BGG CORRESPONDENCE AND RÖMER'S THEOREM ON AN EXTERIOR ALGEBRA

KOHJI YANAGAWA

To the memory of Professor Tetsushi Ogoma

ABSTRACT. Let $E = K\langle y_1, \ldots, y_n \rangle$ be the exterior algebra. The *(cohomological)* distinguished pairs of a graded *E*-module *N* describe the growth of a minimal graded injective resolution of *N*. Römer gave a duality theorem between the distinguished pairs of *N* and those of its dual N^* . In this paper, we show that under Bernstein-Gel'fand-Gel'fand correspondence, his theorem is translated into a natural corollary of local duality for (complexes of) graded $S = K[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ modules. Using this idea, we also give a \mathbb{Z}^n -graded version of Römer's theorem.

INTRODUCTION

In this section, to introduce a background of the present paper, we summarize results of Aramova-Herzog [2] and Römer [11].

Let $S = K[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ be the polynomial ring over a field K, and M a finitely generated graded S-module. The *ij*th Betti number $\beta_{i,j}(M) = \dim_K \operatorname{Tor}_i^S(K, M)_j$ of M is an important invariant. Following Bayer-Charalambous-Popescu [3], we say a Betti number $\beta_{k,m}(M) \neq 0$ is *extremal*, if $\beta_{i,j}(M) = 0$ for all $(i, j) \neq (k, m)$ with $i \geq k$ and $j - i \geq m - k$. This notion has two remarkable properties. First, a homogeneous ideal $I \subset S$ has the same extremal Betti numbers as its generic initial ideal Gin(I) with respect to the reverse lexicographic term order on S. Another important property is the following.

Theorem A (Bayer-Charalambous-Popescu, [3, Theorem 2.8]) Let $\Delta \subset 2^{\{1,\dots,n\}}$ be a simplicial complex, and $K[\Delta] = S/I_{\Delta}$ the Stanley-Reisner ring. And let Δ^{\vee} be the Alexander dual complex of Δ . Then $\beta_{i,i+j}(K[\Delta])$ is extremal if and only if so is $\beta_{j,i+j}(I_{\Delta^{\vee}})$. Moreover, if this is the case, then $\beta_{i,i+j}(K[\Delta]) = \beta_{j,i+j}(I_{\Delta^{\vee}})$.

We have $\beta_{i,n}(K[\Delta]) = \dim_K \tilde{H}_{n-i-1}(|\Delta|; K) =: \tilde{h}_{n-i-1}(|\Delta|)$ by Hochster's formula. If $\beta_{i,n}(K[\Delta]) \neq 0$ then it is always an extremal Betti number. The equality $\tilde{h}_{n-i-1}(|\Delta|) = \beta_{i,n}(K[\Delta]) = \beta_{n-i,n}(I_{\Delta^{\vee}}) = \beta_{n-i+1,n}(K[\Delta^{\vee}]) = \tilde{h}_{i-2}(|\Delta^{\vee}|)$ induced by Theorem A corresponds to the usual Alexander duality. More generally, Theorem A gives an Alexander duality for (some) *iterated Betti numbers* (c.f. [9, 4]).

Let $E = K\langle y_1, \ldots, y_n \rangle$ be the exterior algebra. To understand Theorem A, Aramova-Herzog [2] introduced distinguished pairs for a graded *E*-module *N*. See Definition 1.6 below. (We use a different convention to describe these pairs. See Remark 1.7.) The distinguished pairs of *N* roughly describe the growth of the minimal graded (infinite) injective resolution of *N*. Let $K\{\Delta\} = E/J_{\Delta}$ be the

exterior face ring of Δ . Then [2, Corollary 9.6] states that (d, i) is a distinguished pair for $K\{\Delta\}^* := \operatorname{Hom}_E(K\{\Delta\}, E)$ if and only if $\beta_{d+i-n,d}(K[\Delta])$ is extremal. And the values of extremal Betti numbers can be described by the Cartan cohomologies of $K\{\Delta\}^*$.

Römer proved that (d, i) is distinguished for N if and only if so is (d, 2n - d - i) for N^* . He also gave a duality between certain components of Cartan cohomologies of N and those of N^* . Since $k\{\Delta\}^* = J_{\Delta^{\vee}}$, his result implies Theorem A.

Bernstein-Gel'fand-Gel'fand correspondence (BGG correspondence, for short) is a well known theorem which states that the derived category $D^b(\text{gr } S)$ of finitely generated graded S-modules is equivalent to the similar category $D^b(\text{gr } E)$ for E. In this paper, we give a new proof of the result of Römer using BGG correspondence. More precisely, under this correspondence, Römer's theorem is translated into a statement on $D^b(\text{gr } S)$ which is a natural consequence of the local duality (Serre duality). A key point is that the duality functor $\text{Hom}_E(-, E)$ on $D^b(\text{gr } E)$ corresponds to the duality functor $R \text{Hom}_S(-, \omega^{\bullet})$ on $D^b(\text{gr } S)$, where ω^{\bullet} is a dualizing complex of S. So our proof is (philosophically) simple and sheds new light on the result.

The original paper [3] states Theorem A in the \mathbb{Z}^n -graded context, while the arguments in [2, 11] are hard to work in this context. But, since BGG correspondence also holds for \mathbb{Z}^n -graded modules, our method is powerful in this context too. See §2. This part of the present paper is a continuation of the author's previous paper [13].

1. \mathbb{Z} -graded case

Let W be an *n*-dimensional vector space over a field K, and $S = \bigoplus_{i \ge 0} \operatorname{Sym}_i W$ the polynomial ring. We regard S as a graded ring with $S_i = \operatorname{Sym}_i W$. Let $\operatorname{Gr} S$ be the category of graded S-modules and their degree preserving S-homomorphisms, and gr S the full subcategory of $\operatorname{Gr} S$ consisting of finitely generated modules. Then there is an equivalence $D^b(\operatorname{gr} S) \cong D^b_{\operatorname{gr} S}(\operatorname{Gr} S)$. (For derived categories, consult [8].) So we will freely identify these categories. For $M = \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} M_i \in \operatorname{Gr} S$ and an integer j, M(j) denotes the shifted module with $M(j)_i = M_{i+j}$. For $M^{\bullet} \in D^b(\operatorname{Gr} S), M^{\bullet}[j]$ denotes the jth translation of M^{\bullet} , that is, $M^{\bullet}[j]$ is the complex with $M^{\bullet}[j]^i = M^{i+j}$. So, if $M \in \operatorname{Gr} S, M[j]$ is the cochain complex $\cdots \to 0 \to M \to 0 \to \cdots$, where Msits in the (-j)th position. If $M \in \operatorname{gr} S$ and $N \in \operatorname{Gr} S$, then $\operatorname{Hom}_S(M, N)$ has the structure of a graded S-module with $\operatorname{Hom}_S(M, N)_i = \operatorname{Hom}_{\operatorname{Gr} S}(M, N(i))$.

