

# Unipotent Hecke algebras of $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{F}_q)$

Nathaniel Thiem

University of Wisconsin-Madison  
480 Lincoln Drive  
Madison, WI 53706  
thiem@math.wisc.edu

## Abstract

This paper describes a family of Hecke algebras  $\mathcal{H}_\mu = \mathrm{End}_G(\mathrm{Ind}_U^G(\psi_\mu))$ , where  $U$  is the subgroup of unipotent upper-triangular matrices of  $G = \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{F}_q)$  and  $\psi_\mu$  is a linear character of  $U$ . The main results combinatorially index a basis of  $\mathcal{H}_\mu$ , provide a large commutative subalgebra of  $\mathcal{H}_\mu$ , and after describing the combinatorics associated with the representation theory of  $\mathcal{H}_\mu$ , generalize the RSK correspondence that is typically found in the representation theory of the symmetric group.

## 1 Introduction

Iwahori [Iw] and Iwahori-Matsumoto [IM] introduced the Iwahori-Hecke algebra as a first step in classifying the irreducible representations of finite Chevalley groups and reductive  $p$ -adic Lie groups. Subsequent work (e.g. [Cu1] [KL] [LV]) has established Hecke algebras as fundamental tools in the representation theory of Lie groups and Lie algebras, and advances on subfactors and quantum groups by Jones [Jo1], Jimbo [Ji], and Drinfeld [Dr] gave Hecke algebras a central role in knot theory [Jo2], statistical mechanics [Jo3], mathematical physics, and operator algebras. This paper considers a generalization of the classical Iwahori-Hecke algebra obtained by replacing the Borel subgroup  $B$  with the unipotent subgroup  $U$ .

A Hecke algebra  $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}(G, U, M)$  is the centralizer algebra

$$\mathcal{H} = \mathrm{End}_G(\mathrm{Ind}_U^G(M)),$$

where  $G$  is a finite group,  $U$  is a subgroup of  $G$  and  $M$  is a simple  $U$ -module. This paper addresses the cases where

$$G = \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{F}_q), \quad U = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & * \\ & \ddots & \\ 0 & & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right\}, \quad \text{and} \quad \dim(M) = 1.$$

For each  $M$  there exist a partition  $\mu = (\mu_1, \mu_2, \dots, \mu_\ell)$  and a linear character  $\psi_\mu : U \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^*$  such that

$$\mathrm{End}_G(\mathrm{Ind}_U^G(M)) \cong \mathrm{End}_G(\mathrm{Ind}_U^G(\psi_\mu)) = \mathcal{H}_\mu.$$

---

MSC 2000: 20C08 (05E10)

Keywords: Hecke algebras, Gelfand-Graev representation, Yokonuma algebra, RSK-insertion

In studying these algebras, this paper combines generalizations of Iwahori-Hecke algebra techniques with combinatorial tools related to the representation theory of the symmetric group (e.g. partitions, tableaux, and the RSK correspondence).

The main results of this paper are

- (a) Let  $\{T_v : v \in N_\mu\}$  be the standard double-coset basis for  $\mathcal{H}_\mu$ . Then there is a bijection

$$N_\mu \longleftrightarrow M_\mu = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \ell \times \ell \text{ matrices with monic polynomial} \\ \text{entries } a_{ij}(X) \in \mathbb{F}_q[X] \text{ such that} \\ a_{ij}(0) \neq 0 \text{ and both degree row sums} \\ \text{and degree column sums are equal to } \mu \end{array} \right\}$$

(See Section 3 for details).

- (b) Let the set  $\hat{\mathcal{H}}_\mu$  index the irreducible  $\mathcal{H}_\mu$ -modules  $\mathcal{H}_\mu^\lambda$  and the set  $\hat{\mathcal{H}}_\mu^\lambda$  index a basis of the irreducible module  $\mathcal{H}_\mu^\lambda$ . There is a combinatorial bijection

$$N_\mu \longleftrightarrow \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{Pairs } (P, Q) \text{ such} \\ \text{that } P, Q \in \mathcal{H}_\mu^\lambda, \lambda \in \hat{\mathcal{H}}_\mu \end{array} \right\}$$

that generalizes the classical RSK correspondence and gives a combinatorial realization of the representation theoretic identity

$$\dim(\mathcal{H}_\mu) = \sum_{\lambda \in \hat{\mathcal{H}}_\mu} \dim(\mathcal{H}_\mu^\lambda)^2.$$

- (c) The algebra  $\mathcal{H}_\mu$  has a large commutative subalgebra

$$\mathcal{L}_\mu \cong \mathcal{H}_{(\mu_1)} \otimes \mathcal{H}_{(\mu_2)} \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathcal{H}_{(\mu_\ell)},$$

whose presence suggests a weight space decomposition of  $\mathcal{H}_\mu$ -modules.

Section 2 reviews some basic results used in this paper, including Hecke algebras, partitions and the classical RSK correspondence. Section 3 defines  $\mathcal{H}_\mu$  and gives an explicit construction of the map  $N_\mu \leftrightarrow M_\mu$ . After using Zelevinsky's theorem [Ze, Theorem 12.1] to give a combinatorial description of the sets  $\hat{\mathcal{H}}_\mu$  and  $\hat{\mathcal{H}}_\mu^\lambda$ , Section 4 proves the bijection in (b). Section 5 gives a proof of Zelevinsky's theorem. This paper concludes in Section 6 by providing the subalgebra  $\mathcal{L}_\mu \subseteq \mathcal{H}_\mu$  and describing a corresponding weight space decomposition of  $\mathcal{H}_\mu$ -modules.

Both the Yokonuma algebra  $\mathcal{H}_{(1^n)}$  [Yo2] and the Hecke algebra  $\mathcal{H}_{(n)}$  associated to the Gelfand-Graev representation of  $G$  [St] are examples of unipotent Hecke algebras. In [Yo2], Yokonuma gave a presentation of  $\mathcal{H}_{(1^n)}$  that generalized the usual presentation of the classical Iwahori-Hecke algebra, and recently Jujumaya [Ju] constructed an alternate set of generators and relations. However, a presentation for arbitrary  $\mathcal{H}_\mu$  is still unknown. Even the commutative algebra  $\mathcal{H}_{(n)}$  [St, Yo1] does not yet have a “nice” set of multiplication relations.

The representation theory of the Gelfand-Graev Hecke algebra is closely related to Green polynomials [Cu2] and, in the  $\text{GL}_2$  case, to Kloosterman sums [CS]. On the other hand, the representation theory of the Yokonuma algebra generalizes that of the classical Iwahori-Hecke algebra. In what promises to be a combinatorially rich area of study, analyzing the combinatorial representation theory of the  $\mathcal{H}_\mu$  and their general type analogues should have an impact similar in scope to the applications of the classical Iwahori-Hecke algebra.




A *partition*  $\nu = (\nu_1, \nu_2, \dots, \nu_r)$  is a composition where  $\nu_1 \geq \nu_2 \geq \dots \geq \nu_r > 0$ . If  $|\nu| = n$ , then  $\nu$  is a *partition of  $n$*  and we write  $\nu \vdash n$ . Let

$$\mathcal{P} = \{\text{partitions}\} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{P}_n = \{\nu \vdash n\}. \quad (2.4)$$

Suppose  $\nu \in \mathcal{P}$ . The *conjugate partition*  $\nu' = (\nu'_1, \nu'_2, \dots, \nu'_\ell)$  is given by

$$\nu'_i = \text{Card}\{j : \nu_j \geq i\}.$$

In terms of diagrams,  $\nu'$  is the collection of boxes obtained by flipping  $\nu$  across its main diagonal. For example,




A *column strict tableau  $Q$  of shape  $\nu$*  is a filling of the boxes of  $\nu$  by positive integers such that

- (a) the entries strictly increase along columns,
- (b) the entries weakly increase along rows.

The *weight of  $Q$*  is the composition  $\text{wt}(Q) = (\text{wt}(Q)_1, \text{wt}(Q)_2, \dots)$  given by

$$\text{wt}(Q)_i = \text{number of } i \text{ in } Q.$$


For example,



Suppose  $\nu, \mu$  are partitions. If  $\nu_i \geq \mu_i$  for all  $1 \leq i \leq \ell(\mu)$ , then the *skew partition  $\nu/\mu$*  is given by

$$\nu/\mu = (\nu_1 - \mu_1, \nu_2 - \mu_2, \dots, \nu_{\ell(\nu)} - \mu_{\ell(\nu)}),$$

where  $\mu_k = 0$  for all  $k \geq \ell(\mu)$ . In terms of boxes, represent  $\nu/\mu$  by removing  $\mu$  from the upper left-hand corner of the diagram  $\nu$ , so if



A *column strict tableau of shape  $\nu/\mu$*  is a filling of  $\nu/\mu$  satisfying (a) and (b) above.

