

FINITE LIFETIME EIGENFUNCTIONS OF COUPLED SYSTEMS OF HARMONIC OSCILLATORS

L. BOULTON¹, S.A.M. MARCANTOGNINI² AND M.D. MORÁN³

ABSTRACT. We consider a Hermite-type basis for which the eigenvalue problem associated to the operator $H_{A,B} := B(-\partial_x^2) + Ax^2$ acting on $L^2(\mathbb{R}; \mathbb{C}^2)$ becomes a three-terms recurrence. Here A and B are 2×2 constant positive definite matrices. Our main result provides an explicit characterization of the eigenvectors of $H_{A,B}$ that lie in the span of the first four elements of this basis when $AB \neq BA$.

1. INTRODUCTION

It is well known that the spectrum of the harmonic oscillator Hamiltonian

$$H_\alpha := -\partial_x^2 + \alpha^2 x^2, \quad \alpha > 0,$$

acting on $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ consists of the non-degenerate eigenvalues $\{\alpha(2n+1)\}_{n=0}^\infty$ with corresponding normalized eigenfunctions

$$(1) \quad \phi_n^\alpha(x) = \frac{\alpha^{1/4} h_n(\alpha^{1/2} x) e^{-\alpha x^2/2}}{\sqrt{2^n n!} \sqrt{\pi}},$$

where $h_n(x) := (-1)^n e^{x^2} \partial_x^n [e^{-x^2}]$ is the n -th Hermite polynomial. The present paper is devoted to studying the spectrum of a matrix version of H_α , the operator

$$H_{A,B} := B(-\partial_x^2) + Ax^2,$$

acting on $L^2(\mathbb{R}; \mathbb{C}^2)$, where A and B are two 2×2 constant positive definite matrices.

In contrast to the scalar situation, the spectral analysis of $H_{A,B}$ is far more involved due to the non-commutativity of the coefficients. If $AB = BA$, it is not difficult to find the eigenvalues and eigenfunctions of $H_{A,B}$ from those of H_α . On the other hand, when $AB \neq BA$, the

Date: March 2004.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary: 34L40 ; Secondary: 34L10, 81Q10.

Key words and phrases. Non-commutative harmonic oscillators, higher dimensional Hermite basis, eigenfunction expansion.

eigenvalues and eigenfunctions of $H_{A,B}$ are connected to those of H_α in a highly non-trivial manner (see Theorem 3 below).

Our recent interest in describing spectral properties of operators such as $H_{A,B}$ arises from two sources. In a series of recent works, Parmegiani and Wakayama, cf. [4], [5] and [6], characterize the spectrum of the operator $K_{\tilde{A},\tilde{B}} := \tilde{A}(-\partial_x^2 + x^2) + \tilde{B}(2x\partial_x + x^2)$ acting on $L^2(\mathbb{R}; \mathbb{C}^2)$, assuming that \tilde{A} is definite positive and $\tilde{B} = -\tilde{B}^t$. Although the two operators are related, it does not seem possible to obtain the eigenvalues of $H_{A,B}$ from those of $K_{\tilde{A},\tilde{B}}$. In [5] and [6] the eigenfunctions of $K_{\tilde{A},\tilde{B}}$ are found in terms of a twisted Hermite-type basis of $L^2(\mathbb{R}; \mathbb{C}^2)$. In this basis the eigenvalue problem associated to $K_{\tilde{A},\tilde{B}}$ becomes a three-term recurrence. The strategy presented below for analyzing the spectrum of $H_{A,B}$ will be similar.

Our second motivation is heuristic. It is known that the scalar harmonic oscillator, H_α , achieves the optimal value for the constant in the Lieb-Thirring inequalities with power $\sigma \geq 3/2$, cf. [2]. It would be of great interest finding Hamiltonians with similar properties for Lieb-Thirring-type inequalities for magnetic Schrödinger and Pauli operators, cf. [1], [2] and [3]. Due to their close connection with the harmonic oscillator, both $K_{\tilde{A},\tilde{B}}$ and the presently discussed $H_{A,B}$ are strong candidates for further investigations in this direction.

