

Structure of Algebras of Weyl Type¹

(to appear in *Comm. Algebra* **32** (2004) 1051-1059.)

Yucai Su

Department of Mathematics, Shanghai Jiaotong University

Shanghai 200030, China

E-mail: ycsu@sjtu.edu.cn

and

Kaiming Zhao

Institute of Mathematics, Academy of Mathematics and system Sciences

Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100080, China

ABSTRACT

In a recent paper by the authors, the associative and the Lie algebras of Weyl type $\mathcal{A}[\mathcal{D}] = \mathcal{A} \otimes \mathbb{F}[\mathcal{D}]$ were introduced, where \mathcal{A} is a commutative associative algebra with an identity element over a field \mathbb{F} of any characteristic, and $\mathbb{F}[\mathcal{D}]$ is the polynomial algebra of a commutative derivation subalgebra \mathcal{D} of \mathcal{A} . In the present paper, a class of the above associative and Lie algebras $\mathcal{A}[\mathcal{D}]$ with \mathbb{F} being a field of characteristic 0 and \mathcal{D} consisting of locally finite derivations of \mathcal{A} , is studied. The isomorphism classes of these associative and Lie algebras are determined. The structure of these algebras is described explicitly.

Key Words: Lie algebra of Weyl type, associative algebra of Weyl type, derivation, isomorphism class.

1. INTRODUCTION

In a recent paper by Su and Zhao (2001), the associative and the Lie algebras of Weyl type $\mathcal{A}[\mathcal{D}] = \mathcal{A} \otimes \mathbb{F}[\mathcal{D}]$ are introduced, where \mathcal{A} is a commutative associative algebra with an identity element over a field \mathbb{F} of any characteristic, and $\mathbb{F}[\mathcal{D}]$ is the polynomial algebra of a commutative derivation subalgebra \mathcal{D} of \mathcal{A} . The necessary and sufficient conditions for them to be simple are also given. Precisely, the associative algebra or Lie algebra $\mathcal{A}[\mathcal{D}]$ (modulo its center, as a Lie algebra) is simple if and only if \mathcal{A} is \mathcal{D} -simple (see also Zhao, 2002).

In the present paper, we study a class of associative and Lie algebras of the above type $\mathcal{A}[\mathcal{D}]$ with \mathbb{F} being a field of characteristic 0 and \mathcal{D} consisting of locally finite

¹AMS Subject Classification - Primary: 17B20, 17B65, 17B67, 17B68.

derivations of \mathcal{A} . The isomorphism classes of these associative and Lie algebras are determined. The structure of these algebras is described explicitly (the special case when \mathcal{D} consists of locally finite but not locally nilpotent derivations of \mathcal{A} was solved in Su and Zhao, 2002). The 2-cocycles and derivations of these algebras were determined in Su (2002, 2003), and the derivations and automorphisms of these algebras in the spacial case when \mathcal{A} is the Laurent polynomial algebra, were determined in Zhao (1993, 1994).

Throughout this paper we assume that \mathbb{F} is a field of characteristic zero, all vector spaces are over \mathbb{F} . Denote by \mathbb{Z} the ring of integers and by \mathbb{N} the additive semigroup of numbers $\{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$. From Su et al (2000), we know that the pairs $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{D})$, where \mathcal{A} is a commutative associative algebra with an identity element 1 over \mathbb{F} and \mathcal{D} is a nonzero finite dimensional \mathbb{F} -vector space of locally finite commuting \mathbb{F} -derivations of \mathcal{A} such that \mathcal{A} is \mathcal{D} -simple, are essentially those constructed as follows.

Let ℓ_1, ℓ_2, ℓ_3 be three nonnegative integers such that $\ell = \ell_1 + \ell_2 + \ell_3 > 0$. Take any *nondegenerate* additive subgroup Γ of $\mathbb{F}^{\ell_2 + \ell_3}$, i.e., Γ contains an \mathbb{F} -basis of $\mathbb{F}^{\ell_2 + \ell_3}$. Elements in Γ will be written as

$$\alpha = (\alpha_{\ell_1+1}, \dots, \alpha_\ell) \text{ or sometimes } \alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_\ell) \text{ with } \alpha_1 = \dots = \alpha_{\ell_1} = 0. \quad (1.1)$$

Set $J = \mathbb{N}^\ell$, $J_1 = \mathbb{N}^{\ell_1 + \ell_2} \times \{0\}^{\ell_3}$. Elements in J will be written as

$$\mu = (\mu_1, \dots, \mu_\ell). \quad (1.2)$$

Elements in J_1 are also written as $\vec{i} = (i_1, i_2, \dots, i_\ell)$ with $i_{\ell_1 + \ell_2 + 1} = \dots = i_\ell = 0$. For $i \in \mathbb{Z}$, we denote

$$i_{[p]} = (0, \dots, 0, \overset{p}{i}, 0, \dots, 0) \text{ for } 1 \leq p \leq \ell, \quad (1.3)$$

where p over i means that i appears in the p -th coordinate. Let (\mathcal{A}, \cdot) be the semigroup algebra $\mathbb{F}[\Gamma \times J_1]$ with the basis $\{x^{\alpha, \vec{i}} \mid (\alpha, \vec{i}) \in \Gamma \times J_1\}$ and the product

$$x^{\alpha, \vec{i}} x^{\beta, \vec{j}} = x^{\alpha + \beta, \vec{i} + \vec{j}}, \quad \forall (\alpha, \vec{i}), (\beta, \vec{j}) \in \Gamma \times J_1. \quad (1.4)$$