**Symmetric Functions.** The symmetric group  $S_n$  acts on the infinite set of variables  $\{x_1, x_2, \dots\}$  by permuting the indices  $\leq n$  and fixing those  $> n$ . Let

$$\Lambda_{\mathbb{C}}(x) = \{f \in \mathbb{C}[[x_1, x_2, \dots]] : w(f) = f, \text{ permutations } w\}$$

be the ring of symmetric functions in the variables  $\{x_1, x_2, \dots\}$ . Let

$$e_r(x) = \sum_{1 \leq i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_r} x_{i_1} x_{i_2} \cdots x_{i_r} \quad \text{and} \quad p_s(x) = \sum_{1 \leq i} x_i^s, \quad r, s \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0},$$

be the  $r$ th elementary symmetric function and the  $s$ th power sum symmetric function, respectively. For a partition  $\nu = (\nu_1, \nu_2, \dots, \nu_\ell) \in \mathcal{P}$ , let

$$e_\nu(x) = e_{\nu_1}(x) e_{\nu_2}(x) \cdots e_{\nu_\ell}(x), \quad p_\nu(x) = p_{\nu_1}(x) p_{\nu_2}(x) \cdots p_{\nu_\ell}(x)$$

and let

$$s_\nu(x) = \det(e_{\nu'_i - i + j}(x)) \tag{2.5}$$

be the Schur function corresponding to  $\nu$ . The ring

$$\Lambda_{\mathbb{C}}(x) = \mathbb{C}\text{-span}\{e_\nu(x)\} = \mathbb{C}\text{-span}\{p_\nu(x)\} = \mathbb{C}\text{-span}\{s_\nu(x)\}, \tag{2.6}$$

and Pieri's rule says that if  $\nu \in \mathcal{P}$ , then

$$s_\nu(x) s_{(n)}(x) = \sum_{\substack{\text{sh}(P) = \gamma / \nu \\ \text{wt}(P) = (n)}} s_\gamma(x). \quad [\text{Ma, I.5.16}] \tag{2.7}$$

For each  $t \in \mathbb{C}$  and partition  $\nu$ , let  $P_\nu(x; t)$  denote the Hall-Littlewood symmetric function [Ma, III.2]. Since a precise definition is not necessary for this paper, it suffices to remark that

$$P_\nu(x; 0) = s_\nu(x), \quad P_{(1^n)}(x; t) = e_n(x)$$

and for each  $t \in \mathbb{C}$

$$\Lambda_{\mathbb{C}}(x) = \mathbb{C}\text{-span}\{P_\nu(x; t)\}.$$

(For additional details, see [Ma, Chapter I] on symmetric functions and [Ma, Chapter III] on Hall-Littlewood functions).

**Remark.** It is usually sufficient to let  $\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_K\}$  be a finite variable set (for  $K$  much bigger than  $|G_n|$ ); think of symmetric functions as polynomials rather than formal power series by setting  $x_j = 0$  for  $j > K$  in the definitions above. While Theorem 5.2 requires the infinite definition, I urge the reader to think in terms of the finite version everywhere else.

**RSK correspondence.** The classical RSK correspondence provides a combinatorial proof of the identity

$$\prod_{i, j > 0} \frac{1}{1 - x_i y_j} = \sum_{\nu \vdash n, n \geq 0} s_\nu(x) s_\nu(y) \quad [\text{Kn}]$$

by constructing a bijection between the matrices  $b \in M_\ell(\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0})$  and the set of pairs  $(P(b), Q(b))$  of column strict tableaux with the same shape. The bijection is as follows.

If  $P$  is a column strict tableau and  $j \in \mathbb{Z}_{> 0}$ , let  $P \leftarrow j$  be the column strict tableau given by the following algorithm

- (a) Insert  $j$  into the first column of  $P$  by displacing the smallest number  $\geq j$ . If all numbers are  $< j$ , then place  $j$  at the bottom of the first column.
- (b) Iterate this insertion by inserting the displaced entry into the next column.
- (c) Stop when the insertion does not displace an entry.

A *two-line array*  $\begin{pmatrix} i_1 & i_2 & \cdots & i_n \\ j_1 & j_2 & \cdots & j_n \end{pmatrix}$  is a two-rowed array with  $i_1 \leq i_2 \leq \cdots \leq i_n$  and  $j_k \geq j_{k+1}$  if  $i_k = i_{k+1}$ . If  $b \in M_\ell(\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0})$ , then let  $\vec{b}$  be the two-line array with  $b_{ij}$  pairs  $\binom{i}{j}$ .

For  $b \in M_\ell(\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0})$ , suppose

$$\vec{b} = \begin{pmatrix} i_1 & i_2 & \cdots & i_n \\ j_1 & j_2 & \cdots & j_n \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then the pair  $(P(b), Q(b))$  is the final pair in the sequence

$$(\emptyset, \emptyset) = (P_0, Q_0), (P_1, Q_1), (P_2, Q_2), \dots, (P_n, Q_n) = (P(b), Q(b)),$$

where  $(P_k, Q_k)$  is a pair of column strict tableaux with the same shape given by

$$P_k = P_{k-1} \leftarrow j_k \quad \text{and} \quad Q_k \text{ is defined by } \text{sh}(Q_k) = \text{sh}(P_k) \text{ with } i_k \text{ in the new box } \text{sh}(Q_k)/\text{sh}(Q_{k-1}).$$

For example,

$$b = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 2 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{corresponds to} \quad \vec{b} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 2 & 2 & 3 \\ 2 & 1 & 3 & 3 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$$

and provides the sequence

$$(\emptyset, \emptyset), (\boxed{2}, \boxed{1}), (\boxed{1 \ 2}, \boxed{1 \ 1}), (\boxed{\begin{smallmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 3 \end{smallmatrix}}, \boxed{\begin{smallmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 2 \end{smallmatrix}}), (\boxed{\begin{smallmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 3 & 3 \end{smallmatrix}}, \boxed{\begin{smallmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 2 & 2 \end{smallmatrix}}), (\boxed{\begin{smallmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 2 & 3 \end{smallmatrix}}, \boxed{\begin{smallmatrix} 1 & 1 & 3 \\ 2 & 2 \end{smallmatrix}})$$

so that

$$(P(b), Q(b)) = \left( \boxed{\begin{smallmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 2 & 3 \end{smallmatrix}}, \boxed{\begin{smallmatrix} 1 & 1 & 3 \\ 2 & 2 \end{smallmatrix}} \right).$$

**The general linear group.** Let  $G = \text{GL}_n(\mathbb{F}_q)$ , where  $\mathbb{F}_q$  is the finite field with  $q$  elements. Let

$$U = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & * & \cdots & * \\ 0 & 1 & \ddots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & * \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right\} \subseteq G$$

be the subgroup of unipotent, upper-triangular matrices. For  $1 \leq i \neq j \leq n$ , let  $x_{ij}(t)$  be the matrix with ones on the diagonal,  $t$  in the  $(i, j)$ th position and zeroes elsewhere. Then

$$U = \langle x_{ij}(t) : 1 \leq i < j \leq n \rangle.$$

The group  $G$  has a double-coset decomposition given by

$$G = \bigsqcup_{v \in N} UvU, \quad \text{where } N = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} n \times n \text{ matrices with entries from} \\ \mathbb{F}_q \text{ and exactly one nonzero en-} \\ \text{try in each row and column} \end{array} \right\}. \quad (2.8)$$

If  $T \subseteq N$  is the subgroup of diagonal matrices and  $W \subseteq N$  is the the subgroup of permutation matrices, then  $N = WT$  and  $TU = \mathbf{N}_G(U)$  is the normalizer of  $U$  in  $G$ . If necessary, specify the size of the group by a subscript such as  $G_n, U_n, W_n$ , etc. Let  $w_{(k)} \in W_k$  be the  $k \times k$  matrix

$$(w_{(k)})_{ij} = \delta_{j, n-i+1}. \quad \text{For example, } w_{(3)} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (2.9)$$

For  $\mu = (\mu_1, \mu_2, \dots, \mu_\ell) \models n$ ,

$$P_\mu = \left\{ \left( \begin{array}{cccc} \boxed{g_1} & & & * \\ & \boxed{g_2} & & \\ & & \ddots & \\ 0 & & & \boxed{g_\ell} \end{array} \right) : g_i \in G_{\mu_i} = \mathrm{GL}_{\mu_i}(\mathbb{F}_q) \right\} \quad (2.10)$$

is a *parabolic subgroup* of  $G$ . The *Levi subgroup* and the *unipotent radical* of  $P_\mu$  are

$$L_\mu = \left\{ \left( \begin{array}{cccc} \boxed{g_1} & & & 0 \\ & \boxed{g_2} & & \\ & & \ddots & \\ 0 & & & \boxed{g_\ell} \end{array} \right) : g_i \in G_{\mu_i} \right\} \text{ and } U_\mu = \left\{ \left( \begin{array}{cccc} \boxed{Id_{\mu_1}} & & & * \\ & \boxed{Id_{\mu_2}} & & \\ & & \ddots & \\ 0 & & & \boxed{Id_{\mu_\ell}} \end{array} \right) \right\}, \quad (2.11)$$

respectively, where  $Id_k$  is the  $k \times k$  identity matrix. Note that  $P_\mu = L_\mu U_\mu$  and  $P_\mu = \mathbf{N}_G(U_\mu)$ .

