcal{UE}(\rho)$ as the minimal possible d in the above skew product representation of T . The index $d(T)$ is an invariant of the endomorphism T and $d(T) = 1$ iff T is isomorphic to the Bernoulli shift T_ρ .

Other important invariants of $T \in \mathcal{UE}(\rho)$ (introduced also in [Ru₆]) are the **partitions** $\gamma(\mathbf{T})$, $\beta(\mathbf{T})$ and the **index** $\mathbf{d}_{\gamma;\beta}(\mathbf{T})$. The partition $\gamma(T)$ is the smallest (i.e. having the most coarse elements) measurable partition of X such that almost all elements of the partition $\beta_n := \gamma(T) \vee T^{-n}\varepsilon$ have homogeneous conditional measures for all n . The corresponding tail partition is defined by $\beta(T) = \bigwedge_{n=1}^\infty \beta_n \geq \gamma(T)$. and the index $\mathbf{d}_{\gamma;\beta}(T)$ is the number of elements of $\beta(T)$ in typical elements of the partition $\gamma(T)$ (Proposition 2.4).

It was proved in [Ru₆] that $d(T) = \mathbf{d}_{\gamma;\beta}(T) < \infty$ for any ρ -uniform one-sided Markov shift $T = T_G$. This result implies, in particular, that T_G is **simple** in the sense of Definition

2.7. The classification of general simple ρ -uniform endomorphisms is reduced to a description of equivalent d -extensions of the Bernoulli shift T_ρ (Theorem 2.10).

Next we turn to ρ -uniform one-sided Markov shifts.

It is easy to see that a Markov shift T_G is ρ -uniform iff the graph G satisfies the following condition: For any vertex u the set G_u of all edges starting in u , equipped with the corresponding weights $p(g)$, $g \in G_u$, is isomorphic to (I, ρ) . This means that the transition probabilities of the Markov chain (starting from any fixed state) coincide with $\rho(i)$, $i \in I$, up to a permutation. We call these graphs and Markov chains **ρ -uniform**. In particular, (I, ρ) itself is considered as a ρ -uniform graph having a single vertex. The corresponding Markov shift is the Bernoulli shift T_ρ .

Following [AsMaTu] we use in the sequel graph homomorphisms of the form $\phi : G_1 \rightarrow G_2$, which are assumed to be **weight preserving** and **deterministic**, i.e. right resolving in the terminology of [AsMaTu], (see Definition 3.3 for details). Thus a stochastic graph G is ρ -uniform iff there exists a homomorphism $\phi : G \rightarrow I$.

Two particular kinds of homomorphisms are of special interest in our explanation, they are homomorphisms of **degree 1** and **d-extensions**. A homomorphism $\phi : G_1 \rightarrow G_2$ has degree 1, $d(\phi) = 1$, if the corresponding factor map $\Phi_\phi : X_{G_1} \rightarrow X_{G_2}$ is an isomorphism. So that $\Phi_\phi \circ T_{G_1} = T_{G_2} \circ \Phi_\phi$, i.e. T_{G_1} and T_{G_2} are isomorphic.

The d-extensions homomorphism are defined in Section 3.2 by the condition: $|\phi^{-1}g| = d$, $g \in G$. They can be described (up to equivalence) by the **graph skew products**, (see Example 3.7 and Definition 3.8 in Section 3.2).

As the first step to the construction of the canonical graph we show (Theorem 3.24) that any homomorphism $\phi : G \rightarrow I$ can be extended to a d -extension $\bar{\phi}$ by homomorphisms of degree 1 (See Diagram 3.39). To this end we consider a **d-contractive** semigroup $\mathcal{S}(\phi)$, associated with the homomorphism ϕ , and the corresponding **persistent** sets (Section 4.4). Thus we reduce the classification problem to the study of diagrams of the form

$$(1.1) \quad (\pi, \psi) : \bar{H} \xrightarrow{\pi} H \xrightarrow{\psi} I$$

where \bar{H} is a d -extension, ψ is a degree 1 homomorphism and the shift $T_{\bar{H}}$ is isomorphic to the shift T_G .

The second step is to minimize d in the above Diagram 1.1. We show (Theorem 3.25) that, passing possibly to a " n -stringing" graph $G^{(n)}$, one can choose the minimal $d = d(T)$. Note that the result is based on [Ru₆, Theorem 4.2 and 4.3].

The third final step is to reduce the homomorphism ψ in Diagram 1.1 as much as possible. Let $\mathcal{E}xt^d(I, \rho)$ denotes the set of all d -extensions of the Bernoulli graph (I, ρ) of the form (1.1). We show that $\mathcal{E}xt^d(I, \rho)$ can be equipped with a natural **partial order** " \preceq " and **equivalence relation** " \sim " (Definition 4.10). The minimal elements of $\mathcal{E}xt^d(I, \rho)$ with respect to the order are called **irreducible** (Definition 4.11). We describe these irreducible (π, ψ) -extensions by means of the persistent d -partitions, associated with elements of $\mathcal{E}xt^d(I, \rho)$ (Theorem 4.13).

Now we can formulate the main result of the paper (Theorems 5.1 and 5.3).

- Let T_G be a ρ -uniform ergodic one-sided Markov shift. A stochastic graph $\bar{H} = \bar{H}(G)$ is said to be a **canonical graph** for the shift T if there exists an irreducible (π, ψ) -extension (1.1) from $\mathcal{E}xt^d(I, \rho)$ with $d = d(T)$ such that the shift $T_{\bar{H}}$ is isomorphic to T_G .
- Any ρ -uniform ergodic one-sided Markov shift can be represented in the canonical form $T = T_{\bar{H}}$ by a canonic graph $\bar{H} = \bar{H}(G)$.

- *In this canonical form, two shifts $T_{\bar{H}_1}$ and $T_{\bar{H}_2}$ are isomorphic iff the canonical graphs \bar{H}_1 and \bar{H}_2 are isomorphic, and iff the corresponding irreducible (π, ψ) -extensions are equivalent.*

The paper is organized as follows.

In Section 2 we study general ρ -uniform endomorphisms (class $\mathcal{UE}(\rho)$) and **simple** ρ -uniform endomorphisms (subclass $\mathcal{SUE}(\rho)$). Following [Ru₆], we introduce the **partitions** $\gamma(\mathbf{T})$, $\beta(\mathbf{T})$ and the **index** $\mathbf{d}_{\gamma;\beta}(\mathbf{T})$. Two main conclusions of the section are Theorem 2.10 (classification of simple ρ -uniform endomorphisms) and Theorem 2.14, which states that every ergodic ρ -uniform one-sided Markov shift T_G is simple and $d(T_G) = d_{\gamma;\beta}(T_G) < \infty$.

In Section 3 we consider general properties of stochastic graphs and their homomorphisms. In particular, we define **ρ -uniform** graphs corresponding to ρ -uniform Markov shifts. We prove that the index $d(T_G)$ of any ergodic ρ -uniform Markov shift T_G is finite (Theorem 3.18). This follows from the finiteness of the degree $d(\phi)$ of any homomorphism $\phi : G \rightarrow I$ from any ρ -uniform graph G onto the standard Bernoulli graph (I, ρ) . The degree $d(\phi)$, in turn, can be computed by means a special **d-contractive** semigroup $\mathcal{S}(\phi)$, induced by ϕ (Theorem 3.21).

Section 4 contains some essential stages of the proof of Main Theorems 5.1 and 5.3. Homomorphisms of degree 1 and extensions of the Bernoulli graph are considered in Sections 4.1 and 4.2. Theorem 4.5 (Section 4.3) reduces the classification of skew product over Markov shifts T_H to the classification of the corresponding graph skew product over H . In Sections 4.4 and 4.5, we study the set $\mathcal{Ext}^d(I, \rho)$ of all (π, ψ) -pairs of the form (1.1). The main result of Section 4 is Theorem 4.12, which claims the existence and uniqueness of the irreducible (π, ψ) -pair (π_*, ψ_*) , majorized by a given $(\pi, \psi) \in \mathcal{Ext}^d(I, \rho)$.

In Section 5 we prove Main Theorems 5.1 and 5.3 and give some consequences and examples. As a consequence we prove also (Theorem 5.4) that two shifts T_{G_1} and T_{G_2} are isomorphic iff the graphs G_1 and G_2 have a common extension of degree 1.

We do not study here the classification problem for general, not necessarily ρ -uniform, one-sided Markov shifts as well as the classification problem of the cofiltrations, generated by the shifts. Our approach seems to be a good tool to this end and we hope to deal with these two problems in another paper.

We do not also consider the classification problem of one-sided Markov shifts with infinite invariant measure, in particular, of null-recurrent one-sided Markov shifts. One can find a good introduction to the topic and more references in [Aar, Chapters 4 and 5].

2. CLASS OF ρ -UNIFORM ENDOMORPHISMS

2.1. Lebesgue spaces and their measurable partitions. We use terminology and results of the Rokhlin's theory of Lebesgue spaces and their measurable partitions (See [Rok₁], [Rok₂]). An improved and more detailed explanation can be found in [ViRuFe]. We fix the terms "homomorphism, isomorphism, endomorphism" only for **measure preserving** maps of Lebesgue spaces.

Let (X, \mathcal{F}, m) be a Lebesgue space with $mX = 1$. The space X is called **homogeneous** if it is non-atomic or if it consists of d points of measure $\frac{1}{d}$, $d \in \mathbb{N}$.

Let ζ be a partition of X onto mutually disjoint sets $C \in \zeta$. The element of ζ containing a point x is denoted by $C_\zeta(x)$. The partition ζ is measurable iff there exists a measurable

function $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$x \stackrel{\zeta}{\sim} y \iff C_\zeta(x) = C_\zeta(y) \iff f(x) = f(y), \quad x, y \in X$$

Elements of ζ are considered as Lebesgue spaces (C, \mathcal{F}^C, m^C) , $C \in \zeta$, with canonical system of conditional measures m^C , $C \in \zeta$. We shall denote also by $m(A|C)$ the conditional measures $m^C(A \cap C)$ of a measurable set $A \in \mathcal{F}$ in the element C of ζ .

Two measurable partitions ζ_1 and ζ_2 are said to be **independent** ($\zeta_1 \perp \zeta_2$) if the corresponding σ -algebras $\mathcal{F}(\zeta_1)$ and $\mathcal{F}(\zeta_2)$ are independent, where $\mathcal{F}(\zeta)$ denotes the m -completion of the σ -algebra of all measurable ζ -sets. We shall write also $\zeta_1 \perp \zeta_2 \pmod{\zeta}$ if the partitions ζ_1 and ζ_2 are **conditionally independent** with respect to the third measurable partition ζ . This means that

$$m(A \cap B | C_\zeta(x)) = m(A | C_\zeta(x)) \cdot m(B | C_\zeta(x))$$

for all $A \in \mathcal{F}(\zeta_1)$, $B \in \mathcal{F}(\zeta_2)$ and a.a. $x \in X$.

We denote by $\varepsilon = \varepsilon_X$ the partition of X onto separate points and by $\nu = \nu_X$ the trivial partition of X .

An **independent complement** of δ is a measurable partition η such that $\zeta \perp \eta$ and $\zeta \vee \eta = \varepsilon$. The partition ζ admits an independent complement iff almost all elements (C, m^C) of ζ are mutually isomorphic. The collection of all independent complements of ζ is denoted by $IC(\zeta)$.

We shall use induced endomorphisms, which are defined as follows. Let $A \in \mathcal{F}$, $mA > 0$ and T be an endomorphism of (X, m) . Then the return function

$$(2.2) \quad \varphi_A(x) := \min\{n \geq 1 : T^n x \in A\}, \quad x \in A$$

is finite a.e. on A . The **induced endomorphism** T_A on A is defined now by $T_A x = T^{\varphi_A(x)} x$. It is an endomorphism of $(A, \mathcal{F} \cap A, m|_A)$ and it is ergodic if T is ergodic.

$|E|$ denotes the cardinality of the set E

2.2. Classes $\mathcal{UE}(\rho)$ and index $d(T)$. Let (I, ρ) be a finite or countable state space

$$\rho = \{\rho(i), i \in I\}, \quad \rho(i) > 0, \quad \sum_{i \in I} \rho(i) = 1.$$

Definition 2.1. An endomorphism T of a Lebesgue space (X, m) is said to be **ρ -uniform** or **finitely ρ -Bernoulli** endomorphism ($T \in \mathcal{UE}(\rho)$), if there exists a discrete measurable partition δ of X , which satisfies the following condition:

- (i) $\text{distr } \delta = \rho$, i.e. $\delta = \{B(i)\}_{i \in I}$ with $m(B(i)) = \rho(i)$, $i \in I$,
- (ii) $\delta \in IC(T^{-1}\varepsilon)$, i.e. $\delta \perp T^{-1}\varepsilon$ and $\delta \vee T^{-1}\varepsilon = \varepsilon$.

So $\mathcal{UE}(\rho)$ denotes the class of all ρ -uniform endomorphisms. Denote by $\Delta_\rho(T)$ the set of all partitions δ satisfying the condition (i) and (ii). Then $T \in \mathcal{UE}(\rho)$ means $\Delta_\rho(T) \neq \emptyset$.

For $T \in \mathcal{UE}(\rho)$ and $\delta \in \Delta_\rho(T)$ define

$$(2.3) \quad \delta^{(n)} = T^{-n+1}\delta, \quad \delta^{(n)} = \{T^{-n+1}B(i)\}_{i \in I}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}$$

Then $\text{distr } \delta_n = \rho$ and the partitions $\delta_1, \delta_2, \delta_3, \dots$ are independent.

The partitions

$$(2.4) \quad \delta^{(n)} = \bigvee_{k=1}^n \delta_k, \quad \delta^{(\infty)} = \bigvee_{k=1}^{\infty} \delta_k$$

satisfy for all n the conditions

$$\delta^{(n)} \in IC(T^{-n}\varepsilon) \ , \ \delta^{(\infty)} \perp T^{-n}\varepsilon \pmod{\delta^{(\infty)} \wedge T^{-n}\varepsilon}$$

and

$$\delta^{(\infty)} \vee T^{-n}\varepsilon = \varepsilon \ , \ \delta^{(\infty)} \wedge T^{-n}\varepsilon = T^{-n}\delta^{(\infty)} \ .$$

In particular, let $T = T_\rho$ be a Bernoulli endomorphism, which acts on the space

$$(X_\rho, m_\rho) = \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} (I, \rho)$$

as the one-sided shift

$$T_\rho x = \{x_{n+1}\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \ , \ x = \{x_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \in X_\rho \ .$$

We can set

$$(2.5) \quad \delta_\rho = \{B_\rho(i)\}_{i \in I} \ , \ B_\rho(i) = \{x = \{x_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \in X_\rho : x_1 = i\} \ .$$

Then $\delta_\rho \in \Delta_\rho(T_\rho)$ and δ_ρ is an one-sided Bernoulli generator of T_ρ , that is

$$\delta_\rho^{(\infty)} = \bigvee_{n=1}^{\infty} T^{-n+1}\delta_\rho = \varepsilon_{X_\rho} \ .$$

In general case, for $T \in \mathcal{UE}(\rho)$ and $\delta \in \Delta_\rho(T)$, the partition $\delta^{(\infty)}$ does not equal ε , but we can define the canonical factor map

$$\Phi_\delta : X \ni x \rightarrow \Phi_\delta(x) = \{i_n(x)\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \in X_\rho \ ,$$

where $i_n(x) \in I$ is uniquely defined by the inclusion $T^n x \in B(i_n(x)) \in \delta$.

The homomorphism Φ_δ satisfies $\Phi_\delta \circ T = T_\rho \circ \Phi_\delta$ and it determines the following representation of T by a skew product over T_ρ (See [Ru₆, Proposition 2.2]).

Proposition 2.2. *Let $T \in \mathcal{UE}(\rho)$ be an endomorphism of (X, m) and $\delta \in \Delta_\rho(T)$. Then*

- (i) *There exists an independent complement σ of the partition $\delta^{(\infty)}$.*
- (ii) *The pair $(\delta^{(\infty)}, \sigma)$ induces decomposition of the space (X, m) into the direct product $(X_\rho \times Y, m_{X_\rho} \times m_Y)$ such that the factor map Φ_δ coincides under the decomposition with the canonical projection*

$$\pi : X_\rho \times Y \ni (x, y) \rightarrow x \in X_\rho$$

and

$$\delta = \pi^{-1}\delta_\rho \ , \ \delta^{(\infty)} = \pi^{-1}\varepsilon_{X_\rho} = \varepsilon_{X_\rho} \times \nu_Y \ , \ \sigma = \nu_{X_\rho} \times \varepsilon_Y$$

- (iii) *The endomorphism T is identified with the following skew product over T_ρ*

$$(2.6) \quad \bar{T}(x, y) = (T_\rho x, A(x)y) \ , \ (x, y) \in X_\rho \times Y$$

where $\{A(x), x \in X_\rho\}$ is a measurable family of automorphisms of Y .

- iv) *If T is ergodic, Y is a homogeneous Lebesgue space.*

Every homogeneous Lebesgue space Y is isomorphic to Y_d , $d \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}$, where Y_∞ is the Lebesgue space with a continuous measure and Y_d , $d \in \mathbb{N}$, consists of d points of measure $\frac{1}{d}$. Thus for any ergodic T endomorphism $T \in \mathcal{UE}(\rho)$ and $\delta \in \Delta_\rho(T)$ there exists $d = d(T, \delta) \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}$ such that

$$u_{\delta^{(\infty)}}(x) := m^{C_{\delta^{(\infty)}}(x)}(\{x\}) = \frac{1}{d}$$

for a.a. $x \in X$.

Definition 2.3. (i) The number $d(T, \delta)$ will be called the **index** of $T \in \mathcal{UE}(\rho)$ with respect to $\delta \in \Delta_\rho(T)$.

(ii) The **minimal index** $d(T)$ of T is defined as

$$(2.7) \quad d(T) = \min \{ d(T, \delta) , \delta \in \Delta_\rho(T) \}$$

Note that an ergodic endomorphism T is isomorphic to the Bernoulli shift T_ρ iff $T \in \mathcal{UE}(\rho)$, and $d(T) = 1$, that is, there exists $\delta \in \Delta_\rho(T)$ such that $d(T, \delta) = 1$, i.e. $\delta^{(\infty)} = \varepsilon$.

