

# GORENSTEIN FANO THREEFOLDS WITH BASE POINTS IN THE ANTICANONICAL SYSTEM

PRISKA JAHNKE AND IVO RADLOFF

## 1. INTRODUCTION

In the classification of Fano varieties, those which are not “Gino Fano”, i.e., for which  $-K_X$  is ample but not very ample, are usually annoying. In the beginning of his classification of Fano threefolds Iskovskikh listed those for which  $|-K_X|$  is not free. The purpose of this article is to see how his result extends to the canonical Gorenstein case.

If  $X$  is a Gorenstein Fano threefold with at worst canonical singularities, and  $\text{Bs } |-K_X| \neq \emptyset$ , then the rational map defined by  $|-K_X|$  goes to a surface  $W$ , which is a rational ruled surface  $\Sigma_e$  with  $e \geq 0$  or  $\widehat{C}_d$ , the cone over a rational normal curve of degree  $d$ . The following Theorem lists the possible pairs  $(X, W)$ :

**1.1. Theorem.** *Let  $X$  be a Gorenstein Fano threefold with at worst canonical singularities and  $\text{Bs } |-K_X| \neq \emptyset$ . Then we are in one of the following cases.*

- i)  $\dim \text{Bs } |-K_X| = 0$ . *In this case  $X$  is a complete intersection in  $\mathbb{P}(1^4, 2, 3)$  of a quadric  $Q$ , defined in the first four linear variables, and a sextic  $F_6$ ;  $(-K_X)^3 = 2$  and  $W$  is the quadric  $Q$  in  $\mathbb{P}_3$ .*
- ii)  $\dim \text{Bs } |-K_X| = 1$ . *Then  $\text{Bs } |-K_X| \simeq \mathbb{P}_1$  and either*
  - (a)  *$X$  is the blowup of a sextic in  $\mathbb{P}(1^3, 2, 3)$  along a complete intersection curve of arithmetic genus 1;  $(-K_X)^3 = 4$  and  $W \simeq \Sigma_1$  or*
  - (b)  *$X \simeq S_1 \times \mathbb{P}_1$ , where  $S_1$  is a del Pezzo surface of degree 1 with at worst Du Val singularities;  $(-K_X)^3 = 6$  and  $W \simeq \mathbb{P}_1 \times \mathbb{P}_1$  or*
  - (c)  *$X = X_{2m-2}$  is an anticanonical model of the blowup of the variety  $U_m$  (see below) along a smooth, rational complete intersection curve  $\Gamma_0 \subset U_{m,\text{reg}}$  for  $3 \leq m \leq 12$ ;  $(-K_X)^3 = 2m - 2$  and  $W \simeq \widehat{C}_m$ .*

Here  $U_m$  denotes a double cover of  $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_1}(m) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_1}(m-4) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_1})$  with at worst canonical singularities, such that  $-K_{U_m}$  is the pullback of the tautological line bundle  $\mathcal{O}(1)$ . For  $m \geq 4$ , this is a hyperelliptic Gorenstein almost Fano threefold of degree  $4m - 8$ . The curve  $\Gamma_0$  lies over the complete intersection of some general element in  $|\mathcal{O}(1)|$  and the “minimal surface”  $B \in |\mathcal{O}(1) - mF|$ , where  $|F|$  denotes the pencil (note that  $\Gamma_0$  is always contained in the ramification locus). If  $m = 3$ , then  $\Gamma_0$  is the only curve, on which  $-K_{U_3}$  is not nef. For details of the construction see section 5.

The cases (a) and (b) are as in Iskovskikh’s list. In a different context case i) appears in [Me99] and [IT01], and apparently also in [M88].

**Acknowledgements.** The authors gratefully acknowledge support by the Schwerpunkt program *Globale Methoden in der komplexen Geometrie* of the Deutsche

*Date:* 21st November 2018.

Forschungsgemeinschaft. They also want to thank the referee for many valuable remarks and comments.

## 2. PRELIMINARIES

We recall the following fundamental results:

**2.1. Theorem [Shokurov, [Sho80]/Reid, [R83]].** *Let  $X$  be a Gorenstein Fano threefold with at worst canonical singularities. Then  $|-K_X|$  contains an irreducible surface  $S$  with at worst Du Val singularities, called general elephant.*

The birational contraction  $h: Y \rightarrow X$  in the following theorem is called a *partial crepant resolution* or *terminal modification* of  $X$ :

**2.2. Theorem [Reid, [R79]/Kawamata, [K88]].** *Let  $X$  be a threefold with only canonical singularities. Then there exists a  $\mathbb{Q}$ -factorial threefold  $Y$  with only terminal singularities and a birational contraction  $h: Y \rightarrow X$  such that  $K_Y = h^*K_X$ .*

If  $X$  is Gorenstein, then  $Y$  is in fact factorial (for example [K88], Lemma 5.1.).

A Gorenstein threefold  $X$  for which  $-K_X$  is big and nef is called *almost Fano*. It is called *hyperelliptic*, if  $|-K_X|$  is free, but the associated map  $\varphi$  fails to be injective at the generic point. In that case

$$\varphi: X \longrightarrow W \subset \mathbb{P}_N$$

is generically 2-to-1 and  $W$  is a so-called variety of minimal degree, i.e.,

$$\deg W = \operatorname{codim} W + 1.$$

Varieties of minimal degree have been classified by del Pezzo ([dP85]) in dimension 2 and by Bertini in arbitrary dimension  $n$  ([Ber07]). The list (with some repetitions) is as follows:

- i)  $\mathbb{P}_n$ ;
- ii) the  $n$ -dimensional quadric  $Q_n \subset \mathbb{P}_{n+1}$ ;
- iii) (a cone over) the Veronese surface;
- iv) (a cone over) a rational scroll.

The *cone over a (rational) scroll*, denoted  $\overline{\mathbb{F}(d_1, \dots, d_n)}$ , is the image of

$$\mathbb{F}(d_1, \dots, d_n) = \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_1}(d_1) \oplus \dots \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_1}(d_n)), \quad d_1 \geq \dots \geq d_n \geq 0$$

in  $\mathbb{P}_{d_1+\dots+d_n+n-1}$  under the map associated to the tautological line bundle which will be denoted  $\mathcal{O}(1)$ . Note that for  $d_n \geq 1$ ,  $\overline{\mathbb{F}(d_1, \dots, d_n)}$  and  $\mathbb{F}(d_1, \dots, d_n)$  are isomorphic. The pencil on  $\mathbb{F}(d_1, \dots, d_n)$  will be denoted by  $|F|$ .

Any effective divisor  $D$  on  $\mathbb{F}(d_1, \dots, d_n)$  is in a system

$$D \in |\mathcal{O}(k) - lF|, \quad k \geq 0 \text{ and } l \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

Fiberwise,  $D \cap F$  is a hypersurface of degree  $k$  in  $\mathbb{P}_{n-1}$ . If  $x_1, \dots, x_n$  denote homogeneous coordinates of  $\mathbb{P}_{n-1}$  corresponding to the summands of our vector bundle, then the monomial  $x_1^{e_1} \dots x_n^{e_n}$  with  $e_1 + \dots + e_n = k$  has as coefficient a function taken from

$$H^0(\mathbb{P}_1, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_1}(e_1 d_1 + \dots + e_n d_n - l)).$$

We will use this in the following form. Consider  $\mathbb{F}(m, m-4) \simeq \Sigma_4$ . Denote by  $\xi_4$  the minimal section. Any divisor

$$D \in |\mathcal{O}(k) - lF|, \quad k \geq 0 \text{ and } l > k(m-4)$$

contains  $\xi_4$  as a component. Indeed, using the above notation,  $\xi_4$  corresponds fiberwise to  $x_1 = 0$ . It therefore suffices to prove that the coefficient function of  $x_2^k$  vanishes. This is a section of  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(k(m-4) - l)$ , so the claim follows.