Let $\omega^{\bullet} \in D^{b}(\text{gr } S)$ be a minimal graded injective resolution of S(-n)[n]. That is, ω^{\bullet} is a graded normalized dualizing complex of S. Then $\mathbf{D}_{S}(-) := \text{Hom}_{S}^{\bullet}(-, \omega^{\bullet})$ gives a duality functor from $D^{b}(\text{gr } S)$ to itself. The *i*th cohomology of $\mathbf{D}_{S}(M^{\bullet})$ is $\text{Ext}_{S}^{i}(M^{\bullet}, \omega^{\bullet})$. For $M^{\bullet} \in D^{b}(\text{gr } S)$ and $i \in \mathbb{Z}$, set $d_{i}(M^{\bullet}) := \dim_{S} H^{i}(M^{\bullet})$. Here the Krull dimension of the 0 module is $-\infty$.

Definition 1.1. We say $(d, i) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{Z}$ is a distinguished pair for a complex $M^{\bullet} \in D^{b}(\text{gr } S)$, if $d = d_{i}(M^{\bullet})$ and $d_{j}(M^{\bullet}) < d + i - j$ for all j with j < i.

Let $M^{\bullet} \in D^{b}(\text{gr } S)$ and $d = d_{i}(M^{\bullet}) \geq 0$. If $d = \max\{d_{j}(M^{\bullet}) \mid j \in \mathbb{Z}\}$, then (d, i) is distinguished for M^{\bullet} . On the other hand, if $i = \min\{j \mid H^{j}(M^{\bullet}) \neq 0\}$, then (d, i) is also distinguished. Thus M^{\bullet} has several distinguished pairs in general.

In this paper, $\deg_S(M)$ denotes the multiplicity of a module $M \in \operatorname{gr} S$ (i.e., e(M) of [5, Definition 4.1.5]).

Theorem 1.2. For $M^{\bullet} \in D^{b}(\operatorname{gr} S)$, we have the following.

(1) A pair (d, i) is distinguished for M^{\bullet} if and only if (d, -d-i) is distinguished for $\mathbf{D}_{S}(M^{\bullet})$.

(2) If (d, i) is a distinguished pair for M^{\bullet} , then

$$\deg_S H^i(M^{\bullet}) = \deg_S \operatorname{Ext}_S^{-d-i}(M^{\bullet}, \omega^{\bullet}).$$

Proof. (1) Since the statement is "symmetric", it suffices to prove the direction \Rightarrow .

From the double complex $\operatorname{Hom}_{S}^{\bullet}(M^{\bullet}, \omega^{\bullet})$, we have a spectral sequence $E_{2}^{p,q} = \operatorname{Ext}_{S}^{p}(H^{-q}(M^{\bullet}), \omega^{\bullet}) \Rightarrow \operatorname{Ext}_{S}^{p+q}(M^{\bullet}, \omega^{\bullet})$. For simplicity, set $e_{r}^{p,q} := \dim_{S} E_{r}^{p,q}$. Since $\operatorname{Ext}_{S}^{i}(M, \omega^{\bullet}) \cong \operatorname{Ext}_{S}^{n+i}(M, S(-n))$ for $M \in \operatorname{gr} S$, the following inequality follows from argument analogous to [5, §8.1, Theorem 8.1.1].

(1.1)
$$e_2^{p,q} = \dim_S \operatorname{Ext}_S^p(H^{-q}(M^{\bullet}), \omega^{\bullet}) = \begin{cases} -p & \text{if } p = -d_{-q}(M^{\bullet}), \\ \leq -p & \text{if } -d_{-q}(M^{\bullet})$$

(I) By (1.1), we have $e_2^{-d,-i} = d$. On the other hand, we have $e_2^{p,q} < d$ for all $(p,q) \neq (-d,-i)$ with p+q = -d-i. In fact, the assertion follows from (1.1) if p > -d. So we may assume that p < -d and q = -d - i - p > -i. Since (d,i) is distinguished, we have $d_{-q}(M^{\bullet}) < d + i + q = -p$. Thus $E_2^{p,q} = 0$ in this case. Anyway, we have $e_{\infty}^{p,q} < d$ for all $(p,q) \neq (-d,-i)$ with p+q = -d-i.

(II) Since $d_{i-j+1}(M^{\bullet}) < d+j-1 < d+j$ for all $j \ge 2$, we have that $E_2^{-d-j,-i+j-1} = 0$. So we have $E_r^{-d-j,-i+j-1} = 0$ for all $r \ge 2$. Next we will show that $d = e_2^{-d,-i} = e_3^{-d,-i} = \cdots = e_r^{-d,-i}$ by induction on r. Recall that $E_{r+1}^{-d,-i}$ is the cohomology of $E^{-d-r,-i+r-1} \rightarrow E^{-d,-i} \rightarrow E^{-d+r,-i-r+1}$

$$E_r^{-d-r,-i+r-1} \to E_r^{-d,-i} \to E_r^{-d+r,-i-r+1}.$$

But we have seen that $E_r^{-d-r,-i+r-1} = 0$. Moreover, $e_r^{-d+r,-i-r+1} \leq e_2^{-d+r,-i-r+1} \leq d-r < d$ by (1.1), and $e_r^{-d,-i} = d$ by the induction hypothesis. Thus $e_{r+1}^{-d,-i} = d$. Hence $e_{\infty}^{-d,-i} = d$. From this fact and (I), we have that $\dim_S \operatorname{Ext}_S^{-d-i}(M^{\bullet}, \omega^{\bullet}) = d$.

(III) Finally, we will show that $\dim_S \operatorname{Ext}_S^{-d-i-j}(M^{\bullet}, \omega^{\bullet}) < d+j$ for all j > 0. To see this, it suffices to show that $e_2^{p,q} < d+j$ for all j > 0 and all (p,q) with p+q = -d-i-j. If p > -d-j, the assertion is clear. If p = -d-j, then q = -i and $d_{-q}(M^{\bullet}) = d < -p$. So $E_2^{p,q} = 0$ in this case. Hence we may assume that p < -d-j and -q = d+i+j+p < i. Since (d,i) is distinguished, $d_{-q}(M^{\bullet}) < d+(i+q) = -j-p < -p$. So we have $E_2^{p,q} = 0$ in this case too.

