

# DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS, MIRROR MAPS AND ZETA VALUES

GERT ALMKVIST (Lund) and WADIM ZUDILIN<sup>‡</sup> (Moscow)

E-print math.NT/0402386

16 December 2004

ABSTRACT. The aim of this work is an analytic investigation of differential equations producing mirror maps as well as giving new examples of mirror maps; one of these examples is related to (rational approximations to)  $\zeta(4)$ . We also indicate certain observations that might become a subject of further research.

The existence of this paper is due to the following observations. With Apéry's proof of the irrationality of  $\zeta(2)$  and  $\zeta(3)$  followed certain 2nd- and 3rd-order linear differential equations (see [Be1]–[Be3], [BP]). Doing a similar construction for  $\zeta(4)$  resulted in a 5th-order differential equation. This equation was similar to the linear differential equations occurring in Calabi–Yau theory (except the order was 5 instead of 4). Computing the Lambert series of an analogue of the Yukawa coupling we got integer coefficients divisible by the square of the degree (not by the cube as in the Calabi–Yau case). Then we managed to pull back the 5th-order differential equation to one of order 4, which had all the properties of a Calabi–Yau equation. This part is the main objective of Sections 1–4. Quite recently we found two more examples relating fourth order differential equations to simultaneous rational approximations to di- and trilogarithms (see the end of Section 4).

Collecting known cases of the Calabi–Yau equations, 14 of those were classical hypergeometric and 15 remaining were discovered by V. V. Batyrev, D. van Straten et al in [BS1], [BS2], [Str], we tried to extend the list by application of the algorithm of creative telescoping due to Gosper and Zeilberger. This seems to be a pure machinery (cf. Section 5 below), in which we cannot predict any end. We also tried to figure out possible algebraic transformations between them and our new example related to  $\zeta(4)$ . We did not succeed in this, but doing that we found two other Calabi–Yau equations emanating from quadratic transformations of hypergeometric Calabi–Yau solutions of type  ${}_4F_3$ . This is the subject of Section 6. Section 7 describes another (rather rich!) possibilities for deriving fake 4th-order linear differential equations. Summarizing methods of Sections 6 and 7 resulted in new classes of transformations which we present in Section 8.

---

<sup>‡</sup>The work of the second author is supported by an Alexander von Humboldt research fellowship and partially supported by grant no. 03-01-00359 of the Russian Foundation for Basic Research.

The divisibility properties of the coefficients of the Lambert series for the Yukawa coupling  $K(q)$  are equivalent to high-order Kummer congruences between the coefficients of the power series for  $K(q)$ . The latter coefficients can be realized as the number of fix points of iterates of a set map  $T: X \rightarrow X$ , where the number of orbits of order  $n$  is divisible by  $n^2$ . We figure out these very natural relations in Section 9.

Our final table (mostly represented in the joint contribution [AESZ, Table A]) contains more than 200 cases of Calabi–Yau equations (see also van Enkevort’s electronic database [En], which provides more advanced knowledge, like instanton numbers up to 20, in some cases also the number of elliptic curves, monodromy matrices etc.). In almost all cases, the mirror map  $z(q)$  divided by  $q$  seems to be a high power of a series with integer coefficients (cf. [LY]). For this we have no explanation at all, but we collect this experimental knowledge in another table [AESZ, Table B]. The last table [AESZ, Table C] presents a brief systematic guide to the main table from [AESZ, Table A], with entries ordered according to instanton numbers<sup>1</sup>.

Finally, in Section 10, we find a 6th-order linear differential equation coming from the simultaneous approximations to  $\zeta(3)$  and  $\zeta(5)$ , and also a similar 6th-order equation for the series  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} z^n \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k}^6$ . Both cases seem to admit arithmetic properties very close to those for Calabi–Yau cases, but for the latter series we have a new phenomenon—a free parameter. This fact does not look apparent: we briefly indicate some similar cases as well.

*Acknowledgements.* First of all we want to thank Duco van Straten, who has shown great interest in our work and suggested the use of Hadamard products. He and Christian van Enkevort contributed with finding several new Calabi–Yau equations, usually coming from geometry. Combining our examples and methods with those from [ES] resulted in the joint project [AESZ]. Frits Beukers gave us details of computations on [Be4] and inspiration to start this work; his comments helped us a lot to improve the first version of our manuscript. Helena Verrill communicated us a couple of new equations and Arne Meurman showed an algorithm to find the Hadamard product. Special gratitude is due to Noriko Yui who kindly proposed us to contribute the BIRS workshop volume on Calabi–Yau varieties and mirror symmetry.

## CONTENTS

1. Maximal unipotent monodromy . . . . .	3
2. 4th-order linear differential equations . . . . .	3
3. Wronskian formalism . . . . .	6
4. Strange integrality related to $\zeta(4)$ . . . . .	9
5. Strategy of finding new Calabi–Yau equations . . . . .	12
6. Quadratic transformations and mirror maps . . . . .	14
7. Hadamard products . . . . .	18
8. More transformations . . . . .	26
9. Supercongruences and $k$ -realizable series . . . . .	31
10. 6th- and higher order differential equations . . . . .	33
References . . . . .	36

---

<sup>1</sup>With the kind permit of our coauthors D. van Straten and C. van Enkevort, we include the last table from [AESZ] in the version of the present paper appearing in the BIRS workshop volume on Calabi–Yau varieties and mirror symmetry. The E-print version of this article at <http://arXiv.org/math.NT/0402386> also contains Section 10 and proofs of Propositions 1 and 3.

## 1. MAXIMAL UNIPOTENT MONODROMY

Consider a linear differential equation

$$y^{(s)} + a_{s-1}(z)y^{(s-1)} + \cdots + a_1(z)y' + a_0(z)y = 0, \quad (1.1)$$

where the prime stands for the  $z$ -derivative and  $a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{s-1}$  are meromorphic functions in variable  $z$ . Assuming that  $z = 0$  is a regular singularity of the differential equation (1.1), we may write its coefficients in the form

$$a_{s-j}(z) = z^{-j}\tilde{a}_{s-j}(z), \quad j = 1, \dots, s,$$

where the functions  $\tilde{a}_{s-j}(z)$  are analytic at  $z = 0$ . The roots of the *indicial equation*

$$\lambda(\lambda - 1) \cdots (\lambda - s + 1) + \tilde{a}_{s-1}(0)\lambda(\lambda - 1) \cdots (\lambda - s + 2) + \cdots + \tilde{a}_1(0)\lambda + \tilde{a}_0(0) = 0$$

determine the *exponents* of the differential equation (1.1) at the point  $z = 0$ . Following [Mo], we will say that our differential equation (1.1) is of *maximal unipotent monodromy* (briefly, MUM) if its exponents at  $z = 0$  are all zero. The Frobenius method (see, e.g., [In, Section 16.1]) gives one a constructive way for writing a basis of solutions to (1.1) in the form

$$y(z, \rho) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} A(n, \rho) z^{n+\rho} \pmod{\rho^s} = y_0(z) + y_1(z)\rho + \cdots + y_{s-1}(z)\rho^{s-1}, \quad (1.2)$$

$$A(0, \rho) = 1 \pmod{\rho^s}$$

(in particular,  $A(0, 0) = y_0(0) = 1$ ), where by definition we set

$$z^\rho \pmod{\rho^j} = 1 + \log z \cdot \rho + \frac{\log^2 z}{2} \cdot \rho^2 + \cdots + \frac{\log^{j-1} z}{(j-1)!} \cdot \rho^{j-1}.$$

The components  $y_0, y_1, \dots, y_{s-1}$  in (1.2) define the *Frobenius basis* of the MUM differential equation (1.1) at  $z = 0$ .

## 2. 4TH-ORDER LINEAR DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS

Consider a linear homogeneous differential equation of order 4,

$$y^{(4)} + a_3(z)y^{(3)} + a_2(z)y'' + a_1(z)y' + a_0(z)y = 0, \quad (2.1)$$

where  $a_0, a_1, a_2, a_3$  are rational functions in the variable  $z$ . Suppose that (2.1) is MUM and let  $y_0, y_1, y_2, y_3$  be the Frobenius basis of solutions to (2.1). Set  $t = y_1/y_0$ .

**Proposition 1** [Al2]. *In the above notation, the condition*

$$a_1 = \frac{1}{2}a_2a_3 - \frac{1}{8}a_3^3 + a_2' - \frac{3}{4}a_3a_3' - \frac{1}{2}a_3'' \quad (2.2)$$

is equivalent to any of the following two possibilities:

$$\frac{d^2}{dt^2}(y_2/y_0) = \frac{\exp(-\frac{1}{2} \int a_3(z) dz)}{y_0^2 \left(\frac{dt}{dz}\right)^3} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{d^2}{dt^2}(y_3/y_0) = t \frac{d^2}{dt^2}(y_2/y_0). \quad (2.3)$$

*Proof.* It can be easily checked that the functions

$$T_1 = \frac{y_1}{y_0} (= t), \quad T_2 = \frac{y_2}{y_0}, \quad T_3 = \frac{y_3}{y_0}$$

satisfy the 4th-order linear differential equation

$$T^{(4)} + b_3 T^{(3)} + b_2 T'' + b_1 T' = 0, \quad (2.4)$$

where

$$b_1 = a_1 + 2a_2 \frac{y_0'}{y_0} + 3a_3 \frac{y_0''}{y_0} + 4 \frac{y_0'''}{y_0},$$

$$b_2 = a_2 + 3a_3 \frac{y_0'}{y_0} + 6 \frac{y_0''}{y_0}, \quad b_3 = a_3 + 4 \frac{y_0'}{y_0}.$$

Since

$$\frac{d}{dt} = \left(\frac{dt}{dz}\right)^{-1} \frac{d}{dz} = \frac{1}{T_1'} \frac{d}{dz},$$

we have

$$\frac{d^2}{dt^2} \left(\frac{y_2}{y_0}\right) = \frac{d^2 T_2}{dt^2} = \frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{T_2'}{T_1'}\right) = \frac{1}{T_1'} \left(\frac{T_2''}{T_1'} - \frac{T_2' T_1''}{(T_1')^2}\right) = \frac{R}{(dt/dz)^3}, \quad (2.5)$$

where

$$R = T_1' T_2'' - T_1'' T_2' = \begin{vmatrix} T_1' & T_2' \\ T_1'' & T_2'' \end{vmatrix}. \quad (2.6)$$

With the help of (2.4) we deduce

$$R' = \begin{vmatrix} T_1' & T_2' \\ T_1''' & T_2''' \end{vmatrix}, \quad R'' = \begin{vmatrix} T_1'' & T_2'' \\ T_1'''' & T_2'''' \end{vmatrix} - b_3 R' - b_2 R,$$

$$R''' = -b_3(R'' + b_3 R' + b_2 R) + b_1 R - (b_3 R')' - (b_2 R)'. \quad (2.7)$$

Therefore, the function  $R$  satisfies the 3rd-order differential equation

$$R''' + c_2 R'' + c_1 R' + c_0 R = 0, \quad (2.8)$$

where

$$c_0 = b_2 b_3 - b_1 + b_2', \quad c_1 = b_2 + b_3^2 + b_3', \quad c_2 = 2b_3.$$

We now claim that the function

$$\tilde{R} = \frac{1}{y_0^2} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2} \int a_3(x) dx\right)$$

also satisfies the linear differential equation (2.8) if and only if (2.2) holds. By differentiating we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{R}' &= -\left(\frac{a_3}{2} + 2\frac{y_0'}{y_0}\right)\tilde{R}, \\ \tilde{R}'' &= \left(\frac{a_3^2}{4} - \frac{a_3'}{2} + 2a_3\frac{y_0'}{y_0} - 2\frac{y_0''}{y_0} + 6\frac{(y_0')^2}{y_0^2}\right)\tilde{R}, \\ \tilde{R}''' &= \left(\frac{3a_3a_3'}{4} - \frac{a_3''}{2} - \frac{a_3^3}{8} + 3\left(a_3' - \frac{1}{2}a_3^2\right)\frac{y_0'}{y_0} + 3a_3\frac{y_0''}{y_0} - 2\frac{y_0'''}{y_0}\right. \\ &\quad \left. - 9a_3\frac{(y_0')^2}{y_0^2} + 18\frac{y_0'y_0''}{y_0^2} - 24\frac{(y_0')^3}{y_0^3}\right)\tilde{R},\end{aligned}$$

hence a necessary and sufficient condition for the function  $\tilde{R}$  to satisfy (2.8) is the required one:

$$\left(\frac{a_2a_3}{2} - \frac{a_3^3}{8} + a_2' - \frac{3a_3a_3'}{4} - a_1\right)\tilde{R} = 0.$$

It remains to compare the first three terms (i.e., coefficients of  $x^{-3}$ ,  $x^{-2}$ , and  $x^{-1}$ ) in order to verify the equality  $\tilde{R} = R$ .

We are now required to check the second equality in (2.3) provided (2.2) holds. Changing  $R$  to  $R_1$  and  $T_2$  to  $T_3$  in (2.6), (2.7) we obtain that the function  $R_1$  satisfies the differential equation (2.8). On the other hand, differentiating the product  $T_1R = tR$  with the help of the differential equation (2.4) for  $T_1$  and of (2.8) for  $R$ , we deduce that the function  $tR$  also satisfies (2.8). Comparing of the first three coefficients again yields  $R_1 = tR$ , hence formulas (2.5) and

$$\frac{d^2}{dt^2}\left(\frac{y_3}{y_0}\right) = \frac{d^2T_3}{dt^2} = \frac{d}{dt}\left(\frac{T_3'}{T_1'}\right) = \frac{R_1}{(dt/dz)^3}$$

complete the proof.  $\square$

If the differential equation (2.1) is MUM and assumption (2.2) holds, we define the *mirror map*  $z = z(q)$  as the inverse of  $q(z) = e^t = \exp(y_1/y_0)$  and the *Yukawa coupling* as

$$K(q) = N_0 \cdot \frac{d^2}{dt^2}(y_2/y_0),$$

where  $N_0$  is some integer different from 0. The interesting (*Calabi–Yau*) cases are those when  $z(q) \in \mathbb{Z}[[q]]$  and

$$K(q) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} C_n q^n = N_0 + \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} \frac{N_l l^3 q^l}{1 - q^l} \quad (2.9)$$

with

$$N_0 = C_0 \in \mathbb{Z} \quad \text{and} \quad N_l = \frac{1}{l^3} \sum_{d|l} \mu\left(\frac{l}{d}\right) C_d \in \mathbb{Z} \quad \text{for } l = 1, 2, \dots$$

and  $\mu$  is the Möbius function (and, usually,  $N_l > 0$ ).

