

MacMahon-type Identities for Signed Even Permutations

Dan Bernstein
 Department of Mathematics
 The Weizmann Institute of Science
 Rehovot 76100, Israel
 dan.bernstein@weizmann.ac.il

May 18, 2004

Abstract

MacMahon's classic theorem states that the *length* and *major index* statistics are equidistributed on the symmetric group S_n . By defining natural analogues or generalizations of those statistics, similar equidistribution results have been obtained for the alternating group A_n by Regev and Roichman, for the hyperoctahedral group B_n by Adin, Brenti and Roichman, and for the group of even-signed permutations D_n by Biagioli. We prove analogues of MacMahon's equidistribution theorem for the group of signed even permutations and for its subgroup of even-signed even permutations.

1 Introduction

A classic theorem by MacMahon [4] states that two *permutation statistics*, namely the *length* (or *inversion number*) and the *major index*, are equidistributed on the symmetric group S_n . Many refinements and generalizations of this theorem are known today (see [6] for a brief review). In [6], Regev and Roichman gave an analogue of MacMahon's theorem for the alternating group $A_n \subseteq S_n$, and in [1], Adin, Brenti and Roichman gave an analogue for the hyperoctahedral group $B_n = C_2 \wr S_n$. Both results involve natural generalizations of the S_n statistics having the equidistribution property.

Our main result here (Proposition 3.1) is an analogue of MacMahon's equidistribution theorem for *the group of signed even permutations* $L_n = C_2 \wr A_n \subseteq B_n$. Namely, we define two statistics on L_n , the *L-length* and the *negative alternating reverse major index*, and show that they have the same generating function, hence they are equidistributed. Our Main Lemma (Lemma 3.5) shows that every element of L_n has a unique decomposition into a descent-free factor and a signless even factor.

In [2], Biagioli proved an analogue of MacMahon's theorem for *the group of even-signed permutations* D_n (signed permutations with an even number of sign changes). Using our main result, we prove an analogue for *the group of even-signed even permutations* $(L \cap D)_n = L_n \cap D_n$ (see Proposition 4.2).

The rest of this paper is organized as follows: Section 2 contains notations and definitions of the groups and statistics we use. Also in that section, we define canonical presentations in B_n and in L_n . In Section 3 we prove the equidistribution identity for L_n , and finally in Section 4 we prove the equidistribution identity for $(L \cap D)_n$.

2 Preliminaries

2.1 Notation

For an integer $a \geq 0$ we let $[a] = \{1, 2, \dots, a\}$ (where $[0] = \emptyset$).

We let C_m be the cyclic group of order m .

Let S_n be the symmetric group on $1, \dots, n$ and let $A_n \subset S_n$ denote the alternating group.

We denote by B_n the wreath product $C_2 \wr S_n$. We think of $\sigma \in B_n$ as a bijection of $\{\pm 1, \pm 2, \dots, \pm n\}$ onto itself such that $\sigma(-i) = -\sigma(i)$ for all $i \in [n]$.

If $\sigma \in B_n$ then we write $\sigma = [\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_n]$ to mean that $\sigma(i) = \sigma_i$ for $i \in [n]$. Call this the *window* notation of σ .

We denote by L_n the wreath product $C_2 \wr A_n$, the subgroup of B_n of index 2 containing the signed even permutations (which is not to be confused with the group of even-signed permutations mentioned in Section 4).

2.2 S_n

2.2.1 The Coxeter System of S_n

S_n is a Coxeter group of type A . The Coxeter generators are the adjacent transpositions $S = \{s_i\}_{i=1}^{n-1}$ where $s_i = (i, i+1)$. The defining relations are the Moore-Coxeter relations:

$$\begin{aligned} (s_i s_{i+1})^3 &= 1 & (1 \leq i < n), \\ (s_i s_j)^2 &= 1 & (|i - j| > 1), \\ s_i^2 &= 1 & (1 \leq i < n). \end{aligned}$$

2.2.2 The S Canonical Presentation

For each $1 \leq j \leq n-1$ define

$$R_j^S = \{1, s_j, s_j s_{j-1}, \dots, s_j s_{j-1} \dots s_1\}$$

and note that $R_1^S, \dots, R_{n-1}^S \subseteq S_n$.

Theorem 2.1. *Let $w \in S_n$. Then there exist unique elements $w_j \in R_j^S$, $1 \leq j \leq n-1$, such that $w = w_1 \dots w_{n-1}$. Thus, the presentation $w = w_1 \dots w_{n-1}$ is unique.*

Definition 2.2. Call $w = w_1 \dots w_{n-1}$ in the above theorem the *S canonical presentation* of $w \in S_n$.

2.3 B_n

2.3.1 The Coxeter System of B_n

B_n is a Coxeter group of type B , generated by s_1, \dots, s_{n-1} together with an exceptional generator $s_0 = [-1, 2, 3, \dots, n]$, whose action is as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} [\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \dots, \sigma_n]s_0 &= [-\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \dots, \sigma_n] \\ s_0[\sigma_1, \dots, \pm 1, \dots, \sigma_n] &= [\sigma_1, \dots, \mp 1, \dots, \sigma_n]. \end{aligned}$$

The additional relations are: $s_0^2 = 1$, $(s_0s_1)^4 = 1$, and $s_0s_i = s_is_0$ for all $1 < i < n$.

2.3.2 The B Canonical Presentation

For each $0 \leq j \leq n-1$ define

$$\begin{aligned} R_j^B &= \{1, s_j, s_js_{j-1}, \dots, s_js_{j-1} \dots s_1, s_js_{j-1} \dots s_1s_0, \\ &\quad s_js_{j-1} \dots s_1s_0s_1, \dots, s_js_{j-1} \dots s_1s_0s_1 \dots s_j\} \end{aligned}$$

and note that $R_0^B, \dots, R_{n-1}^B \subseteq B_n$.

Theorem 2.3. *Let $\sigma \in B_n$. Then there exist unique elements $\sigma_j \in R_j^B$, $0 \leq j \leq n-1$, such that $\sigma = \sigma_0 \dots \sigma_{n-1}$. Moreover, written explicitly $\sigma_0 \dots \sigma_{n-1} = s_{i_1}s_{i_2} \dots s_{i_r}$ is a reduced expression for σ , that is r is the minimum length of an expression of σ as a product of elements in S .*

Definition 2.4. Call $\sigma = \sigma_0 \dots \sigma_{n-1}$ in the above theorem the *B canonical presentation* of $\sigma \in B_n$.

