

---

# ZETA FUNCTIONS AND ALEXANDER MODULES

by

Johannes Nicaise

---

**Abstract.** — We introduce the étale framework to study Igusa zeta functions in several variables, generalizing the machinery of vanishing cycles in the univariate case. We define the étale Alexander modules, associated to a morphism of varieties  $F : X \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_k^r$ , a geometric point  $\xi$  of  $X$ , and an object in the derived category  $D_c^b(F^{-1}(\mathbb{G}_{m,k}^r, \bar{\mathbb{Q}}_l))$ . These are sheaves of modules on the scheme  $\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{G}_{m,k}^r)$  of continuous characters  $\pi_1(\mathbb{G}_{m,k}^r)^t \rightarrow \bar{\mathbb{Q}}_l^\times$ . We formulate Loeser’s Monodromy and Holomorphy Conjectures for multivariate  $p$ -adic zeta functions, and prove them in the case where  $\dim(X) = 2$ , generalizing results from the univariate case. Furthermore, we prove a comparison theorem with the transcendent case, we study a formula of Denef’s for the zeta function in terms of a simultaneous embedded resolution, and we generalize a result concerning the degree of the zeta function to our setting.

## 1. Introduction

Let us recall the classical definition of a (univariate) local zeta function, as it was given by Igusa [16][17][18][19]. A survey of the univariate theory can be found in Denef’s Bourbaki report [7].

In its simplest form, a local zeta function is defined by the  $p$ -adic integral

$$Z(f, s) = \int_{\mathbb{Z}_p^n} |f(x)|^s |dx|,$$

where  $s$  is a complex variable,  $f$  is a polynomial over  $\mathbb{Q}_p$  in  $n$  variables,  $|f|$  is its  $p$ -adic norm, and  $|dx|$  denotes the Haar measure on the compact group  $\mathbb{Z}_p^n$ , normalized to give  $\mathbb{Z}_p^n$  measure 1. A priori,  $Z(f, s)$  is only defined when  $\Re(s) > 0$ . However, Igusa proved, using resolution of singularities, that it has a meromorphic continuation to the complex plane. Moreover, it is a rational function in  $p^{-s}$ . The numerical data of an embedded resolution for  $f$  yield a set of candidate poles of  $Z(f, s)$ , but, since this set depends on the chosen resolution, a lot of these candidate poles will not be actual poles of  $Z(f, s)$ .

When  $f$  is defined over  $\mathbb{Q}$ , Igusa’s Monodromy Conjecture 4.2.1 predicts an interesting connection between the eigenvalues of the monodromy at some point of

$f^{-1}(0) \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ , and the poles of  $Z(f, s)$ , for almost all primes  $p$ , where  $f$  is considered as a complex, respectively  $p$ -adic polynomial. When the zeta function is twisted by introducing a multiplicative character  $\chi : \mathbb{Z}_p^\times \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$ , Denef's Holomorphy Conjecture 4.2.2 states that poles only occur when the order of  $\chi$  divides the order of an eigenvalue of the monodromy at some point of  $f^{-1}(0) \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ .

In this paper, we consider multivariate zeta functions, associated to an  $r$ -tuple of polynomials  $F = (f_1, \dots, f_r)$ . They are defined in Section 2. Multivariate local zeta functions were already studied by Loeser in [22]. We state his generalizations of the Monodromy Conjecture 4.4.1 and the Holomorphy Conjecture 4.4.2. Since in general,  $F$  does not induce a locally trivial fibration near a singular point of the complex zero locus, we no longer have a geometric Milnor fiber at our disposal. However, the formalism of nearby cycles still makes sense in the multivariate setting, as was shown by Sabbah in [30], such that we do have something like a cohomological Milnor fiber: the Alexander complex (actually, it already exists as a motivic object, see [15]). The monodromy action makes these cohomological objects into  $\mathbb{C}[\mathbb{Z}^r]$ -modules, and the support of the induced coherent sheaves on  $\text{Spec } \mathbb{C}[\mathbb{Z}^r]$  generalizes the eigenvalues of the monodromy transformation. Sabbah also proved a generalized A'Campo formula, expressing the monodromy zeta function of the Alexander complex at a singular point in terms of a simultaneous embedded resolution for the polynomials  $f_i$ .

Using ideas from [13], we introduce the étale counterpart of this Alexander complex. The Alexander modules are associated to a morphism of varieties  $F : X \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_k^r$ , a geometric point  $\xi$  of  $X$ , and an object in the derived category  $D_c^b(F^{-1}(\mathbb{G}_{m,k}^r), \bar{\mathbb{Q}}_l)$ . They are sheaves of modules on the scheme  $\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{G}_{m,k}^r)$  of continuous characters  $\pi_1(\mathbb{G}_{m,k}^r)^t \rightarrow \bar{\mathbb{Q}}_l^\times$ . We prove a comparison theorem, stating that our definition is equivalent to the definition of the étale nearby cycles if  $r = 1$ , and to the transcendent one if our base field is the complex field  $\mathbb{C}$ . In the latter case, the stalk of the  $q$ -th Alexander module at a continuous character  $\chi : \pi_1(\mathbb{G}_{m,k}^r)^t \rightarrow \bar{\mathbb{Q}}_l^\times$  corresponds to the subspace of the  $q$ -th transcendent Alexander module on which the semi-simple part of the monodromy acts as multiplication by  $\chi$ .

Let us give an overview of the structure of the paper. In Section 2, we define the multivariate local zeta functions, and we recall a result of Igusa's concerning their rationality, and the set of candidate poles associated to a simultaneous embedded resolution (Theorem 2.3.1). In Section 3, we define the Alexander modules, and we prove that their support is contained in a finite union of translated cotori of codimension one in the scheme of continuous characters  $\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{G}_{m,k}^r)$  (Proposition 3.8.1). The generalized Monodromy and Holomorphy Conjectures are stated in Section 4, while Section 5 contains the comparison theorems mentioned above (Proposition 5.0.4 and 5.0.5), making use of a local computation in the normal crossings case. In Section 6, we study a generalization of Denef's formula for the local zeta function in terms of the geometry of the exceptional locus of a simultaneous embedded resolution (Theorem 6.0.6). This allows us to prove a criterion to unmask certain candidate poles that do not contribute to the polar locus of the local zeta function (Theorem

6.0.10). We prove a result concerning the limit of the zeta function at  $-\infty$  in Section 7, Theorem 7.0.11. To conclude, in Section 8, we prove the generalized Monodromy and Holomorphy Conjecture in the case where  $\dim(X) = 2$ .

## 2. Several variables Igusa local zeta functions

**2.1.** — Let us fix some notation. We choose a prime number  $p$ . We denote by  $K$  a finite extension of  $\mathbb{Q}_p$ , with ring of integers  $R$ , and residue field  $k$ , a finite extension of  $\mathbb{F}_p$ . We choose an uniformizing parameter  $\varpi$  and denote by  $q$  the cardinality of  $k$ . We denote by  $\text{val}$  the valuation on  $K$  and we normalize the norm on  $K$  by setting  $|x| = q^{-\text{val}(x)}$ . For  $x$  non zero in  $K$ , we define  $\text{ac}(x)$  as the image in  $k$  of  $x\varpi^{-\text{val}(x)}$  and we set  $\text{ac}(x) = 0$  if  $x = 0$ .

Let  $X$  be a smooth compact  $p$ -adic (locally) analytic variety (hence  $X$  is a finite disjoint union of balls) of pure dimension  $d$  and let  $\omega$  be a gauge form on  $X$ , i.e. a nowhere vanishing analytic  $n$ -form on  $X$ . In a standard way (cf. [31]), we may attach to  $\omega$  a volume form  $|\omega|$  on  $X$ .

**2.2.** — We denote by  $\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{G}_m^r(k), \mathbb{C})$  the group of characters

$$\chi = (\chi_1, \dots, \chi_r) : \mathbb{G}_m^r(k) = (k^*)^r \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}^*.$$

For any analytic function  $F = (f_1, \dots, f_r) : X \rightarrow K^r$ , for  $\phi$  locally constant on  $X$ , for  $\chi$  in  $\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{G}_m^r(k), \mathbb{C})$  and for  $s = (s_1, \dots, s_r)$  in  $\mathbb{C}^r$ , we consider Igusa's local zeta function

$$Z_\phi(F, \chi; s) := \int_X \phi \prod_{i=1}^r \chi_i(\text{ac}(f_i(x))) \prod_{i=1}^r |f_i(x)|^{s_i} |\omega|,$$

which is defined when  $\Re(s_i) > 0$ , for each  $i$ , where  $\Re(s_i)$  denotes the real part of  $s_i$ . We will often omit  $\phi, F, \chi$  from the notation, and denote the zeta function simply by  $Z(s)$ . Furthermore, when  $X = R^n$ , and  $\phi$  is the characteristic function of  $P^n$ , with  $P$  the maximal ideal in  $R$ , we write  $Z_0(s)$  instead of  $Z_\phi(F, \chi; s)$ .

**2.3.** — Consider an embedded resolution  $\pi : Y \rightarrow X$  of the  $f_j$ 's. By this we mean that  $\pi : Y \rightarrow X$  is a proper morphism between compact locally analytic  $p$ -adic varieties, that  $\pi$  induces an isomorphism between  $Y \setminus \pi^{-1}(D)$  and  $X \setminus D$ , with  $D = \cup_j f_j^{-1}(0)$ , and that  $\pi^{-1}(D)$  is a divisor  $E$  with (global) normal crossings. We denote by  $E_i$ ,  $i \in I$ , the set of irreducible components of  $E$ . In particular, the  $E_i$  are smooth. We denote by  $N_i^j$  the order of vanishing of  $f_j \circ \pi$  at the generic point of  $E_i$ , and by  $\nu_i - 1$  the order of vanishing of  $\pi^*\omega$  at the generic point of  $E_i$ . We shall write  $N_i s$  for  $\sum_j N_i^j s_j$ , and  $\chi^{N_i}$  for  $\prod_j \chi_j^{N_i^j}$ .

**2.3.1. Theorem (Igusa).** — *The function  $Z_\phi(F, \chi; s)$  is a rational function in the variables  $q^{-s_j}$ . Furthermore, given an embedded resolution  $\pi : Y \rightarrow X$  of the  $f_j$ 's,*

a necessary condition for  $Z_\phi(F, \chi; s)$  to have a pole for a given value of  $\chi$  and  $s$  is that

$$\prod_{i \in I} (1 - q^{-\nu_i} q^{-N_i s}) = 0$$

and

$$\prod_{i \in I} (\chi^{N_i} - 1) = 0.$$

**2.4.** — By Theorem 2.3.1, the set of real parts of the poles of  $Z_\phi(F, \chi; s)$  are located on a finite set of affine hyperplanes

$$H : \sum_j N^j s_j + \nu = 0$$

with  $N^j$  in  $\mathbf{N}$  and  $\nu > 0$ . We denote this finite set of hyperplanes by  $\mathcal{P}(Z_\phi(F, \chi; s))$ .