**Proposition 2.** *Under hypothesis (2.2) we have the identity for Wronskian determinants:*

$$\begin{vmatrix} y_0 & y_3 \\ y'_0 & y'_3 \end{vmatrix} = \begin{vmatrix} y_1 & y_2 \\ y'_1 & y'_2 \end{vmatrix}.$$

*Proof.* The required identity is equivalent to  $y_0^2(y_3/y_0)' = y_1^2(y_2/y_1)'$ , i.e.

$$\left(\frac{y_3}{y_0}\right)' = \left(\frac{y_1}{y_0}\right)^2 \left(\frac{y_0}{y_1} \cdot \frac{y_2}{y_0}\right)' = t^2 \left(\frac{1}{t} \frac{y_2}{y_0}\right)'.$$

Since  $\frac{d}{dz} = \frac{dt}{dz} \cdot \frac{d}{dt}$ , verification of the last identity is equivalent to showing that  $Y = 0$ , where

$$Y = \frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{y_3}{y_0}\right) - t^2 \frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{1}{t} \frac{y_2}{y_0}\right) = \frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{y_3}{y_0}\right) + \frac{y_2}{y_0} - t \frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{y_2}{y_0}\right).$$

But

$$\frac{dY}{dt} = \frac{d^2}{dt^2} \left(\frac{y_3}{y_0}\right) - t \frac{d^2}{dt^2} \left(\frac{y_2}{y_0}\right) = 0$$

by Proposition 1. Therefore  $Y = \text{const}$ , and verifying near  $z = 0$  we get  $Y = 0$  (all log-terms disappear). This completes the proof.  $\square$

### 3. WRONSKIAN FORMALISM

Consider an arbitrary pair  $y(z), \tilde{y}(z)$  of linearly independent solutions of a 4th-order differential equation (2.1) (not necessarily MUM). Define the Wronskian determinant

$$Y = \begin{vmatrix} y & \tilde{y} \\ y' & \tilde{y}' \end{vmatrix}.$$

**Proposition 3.** *The function  $Y = Y(z)$  satisfies a 6th-order linear differential equation with coefficients depending only on the coefficients of (2.1) (i.e., independent of the choice of the pair  $y, \tilde{y}$ ), called the exterior square of (2.1). Moreover, this 6th-order differential equation reduces to a 5th-order differential equation (i.e., the coefficient of  $Y^{(6)}$  is zero) if and only if condition (2.2) holds.*

*Remark 1.* In other words, condition (2.2) is equivalent to the exterior square having order 5. As pointed out by Beukers, by this equivalence properties (2.3) are the derived properties which are handy to calculate the Yukawa coupling. Nevertheless, we kept the original proof of Proposition 1 to make it independent of considerations in this section.

*Remark 2.* The claim of Proposition 3 may be proved in Maple by the `DEtools` command `exterior_power`.

*Proof.* We have

$$\begin{aligned} Y' &= \begin{vmatrix} y & \tilde{y} \\ y'' & \tilde{y}'' \end{vmatrix}, & u_3 &= \begin{vmatrix} y & \tilde{y} \\ y''' & \tilde{y}''' \end{vmatrix}, \\ u_4 &= \begin{vmatrix} y' & \tilde{y}' \\ y'' & \tilde{y}'' \end{vmatrix}, & u_5 &= \begin{vmatrix} y' & \tilde{y}' \\ y''' & \tilde{y}''' \end{vmatrix}, & u_6 &= \begin{vmatrix} y'' & \tilde{y}'' \\ y''' & \tilde{y}''' \end{vmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

Using the differential equation (2.1) for  $y, \tilde{y}$ , we get the system

$$\begin{aligned} Y'' &= u_3 + u_4, & u'_3 &= u_5 - a_1Y - a_2Y' - a_3u_3, \\ u'_4 &= u_5, & u'_5 &= u_6 + a_0Y - a_2u_4 - a_3u_5, \\ & & u'_6 &= a_0Y' + a_1u_4 - a_3u_6. \end{aligned} \quad (3.1)$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} Y''' &= u'_3 + u'_4 = u_5 - a_1Y - a_2Y' - a_3u_3 + u_5 \\ &= 2u_5 - a_1Y - a_2Y' - a_3(Y'' - u_4), \end{aligned} \quad (3.2)$$

and in the notation

$$U = Y''' + a_3Y'' + a_2Y' + a_1Y$$

we may write (3.2) in the form

$$2u_5 = U - a_3u_4. \quad (3.3)$$

Therefore

$$U' - a'_3u_4 - a_3u_5 = (U - a_3u_4)' = 2u'_5 = 2u_6 + 2a_0Y - 2a_2u_4 - 2a_3u_5.$$

Hence by (3.3)

$$U' + \frac{1}{2}a_3U - 2a_0Y = 2u_6 + \left(\frac{1}{2}a_3^2 - 2a_2 + a'_3\right)u_4$$

and after taking the derivative

$$U'' + \frac{1}{2}a_3U' + \frac{1}{2}a'_3U - 2a_0Y' - 2a'_0Y = 2u'_6 + \left(\frac{1}{2}a_3^2 - 2a_2 + a'_3\right)u_5 + (a_3a'_3 - 2a'_2 + a''_3)u_4.$$

Using (3.3) and (3.1) we write the last equality as  $W = Vu_4$ , where

$$W = U'' + \frac{3}{2}a_3U' + \left(a_3 + \frac{1}{2}a_3^2 - \frac{1}{4}a_3^3\right)U - 4a_0Y' - 2(a'_0 + a_0a_3)Y$$

and

$$V = a''_3 - 2a'_2 + \frac{3}{2}a_3a'_3 - a_2a_3 + \frac{1}{4}a_3^2 + 2a_1.$$

The condition  $V = 0$  is exactly (2.2); if it holds, we have  $W = Vu_4 = 0$ , which is the required 5th-order differential equation. If  $V \neq 0$ , then differentiate  $W = Vu_4$  to get

$$W' = V'u_4 + Vu_5 = V'u_4 + \frac{1}{2}V(U - a_3u_4),$$

hence

$$VW' = \frac{1}{2}V^2U + \left(V' - \frac{1}{2}a_3V\right)W. \quad (3.4)$$

Equality (3.4) is the 6th-order linear differential equation for the function  $Y$ ; the coefficient of  $Y^{(6)}$  in this equation is  $V \neq 0$ .  $\square$

From now on, we assume condition (2.2) for a given 4th-order MUM differential equation (2.1). To construct a 5th-order MUM differential equation we modify the above construction by taking

$$w(z) = zY = z \begin{vmatrix} y & \tilde{y} \\ y' & \tilde{y}' \end{vmatrix}.$$

Denote the resulting 5th-order differential equation for the function  $w$ :

$$w^{(5)} + b_4(z)w^{(4)} + b_3(z)w^{(3)} + b_2(z)w'' + b_1(z)w' + b_0(z)w = 0. \quad (3.5)$$

*Remark.* Clearly, condition (2.2) induces a certain condition for the coefficients of the differential equation (3.5). We leave the corresponding (rather boring) relation to the reader as a **Maple** exercise.

**Proposition 4.** *The differential equation (3.5) is MUM; its Frobenius basis is given by the following formulas:*

$$\begin{aligned} w_0 = z \begin{vmatrix} y_0 & y_1 \\ y'_0 & y'_1 \end{vmatrix}, & \quad w_1 = z \begin{vmatrix} y_0 & y_2 \\ y'_0 & y'_2 \end{vmatrix}, & \quad w_2 = z \begin{vmatrix} y_0 & y_3 \\ y'_0 & y'_3 \end{vmatrix} = z \begin{vmatrix} y_1 & y_2 \\ y'_1 & y'_2 \end{vmatrix}, \\ w_3 = \frac{z}{2} \begin{vmatrix} y_1 & y_3 \\ y'_1 & y'_3 \end{vmatrix}, & \quad w_4 = \frac{z}{2} \begin{vmatrix} y_2 & y_3 \\ y'_2 & y'_3 \end{vmatrix}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.6)$$

*Remark.* The inverse is not true in the following sense. If we take a MUM linear differential equation of order 5 with monodromy group  $O_5$ , then its 4th-order differential pullback is not necessarily MUM. An example to this is the 5th-order differential operator

$$D = \theta^5 - 6^6 z \left(\theta + \frac{1}{6}\right) \left(\theta + \frac{2}{6}\right) \left(\theta + \frac{3}{6}\right) \left(\theta + \frac{4}{6}\right) \left(\theta + \frac{5}{6}\right)$$

(which is a natural generalization of the 4th-order operator

$$D = \theta^4 - 5^5 z \left(\theta + \frac{1}{5}\right) \left(\theta + \frac{2}{5}\right) \left(\theta + \frac{3}{5}\right) \left(\theta + \frac{4}{5}\right)$$

corresponding to the Calabi–Yau differential equation).

*Proof.* The five functions in (3.6) are linearly independent solutions to (3.5). Developing their  $(\log z)$ -expansions (with the known structure of the Frobenius basis  $y_0, y_1, y_2, y_3$  to (2.1)) show that they form the Frobenius basis of (3.5), hence the latter differential equation is MUM.  $\square$

**Corollary** (Beukers’s relations). *We have*

$$2w_0w_4 - 2w_1w_3 + w_2^2 = 0, \quad 2w'_0w'_4 - 2w'_1w'_3 + w'^2_2 = 0. \quad (3.7)$$

*Proof.* Write

$$w^2_2 = z \begin{vmatrix} y_0 & y_3 \\ y'_0 & y'_3 \end{vmatrix} \cdot z \begin{vmatrix} y_1 & y_2 \\ y'_1 & y'_2 \end{vmatrix}.$$



Then the first identity is trivial by expanding. In the same vein we obtain the identity for the functions  $\tilde{w}_j$ , in which the second line of the determinant contains  $y'_i$ s replaced by  $y''_i$ s. Since  $w'_j = w_j/z + \tilde{w}_j$ , we finally arrive at the second identity in (3.7).  $\square$

*Remark.* Beukers proved the above relations for a concrete example of the 5th-order differential equation, which we discuss in the next section.

In the case of the 5th-order MUM differential equation (3.5) we can define analogues of a mirror map and a Yukawa coupling. Namely, we can define  $\tilde{z}(q)$  as the inverse of  $q(z) = \exp(w_1/w_0)$  and

$$\tilde{K}(q) = \tilde{N}_0 \cdot \frac{d^2}{dt^2}(w_2/w_0), \quad t = w_1/w_0.$$

Developing the last  $q$ -series as the Lambert series,

$$\tilde{K}(q) = \tilde{N}_0 + \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} \frac{l^2 \tilde{N}_l q^l}{1 - q^l} \quad (3.8)$$

(we put  $l^2$  instead of  $l^3$  here), we have the following experimental observation:  $\tilde{z}(q) \in K[[q]]$  and  $\tilde{N}_l \in K$ , where  $K$  is either  $\mathbb{Z}$  or  $\mathbb{Z}[1/p]$  for a certain prime  $p$  (the possibilities  $p = 3, 5, 7, 23$  occur).

#### 4. STRANGE INTEGRALITY RELATED TO $\zeta(4)$

In the work [Zu1], the following 3-term polynomial recursion is given:

$$(n+1)^5 A_{n+1} - 3(2n+1)(3n^2+3n+1)(15n^2+15n+4)A_n - 3n^3(3n-1)(3n+1)A_{n-1} = 0 \quad \text{for } n \geq 1.$$

If we take two linearly independent solutions  $\{A_n\}$  and  $\{B_n\}$  to the above recursion given by the initial data

$$A_0 = 1, \quad A_1 = 12, \quad \text{and} \quad B_0 = 0, \quad B_1 = 13,$$

then

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{B_n}{A_n} = \zeta(4) = \frac{\pi^4}{90}$$

(see [Zu1] for details). This recursion was previously proved in [Co] and [So] but without any indication of arithmetic properties of the sequences  $\{A_n\}$  and  $\{B_n\}$ . In [Zu1], it is proved that

$$D_n A_n, D_n^5 B_n \in \mathbb{Z}, \quad \text{where } D_n = \text{the least common multiple of } 1, 2, \dots, n,$$

and conjectured some stronger inclusions that were finally proved in [KR]. In particular, from [KR] we have the inclusions

$$A_n, D_n^4 B_n \in \mathbb{Z},$$

and even the following explicit formula (see also [Zu4]):

$$A_n = \sum_{j,k} \binom{n}{j}^2 \binom{n}{k}^2 \binom{n+j}{n} \binom{n+k}{n} \binom{j+k}{n},$$

where the binomial coefficients  $\binom{a}{b}$  are zero if  $b < 0$  or  $a < b$ .

A natural object related to the above recursion is the 5th-order MUM differential equation

$$\begin{aligned} & z^5(27z^2 + 270z - 1)w^{(5)} + z^4(405z^2 + 3375z - 10)w^{(4)} \\ & + z^3(1752z^2 + 11502z - 25)w^{(3)} + z^2(2412z^2 + 11259z - 15)w'' \\ & + z(816z^2 + 2130z - 1)w' + 12z(2z + 1)w = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (4.1)$$

Denote by  $w_0, w_1, w_2, w_3, w_4$  the Frobenius basis of (4.1) near  $z = 0$ , so that

$$w_0 = w_0(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} A_n z^n = 1 + 12z + 804z^2 + 88680z^3 + 12386340z^4 + \dots.$$

It was pointed out by F. Beukers [Be4] that the (Zariski closure of the) monodromy group of the differential equation (4.1) turns out to be  $O_5$ . This result (as well as identities (3.7) for the latter functions  $w_j$ s) are consequences of the following statement.

**Proposition 5.** *The 5th-order MUM differential equation (4.1) is constructed from the 4th-order MUM differential equation (2.1) with*

$$\begin{aligned} a_3 &= \frac{6(36z^2 + 315z - 1)}{z(27z^2 + 270z - 1)}, \\ a_2 &= \frac{10530z^4 + 180387z^3 + 759417z^2 - 4671z + 7}{z^2(27z^2 + 270z - 1)^2}, \\ a_1 &= \frac{96228z^6 + 2421009z^5 + 18416565z^4 + 49339854z^3 - 450054z^2 + 1107z - 1}{z^3(27z^2 + 270z - 1)^3}, \\ a_0 &= \frac{3(8748z^7 + 253692z^6 + 10875303z^5 - 37601010z^4 \\ & \quad + 13643328z^3 + 432135z^2 + 13224z + 11)}{z^3(27z^2 + 270z - 1)^4} \end{aligned}$$

by the algorithm of Section 3.

