

Book Ramsey numbers I

Vladimir Nikiforov* and Cecil Rousseau*

November 11, 2018

Abstract

A book B_p is a graph consisting of p triangles sharing a common edge. In this paper we prove that if $p \leq q/6 - o(q)$ and q is large then the Ramsey number $r(B_p, B_q)$ is given by

$$r(B_p, B_q) = 2q + 3$$

and the constant $1/6$ is essentially best possible.

Our proof is based on Szemerédi's uniformity lemma and a stability result for books.

Keywords: *book, Ramsey number, uniformity lemma*

1 Introduction

Our notation and terminology are standard (see, e.g., [1]). Thus, $G(n, m)$ is a graph of order n and size m ; for a graph G and a vertex $u \in V(G)$ we write $\Gamma_G(u)$ for the set of vertices adjacent to u ; $d_G(u) = |\Gamma(u)|$ is the degree of u ; we write $d(u)$ and $\Gamma(u)$ instead of $d_G(u)$ and $\Gamma_G(u)$ when it is clear which graph G is to be taken.

Unless explicitly stated, all graphs are assumed to be defined on the vertex set $[n] = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$.

A *book* of size q consists of q triangles sharing a common edge. We write $bk(G)$ for the size of the largest book in a graph G and call it the *booksize* of G .

Books have attracted considerable attention in Ramsey graph theory (see, e.g., [8], [5] and [7]).

The study of Ramsey numbers for books was initiated by Rousseau and Sheehan in [8] where the following was proved.

Theorem 1 (Rousseau and Sheehan) *For all $q > 1$,*

$$r(B_1, B_q) = 2q + 3.$$

*Department of Mathematical Sciences, University of Memphis, Memphis TN 38152, USA

Somewhat later Faudree, Sheehan and Rousseau strengthened this in [5] in the following way.

Theorem 2 (Faudree et al.) *Suppose $1 \leq p \leq q$. Then*

$$r(B_p, B_q) = 2q + 3.$$

for all

$$q \geq (p - 1)(16p^3 + 16p^2 - 24p - 10) + 1.$$

The quartic constraint of q on p was in turn reduced to linear by Nikiforov and Rousseau in [7].

Theorem 3 (Nikiforov, Rousseau) *There exists a positive constant c such that*

$$r(B_p, B_q) = 2q + 3$$

for all $q \geq cp$.

In [7] it was found that $c \geq 10^{-6}$. In fact it turns out that

$$c = 1/6 + o(1)$$

and the proof of this inequality is our main goal in this chapter.

Theorem 4 *For every ε ($0 < \varepsilon < 1/6$) if q is large and $p \leq (1/6 - \varepsilon)q$ then*

$$r(B_p, B_q) = 2q + 3.$$

Taking the union of two disjoint complete graphs of order $q + 1$ we immediately see that

$$r(B_p, B_q) \geq 2q + 3,$$

so all we have to prove is that, under the assumptions of the theorem, the inequality

$$r(B_p, B_q) \leq 2q + 3 \tag{1}$$

holds. The proof is obtained essentially as a corollary of theorem that is considered in the following section.

2 A Ramsey type stability result

We shall prove the following.

Theorem 5 *There exists a constant $c > 0$ such that for every ξ with $0 < \xi < c$ and for every graph G of sufficiently large order n , one of the following holds:*

- (i) $bk(\overline{G}) > n/2$;
- (ii) $bk(G) > (1/12 - 10^{-6}\xi^6)n$;
- (iii) G contains an induced bipartite graph G_0 of order at least $(1 - \xi)n$ and

$$\delta(G_0) > \left(\frac{1}{2} - 2\xi\right)n.$$

First we shall state some preliminary results related to Szemerédi's uniformity lemma and a stability theorem for books in graphs.

2.1 Results related to Szemerédi's uniformity lemma

For notation and definitions related to Szemerédi's uniformity lemma see, e.g. [6]. We also need a few technical results; the first one is a basic property of ε -uniform pairs (see [6], Fact 1.4).

The proofs of the stated results are collected in section 5.

Lemma 6 *Suppose $0 < \varepsilon < d \leq 1$ and (A, B) is an ε -uniform pair with $e(A, B) = d|A||B|$. Then there are at most $2\varepsilon|A|^2$ sets $\{u, v\} \subset A$ with*

$$|\Gamma(u) \cap \Gamma(v) \cap B| \leq (d - \varepsilon)^2 |B|.$$

□

The next lemma gives a lower bound on the number of triangles in a graph that consists of several ε -uniform pairs sharing a common part.

Lemma 7 *Let $\varepsilon > 0$ and H be a graph whose vertices are partitioned as*

$$V(H) = A \cup B_1 \cup \dots \cup B_k$$

with

$$|A| = |B_1| = \dots = |B_k| = t.$$

Suppose that for every $i \in [k]$ the pair (A, B_i) is ε -uniform and $e(A, B_i) \geq d_i t^2$. Then there are at least

$$t(e(A) - 2\varepsilon t^2) \sum_{i=1}^k d_i^2 - 2\varepsilon k t e(A)$$

triangles in H having exactly 2 vertices in A .

By averaging over the edges in A we obtain the following corollary.

Corollary 8 *Under the conditions of Lemma 7, if $e(A) > 0$ then there is a book whose base is in A and whose size is at least*

$$t \left(1 - \frac{2\varepsilon t^2}{e(A)} \right) \sum_{i=1}^k d_i^2 - 2\varepsilon k t.$$

Similar results hold for books whose bases belong to different blobs.

Lemma 9 *Suppose ε, d_1, d_2 are real numbers with*

$$0 < 2\varepsilon \leq d_1 \leq 1, \quad 2\varepsilon \leq d_2 \leq 1.$$

Let $(A_1, B), (A_2, B)$ be ε -uniform pairs with

$$e(A_i, B) = d_i |A_i| |B|, \quad (i = 1, 2).$$

Then there are at most $2\varepsilon |A_1| |A_2|$ pairs (u, v) with $u \in A_1, v \in A_2$ such that

$$|\Gamma(u) \cap \Gamma(v) \cap B| \leq (d_1 - \varepsilon)(d_2 - \varepsilon) |B|. \quad (2)$$

Lemma 10 Suppose $\varepsilon > 0$ and H is a graph whose vertices are partitioned as

$$V(H) = A_1 \cup A_2 \cup B_1 \cup \dots \cup B_k$$

with

$$|A_1| = |A_2| = |B_1| = \dots = |B_k| = t.$$

Suppose that for every $i \in [2], j \in [k]$ the pairs (A_i, B_j) are ε -uniform and

$$e(A_i, B_j) \geq d_{ij}t^2,$$

Then there are at least

$$t(e(A_1, A_2) - 2\varepsilon t^2) \left(\sum_{i=1}^k d_{1i}d_{2i} \right) - 2\varepsilon kte(A_1, A_2)$$

triangles in H having exactly one vertex in A_1 and one vertex in A_2 .