To prove Theorem 2.3 we use the following property of Coxeter groups.

Proposition 2.5 (See [3], Proposition 2.1.1). *Let (W, S) be a Coxeter system, and let $J \subseteq S$. Define $W_J = \langle J \rangle$ and $X_J = \{w \in W \mid \ell(sw) > \ell(w) \ \forall s \in J\}$.*

1. *For each $w \in W$ there exist unique $v \in W_J$ and $x \in X_J$ such that $w = vx$. Moreover, $\ell(w) = \ell(v) + \ell(x)$.*
2. *For any $w \in W$, $w \in X_J$ iff w is the unique element of minimal length in W_Jw .*

In particular, X_J is a complete set of right coset representatives of W_J in W .

The set X_J is called the set of *distinguished right coset representatives* of W_J in W .

Proof of Theorem 2.3. First we show that there exists a reduced expression of the above form for σ . According to the proposition above, it suffices to show that for every $0 < j \leq n$, R_{j-1}^B is the set of distinguished right coset representatives of $B_{j-1} = \langle s_0, \dots, s_{j-2} \rangle$ in B_j .

First we show that R_{j-1}^B consists of right coset representatives. Let $\sigma \in B_j$, $r = |\sigma^{-1}(j)|$, and $\sigma' = [\sigma_1 \sigma_2 \dots \sigma_{r-1} \sigma_{r+1} \dots \sigma_j j] \in B_{j-1}$. If $\sigma(r) = j$, then

$$\sigma' s_{j-1} s_{j-2} \dots s_r = [\sigma_1 \sigma_2 \dots \sigma_{r-1} j \sigma_{r+1} \dots \sigma_j] = \sigma;$$

otherwise $\sigma(r) = -j$, so

$$\begin{aligned} & \sigma' s_{j-1} s_{j-2} \dots s_1 s_0 s_1 \dots s_{r-1} \\ &= [\sigma_1 \sigma_2 \dots \sigma_{r-1} (-j) \sigma_{r+1} \dots \sigma_j] = \sigma. \end{aligned}$$

In particular, since $s_{j-1} s_{j-2} \dots s_r$ and $s_{j-1} s_{j-2} \dots s_1 s_0 s_1 \dots s_{r-1}$ are in R_{j-1}^B , this shows that $\sigma \in \sigma' R_{j-1}^B$. It follows that $B_{j-1} R_{j-1}^B = B_j$. Since $|R_{j-1}^B| = 2j = \frac{|B_j|}{|B_{j-1}|}$, R_{j-1}^B contains exactly one representative of each coset.

Next we note that $\{1, s_{j-1}, \dots, s_{j-1} \dots s_1\}$ are reduced expressions in S_j and that $\{1, s_0, s_0 s_1, \dots, s_0 \dots s_{j-1}\}$ are reduced representatives of (some of) the cosets of the parabolic subgroup S_j of B_j , therefore R_{j-1}^B consists of reduced expressions.

Finally, the uniqueness of the canonical presentation follows from a counting argument:

$$\prod_{j=0}^{n-1} |R_j^B| = \prod_{j=0}^{n-1} 2(j+1) = 2^n n! = |B_n|. \quad \square$$

Remark 2.6. For $\sigma \in S_n$, the B canonical presentation of σ coincides with its S canonical presentation.

Example 2.7. Let $\sigma = [5, -1, 2, -3, 4]$, then $\sigma_4 = s_4 s_3 s_2 s_1$; $\sigma \sigma_4^{-1} = [-1, 2, -3, 4, 5]$, therefore $\sigma_3 = 1$ and $\sigma_2 = s_2 s_1 s_0 s_1 s_2$; and finally $\sigma \sigma_4^{-1} \sigma_3^{-1} \sigma_2^{-1} = [-1, 2, 3, 4, 5]$ so $\sigma_1 = 1$ and $\sigma_0 = s_0$. Thus $\sigma = \sigma_0 \sigma_1 \sigma_2 \sigma_3 \sigma_4 = (s_0)(1)(s_2 s_1 s_0 s_1 s_2)(1)(s_4 s_3 s_2 s_1)$.

2.4 A_{n+1}

2.4.1 A Generating Set for A_{n+1}

We let

$$a_i = s_1 s_{i+1} \quad (1 \leq i \leq n-1).$$

The set $A = \{a_i\}_{i=1}^{n-1}$ generates A_{n+1} , and the generators satisfy the relations

$$\begin{aligned}(a_i a_j)^2 &= 1 \quad (|i - j| > 1), \\ (a_i a_{i+1})^3 &= 1 \quad (1 \leq i < n - 1), \\ a_i^2 &= 1 \quad (1 < i \leq n - 1), \\ a_1^3 &= 1.\end{aligned}$$

Note that (A_{n+1}, A) is not a Coxeter system (in fact, A_{n+1} is not a Coxeter group) as $a_1^2 \neq 1$. This set of generators was introduced by Mitsuhashi[5].

2.4.2 The A Canonical Presentation

For each $1 \leq j \leq n - 1$ define

$$R_j^A = \{1, a_j, a_j a_{j-1}, \dots, a_j \dots a_2, a_j \dots a_2 a_1, a_j \dots a_2 a_1^{-1}\}$$

and note that $R_1^A, \dots, R_{n-1}^A \subseteq A_{n+1}$.

Theorem 2.8. *Let $v \in A_{n+1}$. Then there exist unique elements $v_j \in R_j^A$, $1 \leq j \leq n - 1$, such that $v = v_1 \dots v_{n-1}$, and this presentation is unique.*

Definition 2.9. Call $v = v_1 \dots v_{n-1}$ in the above theorem *the A canonical presentation of $v \in A_{n+1}$.*

2.5 $L_{n+1} = C_2 \wr A_{n+1}$

2.5.1 Characterization in Terms of the B Canonical Presentation

Define the group homomorphism $\text{abs} : C_2 \wr S_n \rightarrow S_n$ by $((\epsilon_1, \dots, \epsilon_n), \sigma) \mapsto \sigma$, or equivalently, in terms of our representation of elements of $C_s \wr S_n$ as bijections of $\{\pm 1, \dots, \pm n\}$ onto itself, $\text{abs}(\sigma)(i) = |\sigma(i)|$.