### 3. THE GENERAL ELEPHANT IN THE CASE $\text{Bs}|-K_X| \neq \emptyset$

Let  $X$  be a canonical Gorenstein Fano threefold with  $\text{Bs}|-K_X| \neq \emptyset$ . Choose a general elephant  $\bar{S} \in |-K_X|$ . By the Kawamata–Viehweg vanishing theorem  $H^0(X, -K_X) \rightarrow H^0(\bar{S}, -K_X|_{\bar{S}})$  is surjective, implying

$$\text{Bs}|-K_X| = \text{Bs}|-K_X|_{\bar{S}} \neq \emptyset.$$

Let  $\nu: S \rightarrow \bar{S}$  be a minimal desingularisation of  $\bar{S}$ . By Saint–Donat’s results on linear systems on smooth K3 surfaces ([SD74] or [Shi89]),

$$\nu^*|-K_X|_{\bar{S}} = |\Gamma + mf|,$$

where  $m \geq 2$  and

- i)  $|f|$  is an elliptic pencil and
- ii)  $\Gamma = \text{Bs}|\Gamma + mf| \simeq \mathbb{P}_1$  is a section.

Let  $\Gamma' \subset S$  be an irreducible curve contracted by  $\nu$ . Then  $(\Gamma + mf) \cdot \Gamma' = 0$ , implying  $\Gamma \cap \Gamma' = \emptyset$  or  $\Gamma = \Gamma'$ . In the first case  $S$  and  $\bar{S}$  are isomorphic near  $\Gamma$  and  $\text{Bs}|-K_X| \simeq \mathbb{P}_1 \subset \bar{S}_{\text{reg}}$ . In the second case,  $\Gamma$  is contracted to a point,  $\text{Bs}|-K_X| = \{p\}$  and  $p \in X_{\text{sing}}$ . This is part of a result of Shin:

**3.1. Theorem [Shin, [Shi89]].** *Let  $X$  be a Gorenstein almost Fano threefold with at worst canonical singularities and assume  $\text{Bs}|-K_X| \neq \emptyset$ . With  $\bar{S} \in |-K_X|$  a general member we have*

- i) *if  $\dim \text{Bs}|-K_X| = 1$ , then scheme-theoretically  $\text{Bs}|-K_X| \simeq \mathbb{P}_1$  is contained in  $X_{\text{reg}}$  and  $\text{Bs}|-K_X| \cap \text{Sing}(S) = \emptyset$ ;*
- ii) *if  $\dim \text{Bs}|-K_X| = 0$  then  $\text{Bs}|-K_X|$  consists of exactly one point and  $\bar{S}$  has an ordinary double point at  $\text{Bs}|-K_X|$ . In this case  $\text{Bs}|-K_X| \subset \text{Sing}(X)$ .*

Note that in the case  $\text{Bs}|-K_X| = \{p\}$  we have  $(\Gamma + mf) \cdot \Gamma = 0$  on  $S$ , implying  $m = 2$  and hence  $(-K_X)^3 = 2$ .

### 4. THE CASE $\dim \text{Bs}|-K_X| = 0$

Let  $X$  be the complete intersection of a quadric  $Q$  in the linear variables and a sextic  $F_6$  in  $\mathbb{P}(1^4, 2, 3)$ . If we choose  $F_6$  general enough, then (see [Me99])

$$X \cap \{x_0 = x_1 = x_2 = x_3 = 0\} = [0 : 0 : 0 : 0 : -1 : 1] = p$$

and  $X$  does not meet the singular locus of  $\mathbb{P}(1^4, 2, 3)$ . Then  $Q$  and  $F_6$  are Cartier near  $X$  and by adjunction,  $-K_X \simeq \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(1)|_X$  and therefore  $\text{Bs}|-K_X| = \{p\}$ . The rational map defined by  $|-K_X|$  sends  $X$  to the quadric in  $\mathbb{P}_3$  defined by  $Q$ .

**4.1. Proposition.** *If  $\dim \text{Bs}|-K_X| = 0$ , then  $X$  is as above a complete intersection in  $\mathbb{P}(1^4, 2, 3)$  of a quadric  $Q$ , defined in the first four linear variables, and a sextic  $F_6$ .*

*Proof.* (See [M82], [Me99], [IT01]). We know  $(-K_X)^3 = 2$  (see the last section). By the Riemann–Roch theorem we get  $h^0(-K_X) = 4$ . Let

$$x_0, \dots, x_3 \in H^0(-K_X)$$

be generating sections. We have  $h^0(-2K_X) = 10 = \dim S^2 H^0(-K_X)$ . But  $|-2K_X|$  is base point free, so there exists some

$$y \in H^0(-2K_X), \quad y \notin S^2 H^0(-K_X).$$

Then we must have a nontrivial relation  $Q$  in  $S^2 H^0(-K_X)$ . The  $x_i$  and  $y$  then define a 20-dimensional subspace of  $H^0(-3K_X)$ . By the theorem of Riemann–Roch  $h^0(-3K_X) = 21$ . Denote the missing function by  $z \in H^0(-3K_X)$ . Continuing in this way, we see that there must be a nontrivial relation  $F_6$  in  $H^0(-6K_X)$ . In the end  $X$  is the complete intersection of  $Q$  and  $F_6$  in  $\mathbb{P}(1^4, 2, 3)$ .  $\square$

**4.2. Remark.** Since  $Q$  is singular at  $p$ , any  $S \in |-K_X|$  is singular at  $p$ . If we choose  $Q$  and  $F_6$  general,  $p$  will be a terminal point of  $X$ . If we take for  $Q$  the quadric cone,  $X$  will have canonical singularities along a curve.

## 5. THE EXAMPLES FOR THE CASE $\dim \text{Bs } |-K_X| = 1$

Let  $U$  be a canonical Gorenstein threefold. Assume that  $|-K_U|$  contains a smooth K3 surface  $S$  such that

$$-K_U|_S = 2\Gamma_0 + mf$$

for some  $m \geq 3$ . Here  $\mathbb{P}_1 \simeq \Gamma_0 \subset U_{\text{reg}}$  and  $|f|$  is an elliptic pencil as in section 3. Note that  $U$  is a hyperelliptic almost Fano threefold for  $m \geq 4$ .

Let  $Y = \text{Bl}_{\Gamma_0}(U)$  be the blowup of  $U$  in  $\Gamma_0$ . The strict transform of  $S$  is a smooth K3 surface in  $|-K_Y|$  which we denote by  $S$  as well. We have

$$-K_Y|_S = \Gamma_0 + mf,$$

implying  $\text{Bs } |-K_Y| = \Gamma_0 \simeq \mathbb{P}_1$ . An anticanonical model  $X$  of  $Y$  is a canonical Gorenstein Fano threefold for which  $\text{Bs } |-K_X| \simeq \mathbb{P}_1$ .