*Proof.* By direct computation (using **Maple**).  $\square$

*Remark.* The original proof by Beukers [Be5] of relations (3.7) for the differential equation (4.1) follows the principle ‘the proof is trivial once has found the explicit formula’. We reproduce the arguments from [Be5] here. The symmetric square of the 5th order equation (4.1) has order 14, one order less than expected 15. This means that there should be a homogeneous quadratic relation between the solutions  $w_0, \dots, w_4$  of (4.1). It suffices to find a relation which vanishes at  $z = 0$  of order

one higher than the local exponents given by the symmetric square of order 14. (Clearly, any non-trivial relation satisfies the 14th order equation; if we find one with one vanishing order higher than predicted by this 14th order equation, it must be the trivial solution.) If we replace the  $w_j$ s by their derivatives, we get quadratic forms that form a one-dimensional monodromy representation. Looking at the local exponents we can infer that they should be rational functions with poles only at infinity. Hence the expressions are polynomial of degree again given by the local exponents at infinity of the symmetric square.

Here are some observations related to the 5th-order differential equation (4.1) and its 4th-order pullback given in Proposition 5 (we denote by  $y_0, y_1, y_2, y_3$  the Frobenius basis of the latter differential equation).

**Observation 1.** We have  $y_0 \in \mathbb{Z}[1/2][[z]]$  but not  $y_0 \in \mathbb{Z}[[z]]$ , while  $z(q), K(q) \in \mathbb{Z}[[q]]$  and for  $K(q)$  we have the Lambert expansion (2.9) with  $N_l \in \mathbb{Z}$  but  $N_l/N_0 < 0$ .

**Observation 2.** We have  $w_0 = u_0^2$ , where

$$u_0 = 1 + 6z + 384z^2 + 42036z^3 + 5867226z^4 + \dots \in \mathbb{Z}[[z]].$$

**Observation 3.** One has the following generalized Beukers's relations: for  $k \geq 2$

$$2w_0^{(k)}w_4^{(k)} - 2w_1^{(k)}w_3^{(k)} + (w_2^{(k)})^2 = \sum_{\nu} c_{k,\nu}(9z)^{\nu},$$

where  $c_{k,\nu} \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

**Observation 4.** Put  $t = w_1/w_0$ ,  $q(z) = e^t = z + O(z^2)$  and denote by  $\tilde{z}(q)$  its inverse. Then  $q(z) \in \mathbb{Z}[[z]]$  and, hence,  $\tilde{z}(q) \in \mathbb{Z}[[q]]$ . Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned} q(z) &= z(1 + 15z + 1145z^2 + \dots)^3, \\ \tilde{z}(q) &= q(1 - 15q - 245q^2 - 20138q^3 - 2043703q^4 + \dots)^3. \end{aligned}$$

**Observation 5.** Expand  $w_0$  in Lambert series:

$$2w_0(z) = 2 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{n^3 L_n z^n}{1 - z^n}.$$

Then  $L_n \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $L_n > 0$ . What is an enumerative meaning of the numbers  $L_n$  (i.e., do they count some geometric objects)?

**Observation 6.** Write

$$\tilde{K}(q) = \frac{d^2}{dt^2}(w_2/w_0) = 1 + \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} \frac{l^2 \tilde{N}_l q^l}{1 - q^l}.$$

Then  $\tilde{N}_l \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $\tilde{N}_l > 0$ . What is an enumerative meaning of the numbers  $\tilde{N}_l$  (i.e., do they count some geometric objects)?

**Observation 7** (Kummer supercongruences). If we write  $w_0$  and  $\tilde{K}$  as functions of  $z$ ,

$$w_0(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} A(n)z^n, \quad \tilde{K}(q(z)) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} C(n)z^n,$$

then

$$A(np^r) \equiv A(np^{r-1}) \pmod{p^{3r}}, \quad C(np^r) \equiv C(np^{r-1}) \pmod{p^{2r}},$$

for primes  $p$  and  $(n, p) = 1$ ;  $r = 1, 2, \dots$ .

Recently the second author [Zu5] (see also Theorem 4 in [Zu2]), when approximating  $\text{Li}_3(z)$  (see definition (9.3) below), found two more *fourth order* examples related to simultaneous rational approximations to  $\zeta(2)$  and  $\zeta(3)$ . About the same time the first author discovered compact formulas

$$A_n = \sum_{k,l} \binom{n}{k}^2 \binom{n}{l}^2 \binom{k+l}{l} \binom{n+k}{n} \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots, \quad (4.2)$$

and

$$A_n = \binom{2n}{n} \sum_k \binom{n}{k}^2 \binom{n+k}{n} \binom{n+2k}{n}, \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots,$$

for generating series  $y_0(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} A_n z^n$  satisfying exactly the same differential equations. These examples are cases #195 and #209 in [AESZ, Table A]. The coefficients (4.2) is of the form similar to those in [BS2] (cf. case #26), and van Straten has confirmed that equation #195 indeed ‘comes from geometry’. Thus we have a direct link between number theory and geometry.

## 5. STRATEGY OF FINDING NEW CALABI–YAU EQUATIONS

How much do we know about Calabi–Yau differential equations? We discovered a list of 29 interesting cases taken from different papers on the subject; these cases initiated our systematization of Calabi–Yau equations and started the table in [AESZ]<sup>2</sup>. The first 14 cases in the table correspond to all known hypergeometric Calabi–Yau equations, while the origin of the remaining 15 known cases is due to certain variations of multiple hypergeometric series (or multiple binomial sums). The latter circumstance prompted us to look on those linear MUM differential equations, whose analytic solutions  $y_0(z) \in \mathbb{Z}[[z]]$  are single or multiple sums of certain hypergeometric terms. There is an algorithmic way, which is due to Gosper and Zeilberger known as *creative telescoping*, to find polynomial recursions for such sums [PWZ]. Unfortunately, the algorithm for multiple summations (being a part of the general *WZ-theory* developed by H. Wilf and D. Zeilberger [WZ]) is not implemented in `Maple` but solving auxiliary systems of linear equations is. The recursions may be easily transferred to linear differential equations satisfied by  $y_0(z)$ . That was our general recipe for verifying known cases #15–29, and then we continued our experiments by constructing several

---

<sup>2</sup>Unfortunately, we are not quite sure that the nice arithmetic properties of mirror maps and Yukawa couplings are really proved in all presented cases! In this sense, [AESZ, Table A] involves cases that are only expected to be ‘arithmetically nice’.

new cases, also in combination with other hypergeometric methods—quadratic transformations (Section 6), Hadamard products (Section 7) and other transformations (Section 8). In several cases the algorithm of creative telescoping led to reducible differential equations, but then we used `DEtools` implemented in `Maple` to factorize corresponding differential operators.

The way *a la Apéry* of producing new examples of the mirror maps and Yukawa couplings, described in the previous section seems to be very occasional: we do not really have other examples of rational approximations to ‘interesting’ constants (but see Section 10). Nevertheless, the method of finding Calabi–Yau equations by pulling back some ‘nice’ 5th-order linear differential equations works in several other situations as well. We stress that the 5th- to 4th-order reduction did not appear before in connection with problems of Calabi–Yau manifolds.

Our table in [AESZ] extends the list of 29 known cases and contains a sporadic list of more than two hundred Calabi–Yau (conjecturally) differential equations. The table is long but we have found many more equations that we decided not to include (not to stretch the reader’s patience too far!). In particular, we have many examples of type  $A_n = \sum_k (-1)^k 2^{n-2k} \binom{n}{2k} \binom{2k}{k} (\cdot)$ . The most unfortunate thing seems to be the fact that we cannot see any reasonable end of our list and, therefore, we do not expect to be much criticized by the reader for missing a hundred or more other interesting cases.

The analysis of [AESZ, Table A] shows that, besides the 14 hypergeometric functions (cases #1–14), we have a plenty of other ‘simple’ Calabi–Yau differential equations of type

$$\theta^4 - c_1 z(a\theta^2 + a\theta + b)P(\theta) + c_2 z^2 Q(\theta),$$

where  $P(\theta)$  is of the form  $(2\theta+1)^2$ ,  $(3\theta+1)(3\theta+2)$ ,  $(4\theta+1)(4\theta+3)$  or  $(6\theta+1)(6\theta+5)$ , and  $Q(\theta)$  splits into linear factors of similar shapes. Here  $a \in \{2, 3, 5, 7, 8, 9, 11, 13, 17\}$  and  $c_1, c_2$  are usually powers of 2 and (or) 3. We also have 5th-order differential equations similar to the the 4th-order in 2. Their 4th-order pullbacks (derived by the method of Section 3) are much more complicated. Case #32 seems to be even more complicated:

$$\begin{aligned} \theta^5 - 3z(2\theta+1)(3\theta^2+3\theta+1)(15\theta^2+15\theta+4) \\ - 3z^2(\theta+1)^3(3\theta+2)(3\theta+4) \end{aligned}$$

(this is the differential equation that started this paper). Then there are the cases, when both the 4th-order differential equation and the 5th-order equation (obtained by the wronskian construction) are very complicated. The corresponding linear differential operators usually appear as factors of higher order differential operators, for which we have no pattern at all.

One can suspect that the equations in the list from [AESZ, Table A] are Picard–Fuchs equations of one-dimensional families of Calabi–Yau threefolds. In many cases, such as the hypergeometric ones and the Hadamard products, this should not be hard to see. For other cases, such as the one related to  $\zeta(4)$ , this fact will be quite non-trivial, but perhaps all the more interesting. This is a reason of why the equations are named Calabi–Yau equations.

*Remark.* We are quite surprised by the enormous amount of the 4th-order linear differential equations with (expectedly) nice arithmetic properties. After discovering equation #9, the first author for a long time thought that there were no more examples but #1–14. Then after finding the papers [BS1], [BS2] and examples #15–28 there, it was still hard to find any new cases. The use of the Gosper–Zeilberger algorithm changed this drastically. For a while we thought that whenever  $A_n$  was a binomial sum (even multiple) and it gave a 4th-order MUM differential equation, then we automatically got an integer mirror map  $z(q)$  and the Yukawa coupling  $K(q)$  as in (2.9) with integers  $N_l$ . There is, however, the following example: the generating series  $y_0 = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} A_n z^n$ , where

$$A_n = \sum_k (-1)^k 4^{n-4k} \binom{n}{4k} \frac{(5k)!}{k!^5},$$

satisfies a 4th-order MUM differential equation with polynomial coefficients of degree 8; the equation produces the non-integer mirror map  $z(q)$  (actually,  $z(q) \in \mathbb{Z}[1/2][[q]]$ ) but the Yukawa coupling has integers  $N_l$ . There are more examples, also for the cases of non-integers  $N_l$ ; we indicate one in Section 7 below.

## 6. QUADRATIC TRANSFORMATIONS AND MIRROR MAPS

A purely analytic, hypergeometric machinery—quadratic and higher-order transformations—may be put forward for constructing new examples from the old ones. The method powerfully works in the case of 2nd- and 3rd-order differential equations, when we have to substitute a suitable modular function in place of variable  $z$ ; see, for example, [HM].

Our starting point is the existence of quadratic transformations for  ${}_2F_1$ - and  ${}_3F_2$ -series, like

$${}_2F_1\left(\begin{matrix} a, & b \\ & 1+a-b \end{matrix} \middle| z\right) = (1-z)^{-a} \cdot {}_2F_1\left(\begin{matrix} \frac{1}{2}a, & \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2}a-b \\ & 1+a-b \end{matrix} \middle| -\frac{4z}{(1-z)^2}\right) \quad (6.1)$$

due to Gauß and

$$\begin{aligned} & {}_3F_2\left(\begin{matrix} a, & b, & c \\ & 1+a-b, & 1+a-c \end{matrix} \middle| z\right) \\ &= (1-z)^{-a} \cdot {}_3F_2\left(\begin{matrix} \frac{1}{2}a, & \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2}a, & 1+a-b-c \\ & 1+a-b, & 1+a-c \end{matrix} \middle| -\frac{4z}{(1-z)^2}\right) \end{aligned} \quad (6.2)$$

due to Whipple. Substituting  $a = b = c = \frac{1}{2}$  in them, we get algebraic relations between different mirrors that are necessarily *modular* maps in these cases. These quadratic transformations have a natural generalization to the case of higher dimensional hypergeometric series (see [Zu3], Sections 6 and 7). Similar but particular results are given in the following statement.

**Proposition 6.** *The following quadratic transformations are available for  ${}_4F_3$ -series:*

$$\begin{aligned} & {}_4F_3\left( \begin{matrix} a, b, c, d \\ 1+a-b, 1+a-c, 1+a-d \end{matrix} \middle| z \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{(1+z)^a} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\frac{1}{2}a)_n (\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2}a)_n}{(1+a-b)_n (1+a-c)_n} \left( \frac{4z}{(1+z)^2} \right)^n \\ & \quad \times \sum_{\nu=0}^n \frac{(b)_\nu (c)_\nu (1+a-b-c)_{n-\nu}}{\nu! (n-\nu)! (1+a-d)_\nu} \end{aligned} \quad (6.3)$$

$$\begin{aligned} &= \frac{1}{(1-z)^a} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\frac{1}{2}a)_n}{(1+a-b)_n} \left( -\frac{4z}{(1-z)^2} \right)^n \sum_{\mu=0}^n \frac{(b)_\mu (\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2}a)_\mu (\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2}a - b)_{n-\mu}}{(n-\mu)! (1+a-c)_\mu} \\ & \quad \times \sum_{\nu=0}^{\mu} \frac{(c)_\nu}{\nu! (\mu-\nu)! (1+a-d)_\nu} (-1)^\nu. \end{aligned} \quad (6.4)$$

*Proof.* Writing

$$\begin{aligned} & {}_4F_3\left( \begin{matrix} a, b, c, d \\ 1+a-b, 1+a-c, 1+a-d \end{matrix} \middle| z \right) \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a)_n (b)_n (c)_n}{n! (1+a-b)_n (1+a-c)_n} (-z)^n \cdot {}_2F_1\left( \begin{matrix} -n, a+n \\ 1+a-d \end{matrix} \middle| 1 \right) \\ &= \sum_{\nu=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^\nu}{\nu! (1+a-d)_\nu} \sum_{n=\nu}^{\infty} \frac{(a)_{\nu+n} (b)_n (c)_n}{(n-\nu)! (1+a-b)_n (1+a-c)_n} (-z)^n \\ &= \sum_{\nu=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a)_{2\nu} (b)_\nu (c)_\nu}{\nu! (1+a-b)_\nu (1+a-c)_\nu (1+a-d)_\nu} z^\nu \\ & \quad \times {}_3F_2\left( \begin{matrix} a+2\nu, b+\nu, c+\nu \\ 1+a-b+\nu, 1+a-c+\nu \end{matrix} \middle| -z \right). \end{aligned} \quad (6.5)$$

To the latter  ${}_3F_2$ -series we apply the quadratic transformation (6.2) and reorder summations to get (6.3).