### 3. Alexander modules

**3.1. Notations and conventions.** — We fix an algebraically closed field  $k$  of characteristic exponent  $p$  (i.e.  $p = 1$  when  $k$  is of characteristic 0, and  $p$  is equal to the characteristic of  $k$  when  $k$  is of characteristic  $> 0$ ). We fix a prime number  $l \neq p$ . We denote by  $\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_l$  a fixed algebraic closure of  $\mathbb{Q}_l$ . We set  $\hat{\mathbb{Z}}(1)(k) := \varprojlim_{(p,n)=1} \mu_n(k)$  and  $\mathbb{Z}_l(1)(k) := \varprojlim_n \mu_{l^n}(k)$ . Let  $T$  be a  $k$ -torus,  $T \simeq \mathbb{G}_{m,k}^r$ . We set  $X_*(T) := \text{Hom}_{k\text{-gr}}(\mathbb{G}_{m,k}, T)$ ,  $\hat{X}_*(T) := X_*(T) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \hat{\mathbb{Z}}(1)(k)$  and  $X_{*l}(T) := X_*(T) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}_l(1)(k)$ . We denote by  $\pi_1(T)$  the fundamental group of  $T$  pointed at 1, by  $\pi_1(T)^t$  the tame fundamental group of  $T$ , and by  $\pi_1(T)_l$  the maximal pro- $l$  quotient of  $\pi_1(T)^t$ . By Kummer theory, there are canonical isomorphisms  $\hat{X}_*(T) \simeq \pi_1(T)^t$  and  $X_{*l}(T) \simeq \pi_1(T)_l$ .

**3.2. Torsion by the generic character.** — Let  $R$  be a complete regular local ring with residual characteristic  $l$ . For every  $k$ -torus  $T$ , we set

$$\Omega_T^R := R[[\pi_1(T)_l]].$$

This is a complete regular local ring of residual characteristic  $l$ . By Kummer theory,  $\Omega_T^R$  may be identified with  $R[[X_{*l}(T)]]$ . For every choice of a generator  $\gamma$  of  $\mathbb{Z}_l(1)(k)$ , we have, by Iwasawa theory, an isomorphism

$$R[[\mathbb{Z}_l(1)(k)]] \simeq R[[t]]$$

sending  $\gamma$  to  $1 + t$ . Hence, for every isomorphism  $T \simeq (\mathbb{G}_{m,k})^r$ , we have,  $\gamma$  being given, an isomorphism

$$\Omega_T^R \simeq R[[t_1, \dots, t_r]].$$

There is a canonical continuous character

$$\text{Can}_T : \pi_1(T) \rightarrow (\Omega_T^R)^\times$$

obtained by composition of the tautological character  $\pi_1(T)_l \rightarrow (\Omega_T^R)^\times$ , sending  $\gamma$  to  $\gamma$ , with the projection  $\pi_1(T) \rightarrow \pi_1(T)_l$ . We shall denote by  $L_T^R$  the corresponding  $\Omega_T^R$ -sheaf on  $T$ . It is a tamely ramified lisse constructible free twisted rank 1  $\Omega_T^R$ -sheaf on  $T$ .

**3.3. The scheme  $\mathcal{C}(T)$ .** — In [13], Gabber and Loeser defined a  $\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_l$ -scheme  $\mathcal{C}(T)$  whose set of closed points may be canonically identified with the group of continuous characters  $\pi_1(T)^t \rightarrow \bar{\mathbb{Q}}_l^\times$ . It is the disjoint union of the translates of the connected component  $\mathcal{C}(T)_l$  of the trivial character by the group of characters  $\chi : \pi_1(T)^t \rightarrow \bar{\mathbb{Q}}_l^\times$  of finite order prime to  $l$ . By its very definition,

$$\mathcal{C}(T)_l := \text{Spec } \bar{\mathbb{Q}}_l \otimes \mathbb{Z}_l[[\pi_1(T)_l]].$$

By [13] 3.2.2,  $\mathcal{C}(T)_l$  is a noetherian regular scheme.

**3.4.** — If  $\pi : T \rightarrow T'$  is a morphism of tori, we denote by  $\pi^\vee : \mathcal{C}(T') \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(T)$  the morphism which one deduces by functoriality. We say that  $\pi : T \rightarrow T'$  is a quotient of  $T$ , if  $\pi$  is surjective with kernel a torus. We call a subscheme of  $\mathcal{C}(T)$  of the form  $\pi^\vee(\mathcal{C}(T'))$ , with  $\pi : T \rightarrow T'$  a quotient of  $T$ , a cotorus of  $\mathcal{C}(T)$ . A subscheme of the form  $\chi \cdot \pi^\vee(\mathcal{C}(T'))$ , with  $\chi$  a closed point of  $\mathcal{C}(T)$ , is called a translated cotorus. If  $Z$  is cotorus translated by a character of order  $n$  (i.e.  $Z = \chi \cdot \pi^\vee(\mathcal{C}(T'))$  with  $\chi$  of order  $n$ ), we set

$$\bar{Z} := \bigcup_{1 \leq i \leq n} \chi^i \cdot \pi^\vee(\mathcal{C}(T')).$$

More generally, if  $Z$  is a finite union  $\cup Z_i$  of cotori translated by a character of finite order, we set  $\bar{Z} := \cup_i \bar{Z}_i$ .

**3.5.** — Assume  $k$  is the algebraic closure of a finite field  $k_0$  with  $q$  elements. Fix an isomorphism  $\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_l \simeq \mathbb{C}$ . Then we may identify  $\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{G}_m^r(k_0), \mathbb{C})$  with the set of closed points of  $\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{G}_{m,k}^r)$  of order dividing  $q - 1$ , since we have a canonical morphism  $\hat{\mathbb{Z}}(1)(k) \rightarrow \mu_{q-1}(k) \simeq k_0^*$ .

**3.6.** — Assume  $K$  is the algebraic closure of some local field having  $k$  as residue field. The canonical injection  $\hat{\mathbb{Z}}(1)(k) \hookrightarrow \hat{\mathbb{Z}}(1)(K)$  induces an epimorphism  $r : \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{G}_{m,K}^r) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{G}_{m,k}^r)$ .

**3.7. Alexander modules.** — Now we consider the affine space

$$\mathbb{A}_k^r := \text{Spec } k[T_1, \dots, T_r]$$

and the torus  $j : T = \text{Spec } k[T_1, T_1^{-1}, \dots, T_r, T_r^{-1}] \hookrightarrow \mathbb{A}_k^r$ . Let  $F : X \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_k^r$  be a morphism of  $k$ -varieties and set  $j : X_T := F^{-1}(T) \hookrightarrow X$ .

Let  $R$  be the ring of integers of a finite extension of  $\mathbb{Q}_l$ , and let  $\mathcal{F}$  be an object in  $D_c^b(X_T, R)$ . As explained in [13] A.1, one can define in a natural way objects

$\mathcal{F} \otimes_R F^* L_T^R$  and  $Rj_*(\mathcal{F} \otimes_R F^* L_T^R)$  in  $D_c^b(X_T, \Omega_T^R)$  and  $D_c^b(X, \Omega_T^R)$ , respectively. Hence, for every geometric point  $\xi$  of  $X \setminus X_T$ , and every integer  $q \geq 0$ , one may consider

$$\mathcal{A}_{F,\xi}^{q,R}(\mathcal{F}) := R^q j_*(\mathcal{F} \otimes_R F^* L_T^R)_\xi$$

and view it as a coherent  $\Omega_T^R$ -module.

Now, if  $\mathcal{F}$  is an object in  $D_c^b(X_T, \bar{\mathbb{Q}}_l)$ , we define a coherent  $\mathcal{C}(T)$ -module  $\mathcal{A}_{F,\xi}^q(\mathcal{F})$ , the  $q$ -th Alexander module of  $\mathcal{F}$  at  $\xi$ , as follows. We choose a model  $\mathcal{F}_R$  in  $D_c^b(X_T, R)$  for some  $R$ , and ask for the restriction of  $\mathcal{A}_{F,\xi}^q(\mathcal{F})$  to the connected component  $\mathcal{C}(T)_l$  to be equal to

$$\mathcal{A}_{F,\xi}^q(\mathcal{F})|_{\mathcal{C}(T)_l} := \mathcal{A}_{F,\xi}^{q,R}(\mathcal{F}_R) \otimes \mathcal{C}(T)_l,$$

which is clearly independent of the chosen model  $\mathcal{F}_R$ . For the restriction of  $\mathcal{A}_{F,\xi}^q(\mathcal{F})$  to a general connected component of the form  $\{\chi\} \times \mathcal{C}(T)_l$ , with  $\chi$  a character of finite order prime to  $l$ , we set

$$\mathcal{A}_{F,\xi}^q(\mathcal{F})|_{\{\chi\} \times \mathcal{C}(T)_l} := \mathcal{A}_{F,\xi}^q(\mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{L}_\chi)|_{\mathcal{C}(T)_l},$$

with  $\mathcal{L}_\chi$  the Kummer sheaf attached to  $\chi$  (its definition is similar to the construction of  $\mathcal{L}_{\psi,\alpha}$  in Section 6).

If  $\mathcal{F}$  belongs to  $D_c^b(X, \bar{\mathbb{Q}}_l)$ , we shall write  $\mathcal{A}_{F,\xi}^q(\mathcal{F})$  for  $\mathcal{A}_{F,\xi}^q(j^* \mathcal{F})$ . Also, if  $k$  is not algebraically closed, we shall still write  $\mathcal{A}_{F,\xi}^q(\mathcal{F})$  for the Alexander module attached to  $F \otimes \bar{k} : X \otimes \bar{k} \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_{\bar{k}}^n$ .

### 3.8. Support of Alexander modules. —

**3.8.1. Proposition.** — *Let  $F : X \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_{\bar{k}}^n$  be a morphism of  $k$ -varieties, and let  $\mathcal{F}$  be an object in  $D_c^b(X_T, \bar{\mathbb{Q}}_l)$ . Then, for every geometric point  $\xi$  of  $X \setminus X_T$  and every integer  $q$ , the support of the module  $\mathcal{A}_{F,\xi}^q(\mathcal{F})$  is contained in a finite set, not depending on  $\xi$  and  $q$ , of translated cotori of codimension 1 of  $\mathcal{C}(T)$ . Furthermore, when  $\mathcal{F} = \bar{\mathbb{Q}}_l$ , the support of the module  $\mathcal{A}_{F,\xi}^q(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_l)$  is contained in a finite set, not depending on  $\xi$  and  $q$ , of cotori of codimension 1 of  $\mathcal{C}(T)$  translated by characters of finite order.*

*Proof.* — We may suppose that  $\mathcal{F}$  is the extension by zero of a lisse sheaf on a smooth irreducible locally closed subvariety  $U$  of  $X_T$ . We may furthermore assume that  $U$  is dense in  $X_T$ , and that the monodromy of  $\mathcal{F}$  is pro- $l$ ; see [13], Prop. 4.3.1'. By de Jong's theorem [2], there exists a generically étale alteration  $\pi : X' \rightarrow X$ , where  $X'$  is regular, and the inverse image  $\pi^{-1}(Z)$  is a normal crossing divisor, with  $Z = X - U$ . Shrinking  $X$  if necessary, we may suppose that  $\pi$  is étale over  $U$ .