Examples for  $U$  as above are constructed as follows. For  $m \geq 4$ ,  $U$  is almost Fano and the anticanonical map associated to  $-K_U$  sends  $U$  to a variety of minimal degree

$$U \longrightarrow W \subset \mathbb{P}_{2m-2}.$$

Here  $S$  is sent to  $\Sigma_4$ , the fourth Hirzebruch surface. The idea is therefore to construct  $U$  as a ramified twosheeted covering of some variety of minimal degree, for which a general hyperplane section is isomorphic to  $\Sigma_4$ .

We now come to the examples in ii) in reverse order.

**Examples ii), (c).** The projective bundle

$$W = \mathbb{F}(m, m-4, 0), \quad m \geq 3$$

is a resolution of a cone over  $\Sigma_4$ . The projection of the underlying bundle onto the first two summands gives a split exact sequence and a smooth surface in  $|\mathcal{O}_W(1)|$  isomorphic to  $\Sigma_4$ . For simplicity, we denote it by

$$\Sigma_4 \in |\mathcal{O}_W(1)|.$$

There exists a unique section  $B \in |\mathcal{O}_W(1) - mF|$  meeting  $\Sigma_4$  in its minimal section  $\xi_4$ . Below we prove that for  $m \leq 12$  we may choose

$$D \in |\mathcal{O}_W(4) - (4m - 12)F|,$$

such that the square root of  $D$  yields a threefold  $U_m$  with at worst canonical singularities. We have

$$\mu: U_m \xrightarrow{2:1} \mathbb{F}(m, m-4, 0) \quad \text{and} \quad -K_{U_m} = \mu^* \mathcal{O}_W(1).$$

The section  $\xi_4 = B \cap \Sigma_4 \subset D_{\text{reg}}$ . Its reduced inverse image in  $U_m$  will be denoted by  $\Gamma_0$ . As in ii) (c) of Theorem 1.1, we denote by  $X_{2m-2}$  an anticanonical model of  $Bl_{\Gamma_0}(U_m)$  for  $3 \leq m \leq 12$ . We claim that  $X_{2m-2}$  are canonical Gorenstein Fano threefolds with base locus  $\text{Bs}|-K_{X_{2m-2}}| \simeq \mathbb{P}_1$ .

In order to prove this it suffices to show that for  $D$  general enough each  $U_m$  is a canonical Gorenstein threefold as in the beginning of this section. Since  $\Sigma_4$  comes from a splitting sequence,  $D \cap \Sigma_4$  is a general member of

$$|4\xi_4 + 12\mathfrak{f}|,$$

with  $\mathfrak{f} \simeq \mathbb{P}_1$  a fiber of  $\Sigma_4$ . A general member of  $|4\xi_4 + 12\mathfrak{f}|$  splits as  $\xi_4 + C$  with  $C \in |3\xi_4 + 12\mathfrak{f}|$  smooth and disjoint from  $\xi_4$  (cf. section 2). The double covering of  $\Sigma_4$  yields a smooth K3 surface  $S \in |-K_{U_m}| = |\mu^* \mathcal{O}_W(1)|$  with

$$\mu_S: S \longrightarrow \Sigma_4$$

ramified along  $\xi_4$  and  $C$ . The pullback of  $\mathfrak{f}$  gives an elliptic pencil  $|f|$  on  $S$  with the section  $\Gamma_0$  lying over  $\xi_4$  and  $-K_{U_m}|_S = \mu_S^* \mathcal{O}(1) = 2\Gamma_0 + mf$ . It remains to show that  $U_m$  has at worst canonical singularities for  $3 \leq m \leq 12$  and  $\Gamma_0 \subset U_{m,\text{reg}}$ .

For  $m = 3$  we can choose  $D$  and hence  $U_m$  smooth and there is nothing to prove. For  $m \geq 4$ , we always have

$$D = B + R$$

with  $R \in |\mathcal{O}_W(3) - (3m - 12)F|$ . Fiberwise  $D \cap F$  consists of a line together with some cubic.

For  $4 \leq m \leq 12$  we can take  $R$  to be irreducible, i.e.,  $D \cap F$  consists of a line and an irreducible cubic. For  $m = 4$ , the cubic is smooth, meeting the line transversally in three points. For  $m \geq 5$ , the line and the cubic intersect in one point, i.e., in a flex if the cubic is smooth. This gives an A–D–E singularity in the fiber, implying that  $U_m$  indeed has at worst canonical singularities for  $3 \leq m \leq 12$ . Since  $R \cdot \xi_4 = 0$  we can choose  $R$  disjoint from  $\xi_4$ . Hence  $\Gamma_0 \subset U_{m,\text{reg}}$ .

For  $m \geq 13$  on the other hand,  $R = R_1 + R_2 + R_3$  with  $R_i \in |\mathcal{O}_W(1) - (m-4)F|$ , so  $D \cap F$  consists of four lines through a point. This means that over  $F$  we will not have Du Val singularities, implying that  $U_m$  is not canonical for  $m \geq 13$ .

**5.1. Remark.** The construction works for  $m = 2$  as well. Here  $\text{Bs}|-K_{X_2}| = \{p\}$  and we get a special case of the threefold  $X$  in section 4 with  $Q$  the quadric cone (see Remark 4.2).

**Example ii), (b).** The product of  $S_1$ , a del Pezzo surface with canonical singularities of degree 1, and  $\mathbb{P}_1$  is a classical example ([I80]). Choose 8 points on  $\mathbb{P}_2$  general enough, such that the blowup  $\hat{\mathbb{P}}_2$  of  $\mathbb{P}_2$  in these points still has a nef anticanonical system, and denote by  $S_1$  an anticanonical model of  $\hat{\mathbb{P}}_2$ . Then  $|-K_{S_1}|$  is one dimensional by the Riemann–Roch theorem, its members corresponding to

elliptic curves passing through the eight points. These curves will meet in a ninth point, implying

$$\text{Bs } |-K_{S_1}| = \{p\}.$$

Then the product  $X = S_1 \times \mathbb{P}_1$  is a canonical Gorenstein Fano threefold with  $\text{Bs } |-K_X| \simeq \mathbb{P}_1$ .

**Example ii), (a).** The blowup  $X$  in the intersection of two members of  $|- \frac{1}{2}K_U|$  of the double cover  $U$  of the Veronese cone  $W$ , ramified along a cubic, is a classical example ([I80]). We give some details to show the connection to the above description.

The blowup of the Veronese cone in its vertex  $O$  yields

$$\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_2} \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_2}(2)) \longrightarrow W.$$

The strict transform of a special hyperplane section through  $O$  gives a  $\mathbb{P}_1$ -bundle over a conic. It either decomposes into two copies of  $\Sigma_2$  or gives one irreducible surface  $\Sigma_4$ .

The image of  $\Sigma_4$  in  $W$  gives  $\widehat{C}_4$ , the cone over the rational normal curve of degree 4. In  $U$ , lying over  $\widehat{C}_4$  we find a singular K3 surface  $\bar{S} \in |-K_U|$  with a double point over  $O$ . In the reducible case, the two copies of  $\Sigma_2$  induce  $H_i \in |-\frac{1}{2}K_U|$  for  $i = 1, 2$ , and their intersection with  $\bar{S}$  is the singular point.