For the proof of (6.4), we proceed as in (6.5) to deduce

$$\begin{aligned} & {}_3F_2\left( \begin{matrix} a, b, c \\ 1+a-b, 1+a-c \end{matrix} \middle| z \right) \\ &= \sum_{\lambda=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a)_{2\lambda} (b)_\lambda}{\lambda! (1+a-b)_\lambda (1+a-c)_\lambda} z^\lambda \cdot {}_2F_1\left( \begin{matrix} a+2\lambda, b+\lambda \\ 1+a-b+\lambda \end{matrix} \middle| -z \right). \end{aligned} \quad (6.6)$$

To the inner  ${}_2F_1$ -series we now apply the transformation (6.1) to get, as before, the double series for the left-hand side of (6.6). Then substituting the resulting formula for the  ${}_3F_2$ -series into (6.5) we arrive at the desired identity (6.4).  $\square$

Plugging in  $a = b = c = d = \frac{1}{2}$  we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \binom{2n}{n}^4 \left(\frac{z}{2^8}\right)^n &= (1+z)^{-1/2} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} A_n^{(+)} \left(\frac{z}{2^8(1+z)^2}\right)^n \\ &= (1-z)^{-1/2} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} A_n^{(-)} \left(-\frac{z}{2^8(1-z)^2}\right)^n, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$A_n^{(+)} = \frac{(4n)!}{(2n)!n!^2} \sum_{\nu=0}^n 2^{2(n-\nu)} \binom{2\nu}{\nu}^2 \binom{2(n-\nu)}{n-\nu}, \quad (6.7)$$

$$\begin{aligned} A_n^{(-)} &= 2^{2n} \frac{\prod_{j=0}^{n-1} (1+4j)}{n!} \sum_{\mu=0}^n 2^{4(n-\mu)} \binom{2\mu}{\mu} \frac{\prod_{j=0}^{n-\mu-1} (1+4j)}{(n-\mu)!} \frac{\prod_{j=0}^{\mu-1} (3+4j)}{\mu!} \\ &\quad \times \sum_{\nu=0}^{\mu} 2^{2(\mu-\nu)} \binom{2\nu}{\nu} \binom{\mu}{\nu} (-1)^\nu. \end{aligned} \quad (6.8)$$

The resulting series  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} A_n^{(+)} z^n$  and  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} A_n^{(-)} z^n$  are solutions of 4th-order linear differential equations. They gave two new examples (cases #30 and #31) of differential equations producing mirror maps and Yukawa couplings with desired integrality properties. It seems to be an interesting problem to find quadratic and high-order transformations for other cases from [AESZ, Table A].

Besides hypergeometric transformations, there is also an ‘obvious’ way to produce infinitely many linear MUM differential equations starting with the only one such example (1.1).

**Proposition 7.** *Let  $y = y(z)$  be a generic solution of equation (1.1) of order  $s$  with coefficients in  $\mathbb{C}(z)$  and let  $u = u(z)$  be any function satisfying  $u'/u \in \mathbb{C}(z)$ . Then the function  $w = y/u$  is a solution of the linear differential equation of order  $s$  with rational coefficients, and this equation depends only on (1.1) but not on its solution  $y$ .*

*Proof.* Substituting  $y = uw$  in (1.1) we get the equation

$$(uw)^{(s)} + a_{s-1}(z)(uw)^{(s-1)} + \dots + a_1(z)(uw)' + a_0(z)uw = 0 \quad (6.9)$$

in  $w$  unknown. Developing the derivatives by the formulas

$$(uw)^{(k)} = \sum_{j=0}^k \binom{k}{j} u^{(j)} w^{(k-j)}, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots, s,$$

and dividing the left-hand side in (6.9) by  $u$ , we obtain the  $s$ th-order linear differential equation for  $w$  with rational coefficients since  $u^{(j)}/u \in \mathbb{C}(z)$  for any  $j = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ .  $\square$

By Proposition 7, taking a known example of a Calabi–Yau equation with the corresponding series  $y_0 = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} A_n z^n \in \mathbb{Z}[[z]]$  and choosing any  $u \in 1 + z\mathbb{Z}[[z]]$  with



the property  $u'/u \in \mathbb{Q}(z)$ , we see that  $w_0 = y_0/u$  is in  $\mathbb{Z}[[z]]$  and  $w = y/u$  also satisfies a Calabi–Yau equation, with the same mirror map  $z(q)$  and Yukawa coupling  $K(q)$ , since  $w_j/w_0 = y_j/y_0$  for  $j = 1, 2, 3$ . For instance, the choice  $u = \sqrt{1 - 4pz}$ , where  $p$  is an arbitrary integer, leads to an infinite family of Calabi–Yau equations for the sequences

$$\widehat{A}_n = \sum_{k=0}^n p^{n-k} \binom{2n-2k}{n-k} A_k.$$

Clearly, examples of such type are not presented in Appendix A.

We also found experimentally another (doubly) infinite classes of Calabi–Yau differential equations depending on positive integer parameters  $p$  and  $r$ .

**Proposition 8.** *Given  $A_n$ , one of the cases presented in [AESZ, Table A] with the corresponding Yukawa coupling  $K(q)$ , define*

$$\widehat{A}_n = \sum_k p^{n-rk} \binom{n}{rk} A_k.$$

Then  $\widehat{y}_0 = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \widehat{A}_n z^n$  satisfies a 4th-order MUM differential equation with Yukawa coupling  $\widehat{K}(q) = K(q^r)$ .

*Remark.* It can occur that the mirror map  $\widehat{z}(q)$  does not lie in  $\mathbb{Z}[[q]]$  (but the denominators of its coefficients are necessarily divisors of powers of  $r$ ).

*Proof.* We only give a sketch of the proof, without indicating computational details. Suppose that  $D$  is the linear 4th-order differential operator annihilating the given series  $y_0(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} A_n z^n$  and  $y_0, y_1, y_2, y_3$  is the Frobenius basis of the differential equation  $Dy = 0$ . Change the variable  $z \mapsto Z(z) = (z/(1-pz))^r$ ; then substituting

$$\delta = z \frac{d}{dz} = \frac{r}{1-pz} \cdot Z \frac{d}{dZ}$$

into the differential equation  $Dy = 0$  leads to a new 4th-order MUM differential equation that annihilates  $Y_0(z) = y_0(Z(z))$ . There is no difficulty in computing the Frobenius basis of the new differential equation (cf. (1.2)): it is

$$Y_j(z) = r^{-j} y_j(Z(z)), \quad j = 0, 1, 2, 3.$$

Therefore,  $T(z) = Y_1(z)/Y_0(z) = \frac{1}{r} t(Z(z))$  and the new mirror map  $Z(q)$  is related to the old one  $z(q)$  in accordance with the formula

$$z(q^r) = \left( \frac{Z(q)}{1-pZ(q)} \right)^r.$$

Finally,

$$\frac{d^2}{dT^2} \left( \frac{Y_2}{Y_0} \right) = K(q^r).$$

Applying now the composition

$$y(z) \mapsto \tilde{y}(z) = \frac{1}{1-pz} \cdot y(Z(z)) = \frac{1}{1-pz} \cdot y\left(\left(\frac{z}{1-pz}\right)^r\right)$$

with the help of Proposition 7 we conclude that the differential equation for  $\tilde{y}(z)$  is of order 4 and MUM, and the corresponding Yukawa coupling is  $K(q^r)$ . It remains to verify that

$$\tilde{y}_0(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \tilde{A}_n z^n = \frac{1}{1-pz} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} A_n \left(\frac{z}{1-pz}\right)^{rn} = \frac{1}{1-pz} \cdot y_0\left(\left(\frac{z}{1-pz}\right)^r\right). \quad \square$$

*Remark.* As pointed out to us by Beukers, Propositions 7 and 8 might be discarded by choosing a normalization for Calabi–Yau differential equations. Natural requirements are as follows:

- (a) the smallest local exponent at the finite singularities is zero;
- (b) the point  $z = \infty$  is a singularity.

However, the propositions remain useful if these conditions are abandoned.

## 7. HADAMARD PRODUCTS

Using the algorithm of creative telescoping we found almost a hundred new Calabi–Yau differential equations. Then D. van Straten suggested that using Hadamard products of solutions to ‘nice’ 2nd-order equations could give required 4th-order equations. Surprisingly, this was also the case for many of the examples we had found just by accident.

Let

$$u = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_n z^n, \quad v = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n z^n$$

be two  $D$ -finite (i.e., satisfying a linear differential equation of finite order with polynomial coefficients) power series. Then the Hadamard product

$$y = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n z^n = u * v = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_n c_n z^n \tag{7.1}$$

is also  $D$ -finite (see [Sta, p. 194]). If  $D_u$  and  $D_v$  are linear differential operators annihilating  $u$  and  $v$ , respectively, by  $D_u * D_v$  we denote the differential operator annihilating (7.1). We do not know a general algorithm for computing  $D_u * D_v$ , but for a given pair of operators  $D_u, D_v$  the problem is easily solved by linear algebra arguments. We have found about 30 second order MUM differential equations coming from binomial coefficients; Zagier’s manuscript [Za] provides us with 36 second order examples with ‘nice’ arithmetic properties, although a closed binomial-sum formula is not known in all cases. Consider the following examples for which we were successful in finding the differential equations for their Hadamard products:

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{(a)} \quad A_n &= \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k}^3, & D &= \theta^2 - z(7\theta^2 + 7\theta + 2) - 8z^2(\theta + 1)^2; \\
\text{(b)} \quad A_n &= \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k}^2 \binom{n+k}{k}, & D &= \theta^2 - z(11\theta^2 + 11\theta + 3) - z^2(\theta + 1)^2; \\
\text{(c)} \quad A_n &= \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k}^2 \binom{2k}{k}, & D &= \theta^2 - z(10\theta^2 + 10\theta + 3) + 9z^2(\theta + 1)^2; \\
\text{(d)} \quad A_n &= \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} \binom{2k}{k} \binom{2n-2k}{n-k}, & D &= \theta^2 - 4z(3\theta^2 + 3\theta + 1) + 32z^2(\theta + 1)^2; \\
\text{(e)} \quad A_n &= \sum_{k=0}^n 4^{n-k} \binom{2k}{k}^2 \binom{2n-2k}{n-k}, & D &= \theta^2 - 4z(8\theta^2 + 8\theta + 3) + 256(\theta + 1)^2.
\end{aligned}$$

Taking all possible Hadamard products of these examples (including squares) we get 15 new differential equations. Squares will be of degree 5 and the others of degree 8. The products are listed in #100–107, 113–115 and 120–123.

We may also extend the above list by the following examples (f)–(h) due to D. Zagier [Za] and (i), (j) due to C. van Enckevort and D. van Straten [ES]:

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{(f)} \quad A_n &= \sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor n/3 \rfloor} (-1)^k 3^{n-3k} \binom{n}{3k} \frac{(3k)!}{k!^3}, & D &= \theta^2 - 3z(3\theta^2 + 3\theta + 1) + 27z^2(\theta + 1)^2; \\
\text{(g)} & & D &= \theta^2 - z(17\theta^2 + 17\theta + 6) + 72z^2(\theta + 1)^2; \\
\text{(h)} \quad A_n &= 27^n \sum_k (-1)^k \binom{-2/3}{k} \binom{-1/3}{n-k}^2, \\
& D = \theta^2 - 3z(18\theta^2 + 18\theta + 7) + 729z^2(\theta + 1)^2; \\
\text{(i)} \quad A_n &= 64^n \sum_k (-1)^k \binom{-3/4}{k} \binom{-1/4}{n-k}^2, \\
& D = \theta^2 - 4z(32\theta^2 + 32\theta + 13) + 4096z^2(\theta + 1)^2; \\
\text{(j)} \quad A_n &= 432^n \sum_k (-1)^k \binom{-5/6}{k} \binom{-1/6}{n-k}^2, \\
& D = \theta^2 - 12z(72\theta^2 + 72\theta + 31) + 186624z^2(\theta + 1)^2.
\end{aligned}$$

In case (g), no explicit formulas for  $A_n$  is known. In other cases the explicit formulas for  $A_n$  were found in the following way.

The Legendre function

$$P_a(z) = {}_2F_1\left(-a, \quad a+1 \quad \middle| \quad \frac{1-z}{2}\right)$$

satisfies the differential equation

$$(1-z^2) \frac{d^2y}{dz^2} - 2z \frac{dy}{dz} + a(a+1)y = 0.$$

Therefore, the function

$$y_0(z) = \frac{1}{1-z} P_a \left( \frac{1+z}{1-z} \right)$$

is annihilated by the differential operator

$$\theta^2 - z(2\theta^2 + 2\theta + a^2 + a + 1) + z^2(\theta + 1)^2$$

(see [Za]). We have

$$(1-z)^a P_a \left( \frac{1+z}{1-z} \right) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \binom{a}{n}^2 z^n$$

which gives

$$\frac{1}{1-cz} P_a \left( \frac{1+cz}{1-cz} \right) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} A_n z^n,$$

where

$$A_n = c^n \sum_k (-1)^k \binom{-1-a}{k} \binom{a}{n-k}^2.$$

Putting  $a = -1/3, -1/4, -1/6$  for a suitable choice of  $c$  we get cases (h)–(j).