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \pi^{-1}(U) & \xrightarrow{u'} & \pi^{-1}(X_T) & \xrightarrow{j'} & X' \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \pi \\ U & \xrightarrow{u} & X_T & \xrightarrow{j} & X \end{array}$$

For any object  $\mathcal{G}$  in  $D_c^b(X_T, R)$ , and any character  $\chi$  of finite order prime to  $l$ , we have a short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow u_! u^* \mathcal{G} \otimes \mathcal{L}_\chi \rightarrow \mathcal{G} \otimes \mathcal{L}_\chi \rightarrow i_* i^* \mathcal{G} \otimes \mathcal{L}_\chi \rightarrow 0,$$

where  $i$  is the inclusion of  $Z \cap X_T$  in  $X_T$ , and  $\mathcal{L}_\chi$  is the Kummer sheaf of  $\chi$  on  $X_T$ . Applying the derived functor  $R^q j_*$  yields a long exact sequence, hence, it suffices to investigate the support of the Alexander complex of  $u_! u^* \mathcal{G}$  and  $i_* i^* \mathcal{G}$ .

The theorem holds for the latter, by Noetherian induction, since  $i_*$  is exact, hence, by Leray's spectral sequence,

$$R^q j_*(i_* i^* \mathcal{G} \otimes \mathcal{L}_\chi) \cong R^q(j \circ i)_*(i^* \mathcal{G} \otimes i^* \mathcal{L}_\chi).$$

As for the former, by proper base change, one sees that

$$u_! u^* \mathcal{G} \otimes \mathcal{L}_\chi \cong \pi_* u'_! \pi^* u^* \mathcal{G} \otimes \mathcal{L}_\chi.$$

Leray's spectral sequence reads

$$E_2^{p,q} = (R^p j_*)(R^q \pi_*)(u'_! \pi^* u^* \mathcal{G} \otimes \pi^* \mathcal{L}_\chi) \Rightarrow R^{p+q}(j \circ \pi)_*(u'_! \pi^* u^* \mathcal{G} \otimes \pi^* \mathcal{L}_\chi).$$

We use the proper base change theorem to compute the stalks of  $(R^q \pi_*)(u'_! \pi^* u^* \mathcal{G} \otimes \pi^* \mathcal{L}_\chi)$ . These are zero outside of  $U$ , and since  $\pi$  is étale over  $U$ , they vanish in all other points as well, if  $q > 0$ . Therefore, our spectral sequence yields isomorphisms

$$R^p j_*(u_! u^* \mathcal{G} \otimes \mathcal{L}_\chi) \cong R^p(\pi \circ j')_*(u'_! \pi^* u^* \mathcal{G} \otimes \pi^* \mathcal{L}_\chi).$$

After applying Leray's spectral sequence and proper base change once more, one sees that it suffices to consider the support of  $R^p j'_*(u'_! \pi^* u^* \mathcal{G} \otimes \pi^* \mathcal{L}_\chi)_{\xi'}$ , where  $\xi'$  is a geometric point of  $\pi^{-1}(X \setminus X_T)$ .

This means we may assume that  $W$  is smooth over  $k$ , that the complement of  $U$  in  $X$  is a normal crossing divisor, and that the monodromy of  $\mathcal{F}$  on  $U$  is pro- $l$ . Now one can proceed as in the proof of [13], Proposition 4.3.1'.  $\square$

## 4. Conjectures

**4.1.** — In this section, we shall consider a number field  $K$ , and a smooth algebraic variety  $X$  of dimension  $d$  over  $K$ , together with a gauge form  $\omega$  on  $X$ . Let  $F := (f_1, \dots, f_r)$  be a morphism  $X \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_K^r$ . For every finite place  $\mathfrak{P}$  of  $K$ , we denote by  $K_{\mathfrak{P}}$  the completion of  $K$  and by  $R_{\mathfrak{P}}$  its ring of integers. Taking an appropriate model  $\mathcal{X}$  of  $X$  over the integers of  $K$  imposes, at least for almost all  $\mathfrak{P}$ , the structure of a smooth compact locally analytic  $K_{\mathfrak{P}}$ -variety on  $X_{\mathfrak{P}} := \mathcal{X}(R_{\mathfrak{P}})$ . Also, taking appropriate models of  $\omega$  and  $F$ , we obtain a gauge form  $\omega_{\mathfrak{P}}$  on  $X_{\mathfrak{P}}$  and an analytic function  $F_{\mathfrak{P}} = (f_{1\mathfrak{P}}, \dots, f_{r\mathfrak{P}}) : X_{\mathfrak{P}} \rightarrow K_{\mathfrak{P}}^r$ . All these data are independent of choices, for almost all  $\mathfrak{P}$ . Hence, for suitable  $\chi$  and  $\phi$ , we can consider Igusa's zeta function  $Z_{\phi}(F_{\mathfrak{P}}, \chi; s)$ .

**4.2.** — Let us first review the  $r = 1$  case, i.e. the case when there is only one function  $f$ . We write  $f$  for  $F = (f)$ .

We shall say a locally constant function  $\phi$  on  $X_{\mathfrak{P}} := \mathcal{X}(R_{\mathfrak{P}})$  is residual if its value at a point  $x$  depends only of the image in  $\mathcal{X}(\mathbb{F}_{\mathfrak{P}})$ , where  $\mathbb{F}_{\mathfrak{P}}$  denotes the residue field.

**4.2.1. Monodromy conjecture (Igusa, [7]).** — *For almost all finite places  $\mathfrak{P}$ , if  $s_0$  is a pole of  $Z_{\phi}(f_{\mathfrak{P}}, \chi; s)$ , with  $\phi$  residual on  $X_{\mathfrak{P}}$ , then  $\exp(2\pi i \operatorname{Re}(s_0))$  is an eigenvalue of the local monodromy at some point of  $f^{-1}(0)$ .*

**4.2.2. Holomorphy conjecture (Denef, [8]).** — *For almost all finite places  $\mathfrak{P}$ , if  $Z_{\phi}(f_{\mathfrak{P}}, \chi_0; s)$ , with  $\phi$  residual on  $X_{\mathfrak{P}}$ , is not a holomorphic function of  $s$ , then the order of  $\chi_0$  divides the order of some eigenvalue of the local monodromy at some point of  $f^{-1}(0)$ .*

Here we should make more precise what is meant by “some eigenvalue of the local monodromy at some point of  $f^{-1}(0)$ ”. The easiest way is to fix a complex embedding of  $K$  in  $\mathbb{C}$  and to stick to the complex points of  $X$  with complex topology. We can consider the usual complex of nearby cycles  $R\psi\mathbb{C}$  on  $f^{-1}(0)$ , and then “some eigenvalue of the local monodromy at some point of  $f^{-1}(0)$ ” means “some eigenvalue of the monodromy action on some cohomology object of the stalk  $(R\psi\mathbb{C})_x$  at some complex point  $x$  of  $f^{-1}(0)$ ”. More algebraically, and this amounts to the same by the classical comparison theorems, one could consider the complex of nearby cycles  $R\psi\mathbb{Q}_l$  on  $f^{-1}(0)$  for the étale topology and  $l$ -adic cohomology, and interpret it as “some eigenvalue of the geometric monodromy action on some cohomology object of the stalk  $(R\psi\mathbb{Q}_l)_x$  at some geometric point  $x$  of  $f^{-1}(0)$ ”.

**4.3.** — We fix an isomorphism  $\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_l \simeq \mathbb{C}$ . In particular, we have an embedding of  $\bar{\mathbb{Q}}$  in  $\mathbb{C}$ . We denote by  $\gamma$  the topological generator  $\gamma = (\zeta_n)$ ,  $\zeta_n = \exp(2\pi i/n)$ , of  $\hat{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathbb{Q})$ . To an affine hyperplane  $H$  with equation  $\sum_j N^j s_j + \nu = 0$  in  $\mathbb{C}^r$ , with  $N^j$  and  $\nu$  in  $\mathbb{N}$ ,  $\nu > 0$ , we associate  $\mathcal{H}$ , a finite union of cotori translated by a character of finite order in  $\mathbb{C}(\mathbb{G}_{m, \bar{K}})$ , as follows. We deduce from  $\gamma$  a topological basis  $(\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_r)$  of  $\pi_1(\mathbb{G}_{m, \bar{K}}^r)^t = \hat{\mathbb{Z}}(1)^r(\bar{K})$ . For any  $\alpha$  in  $(\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})^r$ , we denote by  $\varphi(\alpha)$  the character which sends  $(\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_r)$  to  $\exp(2\pi i \alpha)$ . We consider the image  $[H(\mathbb{Q})]$  of  $H(\mathbb{Q})$  in  $(\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})^r$ , and we define  $\mathcal{H}$  as the Zariski closure of  $\{\varphi(\alpha) | \alpha \in [H(\mathbb{Q})]\}$  in  $\mathbb{C}(\mathbb{G}_{m, \bar{K}}^r)$ . It is clearly a finite union of cotori translated by a character of finite order.

**4.4.** — François Loeser proposes the following generalisation of the monodromy conjecture:

**4.4.1. Generalised monodromy conjecture.** — *For almost all finite place  $\mathfrak{P}$ , if  $H$  is a polar hyperplane in  $\mathcal{P}(Z_{\phi}(F_{\mathfrak{P}}, \chi; s))$ , with  $\phi$  residual on  $X_{\mathfrak{P}}$ , then, for every irreducible component  $Z$  of  $\mathcal{H}$ , there exists an integer  $q$  and a geometric point  $\xi$  such that  $Z$  is contained in the support of  $\mathcal{A}_{F, \xi}^q(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_l)$ .*

Loeser also generalizes the holomorphy conjecture as follows (using notations and conventions from 3.5 and 3.6):



**4.4.2. Generalised holomorphy conjecture.** — For almost all finite place  $\mathfrak{P}$ , if  $Z_\phi(F_{\mathfrak{P}}, \chi_0; s)$  with  $\phi$  residual on  $X_{\mathfrak{P}}$  is not a holomorphic function of  $s$ , then there exists an integer  $q$  and a geometric point  $\xi$ , such that  $\chi_0$  belongs to  $r(\text{Supp } \mathcal{A}_{F, \xi}^q(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l))$ .

**4.4.3. Remark.** — By Proposition 5.0.5, when  $r = 1$ , Conjectures 4.4.1 and 4.4.2 are indeed equivalent to Conjectures 4.2.1 and 4.2.2.