In the blowup  $X$  of  $U$  along  $H_1 \cap H_2$  the singularity of  $\bar{S}$  is resolved, i.e., we get a smooth K3 surface  $S \in |-K_X|$ . The same formulas as above show

$$-K_X|_S = \Gamma + 2f$$

with  $\Gamma$  the  $-2$ -curve over the singularity and  $|f|$  the induced elliptic pencil. If we choose  $H_1, H_2$  general enough, then  $X$  will be a canonical Gorenstein Fano threefold with  $\text{Bs } |-K_X| \simeq \Gamma \simeq \mathbb{P}_1$ .

## 6. THE GENERAL SETTING IN THE CASE $\dim \text{Bs } |-K_X| = 1$

(cf. [I80], [IP99]) By Shin's Theorem,  $\Gamma = \text{Bs } |-K_X| \simeq \mathbb{P}_1 \subset X_{\text{reg}}$ . We can write

$$(6.0.1) \quad N_{\Gamma/X} = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_1}(a) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_1}(b), \quad a \geq b,$$

for some  $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$ . A general elephant  $\bar{S} \in |-K_X|$  may have double points, but  $\Gamma \subset \bar{S}_{\text{reg}}$ . If  $\nu: S \rightarrow \bar{S}$  denotes a resolution of the singular locus, then  $\nu^*(-K_X) = \Gamma + mf$ ,  $m \geq 3$ , with  $|f|$  an elliptic pencil and  $\Gamma$  a section (section 3). The numbers are related as follows:

$$-K_X \cdot \Gamma = m - 2 = a + b + 2.$$

Let  $\sigma: X_\Gamma \rightarrow X$  be the blowup of  $X$  along  $\Gamma$  with exceptional divisor  $E_\Gamma = \mathbb{P}(N_{\Gamma/X}^*) = \Sigma_{a-b}$ . Then  $|-K_{X_\Gamma}| = |\sigma^*(-K_X) - E_\Gamma|$  is free, defining a map onto some surface  $W$  ([R83]):

$$(6.0.2) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} X_\Gamma & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & W \subset \mathbb{P}_{m+1} \\ \sigma \downarrow & \nearrow & \\ X & & \end{array}$$

The surface  $W$  is of minimal degree, i.e.,

$$m = \deg(W) = \text{codim}(W) + 1.$$

Again by del Pezzo's theorem, in our situation  $W$  is one of the following:

- i)  $\widehat{C}_m$ , the cone over a rational normal curve of degree  $m = a + b + 4 \geq 2$ ,
- ii)  $\Sigma_{a-b}$ ,  $a \geq b$ .

The map  $E_\Gamma \rightarrow W$  is either an isomorphism or the contraction of the minimal section. The map  $X_\Gamma \rightarrow W$  is (generically) an elliptic fibration, and since  $-K_X$  is ample, any fiber over a point in  $W_{\text{reg}}$  is an irreducible, generically reduced curve of arithmetic genus one. We distinguish two cases.

**The case  $W$  a smooth ruled surface.** Here we denote by  $F_\Gamma$  the pullback to  $X_\Gamma$  of a fiber of  $W$ , and by  $Z_{\Gamma,X}$  the pullback of the minimal section (or the second ruling in the case  $W = \mathbb{P}_1 \times \mathbb{P}_1$ ). Note that  $|F_\Gamma|$  descends to a pencil  $|F|$  on  $X$ . Adjunction on  $E_\Gamma$  shows

$$-K_{X_\Gamma} = Z_{\Gamma,X} + (a+2)F_\Gamma.$$

Since  $\Gamma \subset X_{\text{reg}}$  and  $Z_{\Gamma,X}$  meets  $E_\Gamma$  transversally near the minimal section  $\xi_{a-b}$  of  $E_\Gamma$ ,  $Z_{\Gamma,X}$  is smooth near  $Z_{\Gamma,X} \cap E_\Gamma$ , and  $\sigma(Z_{\Gamma,X}) \simeq Z_{\Gamma,X}$  is smooth near  $\Gamma$ .

**The case  $W$  a cone.** Here we denote by  $F_\Gamma$  the strict transform in  $X_\Gamma$  of a line in  $W$  through the vertex  $O$ . Notice that this is just a Weil divisor. Let

$$(6.0.3) \quad h': X'_\Gamma \longrightarrow X_\Gamma$$

be a  $\mathbb{Q}$ -factorialization of  $X_\Gamma$  with respect to  $F_\Gamma$  ([K88]). The map  $h'$  is small,  $X'_\Gamma$  is again Gorenstein with at worst canonical singularities, and the strict transform  $F'_\Gamma$  of  $F_\Gamma$  is  $\mathbb{Q}$ -Cartier. We can choose  $X'_\Gamma$  such that  $F'_\Gamma$  is  $h'$ -ample ([K88]). Since  $\Gamma \subset X_{\text{reg}}$ , both  $X'_\Gamma$  and  $X_\Gamma$  are isomorphic near  $E_\Gamma$ . We denote the pullback of  $E_\Gamma$  to  $X'_\Gamma$  by  $E'_\Gamma$ . We claim (cf. [Ch99])

**6.1. Lemma.** *On  $X'_\Gamma$ , two general members of  $|F'_\Gamma|$  do not intersect.*

*Proof.* Assume  $F'_{\Gamma,1} \cap F'_{\Gamma,2} \neq \emptyset$ . The intersection clearly is in the fiber over the vertex  $O$  of  $W$ . Choose an irreducible curve  $C \subset F'_{\Gamma,1} \cap F'_{\Gamma,2}$ . On the one hand, the restriction of some multiple of  $F'_{\Gamma,2}$ , which is Cartier, gives an effective Cartier divisor on  $F'_{\Gamma,1}$  supported in the fiber over  $O$ , implying

$$F'_{\Gamma,2} \cdot C \leq 0.$$

On the other hand, since  $F'_{\Gamma,1}$  and  $F'_{\Gamma,2}$  do not meet on  $E'_\Gamma$ , we have  $C \cap E'_\Gamma = \emptyset$ . Since  $-K_{X'_\Gamma} \cdot C = 0$  and  $E'_\Gamma \cdot C = 0$  imply  $h'^* \sigma^*(-K_X) \cdot C = 0$ , the curve  $C$  must be  $h'$ -exceptional. Then, by our choice of  $X'_\Gamma$ ,

$$F'_{\Gamma,2} \cdot C > 0.$$

Hence  $F'_{\Gamma,1} \cap F'_{\Gamma,2} = \emptyset$ . □

Denote by  $Y_\Gamma$  a terminal modification of  $X'_\Gamma$ . The pullback of  $F'_\Gamma$  to  $Y_\Gamma$  defines a pencil on  $Y_\Gamma$ , showing that the map to  $W$  factors over the blowup  $\Sigma_{a-b}$  of  $W$  in  $O$ . Near  $E'_\Gamma$ ,  $Y_\Gamma$  and  $X'_\Gamma$  are isomorphic, and we can blow the divisor down to obtain  $Y$ , a terminal modification  $h: Y \rightarrow X$  of  $X$ . We call the map  $Y_\Gamma \rightarrow Y$  again  $\sigma$  and

end up with the following diagram:

$$(6.1.1) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} & & & & \Sigma_{a-b} \\ & & & \nearrow \psi & \downarrow \\ Y_\Gamma & \longrightarrow & X_\Gamma & \longrightarrow & W \\ \downarrow \sigma & & \downarrow & & \\ Y & \xrightarrow{h} & X & & \end{array}$$

Below, we will study  $Y$  instead of  $X$  and think of  $X$  as an anticanonical model. Note that we have chosen  $Y$  as a terminal modification of a particular  $\mathbb{Q}$ -factorialization of  $X$ .