(2) Since $\deg_S E_r^{-d,-i} = \deg_S E_{r+1}^{-d,-i}$ for all $r \ge 2$ by the argument in (II) of the proof of (1), we have $\deg_S E_2^{-d,-i} = \deg_S E_{\infty}^{-d,-i}$. So we have

$$\deg_S \operatorname{Ext}_S^{-d-i}(M^{\bullet}, \omega^{\bullet}) = \deg_S E_{\infty}^{-d, -i} = \deg_S E_2^{-d, -i} = \deg_S \operatorname{Ext}_S^{-d}(H^i(M^{\bullet}), \omega^{\bullet}),$$

where the first equality follows from (I) and (II).

For a module $M \in \text{gr } S$ of dimension d, we have $\deg_S M = \deg_S \text{Ext}_S^{-d}(M, \omega^{\bullet})$. In fact, for a prime ideal $\mathfrak{p} \subset S$ with $\dim S/\mathfrak{p} = d$, let \mathbf{x} be a maximal $S_{\mathfrak{p}}$ -sequence contained in $\text{Ann}_{S_{\mathfrak{p}}}(M_{\mathfrak{p}})$, and $R := S_{\mathfrak{p}}/\mathbf{x}S_{\mathfrak{p}}$ an artinian Gorenstein local ring. Then we have

$$\operatorname{Ext}_{S}^{-d}(M, \omega^{\bullet}) \otimes_{S} S_{\mathfrak{p}} \cong \operatorname{Ext}_{S_{\mathfrak{p}}}^{n-d}(M_{\mathfrak{p}}, S_{\mathfrak{p}}) \cong \operatorname{Hom}_{R}(M_{\mathfrak{p}}, R).$$

Therefore,

$$l_{S_p}(\operatorname{Ext}_S^{-d}(M,\omega^{\bullet})\otimes_S S_{\mathfrak{p}}) = l_R(\operatorname{Hom}_R(M_{\mathfrak{p}},R)) = l_R(M_{\mathfrak{p}}) = l_{S_p}(M_{\mathfrak{p}}).$$

Since $\dim_S(H^i(M^{\bullet})) = d$, we have $\deg_S \operatorname{Ext}_S^{-d}(H^i(M), \omega^{\bullet}) = \deg_S H^i(M^{\bullet})$. \Box

Remark 1.3. Theorem 1.2 (1) also holds for a noetherian local ring A admitting a dualizing complex. The part (2) also holds for A, if we replace $\deg_S(-)$ by $l_{A_{\mathfrak{p}}}(-\otimes_A A_{\mathfrak{p}})$ for a prime ideal $\mathfrak{p} \subset A$ with $\dim A/\mathfrak{p} = d$.

Let V be the dual vector space of W, and $E = \bigwedge V$ the exterior algebra. We regard E as a negatively graded ring with $E_{-i} = \bigwedge^i V$ (this is the opposite convention from [2, 11]). Let gr E be the category of finitely generated graded E-modules and their degree preserving E-homomorphisms. Here "E-module" means a left and right module N with $ea = (-1)^{(\deg e)(\deg a)}ae$ for all homogeneous elements $e \in E$ and $a \in N$.

Let $\{x_1, \ldots, x_n\}$ be a basis of W, and $\{y_1, \ldots, y_n\}$ its dual basis of V. For a complex N^{\bullet} in gr E, set $\mathbf{L}(N^{\bullet}) = \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} S \otimes_K N^i$ and $\mathbf{L}(N^{\bullet})^m = \bigoplus_{i=j=m} S \otimes_K N^i_j$. The differential defined by

$$\mathbf{L}(N^{\bullet})^m \supset S \otimes_K N^i_j \ni 1 \otimes z \mapsto \sum_{1 \le l \le n} x_l \otimes y_l z + (-1)^m (1 \otimes \delta^i(z)) \in \mathbf{L}(N^{\bullet})^{m+1}$$

makes $\mathbf{L}(N^{\bullet})$ a cochain complex of free *S*-modules. Here δ^i is the *i*th differential map of N^{\bullet} . Moreover, \mathbf{L} gives a functor from $D^b(\operatorname{gr} E)$ to $D^b(\operatorname{gr} S)$.

For $M \in \text{gr} S$ and $i \in \mathbb{Z}$, we can define a graded *E*-module structure on Hom_K(*E*, *M_i*) by (af)(e) = f(ea). Then Hom_K(*E*, *M_i*) $\cong E(-n) \otimes_K M_i$. Set **R**(*M*) = Hom_K(*E*, *M*) and **R**^{*i*}(*M*) = Hom_K(*E*, *M_i*). The differential defined by

$$\mathbf{R}^{i}(M) = \operatorname{Hom}_{K}(E, M_{i}) \ni f \mapsto [e \mapsto \sum_{1 \le j \le n} x_{j}f(y_{j}e)] \in \operatorname{Hom}_{K}(E, M_{i+1}) = \mathbf{R}^{i+1}(M)$$

makes $\mathbf{R}(M)$ a cochain complex of free *E*-modules. We can also construct $\mathbf{R}(M^{\bullet})$ from a complex M^{\bullet} in natural way. Then \mathbf{R} gives a functor from $D^{b}(\operatorname{gr} S)$ to $D^{b}(\operatorname{gr} E)$. See [6] for details. The following is a crucial result.

Theorem 1.4 (BGG correspondence, c.f.[6]). The functors \mathbf{L} and \mathbf{R} give a category equivalence $D^{b}(\operatorname{gr} S) \cong D^{b}(\operatorname{gr} E)$.

For $N \in \text{gr } E$, then $N^* := \text{Hom}_E(N, E) \cong \text{Hom}_K(N, K)(n)$ is a graded *E*-module again. $(-)^*$ gives an exact duality functor on gr E, and it can be extended to the duality functor \mathbf{D}_E on $D^b(\text{gr } E)$.