### 5. Comparison with the transcendent theory

In this section, we compare our definition of the Alexander complex with the classical definition [30] in the complex case, following Deligne’s treatment of the nearby cycles [3]. Let  $X$  be a complex manifold, and let  $F = (f_1, \dots, f_r) : X \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^r$  be an analytic map. We denote the complex analytic torus  $\mathbb{G}_{m, \mathbb{C}}^r(\mathbb{C})$  by  $T$ , the inverse image of  $T$  under  $F$  by  $X_T$ , and the complement of  $X_T$  in  $X$  by  $X_0$ . Let  $\pi : \tilde{T} \rightarrow T$  be a universal cover of  $T$ . Consider the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} X_0 & \xrightarrow{i} & X & \xleftarrow{j} & X_T & \xleftarrow{\tilde{\pi}} & X_T \times_T \tilde{T} = X_{\tilde{T}} \\ & & \downarrow F & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ & & \mathbb{C}^r & \xleftarrow{\quad} & T & \xleftarrow{\pi} & \tilde{T} \end{array}$$

In the univariate case ( $r = 1$ ), after reducing  $X$  to a sufficiently small neighbourhood of a point  $x \in F^{-1}(0)$  (i.e. the intersection of a small ball centered at  $x$  with the inverse image of a small ball around the origin in  $\mathbb{C}$ ), the space  $X_T \times_T \tilde{T}$  is called the canonical Milnor fiber of  $F$  at  $x$ , since it is homotopy-equivalent to the fiber of the Milnor fibration at  $x$  [25][20]. However, in the multivariate case,  $F$  will, in general, not be a fibration in any neighbourhood of  $x$ .

Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be an object in  $D_c^b(X_T, \mathbb{C})$ . We define the Alexander complex to be

$$R\psi_F(\mathcal{F}) = i^* R(j \circ \tilde{\pi})_* \tilde{\pi}^* \mathcal{F}.$$

The deck transformations of  $X \times_T \tilde{T}$  make  $R\psi_F(\mathcal{F})$  into a constructible  $\mathbb{C}[\mathbb{Z}^r]$ -module.

Now let  $A$  be a regular Noetherian ring,  $\mathcal{F}$  an  $A$ -constructible sheaf on  $X_0$ , and  $\mathcal{G}$  an object in  $D_c^b(X_0, A)$ . The support  $\text{Supp}_x \mathcal{F}$  of  $\mathcal{F}$  at a point  $x$  of  $X_0$  is defined to be the collection of prime ideals  $\mathcal{P}$  of  $A$  such that  $\mathcal{F}_x \otimes_A A_{\mathcal{P}} \neq 0$ ; the support of  $\mathcal{G}$  at  $x$  is the union of  $\text{Supp}_x h^i(\mathcal{G})$ . If  $d$  is the dimension of the support of  $\mathcal{G}$  at  $x$ , we denote by  $\text{Supp}_{x,d} \mathcal{G}$  the collection of prime ideals in  $\text{Supp}_x \mathcal{G}$  of coheight  $d$ . The cycle associated to  $\mathcal{G}$  at  $x$  is the cycle

$$\zeta_{x,d}(\mathcal{G}) = \sum_{\mathcal{P} \in \text{Supp}_{x,d} \mathcal{G}} \chi_x(\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{P}}) V(\mathcal{P}),$$

where  $V(\mathcal{P})$  is the subvariety of  $\text{Spec } A$  associated to  $\mathcal{P}$ ,  $\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{P}}$  is the localization  $\mathcal{G} \otimes_A A_{\mathcal{P}}$ , and  $\chi_x(\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{P}})$  equals  $\sum (-1)^i \text{length } h^i(\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{P}})_x$ . In our setting,  $A$  equals  $\mathbb{C}[t_i, t_i^{-1}]_{i=1}^r$ , and  $d$  will be equal to  $r - 1$ , so we can replace the cycle by a defining function. This

zeta function  $\zeta_{x,d}(\mathcal{G})$  is a rational function in  $t_1, \dots, t_r$ , defined modulo multiplication with a monomial. We get a duality formula

$$\zeta_{x,d}(D_A \mathcal{G})(t_1, \dots, t_r) = \zeta_{x,d}(\mathcal{G})(t_1, \dots, t_r)^{-1},$$

where  $D_A \mathcal{G}$  is the dual complex of  $\mathcal{G}$ .

In his article [30], Sabbah formulates a generalized A'Campo formula [1]: let  $F = (f_1, \dots, f_r) : (\mathbb{C}^n, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}^r, 0)$  be an analytic germ, and let  $h : E\mathbb{C}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$  be a proper modification, where  $E\mathbb{C}^n$  is smooth. Assume that there exists a divisor  $E = \cup E_i$  on  $E\mathbb{C}^n$  with normal crossings, such that  $(f_i \circ h)^{-1}(0)$ , as well as  $h^{-1}(0)$  are unions of components of  $E$ . Then

$$\zeta_0(R\psi_F \mathbb{C})(t_1, \dots, t_r) = \prod_{\{i \in I \mid E_i \in \pi^{-1}(0)\}} (t^{N_i} - 1)^{-\chi(E_i^o)},$$

where  $t^N = t_1^{N_1} \dots t_r^{N_r}$ , the  $N_i$  are multiplicity vectors of  $F$  along the  $E_i$ , and  $E_i^o$  is equal to the set of points on  $E_i$  which do not belong to any other divisor  $E_j$ .

It is well-known [30] that  $Rh_* \circ R\psi_{F \circ h} = R\psi_F \circ Rh_*$ . The comparison of the analytic with the algebraic definition is based upon an explicit computation of the Alexander complex associated to  $F \circ h$ , which reduces to the computation of the Alexander complex associated to

$$G : Y = \mathbb{C}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^r : x = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \mapsto (x^{N_1}, \dots, x^{N_r}),$$

with  $N^j \in \mathbb{N}^n$ . We may assume that for each index  $j = 1 \dots n$ , there exists a  $k$  such that  $N_j^k \neq 0$ . The map

$$p_1 : \mathbb{C}^n \rightarrow Y_T = (\mathbb{C}_0)^n : (w_1, \dots, w_n) \mapsto (e^{2i\pi w_1}, \dots, e^{2i\pi w_n})$$

is a universal covering space. The space  $Y_{\bar{T}}$  falls apart in  $\kappa$  connected components, where  $\kappa$  equals  $\prod_i \gcd \{N_i^j\}_{j=1}^r$ . These components are transitively permuted by the monodromy actions. For each of these components  $Z$ , we get an induced covering projection  $p_2 : \mathbb{C}^n \rightarrow Z$  with

$$M = \{(z_1, \dots, z_n) \in \mathbb{Z}^n \mid \sum z_i N_i^j = 0 \text{ for each } j\} \subset \mathbb{Z}^n = \pi_1(Y_T)$$

as transformation group. This means that, for each constant sheaf  $\Lambda$  on  $Y$  and each  $q$ ,

$$R^q \psi_G(\Lambda)_0 = H^q(Y_{\bar{T}}, \Lambda) = \wedge^q(M \otimes \Lambda) \otimes \psi_G^0(\Lambda)_0,$$

which follows from the fact that, for each sheaf  $\mathcal{F}$  on  $Z$ , the global sections of  $\mathcal{F}$  are the global sections of  $p_2^* \mathcal{F}$  which are invariant under  $M$ , and the resulting spectral sequence.

Let us try to reformulate this definitions in a more algebraic way. For each  $i = 1, \dots, r$ , let  $S_i$  be the spectrum of a strictly Henselian ring with residue field  $k$ , closed point  $s_i$  and generic point  $\eta_i$ . Consider a geometric point  $\bar{\eta}_i$ , localized at  $\eta_i$ . The residue field of the closed point of the normalization  $\bar{S}_i$  of  $S_i$  in  $k(\bar{\eta}_i)$  is an algebraic separably closed extension  $k(\bar{s}_i)$  of  $k$ , and thus defines a geometric point  $\bar{s}_i$  localized at  $s_i$ . Let  $F : X \rightarrow S$  be a scheme of finite type over the fiber product  $S$  of the  $S_i$  over  $\text{Spec } k$ . Fix a subset  $J$  of  $\{1, \dots, r\}$ . Let  $\Lambda$  a Noetherian torsion

ring in which the characteristic exponent of  $k$  is invertible, and let  $\mathcal{F}$  be an object in  $D_c^b(X_T, \Lambda)$ .

Consider the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 X_{s_J} & \xrightarrow{i} & X & \xleftarrow{\tilde{\pi}} & X_{\bar{\eta}} \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow F & & \downarrow \\
 s_J & \longrightarrow & S & \xleftarrow{\eta} & \bar{\eta}
 \end{array}$$

where  $\eta = (\eta_i)$ , and  $s_J = (\alpha_i)$  with  $\alpha_i = s_i$  if  $i \in J$  and  $\alpha_i = \eta_i$  else. We define the  $J$ -th Alexander complex to be

$$R\psi_{F,J}(\mathcal{F}) = i^* R\tilde{\pi}_* \mathcal{F}_{\bar{\eta}}.$$

It is endowed with an action of  $\prod \text{Gal}(\bar{\eta}_i/\eta_i)$ ; the  $i$ -part acts trivially if  $i \notin J$ . If we replace, for each  $i$ ,  $\bar{\eta}_i$  by the point  $\eta_{i,t}$  associated to the maximal tamely ramified extension of  $k(\eta_i)$  in  $k(\bar{\eta}_i)$ , we get the tame Alexander complex  $R\psi_{F,J}^{(t)}$ .

It follows from proper base change that  $Rh_* \circ R\psi_{F \circ h, J}^{(t)} = R\psi_{F, J}^{(t)} \circ Rh_*$  if  $h : Y \rightarrow X$  is a proper morphism. In our comparison theorem, we will apply this property to the case where  $h$  is a simultaneous embedded resolution for the  $f_i$ ; so let us investigate what happens if, for each  $i \in J$ ,  $X_{\eta_i}$  is smooth over  $\eta_i$ , and  $D = \cup_{i \in J} X_{s_i}$  is a normal crossing divisor. The calculation follows the same lines as in the analytic case; see [14] for the univariate case  $r = 1$ .