For simplicity, denote divisors on  $Y_\Gamma$  and  $X_\Gamma$  by the same letters: the exceptional divisor of  $Y_\Gamma \rightarrow Y$  is again  $E_\Gamma$ , the curve  $\text{Bs}|-K_Y| = \Gamma$ . The pullback of a general fiber of  $\Sigma_{a-b}$  to  $Y_\Gamma$  is  $F_\Gamma$ . By  $Z_\Gamma + B_\Gamma$  we denote the pullback of the minimal section of  $\Sigma_{a-b}$  to  $Y_\Gamma$ , where  $Z_\Gamma$  denotes here the unique irreducible component that meets  $E_\Gamma$  in its minimal section, and  $B_\Gamma$  consists of the remaining components, disjoint from  $E_\Gamma$ . As above we get

$$(6.1.2) \quad -K_{Y_\Gamma} = Z_\Gamma + B_\Gamma + (a+2)F_\Gamma.$$

The pencil  $|F_\Gamma|$  again descends to the pencil  $|F|$  on  $Y$ . The surface  $Z_\Gamma$  is smooth near  $E_\Gamma \cap Z_\Gamma$ ; we will denote the isomorphic images of  $Z_\Gamma$  and  $B_\Gamma$  in  $Y$  by  $Z$  and  $B$ .

**6.2. Remark.** The general member of the pencil  $|F_\Gamma|$  is a smooth surface with a relatively minimal elliptic pencil. The intersection  $F_\Gamma \cap (Z_\Gamma + B_\Gamma)$  is hence either smooth or one of Kodaira's exceptional fibers.

## 7. THE CASE $W$ A CONE

**7.1. Proposition.** *If  $W$  is a cone, then  $3 \leq m \leq 12$  and  $X = X_{2m-2}$  is one of the threefolds constructed in section 5, Examples ii), (c). Here  $W = \widehat{C}_m$ .*

*Proof.* We use the notation from the last section. Since  $-K_{X_\Gamma}$  is not ample on  $E_\Gamma$ ,  $b = -2$  and  $a \geq 1$  in (6.0.1). We can hence use  $a + b = m - 4$  to eliminate  $a$  and  $b$  and write everything in terms of  $m$ :

$$N_{\Gamma/X} = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(m-2) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(-2), \quad m \geq 3,$$

and  $W = \widehat{C}_m$ . In diagram (6.1.1), the map from  $Y_\Gamma$  to  $\widehat{C}_m$  now factors over  $\Sigma_m$ .

We first assume that  $Z$  is  $h$ -nef and show that in this case  $Y$  is obtained by blowing up some Gorenstein threefold  $V$  along some smooth curve  $\Gamma_0 \simeq \mathbb{P}^1 \subset V_{\text{reg}}$ , such that  $Z$  is the exceptional divisor. We compute

$$Z \cdot \Gamma = -2 \quad \text{and} \quad -K_Y \cdot \Gamma = m - 2 > 0.$$

Hence  $[\Gamma]$  is contained in the  $K_Y$ -negative part of  $\overline{NE}(Y)$ . This part is polyhedral, spanned by  $K_Y$ -negative extremal rays. The divisor  $Z$  is negative on  $[\Gamma]$  and nonnegative on any  $K_Y$ -trivial curve by assumption. We conclude that  $Z$  must be negative on at least one extremal ray. Let

$$(7.1.1) \quad \phi: Y \longrightarrow V$$



be the contraction of this ray. By [Ben85], the contraction is divisorial, contracting  $Z$  either to a curve or to a point. We claim

**7.2. Lemma.** *The map  $\phi: Y \rightarrow V$  in (7.1.1) is the blowup of a smooth rational curve  $\Gamma_0 \subset V_{\text{reg}}$  with normal bundle*

$$N_{\Gamma_0/V} = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(-2) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(m-4).$$

*The contraction is in direction of  $|F|$ . There exists a smooth K3 surface  $S \in |-K_V|$ , such that*

$$-K_V|_S = 2\Gamma_0 + mf$$

*with  $|f|$  an elliptic pencil induced by  $|F|$ , and  $\Gamma_0 \simeq \mathbb{P}^1$  a smooth section.*

**7.3. Remark.** The threefold  $V$  is a hyperelliptic Gorenstein almost Fano threefold of degree  $(-K_V)^3 = 4m - 8$  for  $m \geq 4$ . For  $m = 3$ , the anticanonical system is nef on any curve  $\neq \Gamma_0$ , while

$$-K_V \cdot \Gamma_0 = m - 4 = -1.$$

For the case  $m = 3$  (as well as  $m = 2$ ) see also [DPS93].

*Proof of Lemma 7.2 and Remark 7.3.* Since  $Z_\Gamma$  meets  $E_\Gamma$  transversally in the minimal section, we have  $\Gamma \subset Z_{\text{reg}}$ . We compute

$$(7.3.1) \quad \deg N_{\Gamma/Z} = Z_\Gamma \cdot_{Y_\Gamma} E_\Gamma^2 = m - 2 > 0.$$

Let us first show  $Z \not\simeq \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ . If  $Z \simeq \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ , then  $B \neq 0$ , implying that  $B$  meets  $Z$  in some curve. By (7.3.1)  $\Gamma$  is ample on  $Z$ . Then  $\Gamma \cap B \neq \emptyset$ , which is impossible since  $B$  maps to  $X_{\text{sing}}$ , while  $\Gamma \subset X_{\text{reg}}$ .

If  $Z$  is mapped to a point, then by [Cu88],  $Z \simeq \mathbb{P}^2, \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$  or the quadric cone. Since  $Z$  comes with a pencil and  $Z \not\simeq \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ , all these cases are impossible. By [Cu88],  $Y = Bl_{\Gamma_0}(V)$  the blowup of  $V$  in some curve  $\Gamma_0 \subset V_{\text{reg}}$ , which is locally a complete intersection. From  $\deg N_{\Gamma/Z} = m - 2 > 0$  we conclude that  $\Gamma$  maps surjectively onto  $\Gamma_0$ , and from  $\Gamma \subset Z_{\text{reg}}$  we infer that  $\Gamma_0$  must be smooth. Then

$$Z = \mathbb{P}(N_{\Gamma_0/V}^*) \simeq \Sigma_e \quad \text{for some } e > 0,$$

where  $e > 0$  follows from  $Z \not\simeq \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ . It is now clear that  $\phi$  is in direction of  $|F|$ , i.e., fiberwise  $\phi$  contracts a  $-1$ -curve in  $F$ . Denote the induced pencil on  $V$  by  $|F_V|$ . Notice that  $Z \simeq \Sigma_e$  implies  $B \neq 0$ .