### 3 An indexing for the standard basis of $\mathcal{H}_\mu$

Let  $G = \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{F}_q)$ . Fix a nontrivial character  $\psi : \mathbb{F}_q^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^*$  of the additive group of  $\mathbb{F}_q$ . Let  $\mu \models n$  and  $\mathcal{B}_\mu$  be as in (2.3). Since  $x_{ij}(t) \in [U, U]$  for all  $j > i + 1$ , the map  $\psi_\mu : U \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^*$ , defined by

$$\psi_\mu(x_{ij}(t)) = \begin{cases} \psi(t), & \text{if } j = i + 1, i \notin \mathcal{B}_\mu, \\ 1, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} \quad (3.1)$$

is a linear character of  $U$ . Let

$$\mathcal{H}_\mu = \mathrm{End}_G(\mathrm{Ind}_U^G(\psi_\mu)) \cong e_\mu \mathbb{C} G e_\mu, \quad \text{where } e_\mu = \frac{1}{|U|} \sum_{u \in U} \psi_\mu(u^{-1}) u. \quad (3.2)$$

The classical examples of unipotent Hecke algebras are the Yokonuma algebra  $\mathcal{H}_{(1^n)}$  [Yo2] and the Gelfand-Graev Hecke algebra  $\mathcal{H}_{(n)}$  [St]. A fundamental result is

**Theorem 3.1** ([GG],[Yo1],[St]). *For all  $n > 0$ ,  $\mathcal{H}_{(n)}$  is commutative.*

This theorem will follow from Theorem 5.3.

An analysis of (2.1) implies that  $\{T_v = e_\mu v e_\mu : v \in N_\mu\}$  is a basis for  $\mathcal{H}_\mu$ , where

$$N_\mu = \{v \in N : u, vuv^{-1} \in U \text{ implies } \psi_\mu(u) = \psi_\mu(vuv^{-1})\}. \quad [\mathrm{CR}, (11.30)] \quad (3.3)$$

Suppose  $a \in M_\ell(\mathbb{F}_q[X])$  is an  $\ell \times \ell$  matrix with polynomial entries. Let  $d(a_{ij})$  be the degree of the polynomial  $a_{ij}$ . Define the *degree row sums* and the *degree column sums* of  $a$  to be the compositions

$$d^\rightarrow(a) = (d^\rightarrow(a)_1, d^\rightarrow(a)_2, \dots, d^\rightarrow(a)_\ell) \quad \text{and} \quad d^\downarrow(a) = (d^\downarrow(a)_1, d^\downarrow(a)_2, \dots, d^\downarrow(a)_\ell),$$

where

$$d^\rightarrow(a)_i = \sum_{j=1}^{\ell} d(a_{ij}) \quad \text{and} \quad d^\downarrow(a)_j = \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} d(a_{ij}).$$

Let

$$M_\mu = \{a \in M_{\ell(\mu)}(\mathbb{F}_q[X]) : d^\rightarrow(a) = d^\downarrow(a) = \mu, a_{ij} \text{ monic, } a_{ij}(0) \neq 0\}. \quad (3.4)$$





**Remarks.** When  $\mu = (n)$  this theorem says that the map  $(f) \mapsto v_{(f)}$  of (3.5) is a bijection between  $M_{(n)}$  and  $N_{(n)}$ .

*Proof.* Using the remark following the theorem, it is straightforward to reconstruct  $a$  from  $v_a$ . Therefore the map is invertible, and it suffices to show

- (a) the map is well-defined ( $v_a \in N_\mu$ ),
- (b) the map is surjective.

To show (a) and (b), we investigate the matrices  $N_\mu$ . Suppose  $v \in N_\mu$ . Let

$$\begin{aligned} v_i &= \text{the nonzero entry in the } i\text{th column of } v, \\ v(i) &= \text{the row number of the nonzero entry in the } i\text{th column of } v, \end{aligned}$$

so that  $\{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n\}$  are the nonzero entries of  $v$  and  $(v(1), v(2), \dots, v(n))$  is the permutation determined by setting all the nonzero entries of  $v$  to 1. By (3.1),

$$\psi_\mu(x_{ij}(t)) = \begin{cases} \psi(t), & \text{if } j = i + 1 \text{ and } i \notin \mathcal{B}_\mu, \\ 1, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \quad (\text{A})$$

Recall that  $v \in N_\mu$  if and only if  $u, vuv^{-1} \in U$  implies  $\psi_\mu(u) = \psi_\mu(vuv^{-1})$ . That is,  $v \in N_\mu$  if and only if for all  $1 \leq i < j \leq n$  such that  $v(i) < v(j)$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_\mu(x_{ij}(t)) &= \psi_\mu(vx_{ij}(t)v^{-1}) \\ &= \psi_\mu(x_{v(i)v(j)}(v_itv_j^{-1})) \\ &= \begin{cases} \psi(v_itv_j^{-1}), & \text{if } v(j) = v(i) + 1 \text{ and } v(i) \notin \mathcal{B}_\mu, \\ 1, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B})$$

Compare (A) and (B) to obtain that  $v \in N_\mu$  if and only if for all  $1 \leq i < j \leq n$  such that  $v(i) < v(j)$ ,

- (i) If  $i \notin \mathcal{B}_\mu$  and  $v(i) \in \mathcal{B}_\mu$ , then  $j \neq i + 1$ ,
- (ii) If  $i \in \mathcal{B}_\mu$  and  $v(i) \notin \mathcal{B}_\mu$ , then  $v(j) \neq v(i) + 1$ ,
- (iii) If  $i, v(i) \notin \mathcal{B}_\mu$ , then  $j = i + 1$  if and only if  $v(j) = v(i) + 1$ ,
- (iii)' If  $i, v(i) \notin \mathcal{B}_\mu$  and  $v(j) = v(i) + 1$ , then  $v_i = v_{i+1}$ .

We can visualize the implications of the conditions (i)–(iii)' in the following way. Partition the rows and columns of  $v \in N_\mu$  by  $\mu$ . For example,  $\mu = (2, 3, 1)$  partitions  $v$  according to

$$\left( \begin{array}{cc|ccc|c} * & * & * & * & * & * \\ * & * & * & * & * & * \\ \hline * & * & * & * & * & * \\ * & * & * & * & * & * \\ * & * & * & * & * & * \\ \hline * & * & * & * & * & * \end{array} \right).$$

Suppose the nonzero entry of  $v$  in column  $i$  is above a horizontal line but not next to a vertical line. Then condition (i) implies that  $v(i+1) < v(i)$ , so

$$\begin{array}{cccc} 0 & * & & \\ 0 & * & & \\ 0 & * & & \\ \hline 0 & 0 & a & 0 & 0 \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{l} \text{where } a \text{ is the nonzero entry and} \\ * \text{ indicates possible locations for} \\ \text{nonzero entries in the next column.} \end{array} \quad (\text{I})$$

Similarly, condition (ii) implies

$$\begin{array}{cccc} & & & 0 \\ & & & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & a \\ * & * & * & 0 \\ & & & 0 \end{array} \quad (\text{II})$$

and conditions (iii) and (iii)' imply

$$\begin{array}{cccc} 0 & * & & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & * & & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & * & & 0 & 0 & 0 & a & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & a & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & a & 0 \\ * & * & * & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{array} \quad \text{or} \quad \begin{array}{cccc} 0 & 0 & 0 & a & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & a & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & & & & \end{array} . \quad (\text{III})$$

In the case  $\mu = (n)$  condition (III) implies that every  $v \in N_{(n)}$  is of the form

$$\left( \begin{array}{cccc} 0 & & & \boxed{a_r Id_{i_r}} \\ & & \dots & \\ & & \boxed{a_2 Id_{i_2}} & \\ \boxed{a_1 Id_{i_1}} & & & 0 \end{array} \right) = w_{(n)}(a_1 w_{(i_1)} \oplus a_2 w_{(i_2)} \oplus \dots \oplus a_r w_{(i_r)}),$$

where  $(i_1, i_2, \dots, i_r) \models n$ ,  $a_i \in \mathbb{F}_q^*$ , and  $w_{(k)} \in W_k$  is as in (2.9). In fact, this observation proves that the map  $(f) \mapsto v_{(f)}$  is a bijection between  $M_{(n)}$  and  $N_{(n)}$  (mentioned in the remark).

Note that since the matrices  $v_a$  satisfy (I), (II) and (III),  $v_a \in N_\mu$ , proving (a). On the other hand, (I), (II) and (III) imply that each  $v \in N_\mu$  must be of the form  $v = v_a$  for some  $a \in M_\mu$ , proving (b).  $\square$

## 4 An RSK-insertion via the representation theory of $\mathcal{H}_\mu$

Let  $\mathcal{S}$  be a set. An  $\mathcal{S}$ -partition  $\lambda = (\lambda^{(s_1)}, \lambda^{(s_2)}, \dots)$  is a sequence of partitions indexed by the elements of  $\mathcal{S}$ . Let

$$\mathcal{P}^{\mathcal{S}} = \{\mathcal{S}\text{-partitions}\}. \quad (4.1)$$

The following discussion defines two sets  $\Theta$  and  $\Phi$ , so that  $\Theta$ -partitions index the irreducible characters of  $G$  and  $\Phi$ -partitions index the conjugacy classes of  $G$ .