Let  $\bar{x}$  be a geometric point of  $X$ , localized at a point  $x$  mapped to  $s_J$  by  $F$ . Consider the strict localization  $X_{(\bar{x})}$  of  $X$  at  $\bar{x}$ , let  $U$  be equal to the complement of the inverse image of  $D$  in  $X_{(\bar{x})}$ , and let  $t_j$  be a local equation for  $D_j$ . Now put  $X_n = X_{(\bar{x})}[t_j^{\frac{1}{n}}]$ , and denote by  $U_n$  the inverse image of  $U$  in  $X_n$ . By purity and the long exact sequence for relative cohomology,  $H^q(U_n, \Lambda) = \wedge^q \Lambda(-1)^C$ , where  $C$  is the number of components  $D_j$  containing  $x$ . For  $m = nd$ , the morphism from  $H^q(U_n, \Lambda)$  to  $H^q(U_m, \Lambda)$ , associated to the obvious morphism  $U_m \rightarrow U_n$ , is induced by multiplication by  $d^q$ . If we define  $\tilde{U}$  to be the projective limit of the  $U_n$ , taken over the indices  $n$  prime to the characteristic exponent of  $k$ , we get

$$\begin{cases} H^0(\tilde{U}, \Lambda) = \Lambda \\ H^q(\tilde{U}, \Lambda) = \lim_{\rightarrow} H^q(U_n, \Lambda) = 0 \quad \text{if } q \neq 0. \end{cases}$$

The scheme  $\tilde{U}$  is a procover of  $U$  with group  $\hat{\mathbb{Z}}(1)(k)^C$ . Furthermore, it is a procover with group  $M \otimes \hat{\mathbb{Z}}(1)(k)$  of each of the connected components of  $U_{\eta_t}$ , which are permuted transitively by the tame inertia group  $I_t = \hat{\mathbb{Z}}(1)(k)^r$ . Since, by definition,  $R\psi_{F,J}^{(t),q}(\Lambda)_{\bar{x}} = H^q(U_{\eta_t}, \Lambda)$ , the Hochschild-Serre spectral sequence yields

$$R^q \psi_{F,J}^{(t)}(\Lambda)_{\bar{x}} \cong \wedge^q(M \otimes \Lambda(-1)) \otimes_{\Lambda} R^0 \psi_{F,J}^{(t)}(\Lambda)_{\bar{x}},$$

and  $R^0\psi_{F,J}^{(t)}(\Lambda)_{\bar{x}}$  is a  $\Lambda$ -algebra  $\Lambda^E$ , with  $E$  a set on which the tame inertia group acts transitively, and  $|E|$  equal to the greatest divisor of  $\kappa$  prime to  $p$ . Of course,  $M$  and  $\kappa$  are defined in the same way as in the analytic case.

Now we compare both definitions. For each  $i = 1, \dots, r$ , let  $f_i : X \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{C}}^1$  be a separated reduced  $\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{C}}^1$ -scheme of finite type. Consider the strict localization  $S$  of  $\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{C}}^1$  at 0, with closed point  $s$ , and generic point  $\eta$ . By abuse of notation, we will also write  $f_i : X \rightarrow S$  for the restriction of  $f_i$  to  $X \times_{\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{C}}^1} S$ . Put  $F = (f_i)$ , and let  $R\psi_{F,I}^{an}(\mathcal{F})$  be the restriction of the analytically defined complex of Alexander modules to  $X_I = \{x \in X_0 \mid f_i(x) = 0 \text{ iff } i \in I\}$ .

We can make use of an étale dictionary, allowing us to travel from the algebraic to the analytic world, associating to a scheme  $X$  of finite type over  $\mathbb{C}$  the analytic space  $X(\mathbb{C})$  of its complex points, and to an étale sheaf  $\mathcal{F}$  on  $X_T$  a sheaf  $\mathcal{F}^{an}$  on  $X_T(\mathbb{C})$ , with pleasant compatibility properties - see, e.g. [12][24]. Our Henselian ring  $S$  corresponds to the germ of a disc around the origin. The universal cover of the one-dimensional torus, however, is not algebraic in nature - this is exactly why we have to use limits in the definition of the algebraic fundamental group [26]. We now explain how it is linked to a geometric generic point  $\bar{\eta}$  of  $S$ , see also [3].

Let  $T_1$  be the torus  $\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{C}}^1 \setminus \{0\}$ , and  $\tilde{T}_1$  a universal cover. We can add a point 0 to  $\tilde{T}_1$  to obtain a map  $p : \tilde{\mathbb{A}} \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{C}}^1$  such that  $p(0) = 0$ ,  $\tilde{T}_1$  is open in  $\tilde{\mathbb{A}}$ , and the sets  $p^{-1}(U)$ , where  $U$  runs over the neighbourhoods of 0 in  $\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{C}}^1$ , form a fundamental system of neighbourhoods of 0 in  $\tilde{\mathbb{A}}$ . The cover  $\tilde{T}_1$  determines an algebraic closure of the residue field  $k(\eta)$  as follows:  $S$  is isomorphic to the ring of germs of holomorphic functions on  $\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{C}}^1$  at 0, that are algebraic over the field of rational functions  $K(\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{C}}^1)$ . The field of germs at  $0 \in \tilde{\mathbb{A}}$  of meromorphic functions on  $\tilde{T}_1$  that are algebraic over  $K(\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{C}}^1)$ , is an algebraic closure  $k(\bar{\eta})$  of  $K(\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{C}}^1)$ , hence of  $k(\eta)$ . The group of deck transformations  $\pi_1(T_1)$  acts on  $k(\bar{\eta})$ , which yields a monomorphism

$$\mathbb{Z} = \pi_1(T_1) \rightarrow \text{Gal}(k(\bar{\eta})/k(\eta)) = \hat{\mathbb{Z}}(1)(\mathbb{C}) (*).$$

Let  $\bar{\eta}$  be the geometric point corresponding to the inclusion  $k(\eta) \subset k(\bar{\eta})$ .

Our first comparison statement is the following:

**5.0.4. Proposition.** — *Let  $\mathcal{G}$  be an object in  $D_c^b(X_T, \Lambda)$ , and let  $\mathcal{G}^{an}$  be the corresponding object in  $D_c^b(X_T(\mathbb{C}), \Lambda)$ . Consider the constructible complex  $R\psi_{F,J}^{(t)}(\mathcal{G})^{an}$  on the stratum  $X_J$  (or its intersection with the inverse image of a small disc in  $\mathbb{C}^r$ ). It inherits a  $\hat{\mathbb{Z}}(1)(\mathbb{C})^r$  action, which induces, via the morphism (\*), a monodromy action of  $\mathbb{Z}^r$ . This latter object is quasi-isomorphic to  $R\psi_{F,J}^{an}(\mathcal{G}^{an})$ .*

The proof is completely analogous to the proof in the univariate case [3], and consists of a reduction to the normal crossing-case for which we established formulas above. Our next task is to compare the algebraic definition of  $R\psi_{F,I}^{(t)}$  with our definition of the Alexander complex. For each  $i = 1, \dots, r$ , let  $f_i : X \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_k^1$  be a morphism of  $k$ -varieties. Consider the strict localization  $S$  of  $\mathbb{A}_k^1$  at 0, with closed point  $s$ , and generic point  $\eta$ . By abuse of notation, we will also write  $f_i : X \rightarrow S$  for the

restriction of  $f_i$  to  $X \times_{\mathbb{A}_k^1} S$ . Put  $F = (f_1, \dots, f_r)$ . Let  $\xi$  be a closed point of  $X$  that is mapped to  $s_J$ ; we might as well assume that  $J = \{1, \dots, r\}$ , and we drop the subscript  $J$ . Let  $\mu$  be the generic point of a strict localization of  $X$  at  $\xi$ , and let  $\bar{\mu}$  be a geometric point localized at  $\mu$ . We fix an isomorphism of fiber functors  $V_{F(\bar{\mu})} \cong V_{(1, \dots, 1)}$  on the category of tame étale covers of  $\mathbb{G}_{m,k}^r$ . Consider an object  $\mathcal{F}$  in  $D_c^b(X_{\mathbb{G}_{m,k}^r}, \bar{\mathbb{Q}}_l)$ . We denote by  $R\psi_{\mu,F}^{(t),op}(\mathcal{F})$  the tame Alexander complex at  $\mu$ , with opposite  $\text{Gal}(\bar{\mu}/\mu)$ -action.

Now we give  $R\psi_{\mu,F}^{(t),op}(\mathcal{F})$  the structure of a sheaf of modules on  $\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{G}_{m,k}^r)$ , or rather: we split up  $R\psi_{\mu,F}^{(t),op}(\mathcal{F})$  in different direct summands, in analogy with the Jordan decomposition of a linear transformation, and each of these summands will get the structure of a sheaf of modules on  $\{\chi\} \times \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{G}_{m,k}^r)_l$ , for some character  $\chi$ . For each  $\chi$  in  $\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{G}_{m,k}^r, \bar{\mathbb{Q}}_l^\times)_f$  (see [13] for a definition), we denote by  $R\psi_{\mu,F}^{(t),op}(\mathcal{F})^{(\chi)}$  the biggest subobject of  $R\psi_{\mu,F}^{(t),op}(\mathcal{F})$  such that the monodromy action, translated by  $\chi^{-1}$ , factors through  $\pi_1(\mathbb{G}_{m,k}^r)_l$ . Now it is clear how to give  $R\psi_{\mu,F}^{(t),op}(\mathcal{F})^{(\chi)}$  the structure of a sheaf of modules on  $\{\chi\} \times \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{G}_{m,k}^r)$ .

For each closed point  $\varphi$  of  $\{\chi\} \times \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{G}_{m,k}^r)_l$ , the stalk  $R\psi_{\mu,F}^{(t),op}(\mathcal{F})_\varphi^{(\chi)}$  is the largest subobject of  $R\psi_{\mu,F}^{(t),op}(\mathcal{F})$  on which the action of each member  $\gamma_j$  of a topological basis  $(\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_r)$  for  $\pi_1(\mathbb{G}_{m,k}^r)^t$  is of the form  $\varphi(\gamma_j) + N_{\gamma_j}$ , with  $N_{\gamma_j}$  nilpotent.

**5.0.5. Proposition.** — *For each character  $\chi$  in  $\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{G}_{m,k}^r, \bar{\mathbb{Q}}_l^\times)_f$ , we get a canonical isomorphism of sheaves of modules*

$$\mathcal{A}_{F,\xi}^q|_{\{\chi\} \times \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{G}_{m,k}^r)_l}(\mathcal{F}) \cong R^{q-r}\psi_{\mu,F}^{(t),op}(\mathcal{F})^{(\chi)}.$$

*Proof.* — It suffices to prove the theorem when  $\chi$  is trivial. We replace  $\mathcal{F}$  by a model in  $D_c^b(X_{\mathbb{G}_{m,k}^r}, R)$ , where  $R$  is the ring of integers of a finite extension of  $\mathbb{Q}_l$ . We denote this model again by  $\mathcal{F}$ . Then the equality we want to prove becomes

$$\mathcal{A}_{F,\xi}^{q,R}(\mathcal{F}) \cong R^{q-r}\psi_{\mu,F}^{pro-l,op}(\mathcal{F}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_l} (\wedge_{\mathbb{Z}_l}^r \pi_1(\mathbb{G}_{m,k}^r)_l)^\vee,$$

where  $^\vee$  denotes the dual  $\mathbb{Z}_l$ -module, and the isomorphism is a canonical isomorphism of  $R[[\pi_1(\mathbb{G}_{m,k}^r)_l]]$ -modules.