The plan of the paper is as follows. Section 2 is devoted to describing elementary facts about $H_{A,B}$. In section 3 we consider a basis for which the eigenvalue problem associated to $H_{A,B}$ is expressed as a three-term recurrence. The main results are to be found in section 4 where we establish necessary and sufficient conditions, given explicitly in terms of the entries of A and B , for an eigenfunction of $H_{A,B}$ to be the linear combination of the first four elements of this basis.

2. ELEMENTARY PROPERTIES OF $H_{A,B}$

We define $H_{A,B}$ rigorously as the self-adjoint operator whose domain, denoted below by \mathcal{D} , is the set of all

$$\begin{pmatrix} \phi \\ \psi \end{pmatrix} \in L^2(\mathbb{R}; \mathbb{C}^2)$$

such that

$$\phi, \psi \in H^2(\mathbb{R}) \cap \left\{ f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}) : \int |x^2 f(x)|^2 < \infty \right\} = H^2(\mathbb{R}) \cap \widehat{H}^2(\mathbb{R}),$$

where $H^2(\mathbb{R})$ denotes the Sobolev space of index (2,2) and “ $\widehat{\cdot}$ ” denotes Fourier transform. Since

$$(2) \quad \left\langle H_{A,B} \begin{pmatrix} \phi \\ \psi \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} \phi \\ \psi \end{pmatrix} \right\rangle = \int \left[B \begin{pmatrix} \phi' \\ \psi' \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} \phi' \\ \psi' \end{pmatrix} + A \begin{pmatrix} x\phi \\ x\psi \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} x\phi \\ x\psi \end{pmatrix} \right] dx \geq 0,$$

$H_{A,B}$ is a symmetric operator. It is well known (cf. [7]) that if the domain of H_α is chosen to be $H^2(\mathbb{R}) \cap \widehat{H^2}(\mathbb{R})$, then H_α is self-adjoint, non-negative and $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$, the Schwartz space, is a core for H_α . Thus $H_{A,B}$ with domain \mathcal{D} is a self-adjoint non-negative operator with core $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}; \mathbb{C}^2)$. Indeed, these properties are obvious when A is a diagonal non-negative matrix and $B = \text{Id}$, the identity matrix. The general case follows by considering the factorization

$$H_{A,B} = B^{1/2} E^* H_{C, \text{Id}} E B^{1/2},$$

where $B^{-1/2} A B^{-1/2} = E^* C E$ is the Jordan diagonalization of the former matrix, and by using the fact that \mathcal{D} is invariant under the action of constant matrices.

Lemma 1. *The spectrum of $H_{A,B}$ consists exclusively of isolated eigenvalues of finite multiplicity whose only accumulation point is $+\infty$. Moreover, if λ_n denotes the n -th eigenvalue of this operator counting multiplicity, then*

$$a_1^{1/2} b_1^{1/2} (2n+1) \leq \lambda_{2n+1} \leq \lambda_{2n+2} \leq a_2^{1/2} b_2^{1/2} (2n+1),$$

where $0 < a_1 \leq a_2$ and $0 < b_1 \leq b_2$, are the eigenvalues of A and B , respectively.

Proof. It reduces to showing that

$$\lambda_n(H_{A_1, B_1}) \leq \lambda_n(H_{A, B}) \leq \lambda_n(H_{A_2, B_2}),$$

where $A_j = a_j(\text{Id})$ and $B_j = b_j(\text{Id})$. This follows directly from the min-max principle (cf. [8]), the estimates

$$0 < \begin{pmatrix} a_1 & 0 \\ 0 & a_1 \end{pmatrix} \leq A \leq \begin{pmatrix} a_2 & 0 \\ 0 & a_2 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad 0 < \begin{pmatrix} b_1 & 0 \\ 0 & b_1 \end{pmatrix} \leq B \leq \begin{pmatrix} b_2 & 0 \\ 0 & b_2 \end{pmatrix},$$

and (2). \square

The above universal bound is not sharp in general and for most pairs (A, B) , $\lambda_{2n+1} \neq \lambda_{2n+2}$.