2.3. Partitions $\alpha(T)$, $\beta(T)$, $\gamma(T)$ and indices $d_\alpha(T)$, $d_{\gamma;\beta}(T)$. Let T be an endomorphism of (X, m) and let $\{\xi_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ be the decreasing sequence of measurable partitions $\xi_n := T^{-n}\varepsilon$, generated by T . The element of ξ_n , containing a point $x \in X$, has the form $C_{\xi_n}(x) = T^{-n}(T^n x)$,

In order to introduce the partitions $\gamma(T)$ and $\beta(T)$, consider the measurable functions

$$u_n(x) = m^{C_{\xi_n}(x)}(C_{\xi_{n-1}}(x)) \quad , \quad n \in \mathbb{N} \quad , \quad x \in X \quad ,$$

where $\xi_0 := \varepsilon$. With these $u_n : X \rightarrow [0, 1]$ we can consider the measurable partitions

$$\gamma_n = \bigvee_{k=1}^n u_k^{-1} \varepsilon_{[0,1]} \quad , \quad n \in \mathbb{N} \quad ,$$

generated by u_k , $k \leq n$, and also

$$(2.8) \quad \gamma = \bigvee_{n=1}^\infty \gamma_n \quad , \quad \beta_n = \gamma \vee T^{-n}\varepsilon \quad , \quad \beta = \bigwedge_{n=1}^\infty \beta_n$$

We shall write $\gamma_n(T)$, $\gamma(T)$, $\beta_n(T)$, $\beta(T)$ to indicate T , if it will be necessary.

Proposition 2.4. Suppose that $T \in \mathcal{UE}(\rho)$ and T is ergodic. Then there exists $d \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}$ such that

$$m^{C_\gamma(x)}(C_\beta(x)) = \frac{1}{d}$$

for a.a. $x \in X$.

We may define now the index $d_{\gamma;\beta}(T)$ of an ergodic endomorphism $T \in \mathcal{UE}(\rho)$ as the number d constructed in Proposition 2.4, i.e.

$$d_{\gamma;\beta}(T) := (m^{C_\gamma(x)}(C_\beta(x)))^{-1}$$

for a.e. $x \in X$.

We shall use the following properties of the partitions (2.8)

Proposition 2.5. Suppose that $T \in \mathcal{UE}(\rho)$, let $\delta \in \Delta_\rho(T)$ and the partitions δ_n , $\delta^{(n)}$, $\delta^{(\infty)}$ defined by (2.3) and (2.4). Then

- (i) $\gamma^{(n)} \leq \delta_n$, $\beta_n \perp \delta^{(n)} \pmod{\gamma_n}$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$.
- (ii) $\gamma \leq \delta^{(\infty)}$, $\beta \perp \delta^{(\infty)} \pmod{\gamma}$.
- (iii) $d_{\gamma;\beta}(T) \leq d(T)$

We shall also use the **tail** measurable partition $\alpha(T) := \bigwedge_{n=1}^\infty T^{-n}\varepsilon$. An endomorphism T is called **exact** if $\alpha(T) = \nu$. The **tail index** $d_\alpha(T)$ (which is, in fact, the **period** of T) is defined as follows: $d_\alpha(T) = \infty$ if $X/\alpha(T)$ is a continuous Lebesgue space and $d_\alpha(T) = d$ if $X/\alpha(T)$ consists of d atoms of measure $\frac{1}{d}$. So that $d_\alpha(T) \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}$.

It is easily to see, that

$$(2.9) \quad T^{-1}\alpha = \alpha \quad , \quad \alpha \vee \gamma \leq \beta \quad , \quad T^{-1}\gamma \leq \gamma \quad , \quad T^{-1}\beta \leq \beta$$

and $\alpha \perp \delta^{(\infty)}$ for any $\delta \in \Delta_\rho(T)$.

Turning to the canonical projection Φ_δ we have

Proposition 2.6. (i) $\alpha(T_\rho) = \nu$, $\beta(T_\rho) = \gamma(T_\rho)$.
(ii) $\gamma_n(T) = \Phi_\delta^{-1} \gamma_n(T_\rho)$, $\gamma(T) = \Phi_\delta^{-1} \gamma(T_\rho)$.

The stated above propositions were proved in [Ru₆, Propositions 2.5 - 2.9].

2.4. Simple ρ -uniform endomorphisms. We use now the partitions $\gamma(T)$ and $\beta(T)$ to introduce an important subclass of the class $\mathcal{UE}(\rho)$

Definition 2.7. An endomorphism $T \in \mathcal{UE}(\rho)$ of a Lebesgue space (X, m) is said to be a **simple ρ -uniform endomorphism** ($T \in \mathcal{SUE}(\rho)$), if there exists partition $\delta \in \Delta_\rho(T) = IC(T^{-1}\varepsilon)$ such that

$$(2.10) \quad \delta^{(\infty)} \vee \beta(T) = \varepsilon$$

We denote by $\mathcal{SUE}(\rho)$ the class of all simple ρ -uniform endomorphisms.

The Bernoulli endomorphism (one-sided Bernoulli shift) $T = T_\rho$ belongs to $\mathcal{SUE}(\rho)$. In this case there exists a partition $\delta = \delta_\rho \in \Delta_\rho(T)$ such that $\delta^{(\infty)} = \varepsilon$ and hence $\beta(T) \vee \delta^{(\infty)} = \varepsilon$

Remark 2.8. It is easily to show that the condition (2.10) holds iff there exists an independent complement $\sigma \in IC(\delta^{(\infty)})$ of $\delta^{(\infty)}$ that satisfies

$$(2.11) \quad \sigma \vee \gamma(T) = \beta(T) \text{ , } \sigma \in IC(\delta^{(\infty)}) \text{ , } \delta \in \Delta_\rho(T) = IC(T^{-1}\varepsilon)$$

Proposition 2.9. Suppose $T \in \mathcal{UE}(\rho)$ is ergodic and $d(T) < \infty$. Then T is simple iff $d(T) = d_{\gamma:\beta}(T)$.

Proof. Since $d(T) < \infty$ we have, by Proposition 2.5 (iii), that $d_{\gamma:\beta}(T) \leq d(T) < \infty$. Definition of the index $d_{\gamma:\beta}(T)$ (Proposition 2.4) means that $m^{C_\gamma(x)}(C_\beta(x)) = d^{-1}$ for a.a. $x \in X$ and $d = d_{\gamma:\beta}(T) \in \mathbb{N}$. Almost every element of $\gamma(T)$ consists precisely of d elements of the partition $\beta(T)$. On the other hand there exists $\delta \in \Delta_\rho(T)$ such that almost every element of the corresponding partition $\delta^{(\infty)}$ consists precisely of $d(T)$ points, $d \leq d(T)$. By Proposition 2.5 (ii) we have

$$\beta(T) \perp \delta^{(\infty)} \pmod{\gamma(T)} \text{ , } \beta(T) \wedge \delta^{(\infty)} = \gamma(T) \text{ .}$$

Whence, the condition 2.10 holds iff $d(T) = d$. □

Let $T \in \mathcal{SUE}(\rho)$. By Proposition 2.2 any choice of the partition σ in the equality (2.11) determines a skew product representation (2.6) of $T = \bar{T}$ over T_ρ . Herewith, all statements of Proposition 2.2 hold and we have also by (2.11) and Proposition 2.6)

$$(2.12) \quad \beta(T_\rho) = \gamma(T_\rho) \text{ , } \gamma(\bar{T}) = \gamma(T_\rho) \times \nu_Y \text{ , } \beta(\bar{T}) = \gamma(T_\rho) \times \varepsilon_Y$$

These arguments imply the following

Theorem 2.10. Let T be a ρ -uniform simple endomorphism, $T \in \mathcal{SUE}(\rho)$.

(i) T can be represented in the skew product form (2.6) $T = \bar{T}$ over T_ρ

$$(2.13) \quad \bar{T}(x, y) = (T_\rho x, A(x)y) \text{ , } (x, y) \in X_\rho \times Y$$

with a measurable family $\{A(x) \text{ , } x \in X_\rho\}$ of automorphisms of Y such that $\beta(\bar{T}) = \gamma(T_\rho) \times \varepsilon_Y$.

- (ii) Two such skew product endomorphisms \bar{T}_k , $k = 1, 2$,
 (2.14) $\bar{T}_k(x, y) = (T_\rho x, A_k(x)y)$, $(x, y) \in X_\rho \times Y$
 are isomorphic iff the corresponding families $A_1(x)$ and $A_2(x)$ are cohomologous,
 i.e.
 (2.15) $A_2(x)W(x) = W(T_\rho x)A_1(x)$, $x \in X_\rho$
 for a measurable family of $\{W(x) , x \in X_\rho\}$ of automorphisms of Y .

Proof. Part (i) follows from Proposition 2.2 with (2.12).

Let \bar{T}_1 and \bar{T}_2 be two skew product endomorphisms of the form (2.14). Denote $\tilde{W}(x, y) := (x, W(x)y)$. Then (2.15) implies $\bar{T}_2 \circ S = S \circ \bar{T}_1$ if we use the automorphism $S = \tilde{W}$.

Conversely, suppose there exists an automorphism S such that $\bar{T}_2 \circ S = S \circ \bar{T}_1$. Then the partitions

$$\bar{\gamma} := \gamma(\bar{T}_1) = \gamma(\bar{T}_2) = \gamma(T_\rho) \times \nu_Y$$

and

$$\bar{\beta} := \beta(\bar{T}_1) = \beta(\bar{T}_2) = \gamma(T_\rho) \times \varepsilon_Y$$

are invariant with respect to S . Moreover, $\bar{\gamma}$ is element-wise invariant with respect to S . Hence, $S|_C(\bar{\beta}|_C) = \bar{\beta}|_C$ for almost every element $C \in \bar{\gamma}$. The restriction $S|_C$ induces a factor automorphism W_C on the factor space $C/\bar{\beta}|_C \cong Y$. We obtain a measurable family $W(x) := W_{C(x)}$, $x \in X_\rho$, of automorphisms of Y . Since the partition $\bar{\gamma} = \gamma(T_\rho) \times \nu_Y$ is \bar{T}_1 - and \bar{T}_2 -invariant, the functions $A_1(x)$ and $A_2(x)$ (as well as $W(x)$) are constant on elements of $\gamma(T_\rho)$. Therefore the equality $\bar{T}_2 \circ S = S \circ \bar{T}_1$ implies $\bar{T}_2 \circ \tilde{W} = \tilde{W} \circ \bar{T}_1$ and (2.15) holds. \square

Consider two special cases.

Absolutely non-homogeneous ρ . The distribution $\rho = \{\rho(i)\}_{i \in I}$ is called absolutely non-homogeneous if $\rho(i) \neq \rho(j)$ for all $i \neq j$.

In this case we have $\gamma_1(T) \vee T^{-1}\varepsilon = \varepsilon$. On the other hand $\gamma_1(T) \perp T^{-1}\varepsilon$. Thus $\Delta_\rho(T)$ consists of the only partition, which is $\delta = \gamma_1(T)$. Hence

$$\delta^{(\infty)} = \gamma(T) , \quad \beta_n(T) = \gamma_n(T) \vee T^{-n}\varepsilon = \varepsilon ,$$

$$\beta(T) = \bigwedge_{n=1}^{\infty} \beta_n(T) = \varepsilon , \quad \beta(T) \vee \delta^{(\infty)} = \varepsilon$$

Thus we have

Proposition 2.11. *Every ρ -uniform endomorphisms with absolutely non-homogeneous ρ is simple.*

Homogeneous ρ . We have another extremal case if ρ is homogeneous, i.e. if for some $l \in \mathbb{N}$, $I = \{1, 2, \dots, l\}$ and $\rho(i) = l^{-1}$ for all $i \in I$.

All the functions u_n , which generate the partitions $\gamma_n(T)$, are constant,

$$u_n(x) = m^{C_{\xi_n}(x)}(C_{\xi_{n-1}}(x)) = l^{-1} , \quad n \in \mathbb{N} , \quad x \in X$$

We have $\gamma(T) = \gamma_n(T) = \nu$, and $\beta_n(T) = T^{-n}\varepsilon$, whence, $\beta(T) = \bigwedge_{n=1}^{\infty} T^{-n}\varepsilon = \alpha(T)$. Therefore, for any $\delta \in \Delta_\rho(T)$ the equality (2.10) is equivalent to $\delta^{(\infty)} \vee \alpha(T) = \varepsilon$. On the other hand $\delta^{(\infty)} \perp \alpha(T)$ for every $\delta \in \Delta_\rho(T)$.

Thus we have for $T \in \mathcal{UE}(\rho)$ with homogeneous ρ

Proposition 2.12. *Let $T \in \mathcal{UE}(\rho)$ with homogeneous ρ . Then*

- (i) T is simple iff there exists $\delta \in \Delta_\rho(T)$ such that $\delta^{(\infty)} \in IC(\alpha(T))$.
- (ii) The skew product decomposition in Theorem 2.10 is a direct product $T_\rho \times S$ with $S = T/\alpha(T)$.
- (iii) Two such direct products $T_\rho \times S_1$ and $T_\rho \times S_2$ are isomorphic iff the automorphisms S_1 and S_2 are isomorphic.
- (iv) If, in addition, T is exact, i.e. $\alpha(T) = \nu$, then T is simple iff T is isomorphic to T_ρ .

It is easily to construct a skew product T over T_ρ , which is exact and has entropy $h(T) > h(T_\rho) = \log l$. Every such endomorphism is ρ -uniform, $T \in \mathcal{UE}(\rho)$, but it is not isomorphic to T_ρ , whence, it is not simple. See also [FeR], [HeHo], [HeHoR], [Ho], [HoR]), for more interesting examples of such kind of endomorphisms.

Remark 2.13. It can be shown that there exist non-simple exact endomorphisms in each class $\mathcal{UE}(\rho)$ in the case, when ρ is not absolutely non-homogeneous, i.e. $\rho(i) = \rho(j)$ for some $i, j \in I$.

The following result plays an important role in present paper.

Theorem 2.14. *Every ergodic ρ -uniform one-sided Markov shift T_G , corresponding to a positively recurrent Markov chain on a finite or countable state space, is simple and*

$$(2.16) \quad d(T_G) = d_{\gamma;\beta}(T_G) < \infty.$$

Proof. The last statement 2.16 was proved in [Ru₆, Theorem 4.3]. It implies that T_G is simple by Proposition 2.9. \square

3. STOCHASTIC GRAPHS AND THEIR HOMOMORPHISMS.

3.1. Stochastic graphs and Markov shifts. We need some terminology concerning stochastic graphs and their homomorphisms.

Consider a directed graph with countable (finite or infinite) set G of edges. Denote by $G^{(0)}$ the set of all vertices of the graph. We also denote by $s(g)$ the starting vertex and by $t(g)$ the terminal vertex of an edge $g \in G$

$$t(g) \xleftarrow{g} s(g)$$

The maps

$$s : G \ni g \rightarrow s(g) \in G^{(0)} \quad , \quad t : G \ni g \rightarrow t(g) \in G^{(0)}$$

completely determine the structure of the graph G ,

In the sequel we assume that both the sets

$${}_v G = \{g \in G : t(g) = v\} \quad , \quad G_u = \{g \in G : s(g) = u\}$$

are not empty for all vertices $u, v \in G^{(0)}$.

Denote by $G^{(n)}$ the set of all paths of length n in G , i.e.

$$(3.17) \quad G^{(n)} = \{g_1 g_2 \dots g_n \in G^n : s(g_1) = t(g_2), \dots, s(g_{n-1}) = t(g_n)\}$$

A graph G is said to be **irreducible** if for every pair of vertices $u, v \in G^{(0)}$ there exists a finite G -path $g_1 g_2 \dots g_n \in G^{(n)}$ such that $u = s(g_1)$ and $v = t(g_n)$.

Take into account that we use here and in the sequel the notation $g_1 g_2 \dots g_n$ for **backward** paths

$$t(g_1) \xleftarrow{g_1} s(g_1) = t(g_2) \xleftarrow{g_2} s(g_2) = t(g_3) \xleftarrow{g_3} \dots \xleftarrow{g_n} s(g_n)$$

A graph G is called **stochastic** if its edges g are equipped with positive numbers $p(g)$ such that $\sum_{g \in G_u} p(g) = 1$ for all $u \in G^{(0)}$. The weights $p(g)$, $g \in G$, determine the backward transition probabilities of the Markov chain induced by G .

We shall assume in the sequel that there exist stationary probabilities $p^{(0)}(u) > 0$ on $G^{(0)}$ such that

$$(3.18) \quad \sum_{u \in G^{(0)}} p^{(0)}(u) = 1 \quad , \quad \sum_{g \in {}_v G} p(g) p^{(0)}(s(g)) = p^{(0)}(v)$$

for all vertices $u, v \in G^{(0)}$.

It is known that the stationary probabilities on $G^{(0)}$ exist iff the corresponding to G Markov chain is positively recurrent. If, in addition, the irreducibility condition hold, the stationary probabilities $p^{(0)}(u)$, $u \in G^{(0)}$ on the vertices are uniquely determined by the transition probabilities $p(g)$, $g \in G$ on the edges.

Thus any stochastic graph (G, p) induces a Markov chain on the state space G with the transition probabilities matrix $P = (P(g, h))_{g \in G, h \in G}$, where

$$P(g, h) = \begin{cases} p(h) , & \text{if } t(g) = s(h) \\ 0 , & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

In the sequel we mainly deal with stochastic graphs, which induce irreducible positively recurrent Markov chains.

The one-sided Markov shift T_G , induced by the stochastic graph G , is defined as follows. Let

$$X_G = \{x = \{g_n\}_{n=1}^\infty \in G^\mathbb{N} : s(g_1) = t(g_2), s(g_2) = t(g_3), \dots\}$$

and the Markov measure m_G on X_G is given by

$$p(g_1) p(g_2) \dots p(g_n) p^{(0)}(s(g_n))$$

on the cylindric sets of the form

$$A(g_1 g_2 \dots g_n) := \{x = \{x_k\}_{k=1}^\infty \in X_G : x_1 = g_1, \dots, x_n = g_n\}$$

where $g_1 g_2 \dots g_n \in G^{(n)}$ is a G -path of length n in G .

The one-sided shift T_G acts on the probability space (X_G, m_G) by

$$T_G(\{x_n\}_{n=1}^\infty) = \{x_{n+1}\}_{n=1}^\infty$$

and T_G preserves the Markov measure m_G . The shift T_G is ergodic iff the graph G is irreducible. Under the irreducibility condition, the stationary probabilities $p^{(0)}$ on $G^{(0)}$ and, hence, the T_G -invariant Markov measure m_G are uniquely determined by the stochastic graph (G, p) .

The coordinate functions

$$Z_n : X_G \ni x = \{x_k\}_{k=1}^\infty \rightarrow x_n \in G, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}$$

form a stationary Markov chain on (X_G, m_G) with the backward transition probabilities

$$P(g, h) = m_G\{Z_n = h \mid Z_{n+1} = g\} = p(h), \quad n \in \mathbb{N}$$

for all $(h, g) \in G^{(2)}$.

Consider now the partitions

$$\zeta_n = Z_n^{-1} \varepsilon_G = T_G^{-n+1} \zeta_1 = \{T_G^{-n+1} A(g)\}_{g \in G}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N},$$

generated by Z_n on X_G , where

$$A(g) = \{x = \{x_k\}_{k=1}^\infty \in X_G : x_1 = g\}$$

Setting $\zeta = \zeta_1$ and $T = T_G$, we have

$$(3.19) \quad \bigvee_{n=1}^{\infty} T^{-n+1}\zeta = \varepsilon$$

$$(3.20) \quad \zeta \perp \bigvee_{n=1}^{\infty} T^{-n}\zeta \pmod{T^{-1}\zeta}$$

Recall that a measurable partition ζ of (X, m) is said to be a **one-sided Markov generator** or **one-sided Markov generating partition** for an endomorphism T of (X, m) , if the above conditions (3.19) and (3.20) hold.