From this formulation one sees immediately that for any $\sigma \in B_n$, $\text{abs}(\sigma s_0) = \text{abs}(\sigma)$. Thus if $\sigma = s_{i_1} \dots s_{i_k}$, then deleting all occurrences of s_0 from $s_{i_1} \dots s_{i_k}$ what remains is an expression for $\text{abs}(\sigma)$. Since by definition $\text{abs}(L_{n+1}) = A_{n+1}$, we have

Proposition 2.10.

$$L_{n+1} = \{\sigma \in B_{n+1} \mid \sigma = s_{i_1} \dots s_{i_k}, \#\{j \mid i_j \neq 0\} \text{ is even}\}.$$

2.5.2 A Generating Set for L_{n+1}

L_{n+1} is generated by a_1, \dots, a_{n-1} together with the generator $a_0 = s_0 = [-1, 2, 3, \dots, n, n+1]$. The additional relations are $a_0^2 = 1$, $(a_0 a_1)^6 = (a_0 a_1^{-1})^6 = 1$, and $(a_0 a_i)^4 = 1$ for all $1 < i \leq n - 1$.

2.5.3 The L Canonical Presentation

Let $R_0^L = \{1, a_0, a_1 a_0 a_1^{-1}, a_0 a_1 a_0 a_1^{-1}\}$ and for each $1 \leq j \leq n-1$ define

$$R_j^L = R_j^A \cup \{a_j a_{j-1} \dots a_2 a_1^{-1} a_0, a_j a_{j-1} \dots a_2 a_1^{-1} a_0 a_1^{-1}\} \\ \cup \{a_j a_{j-1} \dots a_2 a_1^{-1} a_0 a_1, \dots, a_j a_{j-1} \dots a_2 a_1^{-1} a_0 a_1 a_2 \dots a_j\}.$$

For example,

$$R_2^L = \{1, a_2, a_2 a_1, a_2 a_1^{-1}, a_2 a_1^{-1} a_0, a_2 a_1^{-1} a_0 a_1^{-1}, a_2 a_1^{-1} a_0 a_1, a_2 a_1^{-1} a_0 a_1 a_2\}.$$

Note that $R_0^L, \dots, R_{n-1}^L \subseteq L_{n+1}$.

Theorem 2.11. *Let $\pi \in L_{n+1}$. Then there exist unique elements $\pi_j \in R_j^L$, $0 \leq j \leq n-1$, such that $\pi = \pi_0 \dots \pi_{n-1}$, and this presentation is unique.*

A proof is given below.

Definition 2.12. Call $\pi = \pi_0 \dots \pi_{n-1}$ in the above theorem *the L canonical presentation of $\pi \in L_{n+1}$.*

The following recursive **L-Procedure** is a way to calculate the L canonical presentation:

First note that $R_0^L = L_2$ so R_0^L gives the canonical presentations of all $\pi \in L_2$.

For $n > 1$, let $\pi \in L_{n+1}$, $|\pi(r)| = n+1$.

If $\pi(r) = n+1$, ‘pull $n+1$ to its place on the right’ by

$$\begin{aligned} [\dots, n+1, \dots] a_{r-1} a_r \dots a_{n-1} &= [\dots, n+1] & \text{if } r > 2, \\ [k, n+1, \dots] a_1^{-1} a_2 \dots a_{n-1} &= [\dots, n+1] & \text{if } r = 2, \\ (*) \quad [n+1, \dots] a_1 a_2 \dots a_{n-1} &= [\dots, n+1] & \text{if } r = 1; \end{aligned}$$

and if $\pi(r) = -(n+1)$, ‘correct the sign’ by

$$\begin{aligned} [\dots, -(n+1), \dots] a_{r-2} \dots a_1^{-1} a_0 &= [n+1, \dots] & \text{if } r > 3, \\ [\ell, k, -(n+1), \dots] a_1^{-1} a_0 &= [n+1, \dots] & \text{if } r = 3, \\ [k, -(n+1), \dots] a_1 a_0 &= [n+1, \dots] & \text{if } r = 2, \\ [-(n+1), \dots] a_0 &= [n+1, \dots] & \text{if } r = 1, \end{aligned}$$

and then ‘pull to the right’ using (*).

This gives $\pi_{n-1} \in R_{n-1}^L$ and $\pi \pi_{n-1}^{-1} \in L_n$. Therefore by induction $\pi = \pi_0 \dots \pi_{n-2} \pi_{n-1}$ with $\pi_j \in R_j^L$ for all $0 \leq j \leq n-1$.

For example, let $\pi = [3, 5, -4, 2, -1]$, then $\pi_3 = a_3 a_2 a_1$; $\pi \pi_3^{-1} = [-4, 3, 2, -1, 5]$, therefore $\pi_2 = a_2 a_1^{-1} a_0$; next $\pi \pi_3^{-1} \pi_2^{-1} = [2, 3, -1, 4, 5]$ so $\pi_1 = a_1$; and finally $\pi \pi_3^{-1} \pi_2^{-1} \pi_1^{-1} = [-1, 2, 3, 4, 5]$ so $\pi_0 = a_0$. Thus

$$\pi = \pi_0 \pi_1 \pi_2 \pi_3 = (a_0)(a_1)(a_2 a_1^{-1} a_0)(a_3 a_2 a_1).$$

Proof of Theorem 2.11. The L-Procedure proves the existence of such a presentation, and the uniqueness follows by a counting argument:

$$\prod_{j=0}^{n-1} |R_j^L| = \prod_{j=0}^{n-1} 2(j+2) = 2^n(n+1)! = 2^{n+1}|A_{n+1}| = |L_{n+1}|. \quad \square$$

Remark 2.13. For $\pi \in A_{n+1}$, the L canonical presentation of π coincides with its A canonical presentation.

Remark 2.14. The canonical presentation of $\pi \in L_{n+1}$ is not necessarily a reduced expression. For example, the canonical presentation of $\pi = [-3, 1, -2] \in L_3$ is $\pi = (a_1 a_0 a_1^{-1})(a_1^{-1} a_0)$ which is not reduced ($\pi = a_1 a_0 a_1 a_0$).