4

Proposition 1.5. For $N^{\bullet} \in D^{b}(\operatorname{gr} E)$, we have

$$\mathbf{D}_S \circ \mathbf{L}(N^{\bullet}) \cong \mathbf{L} \circ \mathbf{D}_E(N^{\bullet})(-2n)[2n].$$

Proof. Since $L(N^{\bullet})$ consists of free S-modules, we have

$$\mathbf{D}_S \circ \mathbf{L}(N^{\bullet}) \cong \operatorname{Hom}_S^{\bullet}(\mathbf{L}(N^{\bullet}), S(-n)[n]).$$

It is easy to see that

$$\operatorname{Hom}_{S}^{m}(\mathbf{L}(N^{\bullet}), S(-n)[n]) \cong \bigoplus_{j-i=m+n} S(-n) \otimes_{K} (N_{j}^{i})^{\vee},$$

where $(-)^{\vee}$ means the graded K-dual. On the other hand,

$$\mathbf{L} \circ \mathbf{D}_{E}(N^{\bullet})^{m} = \bigoplus_{i-j=m} S \otimes_{K} \mathbf{D}_{E}(N^{\bullet})^{i}_{j} = \bigoplus_{i-j=m} S(n) \otimes_{K} (N^{-i}_{-n-j})^{\vee}$$
$$= \bigoplus_{j-i=m-n} S(n) \otimes_{K} (N^{i}_{j})^{\vee}.$$

So we can easily construct a quasi-isomorphism $\mathbf{D}_S \circ \mathbf{L}(N^{\bullet}) \to \mathbf{L} \circ \mathbf{D}_E(N^{\bullet})(-2n)[2n]$.

For $N^{\bullet} \in D^{b}(\text{gr } E)$, we have $H^{i}(\mathbf{L}(N^{\bullet}))_{j} \cong \operatorname{Ext}_{E}^{j+i}(K, N^{\bullet})_{j}$ by [6, Theorem 3.7]. So the Laurent series $P_{i}(t) = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} (\dim_{K} \operatorname{Ext}_{E}^{j+i}(K, N^{\bullet})_{j}) \cdot t^{j}$ is the Hilbert series of the finitely generated graded S-module $H^{i}(\mathbf{L}(N^{\bullet}))$. If $H^{i}(\mathbf{L}(N^{\bullet})) \neq 0$, there exists a Laurent polynomial $Q_{i}(t) \in \mathbb{Z}[t, t^{-1}]$ such that

$$P_i(t) = \frac{Q_i(t)}{(1-t)^d},$$

where $d = d_i(\mathbf{L}(N^{\bullet})) = \dim_S H^i(\mathbf{L}(N^{\bullet}))$. Set $e_i(N^{\bullet}) := Q_i(1) = \deg_S H^i(\mathbf{L}(N^{\bullet}))$. So $d_i(\mathbf{L}(N^{\bullet}))$ and $e_i(N^{\bullet})$ measure the growth of the "(-*i*)-linear strand" of a minimal injective resolution of N^{\bullet} .

[2, 11] treated $d_i(\mathbf{L}(N))$ and $e_i(N)$ for a module $N \in \text{gr } E$ more or less indirectly. But their approach is very different from ours. They use *Cartan (co)homology* of N. See [2, 11] for the definition of this (co)homology. Let $\mathbf{v} = v_1, \ldots, v_n$ be a basis of V which is *generic* with respect to N in the sense of [2, Definition 4.7]. As [2, 11], we set $H_i(k) := H_i(v_1, \ldots, v_k; N)$ and $H^i(k) := H^i(v_1, \ldots, v_k; N)$ to be Cartan (co)homologies. Note that $H_i(n) = \operatorname{Tor}_i^E(K, N)$, $H^i(n) = \operatorname{Ext}_E^i(K, N)$ and $H^i(v_1, \ldots, v_k; N^*) \cong H_i(v_1, \ldots, v_k; N)^*$. It follows from the argument in §6 of [2] that the function $j \mapsto \dim_K H^{i+j}(k)_j$ is a polynomial function for $j \gg 0$. Moreover, $d_i(\mathbf{L}(N)) \leq 0$ if and only if $H^{i+j}(n)_j = 0$ for $j \gg 0$ if and only if $H^{i+j}(k)_j = 0$ for all $k \leq n$ and $j \gg 0$. [2, Proposition 9.4] can be restated as follows: If $d_i(\mathbf{L}(N)) > 0$, we have

(1.2)
$$d_i(\mathbf{L}(N)) = n + 1 - \min\{k \mid H^{i+j}(k)_j \neq 0 \text{ for all } j \gg 0\}.$$

A (cohomological) distinguished pair for a module $N \in \text{gr } E$ was introduced in [11, Definition 3.4] (see also [2]). Here we generalize this notion to a complex.

Definition 1.6. Let $N^{\bullet} \in D^{b}(\text{gr } E)$. We say $(d, i) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{Z}$ is a *distinguished pair* for N^{\bullet} if and only if it is distinguished for $\mathbf{L}(N^{\bullet})$ (in the sense of Definition 1.1).

Remark 1.7. By (1.2), we see that (d, i) is a distinguished pair for a module $N \in$ gr E in the above sense if and only if (n+1-d, i) is a "cohomological distinguished pair" for N in the sense of [11]. (Recall that E is a positively graded ring in [2, 11].) [2] also use the term "distinguished pair". But this is "homological distinguished pair" of [11], and (d, i) is a distinguished pair for N in our sense if and only if (n+1-d, n-i) is a distinguished pair for N^* in the sense of [2].

Corollary 1.8 (c.f. [11, Theorem 3.8]). Let $N^{\bullet} \in D^{b}(\text{gr } E)$. A pair (d, i) is distinguished for N^{\bullet} if and only if (d, 2n - d - i) is distinguished for $\mathbf{D}_{E}(N^{\bullet})$. If this is the case, we have $e_{i}(N^{\bullet}) = e_{2n-d-i}(\mathbf{D}_{E}(N^{\bullet}))$.

Proof. For the first statement, it suffices to prove the direction \Rightarrow . By Theorem 1.2, (d, -d - i) is a distinguished pair for $\mathbf{D}_S \circ \mathbf{L}(N^{\bullet}) \cong \mathbf{L} \circ \mathbf{D}_E(N^{\bullet})(-2n)[2n]$. For a complex $M^{\bullet} \in D^b(\operatorname{gr} S)$, we have $H^j(M^{\bullet}(-2n)[2n]) = H^{2n+j}(M^{\bullet})(-2n)$ and $d_j(M^{\bullet}(-2n)[2n]) = d_{2n+j}(M^{\bullet})$. Thus (d, 2n-d-i) is distinguished for $\mathbf{L} \circ \mathbf{D}_E(N^{\bullet})$. The last equality follows from Theorem 1.2 (2).