By averaging over the edges in $E(A_1, A_2)$ we obtain the following corollary.

Corollary 11 Under the conditions of Lemma 10, if $e(A_1, A_2) > 0$ then there is a book whose base belongs to $E(A_1, A_2)$ and whose size is at least

$$t \left(1 - \frac{2\varepsilon t^2}{e(A_1, A_2)} \right) \left(\sum_{i=1}^k d_{1i}d_{2i} \right) - 2\varepsilon kt.$$

2.2 A stability theorem for books

A key ingredient in our proof of Theorem 5 will be the following result that was proved in [3].

Theorem 12 For every α with $0 < \alpha < 10^{-5}$ and every graph $G = G(n)$ with

$$e(G) \geq \left(\frac{1}{4} - \alpha \right) n^2 \tag{3}$$

either

$$bk(G) > \left(\frac{1}{6} - 2\alpha^{1/3} \right) n$$

or G contains an induced bipartite graph G_1 of order at least $(1 - \alpha^{1/3})n$ and with minimal degree

$$\delta(G_1) \geq \left(\frac{1}{2} - 4\alpha^{1/3} \right) n.$$

□

One can see immediately that this theorem has a close relationship to Theorem 5.

2.3 Proof of Theorem 5

Instead of the graph G and its complement we shall consider a blue-red coloring of K_n so that the blue edges correspond to the edges of G .

Set

$$bk_R = bk(\overline{G}) \quad bk_B = bk(G).$$

If $X \subset [n]$ then $e_R(X)$ and $e_B(X)$ denote respectively the number of the red and blue edges induced by X . Similarly if $X, Y \subset [n]$ are disjoint sets then $e_R(X, Y)$ and $e_B(X, Y)$ denote respectively the number of the red and blue $X - Y$ edges.

Assume for every choice of $\xi > 0$ we have for large enough n ,

$$bk_R \leq \frac{n}{2}, \quad bk_B \leq \left(\frac{1}{12} - 10^{-6}\xi^6 \right) n. \quad (4)$$

Our goal is to show that these conditions imply (iii). To achieve this we shall apply Theorem 12, but to do so we have to ensure that the number of blue edges is sufficiently close to $n^2/4$, so that assumption (3) holds. The bulk of our proof is dedicated to this purpose. We shall use Szemerédi's uniformity lemma to derive a number of conditions on our edge coloring implying eventually that the number of blue edges is sufficiently close to $n^2/4$ and then by Theorem 12 we shall complete the proof.

Fix a small enough value $\xi > 0$ and set

$$\beta = \frac{1}{17} \left(\frac{\xi}{2} \right)^3, \quad \gamma = \beta^3, \quad \varepsilon = \frac{\gamma^2}{2}.$$

In the course of our proof we shall frequently use the fact that

$$\varepsilon \ll \gamma \ll \beta \ll \xi,$$

so, selecting ξ sufficiently small, we can make ε , γ and β as small as we like.

According to the uniformity lemma of Szemerédi for n sufficiently large there exists a partition

$$[n] = V_0 \cup V_1 \cup \dots \cup V_k,$$

so that

$$|V_0| < \varepsilon n, \quad |V_1| = \dots = |V_k|$$

and all but εk^2 pairs (V_i, V_j) are ε -uniform. As usual, we shall call the sets V_1, \dots, V_k *blobs*.

In addition we may and shall suppose that k is sufficiently large and for every $i \in [k]$, less than εk pairs (V_i, V_j) are not ε -uniform.

Set $|V_1| = t$; in view of $17^{-3}2^{-6} > 10^{-6}$, the assumption (4) implies

$$bk_R \leq \frac{1}{2}(1 + \varepsilon)kt, \quad bk_B \leq \left(\frac{1}{12} - \gamma \right)(1 + \varepsilon)kt. \quad (5)$$

For every $i, j \in [k]$, $(i \neq j)$, let d_{ij} be the red density of the pair (V_i, V_j) , i.e.,

$$d_{ij} = e_R(V_i, V_j) / t^2.$$

We shall prove four claims showing that (5) imposes rigid structural restrictions on our edge coloring. The proofs of these claims are straightforward but technical, so to keep the main line clear we have collected them in section 5.

First we shall prove that no blob contains significantly many edges of both colors.

Claim 13 *For every i , either $e_R(V_i) < \gamma t^2$ or $e_B(V_i) < \gamma t^2$.*

We call a blob *blue* if it induces at most γt^2 red edges, and *red* if it induces at most γt^2 blue edges. Observe that by Claim 13 every blob is either red or blue but, of course, none is both red and blue.

Next we shall prove that there are no three blobs V_i, V_j, V_l all having significantly many red edges and each two joined by significantly many blue edges.

Claim 14 *There are no three blobs V_i, V_j, V_l such that*

$$e_R(V_i) \geq \gamma t^2, e_R(V_j) \geq \gamma t^2, e_R(V_l) \geq \gamma t^2$$

and

$$e_B(V_i, V_j) \geq \gamma t^2, e_B(V_i, V_l) \geq \gamma t^2, e_B(V_l, V_j) \geq \gamma t^2.$$

The next claim shows that there are no two blobs containing significantly many blue edges joined by significantly many red edges.

Claim 15 *There are no two blobs V_i, V_j such that*

$$e_B(V_i) \geq \gamma t^2, e_B(V_j) \geq \gamma t^2$$

and

$$e_R(V_i, V_j) \geq \gamma t^2.$$

The next claim shows that all blobs are red.

Claim 16 *There are no blue blobs*

To finish the proof we shall show that the number of blue edges is arbitrarily close to $n^2/4$.