The partition ζ_G will be called the **standard** one-sided Markov generator of the one-sided Markov shift T_G on X_G .

Example 3.1 (Standard Bernoulli Graph). Let (I, ρ) be a finite or countable alphabet and

$$\rho = \{\rho(i) \mid i \in I\}, \quad \rho(i) > 0, \quad \sum_{i \in I} \rho(i) = 1.$$

be a probability on I . We shall consider (I, ρ) as a stochastic graph, which has the set of edges $i \in I$ with weights $\rho(i)$ and a single vertex, denoted by " o ". So $G^{(0)} = \{o\}$ is a singleton and $s(i) = t(i) = o$ for all $i \in I$. We shall say that (I, ρ) is the **standard Bernoulli graph**.

For instance, $p \bigcirc o \bigcirc q$ if $|I| = 2$ and $\rho = (p, q)$.

The corresponding to (I, ρ) one-sided Markov shift T_I coincides with the Bernoulli shift $T_I = T_\rho$. The generating partition ζ_I coincides with the standard Bernoulli generator $\delta_\rho = \{B_\rho(i)\}_{i \in I}$, defined by (2.5).

Induced shift T_u . For any $u \in G^{(0)}$, denote

$$D(u) := \{x = \{x_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty} \in X_G : t(x_1) = u\}, \quad u \in G^{(0)}.$$

and consider the partition $\zeta^{(0)} := \{D(u)\}_{u \in G^{(0)}}$ on the space X_G . The partition $\zeta^{(0)}$ is a Markov partition with respect to shift T_G , i.e.

$$(3.21) \quad \zeta^{(0)} \perp T_G^{-1}\varepsilon_{X_G} \pmod{T_G^{-1}\zeta^{(0)}},$$

but it is not a one-sided generator for T_G , in general.

We shall use in the sequel the endomorphisms $T_u := (T_G)_{D(u)}$, induced by the shift T_G on elements $D(u)$ of $\zeta^{(0)}$, $u \in G^{(0)}$. The Markov property (3.21) provides that for every $u \in G^{(0)}$ the induced endomorphism T_u is a Bernoulli shift. More exactly, in accordance with the general definition of return functions (2.2) we have

$$\varphi_u(x) = \varphi_{D(u)}(x) := \min\{n \geq 1 : T_G^n x \in D(u)\}, \quad x \in D(u)$$

and

$$T_u x = T_G^{\varphi_u(x)} x, \quad x \in X_G.$$

Take $I_u = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} I_{u,n}$, where $I_{u,n}$ be the set of all $g_1 g_2 \dots g_n \in G^{(n)}$ such that

$$(3.22) \quad t(g_1) = s(g_n) = u, \quad s(g_k) = t(g_{k+1}) \neq u, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots, n-1.$$

Define also $\rho_u = \{\rho_u(i)\}_{i \in I_u}$ by

$$(3.23) \quad \rho_u(i) = p(g_1)p(g_2)\dots p(g_n), \quad i = g_1 g_2 \dots g_n \in I_{u,n}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

For any $i = g_1 g_2 \dots g_n \in I_{u,n}$ we set $B_u(i) := A(g_1 g_2 \dots g_n)$ and consider the partition $\zeta_u = \{B_u(i)\}_{i \in I_u}$, whose elements are enumerated by the alphabet I_u . The Markov property (3.21) implies that the partitions $T_u^{-n} \zeta_u$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$ are independent. Thus

Proposition 3.2. *The induced endomorphism T_u is isomorphic to the Bernoulli shift T_{ρ_u} and ζ_u is a one-sided Bernoulli generator of T_u .*

3.2. Graph homomorphisms and skew products. Now we want to establish the class of graph homomorphisms that we shall use.

Definition 3.3. Let G and H be two stochastic graphs.

- (i) A map $\phi : G \rightarrow H$ is a **graph homomorphism** if there exists a map $\phi^{(0)} : G^{(0)} \rightarrow H^{(0)}$ such that

$$s(\phi(g)) = \phi^{(0)}(s(g)) \quad , \quad t(\phi(g)) = \phi^{(0)}(t(g))$$

for all $g \in G$. (Note that, if the map $\phi^{(0)}$ exists it is unique.)

- (ii) A graph homomorphism $\phi : G \rightarrow H$ is **deterministic** if $\phi^{(0)}(G^{(0)}) = H^{(0)}$ and for every $u \in G^{(0)}$ the restriction of ϕ on G_u

$$\phi|_{G_u} : G_u \rightarrow H_{\phi^{(0)}(u)}$$

is a bijection of this set onto $H_{\phi^{(0)}(u)}$.

- (iii) A graph homomorphism is **weight preserving** or **p-preserving** if $p(\phi(g)) = p(g)$ for all $g \in G$.

Two edges g_1 and g_2 are said to be **congruent** if

$$s(g_1) = s(g_2) \quad , \quad t(g_1) = t(g_2) \quad , \quad p(g_1) = p(g_2) \quad .$$

The map $\phi^{(0)}$ in the above definition is uniquely determined by ϕ , but $\phi^{(0)}$ does not determines ϕ if G has congruent edges.

Anyway one can use a more explicit notation

$$(\phi, \phi^{(0)}) : (G, G^{(0)}) \rightarrow (H, H^{(0)})$$

for the homomorphism $\phi : G \rightarrow H$.

We shall denote by $\mathcal{H}om(G, H)$ the set of all weight preserving deterministic graph homomorphisms $\phi : G \rightarrow H$. In the sequel the term "homomorphism" always means just **weight preserving deterministic graph homomorphism**.

Proposition 3.4. *Let $\phi : G \rightarrow H$ be a map.*

- (i) *If ϕ is a graph homomorphism, it induces a factor map*

$$\Phi_\phi : X_G \rightarrow X_H \quad , \quad \Phi_\phi(\{x_n\}_{n=1}^\infty) = \{\phi(x_n)\}_{n=1}^\infty$$

such that $\Phi_\phi \circ T_G = T_H \circ \Phi_\phi$.

- (ii) *If, in addition, ϕ is weight preserving, the factor map Φ_ϕ is measure preserving, ($m_H = m_G \circ \Phi_\phi^{-1}$).*

- (iii) *If ϕ is also deterministic, the shift T_G can be represented as a skew product*

$$(3.24) \quad \bar{T}(x, y) = (T_H x, A(x)y) \quad , \quad (x, y) \in X_H \times Y$$

where $\{A(x), x \in X_H\}$ is a measurable family of automorphisms of Y .

- (iv) *If T_G is ergodic, Y is a homogeneous Lebesgue space.*

Proof. Parts (i) and (ii) follow directly from Definition 3.3. Part (iii) and (iv) can be proved by analogy with Proposition 2.2 \square

Moreover

Theorem 3.5. *Let $\phi \in \mathcal{H}om(G, H)$ and suppose that the shift T_G is ergodic. Then there exists $d \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $|\Phi_\phi^{-1}(x)| = d$ for almost all $x \in X_H$. That is, in the skew product (3.24) the space Y is finite, $|Y| = d$.*

Note that Theorem 3.5 claims the finiteness of d even in the case, when the graph G is not finite, i.e. $|G| = \infty$. This is a consequence of positive recurrence of the corresponding to G Markov chain. The skew product decomposition (3.24) of T_G over T_H is a d -extension.

Theorem 3.5 was proved earlier in a particular case, when H is a Bernoulli graph, i.e. when $H^{(0)} = \{o\}$ is a singleton (See Theorem 3.3 and Corollary 3.4 from [Ru6], and also Theorem 3.18 below).

We omit the proof of Theorem 3.5 in general case, since only the pointed out particular case is considered in this paper.

Definition 3.6. . The integer d in Theorem 3.5, i.e. the degree of the factor map Φ_ϕ will be called the **degree** of the homomorphism ϕ .

Denoting the degree by $d(\phi)$, we have $d(\phi) = |\Phi_\phi^{-1}(x)|$ for a.a. $x \in X_H$.

The following construction plays a central role in our explanation.

Example 3.7 (Graph Skew Product). Let $d \in \mathbb{N}$ and let $Y_d = \{1, 2, \dots, d\}$ consists of d points of measure $\frac{1}{d}$. Denote by $\mathcal{A}_d = \mathcal{A}(Y_d)$ the full group of all permutations of Y_d .

Given a stochastic graph H , equipped with a function $a : H \ni h \rightarrow a(h) \in \mathcal{A}_d$, we construct a stochastic graph \bar{H}_a and a homomorphism $\pi_H : \bar{H}_a \rightarrow H$ by

$$\bar{H}_a = H \times Y_d, \quad \bar{H}_a^{(0)} = H^{(0)} \times Y_d,$$

with

$$s(\bar{h}) = (s(h), y), \quad t(\bar{h}) = (t(h), a(h)y), \quad p(\bar{h}) = p(h)$$

for $\bar{h} = (h, y) \in \bar{H}_a = H \times Y_d$ and also

$$p^{(0)}(\bar{u}) = p^{(0)}(u), \quad \bar{u} = (u, y) \in \bar{H}_a^{(0)} = H^{(0)} \times Y_d$$

. The natural projection

$$\pi_H : \bar{H}_a = H \times Y_d \rightarrow H, \quad \pi_H^{(0)} : \bar{H}_a^{(0)} = H^{(0)} \times Y_d \rightarrow H^{(0)}$$

is a homomorphism.

Definition 3.8. We shall say that the graph \bar{H}_a is a **skew product** over H and the homomorphism $\pi_H : \bar{H}_a \rightarrow H$ is a **graph skew product** (or **GSP**) d -extension of H .

In the above construction we have $|\pi_H^{-1}(h)| = d$ for all $h \in H$ and this is, in fact, a characteristic property of the graph skew product d -extension in the following sense

Definition 3.9. Two homomorphisms $\phi_k : G_k \rightarrow H$, $k = 1, 2$ are said to be **equivalent** if $\phi_2 = \kappa \circ \phi_1$ for an appropriate isomorphism $\kappa : G_1 \rightarrow G_2$.

Definition 3.10. Let $d \in \mathbb{N}$. A homomorphism $\phi \in \mathcal{H}om(G, H)$ is called a **d -extension** if

$$(3.25) \quad |\phi^{-1}(h)| = d, \quad h \in H.$$

Proposition 3.11. *Any d -extension $\phi : G \rightarrow H$ is equivalent to a GSP d -extension $\pi_H : \bar{H}_a \rightarrow H$.*

Proof. Let $\phi \in \mathcal{H}om(G, H)$ is a d -extension. Since ϕ is deterministic the restrictions $\phi|_{G_u}$ are bijections between G_u and $H_{\phi^{(0)}(u)}$ for all $u \in H^{(0)}$. Hence the condition (3.25) is equivalent to

$$|\phi^{(0)-1}(u)| = d, \quad u \in H^{(0)}.$$

For each $u \in H^{(0)}$ we can choose a bijection w_u of $\phi^{(0)-1}(u)$ onto Y_d . With any fixed choice of these bijections we set

$$H \ni h \rightarrow a(h) = w_{t(h)} \circ w_{s(h)}^{-1} \in \mathcal{A}_d,$$

and consider the corresponding skew product graph \bar{H}_a . The bijections w_u uniquely determine an isomorphism $\kappa : G \rightarrow \bar{H}_a$ such that $\phi = \pi_H \circ \kappa$. \square

Remark 3.12. The Markov shift $T_{\bar{H}_a}$ corresponding to a graph skew product \bar{H}_a can be identified with the skew product endomorphism $\bar{T}_{H,a}$, defined by

$$\bar{T}_{H,a}(x, y) = (T_H x, a(x_1)^{-1} y), \quad x = \{x_n\}_{n=1}^\infty \in X_H, \quad y \in Y_d.$$

and thus any d -extension is a homomorphism of degree d .

Indeed, the shift $T_{\bar{H}_a}$ acts on the space

$$X_{\bar{H}_a} = \{ \{(x_n, y_n)\}_{n=1}^\infty : x = \{x_n\}_{n=1}^\infty \in X_H, \quad y_n = a(x_n) y_{n+1} \in Y_d \}$$

and the map

$$\Psi : X_{\bar{H}_a} \ni \{x_n\}_{n=1}^\infty \rightarrow (\{x_n\}_{n=1}^\infty, y_1) \in X_H \times Y_d$$

realizes the identification, that is, $m_H \otimes m_{Y_d} = m_{\bar{H}_a} \circ \Psi^{-1}$ and $\bar{T}_{H,a} \circ \Psi = \Psi \circ T_{\bar{H}_a}$. Note also that

$$(3.26) \quad \Psi \zeta_{\bar{H}_a} = \zeta_H \times \varepsilon_{Y_d}, \quad \Psi \zeta_{\bar{H}_a}^{(0)} = \zeta_H^{(0)} \times \varepsilon_{Y_d}.$$

Consider now two skew product endomorphisms \bar{T}_{H,a_k} , corresponding to graph skew products \bar{H}_{a_k} with two functions $a_k : H \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_d$, $k = 1, 2$.

Definition 3.13. (i) Two functions $a_k : H \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_d$, $k = 1, 2$, are said to be **cohomologous** with respect to H if there exists a map $w : H^{(0)} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_d$ such that

$$(3.27) \quad a_2(h)w(s(h)) = w(t(h))a_1(h), \quad h \in H$$

(ii) Two measurable functions $A_k : X_H \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_d$, $k = 1, 2$ are said to be **cohomologous** with respect to T_H if there exists a measurable map $W : X_H \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_d$ such that

$$(3.28) \quad A_2(x)W(x) = W(T_H x)A_1(x), \quad x \in X_H$$

In accordance with Definitions 3.9 and 3.13 we can say now that the homomorphisms $\pi_H : \bar{H}_{a_k} \rightarrow H$ are equivalent iff the functions $a_k : H \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_d$, $k = 1, 2$ are cohomologous with respect to H .

The equality (3.27) is equivalent to (3.28) if we take

$$A_k(x) = a_k(x_1)^{-1}, \quad k = 1, 2, \quad W(x) = w(t(x_1))$$

for $x = \{x_n\}_{n=1}^\infty \in X_H$ and given a_k and w . Hence if a_1 and a_2 are cohomologous with respect to H , then A_1 and A_2 cohomologous with respect to T_H .

We shall show in Section 4.3 that the inverse is also true.

Remark 3.14. Let $\chi : H \rightarrow H_1$ be a homomorphism and $\pi_1 : \bar{H}_1 \rightarrow H_1$ be a d -extension of H_1 generated by a function $a_1 : H_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_d$. Setting $a(h) := a_1(\chi(h))$ we obtain a d -extension $\pi : \bar{H} := \bar{H}_a \rightarrow H$ of H . The map $\bar{\chi}(h, y) := (\kappa(h), y)$, $(h, y) \in \bar{H}$ is a homomorphism and the diagram

$$(3.29) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \bar{H} & \xrightarrow{\bar{\chi}} & \bar{H}_1 \\ \downarrow \pi & & \downarrow \pi_1 \\ H & \xrightarrow{\chi} & H_1 \end{array}$$

commutes. The homomorphism $\bar{\chi}$ is called a **trivial** extension of χ . If, in addition, $d(\chi) = 1$, then $d(\bar{\chi}) = 1$ and hence the corresponding endomorphisms $\bar{T}_{H,a}$ and \bar{T}_{H_1,a_1} are isomorphic.

3.3. Stochastic ρ -uniform graphs. We continue to consider (I, ρ) as the standard Bernoulli stochastic graph, (Example 3.1)

Definition 3.15. A stochastic graph (G, p) is called **ρ -uniform** if there exists a homomorphism $\phi \in \mathcal{H}om(G, I)$.

For any such homomorphism ϕ and for every $u \in G^{(0)}$

$$\phi|_{G_u} : (G_u, p|_{G_u}) \rightarrow (I, \rho)$$

is a weight preserving bijection. Thus the atomic probability spaces $(G_u, p|_{G_u})$ are isomorphic to (I, ρ) for every $u \in G^{(0)}$.

Proposition 3.16. $T_G \in \mathcal{UE}(\rho)$ iff G is ρ -uniform.

Proof. Consider the partition $\xi_1 := T_G^{-1}\varepsilon_{X_G}$ generated by the shift T_G . The Markov property of the measure m_G on X_G implies

$$m^{C_{\xi_1}(x)}(\{x\}) = m_G\{Z_1 = x_1 \mid Z_2 = x_2\} = p(x_1)$$

for a.a. $x = \{x_n\}_{n=1}^\infty \in X_G$, Here $m^{C_{\xi_1}(x)}(\{x\})$ is the conditional measure of the point x in the element $C_{\xi_1}(x) = T_G^{-1}T_G x$ of the partition ξ_1 . Hence for every $u \in G^{(0)}$ almost all elements (C, m_C) of the partition ξ_1 are isomorphic to $(G_u, p|_{G_u})$ on the set $\{x = \{x_n\}_{n=1}^\infty \in X_G : s(x_1) = u\}$. But $T_G \in \mathcal{UE}(\rho)$ iff a.a. elements (C, m_C) are isomorphic to (I, ρ) . Hence $T_G \in \mathcal{UE}(\rho)$ iff $(G_u, p|_{G_u})$ are isomorphic to (I, ρ) for every $u \in G^{(0)}$. \square

Let G be a ρ -uniform graph and $\phi \in \mathcal{H}om(G, I)$. Consider the partition $\phi^{-1}\varepsilon_I = \{\phi^{-1}(i), i \in I\}$ of G . The first coordinate function

$$Z_1 : X_G \ni x = \{x_k\}_{k=1}^\infty \rightarrow x_1 \in G$$

generates the following partition

$$\delta_\phi = Z_1^{-1}(\phi^{-1}\varepsilon_I)$$

of the space X_G . Elements of δ_ϕ have the form

$$B(i) = Z_1^{-1}(\phi^{-1}(i)) = \{x = \{x_k\}_{k=1}^\infty \in X_G : \phi(g) = i\}, i \in I$$

Using the standard Markov generator

$$\zeta_G = Z_1^{-1}\varepsilon_G = \{A(g)\}_{g \in G}, A(g) = Z_1^{-1}(g)$$

of T_G , we have

$$B(i) = \bigcup_{g \in \phi^{-1}(i)} A(g)$$

and

$$m_G(B(i)) = \sum_{g \in \phi^{-1}(i)} p(g) p^{(0)}(s(g)) = \rho(i) \sum_{u \in G^{(0)}} p^{(0)}(u) = \rho(i)$$

for $i \in I$. Hence for $\delta = \delta_\phi$ we have

$$(3.30) \quad \delta \in IC(T_G^{-1} \varepsilon_{X_G}) = \Delta_\rho(T_G) \quad , \quad \delta \leq \zeta_G$$

Denoting by $\Delta_\rho(T_G, \zeta_G)$ the set of all δ that satisfy (3.30), we have also

Proposition 3.17. $\Delta_\rho(T_G, \zeta_G)$ is precisely the set of all δ of the form $\delta = \delta_\phi$.