For a module $N \in \text{gr } E$, $d_i(\mathbf{L}(N))$ can be 0 quite often. But we have the following.

Proposition 1.9. Assume that a module $N \in \text{gr } E$ does not have a free summand. If (d, i) is a distinguished pair for N, then we have d > 0.

Proof. Let $0 \to N \to I^0 \to I^1 \to \cdots$ (resp. $\cdots \to I^{-1} \to I^0 \to N \to 0$) be a minimal injective (resp. projective) resolution of N. For $j \ge 0$, set $\Omega_j(N) := (\ker(I^j \to I^{j+1}))[-j]$. Obviously, $0 \to \Omega_j(N) \to I^j \to I^{j+1} \to \cdots$ is a minimal injective resolution. On the other hand, since N does not have a free summand, $\cdots \to I^{-1} \to I^0 \to \cdots \to I^{j-1} \to \Omega_j(N) \to 0$ is a minimal projective resolution. If $d_i(\mathbf{L}(N)) > 0$, then $d_i(\mathbf{L}(\Omega_j(N))) = d_i(\mathbf{L}(N))$ for all $j \ge 0$. But, if $d_i(\mathbf{L}(N)) =$ 0, then $d_i(\mathbf{L}(\Omega_j(N))) = -\infty$ for $j \gg 0$. On the other hand, since a minimal injective resolution of N^* is the dual of a minimal projective resolution of N, we have $d_i(\mathbf{L}(N^*)) = d_i(\mathbf{L}(\Omega_j(N)^*))$ for all i and all $j \ge 0$. So N^* and $\Omega_j(N)^*$ have the same distinguished pairs. For a contradiction, we assume that (0, i) is a distinguished pair for N. Then (0, 2n-i) is a distinguished pair for N^* and $\Omega_j(N)^*$. So (0, i) is a distinguished pair for $\Omega_j(N)$ for all $j \ge 0$. This contradicts the above observation.

We say a distinguished pair (d, i) is *positive*, if d > 0. Since [2, 11] study a distinguished pair for a module, they only treat a positive one.

Remark 1.10. When N^{\bullet} is a module, Corollary 1.8 was proved in [11, Theorem 3.8]. On the other hand, for positive distinguished pairs, we can prove the corollary from [11, Theorem 3.8] directly: Let I^{\bullet} be an injective resolution of N^{\bullet} and P^{\bullet} a projective resolution of I^{\bullet} . From the quasi-isomorphism $f: P^{\bullet} \to I^{\bullet}$, we have the exact complex $(T^{\bullet}, \partial^{\bullet}) := \operatorname{cone}(f)$. Then $N := \ker \partial_0$ (resp. N^*) has the same positive distinguished pairs as N^{\bullet} (resp. $\mathbf{D}_E(N^{\bullet})$). A variant of BGG correspondence gives an equivalence $\underline{\operatorname{gr}} E \cong D^b(\operatorname{Coh}(\mathbb{P}^{n-1}))$ of triangulated categories, where $\underline{\operatorname{gr}} E$ is the stable category, and $\operatorname{Coh}(\mathbb{P}^{n-1})$ is the category of coherent sheaves on $\mathbb{P}^{n-1} = \operatorname{Proj} S$. More precisely, the composition of the functor $\mathbf{L} : \operatorname{gr} E \to D^b(\operatorname{gr} S)$ and the natural functor $D^b(\operatorname{gr} S) \to D^b(\operatorname{Coh}(\mathbb{P}^{n-1}))$ induces this equivalence. Note that the functor $\operatorname{gr} S \ni M \to \tilde{M} \in \operatorname{Coh}(\mathbb{P}^{n-1})$ ignores modules of finite length. Hence if $d_i(M^{\bullet}) = 0$ then $H^i(\tilde{M}^{\bullet}) = 0$. In this sense, the duality in [11] corresponds to a duality on $D^b(\operatorname{Coh}(\mathbb{P}^{n-1}))$.

In the rest of this section, we assume that K is algebraically closed. Let $N \in \text{gr } E$. Following [1], we say $v \in E_{-1} = V$ is *N*-regular if $\text{Ann}_N(v) = vN$. It is easy to see that v is *N*-regular if and only if it is N^* -regular. We say $V_E(N) = \{v \in V \mid v \text{ is not } N\text{-regular}\}$ is the rank variety of N (see [1]). [1, Theorem 3.1] states that $V_E(N)$ is an algebraic subset of V = Spec S, and $\dim V_E(V) = \max\{d_i(\mathbf{L}(N)) \mid i \in \mathbb{Z}\}$. By the above remark, $V_E(N) = V_E(N^*)$. We can refine this observation using the grading of N.

Recall that S can be seen as the Yoneda algebra $\operatorname{Ext}_{E}^{*}(K, K)$, and $\operatorname{Ext}_{E}^{*}(K, N)$ has the S-module structure. By the same argument as [1, Theorem 3.9] (see also the proof of [7, Corollary 3.2 (b)]), we have that

$$V_E(N) = \{ v \in V \mid \xi(v) = 0 \text{ for all } \xi \in \operatorname{Ann}_S(\operatorname{Ext}^*_E(K, N)) \}.$$

But $[\operatorname{Ext}_{E}^{*+i}(K,N)]_{*} := \bigoplus_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \operatorname{Ext}_{E}^{j+i}(K,N)_{j}$ is an S-module which is isomorphic to $H^{i}(\mathbf{L}(N))$ (see the proof of [6, Proposition 2.3]), and we have $\operatorname{Ext}_{E}^{*}(K,N) \cong \bigoplus_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} [\operatorname{Ext}_{E}^{*+i}(K,N)]_{*}$. Set

$$V_E^i(N) = \{ v \in V \mid \xi(v) = 0 \text{ for all } \xi \in \operatorname{Ann}_S([\operatorname{Ext}_E^{*+i}(K, N)]_*) \}.$$

We have $V_E(N) = \bigcup_i V_E^i(N)$ and $d_i(\mathbf{L}(N)) = \dim V_E^i(N)$. For an algebraic set $X \subset \operatorname{Spec} S$ of dimension d, set $\operatorname{Top}(X)$ to be the union of the all irreducible components of X of dimensions d.