Let  $L_n = \text{Hom}(\mathbb{F}_{q^n}^*, \mathbb{C}^*)$  be the character group of  $\mathbb{F}_{q^n}^*$ . If  $\gamma \in L_m$ , then let

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma_{(r)} : \mathbb{F}_{q^{mr}}^* &\longrightarrow \mathbb{C}^* \\ x &\mapsto \gamma(x^{1+q^r+q^{2r}+\dots+q^{m(r-1)}}) \end{aligned}$$

Thus if  $n = mr$ , then we may view  $L_m \subseteq L_n$  by identifying  $\gamma \in L_m$  with  $\gamma_{(r)} \in L_n$ . Define

$$L = \bigcup_{n \geq 0} L_n.$$

The *Frobenius maps* are

$$\begin{array}{ccc} F : \bar{\mathbb{F}}_q & \rightarrow & \bar{\mathbb{F}}_q \\ x & \mapsto & x^q \end{array} \quad \text{and} \quad \begin{array}{ccc} F : L & \rightarrow & L \\ \gamma & \mapsto & \gamma^q \end{array},$$

where  $\bar{\mathbb{F}}_q$  is the algebraic closure of  $\mathbb{F}_q$ .

The map

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \{\text{F-orbits of } \bar{\mathbb{F}}_q^*\} & \longrightarrow & \{f \in \mathbb{F}_q[t] : f \text{ is monic, irreducible, and } f(0) \neq 0\} \\ \{x, x^q, x^{q^2}, \dots, x^{q^{k-1}}\} & \mapsto & f_x = \prod_{i=1}^{k-1} (t - x^{q^i}), \quad \text{where } x^{q^k} = x \in \bar{\mathbb{F}}_q^* \end{array}$$

is a bijection such that the size of the  $F$ -orbit of  $x$  equals the degree  $d(f_x)$  of  $f_x$ . Let

$$\Phi = \left\{ f \in \mathbb{C}[t] : \begin{array}{l} f \text{ is monic, irre-} \\ \text{ducible and } f(0) \neq 0 \end{array} \right\} \quad \text{and} \quad \Theta = \{F\text{-orbits in } L\}. \quad (4.2)$$

If  $\eta$  is a  $\Phi$ -partition and  $\lambda$  is a  $\Theta$ -partition, then let

$$|\eta| = \sum_{f \in \Phi} d(f) |\eta^{(f)}| \quad \text{and} \quad |\lambda| = \sum_{\varphi \in \Theta} |\varphi| |\lambda^{(\varphi)}|$$

be the *size* of  $\eta$  and  $\lambda$ , respectively. Let the sets  $\mathcal{P}^\Phi$  and  $\mathcal{P}^\Theta$  be as in (4.1) and let

$$\mathcal{P}_n^\Phi = \{\eta \in \mathcal{P}^\Phi : |\eta| = n\} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{P}_n^\Theta = \{\lambda \in \mathcal{P}^\Theta : |\lambda| = n\}. \quad (4.3)$$

**Theorem 4.1 (Green [Gr]).** *Let  $G_n = \text{GL}_n(\mathbb{F}_q)$ .*

- (a)  $\mathcal{P}_n^\Phi$  indexes the conjugacy classes  $K^\eta$  of  $G_n$ ,
- (b)  $\mathcal{P}_n^\Theta$  indexes the irreducible  $G_n$ -modules  $G_n^\lambda$ .

Suppose  $\lambda \in \mathcal{P}^\Theta$ . A *column strict tableau*  $P = (P^{(\varphi_1)}, P^{(\varphi_2)}, \dots)$  of shape  $\lambda$  is a column strict filling of  $\lambda$  by positive integers. That is,  $P^{(\varphi)}$  is a column strict tableau of shape  $\lambda^{(\varphi)}$ . Write  $\text{sh}(P) = \lambda$ . The *weight* of  $P$  is the composition  $\text{wt}(P) = (\text{wt}(P)_1, \text{wt}(P)_2, \dots)$  given by

$$\text{wt}(P)_i = \sum_{\varphi \in \Theta} |\varphi| \left( \begin{array}{c} \text{number of} \\ i \text{ in } P^{(\varphi)} \end{array} \right).$$

If  $\lambda \in \mathcal{P}^\Theta$  and  $\mu$  is a composition, then let

$$\hat{\mathcal{H}}_\mu^\lambda = \{\text{column strict tableaux } P : \text{sh}(P) = \lambda, \text{wt}(P) = \mu\} \quad (4.4)$$

and

$$\hat{\mathcal{H}}_\mu = \{\lambda \in \mathcal{P}^\Theta : \hat{\mathcal{H}}_\mu^\lambda \text{ is not empty}\}. \quad (4.5)$$

The following theorem is a consequence of (2.2) and a theorem proved by Zelevinsky [Ze] (see Theorem 5.1). A proof of Zelevinsky's theorem is in Section 5.

**Theorem 4.2.** *The set  $\hat{\mathcal{H}}_\mu$  indexes the irreducible  $\mathcal{H}_\mu$ -modules  $\mathcal{H}_\mu^\lambda$  and*

$$\dim(\mathcal{H}_\mu^\lambda) = |\hat{\mathcal{H}}_\mu^\lambda|.$$

The  $(\mathcal{H}_\mu, \mathcal{H}_\mu)$ -bimodule decomposition

$$\mathcal{H}_\mu \cong \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \hat{\mathcal{H}}_\mu} \mathcal{H}_\mu^\lambda \otimes \mathcal{H}_\mu^\lambda \quad \text{implies} \quad |N_\mu| = \dim(\mathcal{H}_\mu) = \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \hat{\mathcal{H}}_\mu} \dim(\mathcal{H}_\mu^\lambda)^2 = \sum_{\lambda \in \hat{\mathcal{H}}_\mu} |\hat{\mathcal{H}}_\mu^\lambda|^2.$$

Theorem 4.3, below, gives a combinatorial proof of this identity.

Encode each matrix  $a \in M_\mu$  as a  $\Phi$ -sequence

$$(a^{(f_1)}, a^{(f_2)}, \dots), \quad f_i \in \Phi,$$

where  $a^{(f)} \in M_{\ell(\mu)}(\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0})$  is given by

$$a_{ij}^{(f)} = \text{highest power of } f \text{ dividing } a_{ij}.$$

Note that this is an entry by entry ‘‘factorization’’ of  $a$  such that

$$a_{ij} = \prod_{f \in \Phi} f^{a_{ij}^{(f)}}.$$

Recall from page 5 the classical RSK correspondence

$$\begin{aligned} M_\ell(\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}) &\longrightarrow \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{Pairs } (P, Q) \text{ of column strict} \\ \text{tableaux of the same shape} \end{array} \right\} \\ b &\mapsto (P(b), Q(b)). \end{aligned}$$

**Theorem 4.3.** *For  $a \in M_\mu$ , let  $P(a)$  and  $Q(a)$  be the  $\Phi$ -column strict tableaux given by*

$$P(a) = (P(a^{(f_1)}), P(a^{(f_2)}), \dots) \quad \text{and} \quad Q(a) = (Q(a^{(f_1)}), Q(a^{(f_2)}), \dots) \quad \text{for } f_i \in \Phi.$$

Then the map

$$\begin{aligned} N_\mu &\longrightarrow M_\mu \longrightarrow \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{Pairs } (P, Q) \text{ of } \Phi\text{-column} \\ \text{strict tableaux of the same} \\ \text{shape and weight } \mu \end{array} \right\} \\ v &\mapsto a_v \mapsto (P(a_v), Q(a_v)), \end{aligned}$$

is a bijection, where the first map is the inverse of the bijection of Theorem 3.2.

By the construction above, the map is well-defined and since all the steps are invertible, the map is a bijection.