Denote the identity element $x^{0,0}$ by 1. Define the derivations $\{\partial_p \mid 1 \leq p \leq \ell\}$ on \mathcal{A} by

$$\partial_p(x^{\alpha, \vec{i}}) = \alpha_p x^{\alpha, \vec{i}} + i_p x^{\alpha, \vec{i} - 1_{[p]}} \text{ for } 1 \leq p \leq \ell, \quad (1.5)$$

where we adopt the convention that if a notion is not defined but technically appears in an expression, we always treat it as zero; for instance, $x^{\alpha, -1_{[1]}} = 0$ for any $\alpha \in \Gamma$.

For convenience, we denote

$$x^\alpha = x^{\alpha,0}, \quad t^{\vec{i}} = x^{0,\vec{i}}, \quad t_p = t^{1[p]} \quad \text{for } \alpha \in \Gamma, \vec{i} \in J_1, 1 \leq p \leq \ell. \quad (1.6)$$

Set

$$\mathcal{D} = \text{span}\{\partial_p \mid 1 \leq p \leq \ell\}.$$

Then we obtain the pair $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{D})$ where \mathcal{D} is a nonzero finite dimensional \mathbb{F} -vector space of locally finite commuting \mathbb{F} -derivations of \mathcal{A} such that \mathcal{A} is \mathcal{D} -simple. Clearly, $\{a \in \mathcal{A} \mid \mathcal{D}(a) = 0\} = \mathbb{F}$.

Denote by $\mathbb{F}[\mathcal{D}]$ the polynomial algebra of \mathcal{D} . Then $\mathbb{F}[\mathcal{D}]$ has a basis $\{\partial^\mu = \prod_{i=1}^{\ell} \partial_i^{\mu_i} \mid \mu \in J\}$. The \mathbb{F} -vector space

$$\mathcal{W}(\ell_1, \ell_2, \ell_3, \Gamma) = \mathcal{A}[\mathcal{D}] = \mathcal{A} \otimes \mathbb{F}[\mathcal{D}] = \text{span}\{x^{\alpha, \vec{i}} \partial^\mu \mid (\alpha, \vec{i}, \mu) \in \Gamma \times J_1 \times J\}, \quad (1.7)$$

forms an associative algebra with the following product,

$$u \partial^\mu \cdot v \partial^\nu = \sum_{\lambda \in J} \binom{\mu}{\lambda} u \partial^\lambda(v) \partial^{\mu+\nu-\lambda} \quad \text{for all } u, v \in \mathcal{A}, \mu, \nu \in J, \quad (1.8)$$

(when the context is clear, we shall omit the symbol “.” in the product), where,

$$\binom{\mu}{\lambda} = \prod_{p=1}^{\ell} \binom{\mu_p}{\lambda_p}, \quad \partial^\lambda(v) = \left(\prod_{p=1}^{\ell} \partial_p^{\lambda_p} \right)(v) \quad \text{for } \mu, \lambda \in J, v \in \mathcal{A}. \quad (1.9)$$

This algebra $\mathbb{F}[\mathcal{D}]$ is called an *algebra of Weyl type*. The induced Lie algebra from the above associative algebra is called a *Lie algebra of Weyl type*, the bracket is

$$[u \partial^\mu, v \partial^\nu] = u \partial^\mu \cdot v \partial^\nu - v \partial^\nu \cdot u \partial^\mu \quad \text{for } u, v \in \mathcal{A}, \mu, \nu \in J. \quad (1.10)$$

Obviously \mathbb{F} is contained in the center of \mathcal{W} . From Su and Zhao (2001) and Zhao (2002), we know that the associative algebra \mathcal{W} and the Lie algebra $(\mathcal{W}/\mathbb{F}, [\cdot, \cdot])$ are simple.

Denote by $M_{m \times n}$ the set of $m \times n$ matrices with entries in \mathbb{F} and by GL_m the group of invertible $m \times m$ matrices. Let $G_{\ell_2, \ell_3} = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} A & 0 \\ B & C \end{pmatrix} \mid A \in GL_{\ell_2}, B \in M_{\ell_2 \times \ell_3}, C \in GL_{\ell_3} \right\}$ which is a subgroup of $GL_{\ell_2 + \ell_3}$. Define an action of G_{ℓ_2, ℓ_3} on $\mathbb{F}^{\ell_2 + \ell_3}$ by $g(\alpha) = \alpha g^{-1}$ for $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}^{\ell_2 + \ell_3}, g \in G_{\ell_2, \ell_3}$. For any nondegenerate additive subgroup Γ of $\mathbb{F}^{\ell_2 + \ell_3}$ and $g \in G_{\ell_2, \ell_3}$, the set

$$g(\Gamma) = \{g(\alpha) \mid \alpha \in \Gamma\}, \quad (1.11)$$

is also a nondegenerate additive subgroup of $\mathbb{F}^{\ell_2+\ell_3}$. Denote by $\Omega_{\ell_2+\ell_3}$ the set of nondegenerate additive subgroups of $\mathbb{F}^{\ell_2+\ell_3}$. We have an action of G_{ℓ_2,ℓ_3} on $\Omega_{\ell_2+\ell_3}$ by (1.11). Define the moduli space

$$\mathcal{M}_{\ell_2,\ell_3} = \Omega_{\ell_2+\ell_3}/G_{\ell_2,\ell_3}, \quad (1.12)$$

which is the set of G_{ℓ_2,ℓ_3} -orbits in $\Omega_{\ell_2+\ell_3}$. Then our main theorem of this paper is the following.