Proposition 1.11. If (d, i) is a distinguished pair for $N \in \text{gr } E$, then we have $\text{Top}(V_E^i(N)) = \text{Top}(V_E^{2n-d-i}(N^*)).$

Proof. By the proof of Theorem 1.2, $\operatorname{Ann}_{S}(H^{i}(\mathbf{L}(N)))$ has the same top dimensional components as $\operatorname{Ann}_{S}(H^{-d-i}(\mathbf{D}_{S} \circ \mathbf{L}(N)))$.

In the above situation, we have $V_E^i(N) \neq V_E^{2n-d-i}(N^*)$ in general.

2. Squarefree case

In this section, we regard $S = K[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ as an \mathbb{N}^n -graded ring with deg $x_i = (0, \ldots, 0, 1, 0, \ldots, 0)$ where 1 is in the *i*th position. Similarly, $E = K \langle y_1, \ldots, y_n \rangle$ is a $-\mathbb{N}^n$ -graded ring with deg $y_i = -\deg x_i$. Let *gr S (resp. *gr E) be the category of finitely generated \mathbb{Z}^n -graded S-modules (resp. E-modules). The functors **L** and **R** defining the BGG correspondence $D^b(\operatorname{gr} S) \cong D^b(\operatorname{gr} E)$ also work in the \mathbb{Z}^n -graded context. That is, the functors $\mathbf{L} : D^b(\operatorname{*gr} E) \to D^b(\operatorname{*gr} S)$ and $\mathbf{R} : D^b(\operatorname{*gr} S) \to D^b(\operatorname{*gr} E)$ are defined by the same way as the \mathbb{Z} -graded case, and they give an equivalence $D^b(\operatorname{*gr} S) \cong D^b(\operatorname{*gr} E)$, see [13, Theorem 4.1]. Note that the dualizing

complex ω^{\bullet} of S is \mathbb{Z}^n -graded, and $\mathbf{D}_S(-) = \operatorname{Hom}_S^{\bullet}(-, \omega^{\bullet})$ is also a duality functor on $D^b(\operatorname{*gr} S)$. Similarly, $\mathbf{D}_E(-) = \operatorname{Hom}_E(-, E)$ is a duality functor on $D^b(\operatorname{*gr} E)$. As Proposition 1.5, for $N^{\bullet} \in D^b(\operatorname{*gr} E)$, we have $\mathbf{D}_S \circ \mathbf{L}(N^{\bullet}) \cong \mathbf{L} \circ \mathbf{D}_E(N^{\bullet})(-2)[2n]$ in $D^b(\operatorname{*gr} S)$. Here we set $\mathbf{j} := (j, j, \dots, j) \in \mathbb{N}^n$ for $j \in \mathbb{Z}$.

For $\mathbf{a} = (a_1, \ldots, a_n) \in \mathbb{Z}^n$, set $\operatorname{supp}(\mathbf{a}) := \{i \mid a_i > 0\} \subset [n] := \{1, \ldots, n\}$ and $|\mathbf{a}| = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i$. We say $\mathbf{a} \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ is squarefree if $a_i = 0, 1$ for all $i \in [n]$. When $\mathbf{a} \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ is squarefree, we sometimes identify \mathbf{a} with $\operatorname{supp}(\mathbf{a})$.

Definition 2.1 ([12]). We say a \mathbb{Z}^n -graded S-module M is squarefree, if the following conditions are satisfied.

- (a) M is \mathbb{N}^n -graded (i.e., $M_{\mathbf{a}} = 0$ if $\mathbf{a} \notin \mathbb{N}^n$) and finitely generated.
- (b) The multiplication map $M_{\mathbf{a}} \ni y \mapsto (\prod x_i^{b_i}) \cdot y \in M_{\mathbf{a}+\mathbf{b}}$ is bijective for all $\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b} \in \mathbb{N}^n$ with $\operatorname{supp}(\mathbf{a} + \mathbf{b}) = \operatorname{supp}(\mathbf{a})$.

For a simplicial complex $\Delta \subset 2^{[n]}$, the Stanley-Reisner ideal $I_{\Delta} := (\prod_{i \in F} x_i \mid F \notin \Delta)$ and the Stanley-Reisner ring $K[\Delta] := S/I_{\Delta}$ are squarefree modules. Note that if M is squarefree then $M_{\mathbf{a}} \cong M_F$ as K-vector spaces for all $\mathbf{a} \in \mathbb{N}^n$ with $\operatorname{supp}(\mathbf{a}) = F$. Let Sq_S be the full subcategory of *gr S consisting of squarefree modules. In *gr S, Sq_S is closed under kernels, cokernels and extensions ([12, Lemma 2.3]), and we have that $D^b(\operatorname{Sq}_S) \cong D^b_{\operatorname{Sq}_S}(*\operatorname{gr} S)$. If $M^{\bullet} \in D^b(\operatorname{Sq}_S)$, then $\mathbf{D}_S(M^{\bullet}) \in D^b_{\operatorname{Sq}_S}(*\operatorname{gr} S)$ (see [13]). So \mathbf{D}_S gives a duality functor on $D^b(\operatorname{Sq}_S)$.

Definition 2.2 (Römer [11]). A \mathbb{Z}^n -graded *E*-module $N = \bigoplus_{\mathbf{a} \in \mathbb{Z}^n} N_{\mathbf{a}}$ is squarefree if *N* is finitely generated and $N = \bigoplus_{F \subset [n]} N_{-F}$.

For example, any monomial ideal of E is a squarefree module. Any monomial ideal of E is of the form $J_{\Delta} = (\prod_{i \in F} y_i \mid F \notin \Delta)$ for some simplicial complex $\Delta \subset 2^{[n]}$. We say $K\{\Delta\} := E/J_{\Delta}$ is the exterior face ring of Δ .

Let Sq_E be the full subcategory of $\operatorname{*gr} E$ consisting of squarefree E-modules. Then there exist functors $\mathcal{S} : \operatorname{Sq}_E \to \operatorname{Sq}_S$ and $\mathcal{E} : \operatorname{Sq}_S \to \operatorname{Sq}_E$ giving an equivalence $\operatorname{Sq}_S \cong \operatorname{Sq}_E$. Here $\mathcal{S}(N)_F = N_{-F}$ for $N \in \operatorname{Sq}_E$, and the multiplication map $\mathcal{S}(N)_F \ni z \mapsto x_i z \in \mathcal{S}(N)_{F \cup \{i\}}$ for $i \notin F$ is given by $\mathcal{S}(N)_F = N_{-F} \ni z \mapsto$ $(-1)^{\alpha(i,F)}y_i z \in N_{-(F \cup \{i\})} = \mathcal{S}(N)_{F \cup \{i\}}$, where $\alpha(i,F) = \#\{j \in F \mid j < i\}$. For example, $\mathcal{S}(K\{\Delta\}) = K[\Delta]$. See [11] for further information. Of course, \mathcal{S} and \mathcal{E} can be extended to the functors between $D^b(\operatorname{Sq}_S)$ and $D^b(\operatorname{Sq}_E)$.