Let further

$$\begin{aligned} \text{(A)} \quad A_n &= \binom{2n}{n}^2, & D &= \theta^2 - 4z(2\theta + 1)^2; \\ \text{(B)} \quad A_n &= \frac{(3n)!}{n!^3}, & D &= \theta^2 - 3z(3\theta + 1)(3\theta + 2); \\ \text{(C)} \quad A_n &= \frac{(4n)!}{n!^2(2n)!}, & D &= \theta^2 - 4z(4\theta + 1)(4\theta + 3); \\ \text{(D)} \quad A_n &= \frac{(6n)!}{n!(2n)!(3n)!}, & D &= \theta^2 - 12z(6\theta + 1)(6\theta + 5). \end{aligned}$$

One can prove the following formula for the Hadamard product by the method presented below ( $P$  and  $Q$  are quadratic polynomials and  $c$  is an arbitrary constant):

$$(\theta^2 - zP(\theta) - cz^2(\theta + 1)^2) * (\theta^2 - zQ(\theta)) = \theta^4 - zP(\theta)Q(\theta) - cz^2Q(\theta + 1)Q(\theta).$$

Then we get the following table of cases<sup>3</sup> from [AESZ, Table A] corresponding to Hadamard products:

---

<sup>3</sup>We will not write out in [AESZ, Table A] the equations in cases #133–143, since the reader can easily derive them himself by the formula above. We have, however, checked that one gets integers everywhere.

	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
(a)	45	15	68	62
(b)	25	24	51	63
(c)	58	70	69	64
(d)	36	48	38	65
(e)	111	110	30	112
(f)	133	134	135	136
(g)	137	138	139	140
(h)	141	142	$\emptyset$	143

The empty set at place (C)\*(h) means that the Yukawa coupling  $K(q)$  is constant.

In our computations we applied the following method communicated to us by A. Meurman. The method is, in a sense, a discrete analogue of the wronskian formalism.

*Method to find Hadamard products.* Assume

$$A_{n+2} = P_1(n)A_{n+1} + Q_1(n)A_n, \quad B_{n+2} = P_2(n)B_{n+1} + Q_2(n)B_n,$$

and we want to find a recursion formula for  $C_n = A_n B_n$ . For this, define

$$\begin{aligned} R_j(n) &= P_j(n+1)P_j(n) + Q_j(n+1), & S_j(n) &= P_j(n+1)Q_j(n), & j &= 1, 2, \\ U_j(n) &= P_j(n+2)R_j(n) + Q_j(n+2)P_j(n), \\ V_j(n) &= P_j(n+2)S_j(n) + Q_j(n+2)Q_j(n), & j &= 1, 2, \end{aligned}$$

and take

$$\begin{aligned} T_2(n) &= R_2 S_1 U_1 V_2 - R_1 S_2 U_2 V_1, & T_3(n) &= P_1 Q_2 U_2 V_1 - P_2 Q_1 U_1 V_2, \\ T_4(n) &= R_1 S_2 P_2 Q_1 - R_2 S_1 P_1 Q_2, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$W_0(n) = Q_1 Q_2 T_2 + S_1 S_2 T_3 + V_1 V_2 T_4, \quad W_1(n) = P_1 P_2 T_2 + R_1 R_2 T_3 + U_1 U_2 T_4.$$

Then

$$T_4(n)C_{n+4} + T_3(n)C_{n+3} + T_2(n)C_{n+2} - W_1(n)C_{n+1} - W_0(n)C_n = 0.$$

When  $A_n = B_n$ , the method has to be modified. Assume

$$A_{n+2} = P(n)A_{n+1} + Q(n)A_n,$$

where  $P(n)$  and  $Q(n)$  are rational. Then

$$A_{n+3} = R(n)A_{n+1} + S(n)A_n,$$

where

$$R(n) = P(n+1)P(n) + Q(n+1), \quad S(n) = P(n+1)Q(n).$$

Letting  $C_n = A_n^2$  and  $T(n) = R(n)Q(n) - P(n)S(n)$ , we see that

$$P(n)Q(n)C_{n+3} - R(n)S(n)C_{n+2} - P(n)R(n)T(n)C_{n+1} + Q(n)S(n)T(n)C_n = 0.$$

The procedure leads usually (in cases (a)–(h)) to a 8th order differential equation (6th order if  $A_n = B_n$ ) that factors into a 4th order equation. Cases #167–179 were computed by this way.

Actually we found four 2nd order differential equations somewhat suitable for Hadamard products:

$$(k) \quad A_n = 9^n \sum_k (-1)^k \binom{-1/3}{k} \binom{-2/3}{n-k} \binom{n}{k}, \quad D = \theta^2 - 3z(2\theta + 1) - 81z^2(\theta + 1)^2;$$

$$(l) \quad A_n = 8^n \sum_k (-1)^k \binom{-1/4}{k} \binom{-3/4}{n-k} \binom{n}{k}, \quad D = \theta^2 - 4z(2\theta + 1) - 64z^2(\theta + 1)^2;$$

$$(m) \quad A_n = 36^n \sum_k (-1)^k \binom{-1/6}{k} \binom{-5/6}{n-k} \binom{n}{k},$$

$$D = \theta^2 - 24z(2\theta + 1) - 1296z^2(\theta + 1)^2;$$

$$(n) \quad A_n = 4^n \sum_k (-1)^k \binom{-1/2}{k} \binom{-1/2}{n-k} \binom{n}{k}, \quad D = \theta^2 - 16z^2(\theta + 1)^2.$$

Only (n) gives Calabi–Yau differential equations when we form (a) \* (n), (b) \* (n), ..., (n) \* (n) but this is just the substitution  $z \mapsto z^{1/2}$ . The Hadamard squares of (k), (l), (m) correspond to Calabi–Yau differential equations found from the formula

$$\begin{aligned} & (\theta^2 - Az(2\theta + 1) - Bz^2(\theta + 1)^2)^{*2} \\ &= \theta^4 - z(2B\theta^4 + A^2(2\theta + 1)^2) - Bz^2(2\theta + 1)(2B\theta^2 + 2B\theta + 4A^2 + B) \\ & \quad + B^2z^3(2B\theta^4 + 8B\theta^3 + (4A^2 + 12B)\theta^2 + (4A^2 + B)\theta + A^2 + 2B) \\ & \quad - B^4z^4(\theta + 1)^4. \end{aligned}$$

As a curiosity we mention that in the case (m) \* (m) we have

$$q = z(1 + 21z + 3840z^2 + \dots)^{12960},$$

where the series in the brackets is expected to lie in  $\mathbb{Z}[[z]]$ .

Of all non-square Hadamard products only (k) \* (m) gives a Calabi–Yau equation

$$\begin{aligned} & \theta^4 - 4 \cdot 18z(2\theta + 1)^2 - 2 \cdot 18^3z^2(27\theta^4 + 36\theta^3 + 74\theta^2 + 76\theta + 24) \\ & \quad - 32 \cdot 18^5z^3(2\theta + 1) + 2 \cdot 18^7z^4(27\theta^4 + 72\theta^3 + 128\theta^2 + 72\theta + 13) \\ & \quad + 4 \cdot 18^9z^5(2\theta + 1)^2 - 18^{12}z^6(\theta + 1)^4. \end{aligned}$$

To get integer instanton numbers we need to make the substitution

$$\tilde{K}(q) = K(q/3) = 1 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{k^3 \tilde{N}_k q^k}{1 - q^k};$$

then

$$\tilde{N}_1 = -48, \quad \tilde{N}_2 = -126, \quad \tilde{N}_3 = -2864, \quad \tilde{N}_4 = 77958, \quad \tilde{N}_5 = 4942032, \quad \dots$$

We can also get 5th order equations by taking the Hadamard product of (A)–(D) with 3rd order equations of the following type:

$$(\alpha) \quad A_n = \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k}^2 \binom{2k}{k} \binom{2n-2k}{n-k},$$

$$D = \theta^3 - 2z(2\theta + 1)(5\theta^2 + 5\theta + 2) + 64z^2(\theta + 1)^3;$$

$$(\beta) \quad A_n = \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{2k}{k}^2 \binom{2n-2k}{n-k}^2,$$

$$D = \theta^3 - 8z(2\theta + 1)(2\theta^2 + 2\theta + 1) + 256z^2(\theta + 1)^3;$$

$$(\gamma) \quad A_n = \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k}^2 \binom{n+k}{k}^2,$$

$$D = \theta^3 - z(2\theta + 1)(17\theta^2 + 17\theta + 5) + z^2(\theta + 1)^3;$$

$$(\delta) \quad A_n = \sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor n/3 \rfloor} (-1)^k 3^{n-3k} \binom{n}{3k} \binom{n+k}{k} \frac{(3k)!}{k!^3},$$

$$D = \theta^3 - z(2\theta + 1)(7\theta^2 + 7\theta + 3) + 81z^2(\theta + 1)^3;$$

$$(\epsilon) \quad A_n = \sum_k \binom{n}{k}^2 \binom{2k}{n}^2,$$

$$D = \theta^3 - 4z(2\theta + 1)(3\theta^2 + 3\theta + 1) + 16z^2(\theta + 1)^3;$$

$$(\zeta) \quad D = \theta^3 - 3z(2\theta + 1)(3\theta^2 + 3\theta + 1) - 27z^2(\theta + 1)^3;$$

$$(\eta) \quad D = \theta^3 - z(2\theta + 1)(11\theta^2 + 11\theta + 5) + 125z^2(\theta + 1)^3,$$

$$(\vartheta) \quad A_n = (-64)^n \sum_k \binom{-1/2}{k} \binom{-1/2}{n-k}^3 = 64^n \sum_k \binom{-1/4}{k}^2 \binom{-3/4}{n-k}^2,$$

$$D = \theta^3 - 8z(2\theta + 1)(8\theta^2 + 8\theta + 5) + 4096z^2(\theta + 1)^3,$$

$$(\iota) \quad A_n = 27^n \sum_k \binom{-1/3}{k}^2 \binom{-2/3}{n-k}^2,$$

$$D = \theta^3 - 3z(2\theta + 1)(9\theta^2 + 9\theta + 5) + 729z^2(\theta + 1)^3,$$

$$(\kappa) \quad A_n = 432^n \sum_k \binom{-1/6}{k}^2 \binom{-5/6}{n-k}^2,$$

$$D = \theta^3 - 24z(2\theta + 1)(18\theta^2 + 18\theta + 13) + 186624z^2(\theta + 1)^3.$$

The last 6 examples were found in [ES] (without formulas for  $A_n$ ).

The general formula for the Hadamard product in this case is as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} & (\theta^2 - z(2\theta + 1)P(\theta) - cz^2(\theta + 1)^3) * (\theta^2 - zQ(\theta)) \\ & = \theta^5 - z(2\theta + 1)P(\theta)Q(\theta) - cz^2(\theta + 1)Q(\theta + 1)Q(\theta) \end{aligned}$$

and we obtain the following table of corresponding cases<sup>4</sup>:

	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
( $\alpha$ )	39	61	37	66
( $\beta$ )	40	49	43	67
( $\gamma$ )	44	53	52	149
( $\delta$ )	150	151	152	153

In addition, we have another type of Hadamard products. If  $\{A_n\}$  is given by any of the cases ( $\alpha$ )–( $\epsilon$ ) with equation

$$\theta^3 - z(2\theta + 1)P(\theta) + cz^2(\theta + 1)^3,$$

then the generating series for the sequence  $\{\binom{2n}{n}A_n\}$  is annihilated by the 4th-order differential equation

$$\theta^4 - 2z(2\theta + 1)^2P(\theta) + 4cz^2(\theta + 1)^2(2\theta + 1)(2\theta + 3).$$

Thus we get cases #16, 35, 29, 41, and 42, respectively.

**Proposition 9.** *Any differential equation of type  $D'y = 0$ , where*

$$D' = \theta^3 - z(2\theta + 1)(a\theta^2 + a\theta + b) + cz^2(\theta + 1)^3,$$

*is the symmetric square of  $Dy = 0$ , where*

$$D = \theta^2 - z(2a\theta^2 + a\theta + \frac{b}{2}) + cz^2(\theta + \frac{1}{2})^2. \quad (7.2)$$

*Proof.* In [Al2] it is proved that the differential equation

$$y^{(3)} + s_2(z)y'' + s_1(z)y' + s_0(z)y = 0 \quad (7.3)$$

is a symmetric square of

$$u'' + p_1(z)u' + p_0(z)u = 0 \quad (7.4)$$

---

<sup>4</sup>We computed all the other pullbacks of the Hadamard products (one of those has trivial  $K(q)$ ) and found no new instanton numbers: they are all identical with earlier known Hadamard products of type  $(a) * (b)$  etc. The pullbacks are contained in the database [En].



if and only if

$$\frac{s_1 s_2}{3} - \frac{2s_2^3}{27} + \frac{s_1'}{2} - \frac{s_2''}{6} - \frac{s_2 s_2'}{3} - s_0 = 0,$$

and then

$$p_1 = \frac{s_2}{3}, \quad p_0 = \frac{s_1}{4} - \frac{s_2^2}{18} - \frac{s_2'}{12}.$$

Here we get

$$s_0 = \frac{-b + cz}{1 - 2az + cz^2}, \quad s_1 = \frac{1 - (6a + 2b)z + 7cz^2}{1 - 2az + cz^2}, \quad s_2 = \frac{3(1 - 3az + 2cz^2)}{1 - 2az + cz^2},$$

which satisfy the identity above. We also get

$$p_1 = \frac{1 - 3az + 2cz^2}{z(1 - 2az + cz^2)}, \quad p_0 = \frac{-2b + cz}{4z(1 - 2az + cz^2)},$$

and the operator  $d^2/dz^2 + p_1(z) d/dz + p_0(z)$  is easily converted to (7.2).  $\square$

*Remark.* The Frobenius basis of the 3rd order differential equation (7.3) is

$$y_0 = u_0^2, \quad y_1 = u_0 u_1, \quad y_2 = \frac{1}{2} u_1^2,$$

where  $u_0, u_1$  form the Frobenius basis of (7.4). Hence

$$\frac{y_2}{y_0} = \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{u_1}{u_0} \right)^2 = \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{y_1}{y_0} \right)^2 = \frac{t^2}{2}$$

and

$$K(q) = \frac{d^2}{dt^2} \left( \frac{y_2}{y_0} \right) = 1 = \text{constant}.$$

The substitution  $z \mapsto 4z$  makes also  $u_0 = y_0^{1/2}$  to have integer coefficients. Since  $\theta$  is invariant under this substitution we get

$$D \mapsto \theta^2 - z(8a\theta^2 + 4a\theta + 2b) + 4cz^2(2\theta + 1)^2.$$

Finding such equations is equivalent to finding integer solutions to the recursion

$$(n + 1)^2 u_{n+1} = 2(a \cdot 2n(2n + 1) + 2b)u_n - 4c(2n - 1)^2 u_{n-1}$$

which is similar to Zagier's computation in [Za].

