

# A NOTE ON COMPACT MARKOV OPERATORS

FABIO ZUCCA  
 DIPARTIMENTO DI MATEMATICA  
 POLITECNICO DI MILANO  
 PIAZZA LEONARDO DA VINCI 32, 20133 MILANO, ITALY.  
 ZUCCA@MATE.POLIMI.IT

ABSTRACT. The analytic properties of the Markov operator associated to a random walk are common tools in the study of the behaviour and some probabilistic features related to the walk. In this paper we consider a class of Markov operators which generalizes the class of compact Markov operators and we study some probabilistic properties of the associated random walk.

## 1. BASIC DEFINITIONS

Let  $(X, P)$  be an irreducible, random walk on the state space  $X$  which is at most countable. We suppose that the (usually infinite) stochastic matrix  $P$  describes a Markov chain  $\{Z_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  defined on a probability space  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$  with transition probabilities  $p(x, y) := \mathbb{P}[Z_{n+1} = y | Z_n = x]$  homogeneous in time. Besides we consider the  $n$ -step transition probabilities  $\{p^{(n)}(x, y)\}_{x, y \in X}$  which represent the stochastic matrix associated to the  $n$ -th convolution power of  $P$ .

The *Markov operator* associated to the random walk is defined as follows

$$D(P) := \left\{ f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R} : \sum_{y \in X} p(x, y) |f(y)| < +\infty, \forall x \in X \right\},$$

$$(1) \quad (Pf)(x) := \sum_{y \in X} p(x, y) f(y), \quad \forall f \in D(P), \forall x \in X;$$

note that  $\mathcal{D}(P) \supseteq l^\infty(X)$  and that  $P|_{l^\infty(X)}$  is a bounded linear operator from  $l^\infty(X)$  into itself.

---

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 60J10.

*Key words and phrases.* Markov operators, positive recurrence, transition probabilities.

To explore the behaviour of the random walk  $(X, P)$  and its main properties we introduce the two generating functions

$$G(x, y|z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} p^{(n)}(x, y)z^n, \quad F(x, y|z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} f^{(n)}(x, y)z^n$$

where  $\{f^{(n)}(x, y)\}_{x, y \in X}$  are the first time return probabilities, namely  $f^{(n)}(x, y) = \mathbb{P}(Z_n = y, Z_i \neq y, \forall i = 1, \dots, n-1 | Z_0 = x)$ ,  $f^{(0)}(x, y) = 0$ . Both the generating functions must be considered inside their circle of convergence in  $\mathbb{C}$ .

An irreducible random walk  $(X, P)$  is called *transient* if and only if there exists ( $\Leftrightarrow$  for any)  $x \in X$  such that  $F(x, x) < 1$  and *recurrent* otherwise. Among the recurrent random walks we distinguish the class of *positive recurrent* and *null recurrent* depending on whether  $\bar{\tau}_x := \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n f^{(n)}(x, x) < +\infty$  for some ( $\Leftrightarrow$  for any)  $x \in X$  or not.

We note that positive recurrence is a strong assumption: for instance if  $(X, P)$  is the simple random walk on a infinite, locally finite, non-oriented, connected graph  $(X, E)$ , then it is not positive recurrent. Indeed it is easily reversible with reversibility measure given by  $m(x) := \#\{y : (x, y) \in E\}$ , which is clearly infinite. According to Theorem 1.18 of [1], if an irreducible Markov chain is recurrent, then it admits a unique (up to multiplication) stationary measure and this one is finite if and only if the walk is positive recurrent. Since a reversibility measure is stationary, if the walk were positive recurrent, then  $m$  should be finite.

The importance of this class of random walks is highlighted by Theorem 1.18 of [1] (see also Theorem 3.2 of [2]).

**Remark 1.1.** *We note that  $(X, P)$  is positive recurrent if and only if there exists ( $\Leftrightarrow$  for all)  $x \in X$ ,*

$$\lim_{z \rightarrow 1^-} \frac{1 - F(x, x|z)}{1 - z} < +\infty.$$

*Just take in mind that the limit always exists (finite or infinite) due to the decomposition*

$$\lim_{z \rightarrow 1^-} \frac{1 - F(x, x|z)}{1 - z} = \lim_{z \rightarrow 1^-} \frac{1 - F(x, x|1)}{1 - z} + \lim_{z \rightarrow 1^-} \frac{F(x, x|1) - F(x, x|z)}{1 - z},$$

*and, using well-known arguments, we have*

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} n f^{(n)}(x, x) &= \lim_{z \rightarrow 1^-} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} n f^{(n)}(x, x) z^n \\ &= \lim_{z \rightarrow 1^-} F'(x, x|z) = \lim_{z \rightarrow 1^-} \frac{F(x, x|1) - F(x, x|z)}{1 - z}; \end{aligned}$$

(note that the last equality holds also if the limit is  $+\infty$ ).

