

A proof of Hadjicostas's conjecture

Robin Chapman
 Department of Mathematics
 University of Exeter
 Exeter, EX4 4QE, UK
 rjc@maths.ex.ac.uk

26 May 2004

In [1] Hadjicostas makes the following conjecture, which we prove here.

Theorem 1 *Let $z \in \mathbf{C}$ satisfy $\operatorname{Re}(z) > -2$. Then*

$$\int_0^1 \int_0^1 \frac{[-\log(xy)]^z}{1-xy} (1-x) dx dy = \Gamma(z+2) \left[\zeta(z+2) - \frac{1}{z+1} \right].$$

Proof We calculate

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^1 \int_0^1 \frac{[-\log(xy)]^z}{1-xy} (1-x) dx dy &= \int_0^1 \int_0^1 \frac{[-\log(xy)]^z}{1-xy} (1-x) dy dx \\ &= \int_0^1 \int_0^x \frac{[-\log u]^z}{1-u} \frac{1-x}{x} du dx \\ &= \int_0^1 \int_u^1 \frac{[-\log u]^z}{1-u} \frac{1-x}{x} dx du \\ &= \int_0^1 \frac{[-\log u]^z}{1-u} (-\log u + u - 1) du \\ &= \int_0^\infty \frac{t^z}{1-e^{-t}} (t + e^{-t} - 1) e^{-t} dt \\ &= \int_0^\infty \left[\frac{t^{z+1}}{e^t - 1} - t^z e^{-t} \right] dt \\ &= \Gamma(z+2) \zeta(z+2) - \Gamma(z+1) \\ &= \Gamma(z+2) \left[\zeta(z+2) - \frac{1}{z+1} \right] \end{aligned}$$

using the substitutions $y = u/x$ and $u = e^{-t}$. This calculation is valid line-by-line whenever $\operatorname{Re}(z) > -1$ and the conclusion holds by analytic continuation when $\operatorname{Re}(z) > -2$. \square

As Hadjicostas points out, when $z = -1$, since $\zeta(s) = 1/(s-1) + \gamma + O(s-1)$ as $s \rightarrow 1$, we get

$$\gamma = - \int_0^1 \int_0^1 \frac{1-x}{(1-xy) \log(xy)} dx dy,$$

a formula obtained by Sondow [2].

References

- [1] Petros Hadjicostas, ‘A conjecture-generalization of Sondow’s formula’, preprint, [arxiv.\protect\vrule width0pt\protect\href{http://arxiv.org/abs/math/0404004}](http://arxiv.org/abs/math/0404004) 2004.
- [2] Jonathan Sondow, ‘Double integrals for Euler’s constant and $\ln(4/\pi)$ ’, preprint, [arxiv.\protect\vrule width0pt\protect\href{http://arxiv.org/abs/math/0211148}](http://arxiv.org/abs/math/0211148){m} 2002.