Recall that d_{ij} is the red density of the pair (V_i, V_j) . Define the graphs H_{irr} , H_{blue} , H_{mid} and H_{red} on the vertex set $[k]$ as follows:

- (a) $(i, j) \in E(H_{irr})$ iff the pair (V_i, V_j) is not ε -uniform;
- (b) $(i, j) \in E(H_{blue})$ iff the pair (V_i, V_j) is ε -uniform and

$$d_{ij} < \beta;$$

- (c) $(i, j) \in E(H_{mid})$ iff the pair (V_i, V_j) is ε -uniform and

$$\beta \leq d_{ij} < 1 - \gamma;$$

(d) $(i, j) \in E(H_{red})$ iff the pair (V_i, V_j) is ε -uniform and

$$d_{ij} \geq 1 - \gamma;$$

Observe that the graphs H_{irr} , H_{blue} , H_{mid} and H_{red} are pairwise edge disjoint.

Let i be any vertex in H_{red} . Estimating the average size of the red books whose base is in $E(V_i)$ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} (d_{H_{red}}(i) - \varepsilon k)(1 - \varepsilon - \gamma)^2 t + (d_{H_{mid}}(i) - \varepsilon k)(\beta - \varepsilon)^2 &\leq b k_R \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2}(1 + \varepsilon) k t \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

and hence

$$\left(\frac{2e(H_{red})}{k^2} - \varepsilon \right) (1 - \varepsilon - \gamma)^2 + \left(\frac{2e(H_{mid})}{k^2} - \varepsilon \right) (\beta - \varepsilon)^2 \leq \frac{1}{2} + \gamma. \quad (7)$$

Since by Claim 14 the complement of H_{red} is triangle-free, by Turán's theorem we have

$$e(H_{red}) \geq \binom{k}{2} - \frac{k^2}{4} > \left(\frac{1}{4} - \varepsilon \right) k^2$$

for k sufficiently large. Hence, we see that

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\frac{2e(H_{red})}{k^2} - \varepsilon \right) (1 - \varepsilon - \gamma)^2 &\geq \left(\frac{1}{2} - 3\varepsilon \right) (1 - \varepsilon - \gamma)^2 \\ &> \left(\frac{1}{2} - 3\gamma \right) (1 - 4\gamma) > \frac{1}{2} - 5\gamma. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, from (7) we find that

$$\left(\frac{2e(H_{mid})}{k^2} - \varepsilon \right) \frac{\beta^2}{4} \leq \left(\frac{2e(H_{mid})}{k^2} - \varepsilon \right) (\beta - \varepsilon)^2 \leq 6\gamma = 6\beta^3,$$

and thus,

$$e(H_{mid}) < \left(12\beta + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \right) k^2 < 13\beta k^2.$$

On the other hand, from (6), we immediately have

$$(d_{H_{red}}(i) - \varepsilon k)(1 - \varepsilon - \gamma)^2 \leq \frac{1}{2}(1 + \varepsilon)k,$$

and therefore,

$$e(H_{red}) \leq \frac{1}{(1 - \varepsilon - \gamma)^2} \frac{1}{4} (1 + \varepsilon) k^2 < \frac{1}{1 - 4\gamma} \left(\frac{1}{4} + \frac{\gamma^2}{2} \right) k^2 < \left(\frac{1}{4} + 2\gamma \right) k^2.$$

Thus, we have

$$\begin{aligned} e(H_{blue}) &= \binom{k}{2} - e(H_{red}) - e(H_{mid}) - e(H_{irr}) \\ &\geq \binom{k}{2} - \left(\frac{1}{4} + 2\gamma \right) k^2 - 13\beta k^2 - \varepsilon k^2 > \left(\frac{1}{4} - 16\beta \right) k^2. \end{aligned}$$

Hence for the size of the graph G we see that

$$e(G) > e(H_{blue}) \left(\frac{1}{4} - 16\beta \right) k^2 (1 - \beta) t^2 > \left(\frac{1}{4} - 17\beta \right) n^2.$$

Since we have

$$bk(G) \leq \left(\frac{1}{12} - \gamma \right) n \leq \left(\frac{1}{6} - 2(17\beta)^{1/3} \right) n,$$

by Theorem 12, if ξ is sufficiently small then G contains an induced graph G_0 with

$$|G_0| \geq (1 - \xi) n,$$

and with

$$\delta(G_0) \geq (1 - 2\xi) n.$$

The proof is completed. \square

3 Proof of Theorem 4

Suppose there is some ε ($0 < \varepsilon < 1/6$) such that for arbitrarily large q there is some $p \leq (1/6 - \varepsilon)q$ such that

$$r(B_p, B_q) > 2q + 3,$$

i.e. there is a graph G of order $n = 2q + 3$ such that

$$bk(G) \leq \left(\frac{1}{12} - \varepsilon \right) n, \tag{8}$$

$$bk(\overline{G}) \leq \frac{n}{2} - 2. \tag{9}$$

From Theorem 5 we see that for every $\xi > 0$ if q is large enough then G must contain an induced bipartite graph G_0 with

$$v(G_0) \geq (1 - \xi) n$$

and

$$\delta(G_0) \geq \left(\frac{1}{2} - 2\xi \right) n.$$

Let $U = V(G_0)$ and U_1 and U_2 be the two parts of G_0 , i.e. $U = U_1 \cup U_2$. Set

$$\begin{aligned} V_0 &= V(G) \setminus V(G_0); \\ V_1 &= \{u : u \in V_0, \Gamma(u) \cap U_1 \neq \emptyset, \Gamma(u) \cap U_2 = \emptyset\} \\ V_2 &= \{u : u \in V_0, \Gamma(u) \cap U_1 = \emptyset, \Gamma(u) \cap U_2 \neq \emptyset\} \\ V_3 &= \{u : u \in V_0, \Gamma(u) \cap U_1 \neq \emptyset, \Gamma(u) \cap U_2 \neq \emptyset\} \end{aligned}$$

We see immediately that

$$\begin{aligned} V_0 &= V_1 \cup V_2 \cup V_3 \\ V_1 \cap V_2 &= \emptyset, \\ e(M_1, V_2) &= e(M_2, V_1) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Our goal is to prove that V_3 is empty.