As we mentioned earlier, it is not difficult to compute the eigenvalues and eigenfunctions of $H_{A,B}$ when A and B commute. Indeed $AB = BA$ if, and only if, A and B have one (and hence both) eigenvectors in common. Let $w_j \neq 0$ be such that $Aw_j = a_j w_j$ and $Bw_j = b_j w_j$,

for $j = 1, 2$. Let $\phi_n^\alpha(x)$ be, as in (1), the eigenfunctions of H_α . Let $\beta_j = \sqrt{a_j/b_j} > 0$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} H_{A,B} w_j \phi_n^{\beta_j}(x) &= (-b_j \partial_x^2 + a_j x^2) w_j \phi_n^{\beta_j}(x) \\ &= b_j (-\partial_x^2 + (a_j/b_j) x^2) w_j \phi_n^{\beta_j}(x) \\ &= b_j^{1/2} a_j^{1/2} (2n+1) w_j \phi_n^{\beta_j}(x). \end{aligned}$$

By choosing $\|w_j\| = 1$, the family $\{w_j \phi_n^{\beta_j}(x) : j = 1, 2; n = 0, 1, \dots\}$ is an orthonormal basis of $L^2(\mathbb{R}; \mathbb{C}^2)$, hence

$$\text{Spec } H_{A,B} = \{b_j^{1/2} a_j^{1/2} (2n+1) : j = 1, 2; n = 0, 1, \dots\}.$$

The analysis below will show that finding the eigenvalues and eigenfunctions of $H_{A,B}$ whenever $AB \neq BA$ is by no means of the trivial nature as the above case.

3. HERMITE EXPANSION OF THE EIGENFUNCTIONS IN THE NON-COMMUTATIVE CASE

Without further mention, we will often suppress the sub-indices in operator expressions. The structure of $H_{A,B} \equiv H$ allows us to decompose $L^2(\mathbb{R}; \mathbb{C}^2)$ into two invariant subspaces where the eigenvalue problem can be studied independently. We perform this decomposition as follows. Given $\alpha > 0$, let

$$\mathcal{H}_+^\alpha := \text{Span} \{v x^j e^{-\alpha x^2/2} : v \in \mathbb{C}^2, j = 2k, k = 0, 1, \dots\},$$

$$\mathcal{H}_-^\alpha := \text{Span} \{v x^j e^{-\alpha x^2/2} : v \in \mathbb{C}^2, j = 2k+1, k = 0, 1, \dots\},$$

and denote by $H^\pm = H|_{(\mathcal{D} \cap \mathcal{H}_\pm^\alpha)}$. Since \mathcal{H}_\pm^α are invariant under ∂_x^2 , multiplication by x^2 and action of constant matrices, these spaces are also invariant under H . Hence $H^\pm : \mathcal{D} \cap \mathcal{H}_\pm^\alpha \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_\pm^\alpha$ are self-adjoint operators and

$$\text{Spec } H = \text{Spec } H^+ \cup \text{Spec } H^-.$$

Let

$$L = 2^{-1/2}(x + \partial_x) \quad \text{and} \quad L^* = 2^{-1/2}(x - \partial_x)$$

be the annihilation and creation operators for the scalar harmonic oscillator. Then

$$L\phi_0^1 = 0, \quad L\phi_n^1 = n^{1/2}\phi_{n-1}^1 \quad \text{and} \quad L^*\phi_n^1 = (n+1)^{1/2}\phi_{n+1}^1.$$

From these relations one can easily deduce the recurrent identities

$$\begin{aligned} 2\alpha x^2 \phi_n^\alpha &= (n+2)^{1/2}(n+1)^{1/2} \phi_{n+2}^\alpha + (2n+1) \phi_n^\alpha + n^{1/2}(n-1)^{1/2} \phi_{n-2}^\alpha, \\ 2\alpha^{-1} \partial_x^2 \phi_n^\alpha &= (n+2)^{1/2}(n+1)^{1/2} \phi_{n+2}^\alpha - (2n+1) \phi_n^\alpha + n^{1/2}(n-1)^{1/2} \phi_{n-2}^\alpha, \end{aligned}$$

where, here and elsewhere, any quantity with negative sub-index is zero. Since $\{\phi_n^\alpha\}_{n=0}^\infty$ is an orthonormal basis for $L^2(\mathbb{R})$, we can expand any vector of $L^2(\mathbb{R}; \mathbb{C}^2)$ via