1.) Any curve in  $Z_\Gamma \cap B_\Gamma$  is contracted by  $Y_\Gamma \rightarrow X_\Gamma$ , and therefore  $B$  intersects  $Z$  set theoretically in the minimal section  $\xi_e$  of  $Z = \Sigma_e$ . Since  $\Gamma$  does not meet  $\xi_e$ , we conclude  $\Gamma = \xi_e + (m-2)\mathfrak{f}_e$ , where  $\mathfrak{f}_e$  is a fiber of  $\Sigma_e$ . From  $\Gamma \cdot_Z \Gamma = m-2$  (7.3.1) we infer  $e = m-2$ . Moreover,  $-K_Y \cdot \xi_e = 0$  implies

$$N_{\Gamma_0/V} = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(-2) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(m-4).$$

By the adjunction formula,  $-K_V \cdot \Gamma_0 = m - 4$ , hence  $(-K_V)^3 = 4m - 8$ .

2.) Let  $S \in |-K_Y|$  be general. Since  $S$  meets  $Z$  transversally in  $\Gamma$ , its image in  $V$  is a special member of  $|-K_V|$ . Identifying  $S$  with its image in  $V$  we find

$$-K_V|_S = 2\Gamma_0 + mf,$$

where  $|f|$  is an elliptic pencil and  $\Gamma_0$  is a section (see section 5). If  $C \subset V$  is an irreducible curve such that  $-K_V \cdot C < 0$ , then  $S \cdot C < 0$  and  $C \subset S$ . Then  $-K_V \cdot C = (2\Gamma_0 + mf) \cdot C < 0$  so that  $\Gamma_0 \cdot C < 0$  and hence  $C = \Gamma_0$ ,  $m = 3$ .  $\square$

The argument before Lemma 7.2 showing the contractibility of  $Z$  in  $Y$  requires  $Z$  being  $h$ -nef. In order to achieve this we might have to change the terminal modification by running the relative  $(K_Y + \epsilon Z)$ -program,  $\epsilon \in \mathbb{Q}^+$ ,  $\epsilon \ll 1$ , with respect to  $h: Y \rightarrow X$ .

The contraction of any  $(K_Y + \epsilon Z)$ -negative extremal ray in  $\overline{NE}(Y/X)$  is small; the curves contracted are  $K_Y$ -trivial and contained in  $Z$ . After finitely many flops, we end up with the following picture:

$$(7.3.2) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} Y & \overset{\chi}{\dashrightarrow} & Y^+ \\ & \searrow h & \swarrow h^+ \\ & X & \end{array}$$

([KM98], Theorem 6.14 and Corollary 6.19). Here  $Y^+$  is again a terminal Gorenstein threefold with  $-K_{Y^+}$  big and nef, having  $X$  as an anticanonical model. The map  $\chi$  is rational and an isomorphism in codimension one. We superscribe any strict transform under  $\chi$  with a “+”-sign. Since  $K_{Y^+} + \epsilon Z^+$  is  $h^+$ -nef,  $Z^+$  is  $h^+$ -nef. As above we conclude that  $Z^+$  is contractible in  $Y^+$ .

Lemma 7.2 holds for  $Y^+$  instead of  $Y$  as long as  $|F^+|$  is still spanned on  $Y^+$ . This need not be the case. Recall that we have chosen  $Y$  as a terminal modification of some  $\mathbb{Q}$ -factorialization  $X'$  of  $X$ ; in the above program we might flop some horizontal curves in  $Z$ , thereby producing a base locus.

**7.4. Lemma.**  $|F^+|$  is spanned unless  $m = 3$  and  $(Z^+, \mathcal{O}_{Z^+}(Z^+)) = (\mathbb{P}_2, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_2}(-2))$ .

Here  $|F^+|$  restricted to  $Z^+$  corresponds to lines through a given point.

*Proof.* Assume that  $|F^+|$  is not spanned. Let

$$\phi^+: Y^+ \rightarrow V^+$$

be the divisorial contraction as in (7.1.1), contracting  $Z^+$ . In order to decide what  $Z^+$  is, we again use the classification from [Cu88]. If  $Z^+$  maps to a curve and  $\mathfrak{f}$  denotes the general fiber, then  $Z^+ \cdot \mathfrak{f} = -1$  and  $-K_{Y^+} \cdot \mathfrak{f} = 1$ . On  $Y^+$  we have

$$(7.4.1) \quad -K_{Y^+} = Z^+ + B^+ + mF^+.$$

Since  $\text{Bs}|F^+| \cap Z^+ \neq \emptyset$  we must have  $F^+ \cdot \mathfrak{f} > 0$ . From  $B^+ \cdot \mathfrak{f} \geq 0$  we conclude  $0 < m\mathfrak{f} \cdot F^+ \leq 2$  which is impossible since  $m > 2$ .

If  $Z^+$  goes to a point, then  $(Z^+, \mathcal{O}_{Z^+}(Z^+))$  is either  $(\mathbb{P}_2, \mathcal{O}(-1))$  or  $(\mathbb{P}_2, \mathcal{O}(-2))$  or  $(Q_2 \subset \mathbb{P}_3, \mathcal{O}(-1))$ . Near  $\Gamma$  the two surfaces  $Z$  and  $Z^+$  are isomorphic. With the original pencil on  $Z$  we conclude that  $Z^+$  contains a smooth rational curve that meets another irreducible curve in a single point. From  $Z^+ \cdot \Gamma = -2$  we infer  $(Z^+, \mathcal{O}_{Z^+}(Z^+)) = (\mathbb{P}_2, \mathcal{O}(-2))$ . Then  $|F^+|$  restricted to  $Z^+$  is a family of lines. Using  $-K_{Y^+} \cdot \Gamma = m - 2$  and the adjunction formula, we find  $m = 3$ . The proof of the Lemma is complete.  $\square$

Lemma 7.2 also holds in the exceptional case  $(Z^+, \mathcal{O}_{Z^+}(Z^+)) = (\mathbb{P}_2, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_2}(-2))$  for some terminal modification of  $X'$ , we only cannot argue as above. Instead, we proceed as follows.

We first run the relative  $(K_Y + \epsilon Z)$ -program with respect to  $Y \rightarrow X'$ , where  $X'$  is the above  $\mathbb{Q}$ -factorialization of  $X$ . In the end we may assume that  $Z$  is at least nef on every  $K_Y$ -trivial curve contained in a fiber of the pencil  $Z \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_1$ . Omitting

some details, we conclude that a single flop of a  $K_Y$ -trivial section of  $Z$  transforms  $Y$  into  $Y^+$  in (7.3.2) and  $Z$  into  $Z^+ = \mathbb{P}_2$  as above. Then

$$Z \simeq \Sigma_1$$

and  $Z \cdot \mathfrak{f} = -1$  for the general fiber  $\mathfrak{f} \simeq \mathbb{P}_1$ . We conclude that  $Z$  must be negative on at least one extremal ray in  $\overline{NE}(Y/\mathbb{P}_1)$  and conclude Lemma 7.2 as above.