For every vertex $v \in G_0$ set $P(v) = V_3 \setminus \Gamma_G(v)$ and consider a pair of distinct vertices $u, v \in U_2$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} bs(\overline{G}) &\geq |U_2| - 2 + |V_1| + |P(u) \cap P(v)| \\ &\geq |U_2| - 2 + |V_1| + |P(u)| + |P(v)| - |V_3|. \end{aligned}$$

Summing over all pairs $u, v \in U_2$ and taking the average, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} bs(\overline{G}) &\geq |U_2| - 2 + |V_1| + \frac{2(|V_3||U_2| - e(U_2, V_3))}{|U_2|} - |V_3| \\ &= |U_2| - 2 + |V_1| + |V_3| - \frac{2e(U_2, V_3)}{|U_2|}. \end{aligned} \tag{10}$$

As U_1 is an independent set we have

$$|U_1| \leq bs(\overline{G}) + 2 \leq \frac{n}{2}.$$

Thus we obtain,

$$|U_2| \geq |G_0| - \frac{n}{2} > \left(\frac{1}{2} - \xi\right)n.$$

and hence, estimating $|U_2|$ in the denominator in (10),

$$bs(\overline{G}) > |U_2| - 2 + |V_1| + |V_3| - \frac{4e(U_2, V_3)}{(1 - 2\xi)n}.$$

By symmetry, we find that

$$bs(\overline{G}) > |U_1| - 2 + |V_2| + |V_3| - \frac{4e(U_1, V_3)}{(1 - 2\xi)n},$$

and thus, in view of $|U| = |U_1| + |U_2|$ and $|V_1| + |V_2| + |V_3| + |U| = n$, yields

$$2bs(\overline{G}) > n - 4 + |V_3| - \frac{4e(U, V_3)}{(1 - 2\xi)n}.$$

By (9) we immediately obtain

$$e(U, V_3) > \frac{(1 - 2\xi)|V_3|n}{4}. \tag{11}$$

On the other hand, every $v \in V_3$ has a neighbor $u \in U_1$, thus, in view of

$$|\Gamma(u) \cap U_2| \geq \delta(G_0) \geq \left(\frac{1}{2} - 2\xi\right)n,$$

we find that

$$\begin{aligned} bs(G) &\geq |\Gamma(v) \cap \Gamma(u) \cap U_2| = |\Gamma(v) \cap U_2| + |\Gamma(u) \cap U_2| - |U_2| \\ &\geq |\Gamma(v) \cap U_2| + \left(\frac{1}{2} - 2\xi\right)n - |U_2|. \end{aligned}$$

Taking the average over all $v \in V_3$ we obtain

$$bs(G) \geq \frac{e(V_3, U_2)}{|V_3|} + \left(\frac{1}{2} - 2\xi\right)n - |U_2|,$$

and by symmetry,

$$bs(G) \geq \frac{e(V_3, U_1)}{|V_3|} + \left(\frac{1}{2} - 2\xi\right)n - |U_1|.$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} 2bs(G) &\geq \frac{e(V_3, U)}{|V_3|} + (1 - 4\xi)n - |U| \geq \frac{e(V_3, U)}{|V_3|} + (1 - 4\xi)n - |U| \\ &\geq \frac{e(V_3, U)}{|V_3|} - 4\xi n \end{aligned}$$

and, in view of (8) we find that,

$$\left(\frac{1}{6} + 4\xi\right)n|V_3| \geq e(V_3, M).$$

Combining with (11) we obtain

$$\frac{1}{6} + 4\xi > \frac{1 - 2\xi}{4},$$

and this clearly is a contradiction for small ξ . Therefore, $V_3 = \emptyset$.

From $|V_3| = 0$ we conclude that

$$|U_1| + |U_2| + |V_1| + |V_2| = n.$$

To finish the proof it suffices to note that

$$\begin{aligned} bs(\overline{G}) &\geq |U_1| + |V_2| - 2, \\ bs(\overline{G}) &\geq |U_2| + |V_1| - 2, \end{aligned}$$

and therefore, $bs(\overline{G}) \geq n/2 - 2$. □

4 Tighthness of Theorem 4

We shall show that Theorem 4 is essentially tight. In particular, we shall show that if ϵ is fixed and appropriately small, then for all sufficiently large n there exists a (partially random) red-blue coloring of the edges of K_n for which

$$bk_R < \left(\frac{1}{2} - 5\epsilon\right)n \quad \text{and} \quad bk_B < \left(\frac{1}{12} + 3\epsilon\right)n.$$

For convenience, assume that n is divisible by 3. Partition $[n]$ into three sets A_1, A_2, A_3 , each with $n/3$ vertices, and color the graphs induced by A_1, A_2, A_3 in red. Then edges of the form uv where $u \in A_i$ and $v \in A_j$ ($i \neq j$) are independently colored red with probability $p = \frac{1}{2} - \delta$ and blue with probability $q = \frac{1}{2} + \delta$. For $u, v \in A_i$, the size of the red book on uv is a random variable with expected value

$$\frac{n}{3} - 2 + \frac{2n}{3}p^2 < \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{2(\delta - \delta^2)}{3}\right)n.$$

Specifically, the book size is $n/3 - 2 + X$ where X is a Bernoulli random variable $B(2n/3, p^2)$. Now suppose $u \in A_i$ and $v \in A_j$ where $i \neq j$. If uv is a blue edge, the size of the blue book on uv is a random variable with expected value

$$\frac{n}{3}q^2 = \frac{n}{3}\left(\frac{1}{2} + \delta\right)^2 = \left(\frac{1}{12} + \frac{\delta + \delta^2}{3}\right)n.$$

The book size is the Bernoulli random variable $B(n/3, q^2)$. If uv is a red edge, the size of the red book on uv is a random variable with expected value

$$\frac{n}{3}p^2 + \left(\frac{2n}{3} - 2\right)p < \left(\frac{5}{12} - \delta + \frac{\delta^2}{3}\right)n.$$

Set $\delta = 8.25\epsilon$. Then

$$k_1 = \frac{2(\delta - \delta^2)}{3} - 5\epsilon \quad \text{and} \quad k_2 = 3\epsilon - \frac{(\delta + \delta^2)}{3}$$

are fixed positive numbers. We use the following version of the Chernoff bound [?, p. 12]: if X is $B(n, p)$ then

$$P(|X - np| \geq kn) \leq 2\exp(-2k^2n).$$

It follows that the probability that there is a red book with at least $(\frac{1}{2} - 5\epsilon)n$ pages or a blue book with at least $(\frac{1}{12} + 3\epsilon)n$ pages tends to 0 as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Thus for large enough n the desired two-coloring of the edges of K_n exists.