We have a canonical isomorphism

$$\mathcal{A}_{F,\xi}^{q,R}(\mathcal{F}) = R^q j_* (\mathcal{F} \otimes_R^L F^* L_{\mathbb{G}_{m,k}^r}^R)_\xi \cong H^q(\text{Gal}(\bar{\mu}/\mu), R\psi_{\mu,F}^{pro-l}(\mathcal{F} \otimes_R^L F^* L_{\mathbb{G}_{m,k}^r}^R)),$$

which may be rewritten as an isomorphism

$$\mathcal{A}_{F,\xi}^{q,R}(\mathcal{F}) \cong H^q(\pi_1(\mathbb{G}_{m,k}^r)_l, R\psi_{\mu,F}^{pro-l}(\mathcal{F}) \otimes_R^L L_{\mathbb{G}_{m,k}^r}^R),$$

where we view  $L_{\mathbb{G}_{m,k}^r}^R$  as an  $R$ -module with continuous  $\pi_1(\mathbb{G}_{m,k}^r)_l$ -action. Now let us take a look at the hypercohomological spectral sequence

$$\begin{aligned} E_2^{i,j} &= H^i(\pi_1(\mathbb{G}_{m,k}^r)_l, R^j\psi_{\mu,F}^{pro-l}(\mathcal{F}) \otimes_R^L L_{\mathbb{G}_{m,k}^r}^R) \\ &\Rightarrow H^{i+j}(\pi_1(\mathbb{G}_{m,k}^r)_l, R\psi_{\mu,F}^{pro-l}(\mathcal{F}) \otimes_R^L L_{\mathbb{G}_{m,k}^r}^R). \end{aligned}$$

By [13], proposition 4.2.2.1, the spectral sequence degenerates at  $E_2$ , and yields the desired isomorphism.  $\square$

What does this all mean for the support of the Alexander complex  $\mathcal{A}_{F,\xi}^q(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_l)$  if  $k = \mathbb{C}$ ? Consider the universal cover

$$p : \mathbb{C}^* \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^* : z \mapsto e^{2\pi iz},$$

and let  $\bar{\eta}$  be the corresponding geometric generic point of the Henselization of  $\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{C}}^1$  at 0. Fix an embedding of  $\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_l$  in  $\mathbb{C}$ . The choice of  $\bar{\eta}$  yields a distinguished topological basis  $(\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_r)$  for  $\pi_1(\mathbb{G}_{m,\mathbb{C}}^r)^t$ , and the embedding of  $\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_l$  in  $\mathbb{C}$  allows us to identify a closed point  $\chi$  of  $\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{G}_{m,k}^r)$  with a point  $(e^{2\pi i \alpha_j})_j$  in  $\text{Spec } \mathbb{C}[\mathbb{Z}]$ , with  $\alpha_j \in \mathbb{Q}$ . This correspondence identifies the support of  $\mathcal{A}_{F,\xi}^q(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_l)$  with the support of the stalk of the analytical complex  $\psi_F^{an}(\mathbb{C})$  at  $\xi$ .

To conclude, let us consider the behaviour of the Alexander complex with respect to resolution of singularities. Let  $h : Y \rightarrow X$  be a simultaneous resolution for the  $f_i$ , and let  $\mathcal{F}$  be an object in  $D_c^b(Y_T, R)$ . It follows from the proper base change theorem that

$$Rh_* Rj_*(\mathcal{F} \otimes h^* F^* L_T^R) \cong Rj_*(Rh_* \mathcal{F} \otimes F^* L_T^R).$$

Suppose that the resolution is tame, i.e. all multiplicities  $N_j^i$  are prime to the characteristic exponent of  $k$ . In this case, the complex  $Rj_*(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_l \otimes h^* F^* L_T^R)$  is lisse on the strata  $E_I^o$ , by [13], lemma 4.3.2, and on each stratum  $E_I^o$ , we have an isomorphism

$$R^q j_*(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_l \otimes h^* F^* L_T^R) \cong R^0 j_*(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_l \otimes h^* F^* L_T^R) \otimes \wedge^q (M_I \otimes \bar{\mathbb{Q}}_l(-1))$$

where  $M_I$  is defined in the obvious way.

## 6. A formula for the local zeta function

We recall, and introduce, some notation. Let  $K$  be a finite field extension of  $\mathbb{Q}_p$ , with ring of integers  $R$ , and residue field  $k \cong F_q$ . We denote by  $P$  the maximal ideal of  $R$ . Let  $\Phi$  be a residual, locally constant function on  $R^n$ , and  $F = (f_1, \dots, f_r)$ , with  $f_i$  a polynomial in  $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$  over  $R$ . Let  $\chi = (\chi_1, \dots, \chi_r)$ , where  $\chi_j$  is a multiplicative character on  $R^\times$  of order  $d_j$ , trivial on  $1 + P$ . Put  $d = (d_1, \dots, d_r)$ . Denote by  $N_i$  the vector  $(N_i^1, \dots, N_i^r)$ , and by  $s$  the  $r$ -tuple of complex variables  $(s_1, \dots, s_r)$ . Let  $\bar{\cdot}$  denote reduction modulo  $P$ . Let  $(Y, h) = h : Y \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_K^n$  be a simultaneous embedded resolution for  $F$ , and always assume tame good reduction [4][5][6]. Let  $T$  be the index set of the exceptional components, where  $T_s$  indexes the components of strict transform, and  $T_e$  the exceptional divisors. The symbol  $E_I$ , with  $I \subset T$ , means  $\cap_{i \in I} E_i$ , and  $E_I^o$  stands for  $E_I \setminus \cup_{j \in T \setminus I} E_j$ . Analogously, we write  $\bar{E}_I$  instead of  $\cap_{i \in I} \bar{E}_i$ , and  $\bar{E}_I^o$  instead of  $\bar{E}_I \setminus \cup_{j \in T \setminus I} \bar{E}_j$ .

We say that a multiplicity vector  $N_i$  satisfies condition  $\gamma(N_i)$  if the character  $\prod_{j=1}^r \chi_j^{N_i^j}$  is trivial. The condition  $\gamma(N_i)$  replaces the condition  $d|N_i$  in the univariate case.

**6.0.6. Theorem.** — Let  $f_i \in R[x]$ ,  $\bar{F} \neq 0$ , and let  $(Y, h)$  have good reduction modulo  $P$ . Then

$$Z_\Phi(F, \chi; s) = q^{-n} \sum_{I \subset T, \gamma(N_i) \text{ if } i \in I} c_{I, \chi, \Phi} \prod_{i \in I} \frac{q-1}{q^{N_i s + \nu_i} - 1}$$

where  $c_{I, \chi, \Phi} = \sum_{a \in \bar{E}_I^{\circ(k)}} \bar{\Phi}(a) \Omega_\chi(a)$  and  $\Omega_\chi(a) = \prod_{j=1}^r \chi_j(u_j)$ , with  $u_j$  as in the univariate case [6] ( $\chi_j(u_j)$  is ill-defined, but their product isn't).

*Proof.* — See the proof of Denef in [6]. His proof can be read as if  $s$ ,  $\psi$  and the  $N_i$  were vectors, replacing the condition  $d|N_i$  by  $\gamma(N_i)$  to obtain the generalized formula.  $\square$

We write  $c_{I, \chi}$  for  $c_{I, \chi, \Phi}$  when  $\Phi$  is the characteristic function of  $R^n$ , and  $c_{I, \chi, 0}$  when  $\Phi$  is the characteristic function of  $P^n$ .

These coefficients have a cohomological interpretation. Choose a prime number  $l$ , not dividing  $q$ , such that all characters  $\chi_j$  take values in  $\mathbb{Q}_l$ , identifying  $\mathbb{Q}_l$  with a subfield of  $\mathbb{C}$ . Let  $C$  be the torus  $(\mathbb{A}_{F_q} \setminus 0)^r$ , define  $\bar{Y}_C$  as  $(\bar{F} \circ \bar{h})^{-1}(C)$  and let  $\alpha : \bar{Y}_C \rightarrow C$  be the induced map.

The étale cover

$$\text{Spec } F_q[w, w^{-1}, z] / (z_1^{d_1} - w_1, \dots, z_r^{d_r} - w_r)$$

of  $C$ ,  $z = (z_1, \dots, z_r)$ ,  $w = (w_1, \dots, w_r)$ , has Galois group  $\mu_d = \prod_{i=1}^r \mu_{d_i}$ . Through the isomorphism  $\mu_d \cong \prod_i F_q^\times / (F_q^\times)^{d_i}$ ,  $\psi = \prod_i \chi_i$  induces a character  $\psi'$  on  $\mu_d$ , which at its turn induces a continuous representation of  $\pi_1(C)$  in  $\mathbb{Q}_l^\times$ . We denote the locally constant  $\mathbb{Q}_l$ -sheaf associated to its inverse by  $\mathcal{L}'_\psi$ . Let  $\mathcal{L}_{\psi, \alpha}$  be  $\alpha^* \mathcal{L}'_\psi$ , and  $\mathcal{F}_\psi$  be  $\nu_* \mathcal{L}_{\psi, \alpha}$ , where  $\nu : \bar{Y}_C \rightarrow \bar{Y}$  is the open immersion. The sheaf is constructed in such a way that the action of the geometric Frobenius corresponds to the character we want to study, so we get a convenient Grothendieck trace formula [11]:

**6.0.7. Theorem.** — The sheaf  $\mathcal{F}_\psi$  is locally constant of rank 1 on  $U_d = \bar{Y} \setminus \bigcup_{i \in T} \bar{E}_i$ . If  $I \subset T$  and  $\gamma(N_i)$  for all  $i \in I$ , then

$$c_{I, \chi} = \sum_i (-1)^i \text{Tr}(Fr, H_c^i(\bar{E}_I^{\circ} \otimes_{F_q} F_q^{\text{alg}}, \mathcal{F}_\psi)),$$

and

$$c_{I, \chi, 0} = \sum_i (-1)^i \text{Tr}(Fr, H_c^i(\bar{E}_I^{\circ} \cap \bar{h}^{-1}(0) \otimes_{F_q} F_q^{\text{alg}}, \mathcal{F}_\psi))$$

where  $Fr$  is the Frobenius endomorphism, and  $F_q^{\text{alg}}$  denotes the algebraic closure of  $F_q$ .

*Proof.* — Cover  $U_d$  with affine opens  $V \subset U_d$ , such that on each  $V$ , we can write  $\bar{f}_j \circ \bar{h}$  as  $u_j \prod y_i^{M_i^j}$ , with  $u_j$  nonvanishing on  $V$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, r$ , and  $(y_j)$  a system of local parameters on  $V$ . Let  $u$  be the mapping from  $V$  to the torus  $C$  induced by the  $u_j$ . The multiplicity vectors  $M_i$  satisfy condition  $\gamma$  for each  $i$ , so it follows from the bimultiplicativity of the construction of  $\mathcal{F}$  that the sheaf  $\mathcal{G} = u^* \mathcal{L}_\psi$  on  $V$  coincides

with  $\mathcal{L}_{\psi,\alpha}$  on  $V \cap \bar{Y}_C$ . Since  $\mathcal{G}$  is, by purity, isomorphic to the direct image of its restriction to  $V \cap \bar{Y}_C$ , we see that  $\mathcal{G} = \mathcal{F}_\psi|_V$ .

Now let  $I$  be a subset of  $T$  so that  $\gamma(N_i)$  for each  $i \in I$ ; thus  $\bar{E}_I^\circ \subset U_d$ . Let  $a$  be a point in  $V$ . The action of the geometric Frobenius  $\varphi$  of  $a$  on the stalk of  $\mathcal{F}_\psi$  at  $a$ , is induced by the monodromy action on the stalk by the element of  $\mu_d$  corresponding to  $\varphi$ , and is given by  $\Omega_\chi(a)$ . Grothendieck's trace formula yields the expressions for  $c_{I,\chi}$  and  $c_{I,\chi,0}$ .  $\square$

We now study the higher direct images of  $\mathcal{L}_{\psi,\alpha}$  under  $\nu$ .