2.6 B_n and L_{n+1} Statistics

Definition 2.15. Let $w = [w_1, w_2, \dots, w_n]$ be a word on \mathbb{Z} . Define the *inversion number* of w as $\text{inv}(w) = \#\{1 \leq i < j \leq n \mid w_i > w_j\}$.

For example, $\text{inv}([5, -1, 2, -3, 4]) = 6$.

Definition 2.16. 1. Let $\sigma \in B_n$, then $j \geq 2$ is a *l.t.r.min* (left-to-right minimum) of σ if $\sigma(i) > \sigma(j)$ for all $1 \leq i < j$.

2. Define $\text{del}_B(\sigma) = \#\text{ltrm}(\sigma) = \#\{2 \leq j \leq n \mid j \text{ is a l.t.r.min of } \sigma\}$.

For example, the left-to-right minima of $\sigma = [5, -1, 2, -3, 4]$ are $\{2, 4\}$ so $\text{del}_B(\sigma) = 2$.

Remark 2.17. $\text{del}_S(w)$ was defined in [6] for $w \in S_n$. According to Proposition 7.2 in [6], if $w \in S_n$ then $\text{del}_S(w) = \text{del}_B(w)$.

Definition 2.18. Let $\sigma \in B_n$. Define

$$\text{Neg}(\sigma) = \{i \in [n] \mid \sigma(i) < 0\}$$

Lemma 2.19.

$$\text{Neg}(\sigma^{-1}) = \{|\sigma(i)| \mid i \in [n], \sigma(i) < 0\}$$

Proof. For $i \in [n]$,

$$\sigma^{-1}(|\sigma(i)|) = \begin{cases} i & \text{if } \sigma(i) > 0, \\ -i & \text{if } \sigma(i) < 0. \end{cases}$$

□

Remark 2.20. If $v \in S_n$ and $\sigma \in B_n$ then

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Neg}(v\sigma) &= \{i \in [n] \mid v(\sigma(i)) < 0\} \\ &= \{i \in [n] \mid \sigma(i) < 0\} \\ &= \text{Neg}(\sigma). \end{aligned}$$

Definition 2.21. Let $\sigma \in B_n$. Define the B -length of σ the usual way, i.e. $\ell_B(\sigma)$ is the length of a σ with respect to the Coxeter generators of B_n .

For example,

$$\ell_B([5, -1, 2, -3, 4]) = \ell_B(s_0 s_2 s_1 s_0 s_1 s_2 s_4 s_3 s_2 s_1) = 10$$

(see Example 2.7).

Lemma 2.22. Let $\sigma \in B_n$. Then

$$\ell_B(\sigma) = \text{inv}(\sigma) + \sum_{i \in \text{Neg}(\sigma^{-1})} i. \quad (1)$$

Proof. Let $\sigma = \sigma_0 \dots \sigma_{n-1}$ be the canonical presentation and let $\sigma' = \sigma_0 \dots \sigma_{n-2} = [b_1 b_2 \dots b_{n-1} n]$. If $\sigma_{n-1} = s_{n-1} s_{n-2} \dots s_r$, $r > 0$, then $\sigma = [b_1 b_2 \dots b_{r-1} n b_r \dots b_{n-1}]$, so $\text{Neg}(\sigma^{-1}) = \text{Neg}(\sigma'^{-1})$ by Lemma 2.19, and $\text{inv}(\sigma) = \text{inv}(\sigma') + n - r$; and if $\sigma_{n-1} = s_{n-1} \dots s_0 \dots s_r$ then $\sigma = [b_1 \dots b_r (-n) b_{r+1} \dots b_{n-1}]$, so $\text{Neg}(\sigma^{-1}) = \text{Neg}(\sigma'^{-1}) \cup \{n\}$ and $\text{inv}(\sigma) = \text{inv}(\sigma') + r$. In both cases

$$\left(\text{inv}(\sigma) + \sum_{i \in \text{Neg}(\sigma^{-1})} i \right) - \left(\text{inv}(\sigma') + \sum_{i \in \text{Neg}(\sigma'^{-1})} i \right) = \ell_B(\sigma_{n-1}).$$

By induction on n the lemma is proved. \square

In [6], the A -length of $w \in A_n$, $\ell_A(w)$ was defined as the length of w 's A canonical presentation, and it was shown to have the following property.

Proposition 2.23 (See [6], Proposition 4.4). Let $w \in A_n$, then

$$\ell_A(w) = \ell_S(w) - \text{del}_S(w).$$

This serves as motivation for the following definition.

Definition 2.24. Let $\sigma \in B_n$. Define the L -length of σ as

$$\ell_L(\sigma) = \ell_B(\sigma) - \text{del}_B(\sigma) = \text{inv}(\sigma) - \text{del}_B(\sigma) + \sum_{i \in \text{Neg}(\sigma^{-1})} i. \quad (2)$$

Remark 2.25. 1. ℓ_L is not a length function with respect to any set of generators, that is for every set of generators of L_n , there exists $\pi \in L_n$ such that $\ell_L(\pi)$ is in not the length of a reduced expression for π using those generators. For example, in L_3 we have $\ell_L([3, 1, 2]) = \ell_L([-1, 2, 3]) = 1$ but $\ell_L([3, 1, 2][-1, 2, 3]) = \ell_L([-3, 1, 2]) = 3$.

2. If $w \in A_n$ then, according to Proposition 2.23 and the above remarks, $\ell_A(w) = \ell_L(w)$.

Definition 2.26. 1. The S -descent set of $\sigma \in B_n$ is defined by

$$\text{Des}_S(\sigma) = \{1 \leq i \leq n-1 \mid \ell_B(\sigma s_i) < \ell_B(\sigma)\}.$$

2. Define the *major index* of $\sigma \in B_n$ by

$$\text{maj}_B(\sigma) = \sum_{i \in \text{Des}_S(\sigma)} i.$$

3. Define the *reverse major index* of $\sigma \in B_n$ by

$$\text{rmaj}_{B_n}(\sigma) = \sum_{i \in \text{Des}_S(\sigma)} (n-i).$$

For example, if $\sigma = [5, -1, 2, -3, 4]$ then $\text{Des}_S(\sigma) = \{1, 3\}$, $\text{maj}_B(\sigma) = 4$ and $\text{rmaj}_{B_5}(\sigma) = 6$.