Now we introduce a semigroup $\mathcal{S}(\phi)$ of maps $f : G^{(0)} \rightarrow G^{(0)}$ induced by the homomorphism ϕ .

Let $i \in I$. Since ϕ is deterministic the restriction $\phi|_{G_u} : G_u \rightarrow I$ is a bijection of G_u onto I for every $u \in G^{(0)}$. Hence for any pair (i, u) there exists a unique $g_{i,u}$ such that $\phi(g_{i,u}) = i$ and $s(g_{i,u}) = u$. Putting $f_i u = g_{i,u}$, we get a map $f_i : G^{(0)} \rightarrow G^{(0)}$. Let $\mathcal{S}(\phi)$ be the semigroup generated by the maps $\{f_i, i \in I\}$.

Let $\mathcal{FS}(I)$ be the set of all finite words $i_1 i_2 \dots i_n$ in the alphabet I . We shall consider $\mathcal{FS}(I)$ as a free semigroup with the generating set I and with juxtaposition multiplication:

$$i_1 i_2 \dots i_m \cdot j_1 j_2 \dots j_n = i_1 i_2 \dots i_m j_1 j_2 \dots j_n$$

and set

$$f_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_n} = f_{i_1} \circ f_{i_2} \circ \dots \circ f_{i_n} \quad , \quad i_1 i_2 \dots i_n \in I^n$$

Then $i_1 i_2 \dots i_n \rightarrow f_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_n}$ is a homomorphism from the semigroup $\mathcal{FS}(I)$ onto the semigroup

$$\mathcal{S}(\phi) = \{f_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_n} \mid i_1 i_2 \dots i_n \in \mathcal{FS}(I)\} \quad ,$$

generated by $\{f_i, i \in I\}$.

Now we can describe the partitions

$$\delta_\phi = \{B(i)\}_{i \in I} \quad , \quad \delta_\phi^{(n)} = \bigvee_{k=1}^n T_G^{-k+1} \delta_\phi \quad , \quad n \in \mathbb{N}$$

as follows.

First recall that the partition $\zeta^{(0)}$ consists of the atoms $D(u) = Z^{-1}(uG)$, $u \in G^{(0)}$ and rename the elements $A(g)$, $g \in G$ of the partition ζ_G by

$$D(i, u) := A(g_{i,u}) \quad , \quad u \in G^{(0)} \quad , \quad i \in I \quad .$$

Then for all $i \in I$ and $u \in G^{(0)}$ we have $D(i, u) = B(i) \cap T_G^{-1} D(u)$,

$$D(i, u) = \{x = \{x_n\}_{n=1}^\infty \in X_G : t(x_1) = u, \phi(x_1) = i\}$$

and

$$(3.31) \quad B(i) = \bigcup_{u \in G^{(0)}} D(i, u) \quad , \quad D(u) = \bigcup_{v: f_i(v)=u} D(i, v) \quad .$$

Further for any $g_1 g_2 \dots g_n \in G^{(n)}$ there exists a unique pair $(i_1 i_2 \dots i_n, u) \in I^n \times G^{(0)}$ such that

$$(3.32) \quad u = s(g_n) \quad , \quad i_k = \phi(g_k) \quad , \quad t(g_k) = f_{i_k}(s(g_k)) \quad , \quad k = 1, 2, \dots, n$$

Hence any atom $A(g_1 g_2 \dots g_n)$ of the partition $\zeta_G^{(n)} = \bigvee_{k=1}^n T^{-k+1} \zeta_G$ can be renamed by $D(i_1 i_2 \dots i_n, u) = A(g_1 g_2 \dots g_n)$, where the pair $(i_1 i_2 \dots i_n, u)$ satisfies (3.32). By (3.31) any atom $B(i_1 i_2 \dots i_n)$ of the partition $\delta_\phi^{(n)} = \bigvee_{k=1}^n T_G^{-k+1} \delta_\phi$ has the form

$$B(i_1 i_2 \dots i_n) = \bigcup_{u \in G^{(0)}} D(i_1 i_2 \dots i_n, u)$$

and since

$$D(i_1 i_2 \dots i_n, u) = B(i_1 i_2 \dots i_n) \cap T^{-n} D(u)$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} m_G(B(i_1 i_2 \dots i_n)) &= \rho(i_1) \rho(i_2) \dots \rho(i_n), \\ m_G(D(i_1 i_2 \dots i_n, u)) &= \rho(i_1) \rho(i_2) \dots \rho(i_n) p^{(0)}(u). \end{aligned}$$

Any $\zeta_G^{(0)}$ -set has the form

$$D(E) = \{x = \{x_n\}_{n=1}^\infty \in X_G : t(x_1) \in E\}.$$

for a subset $E \subseteq G^{(0)}$. Then for any $i_1 i_2 \dots i_n \in I^n$

$$D(E) \cap B(i_1 i_2 \dots i_n) = \bigcup_{u: f_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_n}(u) \in E} D(i_1 i_2 \dots i_n, u).$$

Hence

$$(3.33) \quad m_G(D(E) \mid B(i_1 i_2 \dots i_n)) = p^{(0)}(f_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_n}^{-1}(E)).$$

Next theorem is basic for our explanation. Let

$$\delta_\phi^{(\infty)} = \bigvee_{n=1}^\infty \delta_\phi^{(n)} = \bigvee_{n=1}^\infty T_G^{-n+1} \delta_\phi$$

Theorem 3.18. *Let $\phi \in \mathcal{H}om(G, I)$. Then*

- (i) $\zeta_G \vee \delta_\phi^{(\infty)} = \varepsilon_{X_G}$.
- (ii) $d(T_G) \leq d(T_G, \delta_\phi) = d(\phi) < \infty$

Proof. It was proved in [Ru₆, Theorem 3.3] that if ζ is a one-sided Markov generator of T and

$$T \in \mathcal{UE}(\rho), \delta \in \Delta_\rho(T), \delta \leq \zeta,$$

then $\zeta \vee \delta^{(\infty)} = \varepsilon$. Hence (i) follows by putting $\delta = \delta_\phi$ and $\zeta = \zeta_G$.

Since the partition ζ_G is finite or countable the equality (i) implies that almost all elements (C, m_C) of the partition $\delta_\phi^{(\infty)}$ are atomic. Taking in to account the ergodicity of T_G , we see that almost all elements of $\delta_\phi^{(\infty)}$ consist of d atoms of measure $\frac{1}{d}$ for an natural d . Herewith by Definitions 2.3 and 3.6 we have $d = d(T_G, \delta_\phi) = d(\phi)$ and, whence, (ii) follows. \square

We need the following sharp version of Part (i) of Theorem 3.18

Lemma 3.19. $\zeta_G^{(0)} \vee \delta_\phi^{(\infty)} = \varepsilon_{X_G}$

Proof. Choose an increasing sequence of positive numbers $c_n > 0$ and an increasing sequence of finite subsets E_n of $G^{(0)}$ such that

$$(3.34) \quad \bigcup_{n=1}^\infty E_n = G^{(0)} \quad . \quad \sum_{n=1}^\infty (1 - c_n) < \infty \quad . \quad p^{(0)}(E_n) > c_n \quad .$$

Since $|E_n| < \infty$ there exist $i_1^{(n)} i_2^{(n)} \dots i_{k_n}^{(n)} \in I^{k_n}$ and $f_n := f_{i_1^{(n)} i_2^{(n)} \dots i_{k_n}^{(n)}} \in \mathcal{S}(\phi)$ such that

$$|f_n(E_n)| = \min\{|f(E_n)| : f \in \mathcal{S}(\phi)\}.$$

The choice of f_n provides that all restrictions $f|_{f_n(E_n)}$, $f \in \mathcal{S}(\phi)$ are bijections.

Consider the sets

$$\begin{aligned} B_n &:= B(i_1^{(n)} i_2^{(n)} \dots i_{k_n}^{(n)}) , \\ B'_n &:= B_n \cap T_G^{-k_n} D(E_n) = \bigcup_{u \in E_n} D(i_1^{(n)} i_2^{(n)} \dots i_{k_n}^{(n)}, u) \end{aligned}$$

and also

$$F_n := \{x \in X_G : T^{\omega_n(x)+n} x \in B'_n\} ,$$

where

$$\omega_n(x) := \min\{k \geq 0 : T^{n+k} x \in B_n\}$$

Then it is not hard to see that

$$m_G(F_n) = m_G(B'_n | B_n) = p^{(0)}(E_n) > c_n .$$

Set $F := \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} F_n$. Then we have $m_G(F) = 1$, since $\sum (1 - c_n) < \infty$. By constructing, the set F has the following property. Suppose $x = \{x_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$ and $y = \{y_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$ belong to F and

$$\Phi_{\delta_\phi}(x) = \Phi_{\delta_\phi}(y) = \{i_k\}_{k=1}^\infty \in X_\rho .$$

If $s(x_m) \neq s(y_m)$ for some $m \geq 1$, then

$$t(x_k) = f_{i_k} s(x_k) \neq t(y_k) = f_{i_k} s(y_k) , \quad k = 1, 2, \dots, m .$$

In other words, if $t(x_1) = t(y_1)$ and $\Phi_{\delta_\phi}(x) = \Phi_{\delta_\phi}(y)$ then $x = y$. Thus $\zeta_G^{(0)} \vee \delta_\phi^{(\infty)} = \varepsilon_{X_G}$ on the set F of measure 1. \square

3.4. Semigroup $\mathcal{S}(\phi)$ and persistent d-sets. Let U be a finite or countable set.

Definition 3.20. Let \mathcal{S} be a semigroup of maps $f : U \rightarrow U$ on U and let $d \in \mathbb{N}$. Call the semigroup \mathcal{S} **d-contractive** if there exists a subset $L \subseteq U$ such that

- (i) $|f(L)| = |L| = d$ for all $f \in \mathcal{S}$.
- (ii) For every finite subset $E \subset U$ there exists $f \in \mathcal{S}$ with $f(E) \subseteq L$.

The sets L , satisfying (i) and (ii), will be called **persistent d-sets** with respect to \mathcal{S} .

Denote by $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{S})$ the set of all such L . We have directly from the definition:

- For $L \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{S})$ and $f \in \mathcal{S}$ the restriction $f|_L : L \rightarrow f(L)$ is a bijection and $f(L) \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{S})$.
- The semigroup \mathcal{S} acts transitively on $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{S})$, i.e. for every pair $L_1, L_2 \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{S})$ there exists $f \in \mathcal{S}$ such that $f(L_1) = L_2$.
- The integer d is equal to

$$(3.35) \quad d(\mathcal{S}) := \sup_{E \subseteq U : |E| < \infty} \min_{f \in \mathcal{S}} |f(E)| .$$

$$\text{and } d(\mathcal{S}) = \min_{f \in \mathcal{S}} |f(U)| \text{ if } |U| < \infty .$$

Let G be a ρ -uniform stochastic graph and $\phi \in \mathcal{H}om(G, I)$ be a homomorphism $\phi : G \rightarrow I$.

Return to the semigroup $\mathcal{S}(\phi)$ which acts on $U = G^{(0)}$.

Theorem 3.21. *Let T_G be an ergodic one-sided Markov shift corresponding to a ρ -uniform stochastic graph G and let $\phi \in \mathcal{H}om(G, I)$. Then the semigroup $\mathcal{S}(\phi)$ is d -contractive on $G^{(0)}$ and*

$$(3.36) \quad d = d(\mathcal{S}(\phi)) = d(T_G, \delta_\phi) = d(\phi)$$

Proof. To prove the theorem we shall use the partition $\zeta_G^{(0)}$ on $G^{(0)}$. Recall that $\zeta_G^{(0)}$ consists of all atoms of the form $D(u) = Z_1^{-1}(uG)$, $u \in G^{(0)}$. For any subset E of $G^{(0)}$ we denote

$$D(E) = \{x = \{x_n\}_{n=1}^\infty \in X_G : t(x_1) \in E\} = \bigcup_{u \in E} D(u),$$

i.e. $D(E)$ is a $\zeta_G^{(0)}$ -set corresponding to E in the space X_G .

It follows from Theorem 3.18 Part (ii) that almost all elements (C, m_C) of the partition $\delta_\phi^{(\infty)}$ are isomorphic to Y_d , where $d = d(T_G, \delta_\phi) \in \mathbb{N}$. Hence

$$m(\{x\} \mid C_{\delta_\phi^{(\infty)}}(x)) = \frac{1}{d}$$

for a.e. $x \in X_G$. Then Lemma 3.19 implies that there exists a measurable family $\{l(x), x \in X\}$ of subsets $l(x) \subseteq G^{(0)}$ such that

$$(3.37) \quad m(D(l(x)) \mid C_{\delta_\phi^{(\infty)}}(x)) = 1, \quad |l(x)| = d$$

almost everywhere on X_G .

For any $L \subseteq G^{(0)}$ denote

$$\check{L} := \{x \in X_G : l(x) = L\}, \quad \mathcal{L} := \{L \subseteq G^{(0)} : m_G(\check{L}) > 0\},$$

i.e. \mathcal{L} is the (finite or countable) set of all essential values of the function $x \rightarrow l(x)$. We show that $\mathcal{L} \subseteq \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{S}(\phi))$, i.e. that every $L \in \mathcal{L}$ satisfies the conditions (i) and (ii) of Definition 3.20.

Take any finite subset $E \subseteq G^{(0)}$ and choose $c > 0$ such that $c < \min_{u \in E} p^{(0)}(u)$. For $L \in \mathcal{L}$ and almost all $x = \{x_n\}_{n=1}^\infty \in \check{L}$ we have by (3.33)

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} m(D(L) \mid C_{\delta_\phi^{(n)}}(x)) = m_G(D(l(x)) \mid C_{\delta_\phi^{(\infty)}}(x)) = 1$$

and by (3.33)

$$m(D(L) \mid C_{\delta_\phi^{(n)}}(x)) = p^{(0)}(f_{x_1 x_2 \dots x_n}^{-1}(L)).$$

Hence we can choose n and $(x_1 x_2 \dots x_n) \in G^{(n)}$ such that

$$m(B(x_1 x_2 \dots x_n) \cap \check{L}) > 0$$

and then

$$p^{(0)}(f_{x_1 x_2 \dots x_n}^{-1}(L)) > 1 - c$$

The choice of c provides $f_{x_1 x_2 \dots x_n}^{-1}(E) \supseteq L$ and thus Part (ii) of Definition 3.20 holds. Part (i) follows from the equalities

$$(3.38) \quad f_{x_1 x_2 \dots x_n} l(T_G^n x) = l(x), \quad |l(x)| = d, \quad x \in X_G$$

by the definition of $l(x)$. We have proved the inclusion $\mathcal{L} \subseteq \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{S}(\phi))$, which implies that the semigroup \mathcal{S} is d -contractive with $d = d(T_G, \delta_\phi)$. \square

Corollary 3.22. $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{S}(\phi))$,

Proof. It was proved above that $\mathcal{L} \subseteq \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{S}(\phi))$. Take $M \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{S}(\phi))$ and $L \in \mathcal{L}$. Since also $L \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{S}(\phi))$, there exists $i_1 i_2 \dots i_n \in I^n$ such that

$$f_{x_1 x_2 \dots x_n}(M) = L = l(x), \quad x \in \tilde{L}$$

Then the equality (3.38) implies $M = l(T^n x)$ on a set of positive measure in X and hence $M \in \mathcal{L}$. Thus $\mathcal{L} \supseteq \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{S}(\phi))$. \square

Remark 3.23. Note that the notion of d -contractive semigroup was introduced in [Ru₆], where an analog of Theorem 3.21 was also proved. Definition 3.20 is a generalization of what is called "point collapsing" by M. Rosenblatt [Ro₁], [Ro₂] in the case $|U| < \infty$ and $d = 1$. The case, when $|U| = \infty$ and $d = 1$, was considered in [KuMuTo].

3.5. Graph skew product representation. From now on let G be a ρ -uniform stochastic graph, which is irreducible and satisfies the positive recurrence condition.

Theorem 3.24. *Let $\phi \in \mathcal{H}om(G, I)$ be a homomorphism of degree $d = d(\phi)$. Then there exists a commutative diagram*

$$(3.39) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \bar{H} & \xrightarrow{\bar{\psi}} & G \\ \downarrow \bar{\phi} & & \downarrow \phi \\ H & \xrightarrow{\psi} & I \end{array}$$

where the graph $\bar{H} = \bar{H}_a$ is a graph skew product over H , generated by a function $a : H \ni h \rightarrow a(h) \in \mathcal{A}_d$, the homomorphism $\bar{\phi}$ coincides with the natural projection π_H , and both the homomorphisms $\bar{\psi} \in \mathcal{H}om(\bar{H}, G)$ and $\psi \in \mathcal{H}om(H, I)$ are of degree 1. In particular, $(\bar{\phi}, \psi) \in \mathcal{E}xt^d(I, \rho)$

Proof. We construct a commutative diagram

$$(3.40) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \hat{H} & \xrightarrow{\hat{\psi}} & G \\ \downarrow \hat{\phi} & & \downarrow \phi \\ H & \xrightarrow{\psi} & I \end{array}$$

such that the homomorphism $\hat{\phi} \in \mathcal{H}om(\hat{H}, H)$ is a d -extension (See Definition 3.10) and both homomorphisms $\hat{\psi} \in \mathcal{H}om(\hat{H}, G)$ and $\psi \in \mathcal{H}om(H, I)$ are of degree 1.

We shall use the persistent d -sets $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{S}(\phi))$ of the semigroup $\mathcal{S}(\phi)$, described in Theorem 3.21 (Section 3.4). Since \mathcal{L} is finite or countable we can enumerate the set by an alphabet J , setting $\mathcal{L} = \{L_j, j \in J\}$.

Recall that the semigroup $\mathcal{S}(\phi)$ is d -contractive with $d = d(\mathcal{S}(\phi))$ (Theorem 3.21). For any pair $i \in I, j \in J$ we have $|f_i(L_j)| = |L_j| = d$ and the restrictions $f_i|_{L_j}$ is a bijection of L_j onto $f_i(L_j)$, whence, $f_i(L_j) \in \mathcal{L}$ for all i and j . For any $i \in I$ denote by f_i^J the map $J \rightarrow J$, which is defined by $f_i^J j = j'$, where $f_i(L_j) = L_{j'}$.

To construct Diagram 3.40 define first $\psi : H \rightarrow I$ with $H := I \times J, H^{(0)} := J$, where

$$s(i, j) = j, \quad t(i, j) = f_i^J j, \quad p(i, j) = \rho(i), \quad \psi(i, j) = i.$$

Next set

$$\hat{H}^{(0)} := \{(j, u) \in J \times G^{(0)} : j \in J, u \in L_j\}, \quad \hat{H} := I \times \hat{H}^{(0)}$$

with

$$s(i, j, u) = (j, u), \quad t(i, j, u) = (f_i^J j, f_i u), \quad p(i, j, u) = \rho(i).$$

Finally, we define the maps $\hat{\psi}$ and $\hat{\phi}$ by

$$\hat{\phi} : \hat{H} \ni (i, j, u) \rightarrow (i, j) \in H \quad , \quad \hat{\psi} : \hat{H} \ni (i, j, u) \rightarrow g_{i,u} \in G \quad .$$

where $g_{i,u}$ is uniquely determined by the conditions $s(g) = u$ and $\phi(g) = i$.