Theorem 1.1. *Let $\mathcal{W} = \mathcal{W}(\ell_1, \ell_2, \ell_3, \Gamma)$, $\mathcal{W}' = \mathcal{W}(\ell'_1, \ell'_2, \ell'_3, \Gamma')$. Then $\mathcal{W} \cong \mathcal{W}'$ (as associative algebras or Lie algebras) if and only if $(\ell_1, \ell_2, \ell_3) = (\ell'_1, \ell'_2, \ell'_3)$ and there exists an element $g \in G_{\ell_2,\ell_3}$ such that $g(\Gamma) = \Gamma'$. In particular, there exists a one-to-one correspondence between the set of isomorphic classes of the (associative or Lie) algebras of the form (1.7) and the following set:*

$$SW = \{(\ell_1, \ell_2, \ell_3, X) \mid (0, 0, 0) \neq (\ell_1, \ell_2, \ell_3) \in \mathbb{N}^3, X \in \mathcal{M}_{\ell_2,\ell_3}\}. \quad (1.13)$$

In other words, the set SW is the structure space of the (associative or Lie) algebras of Weyl type in (1.7).

Thus by Su et al (2000), we see that the structure space of the (associative or Lie) algebras of Weyl type in (1.7) is the same as that of simple Lie algebras of generalized Witt type constructed in Xu (2000).

2. ABOUT $\mathcal{W}(\ell_1, \ell_2, \ell_3, \Gamma)$

We shall simply denote $\mathcal{W}(\ell_1, \ell_2, \ell_3, \Gamma)$ by \mathcal{W} . Denote

$$\mathcal{D}_1 = \sum_{p=1}^{\ell_1} \mathbb{F}\partial_p, \quad \mathcal{D}_2 = \sum_{p=\ell_1+1}^{\ell_1+\ell_2} \mathbb{F}\partial_p, \quad \mathcal{D}_3 = \sum_{p=\ell_1+\ell_2+1}^{\ell} \mathbb{F}\partial_p. \quad (2.1)$$

For any $\partial = \sum_{p=1}^{\ell} a_p \partial_p \in \mathcal{D}$ and $\alpha \in \Gamma$ written as in (1.1), define

$$\langle \partial, \alpha \rangle = \alpha(\partial) = \sum_{p=\ell_1+1}^{\ell} a_p \alpha_p. \quad (2.2)$$

Since Γ is a nondegenerate subgroup of $\mathbb{F}^{\ell_2+\ell_3}$, there exists an \mathbb{F} -basis $\alpha^{(\ell_1+1)}, \dots, \alpha^{(\ell)} \in \Gamma$ of $\mathbb{F}^{\ell_2+\ell_3}$, and the dual basis $d_{\ell_1+1}, \dots, d_{\ell}$ of $\mathcal{D}_2 + \mathcal{D}_3$ with respect to (2.2) such that $\langle \alpha^{(p)}, d_q \rangle = \delta_{p,q}$ for $\ell_1 + 1 \leq p, q \leq \ell$. Set $d_p = \partial_p$ if $1 \leq p \leq \ell_1$. Then

$$B = \{d^\mu = \prod_{p=1}^{\ell} d_p^{\mu_p} \mid \mu \in J\}, \quad (2.3)$$

is a basis of $\mathbb{F}[\mathcal{D}]$. We define a total order on J by

$$\begin{aligned} \mu < \nu &\Leftrightarrow |\mu| < |\nu| \text{ or } |\mu| = |\nu| \text{ but } \exists p \text{ such that} \\ &1 \leq p \leq \ell \text{ and } \mu_p < \nu_p \text{ and } \mu_q = \nu_q \text{ when } q < p, \end{aligned} \quad (2.4)$$

where the value $|\mu| = \sum_{p=1}^{\ell} \mu_p$ is called the *level* of μ . For convenience, we denote

$$J_{11} = \mathbb{N}^{\ell_1} \times \{0\}^{\ell_2 + \ell_3}, \quad J_{12} = \{0\}^{\ell_1} \times \mathbb{N}^{\ell_2} \times \{0\}^{\ell_3}, \quad J_2 = \{0\}^{\ell_1} \times \mathbb{N}^{\ell_2 + \ell_3}. \quad (2.5)$$

Denote by \mathcal{F} and \mathcal{N} the sets of *ad*-locally finite and *ad*-locally nilpotent elements in \mathcal{W} respectively. Then we have

Lemma 2.1 (a) $\mathcal{A} + \mathcal{D} \subset \mathcal{F} \subset \mathcal{A}[\mathcal{D}_1] + \mathcal{D}$, (b) $\mathcal{A} + \mathcal{D}_1 \subset \mathcal{N} \subset \mathcal{A}[\mathcal{D}_1]$, where $\mathcal{A}[\mathcal{D}_1] = \mathcal{A} \otimes \mathbb{F}[\mathcal{D}_1] = \text{span}\{x^{\alpha, \vec{i}} d^{\mu} \mid \mu = (\mu_1, \dots, \mu_{\ell_1}, 0, \dots, 0) \in J_{11}\}$.