Remark 2.27. $\text{Des}_S(\sigma) = \{1 \leq i \leq n-1 \mid \sigma(i) > \sigma(i+1)\}$. Indeed, by Remark 2.20 and the definition of inv , for $1 \leq i \leq n-1$

$$\begin{aligned} \ell_B(\sigma s_i) - \ell_B(\sigma) &= (\text{inv}(\sigma s_i) + \sum_{i \in \text{Neg}((\sigma s_i)^{-1})} i) - (\text{inv}(\sigma) + \sum_{i \in \text{Neg}(\sigma^{-1})} i) \\ &= \text{inv}(\sigma s_i) - \text{inv}(\sigma) \\ &= \begin{cases} +1 & \text{if } \sigma(i) < \sigma(i+1), \\ -1 & \text{if } \sigma(i) > \sigma(i+1). \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Remark 2.28. It is natural to define the B -descent set of $\sigma \in B_n$ as

$$\text{Des}_B(\sigma) = \{0 \leq i \leq n-1 \mid \ell_B(\sigma s_i) < \ell_B(\sigma)\},$$

and it also holds that

$$\text{Des}_B(\sigma) = \{0 \leq i \leq n-1 \mid \sigma(i) > \sigma(i+1)\}$$

where $\sigma(0) := 0$. Moreover, in the definition of maj_B , one can replace Des_S with Des_B . However, using the S -descent set makes the definitions of rmaj_B and the L analogues (below) appear more natural.

maj_B and rmaj_{B_n} are equidistributed on B_n , as the following lemma shows.

Lemma 2.29. *There exists an involution ϕ of B_n satisfying the conditions*

$$\text{maj}_B(\sigma) = \text{rmaj}_{B_n}(\phi(\sigma))$$

and

$$\text{Neg}(\sigma^{-1}) = \text{Neg}((\phi(\sigma))^{-1}). \quad (3)$$

Proof. Given $\sigma = [\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_n] \in B_n$, $\sigma_{i_1} < \sigma_{i_2} < \dots < \sigma_{i_n}$, let ρ_σ be the order-reversing permutation on $\{\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_n\}$, that is $\rho_\sigma(\sigma_{i_k}) = \sigma_{i_{n+1-k}}$, and define

$$\phi(\sigma) = [\rho_\sigma(\sigma_n), \rho_\sigma(\sigma_{n-1}), \dots, \rho_\sigma(\sigma_1)].$$

Since ρ_σ is a permutation, the letters in the window notation of $\phi(\sigma)$ are again $\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_n$, so $\rho_{\phi(\sigma)} = \rho_\sigma$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \phi^2(\sigma) &= [\rho_{\phi(\sigma)}(\rho_\sigma(\sigma_1)), \dots, \rho_{\phi(\sigma)}(\rho_\sigma(\sigma_n))] \\ &= [\rho_\sigma^2(\sigma_1), \dots, \rho_\sigma^2(\sigma_n)] \\ &= \sigma \end{aligned}$$

and by Lemma 2.19, $\text{Neg}(\sigma^{-1}) = \text{Neg}(\phi(\sigma)^{-1})$.

Finally,

$$\begin{aligned} i \in \text{Des}_S(\phi(\sigma)) &\iff \phi(\sigma)(i) > \phi(\sigma)(i+1) \\ &\iff \rho_\sigma(\sigma_{n+1-i}) > \rho_\sigma(\sigma_{n-i}) \\ &\iff \sigma_{n+1-i} < \sigma_{n-i} \\ &\iff n-i \in \text{Des}_S(\sigma), \end{aligned}$$

So

$$\text{rmaj}_{B_n}(\phi(\sigma)) = \sum_{i \in \text{Des}_S(\phi(\sigma))} n-i = \sum_{i \in \text{Des}_S(\sigma)} i = \text{maj}_B(\sigma).$$

□

Example 2.30. Let $\sigma = [5, -1, 2, -3, 4]$. To compute $\phi(\sigma)$, we reverse σ to get $[4, -3, 2, -1, 5]$, then apply the order-reversing permutation on $\{-3, -1, 2, 4, 5\}$ to get $\phi(\sigma) = [-1, 5, 2, 4, -3]$. Indeed we have $\text{maj}_B(\sigma) = 4 = \text{rmaj}_{B_5}(\phi(\sigma))$ and $\text{Neg}(\sigma^{-1}) = \{1, 3\} = \text{Neg}(\phi(\sigma)^{-1})$.

Definition 2.31. 1. The *A-descent set* of $\pi \in L_{n+1}$ is defined by

$$\text{Des}_A(\pi) = \{1 \leq i \leq n-1 \mid \ell_L(\pi a_i) \leq \ell_L(\pi)\},$$

and the *A-descent number* of $\pi \in L_{n+1}$ is defined by $\text{des}_A(\pi) = |\text{Des}_A \pi|$.

2. Define the *alternating reverse major index* of $\pi \in L_{n+1}$ by

$$\text{rmaj}_{L_{n+1}}(\pi) = \sum_{i \in \text{Des}_A(\pi)} (n-i).$$

3. Define the *negative alternating reverse major index* of $\pi \in L_{n+1}$ by

$$\text{nrmaj}_{L_{n+1}}(\pi) = \text{rmaj}_{L_{n+1}}(\pi) + \sum_{i \in \text{Neg}(\pi^{-1})} i.$$

For example, if $\pi = [5, -1, 2, -3, 4]$ then $\text{Des}_A(\pi) = \{1, 2\}$, $\text{rmaj}_{L_5}(\pi) = 5$, and $\text{nrmaj}_{L_5}(\pi) = 5 + 1 + 3 = 9$.

Remark 2.32. 1. For $w \in A_{n+1}$, the above definitions agree with the definitions in [6].

2. In general, $\text{Des}_A(\pi) \neq \{1 \leq i \leq n-1 \mid \pi(i) > \pi(i+1)\}$.

3 Equidistribution on L_{n+1}

The following is our main result.