This result is easily translated into Ramsey number terms, where it yields the following fact.

Proposition 17 *Let δ be an appropriately small positive number. Then if q is sufficiently large and $p \geq (\frac{1}{6} + \delta)q$ then*

$$r(B_p, B_q) > 2(1 + \delta)q.$$

In particular, the relation

$$r(B_p, B_q) = 2q + 3$$

no longer holds for $p > (\frac{1}{6} + \delta)q$.

5 Proofs

5.1 Proof of Lemma 7

We shall prove first that for every $i \in [k]$ there are at least

$$d_i^2 (e(A) - 2\epsilon t^2) t - 2\epsilon e(A) t \quad (12)$$

triangles in H having 2 vertices in A and one vertex in B_i .

This is certainly true if $d_i \leq \epsilon$ as then the above quantity is nonpositive.

Assume $d_i > \epsilon$; applying Lemma 6 to the pair (A, B_i) we see that there are at most $2\epsilon t^2$ edges (u, v) in A with

$$|\Gamma(u) \cap \Gamma(v) \cap B_i| \leq (d_i - \epsilon)^2 t.$$

Hence, there are at least $(e(A) - 2\epsilon t^2)$ edges in A with

$$|\Gamma(u) \cap \Gamma(v) \cap B_i| > (d_i - \epsilon)^2 t$$

and therefore, there are at least

$$\begin{aligned} (d_i - \epsilon)^2 (e(A) - 2\epsilon t^2) t &\geq (d_i^2 - 2\epsilon) (e(A) - 2\epsilon t^2) t \\ &> d_i^2 (e(A) - 2\epsilon t^2) t - 2\epsilon e(A) t \end{aligned}$$

triangles in H having 2 vertices in A and one vertex in B_i .

Now, summing this inequality for $i = 1, \dots, k$ we obtain the desired result. \square

5.2 Proof of Lemma 9

Our proof is a straightforward exercise on ϵ -uniform pairs. Let A'_1 be the set of all $u \in A_1$ such that

$$|\Gamma(u) \cap B| \leq (d_1 - \epsilon) |B|.$$

By the ϵ -uniformity of (A_1, B) we have

$$|A'_1| \leq \epsilon |A_1|$$

Take any $u_0 \in A_1 \setminus A'_1$ and let A'_2 be the set of all $v \in A_2$ such that

$$|\Gamma(v) \cap (\Gamma(u) \cap B)| \leq (d_2 - \varepsilon) |\Gamma(u) \cap B|.$$

By the ε -uniformity of (A_2, B) , and from

$$|\Gamma(u) \cap B| > (d_1 - \varepsilon) |B| > \varepsilon |B|,$$

we obtain

$$|A'_2| \leq \varepsilon |A_2|.$$

Thus, there are at least $(1 - \varepsilon) |A_2|$ vertices $v \in A_2$ such that

$$|\Gamma(v) \cap \Gamma(u) \cap B| > (d_2 - \varepsilon) |(\Gamma(u) \cap B)| > (d_2 - \varepsilon) (d_1 - \varepsilon) |B|.$$

Hence, there are at most

$$\left(1 - (1 - \varepsilon)^2\right) |A_1| |A_2| < 2\varepsilon |A_1| |A_2|$$

pairs (u, v) with $u \in A_1$, $v \in A_2$ such that (2) holds. \square

5.3 Proof of Lemma 10

First we shall prove that for every $i \in [k]$ there are at least

$$d_{1i} d_{2i} (e(A_1, A_2) - 2\varepsilon t^2) t - 2\varepsilon e(A_1, A_2) t \quad (13)$$

triangles in H having one vertex in A_1 , one vertex in A_2 and one vertex in B_i . This is certainly true if $d_{1i} \leq \varepsilon$ or $d_{2i} \leq \varepsilon$ as then the above quantity is nonpositive.

Assume $d_{1i} > \varepsilon$ and $d_{2i} > \varepsilon$; apply Lemma 9 to the pairs (A_1, B_i) and (A_2, B_i) . Since there are at most $2\varepsilon t^2$ pairs (u, v) with $u \in A_1$, $v \in A_2$ with

$$|\Gamma(u) \cap \Gamma(v) \cap B_i| \leq (d_{1i} - \varepsilon) (d_{2i} - \varepsilon) t,$$

there are at least $(e(A_1, A_2) - 2\varepsilon t^2)$ edges $(u, v) \in E(A_1, A_2)$ with

$$|\Gamma(u) \cap \Gamma(v) \cap B_i| > (d_{1i} - \varepsilon) (d_{2i} - \varepsilon) t.$$

Therefore, there are at least

$$\begin{aligned} (d_{1i} - \varepsilon) (d_{2i} - \varepsilon) (e(A_1, A_2) - 2\varepsilon t^2) t &\geq (d_{1i} d_{2i} - 2\varepsilon) (e(A_1, A_2) - 2\varepsilon t^2) t \\ &\geq d_{1i} d_{2i} (e(A_1, A_2) - 2\varepsilon t^2) t \\ &\quad - 2\varepsilon e(A_1, A_2) t \end{aligned}$$

triangles in H having one vertex in A_1 , one vertex in A_2 and one vertex in B_i .

Now, summing this inequality for all $i \in [k]$ we obtain the desired result. \square

5.4 Proof of Claim 13

Assume the opposite and let V_i be a blob with

$$e_R(V_i) \geq \gamma t^2, \quad e_B(V_i) \geq \gamma t^2$$

Let us compute the average size of the blue books whose base is $E(V_i)$. Let $M \subset [k]$ be the set of all $s \in [k] \setminus \{i\}$ such that (V_i, V_s) is an ε -uniform pair; by our assumption $|M| \geq (1 - \varepsilon)k$. Applying Corollary 8 with

$$A = V_i, \quad B_s = V_s : s \in M$$

we obtain

$$bk_B \geq t(1 - \gamma) \sum_{s \in M} (1 - d_{is})^2 - 2\varepsilon kt,$$

and by Cauchy's inequality, in view of $|M| \leq k$ and (5), we see that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{k}(1 - \gamma) \left(\sum_{s \in M} (1 - d_{is}) \right)^2 &\leq \left(\frac{1}{12} - \gamma + 2\varepsilon \right) (1 + \varepsilon) k \\ &\leq \left(\frac{1}{12} - \gamma + \gamma^2 \right) (1 + \gamma^2/2) k \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$\sum_{s \in M} (1 - d_{is}) \leq \sqrt{\left(\frac{1}{12} - \gamma + \gamma^2 \right) \frac{(1 + \gamma^2/2)}{(1 - \gamma)}} k \leq \sqrt{\left(\frac{1}{12} - \frac{\gamma}{2} \right)} k. \quad (14)$$