**6.0.8. Lemma.** — (i)  $R^j \nu_* \mathcal{L}_{\psi,\alpha}$  is zero outside  $U_d$  for all  $j \geq 0$ .

(ii) For  $I \subset T$ , let  $\nu_I$  be the open immersion  $\nu_I : \bar{E}_I^\circ \rightarrow \bar{E}_I$ . Then  $R^j \nu_{I*}(\mathcal{F}_\psi|_{\bar{E}_I^\circ})$  is zero outside  $\bar{E}_I \cap U_d$  for all  $j \geq 0$ .

(iii) Suppose  $i \in T$  and  $\gamma(N_i)$ . Then  $(R^j \nu_* \mathcal{L}_{\psi,\alpha})|_{\bar{E}_i^\circ}$  is zero for all  $j \geq 2$ , and canonically isomorphic to  $\mathcal{F}_\psi(-1)|_{\bar{E}_i^\circ}$  for  $j = 1$ .

*Proof.* — (i) Let  $a$  be a closed point of  $\bar{Y} \setminus U_d$ . This point belongs to  $\bar{E}_I^\circ$  for some  $I \subset T$ , and  $I$  contains an index  $i_0$  such that  $N_{i_0}$  does not satisfy  $\gamma$ . We will prove that the stalk of  $R^j \nu_* \mathcal{L}_{\psi,\alpha}$  at  $a$  is zero. This assertion is local for the étale topology, which allows us to replace  $\bar{Y}$  by  $A_{F_q}^n$ ,  $\bar{Y}_C$  by  $(\prod_{i \in I} (A_{F_q}^1 \setminus 0)) \times A_{F_q}^{n-\text{card} I}$ ,  $\alpha$  by  $(\prod_{i \in I} y_i^{N_i^j})_j$ , and  $a$  by the origin. Then we can write

$$\mathcal{L}_{\psi,\alpha} = \alpha^* \mathcal{L}_\psi = \boxtimes_{i \in I} (\otimes_{j=1}^r \mathcal{L}_{\chi_j}^{\otimes N_i}) \boxtimes \mathcal{C}$$

where  $\mathcal{C}$  denotes the constant sheaf  $\mathbb{Q}_l$  on  $A_{F_q}^{n-\text{card} I}$ , and  $\mathcal{L}_{\chi_j}$  denotes the Kummer sheaf associated to  $\chi_j$ . Let  $\mu$  be the open immersion of  $A_{F_q}^1 \setminus 0$  in  $A_{F_q}^1$ . Since  $N_{i_0}$  does not satisfy  $\gamma$ , the stalk of  $\mu_* \otimes_{j=1}^r \mathcal{L}_{\chi_j}^{\otimes N_{i_0}}$  at the origin is zero (because we get a nontrivial monodromy action). Local duality yields  $(R^1 \mu_* \otimes_{j=1}^r \mathcal{L}_{\chi_j}^{\otimes N_{i_0}})_0 = 0$ . Hence  $(R^j \mu_* \otimes_{j=1}^r \mathcal{L}_{\chi_j}^{\otimes N_{i_0}})_0 = 0$  for all  $j \geq 0$ . Now we can apply the Künneth formula to the expression for  $\mathcal{L}_{\psi,\alpha}$ , to obtain the desired result.

(ii) Because of (i), we may suppose that  $\bar{E}_I^\circ \subset U_d$ . When  $N_i$  satisfies  $\gamma$ , we get a trivial monodromy action on  $\otimes_{j=1}^r \mathcal{L}_{\chi_j}^{\otimes N_i}$ , so this sheaf is constant. Now one can use a local description similar to the one in (i).

(iii) Apply purity results to the smooth pair consisting of  $\bar{E}_i^\circ$  and  $\bar{Y} \setminus \cup_{k \neq i} \bar{E}_k$ .  $\square$

The same arguments as in the univariate case [6] can be used to prove the following result from the preceding lemma.

**6.0.9. Lemma.** — Let  $E_{i_0}$  be proper,  $\gamma(N_{i_0})$ , and suppose that  $E_{i_0}$  intersects no  $E_j$  with  $\gamma(N_j)$ ,  $j \neq i_0$ . Then

$$H_c^i(\bar{E}_{i_0}^\circ \otimes_{F_q} F_q^{\text{alg}}, \mathcal{F}_\psi) = 0 \text{ for } i \neq n - 1.$$

So if in addition  $\chi(E_{i_0}^\circ) = 0$  (i.e. the topological Euler characteristic of  $E_{i_0}^\circ(\mathbb{C})$  vanishes), we have no contribution in the formula for  $Z_\Phi(F, \chi; s)$ , since  $\chi(E_{i_0}^\circ)$  and  $\chi_c(\bar{E}_i^\circ \otimes_{F_q} F_q^{\text{alg}}, \mathbb{Q}_l)$  differ by a nonzero factor, and this latter Euler characteristic



with compact support at its turn equals  $\chi_c(\bar{E}_i^o \otimes_{F_q} F_q^{alg}, \mathcal{F}_\psi)$ , because  $\mathcal{F}_\psi|_{\bar{E}_i^o}$  has tame ramification. This allows us to prove:

**6.0.10. Theorem.** — *Suppose  $n = 2$ . If  $H : \sum_k c_k s_k + 1 = 0$  is a polar hyperplane of  $Z$ , then there exists an index  $j$  in  $T$ , with  $|E_j/E_j^o| \geq 3$  or  $j \in T_s$ , and  $c_k = N_j^k/\nu_j$  for each  $k$ .*

*Proof.* — This proof generalizes the one in [7]. Some notation: fix  $j$  in  $T_e$ , and let  $a_t$ ,  $t \in J$ , be the geometric points of  $E_j \setminus E_j^o$ . For  $t \in J$ , let  $N_t^k, \nu_t$  denote the numerical data associated to the unique divisor intersecting  $E_j$  transversally in  $a_t$ , and put  $\alpha_t^{(k)} = \nu_t - N_t^k \nu_j / N_j^k$  if  $N_j^k$  differs from zero. It is well-known [21][33] that

$$\sum_{t \in J} (\alpha_t^{(k)} - 1) = -2, \text{ and } \sum_{t \in J} N_t^k \equiv 0 \text{ mod } N_j^k \quad (*)$$

for each  $k$  for which the identities are defined.

Let  $S$  be the set of all divisors  $E_j$  inducing the polar hyperplane  $H$ . Suppose that each of its members satisfies  $|E_j \setminus E_j^o| \leq 2$  and  $j \notin T_s$ . It follows from the identities above that different elements of  $S$  cannot meet, since otherwise we could build an infinite tree of divisors in  $S$ , which is absurd. Suppose that  $E_j$  belongs to  $S$  and satisfies  $\gamma(N_j)$ . Suppose that  $|E_j \setminus E_j^o| = \{a_1, a_2\}$ ; the other case is similar. Either both  $N_1$  and  $N_2$  satisfy  $\gamma$ , or none of them does; in the latter case  $E_j$  does not contribute to  $Z$  because of the previous lemma, in the former case the sheaf  $\mathcal{F}_\psi$  will be geometrically constant on  $\bar{E}_j$ , and it follows from the trace formula and the formula for  $Z$ , combined with the information about the  $\alpha_t^{(k)}$ , that  $E_j$  does not contribute to the polar locus of  $Z$ .  $\square$

## 7. Degree of local zeta functions and monodromy

In this section, we establish a formula for the limit of the zeta function for  $s \rightarrow -\infty$ , and we prove the following theorem, which is a generalization of a theorem in [8]:

**7.0.11. Theorem.** — *Let  $F = (f_1, \dots, f_r)$  be defined over a number field  $K \subset \mathbb{C}$ . For almost all completions of  $K$ , the degree of the local zeta function  $Z_0(f, \chi; s)$  will be strictly less than zero if  $\chi \notin \text{Supp } \mathcal{A}_{F,0}^q(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_l)$  for all  $q$ .*

Here the statement that the degree of  $Z_0(f, \chi; s)$  is strictly negative, means that the well-defined limit  $\lim_{s \rightarrow -\infty} Z_0(f, \chi; s)$  vanishes.

*Proof.* — Let  $\bar{\eta}$  be a geometric generic point of  $\mathbb{A}_{F_q}^r$ , defining an algebraic closure  $F_q^{alg}$  of  $F_q$ . Let  $S_0$  be the Henselization at 0 of  $\mathbb{A}_{F_q}^r$ , and denote by  $\eta_0$  its generic point. Put  $G_0 = \text{Gal}(\bar{\eta}/\eta_0)$ .

Let  $\sigma$  be an element of  $G_0$  which induces the geometric Frobenius  $\varphi$  on  $F_q^{alg}$ . Then, using the notation from the previous section,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_a (-1)^a \text{Tr}(\sigma, \mathcal{A}_{\bar{F},0}^a(\bar{\mathcal{Q}}_l)_\chi) \\
&= \sum_{a,b} (-1)^{a+b} \text{Tr}(\sigma, H^a(\bar{h}^{-1}(0) \otimes F_q^{\text{alg}}, R^b \nu_*((\bar{F} \circ \bar{h})^* L_T^{\mathbb{Z}_l} \otimes (\bar{F} \circ \bar{h})^* \mathcal{L}_\chi))
\end{aligned}$$

(By proper base change and Leray's direct image spectral sequence, we can compute the trace on the exceptional locus of the embedded resolution  $\bar{h}$ .)

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \sum_I \sum_{a,b} (-1)^{a+b} \text{Tr}(\sigma, H_c^a((\bar{E}_I^o \cap \bar{h}^{-1}(0)) \otimes F_q^{\text{alg}}, \\
&\quad R^0 \nu_*((\bar{F} \circ \bar{h})^* L_T^{\mathbb{Z}_l} \otimes (\bar{F} \circ \bar{h})^* \mathcal{L}_\chi) \otimes \wedge^b(M_I \otimes \bar{\mathcal{Q}}_l(-1)))
\end{aligned}$$

(By the explicit computations in the normal crossing case in Section 5)

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \sum_I \sum_{a,b} (-1)^{a+b} \text{Tr}(\varphi, H_c^a((\bar{E}_I^o \cap \bar{h}^{-1}(0)) \otimes F_q^{\text{alg}}, \mathcal{F}_\chi)) \text{Tr}(\varphi, \wedge^b(M_I \otimes \bar{\mathcal{Q}}_l(-1))) \\
&= q^{-n} (1-q)^\lambda \lim_{s \rightarrow -\infty} Z_0(F, \chi, s)
\end{aligned}$$

where  $\lambda$  is the number of linearly independent multiplicity vectors  $(N_i^j)_{i \in I, j = 1, \dots, r}$ .  $\square$

In the motivic setting, the limit of the multivariate zeta function yields a motivic incarnation of the Alexander complex [15]. In the case  $r = 1$ , the motivic Milnor fiber has the same mixed Hodge structure as the geometric Milnor fiber, and the Hodge spectrum of  $F = f_1$  can be recovered from this motivic object [9][10].