On the other hand, we found that only 2nd-order differential operators of type  $\theta^2 - zP(\theta) + cz^2(\theta + 1)^2$ , where  $P(\theta)$  is a polynomial of degree 2, were useful for producing 4th-order Calabi–Yau by taking the Hadamard product of two of them. This, however, coincides with Zagier's list [Za] of integer solutions to

$$(n + 1)^2 A_{n+1} - an(n + 1)A_n + bn^2 A_{n-1} = \lambda A_n.$$

Zagier's list has 36 entries. It contains our cases (a)–(h), (A)–(C) (but not (D) since the coefficients are too large). If  $A_n$  is a polynomial in  $n$  (that corresponds to 8 entries in the list), then the corresponding 2nd-order differential equation factors. Excluding these polynomial cases, hypergeometric cases (A)–(C) and terminating cases, we found that certain remaining cases contain examples that we do not really like. For instance, the recurrence

$$(n+1)^2 A_{n+1} - 32n(n+1)A_n + 256A_{n-1} = 28A_n$$

admits the following integer-valued solution:

$$A_n = 2^{4n} \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{1/2}{n-k}^2 \binom{k+1/2}{k} = \sum_{k=0}^n 2^{2k} \frac{2k+1}{(2n-2k+1)^2} \binom{2n-2k}{n-k}^2 \binom{2k}{k};$$

the 4th-order differential operator annihilating the series  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \binom{2n}{n}^2 A_n z^n$  is as follows:

$$\theta^4 - 16z(2\theta+1)^2(8\theta^2+8\theta+7) + 4096z^2(2\theta+1)^2(2\theta+3)^2$$

and the corresponding differential equation satisfies relation (2.2); then computations show that  $z(q) \notin \mathbb{Z}[[q]]$  (and  $N_l$  in (2.9) are not integers). This is an example promised in Remark of Section 5.

## 8. MORE TRANSFORMATIONS

*Transformations of the first 14 hypergeometric cases.* The success with negative rational numbers in binomial coefficients caused us to make the following experiment. Taking the hypergeometric operator in case #9,

$$\theta^4 - 12^6 z \left(\theta + \frac{1}{12}\right) \left(\theta + \frac{5}{12}\right) \left(\theta + \frac{7}{12}\right) \left(\theta + \frac{11}{12}\right),$$

consider

$$A_n = 1728^n \binom{2n}{n} \sum_k \binom{-1/12}{k} \binom{-5/12}{k} \binom{-7/12}{n-k} \binom{-11/12}{n-k}, \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

Then the series  $y_0(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} A_n z^n$  satisfies the differential equation  $Dy = 0$ , where

$$D = \theta^4 - 48z(2\theta+1)^2(72\theta^2+72\theta+41) + 2^{14} \cdot 3^4 z^2(2\theta+1)(2\theta+3)(3\theta+2)(3\theta+4),$$

an equation found in [ES] and mentioned as case #9\* in [AESZ, Table A]. We get the instanton numbers

$$\begin{aligned} N_1 &= -480, & N_2 &= -226848, & N_3 &= 16034720, \\ N_4 &= 1094330202744, & N_5 &= 4352645747040, & \dots \end{aligned}$$

We also note that

$$y_0 = (1 + 246z + 768132z^2 + \dots)^8, \quad q = z(1 + 12z + 33333z^2 + \dots)^{288},$$

where the series in brackets are expectively in  $\mathbb{Z}[[z]]$ . Interchanging 5/12 and 7/12, we obtain case 9\*\*:

$$\begin{aligned} A_n &= 1728^n \binom{2n}{n} \sum_k \binom{-1/12}{k} \binom{-7/12}{k} \binom{-5/12}{n-k} \binom{-11/12}{n-k} \\ &= 432^n \binom{2n}{n}^2 \sum_k (-1)^k \binom{-5/6}{k} \binom{-1/6}{n-k}^2, \end{aligned}$$

with the corresponding differential operator

$$D = \theta^4 - 48z(2\theta + 1)^2(72\theta^2 + 72\theta + 31) + 2^{12} \cdot 3^6 z^2(2\theta + 1)^2(2\theta + 3)^2;$$

the coupling  $K(q)$  is the same as in #9\*.

Trying the above experiment for all equations #1–#14 we find that cases #1, #2, #11, #12 and #14 give integer mirror map and Yukawa coupling but *there seems to be no way to get integer instanton numbers* (by doing the substitution  $z \rightarrow cz$ ). Case #5\* has trivial  $K(q)$ . We have, however, a couple of ‘good’ cases #3\*, #4\*, #4\*\*, #6\*, #7\*, #7\*\*, #8\*, #8\*\*, #10\*, #10\*\*, #13\* and #13\*\* (see Appendix A). This (together with cases #9\*, #9\*\* given above) ends the list of the 14 new equations.

By some unusual substitutions we can also consider the following additional cases. In case #14\*, we take

$$A_n = 144^n \binom{2n}{n} \sum_k \binom{-1/6}{k} \binom{-1/2}{k} \binom{-5/6}{n-k} \binom{-1/2}{n-k}$$

and obtain the corresponding differential operator

$$D = \theta^4 - 2^4 \cdot 3^2 z(2\theta + 1)^2(2\theta^2 + 2\theta + 1) + 2^{10} \cdot 3^2 z^2(2\theta + 1)(2\theta + 3)(3\theta + 2)(3\theta + 4).$$

Then, choosing

$$\tilde{K}(q) = K((q/3)^{1/2}) = 1 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{k^3 \tilde{N}_k q^k}{1 - q^k},$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{N}_1 &= -2592, & \tilde{N}_2 &= -307800, & \tilde{N}_3 &= 81451104, \\ \tilde{N}_4 &= 144135316512, & \tilde{N}_5 &= 98667659422368, & \dots \end{aligned}$$

In case #2\*, when

$$\begin{aligned} A_n &= 2000^n \binom{2n}{n} \sum_k \binom{-1/10}{k} \binom{-3/10}{k} \binom{-7/10}{n-k} \binom{-9/10}{n-k}, \\ D &= \theta^4 - 2^4 \cdot 5z(2\theta + 1)^2(50\theta^2 + 50\theta + 33) \\ &\quad + 2^{10} \cdot 5^4 z^2(2\theta + 1)(2\theta + 3)(5\theta + 4)(5\theta + 6), \end{aligned}$$

we need even more drastic methods; the ‘instanton numbers’ land in  $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{5}]$ . Taking

$$\tilde{K}(q) = K(q/\sqrt{5}) = 1 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{k^3 \tilde{N}_k q^k}{1 - q^k},$$

we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{N}_1 &= -256\sqrt{5}, & \tilde{N}_2 &= 32\sqrt{5} - 35260, & \tilde{N}_3 &= 1004288\sqrt{5}, \\ \tilde{N}_4 &= 835297220, & \tilde{N}_5 &= 102454248704\sqrt{5}, & \dots\end{aligned}$$

Case #2\*\* corresponds to the interchange of 3/10 and 7/10, hence the change of the factor  $50\theta^2 + 50\theta + 33$  by  $50\theta^2 + 50\theta + 17$  in the differential operator and conjugate  $\tilde{K}(q)$ . Is there a geometric interpretation of the numbers  $\tilde{N}_k$ ?

*Differential equations inspired by Guillera's formulas.* The formulas discovered in [Gu] caused us to try the following (again we mimic case #9). Let

$$A'_n = 3456^{2n} \sum_{k=0}^n (-1)^k \binom{n}{k} \frac{(1/2)_k (1/12)_k (5/12)_k (7/12)_k (11/12)_k}{k!^5}.$$

Then  $w_0 = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} A'_n z^n$  satisfies  $D'w = 0$ , where

$$\begin{aligned}D' &= \theta^5 - 288z(2\theta + 1)(103680\theta^4 + 207360\theta^3 + 262944\theta^2 + 159264\theta + 41087) \\ &\quad + 2^{20}3^8 z^2(\theta + 1)(207360\theta^4 + 829440\theta^3 + 1514592\theta^2 + 1370304\theta + 498143) \\ &\quad - 2^{38}3^{17} z^3(\theta + 1)(\theta + 2)(2\theta + 3)(240\theta^2 + 720\theta + 793) \\ &\quad + 2^{53}3^{22} z^4(\theta + 1)(\theta + 2)(\theta + 3)(360\theta^2 + 1440\theta + 1633) \\ &\quad - 2^{69}3^{30} z^5(\theta + 1)(\theta + 2)(\theta + 3)(\theta + 4)(2\theta + 5).\end{aligned}$$

The 4th order pullback of the latter differential operator is

$$\begin{aligned}D &= \theta^4 - 2^4 3^2 z(248832\theta^4 + 414720\theta^3 + 318528\theta^2 + 111168\theta + 14497) \\ &\quad + 2^{22} 3^{10} z^2(4\theta + 3)(432\theta^3 + 1116\theta^2 + 886\theta + 165) \\ &\quad - 2^{34} 3^{18} z^3(4\theta + 1)(4\theta + 3)(4\theta + 7)(4\theta + 9)\end{aligned}$$

and, remarkably, it has lower degree than the 5th order equation (cf. case #129). We get the instanton numbers

$$\begin{aligned}N_1 &= 2710944, & N_2 &= -717640978896, & N_3 &= 302270555492914464, \\ N_4 &= -171507700573958028578832, & N_5 &= 113303073680022744870130144224, & \dots,\end{aligned}$$

and note that

$$\begin{aligned}y_0 &= (1 + 260946z + 1405445560884z^2 + \dots)^8, \\ q &= x(1 + 13295z + 67507583411z^2 + \dots)^{576}, & K(q) &= (1 + 56478q - \dots)^{48},\end{aligned}$$

where the parentheses are supposed to have integer coefficients.

Doing the same thing with case #3, i.e. taking

$$A'_n = 1024^n \sum_{k=0}^n (-1)^k \binom{n}{k} \frac{(1/2)_k^5}{k!^5},$$

we get the same Yukawa coupling as for case #115 which is an Hadamard square with

$$A_n = \left\{ \sum_k 4^{n-k} \binom{2k}{k}^2 \binom{2n-2k}{n-k}^2 \right\}^2$$

But the differential equations are quite different as are the solutions  $y_0$ .

We list all possible variations on the theme as cases # $\widehat{1}$ – $\widehat{14}$  in [AESZ, Table A].

There is an even simpler way to arrive at essentially the same Yukawa coupling  $K(q)$  as above. Consider the 5th order hypergeometric operator

$$\theta^5 - 4 \cdot 12^6 z \left( \theta + \frac{1}{2} \right) \left( \theta + \frac{1}{12} \right) \left( \theta + \frac{5}{12} \right) \left( \theta + \frac{7}{12} \right) \left( \theta + \frac{11}{12} \right),$$

with the solution

$$w_0 = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(12n)!}{(6n)!n!6} \frac{z^n}{\binom{4n}{2n}}$$

of the corresponding differential equation  $D'w = 0$ , and with the 4th order pullback

$$\begin{aligned} D = & \theta^4 - 2^4 3^2 z (331776\theta^4 + 82944\theta^3 + 13248\theta^2 - 28224\theta - 14497) \\ & + 2^{19} 3^8 z^2 (248832\theta^4 + 124416\theta^3 + 25056\theta^2 - 4176\theta + 21143) \\ & - 2^{32} 3^{14} z^3 (331776\theta^4 + 248832\theta^3 + 60480\theta^2 + 21312\theta - 4453) \\ & + 2^{51} 3^{22} z^4 \theta (2\theta + 1)(12\theta + 1)(12\theta + 5) \end{aligned}$$

Observe that here the pullback increased the degree from 1 to 4. The instanton numbers are

$$N_1 = -2710944, \quad N_2 = -717640301160, \quad \dots,$$

i.e. the same as above up to a sign. We also note

$$y_0 = (1 - 86982z - 577991455848z^2 - \dots)^{24}, \quad q = x(1 + 7441z + 32486988467z^2 + \dots)^{576}.$$

A third variation of the theme is the following. Consider

$$A'_n = (4 \cdot 12^6)^n \binom{-1/2}{n} \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} \binom{-1/12}{k} \binom{-5/12}{k} \binom{-7/12}{k} \binom{-11/12}{k}$$

with corresponding 5th order differential operator

$$\begin{aligned} D' = & \theta^5 + 2 \cdot 12^2 z (2\theta + 1)(124416\theta^4 + 248832\theta^3 + 234720\theta^2 + 110304\theta + 21121) \\ & + 112 \cdot 12^{10} z^2 (\theta + 1)(2\theta + 1)(2\theta + 3)(72\theta^2 + 144\theta + 101) \\ & + 16 \cdot 12^{16} z^3 (2\theta + 1)(2\theta + 3)(2 + 5)(1152\theta^2 + 3456\theta + 2831) \\ & + 12^{26} z^4 (\theta + 2)(2\theta + 1)(2\theta + 3)(2\theta + 5)(2\theta + 7) \\ & + 64 \cdot 12^{30} z^5 (2\theta + 1)(2\theta + 3)(2\theta + 5)(2 + 7)(2\theta + 9) \end{aligned}$$

with pullback

$$\begin{aligned}
D = & \theta^4 + 2^4 3^2 z (995328\theta^4 + 497664\theta^3 + 220608\theta^2 - 28224\theta - 35233) \\
& + 2^{18} 3^8 z^2 (5142528\theta^4 + 5308416\theta^3 + 2946816\theta^2 + 9792\theta - 292949) \\
& + 2^{35} 3^{14} z^3 (1866240\theta^4 + 2985984\theta^3 + 2011320\theta^2 + 142920\theta - 208501) \\
& + 2^{48} 3^{20} z^4 (6656256\theta^4 + 14681088\theta^3 + 11732832\theta^2 + 1326960\theta - 1309273) \\
& + 2^{64} 3^{28} z^5 (4\theta - 1)(51840\theta^3 + 160704\theta^2 + 177908\theta + 64537) \\
& + 2^{79} 3^{34} z^6 (4\theta - 1)(4\theta + 3)(4464\theta^2 + 13536\theta + 10985) \\
& + 2^{97} 3^{43} z^7 (\theta + 2)(4\theta - 1)(4\theta + 3)(4\theta + 7) \\
& + 2^{108} 3^{48} z^8 (4\theta - 1)(4\theta + 3)(4\theta + 7)(4\theta + 11).
\end{aligned}$$

We have

$$y_0 = (1 + 211398z - 2341345644648z^2 + \dots)^{24}$$

and

$$q = z(1 - 28177z + 245565832115z^2 - \dots)^{576}.$$

The instanton numbers are the same as above. Thus we have three fourth order differential equations of degree 3, 4, and 8, respectively. The instanton numbers, however, are invariants (possibly, with some geometric interpretation). It would be interesting to find the transformations between the three different solutions.