It follows directly from this constructing that H and \hat{H} are stochastic graphs, and that $\hat{\phi}$, $\hat{\psi}$ and ψ are homomorphisms, and that Diagram 3.40 commutes. Point out only that $\hat{\phi}$ is a d -extension, since $|L_j| = d$ for all j and hence $\hat{\phi}$ is of degree d . This implies that $\hat{\psi}$ and ψ are of degree 1, since ϕ is of degree d .

It remains to apply Proposition 3.11 to the homomorphism $\hat{\phi} : \hat{H} \rightarrow H$. \square

Next we construct d -extensions with a minimal possible d . Let G as above be a ρ -uniform stochastic graph. Recall that $G^{(n)}$ denotes the set of all n -paths in G , see (3.17). We shall consider $G^{(n)}$ as a stochastic graph with the set of vertices $G^{(n-1)}$, where for any $g^{(n)} = g_1 g_2 \dots g_n$

$$s(g^{(n)}) = g_2 g_3 \dots g_n \quad , \quad t(g^{(n)}) = g_1 g_2 \dots g_{n-1}$$

and $p(g^{(n)}) = p(g_1)p(g_2)\dots p(g_n)$. If G is ρ -uniform, the " n -stringing" graph $G^{(n)}$ is also ρ -uniform. The natural projection

$$\pi^{(n)} : G^{(n)} \ni g^{(n)} = (g_1 g_2 \dots g_n) \rightarrow g_1 \in G$$

is a homomorphism and $\phi \circ \pi^{(n)} \in \mathcal{H}om(G^{(n)}, I)$ for any $\phi \in \mathcal{H}om(G, I)$. However, if (I, ρ) has congruent edges there exist $\phi_1 \in \mathcal{H}om(G^{(n)}, I)$, which are not of the above form $\phi_1 = \phi \circ \pi^{(n)}$. It is an obvious fact, that $d(\pi^{(n)}) = 1$, i.e. $\Phi_{\pi^{(n)}} : X_{G^{(n)}} \rightarrow X_G$ is an isomorphism. We use the index $d(T, \delta)$ and the minimal index $d(T)$, which were defined by Definition 2.3.

Theorem 3.25. *Let G be a ρ -uniform stochastic graph, which is irreducible and satisfies the positive recurrence condition. Then there exist an integer $n \in \mathbb{N}$, a homomorphism $\phi \in \mathcal{H}om(G^{(n)}, I)$ and a commutative diagram*

$$(3.41) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \bar{H} & \xrightarrow{\bar{\psi}} & G^{(n)} \\ \downarrow \bar{\phi} & & \downarrow \phi \\ H & \xrightarrow{\psi} & I \end{array}$$

such that

- (i) The graph $\bar{H} = \bar{H}_a$ is a skew product over a graph H , generated by a function $a : H \ni h \rightarrow a(h) \in \mathcal{A}_d$, $d \in \mathbb{N}$, and the homomorphism $\bar{\phi}$ coincides with the natural projection π_H of \bar{H} onto H ,
- (ii) $d = d(\phi) = d(T_G)$,
- (iii) The homomorphisms $\bar{\psi} \in \mathcal{H}om(\bar{H}, G)$ and $\psi \in \mathcal{H}om(H, I)$ are of degree 1.

Proof. Let $\zeta = \zeta_G$ be the standard Markov generator of the shift T_G . It was proved in [Ru₆, Theorem 4.2 and 4.3] that there exist $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\delta \in \Delta_\rho(T_G)$ such that

$$\delta \leq \zeta^{(n)} := \bigvee_{k=1}^n T^{-k+1} \zeta \quad , \quad d(T, \delta) = d(T) \quad .$$

Take $\zeta^{(n)}$ and $G^{(n)}$ instead ζ and G in Proposition 3.17. Then we obtain $\phi \in \mathcal{H}om(G^{(n)}, I)$ with $\delta = \delta_\phi$ and thus, by using Corollary 3.24, we complete the proof. \square

4. HOMOMORPHISMS AND FINITE EXTENSIONS.

4.1. Homomorphisms of degree 1. Let H be a ρ -uniform graph and consider a homomorphism $\psi : H \rightarrow I$. Suppose that ψ is of degree 1. By Theorem 3.21 the semigroup $\mathcal{S}(\psi)$, generated by $f_i = f_i^\psi$, $i \in I$, is 1-contractive and all its persistent sets are singletons. Using ψ we can identify the graph H with $I \times J$, where $J = H^{(0)}$ and for any $h = (i, j) \in H$

$$\psi(h) = i, \quad s(h) = j, \quad t(h) = f_i j, \quad p(h) = \rho(i)$$

Since $d(\psi) = 1$ the partition δ_ψ is a one-sided Bernoulli generator for the Markov shift T_H . The factor map $\Phi_{\delta_\psi} : X_H \rightarrow X_\rho$ is an isomorphism, $\Phi_{\delta_\psi} \circ T_H = T_\rho \circ \Phi_{\delta_\psi}$ and we can consider the Markov partitions $\zeta_\rho := \Phi_{\delta_\psi}(\zeta_H)$ and $\zeta_\rho^{(0)} := \Phi_{\delta_\psi}(\zeta_H^{(0)})$ for T_ρ on X_ρ , which correspond to the Markov partitions ζ_H and $\zeta_H^{(0)}$ for T_H on X_H . The partition $\delta_\rho = \Phi_{\delta_\psi}(\delta_H)$ coincides with the standard Bernoulli generator of the Bernoulli shift T_ρ .

Thus we have, with the notations from Section 3.3,

$$\delta_\rho = \{B_\rho(i)\}_{i \in I}, \quad \zeta_\rho = \{D_\rho(i, j)\}_{(i, j) \in I \times J}, \quad \zeta_\rho^{(0)} = \{D_\rho(j)\}_{j \in J}$$

where

$$D_\rho(i, f_i(j)) = B_\rho(i) \cap T_\rho^{-1} D_\rho(j), \quad (i, j) \in I \times J$$

Hence the homomorphism ψ is determined by the partitions δ_ρ , ζ_ρ , $\zeta_\rho^{(0)}$ uniquely up to equivalence (see Definition 3.9).

Our aim now is to construct a **common extension of degree 1** for two homomorphisms of degree 1.

Theorem 4.1. *Let $\psi_1 : H_1 \rightarrow I$, $\psi_2 : H_2 \rightarrow I$ be two homomorphisms of ρ -uniform graphs H_1 and H_2 onto the Bernoulli graph (I, ρ) and suppose that ψ_1 and ψ_2 are of degree 1. Then there exist a ρ -uniform graph H and homomorphisms ψ , χ_1 and χ_2 of degree 1, for which the following diagram commutes:*

$$(4.42) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} & H_2 & \xrightarrow{\psi_2} I \\ \chi_2 \nearrow & & \searrow \psi \\ H & \xrightarrow{\chi_1} & H_1 \\ & & \nearrow \psi_1 \end{array}$$

The homomorphism ψ will be called a **common extension** of ψ_1 and ψ_2 of degree 1.

Proof. Denote by $(\zeta_1, \zeta_1^{(0)})$ and $(\zeta_2, \zeta_2^{(0)})$ the pairs of Markov partitions of the space X_ρ , which correspond to the homomorphisms ψ_1 and ψ_2 . Here we omit the subscript " ρ " and mark the partitions and their elements by subscripts "1" and "2", respectively.

We have to construct the desired H and $\psi : H \rightarrow I$ by means of the partitions

$$\zeta := \zeta_1 \vee \zeta_2, \quad \zeta^{(0)} := \zeta_1^{(0)} \vee \zeta_2^{(0)}.$$

By the identification $H_1 = I \times J_1$ and $H_2 = I \times J_2$, we have

$$\zeta_1 = \{D_1(i, j_1)\}_{(i, j_1) \in I \times J_1}, \quad \zeta_1^{(0)} = \{D_1(j_1)\}_{j_1 \in J_1},$$

$$\zeta_2 = \{D_2(i, j_2)\}_{(i, j_2) \in I \times J_2}, \quad \zeta_2^{(0)} = \{D_2(j_2)\}_{j_2 \in J_2},$$

and then the partition $\zeta^{(0)}$ consists of all elements

$$D(j) = D_1(j_1) \cap D_2(j_2), \quad j = (j_1, j_2) \in J.$$

where the set J is defined by

$$(4.43) \quad J := \{j = (j_1, j_2) : p^{(0)}(j) := m_\rho(D_1(j_1) \cap D_2(j_2)) > 0\} \subset J_1 \times J_2 .$$

For any $i \in I$ and $j = (j_1, j_2) \in J$ we set $f_{ij} := (f_{1,ij_1}, f_{1,ij_2})$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} D(f_{ij}) &:= D_1(f_{1,ij_1}) \cap D_2(f_{1,ij_2}) \supseteq D_1(i, j_1) \cap D_2(i, j_2) = \\ &B(i) \cap T_\rho^{-1}(D_1(j_1) \cap D_2(j_2)) = B(i) \cap T_\rho^{-1}D(j) \end{aligned}$$

Since δ and $T_\rho^{-1}\varepsilon$ are independent, this implies

$$p^{(0)}(f_{ij}) = m_\rho(D(f_{ij})) \geq m_\rho(B(i) \cap T_\rho^{-1}D(j)) = \rho(i)p^{(0)}(j) .$$

Hence $f_{ij} \in J$ for all $j \in J$ and $i \in I$.

Thus we are able to define a stochastic graph $H := I \times J$ with $H^{(0)} := J$ such that for any $j \in H^{(0)}$ and $h = (i, j) \in H$

$$s(h) := j, \quad t(h) := f_{ij}(j), \quad p(h) := \rho(i), \quad \psi(h) := i .$$

The construction provides that H is a ρ -uniform graph, $p^{(0)}$ is a stationary probability on $H^{(0)}$ and $\psi : H \rightarrow I$ is a homomorphism of index 1. Moreover, if we set

$$\chi_1(h) := (i, j_1), \quad \chi_2(h) := (i, j_2), \quad h = (i, j_1, j_2) \in H = I \times J_1 \times J_2 ,$$

then $\chi_1 : H \rightarrow H_1$ and $\chi_2 : H \rightarrow H_2$ are homomorphisms and Diagram 4.42 commutes. \square

We shall use also the following sharpening of the previous theorem, which can be proved in a similar way.

Theorem 4.2. *Let*

$$\kappa_1 : H_1 \rightarrow H_0, \quad \kappa_2 : H_2 \rightarrow H_0, \quad \psi_0 : H_0 \rightarrow I$$

be homomorphisms of ρ -uniform graphs H_1 , H_2 and H_0 and suppose they are of degree 1. Then there exist a ρ -uniform graph H and homomorphisms χ , χ_1 and χ_2 of degree 1, for which the following diagram commutes

$$(4.44) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} & H_2 & \xrightarrow{\kappa_2} & H_0 & \xrightarrow{\psi_0} & I \\ & \nearrow \chi_2 & \searrow \chi & \nearrow \kappa_1 & & \\ H & \xrightarrow{\chi_1} & H_1 & & & \end{array}$$

Note that this theorem holds without adding of homomorphism ψ_0 i.e. for graphs, which are not necessary ρ -uniform, but we do not use the fact in this paper.

4.2. Extensions of Bernoulli graphs. Consider a very special case of the graph skew product construction \bar{H}_a (see Example 3.7), when the graph H is the standard Bernoulli graph (I, ρ) . Let $d \in \mathbb{N}$ and let $a : I \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_d$ be a function on I with the values $a(i), i \in I$, in the group \mathcal{A}_d of all permutations of $Y_d = \{1, 2, \dots, d\}$. According to the general GSP-construction we have $\bar{I}_a = I \times Y_d$, $\bar{I}_a^{(0)} = Y_d$ and $\pi : \bar{I}_a \rightarrow I$, where for any $\bar{h} = (i, y) \in \bar{I}_a$

$$s(\bar{h}) = y, \quad t(\bar{h}) = a(i)y, \quad \pi(\bar{h}) = i, \quad p(\bar{h}) = \rho(i), \quad p^{(0)}(y) = d^{-1} .$$

The stochastic graph \bar{I}_a is ρ -uniform and it is irreducible iff the group $\Gamma(a)$, generated by $\{a(i), i \in I\} \subseteq \mathcal{A}_d$, is transitive on Y_d .

As it was noted in Section 3.2 (see Remark 3.12) the Markov shift $T_{\bar{I}_a}$ is isomorphic to the skew product $\bar{T}_{\rho,a}$, which acts on $X_\rho \times Y_d$ by

$$(4.45) \quad \bar{T}_{\rho,a}(x, y) = (T_\rho x, a(x_1)^{-1}y), \quad x = \{x_n\}_{n=1}^\infty \in X_\rho, \quad y \in Y_d .$$

Theorem 4.3. *Let $\pi_k : \bar{I}_{a_k} \rightarrow I$, $k = 1, 2$, be two d -extensions of the Bernoulli graph (I, ρ) , generated by functions $a_k : I \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_d$, respectively. Let the functions $A_k : X_\rho \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_d$, $k = 1, 2$, are defined by*

$$(4.46) \quad A_k(x) := a_k(x_1)^{-1}, \quad x = \{x_n\}_{n=1}^\infty \in X_\rho.$$

If there exists a measurable function $W : X_\rho \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_d$ such that

$$(4.47) \quad A_2(x) \cdot W(x) = W(T_\rho x) \cdot A_1(x), \quad x \in X_\rho$$

then $W(x)$ does not depend on x , i.e. $W(x) = w_0 \in \mathcal{A}_d$ a.e. on X_ρ . Thus A_1 and A_2 are cohomologous with respect to T_ρ iff a_1 and a_2 are conjugate in \mathcal{A}_d , i.e. $a_2(i) \cdot w_0 = w_0 \cdot a_1(i)$, $i \in I$.

Note that the last equality means the equivalence of a_1 and a_2 in the sense of Definition 3.13, since $I^{(0)} = \{o\}$.

To proof the theorem we need the following simple lemma.

Lemma 4.4. *Let Γ be a finite group with the identity element e . For any $b : I \rightarrow \Gamma$ denote*

$$(4.48) \quad B^{(n)}(x) := b(x_1) \cdot b(x_2) \cdot \dots \cdot b(x_n), \quad x = \{x_n\}_{n=1}^\infty \in X_\rho$$

and

$$(4.49) \quad \omega_b(x) := \min\{n \in \mathbb{N} : B^{(n)}(x) = e\}, \quad x \in X_\rho.$$

Then the transformation $T_\rho^{\omega_b}$, defined by

$$X_\rho \ni x \rightarrow T_\rho^{\omega_b} x := T_\rho^{\omega_b(x)} x \in X_\rho,$$

is an ergodic endomorphism of X_ρ , which is in fact a one-sided Bernoulli shift.

Proof. Consider the Γ -extension of the graph (I, ρ) generated by b .

Namely, set $\tilde{I}_b := I \times \Gamma$ and $\tilde{I}_b^{(0)} := \Gamma$ with

$$s(\tilde{i}) = (s(i), \gamma), \quad t(\tilde{i}) = (t(i), b(i) \cdot \gamma), \quad p(\tilde{i}) = \rho(i), \quad p^{(0)}(\gamma) = |\Gamma|^{-1}$$

The skew product endomorphism $\tilde{T}_{\rho,b}$ corresponding to the stochastic graph \tilde{I}_b , acts on the space $X_\rho \times \Gamma$ by

$$\tilde{T}_{\rho,b}(x, \gamma) = (T_\rho x, B(x) \cdot \gamma), \quad x = \{x_n\}_{n=1}^\infty \in X_\rho, \quad \gamma \in \Gamma.$$

where $B(x) := b(x_1)^{-1}$. The skew product $\tilde{T}_{\rho,b}$ can be identified with the Markov shift $T_{\tilde{I}_b}$ (see Remark 3.12). Under this identification the partition $\zeta_{\tilde{I}_b}^{(0)}$ coincides with the partition

$$\zeta^{(0)} = \nu_{X_\rho} \times \varepsilon_\Gamma = \{\tilde{E}(\gamma)\}_{\gamma \in \Gamma},$$

where

$$\tilde{E}(\gamma) := X_\rho \times \{\gamma\} \subseteq X_\rho \times \Gamma, \quad \gamma \in \Gamma.$$

For any $\gamma \in \Gamma$ consider the endomorphism $(\tilde{T}_{\rho,b})_{\tilde{E}(\gamma)}$ induced by $\tilde{T}_{\rho,b}$ on the set $\tilde{E}(\gamma)$. Let

$$\varphi_{\tilde{E}(\gamma)} : \tilde{E}(\gamma) \ni (x, \gamma) \rightarrow \varphi_{\tilde{E}(\gamma)}(x, \gamma) \in \mathbb{N}$$

be the corresponding return functions (2.2).