## 8. Proof of the monodromy and holomorphy conjectures for curves

Now we are ready to prove Conjectures 4.4.1 and 4.4.2 when  $n = 2$  (see [27] and [32] for the univariate case  $r = 1$ ).

*Proof of the Generalized Monodromy Conjecture 4.4.1 for  $n = 2$*

Polar hyperplanes induced by components of the strict transform satisfy the conjecture, as can be seen by looking at the Alexander polynomial of the stalk of the Alexander complex in a smooth point of the zero locus. Let  $E_j$  be an exceptional divisor intersecting at least three other divisors and lying above a point  $x$  of  $X$ . Let  $N'$  be an integer  $r$ -tuple, and denote by  $\mathcal{I}$  the set of exceptional components lying over  $x$ , with a multiplicity vector which is an integer multiple of  $N'$ . We say that  $E_i$  satisfies  $\delta$  if it belongs to  $\mathcal{I}$ . Because of Sabbah's A'Campo formula, and the previous arguments, it suffices to prove that the expression  $w_{\mathcal{I}} := \sum_{E_i \in \mathcal{I}} \chi(E_i^o)$  is strictly less than zero, for each  $N'$  such that  $E_j$  satisfies  $\delta$ . We may suppose that none of the components of the strict transform satisfies  $\delta$ , since in the other case, it again suffices to look at the Alexander complex in a smooth point. To prove the monodromy conjecture, we use the inequality  $w_{\mathcal{I}} < 0$  in the case where  $N'$  equals  $N_j$ , divided by the greatest common divisor of  $\nu_j$  and the entries of  $N_j$ .

Let  $\mathcal{N}$  be the union over all  $E_i$  satisfying  $\delta$ . It follows from the relations (\*) in the proof of Theorem 6.0.10, that each connected component of  $\mathcal{N}$  contains a prime divisor, intersecting at least two divisors  $E_k$  not satisfying  $\delta$  (we suppose  $f_j(x) = 0$  for some  $j$ ). Let  $\mathcal{M}$  be the set of divisors in the connected component of  $\mathcal{N}$  containing  $E_j$ ; it suffices to prove that  $w_{\mathcal{M}} < 0$ . It is known that  $w_{\mathcal{M}} = 0$  implies that  $\mathcal{M}$  is a tree intersecting the union of the other exceptional components exactly twice [27]. These two intersection points have to lie on the same divisor  $E_1$  in  $\mathcal{M}$ . Suppose that  $E_1 = E_j$ . There is still another divisor  $E_2$  in  $\mathcal{M}$  intersecting  $E_j$ . We claim that we can find an index  $k$  in  $\{1, \dots, r\}$  such that  $\alpha_t^{(k)} < 1$  for all  $E_t$  intersecting  $E_1$ . Since  $\alpha_2^{(k)} \in \mathbb{Z}$ , (\*) yields  $\alpha_2^{(k)} = 0$ . But  $E_2$  has to intersect another divisor in  $\mathcal{M}$ , and repeatedly applying (\*) produces an infinite tree. If  $E_1 \neq E_j$ , there are at least three divisors in  $\mathcal{M}$  intersecting  $E_j$ ; since only one component intersects a divisor not belonging to  $\mathcal{M}$ , the same arguments can be used.

We still have to prove our claim. We claim that we can always find an appropriate index  $k$ , unless we have done some redundant blow-ups during the resolution process. It is known that this property holds in the univariate case  $r = 1$ . But all the divisors meeting  $h^{-1}(x)$  appear as well in a minimal resolution for  $\prod_{f_j(x)=0} f_j$ , so the claim follows from  $\sum_{i=1}^m x_i / \sum_{i=1}^m y_i \leq \max_i \{x_i / y_i\}$  for  $x_i, y_i \in \mathbb{N}_0$ .  $\square$

*Proof of the Generalized Holomorphy Conjecture 4.4.2 for  $n = 2$*

If  $Z$  is not holomorphic, there must exist an index  $j$  in  $T$ , such that the corresponding divisor  $E_j$  induces a pole in the formula for the zeta function. This means that we can choose  $j$  such that  $\gamma(N_j)$  is satisfied, and  $j \in T_s$  or  $|E_j \setminus E_j^o| \geq 3$ . If  $j \in T_s$ , it is clear from Sabbah's A'Campo formula that the holomorphy conjecture is satisfied; so let us assume that  $|E_j \setminus E_j^o| \geq 3$ , and that  $E_j$  is an exceptional divisor above  $x$ . We've proven above that that the expression  $w_I := \sum_{E_i \in I} \chi(E_i^o)$  is strictly less than zero when we take the sum over all exceptional divisors lying over  $x$  for which the multiplicity vector is a multiple of some integer vector  $N'$ , whenever  $E_j$  belongs to  $I$ .

Taking  $N'$  equal to  $N_j$ , defining  $g$  to be the greatest common divisor of the entries of  $N_j$ , and putting  $N'_j = N_j/g$ , it follows from Sabbah's A'Campo formula that the locus of  $T^{N'_j} = \xi_g$  is contained in the support of the Alexander complex at  $x$ , where  $\xi_g$  is a primitive  $g$ -th root. Hence, it suffices to find a character  $\psi$  so that  $\psi^{N'_j}$  is a primitive  $g$ -th root, and  $\chi = \psi^k \chi'$ , with  $\chi'^{N'_j} = 1$  and  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . Since  $\gamma(N_j)$  is satisfied, we can take  $\chi'$  to be  $\chi^g$ , and furthermore, if  $\chi$  is non-trivial, we can find  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  so that  $\chi^{N_j(1-g)/k} = 1$ , but  $\chi^{g'N'_j(1-g)/k} \neq 1$  unless  $g$  divides  $g'$ . Now put  $\psi = \chi^{(1-g)/k}$ .  $\square$

### Acknowledgements

The author would like to thank François Loeser for his clarifications and comments, and for the inspiring discussions.

## References

- [1] N. A'Campo, *La fonction zeta d'une monodromie*, Comment. Math. Helvetici **50** (1975), 233–248.
- [2] A. J. de Jong, *Smoothness, semi-stability and alterations*, Publ. Math. Inst. Hautes Étud. Sci. **83** (1996), 51–93.
- [3] P. Deligne and N. Katz, *SGA 7 ii: Groupes de monodromie en géométrie algébrique*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, vol. 340, Springer-Verlag, 1973.
- [4] J. Denef, *The diophantine problem for polynomial rings and fields of rational functions*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **242** (1978), no. 391-399.
- [5] ———, *On the degree of igusa's local zeta function*, Am. J. of Math. **109** (1987), 991–1008.
- [6] ———, *Local zeta functions and Euler characteristics*, Duke Math. J. **63** (1991), 713–721.
- [7] ———, *Report on Igusa's local zeta function*, Séminaire Bourbaki **Vol. 1990/91, Exp. No.730-744** (1991), 359–386, Astérisque 201-203.
- [8] ———, *Degree of local zeta functions and monodromy*, Compositio Math. **89** (1993), 207–216.
- [9] J. Denef and F. Loeser, *Motivic Igusa zeta functions*, J. Algebraic Geom. **7** (1998), 505–537.
- [10] ———, *Geometry on arc spaces of algebraic varieties*, Progr. Math. **201** (2001), 327–348.
- [11] E. Dold and B. Eckmann, *SGA 4 1/2: Cohomologie étale*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, vol. 569, Springer-Verlag, 1977.
- [12] E. Freitag and R. Kiehl, *Étale cohomology and the Weil conjecture*, Springer-Verlag, 1988.
- [13] O. Gabber and F. Loeser, *Faisceaux pervers  $l$ -adique sur un tore*, Duke Math. J. **83** (1996), 501–606.
- [14] A. Grothendieck, M. Raynaud, and D. S. Rim, *SGA 7 i: Groupes de monodromie en géométrie algébrique*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, vol. 288, Springer-Verlag, 1972.
- [15] G. Guibert, *Espaces d'arcs et invariants d'Alexander*, Comment. Math. Helv. **77** (2002), no. 4, 783–820.
- [16] J. Igusa, *Complex powers and asymptotic expansions I*, Journal für die reine und angewandte Mathematik **268** (1974), 110–130.
- [17] ———, *Complex powers and asymptotic expansions II*, Journal für die reine und angewandte Mathematik **278** (1975), 307–321.
- [18] ———, *Lectures on forms of higher degree (notes by S. Raghavan)*, Lectures on mathematics and physics, Tata institute of fundamental research, vol. 59, Springer-Verlag, 1978.
- [19] ———, *An introduction to the theory of local zeta functions*, Studies in advanced mathematics, AMS, 2000.
- [20] V. Kulikov, *Mixed Hodge structures and singularities*, Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics, vol. 132, Cambridge University Press, 1998.
- [21] F. Loeser, *Fonctions d'Igusa  $p$ -adiques et polynômes de Bernstein*, Am. J. of Math. **110** (1988), 1–22.

- [22] ———, *Fonctions zêta locales d'Igusa à plusieurs variables, intégration dans les fibres, et discriminants*, Ann. Sci. Ec. Norm. Sup. **22** (1989), 435–471.
- [23] ———, *Faisceaux pervers, transformation de Mellin et déterminants*, Mémoires de la Société Mathématique de France **66** (1996), 1–105.
- [24] J. S. Milne, *Etale cohomology*, Princeton Mathematical Series, vol. 33, Princeton University Press, 1980.
- [25] J. Milnor, *Singular points of complex hypersurfaces*, Annals of Math. Studies, vol. 61, Princeton University Press, 1968.
- [26] J. P. Murre, *Lectures on an introduction to grothendieck's theory of the fundamental group*, Tata Institute of Fundamental Research, 1967.
- [27] B. Rodrigues, *On the monodromy conjecture for curves on normal surfaces*, to appear in Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.
- [28] C. Sabbah, *Proximité évanescence. I. La structure polaire d'un  $\mathcal{D}$ -module*, Compositio Math. **62** (1987), 283–328.
- [29] ———, *Proximité évanescence. II. Equations fonctionnelles pour plusieurs fonctions analytiques*, Compositio Math. **64** (1987), 213–241.
- [30] ———, *Modules d'Alexander et  $\mathcal{D}$ -modules*, Duke Math. J. **60** (1990), no. 3, 729–814.
- [31] J.-P. Serre, *Classification des variétés analytiques  $p$ -adiques compactes*, Topology **3** (1965), 409–412.
- [32] W. Veys, *Holomorphy of local zeta functions for curves*, Math. Annalen **295** (1993), 635–641.
- [33] ———, *Poles of Igusa's local zeta function and monodromy*, Bull. Soc. Math. France **121** (1993), 545–598.

---

JOHANNES NICAISE, KULEuven, Dept. of Mathematics, Celestijnenlaan 200B, 3001 Leuven,  
Belgium • *E-mail* : johannes.nicaise@wis.kuleuven.ac.be