For example, suppose  $\mu = (7, 5, 3, 2)$  and  $f, g, h \in \Phi$  are such that  $d(f) = 1$ ,  $d(g) = 2$ , and  $d(h) = 3$ . Then

$$a_v = \begin{pmatrix} g & f^2 h & 1 & 1 \\ h & 1 & g & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & f & f^2 \\ g & 1 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \in M_{\begin{array}{cccc} \blacksquare & \blacksquare & \blacksquare & \blacksquare \\ \blacksquare & \blacksquare & \blacksquare & \blacksquare \\ \blacksquare & \blacksquare & \blacksquare & \blacksquare \\ \blacksquare & \blacksquare & \blacksquare & \blacksquare \end{array}}$$

corresponds to the sequence

$$(a_v^{(f_1)}, a_v^{(f_2)}, \dots) = \left( \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}^{(f)}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}^{(g)}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}^{(h)} \right)$$

and

$$(P(a_v), Q(a_v)) = \left( \begin{array}{|c|c|c|} \hline 2 & 2 & 4 \\ \hline 3 & 4 & \\ \hline \end{array}^{(f)}, \begin{array}{|c|c|} \hline 1 & 1 \\ \hline 3 & \\ \hline \end{array}^{(g)}, \begin{array}{|c|c|} \hline 1 & 2 \\ \hline \\ \hline \end{array}^{(h)} \right) \left( \begin{array}{|c|c|c|} \hline 1 & 1 & 3 \\ \hline 3 & 3 & \\ \hline \end{array}^{(f)}, \begin{array}{|c|c|} \hline 1 & 4 \\ \hline 2 & \\ \hline \end{array}^{(g)}, \begin{array}{|c|c|} \hline 1 & 2 \\ \hline \\ \hline \end{array}^{(h)} \right).$$

## 5 Zelevinsky's decomposition of $\text{Ind}_U^G(\psi_\mu)$

This section proves the theorem

**Theorem 5.1 (Zelevinsky [Ze]).** *Let  $U$  be the subgroup of unipotent upper-triangular matrices of  $G = \text{GL}_n(\mathbb{F}_q)$ ,  $\mu \models n$  and  $\psi_\mu$  be as in (3.1).*

$$\text{Ind}_U^G(\psi_\mu) = \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \hat{\mathcal{H}}_\mu} (G^\lambda)^{\oplus |\hat{\mathcal{H}}_\mu^\lambda|}.$$

Theorem 4.2 follows from this theorem and double-centralizer theory (2.2). The following will

- (i) establish the necessary connection between symmetric functions and the representation theory of  $G$ ,
- (ii) prove Theorem 5.1 for the case when  $\ell(\mu) = 1$ ,
- (iii) generalize to arbitrary  $\mu$ .

The proof below uses the ideas of Zelevinsky's proof, but explicitly uses symmetric functions to prove the results. Specifically, the following discussion through the proof of Theorem 5.3 corresponds to [Ze, Sections 9-11] and Theorem 5.1 corresponds to [Ze, Theorem 12.1].

**Preliminaries to the proof.** Suppose  $\lambda \in \mathcal{P}^\Theta$  and  $\eta \in \mathcal{P}^\Phi$  (see (4.3)). Let  $\chi^\lambda$  be the irreducible character corresponding to the irreducible  $G$ -module  $G^\lambda$  and let  $\kappa^\eta$  be the characteristic function corresponding to the conjugacy class  $K^\eta$  (see Theorem 4.1), given by

$$\kappa^\eta(g) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } g \in K^\eta, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} \quad \text{for } g \in G_{|\eta|}.$$

Define

$$R = \mathbb{C}\text{-span}\{\chi^\lambda : \lambda \in \mathcal{P}^\Theta\} = \mathbb{C}\text{-span}\{\kappa^\eta : \eta \in \mathcal{P}^\Phi\}.$$

The space  $R$  has an inner product defined by

$$\langle \chi^\lambda, \chi^\nu \rangle = \delta_{\lambda\nu},$$

and multiplication

$$\chi^\lambda \circ \chi^\nu = \text{Ind}_{L(r,s)}^{G_{r+s}}(\chi^\lambda \otimes \chi^\nu) = \text{Ind}_{P(r,s)}^{G_{r+s}} \left( \text{Inf}_{L(r,s)}^{P(r,s)}(\chi^\lambda \otimes \chi^\nu) \right), \quad \text{for } \lambda \in \mathcal{P}_r^\Theta, \nu \in \mathcal{P}_s^\Theta, \quad (5.1)$$

where if  $p \in P_\mu = L_\mu U_\mu$  decomposes as  $p = lu$  for  $u \in U_\mu, l \in L_\mu$ , then

$$\text{Inf}_{L_\mu}^{P_\mu}(\chi^{\gamma_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes \chi^{\gamma_\ell})(p) = \chi^{\gamma_1}(l_1) \cdots \chi^{\gamma_\ell}(l_\ell), \quad \text{for } l = (l_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus l_\ell), l_i \in G_{\mu_i}, \gamma_i \in \mathcal{P}_{\mu_i}^\Theta.$$

For each  $\varphi \in \Theta$ , let  $\{Y_1^{(\varphi)}, Y_2^{(\varphi)}, \dots\}$  be an infinite set of variables, and let

$$\Lambda_{\mathbb{C}} = \bigotimes_{\varphi \in \Theta} \Lambda_{\mathbb{C}}(Y^{(\varphi)}),$$

where  $\Lambda_{\mathbb{C}}(Y^{(\varphi)})$  is the ring of symmetric functions in  $\{Y_1^{(\varphi)}, Y_2^{(\varphi)}, \dots\}$  (see page 4). For each  $f \in \Phi$ , define an additional set of variables  $\{X_1^{(f)}, X_2^{(f)}, \dots\}$  such that the symmetric functions in the  $Y$  variables are related to the symmetric functions in the  $X$  variables by the transform

$$p_k(Y^{(\varphi)}) = (-1)^{k|\varphi|-1} \sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_{q^{k|\varphi}}^*} \xi(x) p_{\frac{k|\varphi|}{d(f_x)}}(X^{(f_x)}), \quad (5.2)$$

where  $\xi \in \varphi, f_x \in \Phi$  is the irreducible polynomial that has  $x$  as a root, and  $p_{\frac{a}{b}}(X^{(f)}) = 0$  if  $\frac{a}{b} \notin \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ . Then

$$\Lambda_{\mathbb{C}} = \bigotimes_{f \in \Phi} \Lambda_{\mathbb{C}}(X^{(f)}).$$

For  $\nu \in \mathcal{P}$ , let  $s_\nu(Y^{(\varphi)})$  be the Schur function and  $P_\nu(X^{(f)}; t)$  be the Hall-Littlewood symmetric function (see page 4). Define

$$s_\lambda = \prod_{\varphi \in \Theta} s_{\lambda^{(\varphi)}}(Y^{(\varphi)}) \quad \text{and} \quad P_\eta = q^{-n(\eta)} \prod_{f \in \Phi} P_{\eta^{(f)}}(X^{(f)}; q^{-d(f)}), \quad (5.3)$$

where  $n(\eta) = \sum_{f \in \Phi} d(f)n(\eta^{(f)})$  and  $n(\mu) = \sum_{i=1}^\ell (i-1)\mu_i$ , for  $\mu$  a composition. The ring

$$\Lambda_{\mathbb{C}} = \mathbb{C}\text{-span}\{s_\lambda : \lambda \in \mathcal{P}^\Theta\} = \mathbb{C}\text{-span}\{P_\eta : \eta \in \mathcal{P}^\Phi\}$$

has an inner product given by

$$\langle s_\lambda, s_\nu \rangle = \delta_{\lambda\nu}.$$

**Theorem 5.2 (Green [Gr], Macdonald [Ma]).** *The linear map*

$$\begin{aligned} \text{ch} : R &\longrightarrow \Lambda_{\mathbb{C}} \\ \chi^\lambda &\mapsto s_\lambda, & \text{for } \lambda \in \mathcal{P}^\Theta \\ \kappa^\eta &\mapsto P_\eta, & \text{for } \eta \in \mathcal{P}^\Phi, \end{aligned}$$

*is an algebra isomorphism that preserves the inner product.*

The unipotent conjugacy classes  $K^\eta$  satisfy  $\eta^{(f)} = \emptyset$  unless  $f = t-1$ . Let

$$\mathcal{U} = \mathbb{C}\text{-span}\{\kappa^\eta : \eta^{(f)} = \emptyset, \text{ unless } f = t-1\} \subseteq R$$

be the subalgebra of unipotent class functions. Note that by (5.3) and Theorem 5.2

$$\text{ch}(\mathcal{U}) = \Lambda_{\mathbb{C}}(X^{(t-1)}).$$

Consider the projection  $\pi : R \rightarrow \mathcal{U}$  which is an algebra homomorphism given by

$$(\pi\chi^\lambda)(g) = \begin{cases} \chi^\lambda(g), & \text{if } g \in G \text{ is unipotent,} \\ 0, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} \quad \lambda \in \mathcal{P}^\Theta.$$

Then  $\tilde{\pi} = \pi \circ \text{ch}^{-1} : \Lambda_{\mathbb{C}} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\pi}(p_k(Y^{(\varphi)})) &= \tilde{\pi} \left( (-1)^{k|\varphi|-1} \sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_{q^{k|\varphi}}^*} \xi(x) p_{\frac{k|\varphi|}{d(fx)}}(X^{(fx)}) \right) \quad (\text{by (5.2)}) \\ &= (-1)^{k|\varphi|-1} \xi(1) p_{\frac{k|\varphi|}{1}}(X^{(t-1)}) + 0 \\ &= (-1)^{k|\varphi|-1} p_{k|\varphi|}(X^{(t-1)}). \end{aligned} \quad (5.4)$$

**The decomposition of  $\text{Ind}_U^G(\psi_{(n)})$ .** The representation  $\text{Ind}_U^G(\psi_{(n)})$  is the Gelfand-Graev module, and with (2.2), Theorem 5.3 proves that  $\mathcal{H}_{(n)}$  is commutative.