Proof. For any $u, v \in \mathcal{A}$, $\partial, \partial' \in \mathcal{D}$, $i \in \mathbb{N}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (\text{ad}(u + \partial))^i v &= (\text{ad}(\partial))^i v, \\ (\text{ad}(u + \partial))^i \partial' &= -(\text{ad}(\partial))^{i-1} \partial'(u). \end{aligned}$$

Note that $\mathcal{A}[\mathcal{D}]$, as an associative algebra, is generated by \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{D} , and that the action of \mathcal{D} on \mathcal{A} is locally finite. Then it follows that

$$\mathcal{A} + \mathcal{D} \subset \mathcal{F}, \quad \mathcal{A} + \mathcal{D}_1 \subset \mathcal{N}. \quad (2.6)$$

Claim 1. $\mathcal{F} \subset \mathcal{A}[\mathcal{D}_1] + \mathcal{D}$.

Suppose $u \in \mathcal{F} \setminus (\mathcal{A}[\mathcal{D}_1] + \mathcal{D})$. Decompose u according to the ‘‘level’’ with respect to $\mathcal{D}_2 + \mathcal{D}_3$:

$$u = \sum_{i=0}^n u_i, \quad u_i = \sum_{\mu \in J_2, |\mu|=i} a_{\mu} d^{\mu}, \quad a_{\mu} \in \mathcal{A}[\mathcal{D}_1], \quad (2.7)$$

where $n > 0$ is the maximal number such that $u_n \neq 0$. So, if $n = 1$, we must have $u_n \notin \mathcal{D}_2 + \mathcal{D}_3$, otherwise $u \in \mathcal{A}[\mathcal{D}_1] + \mathcal{D}$. Write

$$u_n = \sum_{(\alpha, \vec{i}, \nu) \in M_0} x^{\alpha, \vec{i}} \partial^{\nu} u_n^{(\alpha, \vec{i}, \nu)} \text{ with } u_n^{(\alpha, \vec{i}, \nu)} \in \mathbb{F}[\mathcal{D}_2 + \mathcal{D}_3], \quad (2.8)$$

where $M_0 = \{(\alpha, \vec{i}, \nu) \in \Gamma \times J_1 \times J_{11} \mid u_n^{(\alpha, \vec{i}, \nu)} \neq 0\}$ is finite. Let $\Gamma_0 = \{\alpha \mid \exists \vec{i} \in J_1, \nu \in J_{11}, (\alpha, \vec{i}, \nu) \in M_0\}$. Choose a total ordering on Γ compatible with its group structure. Let β be the maximal element in Γ_0 . If $\Gamma_0 \neq \{0\}$, by reversing the ordering

if necessary, we can suppose $\beta > 0$. Set $\vec{j} = \max\{\vec{i} \in J_1 \mid \exists \nu \in J_{11}, (\beta, \vec{i}, \nu) \in M_0\}$, and set $\eta = \max\{\nu \in J_{11} \mid (\beta, \vec{j}, \nu) \in M_0\}$.

Case 1. $\beta = 0$.

In this case we see that $u_n \in \text{span}\{t^{\vec{i}} \partial^\nu d^\mu \mid (\vec{i}, \nu, \mu) \in J_1 \times J_{11} \times J_2\}$. Write

$$u_n^{(\beta, \vec{j}, \eta)} = \sum_{\mu \in J_2, |\mu|=n} c_\mu d^\mu, \quad c_\mu \in \mathbb{F}. \quad (2.9)$$

Let $\lambda = \max\{\mu \in J_2 \mid |\mu| = n, c_\mu \neq 0\}$. Suppose $\lambda_k \neq 0$ and $\lambda_i = 0$ for all $i > k$ and some $\ell_1 + 1 \leq k \leq \ell$. By induction on s it is easy to see that the ‘‘highest’’ term of $(\text{ad } u)^s x^{\alpha^{(k)}}$ is $\lambda_k^s c_\lambda^s x^{\alpha^{(k)}, s\vec{j}} \partial^{s\eta} d^{s(\lambda^{-1}[k])} \neq 0$, where the ‘‘highest’’ term is the term $x^{\alpha, \vec{i}} \partial^\mu d^\nu$ with nonzero coefficient in $(\text{ad } u)^s x^{\alpha^{(k)}}$ such that $(\alpha, \vec{i}, \mu, \nu)$ is the maximal quadruple with respect to the lexicographical order in $\Gamma \times J_1 \times J_{11} \times J_2$. Thus

$$\dim(\text{span}\{(\text{ad } u)^s x^{\alpha^{(k)}} \mid s \in \mathbb{N}\}) = \infty. \quad (2.10)$$

This contradicts the fact that $u \in \mathcal{F}$. So Case 1 does not occur.