Since we use the left shifts on Γ in the definition of the skew product \tilde{T}_b and they commute with the right shifts, we have

$$\varphi_{\tilde{E}(\gamma)}(x, \gamma) = \varphi_{\tilde{E}(\gamma \cdot \beta)}(x, \gamma \cdot \beta), \quad \gamma, \beta \in \Gamma, \quad x \in X_\rho.$$

Hence with (4.49) and (4.48) we have

$$\omega_b(x) = \varphi_{\tilde{E}(\gamma)}(x, \gamma),$$

and

$$\tilde{T}_b^{\omega^b(x)}(x, \gamma) = (T^{\omega^b(x)}x, \gamma) \cdot \gamma \in \Gamma, \quad x \in X_\rho,$$

Thus T^{ω^b} is isomorphic to the endomorphisms $(T_{\tilde{I}_b})_{D(\gamma)}$ induced by the Markov shift $T_{\tilde{I}_b}$ on elements $D(\gamma)$ of the partition $\zeta_{\tilde{I}_b}^{(0)}$. So that T^{ω^b} is a Bernoulli shift by Proposition 3.2. \square

Proof of Theorem 4.3 For given two functions a_1 and a_2 put

$$b : I \ni i \rightarrow b(i) := (a_1(i), a_2(i)) \in \Gamma := \mathcal{A}_d \times \mathcal{A}_d.$$

and denote for $k = 1, 2$

$$A_k^{\omega_b}(x) := A_k(T_\rho^{\omega_b(x)-1}x) \cdot \dots \cdot A_k(T_\rho x) \cdot A_k(x), \quad k = 1, 2$$

with A_1 and A_2 defined by (4.46). Then by definition of b and ω_b we have

$$A_2^{\omega_b}(x) := A_1^{\omega_b}(x) = e, \quad x \in X_\rho,$$

where e is the identity of \mathcal{A}_d . The equality (4.47) implies

$$A_2^{\omega_b}(x) \cdot W(x) = W(T_\rho^{\omega_b}x) \cdot A_1^{\omega_b}(x)$$

and then $W(T_\rho^{\omega_b}x) = W(x)$ a.e. on X_ρ . By Lemma 4.4 $T_\rho^{\omega_b}$ is ergodic and hence $W(x)$ is constant a.e. on X_ρ . \square

4.3. Equivalent extensions. Let $d \in \mathbb{N}$, and H be an irreducible positively recurrent stochastic graph. Given a function $a : H \ni h \rightarrow a(h) \in \mathcal{A}_d$ consider the graph skew product d -extension \bar{H}_a of H generated by the function a (See Example 3.7). Recall that the skew product endomorphism $\bar{T}_{H,a}$, corresponding to \bar{H}_a , acts on the space $X_H \times Y_d$ by

$$\bar{T}_{H,a}(x, y) = (T_H x, A(x)y), \quad x = \{x_n\}_{n=1}^\infty \in X_H, \quad y \in Y_d.$$

where $A(x) := a(x_1)^{-1}$. We shall use Definition 3.13

Theorem 4.5. Let $\pi_k : \bar{H}_{a_k} \rightarrow H$, $k = 1, 2$, be two d -extensions of H generated by functions a_1 and a_2 , respectively, and let the functions $A_k : X_H \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_d$, $k = 1, 2$ are defined by

$$(4.50) \quad A_k(x) := a_k(x_1)^{-1}, \quad x = \{x_n\}_{n=1}^\infty \in X_H.$$

Then the following two conditions are equivalent

- (i) A_1 and A_2 cohomologous with respect to T_H , i.e. there exists a measurable map $W : X_H \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_d$ such that

$$(4.51) \quad A_2(x) \cdot W(x) = W(T_H x) \cdot A_1(x), \quad x \in X_H,$$

- (ii) a_1 and a_2 cohomologous with respect to H , i.e. there exists a map $w : H^{(0)} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_d$ such that

$$(4.52) \quad a_2(h) \cdot w(s(h)) = w(t(h)) \cdot a_1(h), \quad h \in H$$

Proof. It is obvious that (4.52) implies (4.51) with

$$(4.53) \quad W(x) = w(t(x_1)), \quad x = \{x_n\}_{n=1}^\infty \in X_H$$

That is (ii) implies (i).

To prove the converse, suppose that (4.51) holds with a suitable measurable function $W : X_H \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_d$.

We have to show that the function $W(x)$ necessarily has the form (4.53), i.e. $W(x)$ is constant on each element $D(u) = Z_1^{-1}(uH)$ of the partition $\zeta_H^{(0)} = \{D(u), u \in H^{(0)}\}$.

To this purpose we shall use induced endomorphisms, which are defined as follows.

Fix an atom $D(u)$ of the partition $\zeta_H^{(0)}$ and consider the endomorphism $T_u := (T_H)_{D(u)}$, induced by the shift T_H on $D(u)$, see Section 3.1. In accordance with the general definition (2.2), the return function

$$\varphi_u(x) = \varphi_{D(u)}(x) := \min\{n \geq 1 : T_H^n x \in D(u)\} \quad , \quad x \in D(u)$$

induces T_u by $T_u x = T_H^{\varphi_u(x)} x$. By Proposition 3.2 the induced endomorphism T_u is isomorphic to the Bernoulli shift T_{ρ_u} , where $I_u = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} I_{u,n}$, and $\rho_u = \{\rho_u(i)\}_{i \in I_u}$ are defined by (3.22) and (3.23). That is, $I_{u,n}$ consists of all $h_1 h_2 \dots h_n \in H^{(n)}$ such that

$$t(h_1) = s(h_n) = u \quad , \quad s(h_m) = t(h_{m+1}) \neq u \quad , \quad m = 1, 2, \dots, n-1$$

and

$$\rho_u(i) = p(h_1)p(h_2) \dots p(h_n) \quad , \quad i = h_1 h_2 \dots h_n \in I_{u,n} \quad . \quad n \in \mathbb{N} \quad .$$

For any $u \in H^{(0)}$ and $k = 1, 2$ set

$$A_k^{\varphi_u}(x) := A_k(T^{\varphi_u(x)-1} x) \cdot \dots \cdot A_k(Tx) \cdot A_k(x) \quad . \quad x \in D(u)$$

and

$$a_k^u(i) := a_k(h_1) \cdot a_k(h_2) \cdot \dots \cdot a_k(h_n) \quad , \quad i = h_1 h_2 \dots h_n \in I_{u,n} \quad .$$

Then

$$A_k^{\varphi_u}(x) = a_k^u(i)^{-1} \quad , \quad x \in B_u(i) \subseteq D(u) \quad . \quad i \in I_u \quad ,$$

where

$$B_u(i) := \{x = \{x_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \in D(u) : (x_1 x_2 \dots x_n) = i \in I_{u,n} \quad .$$

Then the equality (4.51) implies

$$(4.54) \quad A_2^{\varphi_u}(x) \cdot W(x) = W(T_u x) \cdot A_1^{\varphi_u}(x) \quad . \quad x \in D(u) \quad ,$$

i.e. $A_1^{\varphi_u}$ and $A_2^{\varphi_u}$ are cohomologous on $D(u)$ with respect to $T_u = T_H^{\varphi_u}$.

Since for any fix $u \in D(u)$ the partition $\zeta_u = \{B_u(i)\}_{i \in I_u}$ is a one-sided Bernoulli generator for T_u , we may apply Theorem 4.3 with the Bernoulli shift $T_u = T_{\rho_u}$ and with the functions a_k^u , $k = 1, 2$. Therefore, it follows from (4.54) that there exists $w(u) \in \mathcal{A}_d$ such that $W(x) = w(u)$ a.e. on $D(u)$.

For every u we have now an element $w(u)$ such that $W(x) = w(u) = w(t(x_1))$ for a.e. $x \in D(u)$. Hence $W(x)$ is of the form (4.53), $W(T_H x) = w(s(x_1))$. Thus (4.51) implies (4.52). \square

As a consequence we obtain

Theorem 4.6. *Let $\pi_k : \bar{H}_{a_k} \rightarrow H$, $k = 1, 2$, be two d -extensions of H generated by functions a_1 and a_2 , respectively. Let also $\psi : H \rightarrow I$ be an homomorphism of degree 1. Suppose $d = d(\bar{T}_{H,a_1}) = d(\bar{T}_{H,a_2})$. Then the endomorphisms \bar{T}_{H,a_1} and \bar{T}_{H,a_2} are isomorphic iff a_1 and a_2 cohomologous with respect to H .*

Proof. Since $d(\psi) = 1$ the factor map $\Psi := \Phi_\psi : X_H \rightarrow X_I$ is an isomorphism. Consider two skew products over the Bernoulli shift T_ρ

$$\bar{T}_k(x, y) = (T_\rho x, B_k(x)y) \quad , \quad (x, y) \in X_\rho \times Y_d \quad , \quad k = 1, 2$$

where $B_k(x) := A_k(\Psi^{-1}x)$ and A_k induced by a_k as above (4.50). Each of the shifts $T_{\bar{H}_{a_k}}$ is a simple ρ -uniform endomorphism by Theorem 2.14. The skew products \bar{T}_{H,a_k} as well as the shifts $T_{\bar{H}_{a_k}}$, are isomorphic to \bar{T}_k . They are ρ -uniform endomorphisms and $d = d(\bar{T}_1) = d(\bar{T}_2)$. By Theorem 2.10 \bar{T}_1 and \bar{T}_2 are isomorphic iff the functions B_1 and B_2 are cohomologous with respect to T_ρ . This means that the functions A_1 and A_2 are cohomologous with respect to T_H . Finally, by Theorem 4.5 the last condition holds iff a_1 and a_2 cohomologous with respect to H . \square

4.4. GSP-extensions and persistent d-partitions. Let H be a stochastic graph and (I, ρ) a standard Bernoulli graph. In this section we study extensions of the form

$$(4.55) \quad (\pi, \psi) : \bar{H} \xrightarrow{\pi} H \xrightarrow{\psi} I$$

where the graph H be an extension of the Bernoulli graph (I, ρ) by a homomorphism ψ of degree $d(\psi) = 1$ and $\bar{H} = \bar{H}_a$ be a graph skew product d -extension of H generated by a function $a : H \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_d$ (See Example 3.7). The diagrams of the above form (4.55) will be referred to **(π, ψ) -extensions**. We assume that the graph \bar{H} is irreducible, i.e. the corresponding Markov shift $T_{\bar{H}}$ and skew product $\bar{T}_{H,a}$ are ergodic.

Fixing an extension (4.55) and setting $J = H^{(0)}$, we identify H with $I \times J$ such that

$$\psi(h) = i, \quad s(h) = j, \quad t(h) = f_i(j), \quad p(h) = \rho(i)$$

for any $h = (i, j) \in H = I \times J$. Here the maps $f_i : J \rightarrow J$ are uniquely determined by

$$f_i j = t(i, j), \quad (i, j) \in I \times J$$

and the semigroup $\mathcal{S}(\psi)$, generated by $\{f_i, i \in I\}$ is 1-contractive, since $d(\psi) = 1$ (Theorem 3.21).

The d -extension $\bar{H} = \bar{H}_a$ is described now as follows:

$$(4.56) \quad \bar{H} = I \times J \times Y_d, \quad \bar{H}^{(0)} = H^{(0)} \times Y_d = J \times Y_d,$$

where for any $\bar{h} = (i, j, y)$

$$(4.57) \quad s(\bar{h}) = (j, y), \quad t(\bar{h}) = (f_i j, a(i, j)y), \quad p(\bar{h}) = \rho(i), \quad a(h) = a(i, j)$$

The homomorphisms ψ, π and $\phi = \psi \circ \pi$ are defined by

$$\pi(\bar{h}) = h = (i, j), \quad \pi^{(0)}(j, y) = j, \quad \phi(\bar{h}) = \psi(h) = i,$$

where $d(\phi) = d(\pi) = d$ and the diagram

$$(4.58) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} I \times J \times Y_d & & \\ \downarrow \pi & \searrow \phi & \\ I \times J & \xrightarrow{\psi} & I \end{array}$$

commutes. The semigroup $\mathcal{S}(\phi)$ can be described now as a d -extension $\bar{\mathcal{S}} = \bar{\mathcal{S}}(\pi, \psi)$ of the semigroup $\mathcal{S}(\psi)$.

Set

$$(4.59) \quad \bar{f}_i(j, y) := t(i, j, y) = (f_i j, a(i, j)y), \quad (j, y) \in J \times Y_d, \quad i \in I.$$

The maps \bar{f}_i act on $J \times Y_d$.

The semigroup $\bar{\mathcal{S}}$, generated by $\bar{f}_i, i \in I$, consists of all maps of the form:

$$\{\bar{f}_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_n}, \quad i_1 i_2 \dots i_n \in I^n, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}\},$$

where

$$\bar{f}_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_n}(j, y) = (f_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_n} j, a(i_1 i_2 \dots i_n, j)y)$$

and

$$a(i_1 i_2 \dots i_n, j) := a(i_1, f_{i_2 i_3 \dots i_n} j) \dots a(i_{n-1}, f_{i_n} j) a(i_n, j).$$

Note that $\mathcal{S}(\psi) \ni f \rightarrow \bar{f} \in \bar{\mathcal{S}}$ is an isomorphism between the semigroups.

Proposition 4.7. *The semigroup $\bar{\mathcal{S}}$ is d -contractive and its persistent d -sets are of the form*

$$\mathcal{L}(\bar{\mathcal{S}}) = \{L_j, j \in J\}, \quad L_j := \{j\} \times Y_d.$$

Proof. By Theorem 3.21 the semigroup $\bar{\mathcal{S}}$ is d -contractive and $\mathcal{S}(\psi)$ is 1-contractive, since $d(\phi) = d$ and $d(\psi) = 1$.

For any finite set $F \subseteq J \times Y_d$ the set $E := \pi^{(0)}(F) \subseteq J$ is also finite. Since the semigroup $\mathcal{S}(\psi)$ is 1-contractive there exist $i_1 i_2 \dots i_n \in I^n$ and $j \in J$ such that $f_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_n}(E) = \{j\}$ and hence $\bar{f}_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_n}(F) \subseteq L_j$. On the other hand $d = |L_j| = |\bar{f}_i(L_j)|$ for all $i \in I, j \in J$. Thus the sets L_j and only they are persistent sets for the semigroup $\bar{\mathcal{S}}$. \square

For every $E \subseteq J$ set $\bar{E} := \pi^{(0)-1}E = E \times Y_d$.

Definition 4.8. Let the semigroup $\bar{\mathcal{S}}$ be as above.

- (i) A subset R of $\bar{H}^{(0)} = J \times Y_d$ will be called **transversal** with respect to $\pi^{(0)}$ if $\pi^{(0)}(R) = H^{(0)} = J$ and the restriction $\pi^{(0)}|_R : R \rightarrow J$ is a bijection. A partition $r = \{R_1, R_2, \dots, R_d\}$ will be called **transversal** with respect to $\pi^{(0)}$ if all the set R_1, R_2, \dots, R_d are transversal.
- (ii) A transversal partition r will be called **persistent** with respect to semigroup $\bar{\mathcal{S}}$, if for every transversal partition r_1 and every finite subset $E \subseteq J$ there exists $\bar{f} \in \bar{\mathcal{S}}$ such that $\bar{f}^{-1}r_1|_{\bar{E}} = r|_{\bar{E}}$.

Denote by \mathcal{R} the set of all transversal partitions and by $\mathcal{R}(\bar{\mathcal{S}})$ the set of all persistent partitions for the semigroup $\bar{\mathcal{S}}$.

If a set R is transversal then for any $i \in I$ the set $\bar{f}^{-1}R$ is transversal. Hence for any $r = \{R_1, R_2, \dots, R_d\} \in \mathcal{R}$ and $\bar{f} \in \bar{\mathcal{S}}$ we have

$$\bar{f}^{-1}r := \{ \bar{f}^{-1}R_1, \bar{f}^{-1}R_2, \dots, \bar{f}^{-1}R_d \} \in \mathcal{R}.$$

Further we shall use this action

$$\mathcal{R} \ni r \rightarrow \bar{f}^{-1}r \in \mathcal{R}, \quad \bar{f} \in \bar{\mathcal{S}}$$

of the semigroup $\bar{\mathcal{S}}$ on \mathcal{R} . The following lemma shows that $\mathcal{R}(\bar{\mathcal{S}})$ is an attracting set for \mathcal{R} with respect to the action in a natural sense.

- Lemma 4.9.**
- (i) The set $\mathcal{R}(\bar{\mathcal{S}})$ is not empty.
 - (ii) $\bar{f}^{-1}\mathcal{R}(\bar{\mathcal{S}}) \subseteq \mathcal{R}(\bar{\mathcal{S}})$ for all $\bar{f} \in \bar{\mathcal{S}}$
 - (iii) $\mathcal{R}(\bar{\mathcal{S}})$ is the least subset of \mathcal{R} with the property (ii).

Proof. Consider a subset $\mathcal{R}_0(\bar{\mathcal{S}})$ of \mathcal{R} consisting of all $r \in \mathcal{R}$ having the following property:

For any finite subset $E \subseteq J$ there exists $f \in \mathcal{S}(\psi)$ such that $f(E)$ is a singleton, i.e. $|f(E)| = 1$, and $r|_{\bar{E}} = \bar{f}^{-1}\varepsilon|_{\bar{E}}$, where $\bar{E} := E \times Y_d$ and $\varepsilon = \varepsilon_{J \times Y_d}$.

We show that $\mathcal{R}(\bar{\mathcal{S}}) = \mathcal{R}_0(\bar{\mathcal{S}}) \neq \emptyset$.

Take a sequence $E_n \nearrow J$, $|E_n| < \infty$. Since $d(\mathcal{S}(\psi)) = 1$ we can find a sequence $g_n \in \mathcal{S}(\psi)$ such that for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $f_n := g_n \cdot \dots \cdot g_2 \cdot g_1$, the set $f_n(E_n)$ is single-point, i.e. $|f_n(E_n)| = 1$. Using the decreasing sequence of partitions

$$\varepsilon \geq \bar{f}_1^{-1}\varepsilon \geq \bar{f}_2^{-1}\varepsilon \geq \dots \geq \bar{f}_n^{-1}\varepsilon \geq \dots$$

set $r_0 := \bigwedge_{n=1}^{\infty} \bar{f}_n^{-1}\varepsilon$. Since $|f_n(E_n)| = 1$ the restriction $r_0|_{\bar{E}_n}$ consists of d sets, whose projections on J are E_n . Hence $r_0 \in \mathcal{R}$ and $r_0|_{\bar{E}_n} = \bar{f}_n^{-1}\varepsilon|_{\bar{E}_n}$. We see that $r_0 \in \mathcal{R}_0(\bar{\mathcal{S}})$, i.e. \mathcal{R}_0 is not empty,

Let $r \in \mathcal{R}_0(\bar{\mathcal{S}})$ and $r_1 \in \mathcal{R}$. For any finite subset $E \subseteq J$ there exists $\bar{f} \in \mathcal{R}(\bar{\mathcal{S}})$ such that $r|_{\bar{E}} = \bar{f}^{-1}\varepsilon|_{\bar{E}}$. Then

$$\bar{f}^{-1}r_1|_{\bar{E}} \leq \bar{f}^{-1}\varepsilon|_{\bar{E}} = r|_{\bar{E}},$$

Since each of the partitions consists of d elements, we have also $\bar{f}^{-1}r_1|_{\bar{E}} = r|_{\bar{E}}$. Hence $r \in \mathcal{R}(\bar{\mathcal{S}})$.

Conversely, let $r \in \mathcal{R}(\bar{\mathcal{S}})$ and E be a finite subset of J . There are exist $\bar{f} \in \bar{\mathcal{S}}$ and $r_1 \in \mathcal{R}$ such that $r_1|_{\bar{E}} = \bar{f}^{-1}\varepsilon|_{\bar{E}}$. On the other hand, since $r \in \mathcal{R}(\bar{\mathcal{S}})$, we can choose $\bar{f}_1 \in \bar{\mathcal{S}}$ for which $\bar{f}_1^{-1}r_1|_{\bar{E}} = r|_{\bar{E}}$. Hence

$$r|_{\bar{E}} = \bar{f}_1^{-1}r_1 = \bar{f}_1^{-1}\bar{f}^{-1}\varepsilon|_{\bar{E}} = (\bar{f}^{-1}\bar{f}_1)^{-1}\varepsilon|_{\bar{E}}.$$

We see that $r \in \mathcal{R}_0(\bar{\mathcal{S}})$ and thus $\mathcal{R}(\bar{\mathcal{S}}) = \mathcal{R}_0$ and Part (i) follows.