For  $\lambda \in \mathcal{P}^{\Theta}$ , let

$$\text{ht}(\lambda) = \max\{\ell(\lambda^{(\varphi)}) : \varphi \in \Theta\}.$$

**Theorem 5.3.**

$$\text{ch}(\text{Ind}_U^G(\psi_{(n)})) = \sum_{\lambda \in \mathcal{P}_n^{\Theta}, \text{ht}(\lambda)=1} s_{\lambda}.$$

*Proof.* Let

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi : R &\longrightarrow \mathbb{C} \\ \chi^{\lambda} &\mapsto \langle \chi^{\lambda}, \text{Ind}_U^G(\psi_{(n)}) \rangle \end{aligned} \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{\Psi} : \Lambda_{\mathbb{C}} \xrightarrow{\text{ch}^{-1}} R \xrightarrow{\Psi} \mathbb{C}. \quad (5.5)$$

For any group  $H$ , let  $1_H$  be the trivial character of  $H$ ,  $e_H = \frac{1}{|H|} \sum_{h \in H} h$ , and  $\langle \chi, \gamma \rangle_H = \frac{1}{|H|} \sum_{h \in H} \chi(h) \gamma(h^{-1})$ , for all class functions  $\gamma$  and  $\chi$  of  $H$ .

The proof is in six steps.

- (a)  $\tilde{\Psi}(e_k(Y^{(1)})) = \delta_{k1}$ , where 1 is the trivial character of  $\mathbb{F}_q^*$ ,
- (b)  $\Psi(\chi^{\lambda}) = \dim(e_{(n)}G^{\lambda})$  for  $\lambda \in \mathcal{P}^{\Theta}$ ,
- (c)  $\tilde{\Psi}(fg) = \tilde{\Psi}(f)\tilde{\Psi}(g)$  for all  $f, g \in \Lambda_{\mathbb{C}}(Y^{(1)})$ , where 1 is the trivial character of  $\mathbb{F}_q^*$ ,
- (d)  $\Psi \circ \pi = \Psi$ ,
- (e)  $\tilde{\Psi}(f(Y^{(\varphi)})) = \tilde{\Psi}(f(Y^{(1)}))$  for all  $f \in \Lambda_{\mathbb{C}}(Y^{(\varphi)})$ ,
- (f)  $\tilde{\Psi}(s_{\lambda}) = \delta_{\text{ht}(\lambda)1}$ .

(a) An argument similar to the argument in [Ma, pgs. 285-286] shows that

$$\text{ch}^{-1}(e_k(Y^{(1)})) = 1_{G_k}$$

(see [HR, Theorem 4.9 (a)] for details). Therefore, by Frobenius reciprocity and the orthogonality of characters,

$$\tilde{\Psi}(e_k(Y^{(1)})) = \langle 1_{G_k}, \text{Ind}_U^G(\psi_{(n)}) \rangle = \langle 1_{U_k}, \psi_{(n)} \rangle_{U_k} = \delta_{k1}.$$

(b) Since there exists an idempotent  $e$  such that  $G^{\lambda} \cong \mathbb{C}Ge$  and  $\text{Ind}_U^G(\psi_{(n)}) \cong \mathbb{C}Ge_{(n)}$ , the map

$$\begin{aligned} e_{(n)}\mathbb{C}Ge &\longrightarrow \text{Hom}_G(G^{\lambda}, \mathbb{C}Ge_{(n)}) \\ e_{(n)}ge &\mapsto \begin{array}{l} \gamma_g : \mathbb{C}Ge \rightarrow \mathbb{C}Ge_{(n)} \\ xe \mapsto xege_{(n)} \end{array} \end{aligned}$$

is a vector space isomorphism (using an argument similar to the proof of [CR, (3.18)]). Thus,

$$\Psi(\chi^\lambda) = \langle \chi^\lambda, \text{Ind}_U^G(\psi_{(n)}) \rangle = \dim(\text{Hom}_G(G^\lambda, \text{Ind}_U^G(\psi_{(n)}))) = \dim(e_{(n)}\mathbb{C}Ge) = \dim(e_{(n)}G^\lambda).$$

(c) By (a),  $\tilde{\Psi}(e_r(Y^{(1)}))\tilde{\Psi}(e_s(Y^{(1)})) = \delta_{r1}\delta_{s1}$ . Since  $\Lambda_{\mathbb{C}}(Y^{(1)}) = \mathbb{C}[e_1(Y^{(1)}), e_2(Y^{(1)}), \dots]$ , it therefore suffices to show that

$$\tilde{\Psi}(e_r(Y^{(1)})e_s(Y^{(1)})) = \delta_{r1}\delta_{s1}.$$

Suppose  $r + s = n$  and let  $P = P_{(r,s)}$ . Then

$$\tilde{\Psi}(e_r(Y^{(1)})e_s(Y^{(1)})) = \Psi(\text{Ind}_P^{G^n}(1_P)) = \dim(e_{(n)}\mathbb{C}Ge_P).$$

Since  $T \subseteq P$ ,  $e_P = e_{(1^n)}e_P$ ,  $G = \bigsqcup_{v \in N} UvU$ , and  $N = WT$ ,

$$e_{(n)}\mathbb{C}Ge_P = e_{(n)}\mathbb{C}Ge_{(1^n)}e_P = \mathbb{C}\text{-span}\{e_{(n)}we_{(1^n)}e_P : w \in W\}.$$

If there exists  $1 \leq i \leq n$  such that  $w(i) = w(i) + 1$ , then

$$e_{(n)}we_{(1^n)} = e_{(n)}wx_{i,i+1}(t)e_{(1^n)} = e_{(n)}x_{w(i),w(i)+1}(t)we_{(1^n)} = \psi(t)e_{(n)}we_{(1^n)}.$$

Therefore,  $e_{(n)}we_{(1^n)} = 0$  unless  $w = w_{(n)}$ . If  $r > 1$  or  $s > 1$ , then there exists  $1 \leq i \leq n$  such that  $x_{i+1,i}(t) \in P_{(r,s)}$ , so

$$e_{(n)}w_{(n)}e_P = e_{(n)}w_{(n)}x_{i+1,i}(t)e_P = e_{(n)}x_{n-i,n-i+1}(t)w_{(n)}e_P = \psi(t)e_{(n)}w_{(n)}e_P = 0.$$

In particular,

$$\dim(e_{(n)}\mathbb{C}Ge_P) = 0.$$

If  $r = s = 1$ , then  $P_{(1,1)}$  is upper-triangular, so

$$e_{(2)}w_{(2)}e_P \neq 0$$

and  $\dim(e_{(2)}\mathbb{C}Ge_P) = 1$ , giving  $\tilde{\Psi}(e_r(Y^{(1)})e_s(Y^{(1)})) = \delta_{r1}\delta_{s1}$ .

(d) By Frobenius reciprocity,

$$\langle \chi^\lambda, \text{Ind}_U^{G^n}(\psi_{(n)}) \rangle = \langle \text{Res}_{U_n}^{G^n}(\chi^\lambda), \psi_{(n)} \rangle_{U_n} = \langle \text{Res}_{U_n}^{G^n}(\pi(\chi^\lambda)), \psi_{(n)} \rangle_{U_n} = \langle \pi(\chi^\lambda), \text{Ind}_{U_n}^{G^n}(\psi_{(n)}) \rangle,$$

so  $\Psi = \tilde{\Psi} \circ \pi$ .

(e) Induct on  $n$ , using (c) and the identity

$$(-1)^{n-1}p_n(Y^{(1)}) = ne_n(Y^{(1)}) - \sum_{r=1}^{n-1} (-1)^{r-1}p_r(Y^{(1)})e_{n-r}(Y^{(1)}), \quad [\text{Ma, I.2.11}']$$

to obtain  $\tilde{\Psi}(p_n(Y^{(1)})) = 1$ . Note that

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\Psi}(p_n(Y^{(\varphi)})) &= \tilde{\Psi}(\pi(p_n(Y^{(\varphi)}))) = \tilde{\Psi}((-1)^{|\varphi|n-1}p_{|\varphi|n}(X^{(t-1)})) = \tilde{\Psi}(\pi(p_{|\varphi|k}(Y^{(1)}))) \\ &= \tilde{\Psi}(p_{|\varphi|k}(Y^{(1)})) = 1 = \tilde{\Psi}(p_k(Y^{(1)})). \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\tilde{\Psi}$  is multiplicative on  $\Lambda_{\mathbb{C}}(Y^{(1)})$ ,

$$\tilde{\Psi}(p_\nu(Y^{(\varphi)})) = 1 = \tilde{\Psi}(p_\nu(Y^{(1)})), \quad \text{for all partitions } \nu.$$



In particular, since  $\tilde{\Psi}$  is linear and  $\Lambda_{\mathbb{C}}(Y^{(\varphi)}) = \mathbb{C}\text{-span}\{p_{\nu}(Y^{(\varphi)})\}$ ,

$$\tilde{\Psi}(f(Y^{(\varphi)})) = \tilde{\Psi}(f(Y^{(1)})), \quad \text{for all } f \in \Lambda_{\mathbb{C}}(Y^{(\varphi)}).$$

Note that (e) also implies that  $\tilde{\Psi}$  is multiplicative on all of  $\Lambda_{\mathbb{C}}$ .