Similarly, estimating the average size of the red books whose base is in V_i , we see that

$$\frac{1}{k} \left(\sum_{s \in M} d_{is} \right)^2 \leq \left(\frac{1}{2} + 2\varepsilon \right) \frac{1 + \varepsilon}{1 - \gamma} k = \left(\frac{1}{2} + \gamma^2 \right) \frac{(1 + \gamma^2/2)}{(1 - \gamma)} k \leq \left(\frac{1}{2} + \gamma \right) k$$

and hence,

$$\sum_{s \in M} d_{is} \leq k \sqrt{\frac{1}{2} + \gamma} \quad (15)$$

Adding (14) and (15) we find that

$$\begin{aligned} \sqrt{\left(\frac{1}{2} + \gamma \right)} + \sqrt{\left(\frac{1}{12} - \frac{\gamma}{2} \right)} &\geq \frac{|M|}{k} \\ &\geq 1 - \varepsilon > 1 - \gamma. \end{aligned}$$

This leads to a contradiction if γ is small, as

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} + \sqrt{\frac{1}{12}} = \frac{3\sqrt{2} + \sqrt{3}}{6} = 0.995... < 1.$$

□

5.5 Proof of Claim 14

Assume three blobs satisfying the conditions of the claim exist. Let M be the set of all $s \in [k] \setminus \{i, j, l\}$ such that every one of the pairs (V_i, V_s) , (V_j, V_s) , (V_l, V_s) is ε -uniform; clearly $|M| \geq (1 - 3\varepsilon)k$.

As in the proof of the Claim 13, estimating the average size of the red books with base in one of the sets $E(V_i)$, $E(V_j)$, $E(V_l)$ gives

$$bk_R \geq t(1 - \gamma) \sum_{s \in M} d_{is}^2 - 2kt\varepsilon, \quad (16)$$

$$bk_R \geq t(1 - \gamma) \sum_{s \in M} d_{js}^2 - 2kt\varepsilon, \quad (17)$$

$$bk_R \geq t(1 - \gamma) \sum_{s \in M} d_{ls}^2 - 2kt\varepsilon. \quad (18)$$

On the other hand, applying Corollary 11 with

$$A_1 = V_i, \quad A_2 = V_j, \quad B_s = V_s : s \in M$$

we obtain for the average size S of the blue books with base in $E(V_i, V_j)$

$$\begin{aligned} bk_B \geq S &\geq t \left(1 - \frac{2\varepsilon t^2}{e_B(A_1, A_2)} \right) \sum_{s \in M} (1 - d_{is})(1 - d_{js}) - 2\varepsilon kt \\ &\geq t(1 - \gamma) \sum_{s \in M} (1 - d_{is})(1 - d_{js}) - 2\varepsilon kt \end{aligned} \quad (19)$$

Considering in turn (V_i, V_k) and (V_j, V_k) we obtain exactly in the same way

$$bk_B \geq t(1 - \gamma) \sum_{s \in M} (1 - d_{is})(1 - d_{ls}) - 2\varepsilon kt \quad (20)$$

$$bk_B \geq t(1 - \gamma) \sum_{s \in M} (1 - d_{js})(1 - d_{ls}) - 2\varepsilon kt. \quad (21)$$

Setting

$$d_s = \sum_{s \in M} d_{is} + d_{js} + d_{ls}$$

and adding (19), (20), (21) together with each of (16), (17), (18) multiplied by $1/2$, we obtain

$$t(1 - \gamma) \sum_{s \in M} \left(3 - 2d_s + \frac{1}{2}d_s^2 \right) - 9\varepsilon kt \leq 3bk_B + \frac{3}{2}bk_R.$$

Hence, setting

$$d = \frac{1}{|M|} \sum_{s \in M} d_s,$$

by Cauchy's inequality and (5), we see that

$$|M| t (1 - \gamma) \left(3 - 2d + \frac{1}{2} d^2 \right) - 9\epsilon kt \leq \left(3 \left(\frac{1}{12} - \gamma \right) + \frac{3}{4} \right) (1 + \epsilon) kt.$$

Therefore,

$$(1 - \gamma) (1 - 3\epsilon) \left(3 - 2d + \frac{1}{2} d^2 \right) - 9\epsilon \leq (1 - 3\gamma) (1 + \epsilon).$$

Hence, by $\epsilon = \gamma^2/2$,

$$\begin{aligned} 2 - 2d + \frac{1}{2} d^2 &< \frac{(1 - 3\gamma) (1 + \gamma^2/2)}{(1 - \gamma) (1 - 3\gamma^2/2)} + 8\gamma^2 - 1 \\ &= \left(1 - \frac{3\gamma}{(1 - \gamma)} \right) \left(1 + \frac{2\gamma^2}{1 - 3\gamma^2/2} \right) + 8\gamma^2 - 1 \\ &< -\frac{3\gamma}{(1 - \gamma)} + 10\gamma^2. \end{aligned}$$

This is a contradiction since the right-hand side is negative for $\gamma < 1$ while the left-hand side is always nonnegative. \square

5.6 Proof of Claim 15

Assume two blobs satisfying the conditions of the claim exist. Let M be the set of all $s \in [k] \setminus \{i, j\}$ such that every one of the pairs $(V_i, V_s), (V_j, V_s)$ is ϵ -uniform; clearly $|M| \geq (1 - 2\epsilon)k$.