$$(3) \quad \begin{pmatrix} \phi \\ \psi \end{pmatrix} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} v_n \phi_n^\alpha,$$

for a suitable unique sequence $(v_n) \in l^2(\mathbb{N}; \mathbb{C}^2)$. Moreover, denoting by $N_\alpha := \alpha^{-1}A - \alpha B$ and $M_\alpha := \alpha^{-1}A + \alpha B$,

$$\begin{aligned} H \begin{pmatrix} \phi \\ \psi \end{pmatrix} &= [(-\partial_x^2)B + x^2A] \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} v_n \phi_n^\alpha \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} Bv_n(-\partial_x^2)\phi_n^\alpha + Av_n x^2 \phi_n^\alpha \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (n+2)^{1/2}(n+1)^{1/2} N_\alpha v_n \phi_{n+2}^\alpha + (2n+1)M_\alpha v_n \phi_n^\alpha \\ &\quad + n^{1/2}(n-1)^{1/2} N_\alpha v_n \phi_{n-2}^\alpha \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} [k^{1/2}(k-1)^{1/2} N_\alpha v_{k-2} + (2k+1)M_\alpha v_k + \\ &\quad + (k+2)^{1/2}(k+1)^{1/2} N_\alpha v_{k+2}] \phi_k^\alpha. \end{aligned}$$

Thus $2H^\pm$ are, respectively, similar to the block tri-diagonal matrices

$$(4) \quad \begin{pmatrix} S_0^\pm & T_1^\pm & & \\ T_1^\pm & S_1^\pm & T_2^\pm & \\ & T_2^\pm & S_2^\pm & T_3^\pm \\ & & T_3^\pm & \ddots \end{pmatrix}$$

acting on $l^2(\mathbb{N}; \mathbb{C}^2)$, where

$$\begin{aligned} S_k^+ &= (4k+1)M_\alpha, & T_k^+ &= (2k)^{1/2}(2k-1)^{1/2}N_\alpha, \\ S_k^- &= (4k+3)M_\alpha & \text{and} & \quad T_k^- = (2k)^{1/2}(2k+1)^{1/2}N_\alpha. \end{aligned}$$

In order to reduce the amount of notation in our subsequent discussion, we consider $H_{A,B}$ in canonical form as follows. If $0 \leq b_1 \leq b_2$ are the eigenvalues of B , let $U^*(\text{diag}[b_1, b_2])U$ be the diagonalization of B , and set $\tilde{A} := b_1^{-1}UAU^*$ and $\tilde{B} := \text{diag}[1, b_2/b_1]$. Then

$$H_{A,B} = b_1 U^* H_{\tilde{A}, \tilde{B}} U$$

where

$$(5) \quad \tilde{A} = \begin{pmatrix} a & \xi \\ \bar{\xi} & c \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{B} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & b \end{pmatrix}.$$

Here the positivity of A and B is equivalent to the conditions

$$b \geq 1, \quad a, c > 0 \quad \text{and} \quad 0 \leq |\xi|^2 < ac.$$

Furthermore notice that $AB = BA$ if, and only if, either $b = 1$ or $\xi = 0$. Hence, unless otherwise specified, we will consider without loss of generality that the pair (A, B) is always the pair (\tilde{A}, \tilde{B}) in (5).

By virtue of the tri-diagonal representation (4), it seems natural to expect that the Hermite series (3) may be a good candidate for expanding the eigenfunctions of H . Not to mention that it is the obvious extension of the scalar and commutative cases. In this respect, we may consider “finite lifetime” series expansions of eigenfunctions Φ of H ,

$$(6) \quad \Phi = \sum_{n=0}^k v_n \phi_n^{\alpha(k)}$$

for suitable finite $k \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$, $\alpha(k) > 0$ and $v_n \in \mathbb{C}^2$. The results we present below show that, contrary to the above presumption, (3) is not such a good candidate for expanding Φ for small values of k . To be more precise, we show that for $k = 0, 1, 2, 3$, an expansion of type (6) is allowed only for a small sub-manifold of the region

$$(7) \quad R := \{(b, a, c, |\xi|) \in \mathbb{R}^4 : a, c > 0, b \geq 1, 0 \leq |\xi|^2 < ac\}$$

corresponding to all positive definite pairs (A, B) .