For the proof of Proposition 7.1 it remains to show that  $V$  in Lemma 7.2 is a terminal modification of  $U_m$  in section 5. In order to prove this, we consider the system

$$|-K_V + \lambda F_V|, \quad \lambda \geq 0,$$

and choose  $\lambda$  such that  $m + \lambda \geq 4$ . Restricted to  $S$  we get  $2\Gamma_0 + (m + \lambda)f$ , which is now big and nef. Then  $-K_V + \lambda F_V$  is big and nef and by the Kawamata–Viehweg vanishing theorem  $H^1(\mathcal{O}_V(\lambda F_V)) = H^1(\mathcal{O}_V(K_V + (-K_V + \lambda F_V))) = 0$  implying surjectivity of

$$H^0(V, \mathcal{O}_V(-K_V + \lambda F_V)) \longrightarrow H^0(S, \mathcal{O}_S(2\Gamma_0 + (m + \lambda)f)).$$

Then, since  $|F_V|$  is free and  $|2\Gamma_0 + (m + \lambda)f|$  is free,  $|-K_V + \lambda F_V|$  is free. For  $\lambda \geq 1$  and  $m + \lambda \geq 5$ , any irreducible curve having zero intersection with  $-K_V + \lambda F_V$  must lie in a member of  $|F_V|$ . This follows immediately from  $-K_V + \lambda F_V = (-K_V + (\lambda - 1)F_V) + F_V = \text{nef} + \text{nef}$ . The system is free, for example, if we choose  $\lambda = 1$ , for  $m \geq 4$ , and  $\lambda = 2$ , for  $m = 3$ .

Fix this choice from now on. The map associated to  $|-K_V + \lambda F_V|$  is generically 2-to-1 sending  $V$  to a variety of minimal degree

$$\nu: V \longrightarrow W \subset \mathbb{P}_{2m+3\lambda-2}.$$

Since  $W$  comes with a pencil  $|F_W|$ , it must be a scroll. We may rescale the entries such that  $-K_V \simeq \nu^* \mathcal{O}_W(1)$ . Then  $W \simeq \mathbb{F}(d_1, d_2, d_3)$ ,  $d_1 \geq d_2 \geq d_3 \geq -1$ , where  $d_3 = -1$  in the case  $m = 3$ , while  $d_3 \geq 0$  for  $m \geq 4$ . Stein factorization of  $V \rightarrow W$  leads to a canonical Gorenstein threefold  $U$  and a double cover

$$\mu: U \longrightarrow W \simeq \mathbb{F}(d_1, d_2, d_3),$$

such that  $-K_U = \mu^* \mathcal{O}_W(1)$ . Hence  $\mu$  is ramified along a reduced divisor

$$D \in |\mathcal{O}_W(4) - 2(d_1 + d_2 + d_3 - 2)F_W|.$$

From  $(\mathcal{O}_W(1))^3 = \frac{1}{2}(-K_V)^3 = 2m - 4$  we infer

$$d_1 + d_2 + d_3 = 2m - 4.$$

The only section of  $H^0(V, -K_V - mF_V)$  is the one corresponding to the image of  $B$  in  $V$  (cf. (6.1.2)). Since  $\mu$  is fiberwise ramified along a quartic, we also have  $h^0(W, \mathcal{O}_W(1) - mF_W) = 1$ , implying

$$d_1 = m, \quad d_2 < m.$$

In the special case  $m = 3$  we have  $d_3 = -1$  and  $W \simeq \mathbb{F}(3, 0, -1)$ . It remains to consider the case  $m \geq 4$ .

Denote the image of  $B$  in  $W$  by  $B_W$ . If  $d_3 > 0$ , then  $2B_W$  is a component of  $D$ . But  $D$  is reduced, hence we must have  $d_3 = 0$ . Then  $d_1 = m$ ,  $d_2 = m - 4$ , i.e.,

$$V \longrightarrow U \longrightarrow W \simeq \mathbb{F}(m, m - 4, 0).$$

We have seen in section 5, that  $U = U_m$  can never have canonical singularities for  $m \geq 13$ , hence  $m \leq 12$ .

Back on the surface  $S \in |-K_V|$  in Lemma 7.2, we see that  $S$  is generically a double cover of some member  $H \in |\mathcal{O}_W(1)|$ . The map  $\nu$  sends  $S$  to  $\mathbb{F}(m, m-4)$  and  $\Gamma_0$  lies over the minimal section, which is the restriction of the above divisor  $B_W$ . In particular,  $\Gamma_0$  is not contracted by  $V \rightarrow U_m$  and does not meet any curve contracted, i.e.,  $\Gamma_0 \subset U_{m, \text{reg}}$  and  $V$  is isomorphic to  $U_m$  near  $\Gamma_0$ . This completes the proof of Proposition 7.1.  $\square$

## 8. THE CASE $W$ A RULED SURFACE

This case is as in [I80]. Instead of  $Y$  and  $Y_\Gamma$  we focus on  $X$  and  $X_\Gamma$ , and diagram (6.0.2). We use the notation introduced in section 6.

**8.1. Proposition.** *In the case  $W \simeq \Sigma_{a-b}$ ,  $a > b$ ,  $X$  is the blowup of a sextic in  $\mathbb{P}(1^3, 2, 3)$  along an irreducible curve of arithmetic genus one (and  $a = 0$ ,  $b = -1$ ,  $m = 3$ ).*

*Proof.* Since  $-K_{X_\Gamma}$  is ample on  $E_\Gamma$ , we have  $b \geq -1$  and  $a \geq 0$ . Hence

$$Z_{\Gamma, X} \cdot \xi_{a-b} = b - a < 0 \quad \text{and} \quad -K_{X_\Gamma} \cdot \xi_{a-b} = b + 2 > 0,$$

where  $\xi_{a-b} = E_\Gamma \cap Z_{\Gamma, X}$  is the minimal section of  $E_\Gamma$ . Since  $Z_{\Gamma, X}$  is trivial on any  $K_{X_\Gamma}$ -trivial curve, we conclude that  $Z_{\Gamma, X}$  must be negative on at least one extremal ray in  $\{K_{X_\Gamma} < 0\}$ . Denote by

$$\phi_X: X_\Gamma \longrightarrow V_X$$

the contraction of this ray. It is a birational map with exceptional set  $Z_{\Gamma, X}$  by [Ben85]. Since  $Z_{\Gamma, X}$  contains  $K_{X_\Gamma}$ -trivial curves, it is contracted to a curve.

If  $Z_{\Gamma, X}$  is singular along a curve, then its normalization is a smooth ruled surface. The second map implies that it is  $\mathbb{P}_1 \times \mathbb{P}_1$ . Since  $\xi_{a-b} \subset Z_{\Gamma, X, \text{reg}}$  does not meet the singular locus, we must have

$$\deg N_{\xi_{a-b}/Z_{\Gamma, X}} = a = 0,$$

implying  $b = -1$ . If  $Z_{\Gamma, X}$  is smooth in codimension one, then  $h^1(Z_{\Gamma, X}, \mathcal{O}_{Z_{\Gamma, X}}) \leq 1$  by [R83] and Iskovskikh's original argument applies: using the ideal sequence of  $Z_{\Gamma, X}$  and the identity  $-K_{X_\Gamma} = Z_{\Gamma, X} + (a+2)F_\Gamma$  (cf. section 6), we see

$$h^1(Z_{\Gamma, X}, \mathcal{O}_{Z_{\Gamma, X}}) = h^2(X_\Gamma, \mathcal{O}_{X_\Gamma}(-Z_{\Gamma, X})) = h^1(X_\Gamma, \mathcal{O}_{X_\Gamma}(-(a+2)F_\Gamma)).$$

Then the ideal sequence of  $(a+2)$  general members of  $|F_\Gamma|$

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{X_\Gamma}(-(a+2)F_\Gamma) \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{X_\Gamma} \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{(a+2)F_\Gamma} \longrightarrow 0$$

yields  $h^0(\mathcal{O}_{(a+2)F_\Gamma}) - 1 \leq 1$ , hence  $a \leq 0$ .