## 2. COMPACT MARKOV OPERATORS

In this section we want to study the behaviour of a random walk whose associated Markov operator satisfying equation (2) below. In particular we study compact Markov operators.

We recall here the characterization of a compact operator (with non-negative matrix elements) defined by equation (1) (see [2], Theorem 2.2).

**Theorem 2.1.** *Let  $X$  be a countable set and let  $P$  be a transition operator on  $X$  with non negative elements, satisfying the condition  $\sup_{x \in X} \sum_{y \in X} p(x, y) < +\infty$ . Then  $P$  is a bounded, linear operator from  $l^\infty(X)$  into itself; moreover  $P : l^\infty \mapsto l^\infty$  is compact if and only if for any given  $\epsilon > 0$  there exists a finite subset  $A_\epsilon \subset X$  such that  $\sup_{x \in X} \sum_{y \in X \setminus A_\epsilon} p(x, y) < \epsilon$ .*

The next Theorem is the main result of this section: its corollary enhances Proposition 2.3 of [2].

**Theorem 2.2.** *Let  $(X, P)$  be an irreducible Markov chain, and suppose that there exists  $\epsilon \in (0, 1)$  and a finite subset  $A \subset X$  such that*

$$(2) \quad \sup_{x \in X} \sum_{y \in X \setminus A} p(x, y) < \epsilon;$$

*then  $(X, P)$  is positive recurrent.*

Before looking at the proof, we want to understand what equation (2) implies from the point of view of the walker.

Let us consider the preadjoint map  $P_* : l^1(X) \rightarrow l^1(X)$  acting as

$$P_*\nu(y) \equiv \nu P(y) := \sum_{x \in X} \nu(x)p(x, y), \quad \forall y \in X.$$

This is a mass-preserving map, indeed  $\sum_{y \in X} \nu P(y) = \sum_{x \in X} \nu(x)$ ; moreover  $\nu \geq 0$  implies  $P_*\nu \geq 0$ . If  $\nu$  is the probability distribution of the position of the walker at a certain time, then  $P_*\nu$  is the probability distribution after one step.

In term of this evolution map, equation (2) is equivalent to the existence of a finite subset  $A$  such that, given any probability distribution  $\nu$ , the probability distribution after one step satisfies  $P_*\nu(A) \geq 1 - \epsilon$  (or, equivalently,  $P_*^n\nu(A) \geq 1 - \epsilon$  for any  $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$ ).

Since from the Law of large numbers, for any given  $x \in X$ ,  $\mathbb{P}$ -a.c.

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{1}_{\{x\}}(Z_n) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{in the transiente or null-recurrent case} \\ \frac{1}{\bar{\tau}_x} & \text{in the positive recurrent case} \end{cases}$$

and since in the positive recurrent case,  $1/\bar{\tau}_x$  represents the unique stationary probability measure (see [3], Section I.7, Theorem 1), hence the walker will pass (asymptotically) at least  $1 - \epsilon$  of its time in  $A$ .

*Proof. (of Theorem 2.2).* Let  $A$  and  $\epsilon$  satisfying equation (2).

Let us note that for any given  $x \in X$  we have

$$\sup_{x \in X} \sum_{y \in X \setminus A} p^{(n)}(x, y) < \epsilon,$$

indeed for any given  $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$

$$\mathbb{P}(Z_n \in A | Z_0 = x) = \sum_{z \in X} \mathbb{P}(Z_n \in A | Z_{n-1} = z) \mathbb{P}(Z_{n-1} = z | Z_0 = x) \geq 1 - \epsilon.$$

Let  $x_0 \in X \setminus A$  be fixed and rewrite the previous equation as

$$\sum_{x \in A} p^{(n)}(x_0, x) \geq 1 - \epsilon, \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}^*.$$