Proposition 3.1. *For every $B \subseteq [n+1]$*

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\{\pi \in L_{n+1} \mid \text{Neg}(\pi^{-1}) \subseteq B\}} q^{\text{nrmaj}_{L_{n+1}}(\pi)} &= \sum_{\{\pi \in L_{n+1} \mid \text{Neg}(\pi^{-1}) \subseteq B\}} q^{\ell_L(\pi)} \\ &= \prod_{i \in B} (1 + q^i) \prod_{i=1}^{n-1} (1 + q + \cdots + q^{i-1} + 2q^i). \end{aligned}$$

By the inclusion-exclusion principle we have:

Corollary 3.2. *For every $B \subseteq [n+1]$*

$$\sum_{\{\pi \in L_{n+1} \mid \text{Neg}(\pi^{-1}) = B\}} q^{\text{nrmaj}_{L_{n+1}}(\pi)} = \sum_{\{\pi \in L_{n+1} \mid \text{Neg}(\pi^{-1}) = B\}} q^{\ell_L(\pi)}.$$

Note that the case $B = \emptyset$ of Proposition 3.1 is just the case $t = 1$ of the following theorem.

Theorem 3.3 (See [6], Theorem 6.1(2)).

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{w \in A_{n+1}} q^{\ell_A(w)} t^{\text{del}_A(w)} &= \sum_{w \in A_{n+1}} q^{\text{rmaj}_{A_{n+1}}(w)} t^{\text{del}_A(w)} \\ &= (1 + 2qt)(1 + q + 2q^2t) \cdots (1 + q + \cdots + q^{n-2} + 2q^{n-1}t). \end{aligned}$$

The proof of Proposition 3.1 uses the decomposition of

$$\{\pi \in L_{n+1} \mid \text{Neg}(\pi^{-1}) \subseteq B\}$$

into left cosets of A_{n+1} , and a set of distinguished coset representatives.

Lemma 3.4. *Let $\rho \in S_{n+1}$. Then*

$$\ell_L(\rho) = \ell_L(s_1\rho).$$

Proof.

$$\text{inv}(s_1\rho) = \begin{cases} \text{inv}(\rho) + 1 & \text{if } \rho^{-1}(1) < \rho^{-1}(2); \\ \text{inv}(\rho) - 1 & \text{if } \rho^{-1}(1) > \rho^{-1}(2) \end{cases}$$

and

$$\text{del}_B(s_1\rho) = \begin{cases} \text{del}_B(\rho) + 1 & \text{if } \rho^{-1}(1) < \rho^{-1}(2); \\ \text{del}_B(\rho) - 1 & \text{if } \rho^{-1}(1) > \rho^{-1}(2), \end{cases}$$

therefore

$$\ell_L(\rho) = \text{inv}(\rho) - \text{del}_B(\rho) = \text{inv}(s_1\rho) - \text{del}_B(s_1\rho) = \ell_L(s_1\rho).$$

□

Lemma 3.5 (Main Lemma). *Let $\pi \in L_{n+1}$. Then there exists a unique $\sigma \in L_{n+1}$ such that $u = \sigma^{-1}\pi \in A_{n+1}$ and $\text{des}_A(\sigma) = 0$. Moreover, $\text{Des}_A(u) = \text{Des}_A(\pi)$, $\text{inv}(u) - \text{del}_S(u) = \text{inv}(\pi) - \text{del}_B(\pi)$, and $\text{Neg}(\pi^{-1}) = \text{Neg}(\sigma^{-1})$.*

Proof. Let $\sigma' \in B_{n+1}$ be the increasing word with the letters of π . Clearly $\text{inv}(\sigma') = \text{del}_B(\sigma') = 0$ so by (2), $\ell_L(\sigma') = \sum_{i \in \text{Neg}(\sigma'^{-1})} i$.

For every $v \in S_{n+1}$ and $i, j \in [n+1]$,

$$v(i) < v(j) \iff (\sigma'v)(i) < (\sigma'v)(j),$$

thus

$$\text{inv}(\sigma'v) = \text{inv}(v) \tag{4}$$

and

$$\text{del}_B(\sigma'v) = \text{del}_S(v). \tag{5}$$

By Remark 2.20, $\text{Neg}((\sigma'v)^{-1}) = \text{Neg}(v^{-1}\sigma'^{-1}) = \text{Neg}(\sigma'^{-1})$. Therefore for every $v \in S_{n+1}$,

$$\begin{aligned} \ell_L(\sigma'v) &= \text{inv}(\sigma'v) + \sum_{i \in \text{Neg}((\sigma'v)^{-1})} i - \text{del}_B(\sigma'v) \\ &= \sum_{i \in \text{Neg}(\sigma'^{-1})} i + \text{inv}(v) - \text{del}_B(v) \\ &= \ell_L(\sigma') + \ell_L(v). \end{aligned} \tag{6}$$

There are two possible cases to consider:

Case 1: $\sigma' \in L_{n+1}$. Let $\sigma = \sigma'$ and let $u = \sigma'^{-1}\pi$.

Using (6) we have for $1 \leq i \leq n-1$,

$$\begin{aligned} \ell_L(\sigma a_i) &= \ell_L(\sigma' a_i) \\ &= \ell_L(\sigma') + \ell_L(a_i) \\ &> \ell_L(\sigma') \\ &= \ell_L(\sigma) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \ell_L(\pi) - \ell_L(\pi a_i) &= \ell_L(\sigma u) - \ell_L(\sigma(u a_i)) \\ &= \ell_L(\sigma' u) - \ell_L(\sigma'(u a_i)) \\ &= \ell_L(\sigma') + \ell_L(u) - \ell_L(\sigma') - \ell_L(u a_i) \\ &= \ell_L(u) - \ell_L(u a_i). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $\text{des}_A(\sigma) = 0$ and $\text{Des}_A(u) = \text{Des}_A(\pi)$ as desired. From (4) and (5) we also get that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{inv}(\pi) - \text{del}_B(\pi) &= \text{inv}(\sigma u) - \text{del}_B(\sigma u) \\ &= \text{inv}(\sigma' u) - \text{del}_B(\sigma' u) \\ &= \text{inv}(u) - \text{del}_S(u). \end{aligned}$$