Parts (ii) and (iii) follow in the same manner by the definition of $\mathcal{R}(\bar{\mathcal{S}})$ and by the equality $\mathcal{R}(\bar{\mathcal{S}}) = \mathcal{R}_0(\bar{\mathcal{S}})$. \square

4.5. Irreducible d -extensions. In this section we continue to study (π, ψ) -extensions of the form (4.55)

$$(\pi, \psi) : \bar{H} \xrightarrow{\pi} H \xrightarrow{\psi} I ,$$

where the graph H is an extension of the Bernoulli graph (I, ρ) by a homomorphism ψ of degree 1 and $\bar{H} = \bar{H}_a$ be a GSP d -extension of H , generated by a function $a : H \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_d$.

Fix d and (I, ρ) and consider the set $\mathcal{Ext}^d(I, \rho)$ of all (π, ψ) -extensions of the form (4.55). This set is equipped with a natural partial order and with an equivalence relation as follows

Definition 4.10. Let $(\pi, \psi) : \bar{H} \xrightarrow{\pi} H \xrightarrow{\psi} I$ and $(\pi_1, \psi_1) : \bar{H}_1 \xrightarrow{\pi_1} H_1 \xrightarrow{\psi_1} I$ be two (π, ψ) -extensions from $\mathcal{Ext}^d(I, \rho)$. Let a and a_1 be the functions, which generate the extensions \bar{H} and \bar{H}_1 , respectively.

- (i) A homomorphism $\bar{\kappa} : \bar{H} \rightarrow \bar{H}_1$ is said to be a **trivializable** d -extension of a homomorphism $\kappa : H \rightarrow H_1$, if the square part of Diagram 4.60 (below) commutes and the functions

$$a_1 \circ \bar{\kappa} \text{ and } a \text{ are cohomologous with respect to } H.$$

- (ii) We shall say that

$$(\pi_1, \psi_1) \preceq (\pi, \psi)$$

if there is a commutative diagram

$$(4.60) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} \bar{H} & \xrightarrow{\pi} & H & & \\ \downarrow \bar{\kappa} & & \downarrow \kappa & \searrow \psi & \\ \bar{H}_1 & \xrightarrow{\pi_1} & H_1 & \xrightarrow{\psi_1} & I \end{array} ,$$

where $\bar{\kappa} \in \mathcal{Hom}(\bar{H}, \bar{H}_1)$ is a trivializable d -extension of $\kappa \in \mathcal{Hom}(H, H_1)$.

- (iii) We shall say that

$$(\pi_1, \psi_1) \sim (\pi, \psi)$$

if there is commutative Diagram 4.60, where both $\kappa : H \rightarrow H_1$ and its d -extension $\bar{\kappa} : \bar{H} \rightarrow \bar{H}_1$ are isomorphisms.

In connection with Part (i) of the definition, note that an extension $\bar{\kappa} : \bar{H} \rightarrow \bar{H}_1$ is trivializable iff it is equivalent to a trivial extension of $\kappa : H \rightarrow H_1$ (see Remark 3.14).

It can be checked also that $(\pi_1, \psi_1) \preceq (\pi, \psi)$ and $(\pi, \psi) \preceq (\pi_1, \psi_1)$ imply $(\pi_1, \psi_1) \sim (\pi, \psi)$, but we do not use the fact in this paper.

Our aim now is to describe "minimal" elements of $(\mathcal{Ext}^d(I, \rho), \preceq)$.

Definition 4.11. An extension $(\pi, \psi) \in \mathcal{Ext}^d(I, \rho)$ is called **irreducible** if $(\pi_1, \psi_1) \sim (\pi, \psi)$ as soon as $(\pi_1, \psi_1) \in \mathcal{Ext}^d(I, \rho)$ and $(\pi_1, \psi_1) \preceq (\pi, \psi)$.

Theorem 4.12. *For any $(\pi, \psi) \in \mathcal{E}xt^d(I, \rho)$ there exists a unique up to equivalence irreducible (π, ψ) -extension $(\pi_*, \psi_*) \in \mathcal{E}xt^d(I, \rho)$ such that $(\pi_*, \psi_*) \preceq (\pi, \psi)$.*

To prove the theorem we fix a pair $(\pi, \psi) \in \mathcal{E}xt^d(I, \rho)$ and again use the identification (4.56). Namely,

$$(4.61) \quad (\pi, \psi) : \quad \bar{H} = I \times J \times Y_d \xrightarrow{\pi} H = I \times J \xrightarrow{\psi} I$$

where $H^{(0)} = J$ and $\bar{H}^{(0)} = H^{(0)} \times Y_d = J \times Y_d$ as in Section 4.4.

We construct the desired irreducible (π_*, ψ_*) -extension and a corresponding commutative diagram

$$(4.62) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} \bar{H} & \xrightarrow{\pi} & H & & \\ \downarrow \bar{\kappa}_* & & \downarrow \kappa_* & \searrow \psi & \\ \bar{H}_* & \xrightarrow{\pi_*} & H_* & \xrightarrow{\psi_*} & I \end{array}$$

by means of the semigroup $\bar{\mathcal{S}} = \bar{\mathcal{S}}(\pi, \psi)$ and its persistent partitions $\mathcal{R}(\bar{\mathcal{S}})$.

Definition 4.13. A partition ξ of $J = H^{(0)}$ is called **reducing** partition if the following two conditions hold

- (i) $f^{-1}\xi \leq \xi$ for all $f \in \mathcal{S}(\psi)$, i.e. ξ is $\mathcal{S}(\psi)$ -invariant
- (ii) For any element $C \in \xi$ denote $\bar{C} := \pi^{-1}C$ and let $r|_{\bar{C}}$ be the restriction of the partition $r \in \mathcal{R}(\bar{\mathcal{S}})$ on the set \bar{C} . Then all the partitions $r|_{\bar{C}}$, $r \in \mathcal{R}(\bar{\mathcal{S}})$ coincide with each other.

Consider the set Ξ of all reducing partitions ξ on $H^{(0)}$.

For any $\xi \in \Xi$ we have $\pi^{(0)-1}\xi = \xi \times \nu_{Y_d}$ and the partition $\pi^{(0)-1}\xi \vee r$ does not depend on the choice of $r \in \mathcal{R}(\bar{\mathcal{S}})$. So that we may set

$$(4.63) \quad \bar{\xi} := \pi^{(0)-1}\xi \vee r, \quad \xi \in \Xi$$

and $\bar{\Xi} := \{\bar{\xi} : \xi \in \Xi\}$ on $\bar{H}^{(0)}$.

Since ξ is $\mathcal{S}(\psi)$ -invariant and $\mathcal{R}(\bar{\mathcal{S}})$ is $\bar{\mathcal{S}}$ -invariant by Lemma 4.9, the partition $\bar{\xi}$ is also $\bar{\mathcal{S}}$ -invariant.

Therefore we may introduce the **factor pair**

$$(4.64) \quad \bar{H}/_{\bar{\xi}} \xrightarrow{\pi/\xi} H/\xi \xrightarrow{\psi/\xi} I$$

Namely, we set

$$H/\xi := I \times J/\xi, \quad \bar{H}/_{\bar{\xi}} := I \times J/\xi \times Y_d$$

Any element of $\bar{\xi}$ consists of d elements of the form R_y^C , $y \in Y_d$, where $C \in \xi$ and $\pi^{(0)}(R_y^C) = C$. Hence, by possibly passing to an equivalent extension, we may assume that $R_y^C = C \times \{y\}$, i.e. $\bar{\xi} = \xi \times \varepsilon_{Y_d}$. This means that the function $a = a(i, j)$, generating the extension $\bar{H} = \bar{H}_a$, does not depend on j on the elements of ξ . Hence the equalities (4.57) and (4.59) will define a/ξ and $\bar{H}/_{\bar{\xi}} := (H/\xi)_{a/\xi}$. Thus we have shown

Proposition 4.14. *For any $\xi \in \Xi$ the natural projections*

$$\pi_{\bar{\xi}}^{(0)} : \bar{H}^{(0)} \rightarrow \bar{H}^{(0)}/_{\bar{\xi}}, \quad \pi_{\xi}^{(0)} : H^{(0)} \rightarrow H^{(0)}/_{\xi}$$

uniquely determine $(\pi/\xi, \psi/\xi) \in \mathcal{Ext}^d(I, \rho)$ such that $(\pi/\xi, \psi/\xi) \preceq (\pi, \psi)$ with the corresponding commutative diagram

$$(4.65) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} \bar{H} & \xrightarrow{\pi} & H & & \\ \downarrow \pi_{\bar{\xi}} & & \downarrow \pi_{\xi} & \searrow \psi & \\ \bar{H}/\bar{\xi} & \xrightarrow{\pi/\xi} & H/\xi & \xrightarrow{\psi/\xi} & I \end{array}$$

Conversely

Proposition 4.15. *For any $(\pi_1, \psi_1) \preceq (\pi, \psi)$ there exists $\xi \in \Xi$ such that $(\pi/\xi, \psi/\xi) \sim (\pi_1, \psi_1)$*

Proof. Take the map $\kappa^{(0)} : H^{(0)} \rightarrow H_1^{(0)}$ induced by homomorphism $\kappa : H \rightarrow H_1$ from Diagram 3.29 and set $\xi := \kappa^{(0)-1} \varepsilon_{H_1^{(0)}}$. Then $\xi \in \Xi$ and it is desired \square

Proof of Theorem 4.12. It is easily to see that Ξ is a lattice, i.e. $\xi_1 \vee \xi_2 \in \Xi$ and $\xi_1 \wedge \xi_2 \in \Xi$ for all $\xi_1, \xi_2 \in \Xi$. Herewith, Ξ has the least element. Denote the least element by ξ_* and let $\bar{\xi}_* := \overline{(\xi_*)}$ be the corresponding partition of $\bar{H}^{(0)}$. Note that $\bar{\xi}_*$ is the least element of $\bar{\Xi}$. Herewith $\bar{\xi}_*$ is the least partition of $\bar{H}^{(0)}$ such that for all $r \in \mathcal{R}(\bar{\mathcal{S}})$ and every $C \in \xi$ the restriction $r|_{\bar{C}}$ consists precisely of d elements.

Putting $\xi = \xi_*$ in Diagram 4.65 (Proposition 4.14) we obtain Diagram 4.62 with

$$H_* = H/\xi_* \quad , \quad \bar{H}_* = \bar{H}/\bar{\xi}_* \quad , \quad \pi_* = \pi/\xi_* \quad , \quad \psi_* = \psi/\xi_* \quad .$$

and $(\pi_*, \psi_*) \preceq (\pi, \psi)$.

Using by the above propositions and Lemma 4.9, we see that the pair (π_*, ψ_*) is irreducible and that it is the only (up to equivalence) irreducible pair majorized by (π, ψ) . \square

Remark 4.16. The above arguments show that a pair $(\pi, \psi) \in \mathcal{Ext}^d(I, \rho)$ is irreducible iff $(\pi_*, \psi_*) = (\pi, \psi)$, i.e. iff $\xi_* = \varepsilon_{H^{(0)}}$. The last equality means that the persistent partitions $\mathcal{R}(\bar{\mathcal{S}})$ separate the points of $H^{(0)}$ in the following sense: for every pair $u_1, u_2 \in H^{(0)}$ there exist $R_1 \in r_1 \in \mathcal{R}(\bar{\mathcal{S}})$ and $R_2 \in r_2 \in \mathcal{R}(\bar{\mathcal{S}})$ such that

$$\pi^{(0)-1}(u_1) \cap R_1 \cap R_2 \neq \emptyset \quad , \quad \pi^{(0)-1}(u_2) \cap R_1 \cap R_2 = \emptyset \quad .$$

5. CANONICAL FORM AND CLASSIFICATION.

5.1. Main Theorems. The following two theorems claim the existence and uniqueness of the canonical form of ρ -uniform one-sided Markov shifts.

Theorem 5.1. *Let G be a ρ -uniform stochastic graph, which is irreducible and positively recurrent. Then there exists a (π, ψ) -extension*

$$(5.66) \quad (\pi, \psi) : \quad \bar{H} \xrightarrow{\pi} H \xrightarrow{\psi} I$$

such that

- (i) The shifts T_G and $T_{\bar{H}}$ are isomorphic,
- (ii) $(\pi, \psi) \in \mathcal{Ext}^d(I, \rho)$, where $d = d(T_G)$ is the minimal index of the shift T_G ,
- (iii) The extension (π, ψ) is irreducible.

Proof. Combining the results of Theorems 4.12 and 3.25 we obtain from Diagrams 4.62 and 3.41 the following commuting diagram

$$(5.67) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} \bar{H} & \xrightarrow{\bar{\psi}} & G^{(n)} & \xrightarrow{\pi^{(n)}} & G \\ & \searrow \pi & \downarrow \phi & & \\ & H & & & \\ \downarrow \bar{\kappa} & & \downarrow \kappa & \searrow \psi & \\ \bar{H}_* & \xrightarrow{\pi_*} & H_* & \xrightarrow{\psi_*} & I \end{array}$$

Here, π , π_* and ϕ are homomorphisms of degree $d = d(T_G)$, all other homomorphisms are of degree 1, and the extension $(\pi_*, \psi_*) \in \mathcal{E}xt^d(I, \rho)$ is irreducible.

Since G and \bar{H}_* have a common extension \bar{H} of degree 1, the shifts T_G and $T_{\bar{H}_*}$ are isomorphic. Thus the extension (π_*, ψ_*) is desired. \square

Definition 5.2. We shall say that $T_{\bar{H}}$ is a **canonical form** of the shift T_G , if there exists an extension (5.66) satisfying the conditions of Theorem 5.1. Herewith the graph \bar{H} is said to be the **canonical graph** for T_G .

Theorem 5.1 states the existence of the canonical form. Turn to the uniqueness.

Theorem 5.3. Let G_1 and G_2 be two ρ -uniform stochastic graphs, which are irreducible and satisfy the positive recurrence condition. Suppose the shifts T_{G_1} and T_{G_2} are represented in the canonical form $T_{\bar{H}_1}$ and $T_{\bar{H}_2}$, respectively, and let

$$(5.68) \quad (\pi_k, \psi_k) : \bar{H}_k \xrightarrow{\pi_k} H \xrightarrow{\psi_k} I, \quad k = 1, 2$$

be corresponding canonical (π, ψ) -extensions.

Then the following conditions are equivalent

- (i) The shifts T_{G_1} and T_{G_2} are isomorphic, $(T_{G_1} \sim T_{G_2})$.
- (ii) The graphs \bar{H}_1 and \bar{H}_2 are isomorphic, $(\bar{H}_1 \sim \bar{H}_2)$.
- (iii) The extensions (π_1, ψ_1) and (π_2, ψ_2) are equivalent, $((\pi_1, \psi_1) \sim (\pi_2, \psi_2))$.

Proof. By the definition we have $T_{G_1} \sim T_{\bar{H}_1}$, $T_{G_2} \sim T_{\bar{H}_2}$ and

$$(\pi_1, \psi_1) \sim (\pi_2, \psi_2) \implies \bar{H}_1 \sim \bar{H}_2 \implies T_{\bar{H}_1} \sim T_{\bar{H}_2}$$

Thus we need to prove only

$$(5.69) \quad T_{\bar{H}_1} \sim T_{\bar{H}_2} \implies (\pi_1, \psi_1) \sim (\pi_2, \psi_2)$$

Suppose $T_{\bar{H}_1} \sim T_{\bar{H}_2}$ and let $a_k : H_k \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_d$, $k = 1, 2$, be the functions generating \bar{H}_k , where $d = d(T_{\bar{H}_1}) = d(T_{\bar{H}_2})$.

Since both of $\psi_1 : H_1 \rightarrow I$ and $\psi_2 : H_2 \rightarrow I$ are of degree 1, we can apply Theorem 4.1 and to construct a common extension H of H_1 and H_2 . Herewith, the corresponding Diagram 4.42 commutes and the homomorphisms $\psi : H \rightarrow I$, $\chi_1 : H \rightarrow H_1$ and $\chi_2 : H \rightarrow H_2$ are of degree 1.

By Remark 3.14 each of homomorphisms $\chi_k : H_{b_k} \rightarrow H_k$, $k = 1, 2$ admits the trivial extension $\bar{\chi}_k : \bar{H}_{b_k} \rightarrow \bar{H}_k$ with the commuting diagram

$$(5.70) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \bar{H}_{b_k} & \xrightarrow{\bar{\chi}_k} & \bar{H}_k \\ \downarrow \pi_{b_k} & & \downarrow \pi_k \\ H & \xrightarrow{\chi_k} & H_k \end{array}$$

Here $\bar{\chi}_k$ is of degree 1 and $b_k := a_k \circ \chi_k$ for $k = 1, 2$. Since $d(\bar{\chi}_1) = d(\bar{\chi}_2) = 1$ we have $T_{\bar{H}_1} \sim T_{\bar{H}_{b_1}}$ and $T_{\bar{H}_2} \sim T_{\bar{H}_{b_2}}$. Therefore $T_{\bar{H}_1} \sim T_{\bar{H}_2}$ implies that the skew products \bar{T}_{H,b_1} and \bar{T}_{H,b_2} are isomorphic.

Thus we have two GSP d -extensions $\pi_{b_k} : \bar{H}_{b_k} \rightarrow H$, $k = 1, 2$, of H and a homomorphism $\psi : H \rightarrow I$ of degree 1. Herewith, the number d is the minimal index of \bar{T}_{H,b_1} and \bar{T}_{H,b_2} . By Theorem 4.6 the functions b_1 and b_2 are cohomologous with respect to H . Hence two constructed (π, ψ) -extensions

$$(5.71) \quad (\pi_{b_k}, \psi) : \bar{H}_{b_k} \xrightarrow{\pi} H \xrightarrow{\psi} I, \quad k = 1, 2$$

are equivalent, $(\pi_{b_1}, \psi) \sim (\pi_{b_2}, \psi)$.

On the other hand by constructing both two diagrams

$$(5.72) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} \bar{H}_{b_k} & \xrightarrow{\pi_{b_k}} & H & & \\ \downarrow \bar{\kappa}_k & & \downarrow \kappa & \searrow \psi & \\ \bar{H}_k & \xrightarrow{\pi_k} & H_k & \xrightarrow{\psi_k} & I \end{array}, \quad k = 1, 2$$

commute. This means that $(\pi_1, \psi_1) \preceq (\pi_{b_1}, \psi)$ and $(\pi_2, \psi_2) \preceq (\pi_{b_2}, \psi)$.

The pairs (π_1, ψ_1) and (π_2, ψ_2) are irreducible and they are majorized by equivalent pairs. Hence they are equivalent.

We have shown (5.69). □

As a consequence we have also

Theorem 5.4. *Under conditions of Theorem 5.3 the shifts T_{G_1} and T_{G_2} are isomorphic iff the graphs G_1 and G_2 have a common extension of degree 1, i.e. there exists a diagram*

$$(5.73) \quad G_1 \xleftarrow{\phi_1} G \xrightarrow{\phi_2} G_2$$

where homomorphisms ϕ_1 and ϕ_2 are of degree 1.