If $N \in \operatorname{Sq}_E$, then $N^* = \operatorname{Hom}_E(N, E)$ is squarefree again. So $(-)^*$ gives the duality functor \mathbf{D}_E on $D^b(\operatorname{Sq}_E)$. For example, $K\{\Delta\}^* = J_{\Delta^\vee}$, where $\Delta^{\vee} = \{F \subset [n] \mid [n] \setminus F \notin \Delta\}$ is the Alexander dual complex of Δ . We have the Alexander duality functor $\mathbf{A} := S \circ \mathbf{D}_E \circ \mathcal{E}$ on Sq_S (or $D^b(\operatorname{Sq}_S)$). Of course, $\mathbf{A}(K[\Delta]) = I_{\Delta^\vee}$. In general, we have $\mathbf{A}(H^i(M^{\bullet}))_F = (H^{-i}(M^{\bullet})_{[n]\setminus F})^{\vee}$.

An associated prime ideal of $M \in \operatorname{*gr} S$ is of the form $P_F = (x_i \mid i \notin F)$ for some $F \subset [n]$. Let $M \in \operatorname{Sq}_S$ be a squarefree module. A monomial prime ideal P_F is a minimal prime of M if and only if F is a maximal element of the set $\{G \subset [n] \mid M_G \neq 0\}$. The following is a squarefree version of Definition 1.1. **Definition 2.3.** We say $(F, i) \in 2^{[n]} \times \mathbb{Z}$ is a distinguished pair for a complex $M^{\bullet} \in D^{b}(\operatorname{Sq}_{S})$, if P_{F} is a minimal prime of $H^{i}(M^{\bullet})$ and $H^{j}(M^{\bullet})_{G} = 0$ for all j with j < i and $G \supset F$ with |G| < |F| + i - j.

Theorem 2.4. Let $M^{\bullet} \in D^{b}(\operatorname{Sq}_{S})$. A pair (F, i) is distinguished for M^{\bullet} if and only if (F, -|F| - i) is distinguished for $\mathbf{D}_{S}(M^{\bullet})$. If this is the case, $\dim_{K} H^{i}(M^{\bullet})_{F} = \dim_{K} H^{-|F|-i}(\mathbf{D}_{S}(M^{\bullet}))_{F}$.

Proof. Like the proof of Theorem 1.2, we consider the spectral sequence $E_2^{p,q} = \text{Ext}_S^p(H^{-q}(M^{\bullet}), \omega^{\bullet}) \Rightarrow \text{Ext}_S^{p+q}(M^{\bullet}, \omega^{\bullet})$. Then $E_r^{p,q}$ is squarefree for all p, q and $r \geq 2$. When we consider a distinguished pair (F, i), we set

$$\dim_F M := \begin{cases} -\infty & \text{if } M_G = 0 \text{ for all } G \supset F \\ \max\{ |G| \mid G \supset F, M_G \neq 0 \} & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

for $M \in \operatorname{Sq}_S$. Set $d_i(M^{\bullet}) := \dim_F H^i(M^{\bullet})$ and $e_2^{p,q} := \dim_F \operatorname{Ext}_S^p(H^{-q}(M^{\bullet}), \omega^{\bullet})$ for $M^{\bullet} \in D^b(\operatorname{Sq}_S)$. We also remark that $\dim_K M_F = l_{S_{P_F}}(M \otimes_S S_{P_F})$ for $M \in \operatorname{Sq}_S$. The equation (1.1) holds in this context, and the proof of Theorem 1.2 works verbatim.

If $N^{\bullet} \in D^{b}(\mathrm{Sq}_{E})$, then it is easy to see that $\mathbf{L}(N^{\bullet})(-1) \in D^{b}(\mathrm{Sq}_{S})$. So $\mathcal{L}(-) := \mathbf{L}(-)(-1)$ gives a functor from $D^{b}(\mathrm{Sq}_{E})$ to $D^{b}(\mathrm{Sq}_{S})$. Moreover, we have $\mathcal{L} \cong \mathbf{A} \circ \mathbf{D}_{S} \circ \mathcal{S}$ by [13, Proposition 4.3].

Definition 2.5. Let $N^{\bullet} \in D^{b}(\operatorname{Sq}_{E})$. We say (F, i) is a *distinguished pair* for N^{\bullet} if it is a distinguished pair for $\mathcal{L}(N^{\bullet}) \in D^{b}(\operatorname{Sq}_{S})$ in the sense of Definition 2.3.

The next result can be proved by the same way as Corollary 1.8 using Theorem 2.4.

Proposition 2.6. Let $N^{\bullet} \in D^{b}(\operatorname{Sq}_{E})$. A pair (F, i) is distinguished for N^{\bullet} if and only if (F, 2n - |F| - i) is distinguished for $\mathbf{D}_{E}(N^{\bullet})$. If this is the case, we have $\dim_{K} H^{i}(\mathcal{L}(N^{\bullet}))_{F} = \dim_{K} H^{2n-|F|-i}(\mathcal{L} \circ \mathbf{D}_{E}(N^{\bullet}))_{F}$.

If $M^{\bullet} \in D^{b}(* \operatorname{gr} S)$, then $\operatorname{Tor}_{i}^{S}(K, M^{\bullet}) := H^{-i}(K \otimes_{E} P^{\bullet})$ is a \mathbb{Z}^{n} -graded module, where P^{\bullet} is a graded free resolution of M^{\bullet} . Set $\beta_{i,\mathbf{a}}(M^{\bullet}) := \dim_{K} \operatorname{Tor}_{i}^{S}(K, M^{\bullet})_{\mathbf{a}}$ for $\mathbf{a} \in \mathbb{Z}^{n}$. We say $\beta_{i,\mathbf{a}}(M^{\bullet})$ is the (i, \mathbf{a}) th Betti number of M^{\bullet} . If $M^{\bullet} \in D^{b}(\operatorname{Sq}_{S})$ and $\beta_{i,\mathbf{a}}(M^{\bullet}) \neq 0$, then \mathbf{a} is squarefree (see [13]).