Since  $E_\Gamma \cdot \xi_{a-b} = a = 0$ , the image  $Z_X$  of  $Z_{\Gamma, X}$  is still contractible. We can even explicitly give the supporting divisor: denote the image of  $F_\Gamma$  in  $X$  by  $F$ . They are Cartier, since  $\Gamma \subset X_{\text{reg}}$ . The supporting divisor is

$$H = Z_X + F \in \text{Pic}(X),$$

which is big and nef. Indeed,  $\sigma^*H = Z_{\Gamma, X} + F_\Gamma + E_\Gamma$ . Since  $Z_{\Gamma, X} + F_\Gamma = \varphi^*(\xi_1 + \mathfrak{f})$  is nef, and  $\sigma^*H$  restricted to  $E_\Gamma$  is trivial,  $H$  is nef. A direct computation shows  $H^3 = 1$ . By the Base Point Free Theorem,  $|kH|$  is free for  $k \gg 0$ , defining a birational contraction

$$\phi: X \longrightarrow V,$$

contracting  $Z_X$  to a curve. The base locus  $\Gamma \subset Z_X$  is contracted to a point, the general fiber of the elliptic pencil on  $Z_X$  is a section. The variety  $V$  is again a Gorenstein Fano threefold with canonical singularities and

$$K_X = \phi^* K_V + Z_X.$$

From  $\phi^* K_V = K_X - Z_X = -2H$  we conclude that  $-K_V$  is divisible by 2 in  $\text{Pic}(V)$ . From  $H^0(X, kH) = 1 + \frac{k}{6}(8 + 3k + k^2)$  we see that  $V$  is a sextic in  $\mathbb{P}(1^3, 2, 3)$ .  $\square$

**8.2. Proposition.** *If  $W \simeq \mathbb{P}_1 \times \mathbb{P}_1$ , then  $X \simeq \mathbb{P}_1 \times S_1$ , where  $S_1$  denotes a normal del Pezzo surface of degree 1 (and  $a = b = 0$ ,  $m = 4$ ).*

*Proof.* In this case,  $Z_{\Gamma, X}$  is the pullback of one ruling of  $W = \mathbb{P}_1 \times \mathbb{P}_1$ . The general fiber of  $Z_{\Gamma, X}$  is a smooth elliptic curve, and  $Z_{\Gamma, X}$  meets the singular locus of  $X_\Gamma$  at most in points. Going from  $X_\Gamma$  to  $Y_\Gamma$ , we see

$$a \leq 0.$$

Since  $E_\Gamma \simeq W$ , we have  $a = b$ , and  $X$  Fano implies  $a = b = 0$ . Since  $\varphi$  followed by the natural projection  $W \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_1$  contracts all the fibers of  $\sigma: X_\Gamma \rightarrow X$  to points, we obtain an induced map

$$X \longrightarrow \mathbb{P}_1$$

with general fiber  $F = \sigma(F_\Gamma)$  and section  $\Gamma$ , where  $F$  is a normal del Pezzo surface of degree one. We have  $-K_{X_\Gamma} = Z_{\Gamma, X} + 2F_\Gamma$ . As above,

$$-K_X = Z_X + 2F,$$

and we see that  $Z_X$  is nef, so  $|kZ_X|$  is free for  $k \gg 0$ . The map defined by  $|kZ_X|$  is a  $\mathbb{P}_1$ -bundle with section  $F$  and fiber  $\Gamma$ . As in [I80] we conclude that  $X \simeq F \times \mathbb{P}_1$  is a product.  $\square$

## REFERENCES

- [Ben85] X. Benveniste, *Sur le cône des 1-cycles effectifs en dimension 3*, Math. Ann. **272**, 257–265 (1985).
- [Ber07] E. Bertini, *Introduzione alla geometria proiettiva degli iperspazi*, Enrico Spoerri, Pisa, 1907.
- [Ch99] I.A. Chel'tsov, *Three-Dimensional Fano Varieties of Integer Index*, Math. Notes **66**, 360–365 (1999).
- [Cu88] S. Cutkosky, *Elementary Contractions of Gorenstein Threefolds*, Math. Ann. **280**, 521–525 (1988).
- [dP85] P. del Pezzo, *Sulle superficie di ordine  $n$  immerse nello spazio di  $n+1$  dimensioni*, Nap. rend. XXIV, 212–216 (1885).
- [DPS93] J.-P. Demailly, T. Peternell, M. Schneider, *Kähler manifolds with numerically effective Ricci class*, Comp. Math. **89**, 217–240 (1993).
- [IT01] S. Ishii, M. Tomari, *Hypersurface non-rational singularities which look canonical from their Newton boundaries*, Math. Z. **237**, 125–147 (2001).
- [I80] V.A. Iskovskikh, *Anticanonical models of three-dimensional algebraic varieties*, J. Soviet Math. **13**, 745–814 (1980).
- [IP99] V.A. Iskovskikh, Yu.G. Prokhorov, *Algebraic Geometry V: Fano varieties*, Springer 1999.
- [K88] Y. Kawamata, *Crepant blowing-up of 3-dimensional canonical singularities and its application to degenerations of surfaces*, Ann. Math. **127**, 93–163 (1988).
- [KM98] J. Kollár, S. Mori, *Birational Geometry of Algebraic Varieties*, Cambridge Univ. Press 1998.
- [Me99] M. Mella, *Existence of good divisors on Mukai varieties*, J. Alg. Geom. **8**, 197–206 (1999).

- [M82] S. Mori, *Threefolds whose canonical bundles are not numerically effective*, Ann. Math. **116**, 133–176 (1982).
- [M88] S. Mori, *Lectures on 3-dimensional algebraic varieties*, Utah University, Fall 1988.
- [R79] M. Reid, *Canonical 3-folds*, Algebraic Geometry Angers, 273–310 (1979).
- [R83] M. Reid, *Projective morphisms according to Kawamata*, Preprint (1983).
- [SD74] B. Saint-Donat, *Projective models of K3 surfaces*, Am. J. Math. **96**, 602–639 (1974).
- [Shi89] K.-H. Shin, *3-dimensional Fano Varieties with Canonical Singularities*, Tokyo J. Math. **12**, 375–385 (1989).
- [Sho80] V.V. Shokurov, *Smoothness of a general anticanonical divisor on a Fano variety*, Math. USSR, Izv. **14**, 395–405 (1980).

MATHEMATISCHES INSTITUT, UNIVERSITÄT BAYREUTH, D-95440 BAYREUTH/GERMANY  
*E-mail address:* `priska.jahnke@uni-bayreuth.de`  
*E-mail address:* `ivo.radloff@uni-bayreuth.de`