Case 2: $\sigma' s_1 \in L_{n+1}$. Let $\sigma = \sigma' s_1$ and let $u = s_1 \sigma'^{-1} \pi$.
Using (6) we have for $1 \leq i \leq n-1$,

$$\begin{aligned} \ell_L(\sigma a_i) &= \ell_L(\sigma' s_{i+1}) \\ &= \ell_L(\sigma') + \ell_L(s_{i+1}) \\ &> \ell_L(\sigma') \\ &= \ell_L(\sigma') + \ell_L(s_1) && (\ell_L(s_1) = 0) \\ &= \ell_L(\sigma' s_1) \\ &= \ell_L(\sigma) \end{aligned}$$

and, using also Lemma 3.4,

$$\begin{aligned} \ell_L(\pi) - \ell_L(\pi a_i) &= \ell_L(\sigma' s_1 u) - \ell_L(\sigma' (s_1 u a_i)) \\ &= \ell_L(\sigma') + \ell_L(s_1 u) - \ell_L(\sigma') - \ell_L(s_1 u a_i) \\ &= \ell_L(s_1 u) - \ell_L(s_1 (u a_i)) \\ &= \ell_L(u) - \ell_L(u a_i). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $\text{des}_A(\sigma) = 0$ and $\text{Des}_A(u) = \text{Des}_A(\pi)$ as desired. From (4) and (5) and Lemma 3.4,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{inv}(\pi) - \text{del}_B(\pi) &= \text{inv}(\sigma' s_1 u) - \text{del}_B(\sigma' s_1 u) \\ &= \text{inv}(s_1 u) - \text{del}_S(s_1 u) \\ &= \text{inv}(u) - \text{del}_S(u). \end{aligned}$$

In both cases, the fact that $\text{Neg}(\pi^{-1}) = \text{Neg}(\sigma^{-1})$ follows by Remark 2.20 from the fact that $\pi^{-1} = u^{-1} \sigma^{-1}$ and $u \in A_{n+1}$.

To see that σ is unique, suppose $\tilde{\sigma} \in L_{n+1}$ satisfies $\text{des}_A(\tilde{\sigma}) = 0$ and $\tilde{u} = \tilde{\sigma}^{-1} \pi \in A_{n+1}$. Then $0 = \text{des}_A(\tilde{\sigma}) = \text{des}_A(\sigma u \tilde{u}^{-1})$ (since $\tilde{\sigma} = \sigma u \tilde{u}^{-1}$), so for $1 \leq i \leq n-1$,

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\leq \ell_L(\sigma u \tilde{u}^{-1} a_i) - \ell_L(\sigma u \tilde{u}^{-1}) \\ &= \ell_L(\sigma) + \ell_L(u \tilde{u}^{-1} a_i) - \ell_L(\sigma) - \ell_L(u \tilde{u}^{-1}) \\ &= \ell_L(u \tilde{u}^{-1} a_i) - \ell_L(u \tilde{u}^{-1}) \\ &= \ell_A(u \tilde{u}^{-1} a_i) - \ell_A(u \tilde{u}^{-1}), \end{aligned}$$

whence $u \tilde{u}^{-1} = 1$, i.e. $\sigma = \tilde{\sigma}$. □

Let $T = \{\sigma \in L_{n+1} \mid \text{des}_A(\sigma) = 0\}$.

Corollary 3.6. 1. For every $B \subseteq [n+1]$ there exists a unique $\sigma \in T$ such that $B = \text{Neg}(\sigma^{-1})$.

2. For every $B \subseteq [n+1]$,

$$\{\pi \in L_n \mid \text{Neg}(\pi^{-1}) \subseteq B\} = \bigsqcup_{u \in A_{n+1}} \{\sigma u \mid \sigma \in T, \text{Neg}(\sigma^{-1}) \subseteq B\}, \quad (7)$$

where \sqcup denotes disjoint union.

Corollary 3.7. *Let $\pi \in L_{n+1}$, and write $\pi = \sigma u$ with σ and u like in Lemma 3.5. Then $\ell_L(\pi) = \ell_A(u) + \sum_{i \in \text{Neg}(\pi^{-1})} i$.*

Proof. By (2), Lemma 3.5 and Proposition 2.23,

$$\begin{aligned} \ell_L(\pi) &= \text{inv}(\pi) - \text{del}_B(\pi) + \sum_{i \in \text{Neg}(\pi^{-1})} i \\ &= \text{inv}(u) - \text{del}_S(u) + \sum_{i \in \text{Neg}(\pi^{-1})} i \\ &= \ell_A(u) + \sum_{i \in \text{Neg}(\pi^{-1})} i. \end{aligned}$$

□

Proof of Proposition 3.1. From Corollary 3.6, Lemma 3.5 and Theorem 3.3,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\substack{\pi \in L_{n+1} \\ \text{Neg}(\pi^{-1}) \subseteq B}} q^{\text{nrmaj}_{L_{n+1}}(\pi)} &= \sum_{\substack{\sigma \in T \\ \text{Neg}(\sigma^{-1}) \subseteq B}} \sum_{u \in A_{n+1}} q^{\text{nrmaj}_{L_{n+1}}(\sigma u)} \\ &= \sum_{\substack{\sigma \in T \\ \text{Neg}(\sigma^{-1}) \subseteq B}} \sum_{u \in A_{n+1}} q^{\text{rmaj}_L(\sigma u) + \sum_{i \in \text{Neg}((\sigma u)^{-1})} i} \\ &= \sum_{\substack{\sigma \in T \\ \text{Neg}(\sigma^{-1}) \subseteq B}} q^{\sum_{i \in \text{Neg}(\sigma^{-1})} i} \sum_{u \in A_{n+1}} q^{\text{rmaj}_A(u)} \\ &= \sum_{C \subseteq B} q^{\sum_{i \in C} i} \sum_{u \in A_{n+1}} q^{\text{rmaj}_A(u)} \\ &= \prod_{i \in B} (1 + q^i) \prod_{i=1}^{n-1} (1 + q + \cdots + q^{i-1} + 2q^i). \end{aligned}$$