*The mirror at infinity.* In [Ro] E. Rødland studied case #27 at infinity. We choose instead #124 from [ES]:

$$\begin{aligned}
D = & 61^2 \theta^4 - 61z(3029\theta^4 + 5572\theta^3 + 4677\theta^2 + 1891\theta + 305) \\
& + z^2(1215215\theta^4 + 3428132\theta^3 + 4267228\theta^2 + 2572675\theta + 611586) \\
& - 3^4 z^3(39370\theta^4 + 140178\theta^3 + 206807\theta^2 + 142191\theta + 37332) \\
& + 3^8 z^4(566\theta^4 + 2230\theta^3 + 3356\theta^2 + 2241\theta + 558) - 3^{13} z^5(\theta + 1)^4,
\end{aligned}$$

where the explicit formulas for  $A_n$  are known and one should take  $N_0 = 61$  in order to get integer instanton numbers (cf. (2.9)). The substitution  $z \mapsto 3^{-5}z^{-1}$ ,  $y \mapsto zy$  results in  $\theta \rightarrow -\theta - 1$  (this works only if the highest degree term has the form  $(\theta + 1)^4$ ) and we obtain the ‘dual’ differential operator

$$\begin{aligned}
D^* = & \theta^4 - z(566\theta^4 + 34\theta^3 + 62\theta^2 + 45\theta + 9) \\
& + 3z^2(39370\theta^4 + 17302\theta^3 + 22493\theta^2 + 8369\theta + 1140) \\
& - 3^2 z^3(1215215\theta^4 + 1432728\theta^3 + 1274122\theta^2 + 538245\theta + 93222) \\
& + 3^7 61z^4(3029\theta^4 + 6544\theta^3 + 6135\theta^2 + 2863\theta^2 + 548) - 3^{12} 61^2 z^5(\theta + 1)^4.
\end{aligned}$$

We do not know a formula for the corresponding  $A_n$  here.

Many differential equations with highest degree term  $(\theta + 1)^4$  (in particular, all Hadamard products) are self-dual. There is, however, the class with

$$A_n = \sum_k \binom{n}{k}^{5-2r} \binom{2k}{k}^r \binom{2n-2k}{n-k}^r$$

for  $r = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5$  (cases #22, #21, #23, #56, #71, #118, respectively). Then the duality maps  $r \leftrightarrow 5 - r$ .

Also differential equations with highest degree term  $(2\theta + 1)^4$  can be reflected in  $\infty$ . Let us take, e.g., case #55 with

$$\begin{aligned} D &= 9\theta^4 - 12z(208\theta^4 + 224\theta^3 + 163\theta^2 + 51\theta + 6) \\ &\quad + 2^9 z^2(32\theta^4 - 928\theta^3 - 1606\theta^2 - 837\theta - 141) \\ &\quad + 2^{16} z^3(144\theta^2 + 576\theta^3 + 467\theta^2 + 144\theta + 15) - 2^{24} z^4(2\theta + 1)^4. \end{aligned}$$

Then the transformation  $z \rightarrow 2^{-18} z^{-1}$  maps  $\theta$  to  $-\theta - 1/2$  and gives the dual

$$\begin{aligned} D^* &= \theta^4 - 2^4 z(576\theta^4 - 1152\theta^3 - 724\theta^2 - 148\theta - 13) \\ &\quad - 2^{17} z^2(32\theta^4 + 992\theta^3 - 166\theta^2 - 57\theta - 6) \\ &\quad + 2^{26} 3z^3(832\theta^4 + 768\theta^3 + 556\theta^2 + 192\theta + 25) - 2^{40} 3^2 z^4(2\theta + 1)^4 \end{aligned}$$

Also cases #33, #99, #154 can be treated in the same way (case #154 is self-dual).

## 9. SUPERCONGRUENCES AND $k$ -REALIZABLE SERIES

It is time to stop and discuss the phenomenon of integer coefficients of Yukawa couplings number-theoretically.

Let  $T: X \rightarrow X$  be a map of a set. Define the number of points  $x$  with period  $n$ :

$$f_n(T) = \#\{x \in X : T^n x = x\},$$

and the number of points  $x$  with the smallest period  $n$ :

$$f_n^*(T) = \#\{x \in X : T^n x = x, \#\{T^l x : l \in \mathbb{Z}\} = n\}.$$

Then  $f_n^0(T) = \frac{1}{n} f_n^*(T)$  determines the number of orbits of length  $n$ . Applying the Möbius inversion formula to  $f_n(T) = \sum_{d|n} f_d^*(T)$  we obtain

$$f_n^0(T) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{d|n} \mu\left(\frac{n}{d}\right) f_d(T). \quad (9.1)$$

**Proposition 10** [PW]. *Let  $\{A_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  be a sequence with all  $a_n \geq 0$ . Then, there exists a map  $T: X \rightarrow X$  such that  $A_n = f_n(T)$  if and only if the numbers*

$$B_n = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{d|n} \mu\left(\frac{n}{d}\right) A_d, \quad n = 1, 2, \dots,$$

*are all non-negative integers.*

*Proof.* The ' $\implies$ '-part was already done in (9.1).

In order to prove the ' $\impliedby$ '-part, we take  $X = \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$  and let  $T: X \rightarrow X$  be a permutation consisting of  $B_n$  cycles of length  $n$  for all  $n = 1, 2, \dots$ . Then  $A_n = f_n(T)$  as required.  $\square$

To illustrate the above construction of  $T$  in the proof, we consider the following

**Example.** Let  $\{A_n\}_{n=1}^\infty = \{1, 5, 7, 17, 31, 65, \dots\}$  be given by the recurrence  $A_{n+1} = A_n + 2A_{n-1}$  for  $n = 1, 2, \dots$ . Then  $\{B_n\}_{n=1}^\infty = \{1, 2, 2, 3, 6, 9, \dots\}$  and a choice of  $T$  is as follows:

$$T = \underbrace{(0)}_{B_1=1} \underbrace{(1\ 2)(3\ 4)}_{B_2=2} \underbrace{(5\ 6\ 7)(8\ 9\ 10)}_{B_3=2} \underbrace{(11\ 12\ 13\ 14)(15\ 16\ 17\ 18)(19\ 20\ 21\ 22)}_{B_4=3} \dots$$

The points of order 4 are

$$\{11, 12, \dots, 22, 1, 2, 3, 4, 0\},$$

hence  $f_4(T) = 4 \cdot 3 + 2 \cdot 2 + 1 = 17 = A_4$ .

Assume now that for some  $k \geq 1$  we have

$$\frac{1}{n^k} \sum_{d|n} \mu\left(\frac{n}{d}\right) A_d \in \mathbb{N} \quad \text{for all } n = 1, 2, \dots$$

This means that the number of orbits of length  $n$  is divisible by  $n^{k-1}$ . The sequence  $\{A_n\}_{n=1,2,\dots}$  is said to be *k-realizable* if

$$B_n = \frac{1}{n^k} \sum_{d|n} \mu\left(\frac{n}{d}\right) A_d \in \mathbb{Z} \quad \text{for all } n = 1, 2, \dots \quad (9.2)$$

holds. Call a power series  $y = \sum_{n=0}^\infty A_n z^n \in \mathbb{Q}[[z]]$  *k-realizable* if there exists an integer  $C$  such that  $\{CA_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$  is *k-realizable*.

The most remarkable property of the examples of the Calabi–Yau differential equations is that the Yukawa coupling (2.9) is (expected to be) 3-realizable; the pseudo-coupling (3.8) constructed in Section 3 seems to be 2-realizable (where in cases #15–29 we have to replace  $\mathbb{Z}$  in (9.2) by  $\mathbb{Z}[1/p]$  for a suitable choice of the prime  $p$ ). Another striking property of the first 14 (hypergeometric) cases from [AESZ, Table A] is that  $y_0(z)$  is also 3-realizable.

The property of being *k-realizable* is related to Kummer supercongruences by the following result.

**Proposition 11.** *The following are equivalent:*

- (i)  $\{A_n\}$  is *k-realizable*;
- (ii)  $A_{mp^r} \equiv A_{mp^{r-1}} \pmod{p^{rk}}$  for  $p$  prime and  $(m, p) = 1$ ;
- (iii)  $\sum_{n=1}^\infty A_n z^n / n^k = \sum_{n=1}^\infty B_n \text{Li}_k(z^n)$ , where  $B_n$  are the integers defined in (9.2) and

$$\text{Li}_k(x) = \sum_{n=1}^\infty \frac{x^n}{n^k}$$

denotes the *k-th polylogarithm*.

*Proof.* The proofs on pp. 3–6 in [Re] for  $k = 1$  are easily generalized; note that  $\text{Li}_1(x) = -\log(1-x)$ .  $\square$

*Remark.* For the first  $n$  numbers  $A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n$ , the case  $k = 1$  is well described in Exercise 5.2 of [St]. Then there is also an  $(n \times n)$ -matrix  $M$  with integer entries such that  $A_k = \text{Tr}(M^k)$  for  $k = 1, 2, \dots, n$  (see [Al1]).



## 10. 6TH- AND HIGHER ORDER DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS

We would like to start this last section by presenting two other puzzling examples related to 4-term polynomial recursions

$$\begin{aligned}
& (n+1)^6(41218n^3 - 48459n^2 + 20010n - 2871)A_{n+1} \\
& + 2(48802112n^9 + 89030880n^8 + 36002654n^7 - 24317344n^6 - 19538418n^5 \\
& \quad + 1311365n^4 + 3790503n^3 + 460056n^2 - 271701n - 60291)A_n \\
& - 4(2n-1)(3874492n^8 - 2617900n^7 - 3144314n^6 + 2947148n^5 + 647130n^4 \\
& \quad - 1182926n^3 + 115771n^2 + 170716n - 44541)A_{n-1} \\
& - 4(n-1)^4(2n-1)(2n-3)(41218n^3 + 75195n^2 + 46746n + 9898)A_{n-2} = 0
\end{aligned} \tag{10.1}$$

for simultaneous approximations to  $\zeta(3)$  and  $\zeta(5)$  (see [Zu2]), and

$$\begin{aligned}
& n(n+1)^5(91n^3 - 182n^2 + 126n - 30)A_{n+1} \\
& - n(3458n^8 + 1729n^7 - 2947n^6 - 2295n^5 + 901n^4 + 1190n^3 \\
& \quad + 52n^2 - 228n - 60)A_n \\
& - (153881n^9 - 307762n^8 + 185311n^7 + 2960n^6 - 31631n^5 - 88n^4 \\
& \quad + 5239n^3 - 610n^2 - 440n + 100)A_{n-1} \\
& + 24(n-1)^3(2n-1)(6n-5)(6n-7)(91n^3 + 91n^2 + 35n + 5)A_{n-2} = 0
\end{aligned} \tag{10.2}$$

for the sequence  $\sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k}^6$  (see [Pe], [SJ]).

The differential equations for generating series of (10.1) and (10.2) are of order 9; nevertheless, **Maple** easily factorise the corresponding differential operators and we get the 6th-order linear differential equations

$$\begin{aligned}
& z^5(196z - 87)^3(16z^3 + 752z^2 - 2368z - 1)\frac{d^6}{dz^6} \\
& + 3z^4(196z - 87)^2(21952z^4 + 873216z^3 - 2778608z^2 + 1235312z + 435)\frac{d^5}{dz^5} \\
& + z^3(196z - 87)(85898176z^5 + 2768881024z^4 - 8828169756z^3 \\
& \quad + 7144975624z^2 - 1768825884z - 491985)\frac{d^4}{dz^4} \\
& + 2z^2(20932110080z^6 + 508609400320z^5 - 1613572776144z^4 + 1739040695000z^3 \\
& \quad - 824264516904z^2 + 148195933632z + 29632635)\frac{d^3}{dz^3} \\
& + z(35509291776z^6 + 579712191744z^5 - 1530351585392z^4 + 1500993519824z^3 \\
& \quad - 731658297456z^2 + 173252093886z + 20413593)\frac{d^2}{dz^2}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + (7138000128z^6 + 55844570880z^5 - 123313425872z^4 + 96429989856z^3 \\
& \quad - 16021623504z^2 + 17983065996z + 658503) \frac{d}{dz} \\
& - 6(15059072z^5 - 4148928z^4 + 9924264z^3 + 214891044z^2 \\
& \quad + 106071966z + 4609521) = 0
\end{aligned} \tag{10.3}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
& z^4(z-1)(64z-1)(27z+1)(75z^3+1420z^2+561z+9) \frac{d^6}{dz^6} \\
& \quad + z^3(2786400z^6 + 52926750z^5 - 22883417z^4 - 19427551z^3 \\
& \quad \quad - 654306z^2 + 1308z + 126) \frac{d^5}{dz^5} \\
& \quad + 5z^2(3822480z^6 + 77258112z^5 - 18886036z^4 - 23855750z^3 \\
& \quad \quad - 758207z^2 - 1275z + 99) \frac{d^4}{dz^4} \\
& \quad + 5z(9906840z^6 + 214908768z^5 - 10697346z^4 - 53272456z^3 \\
& \quad \quad - 1605389z^2 - 7047z + 117) \frac{d^3}{dz^3} \\
& \quad + 2(22370400z^6 + 528293250z^5 + 82763885z^4 - 96071120z^3 \\
& \quad \quad - 2836582z^2 - 15165z + 72) \frac{d^2}{dz^2} \\
& \quad + 6(1684500z^5 + 44517700z^4 + 17102475z^3 \\
& \quad \quad - 4687335z^2 - 158967z - 540) \frac{d}{dz} \\
& \quad + 12(15750z^4 + 503175z^3 + 327205z^2 - 1845z - 1044) = 0,
\end{aligned} \tag{10.4}$$

respectively. (The corresponding recursions will be 7-term but we do not use this fact.)