We first discuss the cases $k = 0, 1$ and leave $k = 2, 3$ for the forthcoming section. The following result includes a family of test bases larger than the one considered in (6).

Lemma 2. *Let $\phi_n^\alpha(x)$ be the eigenfunctions of the scalar harmonic oscillator H_α . Then $H_{A,B}$ has an eigenfunction of the type $\Phi(x) = (\tilde{a}\phi_n^\alpha(x), \tilde{b}\phi_m^\beta(x))^t$ where $\tilde{a}, \tilde{b} \in \mathbb{C}$, $\alpha, \beta > 0$ and $m, n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$, if and only if $AB = BA$.*

Proof. If $H\Phi = \lambda\Phi$, then

$$\begin{aligned} -\tilde{a}(\phi_n^\alpha)'' + ax^2\tilde{a}\phi_n^\alpha + \xi x^2\tilde{b}\phi_m^\beta - \lambda\tilde{a}\phi_n^\alpha &= 0, \\ -\tilde{b}(\phi_m^\beta)'' + cx^2\tilde{b}\phi_m^\beta + \bar{\xi}x^2\tilde{a}\phi_n^\alpha - \lambda\tilde{b}\phi_m^\beta &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

If $\tilde{a} = 0$ or $\tilde{b} = 0$ in the above identities, necessarily $\xi = 0$ so $AB = BA$. Hence without loss of generality we can assume that $\tilde{a}\tilde{b} \neq 0$.

If $\alpha \neq \beta$, once again $\xi = 0$. Then we may suppose that $\alpha = \beta$. Since both left hand sides of the above identities are equal to $p(x)e^{-\alpha x^2/2}$, where in both cases $p(x)$ is a polynomial of degree $2 + \max(m, n)$,

necessarily either $\xi = 0$ or $m = n$. In the latter case, the above system is rewritten as

$$\begin{aligned} -\tilde{a}(\phi_n^\alpha)'' + (a\tilde{a} + \xi\tilde{b})x^2\phi_n^\alpha - \lambda\tilde{a}\phi_n^\alpha &= 0, \\ -\tilde{b}\tilde{b}(\phi_n^\alpha)'' + (c\tilde{b} + \xi\tilde{a})x^2\phi_n^\alpha - \lambda\tilde{b}\phi_n^\alpha &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Since ϕ_n^α is an eigenfunction of H_α where $\alpha > 0$, necessarily $\xi \in \mathbb{R}$. Furthermore,

$$\begin{aligned} a + \xi\tilde{b}/\tilde{a} &= \alpha^2 = c/b + \xi\tilde{a}/(\tilde{b}\tilde{b}) \quad \text{and} \\ a + \xi\tilde{b}/\tilde{a} &= \lambda/(2n+1) = bc + \xi\tilde{a}b/\tilde{b}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence necessarily $b = 1$. \square

Since \mathcal{H}^\pm are invariant under the action of H , and the even (resp. odd) terms in the series (6) belong to \mathcal{H}^+ (resp. \mathcal{H}^-), the above lemma ensures that $\Phi = v_0\phi_0^\alpha + v_1\phi_1^\alpha$ is an eigenfunction of H if, and only if, A and B commute.

4. FOUR-TERM EXPANSION OF EIGENFUNCTIONS OF $H_{A,B}$

In this section we study necessary and sufficient conditions in order to guarantee that $\Phi \in L^2(\mathbb{R}; \mathbb{C}^2)$, with finite lifetime expansion of the type (6) for $k = 2$ and 3, is an eigenfunction of H for suitable $\alpha(k) > 0$ when $AB \neq BA$. In other words, assuming that Φ satisfies the constraint

$$\Phi = v_0\phi_0^\alpha + v_1\phi_1^\alpha + v_2\phi_2^\alpha + v_3\phi_3^\alpha,$$

we aim to investigate conditions ensuring $H\Phi = \lambda\Phi$.