Definition 2.7 (c.f. [3]). A Betti number $\beta_{i,F}(M^{\bullet}) \neq 0$ is *extremal* if $\beta_{j,G}(M^{\bullet}) = 0$ for all $(j,G) \neq (i,F)$ with $j \geq i, G \supset F$, and |G| - j > |F| - i.

Some of known results and backgrounds of extremal Betti numbers are found in the introduction of the present paper.

Proposition 2.8 (c.f. [2]). Let $M^{\bullet} \in D^{b}(\operatorname{Sq}_{S})$ and $N^{\bullet} := \mathcal{E}(M^{\bullet}) \in D^{b}(\operatorname{Sq}_{E})$. A pair (F, i) is distinguished for $\mathbf{D}_{E}(N^{\bullet})$ if and only if $\beta_{i+|F|-n,F}(M^{\bullet})$ is an extremal Betti number. If this is the case, then $\beta_{i+|F|-n,F}(M^{\bullet}) = \dim_{K} H^{i}(\mathcal{L} \circ \mathbf{D}_{E}(N^{\bullet}))_{F}$.

Proof. For $j \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $G \subset [n]$, we have the following.

$$\begin{aligned} \beta_{j,G}(M^{\bullet}) &= \dim_{K} [H^{|G|-j-n}(\mathbf{D}_{S} \circ \mathbf{A}(M^{\bullet}))]_{[n]\setminus G} \quad (\text{by [13, Corollary 3.6]}) \\ &= \dim_{K} [H^{n+j-|G|}(\mathbf{A} \circ \mathbf{D}_{S} \circ \mathbf{A}(M^{\bullet}))]_{G} \\ &= \dim_{K} [H^{n+j-|G|}(\mathcal{L} \circ \mathcal{E} \circ \mathbf{A}(M^{\bullet}))]_{G} \\ &= \dim_{K} [H^{n+j-|G|}(\mathcal{L} \circ \mathbf{D}_{E}(N^{\bullet}))]_{G}. \end{aligned}$$

The assertion easily follows from this equality.

Corollary 2.9. Let $M^{\bullet} \in D^{b}(Sq_{S})$. A Betti number $\beta_{i,F}(M^{\bullet})$ is extremal if and only if so is $\beta_{|F|-i,F}(\mathbf{A}(M^{\bullet}))$. If this is the case, $\beta_{i,F}(M^{\bullet}) = \beta_{|F|-i,F}(\mathbf{A}(M^{\bullet}))$.

Proof. If $\beta_{i,F}(M^{\bullet})$ is extremal, then (F, n+i-|F|) is a distinguished pair for $\mathbf{D}_E \circ \mathcal{E}(M^{\bullet})$ by Proposition 2.8. By Proposition 2.6, (F, n-i) is a distinguished pair for $\mathcal{E}(M^{\bullet}) \cong \mathbf{D}_E \circ \mathcal{E} \circ \mathbf{A}(M^{\bullet})$. So $\beta_{|F|-i,F}(\mathbf{A}(M^{\bullet}))$ is extremal. The converse implication can be proved by the same way. The last equality follows from Proposition 2.6. \Box

This corollary generalizes results of Bayer-Charalambous-Popescu [3], Römer [11] and Miller [10]. Roughly speaking, the above proof is a "complex version" of [11]. But, his argument itself does not work in the \mathbb{Z}^n -graded context, since he use a generic base change of $V = E_{-1}$.

For $M^{\bullet} \in D^{b}(\operatorname{Sq}_{S})$. Set proj. dim $(M^{\bullet}) = \max\{i \mid \beta_{i,F}(M^{\bullet}) \neq 0 \text{ for some } F\}$ and reg $(M^{\bullet}) = \max\{|F| - i \mid \beta_{i,F}(M^{\bullet}) \neq 0\}$. Since Betti numbers $\beta_{i,F}(M^{\bullet})$ which give proj. dim (M^{\bullet}) or reg (M^{\bullet}) are extremal, the next result follows from Corollary 2.9.

Corollary 2.10 (c.f.[10, 11]). If $M^{\bullet} \in D^b(Sq_S)$, then proj. dim $(M^{\bullet}) = \operatorname{reg}(\mathbf{A}(M^{\bullet}))$.

References

- A. Aramova, L. Avramov and J. Herzog, Resolutions of monomial ideals and cohomology over exterior algebras. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 352 (2000), 579-594.
- [2] A. Aramova and J. Herzog, Almost regular sequences and Betti numbers, Amer. J. Math. 122 (2000), 689-719.
- [3] D. Bayer, H. Charalambous and S. Popescu, Extremal Betti numbers and applications to monomial ideals, J. Algebra 221 (1999), 497–512.
- [4] E. Babson, I. Novik and R. Thomas, Symmetric iterated Betti numbers, preprint (math.CO/0206063).
- [5] W. Bruns and J. Herzog, Cohen-Macaulay rings, revised edition, Cambridge University Press, 1998.
- [6] D. Eisenbud, G. Fløystad and F.-O. Schreyer, Sheaf cohomology and free resolutions over exterior algebra, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 355 (2003), 4397-4426.
- [7] D. Eisenbud, S. Popescu and S. Yuzvinsky, Hyperplane arrangement cohomology and monomials in the exterior algebra, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 355 (2003), 4365-4383.
- [8] S.I. Gelfand and Y.I. Manin, Methods of homological algebra. Springer-Verlag, 1996.
- [9] G. Kalai, Algebraic shifting, in "Computational commutative algebra and combinatorics" (T. Hibi, ed.), pp. 121–163, Adv. Stud. Pure Math. 33, Math. Soc. Japan, 2002.
- [10] E. Miller, The Alexander duality functors and local duality with monomial support, J. Algebra. 231 (2000), 180–234.
- [11] T. Römer, Generalized Alexander duality and applications, Osaka J. Math. 38 (2001), 469– 485.

- [12] K. Yanagawa, Alexander duality for Stanley-Reisner rings and squarefree Nⁿ-graded modules, J. Algebra 225 (2000), 630–645.
- [13] K. Yanagawa, Derived category of squarefree modules and local cohomology with monomial ideal support, to appear in J. Math. Soc. Japan.

Department of Mathematics, Graduate School of Science, Osaka University, Toyonaka, Osaka 560-0043, Japan

E-mail address: yanagawa@math.sci.osaka-u.ac.jp