The differential equation (10.3) is MUM, so taking the Frobenius basis  $y_0, y_1, y_2, \dots, y_5$  we may compute the inverse of  $q(z) = \exp(y_1/y_0)$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}
z(q) &= q + 230q^2 - 26827q^3 + 24147708q^4 - 23334210874q^5 + 26542920855790q^6 \\
&\quad - 33500728089853156q^7 + 45492345805504886104q^8 + O(q^9),
\end{aligned}$$

and pseudo-coupling

$$\tilde{K}(q) = \left( q \frac{d}{dq} \right)^2 \left( \frac{y_2}{y_0} \right) = 1 + \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} \frac{l^2 \tilde{N}_l q^l}{1 - q^l} \tag{10.5}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{N}_1 &= -320, & \tilde{N}_2 &= 118264, & \tilde{N}_3 &= -84117876, & \tilde{N}_4 &= 80349364184, \\
\tilde{N}_5 &= -90632838175404, & \tilde{N}_6 &= 113783008482427048, \\
\tilde{N}_7 &= -153937885949108788148, & \tilde{N}_8 &= 220092295805975113694144, & \dots
\end{aligned}$$

are expected to be all integers.

The case of the (not MUM) differential equation (10.4) is more delicate: the exponents at the point  $z = 0$  are  $0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1$ , so that we get two linearly independent analytic solutions at the point. The choice of the first three components of the basis at  $z = 0$  are as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
y_0 &= 1 + 2z + 66z^2 + 1460z^3 + 54850z^4 + 2031252z^5 + 86874564z^6 \\
&\quad + 3848298792z^7 + 180295263810z^8 + O(z^9) \\
y_1 &= y_0 \log z + 6z + 201z^2 + 5114z^3 + \frac{392153}{2}z^4 + \frac{37695381}{5}z^5 \\
&\quad + \frac{1636192577}{5}z^6 + \frac{515198795302}{35}z^7 + \frac{19496105427567}{28}z^8 + O(z^9), \\
y_2 &= -y_0 \frac{\log^2 z}{2} + y_1 \log z + \beta \left( z + \frac{45}{4}z^2 + \frac{7085}{18}z^3 + \frac{1750325}{144}z^4 + \frac{293631899}{600}z^5 \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \frac{4039916881}{200}z^6 + \frac{4451078944741}{4900}z^7 + \frac{663063626523441}{15680}z^8 \right) \\
&\quad + 108z^2 + 3690z^3 + 164379z^4 + 6851805z^5 + \frac{3138305727}{10}z^6 \\
&\quad + \frac{73262810391}{5}z^7 + \frac{99760681900977}{140}z^8 + O(z^9)
\end{aligned}$$

(for the series  $y_2$  we have an additional ‘freedom’  $\beta \in \mathbb{Q}$ ). Then we get the expansion  $z(q) = q - 6q^2 - 135q^3 - 380q^4 - 24960q^5 - 696366q^6 - 26153302q^7 - 901888104q^8 + O(q^9)$  and the coefficients in the Lambert expansion (10.5)

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{N}_1 &= \beta, & \tilde{N}_2 &= 3(\beta + 30), & \tilde{N}_3 &= 63(\beta + 20), & \tilde{N}_4 &= 1357\beta + 43200, \\
\tilde{N}_5 &= 5(9139\beta + 235152), & \tilde{N}_6 &= 3(479743\beta + 14248200), \\
\tilde{N}_7 &= 126(419883\beta + 11883130), & \tilde{N}_8 &= 3(653810477\beta + 19370535600), & \dots
\end{aligned}$$

are expected to be in  $\mathbb{Z}\beta + \mathbb{Z}$ .

We have several further examples of 6th-order differential equations with the same collection of the exponents at the point  $z = 0$  as for equation (10.4). In all such examples we get the similar phenomenon of admitting a free parameter  $\beta$  for the coefficients in the pseudo-coupling (10.5). Here we only mention formulas for  $\{A_n\}_{n=0,1,\dots}$  in the examples, where the series  $y_0 = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n z^n$  form analytic solutions in  $\mathbb{Z}[[z]]$  to the corresponding differential equations:

$$A_n = \frac{(3n)!}{n!^3} \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k}^4, \quad A_n = \frac{(4n)!}{n!^4} \sum_{j,k} \binom{n}{j} \binom{n}{k}^2 \binom{k}{j}.$$

Similar phenomena seem to be happened in more general situations. For example, the series

$$y_0(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} z^n \frac{(4n)!}{n!^4} \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k}^4$$

gives an analytic solution to the 8th-order linear differential equation  $Dy = 0$ , where

$$D = \theta^6(\theta - 1)^2 - 16z\theta^2(2\theta + 1)^2(4\theta + 1)(4\theta + 3)(3\theta^2 + 3\theta + 1) \\ - 256z^2(2\theta + 1)(2\theta + 3)(4\theta + 1)(4\theta + 3)^2(4\theta + 5)^2(4\theta + 7);$$

a suitable choice of the solution  $y_1(z)$  leads to the inversion  $z(q)$  of the series  $q(z) = \exp(y_1/y_0)$  satisfying (conjecturally!)  $z(q) \in \mathbb{Z}[[q]]$ . Finally, one can take a free parameter  $\beta$  for the solution  $y_2(z)$  giving the pseudo-coupling (10.5) with the coefficients

$$\tilde{N}_1 = \beta, \quad \tilde{N}_2 = 199\beta + 308464, \quad \tilde{N}_3 = 17(18671\beta + 1818188), \\ \tilde{N}_4 = 2(233056091\beta + 290786122832), \quad \tilde{N}_5 = 3(311572981529\beta + 381333083404544), \\ \tilde{N}_6 = 2020548109265033\beta + 2585332849682835728, \quad \dots$$

that are expected to be in  $\mathbb{Z}\beta + \mathbb{Z}$ . Another interesting example is the series

$$y_0(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} z^n \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k}^7,$$

which also satisfies a (linearly irreducible) 8th-order differential equation  $Dy = 0$  with exponents  $0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1, 1$  about  $z = 0$ .

#### REFERENCES

- [Al1] G. Almkvist, *Integrity of ghosts*, Preprint, Lund, 1983.
- [Al2] G. Almkvist, *Strängar i månsken I*, *Normat* **51** (2003), no. 1, 22–33; *II*, *Normat* **51** (2003), no. 2, 63–79.
- [AESZ] G. Almkvist, C. van Enckevort, D. van Straten and W. Zudilin, *Tables of Calabi–Yau equations* (2004), in preparation.
- [AAR] G. E. Andrews, R. Askey and R. Roy, *Special functions*, Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications, vol. 71, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1999.
- [BS1] V. V. Batyrev and D. van Straten, *Generalized hypergeometric functions and rational curves on Calabi–Yau complete intersections in toric varieties*, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **168** (1995), 493–533; `alg-geom/9310001`, 1993.
- [BS2] V. V. Batyrev, I. Ciocan-Fontanine, B. Kim, and D. van Straten, *Conifold transitions and mirror symmetry for Calabi–Yau complete intersections in Grassmannians*, *Nuclear Phys. B* **514** (1998), no. 3, 640–666; `alg-geom/9710022`, 1997.
- [Be1] F. Beukers, *Irrationality proofs using modular forms*, *Journées arithmétiques* (Besançon, 1985), *Astérisque* **147–148** (1987), 271–283.
- [Be2] F. Beukers, *Consequences of Apéry’s work on  $\zeta(3)$* , Preprint, Utrecht, 2003.
- [Be3] F. Beukers, *On Dwork’s accessory parameter problem*, *Math. Z.* **241** (2002), no. 2, 425–444.
- [Be4] F. Beukers, *Some Galois theory associated to Zudilin’s recurrences*, *Tagungsbericht 12/2003*, *Elementare und Analytische Zahlentheorie* (09.03.—15.03.2003), Germany, Mathematisches Forschungsinstitut Oberwolfach, 2003, p. 3.
- [Be5] F. Beukers, *Personal communication* (March 2004).
- [BP] F. Beukers and C. A. M. Peters, *A family of K3 surfaces and  $\zeta(3)$* , *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **351** (1984), 42–54.
- [Co] H. Cohen, *Accélération de la convergence de certaines récurrences linéaires*, *Séminaire de Théorie des nombres de Bordeaux* (Année 1980–81), exposé 16, 2 pages.
- [En] C. van Enckevort, *Calabi–Yau equations*, A database, <http://enriques.mathematik.uni-mainz.de/enckevort/db/>, 2004.

- [ES] C. van Enckevort and D. van Straten, *Monodromy calculations for fourth order equations of Calabi–Yau type*, Calabi–Yau Varieties and Mirror Symmetry (J. Lewis, S.-T. Yau and N. Yui, eds.), The BIRS workshop volume (06–11 December 2003), International Press,  $\approx$ 2005.
- [Gu] J. Guillera, *About a new kind of Ramanujan-type series*, Experiment. Math. **12** (2003), no. 4, 507–510.
- [HM] J. Harnad and J. McKay, *Modular solutions to equations of generalized Halphen type*, Royal Soc. Lond. Proc. Ser. A Math. Phys. Eng. Sci. **456** (2000), no. 1994, 261–294; `solv-int/9804006`, 1998.
- [In] E. L. Ince, *Ordinary differential equations*, Longman, Green & Co., London, 1927.
- [KT1] A. Klemm and S. Theisen, *Considerations of one-modulus Calabi–Yau compactifications: Picard–Fuchs equations, Kähler potentials and mirror maps*, Nucl. Phys. B **389** (1993), 153–180; `hep-th/9205041`, 1992.
- [KT2] A. Klemm and S. Theisen, *Mirror maps and instanton sums for complete intersections in weighted projective space*, Mod. Phys. Lett. A **9** (1994), 1807–1818; `hep-th/9304034`, 1993.
- [KR] C. Krattenthaler and T. Rivoal, *Hypergéométrie et fonction zêta de Riemann*, `math.NT/031114v3`, 2004. (French)
- [LLY] B. H. Lian, K. Liu and S.-T. Yau, *Mirror principle I*, Asian J. Math. **1** (1997), no. 4, 729–763; *II*, Asian J. Math. **3** (1999), no. 1, 109–146.
- [LY] B. H. Lian and S.-T. Yau, *The  $n$ th root of the mirror map*, Calabi–Yau varieties and mirror symmetry (Toronto, ON, 2001), Fields Inst. Commun., vol. 38, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2003, pp. 195–199.
- [LT] A. Libgober and J. Teitelbaum, *Lines in Calabi–Yau complete intersections, mirror symmetry, and Picard–Fuchs equations*, Intern. Math. Research Notices **1** (1993), 29–39; `alg-geom/9301001`, 1993.
- [Mo] D. R. Morrison, *Picard–Fuchs equations and mirror maps for hypersurfaces*, Essays on mirror manifolds, Internat. Press, Hong Kong, 1992, pp. 241–264; `hep-th/9111025`, 1991.
- [Pe] M. A. Perlstadt, *Some recurrences for sums of powers of binomial coefficients*, J. Number Theory **27** (1987), 304–309.
- [PWZ] M. Petkovšek, H. S. Wilf and D. Zeilberger, *A = B*, A. K. Peters, Ltd., Wellesley, M.A., 1996.
- [PW] Y. Puri and T. Ward, *Arithmetic and growth of periodic orbits*, J. Integer Sequences **4** (2001), #01.2.1, 18 pp.
- [Re] J. A. de Reyna, *Dynamical zeta functions and Kummer congruences*, `math.NT/0309190`, 2003.
- [Ro] E. A. Rødland, *The Pfaffian Calabi–Yau, its mirror, and their link to the Grassmannian  $G(2, 7)$* , Compositio Math. **122** (2000), no. 2, 135–149; `math.AG/9801092`, 1998.
- [SJ] A. L. Schmidt and Y. Jin, *On recurrences for sums of powers of binomial coefficients*, Preprint, Copenhagen, 1996.
- [So] V. N. Sorokin, *One algorithm for fast calculation of  $\pi^4$* , Preprint (Russian Academy of Sciences, M. V. Keldysh Institute for Applied Mathematics, Moscow, 2002), 59 pages (Russian); <http://www.wis.kuleuven.ac.be/applied/intas/Art5.pdf>.
- [Sta] R. Stanley, *Enumerative combinatorics, vol. 2*, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1999.
- [Str] D. van Straten, *Personal communications* (October 2003–April 2004).
- [Ve] H. A. Verrill, *Sums of squares of binomial coefficients, with applications to Picard–Fuchs equations*, `math.CO/0407327`, 2004.
- [WZ] H. S. Wilf and D. Zeilberger, *An algorithmic proof theory for hypergeometric (ordinary and “ $q$ ”) multisum/integral identities*, Invent. Math. **108** (1992), no. 3, 575–633.
- [Za] D. Zagier, *Integral solutions of Apéry-like recurrence equations*, Manuscript (2003).
- [Zu1] W. Zudilin, *An Apéry-like difference equation for Catalan’s constant*, Electron. J. Combin. **10** (2003), no. 1, #R14, 10 pp.; `math.NT/0201024`, 2002.
- [Zu2] W. Zudilin, *A third-order Apéry-like recursion for  $\zeta(5)$* , Mat. Zametki **72** (2002), no. 5, 796–800; English transl., Math. Notes **72** (2002), no. 5, 733–737; `math.NT/0206178`, 2002.
- [Zu3] W. Zudilin, *Well-poised hypergeometric transformations of Euler-type multiple integrals*, J. London Math. Soc. **70** (2004), no. 1, 215–230.

- [Zu4] W. Zudilin, *Binomial sums related to rational approximations to  $\zeta(4)$* , Mat. Zametki **75** (2004), no. 4, 637–640; English transl., Math. Notes **75** (2004), no. 4, 594–597; [math.CA/0311196](#), 2003.
- [Zu5] W. Zudilin, *Approximations to -, di- and tri- logarithms*, [math.NT/0409023](#), 2004.

MATEMATIKCENTRUM  
LUNDS UNIVERSITET  
MATEMATIK MNF, Box 118  
SE-221 00 LUND, SWEDEN  
*E-mail address:* [gert@maths.lth.se](mailto:gert@maths.lth.se)

DEPARTMENT OF MECHANICS AND MATHEMATICS  
MOSCOW LOMONOSOV STATE UNIVERSITY  
VOROBIOVY GORY, GSP-2  
119992 MOSCOW, RUSSIA  
*E-mail address:* [wadim@ips.ras.ru](mailto:wadim@ips.ras.ru)