Since

$$v\phi_{2n}^\alpha \in \mathcal{H}_+^\alpha \quad \text{and} \quad v\phi_{2n+1}^\alpha \in \mathcal{H}_-^\alpha, \quad v \in \mathbb{C}^2$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$, and the subspaces \mathcal{H}_\pm^α are invariant under H , we may consider the even and odd cases separately. To this end, let

$$(8) \quad \Phi^+ = v_0\phi_0^\alpha + v_2\phi_2^\alpha \quad \text{and} \quad \Phi^- = v_1\phi_1^\alpha + v_3\phi_3^\alpha,$$

$\Phi^\pm \in H^\pm$ respectively. Then our goal is to find necessary and sufficient conditions, given in terms of $(b, a, c, |\xi|) \in R \setminus \partial R$, ensuring that Φ^\pm is an eigenfunction of H^\pm . The following is our main result.

Theorem 3. *Let*

$$B = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & b \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad A = \begin{pmatrix} a & \xi \\ \xi & c \end{pmatrix},$$

where $b > 1$, $a, c > 0$ and $0 < |\xi|^2 < ac$. Let $\beta > 0$ be such that β^2 is an eigenvalue of $B^{-1/2}AB^{-1/2} > 0$. Let

$$\lambda_{\text{even}} := \frac{5\beta(ab + c - 2\beta^2b)}{a + c - (b+1)\beta^2} \quad \text{and} \quad \lambda_{\text{odd}} := \frac{7\beta(ab + c - 2\beta^2b)}{a + c - (b+1)\beta^2}.$$

Then

i) H^+ has an eigenfunction $\Phi^+(x)$ of type (8) if and only if

$$(9) \quad 2\lambda_{\text{even}}[a + c - (b + 1)\beta^2] = 5\beta(\beta - \lambda_{\text{even}})(\lambda_{\text{even}} - \beta b).$$

In this case $\alpha = \beta$ and $H^+\Phi^+ = \lambda_{\text{even}}\Phi^+$. Furthermore, λ_{even} is an eigenvalue of M_β .

ii) H^- has an eigenfunction $\Phi^-(x)$ of type (8) if and only if

$$(10) \quad 6\lambda_{\text{odd}}[a + c - (b + 1)\beta^2] = 7\beta(3\beta - \lambda_{\text{odd}})(\lambda_{\text{odd}} - 3\beta b).$$

In this case $\alpha = \beta$ and $H^-\Phi^- = \lambda_{\text{odd}}\Phi^-$. Furthermore λ_{odd} is an eigenvalue of $3M_\beta$.

Notice that the conditions on a, b, c and ξ ensure that $AB \neq BA$.

Proof. Put

$$\Phi^+(x) = (u_0 + u_2x^2)e^{-\alpha x^2/2},$$

for $u_0, u_2 \neq 0$. Since H^+ is similar to the tri-diagonal matrix (4), then $H^+\Phi^+ = \lambda\Phi^+$, if and only if

$$S_0^+u_0 + T_1^+u_2 = \lambda u_0$$

$$T_1^+u_0 + S_1^+u_2 = \lambda u_2$$

$$T_2^+u_2 = 0.$$

The latter equation implies that $u_2 \in \ker N_\alpha$ and thus the first one implies that λ is an eigenvalue of $S_0^+ = M_\alpha$ with associated eigenfunction u_0 . A straightforward computation shows that the above system is equivalent to

$$(11) \quad \begin{aligned} (A - \alpha^2B)u_2 &= 0 \\ (A - \alpha^2B)u_0 + (5\alpha B - \lambda)u_2 &= 0 \\ (\alpha B - \lambda)u_0 - 2Bu_2 &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