Case 2. $\beta \neq 0$.

Choose elements in Γ : $\beta^{(\ell_1+1)}, \dots, \beta^{(\ell)} = \beta$ which form a basis of $\mathbb{F}^{\ell_2+\ell_3}$, and choose a basis of $\mathcal{D}_2 + \mathcal{D}_3$: $\{\partial'_{\ell_1+1}, \dots, \partial'_\ell\}$ which is a dual basis to $\beta^{(\ell_1+1)}, \dots, \beta^{(\ell)} = \beta$. Write $u_n^{(\beta, \vec{j}, \eta)} = \sum_{\mu \in J_2, |\mu|=n} c_\mu \partial^\mu$, $c_\mu \in \mathbb{F}$. If for all $\mu \in \{\mu \in J_2 \mid |\mu| = n, c_\mu \neq 0\}$, we have $\mu_\ell = 0$, then we use the arguments as in Case 1 to conclude also a contradiction. So there is a $\mu \in \{\mu \in J_2 \mid |\mu| = n, c_\mu \neq 0\}$ with $\mu_\ell \neq 0$. Let $\lambda = \max\{\mu \in J_2 \mid |\mu| = n, \mu_\ell \neq 0, c_\mu \neq 0\}$. It is clear that the highest term of $(\text{ad } u)^s x^{2\beta}$ is

$$\beta_\ell^s c_\lambda^s (2\lambda_\ell)(2\lambda_\ell + 1) \cdots (2\lambda_\ell + s - 1) x^{(s+2)\beta, s\vec{j}} \partial'^s (\lambda^{-1}[\ell]) \neq 0. \quad (2.11)$$

Thus

$$\dim(\text{span}\{(\text{ad } u)^s x^{2\beta} \mid s \in \mathbb{N}\}) = \infty. \quad (2.12)$$

This contradicts the fact that $u \in \mathcal{F}$. So Case 2 does not occur either. Therefore $\mathcal{F} \subset \mathcal{A}[\mathcal{D}_1] + D$. Thus Claim 1 and (a) follow.

Now we prove the second part of (b). From (a) we see that $\mathcal{N} \subset \mathcal{A}[\mathcal{D}_1] + D$. For any $u + \partial \in \mathcal{A}[\mathcal{D}_1] + D$ with $0 \neq \partial \in \mathcal{D}_2 + \mathcal{D}_3$, choose $\alpha \in \Gamma$ such that $\alpha(\partial) \neq 0$. From $(\text{ad}(u + \partial))^s x^\alpha = \alpha(\partial)^s x^\alpha \neq 0$, we see that $u + \partial \notin \mathcal{N}$. Thus $\mathcal{N} \subset \mathcal{A}[\mathcal{D}_1]$. Thus (b) follows. \square

For any subset $V \subset \mathcal{A}[\mathcal{D}]$, we define the following two sets:

$$\begin{aligned} E(V) &= \{u \in \mathcal{W} \mid \text{for any } v \in V, \exists c_v \in \mathbb{F} \text{ such that } [v, u] = c_v u\}, \\ N(V) &= \{u \in \mathcal{W} \mid [V, u] = 0\}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.13)$$

Then we have

Lemma 2.2. (a) $E(\mathcal{F}) = \cup_{\alpha \in \Gamma} \mathbb{F}x^\alpha$, (b) $N(\mathcal{N}) = \text{span}\{x^{\alpha, \vec{i}} \mid (\alpha, \vec{i}) \in \Gamma \times J_{12}\}$.

Proof. It is easy to verify that

$$\begin{aligned} E(\mathcal{A} + \mathcal{D}) &= \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Gamma} \mathbb{F}x^\alpha = E(\mathcal{A}[\mathcal{D}_1] + \mathcal{D}), \\ N(\mathcal{A} + \mathcal{D}_1) &= \text{span}\{x^{\alpha, \vec{i}} \mid (\alpha, \vec{i}) \in \Gamma \times J_{12}\} = N(\mathcal{A}[\mathcal{D}_1]). \end{aligned}$$

From Lemma 2.1 we deduce (a) and (b). \square

3. PROOF OF THE MAIN THEOREM

Now we are ready to prove the isomorphism theorem.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. We shall use the same notation but with a prime to denote elements associated with \mathcal{W}' .