As in the proof of the Claim 13 estimating the average size of the blue books with base in one of the sets $E(V_i)$ or $E(V_j)$ we obtain

$$bk_B \geq t(1 - \gamma) \sum_{s \in M} (1 - d_{is})^2 - 2\epsilon kt, \quad (22)$$

$$bk_B \geq t(1 - \gamma) \sum_{s \in M} (1 - d_{js})^2 - 2\epsilon kt. \quad (23)$$

As in the proof of the Claim 14 by estimating the average size of the red books having a base in $E(V_i, V_j)$ we obtain

$$bk_R \geq t(1 - \gamma) \sum_{s \in M} d_{is} d_{js} - 2\epsilon kt. \quad (24)$$

Setting

$$d_s = \sum_{s \in M} d_{is} + d_{js},$$

and adding (22), (23), and doubled (24) we obtain

$$t(1 - \gamma) \sum_{s \in M} (2 - 2d_s + d_s^2) - 8\epsilon kt \leq 2bk_B + 2bk_R.$$

Hence, by (5) we see that

$$\begin{aligned} (1 - \gamma) \sum_{s \in M} (2 - 2d_s + d_s^2) &\leq \left(2 \left(\frac{1}{12} - \gamma \right) + 1 \right) (1 + \varepsilon) k + 8\varepsilon k \\ &= \left(\frac{7}{6} - 2\gamma + \frac{7}{6}\varepsilon - 2\gamma\varepsilon + 8\varepsilon \right) k < \frac{7}{6}k. \end{aligned}$$

Setting

$$d = \frac{1}{|M|} \sum_{s \in M} d_s,$$

by Cauchy's inequality and $|M| \geq (1 - 2\varepsilon)k$,

$$(1 - 2\varepsilon)k (2 - 2d + d^2) \leq \frac{7}{6} \frac{1}{1 - \gamma} k.$$

and hence

$$2 - 2d + d^2 \leq \frac{7}{6} \frac{1}{(1 - 2\varepsilon)(1 - \gamma)}. \quad (25)$$

On the other hand, applying Cauchy's inequality to (22) and (23), in view of (5), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |M| \left(1 - \frac{1}{|M|} \sum_{s \in M} d_{is} \right)^2 - 2\varepsilon k &\leq \left(\frac{1}{12} - \gamma \right) (1 + \varepsilon) k, \\ |M| \left(1 - \frac{1}{|M|} \sum_{s \in M} d_{js} \right)^2 - 2\varepsilon k &\leq \left(\frac{1}{12} - \gamma \right) (1 + \varepsilon) k. \end{aligned}$$

Hence again by Cauchy's inequality, and $|M| \geq (1 - 2\varepsilon)k$, we see that

$$(1 - 2\varepsilon) \left(1 - \frac{1}{2}d \right)^2 \leq \left(\frac{1}{12} - \gamma \right) (1 + \varepsilon) + 4\varepsilon,$$

yielding

$$(2 - d)^2 \leq \left(\frac{1}{3} - \gamma \right) \frac{1 + \gamma^2/2}{1 - \gamma^2} + \frac{2\gamma^2}{1 - \gamma^2}. \quad (26)$$

Since we can select γ arbitrarily small, from (25) and (26) we obtain

$$2 - \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \leq d \leq 1 + \sqrt{\frac{1}{6}}$$

giving

$$1 \leq \frac{2\sqrt{3} + \sqrt{6}}{6},$$

a contradiction, since

$$\frac{2\sqrt{3} + \sqrt{6}}{6} = 0.98... < 1.$$

□

5.7 Proof of Claim 16

The proof of this claim is by far the most complicated one.

Assume V_1, \dots, V_l are the blue blobs and set $l = \alpha k$.

Fix a blue blob V_i and estimate the average size of the blue books whose base is $E(V_i)$. Let M_i be the set of all $s \in [k] \setminus \{i\}$ such that (V_i, V_s) is an ε -uniform pair and let

$$\begin{aligned} M_{i1} &= M_i \cap [l], \\ M_{i2} &= M_i \cap [l+1, k]. \end{aligned}$$

From $|M_i| \geq (1 - \varepsilon)k$ we immediately obtain

$$\begin{aligned} l &\geq |M_{i1}| \geq l - \varepsilon k, \\ k - l &\geq |M_{i2}| \leq k - l - \varepsilon k. \end{aligned} \tag{27}$$

By Claim 15 for every $s \in M_{i1}$ the red density of the pair (V_i, V_s) satisfies

$$1 - d_{is} \geq 1 - \gamma.$$

Therefore, the average size S of the blue books whose base is in V_i satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} S &\geq \left((1 - \varepsilon - \gamma)^2 |M_{i1}| + \sum_{s \in M_{i2}} (1 - d_{is})^2 - 2\varepsilon k \right) t \\ &\geq \left((1 - 4\gamma) |M_{i1}| + \sum_{s \in M_{i2}} (1 - d_{is})^2 - 2\varepsilon k \right) t. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, by $bk_B \geq S$, in view of (5), we see that

$$(1 - 4\gamma) |M_{i1}| + \sum_{s \in M_{i2}} (1 - d_{is})^2 \leq \left(\frac{1}{12} - \gamma + 2\varepsilon \right) (1 + \varepsilon) k.$$

Then, by Cauchy's inequality and (27), we find that

$$(1 - 4\gamma) (l - \varepsilon k) + \frac{1}{k - l} \left(\sum_{s \in M_{i2}} 1 - d_{is} \right)^2 \leq \left(\frac{1}{12} - \gamma + 2\varepsilon \right) (1 + \varepsilon) k,$$

and hence

$$\sum_{s \in M_{i2}} 1 - d_{is} \leq k \sqrt{\left(\left(\frac{1}{12} - \gamma + 2\varepsilon \right) (1 + \varepsilon) - (1 - 4\gamma) (\alpha - \varepsilon) \right) (1 - \alpha)}$$

Summing this inequality for $i = 1, \dots, l$ and setting

$$A = \alpha \sqrt{\left(\left(\frac{1}{12} - \gamma + 2\varepsilon \right) (1 + \varepsilon) - (1 - 4\gamma) (\alpha - \varepsilon) \right) (1 - \alpha)}$$

we obtain

$$\sum_{i \in [l]} \sum_{s \in M_{i2}} (1 - d_{is}) \leq Ak^2. \quad (28)$$

Next we shall obtain a similar inequality by considering the average size of the red books whose bases are contained in a red blob.