The first equation holds if and only if $\alpha = \beta$. Here

$$(12) \quad \beta = +\sqrt{\frac{ab + c \pm \sqrt{(c - ab)^2 + 4|\xi|^2b}}{2b}}$$

and $u_2 = \begin{pmatrix} c - \beta^2b \\ -\bar{\xi} \end{pmatrix}$. Notice that in this case

$$0 = \det(A - \beta^2B) = (a - \beta^2)(c - \beta^2b) - |\xi|^2.$$

Let $\tilde{u}_2 = \begin{pmatrix} a - \beta^2 \\ \xi \end{pmatrix}$. Then $\tilde{u}_2 \perp u_2$ and

$$(A - \beta^2B)\tilde{u}_2 = (a + c - (1 + b)\beta^2)\tilde{u}_2.$$

Decompose

$$u_0 = \gamma u_2 + \tilde{\gamma} \tilde{u}_2,$$

for suitable $\gamma, \tilde{\gamma} \in \mathbb{C}$. Then the second identity of (11) holds if and only if, $\lambda = \lambda_{\text{even}}$ and

$$(13) \quad \tilde{\gamma}(a + c - (1 + b)\beta^2)^2(a - \beta^2) = 5\beta(1 - b)|\xi|^2.$$

The third identity of (11) can be rewritten as the system

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma(\beta - \lambda)(c - \alpha^2 b) + \tilde{\gamma}(\beta - \lambda)(a - \beta^2) &= 2(c - \beta^2 b) \\ \gamma(\beta b - \lambda)(-\bar{\xi}) + \tilde{\gamma}(\beta b - \lambda)\bar{\xi} &= -2b\bar{\xi} \end{aligned}$$

in γ and $\tilde{\gamma}$. By finding $\tilde{\gamma}$ from this system (for instance by Newton's method) and by equating to (13), a straightforward computation yields (9).

The proof of ii) is similar. \square

Notice that there is a duality of signs in the definition of β (cf. (12)), so conditions (9) and (10) comprise two possibilities each. Let

$$\Omega_{\text{even}}^{\pm} := \{(b, a, c, |\xi|) \in R : (9) \text{ holds}\}$$

and

$$\Omega_{\text{odd}}^{\pm} := \{(b, a, c, |\xi|) \in R : (10) \text{ holds}\},$$

where the sign for the super-index is chosen in concordance to the sign in expression (12). By computing the partial derivatives of both sides of identities (9) and (10), a straightforward but rather long computation which we omit in the present discussion, shows that these four regions are smooth 3-manifolds embedded in $R \subset \mathbb{R}^4$, see (7).

The fact that $\Omega_{\text{even}}^{\pm}$ are non empty is consequence of the following observation. By fixing $\tilde{b} > 1$ and $|\tilde{\xi}| > 0$, and by putting $c = a\tilde{b}$, condition (9) can be rewritten as

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \tilde{b}^{-1}(\tilde{b} + 1)^2[2(a\tilde{b} + c - 2\beta^2\tilde{b}) - (\beta - \lambda)(\lambda - \tilde{b}\beta)] \\ &= \pm \frac{4|\tilde{\xi}|}{\sqrt{\tilde{b}}}(\tilde{b} + 1)^2 - \beta^2(1 - 9\tilde{b})(9 - \tilde{b}), \end{aligned}$$

where $\beta^2 = a \pm |\tilde{\xi}|\tilde{b}^{-1/2}$. Then $(\tilde{b}, \tilde{a}, \tilde{a}\tilde{b}, |\tilde{\xi}|) \in \Omega_{\text{even}}^+$ whenever

$$\tilde{a} = -\frac{|\tilde{\xi}|(5\tilde{b}^2 - 90\tilde{b} + 5)}{\tilde{b}^{1/2}(9\tilde{b}^2 - 82\tilde{b} + 9)}$$

for $9 < \tilde{b} < 9 + 4\sqrt{5}$, and $(\tilde{b}, \tilde{a}, \tilde{a}\tilde{b}, |\tilde{\xi}|) \in \Omega_{\text{even}}^-$ whenever

$$\tilde{a} = \frac{|\tilde{\xi}|(5\tilde{b}^2 - 90\tilde{b} + 5)}{\tilde{b}^{1/2}(9\tilde{b}^2 - 82\tilde{b} + 9)}$$




FIGURE 1. Left: projection of Ω_{even}^+ and Ω_{even}^- onto the hyperplane $|\xi| = 1$, the darker color corresponds to Ω_{even}^+ . Right: projection of Ω_{odd}^+ and Ω_{odd}^- onto the hyperplane $|\xi| = 1$. The lighter color corresponds to Ω_{odd}^+ .