By similar considerations, this time invoking the other equality in Theorem 3.3,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\substack{\pi \in L_{n+1} \\ \text{Neg}(\pi^{-1}) \subseteq B}} q^{\ell_L(\pi)} &= \sum_{\substack{\sigma \in T \\ \text{Neg}(\sigma^{-1}) \subseteq B}} \sum_{u \in A_{n+1}} q^{\ell_L(\sigma u)} \\ &= \sum_{\substack{\sigma \in T \\ \text{Neg}(\sigma^{-1}) \subseteq B}} \sum_{u \in A_{n+1}} q^{\text{inv}(\sigma u) + \sum_{i \in \text{Neg}((\sigma u)^{-1})} i - \text{del}_B(\sigma u)} \\ &= \sum_{\substack{\sigma \in T \\ \text{Neg}(\sigma^{-1}) \subseteq B}} q^{\sum_{i \in \text{Neg}(\sigma^{-1})} i} \sum_{u \in A_{n+1}} q^{\text{inv}(u) - \text{del}_S(u)} \\ &= \sum_{C \subseteq B} q^{\sum_{i \in C} i} \sum_{u \in A_{n+1}} q^{\ell_A(u)} \\ &= \prod_{i \in B} (1 + q^i) \prod_{i=1}^{n-1} (1 + q + \cdots + q^{i-1} + 2q^i). \end{aligned}$$

□

4 Even-signed Even Permutations

We denote by D_n the group of *even-signed permutations*, that is the subgroup of B_n consisting of all the signed permutations having an even number of negative entries in their window notation. Equivalently,

$$D_n = \{\sigma \in B_n \mid \#\text{Neg}(\sigma^{-1}) \text{ is even}\}.$$

D_n is a Coxeter group of type D , generated by $\tilde{s}_0, s_1, \dots, s_{n-1}$, where $\tilde{s}_0 = [-2, -1, 3, \dots, n] = s_0 s_1 s_0$.

Following Biagioli [2], we define the D -length of $\sigma \in D_n$ by

$$\ell_D(\sigma) = \ell_B(\sigma) - \#\text{Neg}(\sigma),$$

which is also the length of a reduced expression for σ in the above generators, and we let

$$\text{dmaj}(\sigma) = \text{maj}_B(\sigma) - \#\text{Neg}(\sigma) + \sum_{i \in \text{Neg}(\sigma^{-1})} i.$$

Biagioli proved the following D_n -analogue of MacMahon's theorem.

Proposition 4.1 (See [2], Proposition 3.1).

$$\sum_{\sigma \in D_n} q^{\text{dmaj}(\sigma)} = \sum_{\sigma \in D_n} q^{\ell_D(\sigma)}.$$

Let

$$\text{drmaj}_n(\sigma) = \text{rmaj}_{B_n}(\sigma) - \#\text{Neg}(\sigma) + \sum_{i \in \text{Neg}(\sigma^{-1})} i.$$

Since the involution ϕ from Lemma 2.29 satisfies (3), dmaj and drmaj_n are equidistributed on D_n , hence we can replace dmaj with drmaj_n in Proposition 4.1.

Let $(L \cap D)_{n+1} = L_{n+1} \cap D_{n+1}$, the group of even-signed even permutations on $\pm 1, \dots, \pm(n+1)$, and let

$$\ell_{(L \cap D)}(\pi) = \ell_D(\pi) - \text{del}_B(\pi)$$

and

$$\text{drmaj}_{(L \cap D)_{n+1}}(\pi) = \text{rmaj}_{L_{n+1}}(\pi) - \#\text{Neg}(\pi) + \sum_{i \in \text{Neg}(\pi^{-1})} i.$$

Proposition 4.2.

$$\sum_{\pi \in (L \cap D)_{n+1}} q^{\text{drmaj}_{(L \cap D)_{n+1}}(\pi)} = \sum_{\pi \in (L \cap D)_{n+1}} q^{\ell_{(L \cap D)}(\pi)}.$$

Proof. From the definitions and from Corollary 3.2 we have for every i

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{\substack{\pi \in L_{n+1} \\ \# \text{Neg}(\pi^{-1})=2i}} q^{\text{drmaj}_{(L \cap D)_{n+1}}(\pi)} &= \sum_{\substack{\pi \in L_{n+1} \\ \# \text{Neg}(\pi^{-1})=2i}} q^{\text{nrmaj}_{L_{n+1}}(\pi) - \# \text{Neg}(\pi)} \\
&= q^{-2i} \sum_{\substack{B \subseteq [n+1] \\ |B|=2i}} \sum_{\substack{\pi \in L_{n+1} \\ \text{Neg}(\pi^{-1})=B}} q^{\text{nrmaj}_{L_{n+1}}(\pi)} \\
&= q^{-2i} \sum_{\substack{B \subseteq [n+1] \\ |B|=2i}} \sum_{\substack{\pi \in L_{n+1} \\ \text{Neg}(\pi^{-1})=B}} q^{\ell_L(\pi)} \\
&= \sum_{\substack{\pi \in L_{n+1} \\ \# \text{Neg}(\pi^{-1})=2i}} q^{\ell_L(\pi) - \# \text{Neg}(\pi)} \\
&= \sum_{\substack{\pi \in L_{n+1} \\ \# \text{Neg}(\pi^{-1})=2i}} q^{\ell_{(L \cap D)}(\pi)}.
\end{aligned}$$

Taking the sum over all i we get the desired equality. \square

Acknowledgements

I would like to thank my advisor, Amitai Regev, for suggesting the topic and for his helpful remarks on preliminary versions of this paper.

References

- [1] R. M. Adin, F. Brenti and Y. Roichman, *Descent Numbers and Major Indices for the Hyperoctahedral Group*, Adv. in Applied Math. **27** (2001), 210–224.
- [2] R. Biagioli, *Major and descent statistics for the even-signed permutation group*, Adv. in Applied Math. **31** (2003), 163–179.
- [3] M. Geck and G. Pfeiffer, *Characters of Finite Coxeter Groups and Iwahori–Hecke Algebras*, Oxford University Press, 2000.
- [4] P. A. MacMahon, *Combinatory Analysis I–II*, Cambridge Univ. Press, London and New York, 1916. (Reprinted by Chelsea, New York, 1960).
- [5] H. Mitsuhashi, *The q -analogue of the alternating group and its representations*, J. Alg. **240** (2001), 535–558.
- [6] A. Regev and Y. Roichman, *Permutation Statistics on the Alternating Group*, preprint, 2003, [arXiv:math.CO/0302301](https://arxiv.org/abs/math/0302301).