“ \Leftarrow ”: By assumption, $(\ell_1, \ell_2, \ell_3) = (\ell'_1, \ell'_2, \ell'_3)$ and there exists a nondegenerate $(\ell_2 + \ell_3) \times (\ell_2 + \ell_3)$ matrix $g = \begin{pmatrix} A & 0 \\ B & C \end{pmatrix} \in G_{\ell_2, \ell_3}$, where $A \in GL_{\ell_2}, B \in M_{\ell_3 \times \ell_2}, C \in GL_{\ell_3}$, such that

$$\begin{aligned} \tau : \Gamma &\rightarrow \Gamma', \\ \alpha = (\alpha_{\ell_1+1}, \dots, \alpha_\ell) &\mapsto \alpha' = (\alpha'_{\ell_1+1}, \dots, \alpha'_\ell) = (\alpha_{\ell_1+1}, \dots, \alpha_\ell)g^{-1} = \alpha g^{-1}, \end{aligned} \quad (3.1)$$

is a group isomorphism. Set

$$(\bar{\partial}_1, \dots, \bar{\partial}_\ell) = (\partial'_1, \dots, \partial'_\ell) \begin{pmatrix} I_{\ell_1} & 0 \\ 0 & g \end{pmatrix}, \quad (3.2)$$

where I_{ℓ_1} is the $\ell_1 \times \ell_1$ identity matrix. Define a linear map:

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma : x^\alpha &\mapsto x'^{\tau(\alpha)}, \quad \partial_p \mapsto \bar{\partial}_p, \quad \forall \alpha \in \Gamma, 1 \leq p \leq \ell, \\ (t_1, \dots, t_{\ell_1+\ell_2}) &\mapsto (t'_1, \dots, t'_{\ell_1+\ell_2}) \begin{pmatrix} I_{\ell_1} & 0 \\ 0 & (A^t)^{-1} \end{pmatrix}, \end{aligned} \quad (3.3)$$

(recall notations in (1.6)), where A^t is the transpose of A . It is straightforward to verify that

$$\sigma(\partial_p)(\sigma(t_q)) = \delta_{p,q} = \partial_p(t_q), \quad \sigma(\partial_p)(\sigma(x^\alpha)) = \sigma(\partial_p(x^\alpha)), \quad (3.4)$$

for $1 \leq p \leq \ell$, $1 \leq q \leq \ell_1 + \ell_2$, $\alpha \in \Gamma$. We extend σ to a linear map $\sigma : \mathcal{W} \rightarrow \mathcal{W}'$ by

$$\sigma(x^{\alpha, \vec{i}, \mu}) = \sigma(x^\alpha) \prod_{q=1}^{\ell_1 + \ell_2} \sigma(t_q)^{i_q} \prod_{p=1}^{\ell} \sigma(\partial_p)^{\mu_p} \quad \text{for } (\alpha, \vec{i}, \mu) \in \Gamma \times J_1 \times J.$$

By (3.4), we see that σ is an associative isomorphism $\sigma : \mathcal{W} \cong \mathcal{W}'$. Thus they are also isomorphic as Lie algebras.

“ \Rightarrow ”: If \mathcal{W} and \mathcal{W}' are isomorphic as associative algebras, then they must be isomorphic as Lie algebras, so we suppose they are isomorphic as Lie algebras.

The isomorphism σ maps the *ad*-locally finite, *ad*-locally nilpotent elements to the *ad*-locally finite, *ad*-locally nilpotent elements, thus $\sigma(\mathcal{F}) = \mathcal{F}'$, $\sigma(\mathcal{N}) = \mathcal{N}'$. Thus also $\sigma(E(\mathcal{F})) = E(\mathcal{F}')$, $\sigma(N(\mathcal{N})) = N(\mathcal{N}')$. By Lemma 2.2(a), for $a \in \Gamma$, there exists $\alpha' \in \Gamma'$ such that $\sigma(x^\alpha) \in \mathbb{F}x^{\alpha'}$, i.e., there exists a bijection $\tau : \alpha \mapsto \alpha'$ from $\Gamma \rightarrow \Gamma'$ such that

$$\sigma(x^\alpha) = c_\alpha x^{\alpha'}, \quad \forall \alpha \in \Gamma, \quad (3.5)$$

where $c_\alpha \in \mathbb{F} \setminus \{0\}$. For any $0 \neq \partial \in \mathcal{D}_2 + \mathcal{D}_3 \subset \mathcal{F}$, by Lemma 2.1, we know that $\sigma(\partial) \in \mathcal{F}' \subset \mathcal{D}' + \mathcal{A}'[\mathcal{D}'_1]$. We can write

$$\sigma(\partial) = \bar{\partial} + u'_\partial, \quad \text{where } \bar{\partial} \in \mathcal{D}'_2 + \mathcal{D}'_3, u'_\partial \in \mathcal{A}'[\mathcal{D}'_1]. \quad (3.6)$$