for $1 < \tilde{b} < 9$ or $\tilde{b} > 9 + 4\sqrt{5}$. Furthermore, notice that if $(\tilde{b}, \tilde{a}, \tilde{a}\tilde{b}, |\tilde{\xi}|) \in \Omega_{\text{even}}^\pm$, then

$$(\tilde{b}, r\tilde{a}, r\tilde{c}, r|\tilde{\xi}|) \in \Omega_{\text{even}}^\pm, \quad \text{for all } r > 0.$$

This shows that Ω_{even}^\pm are non-empty, unbounded and the semi-axis $\{(b, 0, 0, 0) : b > 1\}$ intersects $\partial\Omega_{\text{even}}^\pm$. All these properties also hold for Ω_{odd}^\pm .

Furthermore,

$$\Omega_{\text{even}}^+ \cap \Omega_{\text{odd}}^+ = \emptyset \quad \text{and} \quad \Omega_{\text{even}}^- \cap \Omega_{\text{odd}}^- = \emptyset.$$

Indeed, if (9) and (10) hold at the same time for the same tetrad $(b, a, c, |\xi|)$, then, according to Theorem 3, λ should be at the same time eigenvalue of M_β and $3M_\beta$ (β defined with the same sign). Obviously the latter is a contradiction. In figure 1 we reproduce the projections of these four regions, onto the hyper-plane $|\xi| = 1$. These picture suggest that $\Omega^+ \cap \Omega^- \neq \emptyset$.

Finally, we may comment on the issue of considering a more general basis for expanding the eigenfunctions of H . One might think that a natural candidate for generalizing (6) is the finite expansion

$$\Phi = \sum_{j=0}^m \begin{pmatrix} a_j \phi_j^\alpha \\ b_j \phi_j^\beta \end{pmatrix},$$

where a_j, b_j are complex number and $\alpha, \beta > 0$. We studied a particular case of this in Lemma 2. It turns out that if Φ is an eigenfunction of the above form, then either $\xi = 0$ or $\beta = \alpha$, so it should be as in (6). This can be easily proven by writing down the system for the eigenvalue

equation and considering the asymptotic behaviour of the identities as $x \rightarrow \infty$.

REFERENCES

- [1] L. ERDÖS, J.P. SOLOVEJ “Magnetic Lieb-Thirring inequalities with optimal dependence on the field strength”. Preprint 2003, [arXiv:math-ph/0306066](https://arxiv.org/abs/math-ph/0306066).
- [2] A. LAPTEV, T. WEIDL, “Recent results on Lieb-Thirring inequality”, *Université de Nantes. Exp. XX* (2000) 1-14.
- [3] A. LAPTEV, T. WEIDL, “Sharp Lieb-Thirring inequalities in high dimensions”, *Acta Math.* 184 (2000) 87-111.
- [4] A. PARMEGGIANI, M. WAKAYAMA, “Oscillator representations and systems of ordinary differential equations”, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 98 (2001) 26-30.
- [5] A. PARMEGGIANI, M. WAKAYAMA, “Non-commutative harmonic oscillators I”, *Forum Math.* 14 (2002) 538-604.
- [6] A. PARMEGGIANI, M. WAKAYAMA, “Non-commutative harmonic oscillators II”, *Forum Math.* 14 (2002) 669-690.
- [7] M. REED, B. SIMON, *Methods of modern mathematical physics, volume 2: self-adjointness*, Academic press, New York, 1975.
- [8] M. REED, B. SIMON, *Methods of modern mathematical physics, volume 4: analysis of operators*, Academic press, New York, 1978.

¹LYONELL BOULTON

Department of Mathematics
and Statistics,
University of Calgary,
2500 University Drive,
Calgary, AB, Canada T2N 1N4
email: lboulton@math.ucalgary.ca

²STEFANIA MARCANTOGNINI

Departamento de Matemáticas,
Instituto Venezolano de
Investigaciones Científicas,
Apartado 21827,
Caracas, 1020A, Venezuela.
email: smarcant@ivic.ve

³MARÍA DOLORES MORÁN

Escuela de Matemáticas,
Facultad de Ciencias,
Universidad Central de Venezuela,
Apartado 20513,
Caracas, 1020A, Venezuela.
email: mmoran@euler.ciens.ucv.ve