(f) Note that

$$\tilde{\Psi}(s_{\lambda}) = \tilde{\Psi}\left(\prod_{\varphi \in \Theta} s_{\lambda(\varphi)}(Y^{(\varphi)})\right) = \tilde{\Psi}\left(\prod_{\varphi \in \Theta} s_{\lambda(\varphi)}(Y^{(1)})\right) = \prod_{\varphi \in \Theta} \tilde{\Psi}(s_{\lambda(\varphi)}(Y^{(1)})),$$

where the last two equalities follow from (e) and (c), respectively. By definition  $s_{\nu}(Y^{(1)}) = \det(e_{\nu'_i - i + j}(Y^{(1)}))$ , so

$$\tilde{\Psi}(s_{\nu}(Y^{(1)})) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } \ell(\nu) = 1, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

implies

$$\text{ch}(\text{Ind}_U^G(\psi_{(n)})) = \sum_{\lambda \in \mathcal{P}_n^{\Theta}} \tilde{\Psi}(s_{\lambda}) s_{\lambda} = \sum_{\lambda \in \mathcal{P}_n^{\Theta}, \text{ht}(\lambda)=1} s_{\lambda}. \quad \square$$

**Decomposition of  $\text{Ind}_U^G(\psi_{\mu})$ .** Suppose  $\lambda, \nu \in \mathcal{P}^{\Theta}$ . A *column strict tableau*  $P$  of shape  $\lambda$  and *weight*  $\nu$  is a column strict filling of  $\lambda$  such that for each  $\varphi \in \Theta$ ,

$$\text{sh}(P^{(\varphi)}) = \lambda^{(\varphi)} \quad \text{and} \quad \text{wt}(P^{(\varphi)}) = \nu^{(\varphi)}.$$

We can now prove the theorem stated at the beginning of this section:

**Theorem 5.1 ([Ze])** Let  $U$  be the subgroup of unipotent upper-triangular matrices of  $G = \text{GL}_n(\mathbb{F}_q)$ ,  $\mu \models n$  and  $\psi_{\mu}$  be as in (3.1). Then

$$\text{Ind}_U^G(\psi_{\mu}) = \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \mathcal{H}_{\mu}} (G^{\lambda})^{\oplus |\mathcal{H}_{\mu}^{\lambda}|}.$$

*Proof.* Note that

$$\text{Ind}_U^{P_{\mu}}(\psi_{\mu}) \cong \mathbb{C}P_{\mu}e_{\mu} = \mathbb{C}P_{\mu}e_{[\mu]}e'_{[\mu]},$$

where

$$e_{[\mu]} = \frac{1}{|U \cap L_{\mu}|} \sum_{u \in U \cap L_{\mu}} \psi_{\mu}(u^{-1})u \quad \text{and} \quad e'_{[\mu]} = \frac{1}{|U_{\mu}|} \sum_{u \in U_{\mu}} u. \quad (5.6)$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Ind}_U^{P_{\mu}}(\psi_{\mu}) &\cong \text{Inf}_{L_{\mu}}^{P_{\mu}}\left(\text{Ind}_{U \cap L_{\mu}}^{L_{\mu}}(\psi_{\mu})\right) \\ &\cong \text{Inf}_{L_{\mu}}^{P_{\mu}}\left(\text{Ind}_{U_{\mu_1}}^{G_{\mu_1}}(\psi_{(\mu_1)}) \otimes \text{Ind}_{U_{\mu_2}}^{G_{\mu_2}}(\psi_{(\mu_2)}) \otimes \cdots \otimes \text{Ind}_{U_{\mu_{\ell}}}^{G_{\mu_{\ell}}}(\psi_{(\mu_{\ell})})\right) \end{aligned}$$

In particular, by the definition of multiplication in  $R$  (5.1),

$$\Gamma_{\mu} = \text{ch}(\text{Ind}_U^G(\psi_{\mu})) = \Gamma_{\mu_1} \Gamma_{\mu_2} \cdots \Gamma_{\mu_{\ell}}, \quad \text{where } \Gamma_{\mu_i} = \sum_{\lambda \in \mathcal{P}_{\mu_i}^{\Theta}, \text{ht}(\lambda)=1} s_{\lambda}.$$

Pieri's rule (2.7) implies that for  $\lambda \in \mathcal{P}_r^\ominus$ ,  $\nu \in \mathcal{P}_s^\ominus$  and  $\text{ht}(\nu) = 1$ ,

$$s_\lambda s_\nu = \sum_{\gamma \in \mathcal{P}_{r+s}^\ominus, |\hat{\mathcal{H}}_\nu^{\gamma/\lambda}| \neq 0} s_\gamma, \quad \text{so} \quad \Gamma_\mu = \sum_{\lambda \in \mathcal{P}^\ominus} K_{\lambda\mu} s_\lambda,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} K_{\lambda\mu} &= \text{Card}\{\emptyset = \gamma_0 \subset \gamma_1 \subset \gamma_2 \subset \cdots \subset \gamma_\ell = \lambda : |\hat{\mathcal{H}}_{(\mu_{i+1})}^{\gamma_{i+1}/\gamma_i}| = 1\} \\ &= \text{Card}\{\text{column strict tableaux of shape } \lambda \text{ and weight } \mu\} = |\hat{\mathcal{H}}_\mu^\lambda|. \end{aligned}$$

By Green's Theorem (Theorem 5.2),  $\text{ch}$  is an isomorphism, so

$$\text{Ind}_U^G(\psi_\mu) = \text{ch}^{-1}(\Gamma_\mu) = \sum_{\lambda \in \hat{\mathcal{H}}_\mu} |\hat{\mathcal{H}}_\mu^\lambda| \text{ch}^{-1}(s_\lambda) = \sum_{\lambda \in \hat{\mathcal{H}}_\mu} (G^\lambda)^{\oplus |\hat{\mathcal{H}}_\mu^\lambda|}. \quad \square$$

## 6 A weight space decomposition of $\mathcal{H}_\mu$ -modules

Let  $\mu = (\mu_1, \mu_2, \dots, \mu_\ell) \models n$  and let  $P_\mu$ ,  $L_\mu$  and  $U_\mu$  be as in (2.10) and (2.11). Recall that

$$e_\mu = \frac{1}{|U|} \sum_{u \in U} \psi_\mu(u^{-1})u.$$

**Theorem 6.1.** *For  $a \in M_\mu$ , let  $T_a = e_\mu v_a e_\mu$  with  $v_a$  as in (3.6). Then the map*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H}_{(\mu_1)} \otimes \mathcal{H}_{(\mu_2)} \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathcal{H}_{(\mu_\ell)} &\longrightarrow \mathcal{H}_\mu \\ T_{(f_1)} \otimes T_{(f_2)} \otimes \cdots \otimes T_{(f_\ell)} &\mapsto T_{(f_1) \oplus (f_2) \oplus \cdots \oplus (f_\ell)}, \quad \text{for } (f_i) \in M_{(\mu_i)} \end{aligned}$$

is an injective algebra homomorphism with image  $\mathcal{L}_\mu = e_\mu P_\mu e_\mu = e_\mu L_\mu e_\mu$ .

*Proof.* Note that

$$T_{(f_1)} \otimes T_{(f_2)} \otimes \cdots \otimes T_{(f_\ell)} = \frac{1}{|U \cap L_\mu|^2} \sum_{x_i, y_i \in U_{\mu_i}} \left( \prod_{i=1}^{\ell} \psi_\mu(x_i^{-1} y_i^{-1}) \right) x_1 v_{(f_1)} y_1 \otimes x_2 v_{(f_2)} y_2 \otimes \cdots \otimes x_\ell v_{(f_\ell)} y_\ell.$$

Since  $U = (L_\mu \cap U)(U_\mu)$ ,  $L_\mu \cap U \cong U_{\mu_1} \times U_{\mu_2} \times \cdots \times U_{\mu_\ell}$ , and  $\psi_\mu$  is trivial on  $U_\mu$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} T_{(f_1) \oplus (f_2) \oplus \cdots \oplus (f_\ell)} &= \frac{1}{|U|^2} \sum_{x, y \in U} \psi_\mu(x^{-1} y^{-1}) x(v_{(f_1)} \oplus v_{(f_2)} \oplus \cdots \oplus v_{(f_\ell)}) y \\ &= \frac{1}{|U \cap L_\mu|^2} \sum_{x_i, y_i \in U_{\mu_i}} \psi_\mu(x_1^{-1} y_1^{-1} \oplus \cdots \oplus x_\ell^{-1} y_\ell^{-1}) e'_{[\mu]} x_1 v_{(f_1)} y_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus x_\ell v_{(f_\ell)} y_\ell e'_{[\mu]}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $e'_{[\mu]}$  is as in (5.6). Since  $L_\mu \subseteq \mathbf{N}_G(U_\mu)$ , the idempotent  $e'_{[\mu]}$  commutes with  $x_1 v_{(f_1)} y_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus x_\ell v_{(f_\ell)} y_\ell$  and

$$T_{(f_1) \oplus (f_2) \oplus \cdots \oplus (f_\ell)} = \frac{e'_{[\mu]}}{|L \cap U|^2} \sum_{x_i, y_i \in U_{\mu_i}} \left( \prod_{i=1}^{\ell} \psi_\mu(x_i^{-1} y_i^{-1}) \right) x_1 v_{(f_1)} y_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus x_\ell v_{(f_\ell)} y_\ell.$$