Since $\text{ad } \partial|_{E(\mathcal{F})} \neq 0$, $\text{ad}(\bar{\partial} + u'_\partial)|_{E(\mathcal{F}')} = \text{ad } \bar{\partial}|_{E(\mathcal{F}')} \neq 0$. This shows that $\theta : \partial \mapsto \bar{\partial}$ is an injection $\mathcal{D}_2 + \mathcal{D}_3 \rightarrow \mathcal{D}'_2 + \mathcal{D}'_3$. Similarly if $0 \neq \partial \in \mathcal{D}_3$, then $\text{ad } \partial|_{N(\mathcal{N})} \neq 0$ is *semi-simple* (i.e., there exists a basis of $N(\mathcal{N})$ consisting of eigenvectors of $\text{ad } \partial$), thus $\text{ad}(\bar{\partial} + u'_\partial)|_{N(\mathcal{N}')} = \text{ad } \bar{\partial}|_{N(\mathcal{N}')} \neq 0$ is also semi-simple. This shows that $\bar{\partial} \in \mathcal{D}'_3$, i.e., $\theta|_{\mathcal{D}_3} : \mathcal{D}_3 \rightarrow \mathcal{D}'_3$. Thus we have $\ell_2 + \ell_3 \leq \ell'_2 + \ell'_3$, $\ell_3 \leq \ell'_3$. Exchanging positions between \mathcal{W} and \mathcal{W}' shows that $\ell'_2 + \ell'_3 \leq \ell_2 + \ell_3$, $\ell'_3 \leq \ell_3$. Thus $(\ell_2, \ell_3) = (\ell'_2, \ell'_3)$ and $\theta : \mathcal{D}_2 + \mathcal{D}_3 \rightarrow \mathcal{D}'_2 + \mathcal{D}'_3$ is a linear isomorphism mapping \mathcal{D}_3 onto \mathcal{D}'_3 . Thus there exists $g = \begin{pmatrix} A & 0 \\ B & C \end{pmatrix} \in G_{\ell_2, \ell_3}$ such that

$$\theta : (\partial_{\ell_1+1}, \dots, \partial_\ell) \mapsto (\bar{\partial}_{\ell_1+1}, \dots, \bar{\partial}_\ell) = (\partial'_{\ell_1+1}, \dots, \partial'_\ell)g. \quad (3.7)$$

For $\partial \in \mathcal{D}_2 + \mathcal{D}_3$, $\alpha \in \Gamma$, applying σ to $\langle \partial, \alpha \rangle x^\alpha = [\partial, x^\alpha]$, using (3.5), (3.6), we obtain $\langle \partial, \alpha \rangle c_\alpha x^{\alpha'} = [\bar{\partial} + u'_\partial, c_\alpha x^{\alpha'}] = \langle \bar{\partial}, \alpha' \rangle c_\alpha x^{\alpha'}$. Thus $\langle \partial, \alpha \rangle = \langle \bar{\partial}, \alpha' \rangle$, from this and (3.7), we obtain $\alpha' = \alpha g^{-1}$.

Thus it remains to prove that $\ell_1 = \ell'_1$. Observe that the *centralizer* of $E(\mathcal{F})$ in \mathcal{W} (which by definition and by Lemma 2.2(a) is $\{u \in \mathcal{W} \mid [u, x^\alpha] = 0, \forall \alpha \in \Gamma\}$) is equal

to $\mathcal{A}[\mathcal{D}_1]$, thus $\sigma(\mathcal{A}[\mathcal{D}_1]) = \mathcal{A}'[\mathcal{D}'_1]$. We prove by induction on $|\vec{j}| + |\mu|$ that

$$\sigma(x^{\alpha, \vec{i} + \vec{j}} \partial^\mu) = \sigma(x^{\alpha, \vec{i}}) \prod_{p=1}^{\ell_1} \sigma(t_p)^{j_p} \prod_{p=1}^{\ell_1} \sigma(\partial_p)^{\mu_p} \quad \text{for } (\alpha, \vec{i}, \vec{j}, \mu) \in \Gamma \times J_{12} \times J_{11} \times J_{11}, \quad (3.8)$$

(recall notations in (2.5)). If $|\vec{j}| + |\mu| = 0$, there is nothing to prove since both sides of (3.8) are $\sigma(x^{\alpha, \vec{i}})$. Suppose inductively that (3.8) holds whenever $|\vec{j}| + |\mu| < n$, where $n \geq 1$. Now assume $|\vec{j}| + |\mu| = n$. Denote by $A_{\vec{j}, \mu}$ the difference between the left-hand side and the right-hand side of (3.8). Then for $q \leq \ell_1$, we have,

$$\begin{aligned} [\sigma(\partial_q), A_{\vec{j}, \mu}] &= \sigma([\partial_q, x^{\alpha, \vec{i} + \vec{j}} \partial^\mu]) - \sigma(x^{\alpha, \vec{i}}) \prod_{\substack{p=1 \\ p \neq q}}^{\ell_1} \sigma(t_p)^{j_p} [\sigma(\partial_q), \sigma(t_q)^{j_q}] \prod_{p=1}^{\ell_1} \sigma(\partial_p)^{\mu_p} \\ &= j_q \sigma(x^{\alpha, \vec{i} + \vec{j} - 1_{[q]}} \partial^\mu) - j_q \sigma(x^{\alpha, \vec{i}}) \prod_{\substack{p=1 \\ p \neq q}}^{\ell_1} \sigma(t_p)^{j_p} \sigma(t_q)^{j_q - 1} \prod_{p=1}^{\ell_1} \sigma(\partial_p)^{\mu_p} \\ &= j_q A_{\vec{j} - 1_{[q]}, \mu} = 0, \end{aligned} \quad (3.9)$$