Proof. By Theorem 3.25 we have two diagram of homomorphisms

$$(5.74) \quad G_k \xleftarrow{\pi^{(n)}} G_k^{(n)} \xleftarrow{\bar{\psi}_k} \bar{H}_k \xrightarrow{\pi_k} H_k \xrightarrow{\psi_k} I \quad k = 1, 2$$

where $d(\pi^{(n)}) = d(\bar{\psi}_k) = d(\psi_k) = 1$ and π_k is a d -extension. So that $(\pi_k, \psi_k) \in \mathcal{Ext}^d(I, \rho)$.

By Theorem 4.12 each pair (π_k, ψ_k) , $k = 1, 2$ majorizes an irreducible pair from $\mathcal{Ext}^d(I, \rho)$. If the shifts T_{G_1} and T_{G_2} are isomorphic the irreducible pairs are equivalent (Theorem 5.3) and we may assume without loss of generality that they coincide with each other.

Thus there exists $(\pi_0, \psi_0) \in \mathcal{Ext}^d(I, \rho)$ with two commuting diagrams

$$(5.75) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \bar{H}_k & \xrightarrow{\pi_k} & H_k \\ \downarrow \bar{\kappa}_k & & \downarrow \kappa_k \\ \bar{H}_0 & \xrightarrow{\pi_0} & H_0 \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{c} \searrow \psi_k \\ \xrightarrow{\psi_0} I \end{array} \quad k = 1, 2$$

Passing possibly to equivalent extensions we may also assume that $\bar{\kappa}_1$ and $\bar{\kappa}_2$ are trivial extensions of κ_1 and κ_2 .

By Theorem 4.2 and Remark 3.14 we find a common extension of degree 1

$$(5.76) \quad H_1 \xleftarrow{\chi_1} H \xrightarrow{\chi_2} H_2$$

of H_1 and H_2 with the trivial extensions

$$(5.77) \quad \bar{H}_1 \xleftarrow{\bar{\chi}_1} \bar{H} \xrightarrow{\bar{\chi}_2} \bar{H}_2$$

of χ_1 and χ_2 such that the corresponding diagram

$$(5.78) \quad \begin{array}{ccccccc} & & \bar{H}_2 & \xrightarrow{\bar{\kappa}_2} & \bar{H}_0 & & \\ & \nearrow \bar{\chi}_2 & \downarrow \pi_2 & \nearrow \bar{\chi}_1 & \downarrow \pi_0 & \searrow \bar{\psi}_0 & \\ \bar{H} & \xrightarrow{\bar{\chi}_1} & \bar{H}_1 & \xrightarrow{\bar{\kappa}_1} & \bar{H}_0 & \xrightarrow{\bar{\psi}_0} & I \\ & \downarrow \pi & \downarrow \pi_1 & \downarrow \pi_0 & \downarrow \pi_0 & \searrow \psi_0 & \\ & \nearrow \chi_2 & \downarrow \chi & \nearrow \kappa_2 & \downarrow \kappa_1 & \searrow \psi_0 & \\ H & \xrightarrow{\chi_1} & H_1 & \xrightarrow{\kappa_1} & H_0 & \xrightarrow{\psi_0} & I \end{array}$$

commutes. Therefore we have

$$(5.79) \quad G_1 \xleftarrow{\pi^{(n)}} G_1^{(n)} \xleftarrow{\bar{\psi}_1} \bar{H}_1 \xleftarrow{\bar{\kappa}_1} \bar{H} \xrightarrow{\bar{\kappa}_2} \bar{H}_2 \xrightarrow{\psi_2} G_2^{(n)} \xrightarrow{\pi^{(n)}} G_2$$

Putting $G := \bar{H}$ and $\phi_k := \bar{\kappa}_k \circ \bar{\psi}_k \circ \pi^{(n)}$ for $k = 1, 2$, we obtain the desired common extension of degree 1 (5.73). \square

5.2. Consequences and examples. Consider some particular cases.

Extensions of Bernoulli graphs. Let (I, ρ) be a standard Bernoulli graph and let $d \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $a : I \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_d$ be a function $a : I \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_d$ on I with the values $a(i)$, $i \in I$, in the group \mathcal{A}_d of all permutations of $Y_d = \{1, 2, \dots, d\}$. Consider a d -extension \bar{I}_a generated by the function a (See Section 4.2). We assume that the group $\Gamma(a)$, generated by $a(i), i \in I$, acts transitively on Y_d . This provides that the shift $T_{\bar{I}_a}$ and the skew product $\bar{T}_{I,a}$ are ergodic.

We want to clarify: when is \bar{I}_a the canonical graph for the corresponding Markov shift $T_{\bar{I}_a}$ (Definition 5.2). Let $\pi : \bar{I}_a \rightarrow I$ be the projection and $(\pi, \psi) \in \mathcal{Ext}^d(I, \rho)$. Since every homomorphism $\psi : I \rightarrow I$ is an automorphism, the pair (π, ψ) is irreducible. Therefore \bar{I}_a is the canonical graph iff $d(T_{\bar{I}_a}) = d$.

Proposition 5.5. *If the function a satisfies the following condition*

$$(5.80) \quad \rho(i) = \rho(i') \implies a(i) = a(i') \quad , \quad i, i' \in I$$

then $d(T_{\bar{I}_a}) = d$.

Proof. Suppose the condition (5.80) holds. The Markov shift $T_{\bar{I}_a}$ is isomorphic to the skew product $\bar{T} = \bar{T}_{\rho,a}$, which acts on $X_\rho \times Y_d$ by (4.45). So that we have $d(T_{\bar{I}_a}) = d(\bar{T})$ and by Theorem 2.14 $d(\bar{T}) = d_{\gamma:\beta}(\bar{T})$.

A direct computation, using (5.80), the definition of $\gamma(T)$ and $\beta(\bar{T})$ and Proposition 2.6, shows that

$$\beta(\bar{T}) = \gamma(T_\rho) \times \varepsilon_{Y_d} \quad , \quad \gamma(\bar{T}) = \gamma(T_\rho) \times \nu_{Y_d} \quad .$$

This means that any element of $\gamma(\bar{T})$ consists precisely of d elements of the partition $\beta(\bar{T})$. By the definition of the index $d_{\gamma:\beta}(\bar{T})$ we have $d_{\gamma:\beta}(\bar{T}) = d$. Thus $d(T_{\bar{I}_a}) = d$. \square

Taking into account Theorem 4.3 we have

Corollary 5.6. *Let $\pi_k : \bar{I}_{a_k} \rightarrow I$, $k = 1, 2$, be two d -extensions of the Bernoulli graph (I, ρ) , generated by functions $a_k : I \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_d$, respectively, and suppose both the functions a_k , $k = 1, 2$ satisfy the condition 5.80. Then the Markov shifts $T_{\bar{I}_{a_1}}$ and $T_{\bar{I}_{a_2}}$ are isomorphic iff a_1 and a_2 are conjugate in \mathcal{A}_d , i.e. there exists $w_0 \in \mathcal{A}_d$ such that $a_2(i) \cdot w_0 = w_0 \cdot a_1(i)$, $i \in I$.*

Remark 5.7. It can be proved that for d -extension \bar{I}_a , the condition 5.80 is equivalent to $d(T_{\bar{I}_a}) = d$.

Absolutely non-homogeneous ρ . Consider the case, when ρ is absolutely non-homogeneous (see Section 2.4). this means that $\rho(i) \neq \rho(i')$ for all $i \neq i'$ from I , i.e. the Bernoulli graph (I, ρ) has no congruent edges.

In this case for any ρ -uniform graph G there exists a **unique** homomorphism $\phi : G \rightarrow I$. Therefore Theorem 3.25 can be sharpened as follows

Theorem 5.8. *Let G be a ρ -uniform stochastic graph, which is irreducible and satisfies the positive recurrence condition. Suppose that ρ is absolutely non-homogeneous. Then there exist a unique homomorphism $\phi \in \text{Hom}(G, I)$ and a commutative diagram*

$$(5.81) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \bar{H} & \xrightarrow{\bar{\psi}} & G \\ \downarrow \pi & & \downarrow \phi \\ H & \xrightarrow{\psi} & I \end{array}$$

such that

- (i) The pair $(\pi, \psi) \in \text{Ext}^d(I, \rho)$ is a (π, ψ) -extension.
- (ii) $d = d(\phi) = d(T_G)$,

A natural question, which is arisen in connection with the previous theorem is:

Question 5.9 (Generalized Road Coloring Problem). Does Theorem 3.25 hold with $n = 1$ in general case, when ρ is not necessarily absolutely non-homogeneous, i.e. when (I, ρ) has congruent edges?

As we know, the problem is open even in the case, when the graph G is finite (See [AsMaTu] and references therein.)

Homogeneous ρ and Road Problem Consider a special case, when ρ is homogeneous, i.e. $\rho(i) = l^{-1}$, $i \in I$ with an integer $l = |I| \in \mathbb{N}$. Theorem 2.14 and arguments adduced in Section 2.4 imply

Suppose $p \neq q$. We claim in this case that for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ the graphs (5.85) and (5.84) are canonical. Indeed, $d(\pi_H) = d(T_{\bar{H}_a}) = 2$, since $\rho = (p, q)$ is absolutely non-homogeneous. In order to check the irreducibility of the 2-extension $(\pi_H, \psi) : \bar{H}_a \rightarrow H \rightarrow I$ consider the semigroup $\bar{\mathcal{S}} = \bar{\mathcal{S}}(\pi, \psi)$ and its persistent partitions $\mathcal{R}(\bar{\mathcal{S}})$.

The semigroup $\bar{\mathcal{S}}$ is generated by $\{\bar{f}_i, i \in I\}$, where

$$\bar{f}_i(j, z) = (f_i j, z + a(i, j) \pmod{2}) \quad , \quad (j, z) \in J_n \times \mathbb{Z}_2 \quad .$$

A direct computation shows that for $n = 1, 2$ any transversal partition of $J_n \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ is persistent in the sense of Definition 4.8, and for $n > 2$ there exists a non-persistent transversal partition. Namely, the partition, consisting of two sets of the following "alternating" form

$$\{(1, 0), (2, 1), (3, 0), (4, 1), \dots\} \quad , \quad \{(1, 1), (2, 0), (3, 1), (4, 0), \dots\} \quad ,$$

is so. Moreover, this is the only transversal partition, which is not persistent. This implies that for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ the persistent partitions $\mathcal{R}(\bar{\mathcal{S}})$ separate points of $J_n \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ in the sense of Remark 4.16 and the 2-extension (π_H, ψ) is irreducible. Thus

- For all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $p \neq q$ the graphs \bar{H}_a are canonical graphs for the corresponding shifts $T_{\bar{H}_a}$.

Just in the same way we can consider the following **Infinite Drunkard Ruin**

$$(5.86) \quad \begin{array}{ccccccc} & & q & & q & & q & & q & & \\ & & \leftarrow & & \leftarrow & & \leftarrow & & \leftarrow & & \\ q \circlearrowleft & 1 & \rightleftarrows & 2 & \rightleftarrows & \cdots & n & \rightleftarrows & \cdots & & \\ & & p & & p & & p & & p & & \end{array}$$

where $H := I \times \mathbb{N}$, $H^{(0)} := \mathbb{N}$.

Suppose $p < q$. Then the corresponding Markov chain is positively recurrent and the Markov shift T_H is isomorphic to the Bernoulli shift $T\rho$.

Again define the functions $a : H = I \times \mathbb{N} \ni h = (i, j) \rightarrow a(h) \in \mathbb{Z}_2$ by (5.83). Then \mathbb{Z}_2 -extension \bar{H}_a of the graph H (5.87) has the form

$$(5.87) \quad \begin{array}{ccccccc} & & q & & q & & q & & q & & \\ & & \leftarrow & & \leftarrow & & \leftarrow & & \leftarrow & & \\ q \circlearrowleft & 11 & \rightleftarrows & 21 & \rightleftarrows & \cdots & n1 & \rightleftarrows & \cdots & & \\ & & p & & p & & p & & p & & \\ & & \searrow & & \searrow & & \searrow & & \searrow & & \\ q \circlearrowleft & 10 & \rightleftarrows & 20 & \rightleftarrows & \cdots & n0 & \rightleftarrows & \cdots & & \\ & & q & & q & & q & & q & & \end{array}$$

It can be shown in this case that any transversal set is persistent. Thus

- If $p < q$ the graph \bar{H}_a (5.87) is the canonical graph for the shift $T_{\bar{H}_a}$.

Note that the shift $T_{\bar{H}_a}$ is a \mathbb{Z}_2 -extension of the Bernoulli shift $T_{p,q}$, therefore, $T_{\bar{H}_a}$ has a 4-element one-sided generator. On the other hand the shift is not isomorphic to Markov shifts on finite state spaces. Thus

- If $p < q$ the one-sided Markov shift $T_{\bar{H}_a}$ has no finite one-sided Markov generator.

REFERENCES

- [Aar] J. Aaronson. An introduction to infinite ergodic theory. Math. Surveys and Monographs, vol. 50, 1997.
- [AdGoWe] A.L. Adler, L.W. Goodwyn, B. Weiss. Equivalence of topological Markov shifts. Isr. J. Math. 27(1977), 49-63.
- [As] J. Ashley. Resolving factor maps for shifts of finite type with equal entropy. Ergod. Th. Dyn. Syst. 11(1991), 219-240.
- [AsMaTu] J. Ashley, B. Marcus, S. Tuncel. The classification of one-sided Markov chains. Ergod. Th. Dyn. Syst. 17(1997), 269-295.
- [BoTu] M. Boyle, S. Tuncel. Regular isomorphism of Markov chains is almost topological. Ergod. Th. Dyn. Syst. 10(1990), 89-100.

- [FeR] J. Feldman, D.J. Rudolph. Standardness of sequences of σ -fields given by certain endomorphisms. *Fund. Math.* 157(1998), 175-189.
- [Fr] J. Friedman. On the Road Coloring Problem. *Proc. AMS* 110(1990), 1133-1135.
- [FrOr] N. Friedman, D. Ornstein. On the isomorphism of weak Bernoulli transformations. *Adv. in Math.* 5(1970), 365-394.
- [HeHo] D. Heicklen, C. Hoffman. (T, T^{-1}) is not standard. *Ergod. Th. Dyn. Syst.* 18(1998), 875-878.
- [HeHoR] D. Heicklen, C. Hoffman, D.J. Rudolph. Entropy and dyadic equivalence of random walks on a random scenery. *Adv. Math.* 156(2000), 157-179.
- [Ho] C. Hoffman. A zero entropy T such that the (T, Id) -endomorphism is non standard. *Proc. AMS* 128(2000), 183-188.
- [HoR] C. Hoffman, D. Rudolph. Uniform endomorphisms which are isomorphic to a Bernoulli shift. *Ann. of Math.* 156(2002), 79-101.
- [KeSm] M. Keane, M. Smorodinsky. Finitary isomorphism of irreducible Markov shifts. *Israel J. Math.* 34(1979), 281-286.
- [Ki] B.P. Kitchens. *Symbolic dynamic*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1998.
- [KiMaTr] B.P. Kitchens, B. Marcus, P. Trow. Eventual factor maps and compositions of closing maps. *Ergod. Th. Dyn. Syst.* 11(1991), 85-113.
- [Kr] W. Krieger. On finitary isomorphism of Markov shifts that have finite expected coding time. *Z. Wahr.* 65(1983), 323-328.
- [KuMuTo] J. Kubo, H. Murata, H. Totoki. On the isomorphism problem for endomorphisms of Lebesgue space. *Publ. RIMS. Kyoto Univ.* 9(1974), 285-296.
- [Or] D. Ornstein. Bernoulli shifts with the same entropy are isomorphic. *Adv. Math.* 4(1970), 337-352.
- [O'B] O'Brien. The Road Coloring Problem. *Isr. J. Math.* 39(1981), 145-154.
- [Rok₁] V. Rokhlin. On the fundamental ideas of the measure theory. *Mat. Sbor.* 25(1949), 107-150 (Russian), *Trans. AMS.* 71(1952), 1-54.
- [Rok₂] V. Rokhlin. Lectures on the entropy theory of transformation with invariant measure. *Usp. Mat. Nauk.* 22(1967), 3-56 (Russian). *Russ. Math. Surveys* 22(1967), 1-52.
- [Ro₁] M. Rosenblatt. Stationary processes as shifts of functions of independent random variables. *J. Math. Mech.* 8(1959), 665-681.
- [Ro₂] M. Rosenblatt. *Markov processes. Structure and asymptotic behavior*. Springer Grundle Math. 184, Berlin, 1971.
- [Ru₁] B.Z. Rubshtein. On decreasing sequences of measurable partitions. *Sov. Math. Dok.* 13(1972), 962-965.
- [Ru₂] B.Z. Rubshtein. Decreasing sequences of measurable partitions generated by endomorphisms. *Usp. Math. Nauk* 28(1973), 247-248.
- [Ru₃] B.Z. Rubshtein. Generating partitions of Markov endomorphism. *Func. Anal. Appl.* 8(1974), 84-85.
- [Ru₄] B.Z. Rubshtein. On non homogeneous finitely Bernoulli sequences of measurable partitions. *Func. Anal. Appl.* 10(1976), 39-44.
- [Ru₅] B.Z. Rubshtein. Lacunary isomorphism of decreasing sequences of measurable partition. *Israel J. Math.* 97(1997), 317-345.
- [Ru₆] B.Z. Rubshtein, On finitely Bernoulli one-sided Markov shifts and their cofiltrations, *Ergod. Th. Dyn. Syst.* 19(1999), 1527-1524.
- [Si] Ya. G. Sinai. On weak isomorphism of measurable preserving transformations. *Mat. Sb.* 63(1964), 23-42, *Transl. AMS* 57(1966), 123-143.
- [St] A.M. Stepin. On entropy invariants of decreasing sequences of measurable partitions. *Func. Anal. Appl.* 5(1971), 80-84.
- [Tr] P. Trow. Degrees of finite to one factor maps. *Israel J. Math.* 71(1990), 229-238.
- [Ve₁] A.M. Vershik. A lacunary isomorphism theorem for monotone sequences of measurable partitions. *Func. Anal. Appl.* 2(1968), 17-21.
- [Ve₂] A.M. Vershik. Decreasing sequences of measurable partitions and their applications. *Sov. Math. Doc.* 11(1970), 1007-1011.
- [Ve₃] A.M. Vershik. A continuum of pairwise non-isomorphic dyadic sequences. *Func. Anal. Appl.* 5(1971), 16-18.
- [Ve₄] A.M. Vershik. Theory of decreasing sequences of measurable partitions. *St. Petersburg Math. J.* 6 (1995), 705-761.
- [Vi] V.G. Vinokurov. Two non-isomorphic exact endomorphisms of the Lebesgue space with isomorphic sequences of partitions. In "Random processes and related topics". vol. 1 Tashkent 1970, 43-45 (in Russian).

[ViRuFe] V. Vinokurov. B. Rubshtein. A. Fedorov. Lebesgue spaces and their measurable partitions. Tashkent Univ. 1985, 75 pp. (in Russian).

ADDRESS: *Ben Zion Rubshtein, Dept. of Mathematics, Ben-Gurion University of the Negev, Beer-Sheva, 84105, Israel.*

E-MAIL: *benzion@math.bgu.ac.il*