Consequently, the map multiplies by  $e'_{[\mu]}$  and changes  $\otimes$  to  $\oplus$ , so it is an algebra homomorphism. Since the map sends basis elements to basis elements, it is also injective.  $\square$

Let  $\mathcal{L}_\mu$  be as in Theorem 6.1. By Theorem 3.1 each  $\mathcal{H}_{(\mu_i)}$  is commutative, so  $\mathcal{L}_\mu$  is commutative and all the irreducible  $\mathcal{L}_\mu$ -modules  $\mathcal{L}_\mu^\gamma$  are one-dimensional. Theorem 4.2 implies that

$$\hat{\mathcal{H}}_{(\mu_i)} = \{\Theta\text{-partitions } \lambda : |\lambda| = \mu_i, \text{ht}(\lambda) = 1\}.$$

indexes the irreducible  $\mathcal{H}_{(\mu_i)}$ -modules. Therefore, the set

$$\hat{\mathcal{L}}_\mu = \hat{\mathcal{H}}_{(\mu_1)} \times \hat{\mathcal{H}}_{(\mu_2)} \times \cdots \times \hat{\mathcal{H}}_{(\mu_\ell)} = \{\gamma = (\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \dots, \gamma_\ell) : \gamma_i \in \hat{\mathcal{H}}_{(\mu_i)}\} \quad (6.1)$$

indexes the irreducible  $\mathcal{L}_\mu$ -modules. Identify  $\gamma \in \hat{\mathcal{L}}_\mu$  with the map  $\gamma : \mathcal{L}_\mu \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  such that

$$yv = \gamma(y)v, \quad \text{for all } y \in \mathcal{L}_\mu, v \in \mathcal{L}_\mu^\gamma.$$

For  $\gamma \in \hat{\mathcal{L}}_\mu$ , define the  $\gamma$ -weight space  $V_\gamma$  of an  $\mathcal{H}_\mu$ -module  $V$  to be

$$V_\gamma = \{v \in V : yv = \gamma(y)v, \text{ for all } y \in \mathcal{L}_\mu\}.$$

Then

$$V \cong \bigoplus_{\gamma \in \hat{\mathcal{L}}_\mu} V_\gamma.$$

Let  $\lambda \in \mathcal{P}^\Theta$  and  $\gamma \in \hat{\mathcal{L}}_\mu$ . A *column strict tableau*  $P$  of shape  $\lambda$  and weight  $\gamma$  is column strict filling of  $\lambda$  such that for each  $\varphi \in \Theta$ ,

$$\text{sh}(P^{(\varphi)}) = \lambda^{(\varphi)} \quad \text{and} \quad \text{wt}(P^{(\varphi)}) = (|\gamma_1^{(\varphi)}|, |\gamma_2^{(\varphi)}|, \dots, |\gamma_\ell^{(\varphi)}|),$$

where  $|\gamma_i^{(\varphi)}|$  is the number of boxes in the partition  $\gamma_i^{(\varphi)}$  (which has length 1).

**Theorem 6.2.** *Let  $\mathcal{H}_\mu^\lambda$  be an irreducible  $\mathcal{H}_\mu$ -module and  $\gamma \in \hat{\mathcal{L}}_\mu$ . Then*

$$\dim(\mathcal{H}_\mu^\lambda)_\gamma = \text{Card}\{\text{column strict tableaux of shape } \lambda \text{ and weight } \gamma\} = |\hat{\mathcal{H}}_\gamma^\lambda|.$$

*Proof.* By double-centralizer theory and Frobenius reciprocity,

$$\dim((\mathcal{H}_\mu^\lambda)_\gamma) = \langle \text{Res}_{\mathcal{L}_\mu}^{\mathcal{H}_\mu}(\mathcal{H}_\mu^\lambda), \mathcal{L}_\mu^\gamma \rangle = \langle \text{Res}_{P_\mu}^G(G^\lambda), P_\mu^\gamma \rangle = \langle G^\lambda, \text{Ind}_{P_\mu}^G(P_\mu^\gamma) \rangle,$$

where  $P_\mu^\gamma = \text{Inf}_{L_\mu}^{P_\mu}(L_\mu^\gamma)$ . Therefore,

$$\dim((\mathcal{H}_\mu^\lambda)_\gamma) = c_\gamma^\lambda, \quad \text{where} \quad s_{\gamma_1} s_{\gamma_2} \cdots s_{\gamma_\ell} = \sum_{\lambda \in \mathcal{P}^\Theta} c_\gamma^\lambda s_\lambda.$$

Pieri's rule (2.7) implies  $c_\gamma^\lambda = |\hat{\mathcal{H}}_\gamma^\lambda|$ . □

## References

- [Cu1] Curtis, C. "Representations of Hecke algebras." *Astérisque* **9** (1988): 13-60.
- [Cu2] Curtis, C. "On the Gelfand-Graev Representations of a Reductive Group over a Finite Field." *Journal of Algebra* **157** (1993): 517-533.
- [CR] Curtis, C. and Reiner, I. *Methods of Representation Theory, Vol. 1*. New York: John Wiley and Sons, 1981.

- [CS] Curtis, C. and Shinoda, K. “Unitary Kloosterman Sums and the Gelfand-Graev Representation of  $GL_2^*$ .” *Journal of Algebra* **216** (1999): 431-447.
- [Dr] Drinfeld, V. “Quantum Groups.” *Proceedings of the International Congress of Mathematicians, Berkeley, California, 1-2 1986*. Providence, RI: American Mathematical Society, 1987. 798-820.
- [GG] Gelfand, I.M. and Graev, M.I. “Construction of irreducible representations of simple algebraic groups over a finite field.” *Soviet Mathematics Doklady* **3** (1962): 1646-1649.
- [GW] Goodman R. and Wallach N. *Representations and invariants of the classical groups*. Encyclopedia of mathematics and its applications, 68. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1998.
- [Gr] Green, J. A. “The Characters of the finite general linear groups.” *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society* **80** (1955): 402-447.
- [HR] Halverson, T. and Ram, A. “Bitraces for  $GL_n(\mathbb{F}_q)$  and the Iwahori-Hecke algebra of type  $A_{n-1}$ .” *Indagationes Mathematicae* **10** (1999): 247-268.
- [Iw] Iwahori, N. “On the structure of a Hecke ring of a Chevalley group over a finite field.” *Journal of the Faculty of Science, University of Tokyo* **10** (1964): 215-236.
- [IM] Iwahori, N. and Matsumoto, H. “On some Bruhat decomposition and the structure of Hecke rings of  $p$ -adic Chevalley groups.” *Institut des Hautes Études Scientifiques, Publications Mathématiques* **25** (1965): 5-48.
- [Ji] Jimbo, M. “A  $q$ -analogue of  $U(\mathfrak{gl}(N+1))$ , Hecke algebra, and the Yang-Baxter equation.” *Letters in Mathematical Physics* **11** (1986): 247-252.
- [Jo1] Jones, V. “Index for subfactors.” *Inventiones Mathematicae* **72** (1983): 1-25.
- [Jo2] Jones, V. “Hecke algebra representations of Braid groups and link polynomials.” *Annals of Mathematics* **126** (1987): 103-111.
- [Jo3] Jones, V. “On knot invariants related to some statistical mechanical models.” *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* **137** (1989): 311-334.
- [Ju] Juyuyama, J. “Sur les nouveaux générateur de l’algèbre de Hecke  $\mathcal{H}(G, U, 1)$ .” *Journal of Algebra* **204** (1998): 49-68.
- [KL] Kazhdan, D. and Lusztig G. “Representations of Coxeter groups and Hecke algebras.” *Inventiones Mathematicae* **53** (1979): 165-184.
- [Kn] Knuth, D. “Permutations, matrices, and generalized Young tableaux.” *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* **34** (1970): 709-727.
- [LV] Lusztig, G. and Vogan, D. “Singularities of closures of  $K$ -orbits on flag manifolds.” *Inventiones Mathematicae* **21** (1983): 365-379.
- [Ma] Macdonald, I.G. *Symmetric Functions and Hall Polynomials, 2nd edition*. Oxford: Oxford Science Publications, 1995.
- [St] Steinberg, R. *Lectures on Chevalley Groups*. mimeographed notes, Yale University, 1967.

- [Yo1] Yokonuma, T. “Sur le commutant d’une représentation d’un groupe de Chevalley fini.”  
*Journal of the Faculty of Science, University of Tokyo* **15** (1968): 115-129.
- [Yo2] Yokonuma, T. “Sur le commutant d’une représentation d’un groupe de Chevalley fini II.”  
*Journal of the Faculty of Science, University of Tokyo* **16** (1969): 65-81.
- [Ze] Zelevinsky, A. *Representations of Finite Classical Groups*. New York: Springer Verlag, 1981.