Let us define the graph H as follows. The vertices of H are the numbers $[l+1, k]$ and two vertices i, j are joined iff the red density d_{ij} of the pair (V_i, V_j) satisfies

$$d_{ij} \geq 1 - \gamma.$$

By Claim 14 the complement of H is triangle-free, hence, by Turán's theorem, the size of H satisfies

$$e(H) \geq \left(\frac{1}{4} - \varepsilon\right) (k-l)^2 \quad (29)$$

if k is sufficiently large. Fix some $i \in [l+1, k]$. Let M_i be the set of all $s \in [k] \setminus \{i\}$ such that (V_i, V_s) is an ε -uniform pair and let

$$\begin{aligned} M_{i1} &= M_i \cap \Gamma_H(i), \\ M_{i2} &= M_i \cap [l]. \end{aligned}$$

From $|M_i| \geq (1 - \varepsilon)k$ we immediately obtain

$$\begin{aligned} d_H(i) &\geq |M_{i1}| \geq d_H(i) - \varepsilon k, \\ l &\geq |M_{i2}| \geq l - \varepsilon k. \end{aligned} \quad (30)$$

Therefore, the average size S of the red books whose base is in $E(V_i)$ satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} S &\geq \left((1 - \varepsilon - \gamma)^2 |M_{i1}| + \sum_{s \in M_{i2}} d_{is}^2 - 2\varepsilon k \right) t \\ &\geq \left((1 - 4\gamma) |M_{i1}| + \sum_{s \in M_{i2}} d_{is}^2 - 2\varepsilon k \right) t. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, by $bk_R \geq S$, in view of (5) and (30), we see that

$$(1 - 4\gamma)(d_H(i) - \varepsilon k) + \sum_{s \in M_{i2}} d_{is}^2 \leq \frac{1}{2}(1 + 5\varepsilon)k.$$

Thus, by Cauchy's inequality and (30), we find that

$$(1 - 4\gamma)(d_H(i) - \varepsilon k) + \frac{1}{l} \left(\sum_{s \in M_{i2}} d_{is} \right)^2 \leq \frac{1}{2}(1 + 5\varepsilon)k.$$

Summing this inequality for $i = l+1, \dots, k$ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{l} \sum_{i=l+1}^k \left(\sum_{s \in M_{i2}} d_{is} \right)^2 &\leq \frac{1}{2}(1 + 5\varepsilon)k(k-l) \\ &\quad - (1 - 4\gamma)(2e(H) - \varepsilon k(k-l)). \end{aligned}$$

Hence by (29) we see that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{l} \sum_{i=l+1}^k \left(\sum_{s \in M_{i2}} d_{is} \right)^2 &\leq \frac{1}{2} (1 + 5\varepsilon) k (k - l) \\ &\quad - (1 - 4\gamma) \left(\left(\frac{1}{2} - 2\varepsilon \right) (k - l) - \varepsilon k \right) (k - l). \end{aligned}$$

Applying Cauchy's inequality and replacing l by αk we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\sum_{i=l+1}^k \sum_{s \in M_{i2}} d_{is} \right)^2 &\leq \frac{1}{2} (1 + 5\varepsilon) \alpha (1 - \alpha)^2 k^4 \\ &\quad - \left((1 - 4\gamma) \left(\left(\frac{1}{2} - 2\varepsilon \right) (1 - \alpha) - \varepsilon \right) \right) \alpha (1 - \alpha)^2 k^4. \end{aligned}$$

Setting for brevity

$$B = (1 - \alpha) \sqrt{\left(\frac{1}{2} (1 + 5\varepsilon) - \left((1 - 4\gamma) \left(\left(\frac{1}{2} - 2\varepsilon \right) (1 - \alpha) - \varepsilon \right) \right) \right) \alpha}$$

we obtain

$$\sum_{i=l+1}^k \sum_{s \in M_{i2}} (d_{is} - \varepsilon) \leq B k^2. \quad (31)$$

Now adding (28) to (31) we have

$$(1 - 2\varepsilon) \sum_{i=l+1}^k \sum_{s \in M_{i2}} \leq (A + B) k^2.$$

Observe that the sum

$$\sum_{i=l+1}^k \sum_{s \in M_{i2}}$$

is just the number of the ε -uniform pairs joining blue to red blobs, and hence,

$$\sum_{i=l+1}^k \sum_{s \in M_{i2}} \geq l (k - l) - \varepsilon k^2 = ((1 - \alpha) \alpha - \varepsilon) k^2.$$

Thus, we see that

$$(1 - 2\varepsilon) ((1 - \alpha) \alpha - \varepsilon) \leq A + B.$$

If we assume that this inequality holds for arbitrary small γ , we obtain

$$(1 - \alpha) \alpha \leq (1 - \alpha) \alpha \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} + \alpha \sqrt{\left(\frac{1}{12} - \alpha \right) (1 - \alpha)}$$

and consequently,

$$\sqrt{(1-\alpha)} \left(1 - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right) \leq \sqrt{\left(\frac{1}{12} - \alpha\right)},$$

implying

$$\alpha \left(\sqrt{2} - \frac{1}{2}\right) \leq \sqrt{2} - \frac{17}{12} = -.002... < 0,$$

which is a contradiction. \square

References

- [1] B. Bollobás, *Modern Graph Theory*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, **184**, Springer-Verlag, New York (1998), xiv+394 pp.
- [2] N. Alon, J. Spencer, *The probabilistic method*, Wiley-Interscience Series in Discrete Mathematics and Optimization, A Wiley-Interscience Publication, John Wiley & Sons, Inc., New York, 1992, xvi+254 pp.
- [3] B. Bollobás and V. Nikiforov, Books in graphs, submitted.
- [4] P. Erdős, R. Faudree and E. Győri, On the book size of graphs with large minimum degree, *Studia Sci. Math. Hungar.* **30** (1995), 25–46.
- [5] R. Faudree, C. C. Rousseau and J. Sheehan, Strongly regular graphs and finite Ramsey theory, *Linear Algebra Appl.* **46** (1982), 221–241.
- [6] J. Komlós and M. Simonovits, Szemerédi’s regularity lemma and its applications in graph theory, *Combinatorics, Paul Erdős is Eighty*, Vol. **2** (Keszthely, 1993), pp. 295–352, Bolyai Soc. Math. Stud., 2, János Bolyai Math. Soc., Budapest, 1996.
- [7] V. Nikiforov and C. C. Rousseau, A note on Ramsey numbers for books, submitted.
- [8] C. C. Rousseau and J. Sheehan, On Ramsey numbers for books, *J. Graph Theory* **2** (1978), 77–87.