where the first and second equalities follows from the Leibniz rule $[u, u_1 u_2] = [u, u_1] u_2 + u_1 [u, u_2]$ for $u, u_1, u_2 \in \mathcal{W}$ (where the product $u_1 u_2$ is given by (1.8)), and the last equality follows from the inductive assumption. Similarly, $[\sigma(t_q), A_{\vec{j}, \mu}] = 0$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} A_{\vec{j}, \mu} &\in \sigma(\{u \in \mathcal{A}[\mathcal{D}_1] \mid [u, t_q] = [u, \partial_q] = 0 \text{ for } 1 \leq q \leq \ell_1\}) \\ &= \sigma(\{u \in \mathcal{A}[\mathcal{D}_1] \mid [u, t_q^2] = [u, \partial_q^2] = 0 \text{ for } 1 \leq q \leq \ell_1\}) \\ &= \{u' \in \mathcal{A}'[\mathcal{D}'_1] \mid [u', \sigma(t_q^2)] = [u', \sigma(\partial_q^2)] = 0 \text{ for } 1 \leq q \leq \ell_1\}, \end{aligned} \quad (3.10)$$

(note that $\{u \in \mathcal{A}[\mathcal{D}_1] \mid [u, t_q] = [u, \partial_q] = 0 \text{ for } 1 \leq q \leq \ell_1\}$ is in fact equal to $N(\mathcal{N})$, cf. Lemma 2.2(b)). Since $|\vec{j}| + |\mu| = n > 0$, say $\mu_r > 0$ for some $r \leq \ell_1$ (the proof is similar if $j_r > 0$). Then $A_{\vec{j} + 1_{[r]}, \mu - 1_{[r]}}$ belongs to the right-hand side of (3.10), thus

$$0 = [\sigma(\partial_r^2), A_{\vec{j} + 1_{[r]}, \mu - 1_{[r]}}] = 2(j_r + 1) A_{\vec{j}, \mu} + (j_r + 1) j_r A_{\vec{j} - 1_{[r]}, \mu - 1_{[r]}} = 2(j_r + 1) A_{\vec{j}, \mu},$$

where the second equality follows from an exactly similar argument to that in (3.9) (and using (1.8) and (1.10)). Thus proves $A_{\vec{j}, \mu} = 0$, i.e., (3.8) holds.

Observe from Lemma 2.2(b) that $N(\mathcal{N})$ is the semigroup algebra $\mathbb{F}[\Gamma \times J_{12}]$, which is a domain ring and which is also the center of the algebra $\mathcal{A}[\mathcal{D}_1]$. Denote by $\mathbb{F}(\Gamma \times J_{12})$ the rational field of $\mathbb{F}[\Gamma \times J_{12}]$. Regarding $\mathcal{A}[\mathcal{D}_1]$ as a Lie algebra over $\mathbb{F}[\Gamma \times J_{12}]$ and

extending it as a Lie algebra over the field $\mathbb{F}(\Gamma \times J_{12})$, then it is just the classical rank ℓ_1 Lie algebra of Weyl type over $\mathbb{F}(\Gamma \times J_{12})$. Since $\sigma(\mathbb{F}[\Gamma \times J_{12}]) = \mathbb{F}[\Gamma' \times J'_{12}]$, we can regard the rational field $\mathbb{F}(\Gamma' \times J'_{12})$ the same as $\mathbb{F}(\Gamma \times J_{12})$. By (3.8), we obtain that $\sigma(au) = \sigma(a)\sigma(u)$ for $a \in \mathbb{F}[\Gamma \times J_{12}]$, $u \in \text{span}\{t^{\vec{j}}\partial^\mu \mid (\vec{j}, \mu) \in J_{11} \times J_{11}\}$, which shows that the classical rank ℓ_1 Lie algebra $\mathcal{A}[\mathcal{D}_1]$ of Weyl type over the field $\mathbb{F}(\Gamma \times J_{12})$ is isomorphic to the classical rank ℓ'_1 Lie algebra $\mathcal{A}'[\mathcal{D}'_1]$ of Weyl type. Thus $\ell_1 = \ell'_1$. This completes the proof of Theorem 1.1. \square

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

This work is supported by a NSF grant 10171064 of China and two grants “Excellent Young Teacher Program” and “Trans-Century Training Programme Foundation for the Talents” from Ministry of Education of China.

REFERENCES

- Su, Y. (2002). 2-Cocycles on the Lie algebras of generalized differential operators. *Comm. Algebra* 30:763–782.
- Su, Y. (2003). Derivations of generalized Weyl algebras. *Science in China A* 46:346–354.
- Su, Y., Xu, X., Zhang, H. (2000). Derivation-simple algebras and the structures of Lie algebras of Witt type. *J. Algebra* 233:642–662.
- Su, Y., Zhao, K. (2001). Simple algebras of Weyl type. *Science in China A* 44:419–426.
- Su, Y., Zhao, K. (2002). Isomorphism classes and automorphism groups of algebras of Weyl type. *Science in China A* 45:953–963.
- Xu, X. (2000). New generalized simple Lie algebras of Cartan type over a field with characteristic 0. *J. Algebra* 224:23–58.
- Zhao, K. (1993). Lie algebra of derivations of algebras of differential operators. *Chinese Science Bulletin* 38:793–798.
- Zhao, K. (1994). Automorphisms of algebras of differential operators. *J. of Capital Normal University* 1:1–8.
- Zhao, K. (2002). Simple algebras of Weyl type, II. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 130:1323–1332.