This implies

$$\sum_{x \in A} G(x_0, x|z) \geq (1 - \epsilon) \left( \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} z^j \right) = \frac{z(1 - \epsilon)}{1 - z}.$$

Since  $G(x, y|z) = \delta_{xy} + F(x, y|z)G(y, y|z) = \delta_{xy} + F(x, y|z)/(1 - F(y, y|z))$ , the previous inequality becomes

$$\sum_{x \in A} \frac{F(x_0, x|z)}{1 - F(x, x|z)} \geq \frac{z(1 - \epsilon)}{1 - z}.$$

Now, taking in mind the usual position  $1/\infty = 0$ , since  $A$  is finite,

$$0 < 1 - \epsilon \leq \lim_{z \rightarrow 1^-} \sum_{x \in A} \frac{(1 - z)F(x_0, x|z)}{1 - F(x, x|z)} = \sum_{x \in A} \frac{F(x_0, x|1)}{\lim_{z \rightarrow 1^-} (1 - F(x, x|z))/(1 - z)}.$$

This easily implies the existence of  $x_1 \in A$  such that

$$\lim_{z \rightarrow 1^-} \frac{1 - F(x_1, x_1|z)}{1 - z} < +\infty$$

hence, by Remark 1.1,  $(X, P)$  is positive recurrent.  $\square$

**Corollary 2.3.** *Let  $(X, P)$  be an irreducible Markov chain, such that  $P$  is compact; then  $(X, P)$  is positive recurrent.*

We emphasize that the Markov operator associated to a positive recurrent random walk needs not to be compact as the following example shows. Take  $X = \mathbb{N}$  and define the transition probabilities as follows:

$$\begin{cases} p(0, 1) = 1, \\ p(n, n+1) = 1-p, & \forall n \in \mathbb{N}^*, \\ p(n+1, n) = p, & \forall n \in \mathbb{N}, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

where  $p \in (0, 1)$ . The first time return probabilities generator function  $F$  can be easily calculated for  $x = y = 0$  as

$$F(0, 0|z) = \frac{2pz^2}{1 + \sqrt{1 - 4z^2p(1-p)}};$$

the corresponding random walk is transient if  $p \in (0, 1/2)$ , null recurrent if  $p = 1/2$  and positive recurrent if  $p \in (1/2, 1)$ , but equation (2) does not hold, hence the Markov operator is always non compact.

### 3. SOME ESTIMATES

Let us define the time of the first return onto the vertex  $x \in X$  as  $T_x := \inf\{n \geq 1 : Z_n = x\}$ ; in the recurrent case we have

$$\bar{\tau}_x = \mathbb{E}[T_x | Z_0 = x] = \lim_{z \rightarrow 1^-} \frac{1 - F(x, x|z)}{1 - z} \equiv \lim_{z \rightarrow 1^-} (1 - z)G(x, x|z).$$

Moreover if equation (2) holds, we have that  $F(x, y) = 1$  for any  $x, y \in X$  and

$$1 \geq \sum_{x \in A} \frac{1}{\bar{\tau}_x} \geq 1 - \epsilon$$

which implies

$$\min_{x \in A} \bar{\tau}_x \leq \frac{\text{card}(A)}{1 - \epsilon}.$$

Besides for the first time entrance in  $A$ ,  $T_A := \inf\{n > 0 : Z_n \in A\}$ , the following hold

$$\mathbb{P}(T_A \geq n | Z_0 = x) \leq \epsilon^{n-1}, \quad \mathbb{E}[T_A | Z_0 = x] \leq \frac{1}{1 - \epsilon}.$$

In the reversible case, it is possible to find lower bounds for the  $n$ -step transition probabilities  $p^{(n)}(x, x)$  and their generating function. In this case the reversibility measure  $m$  satisfies  $m(x) \propto 1/\bar{\tau}_x$ .

**Lemma 3.1.** *Let  $(X, P)$  be a reversible random walk,  $m$  a reversibility measure and  $x \in \Gamma$  a fixed vertex. If there exists  $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$  and  $A \subseteq X$  such that*

$$\sum_{y \in X \setminus A} p^{(n)}(x, y) \leq \epsilon,$$

then

$$p^{(2n)}(x, x) \geq (1 - \epsilon)^2 \frac{m(x)}{m(A)}.$$

The easy proof of this lemma is straightforward and we omit it. By using this result one see immediately that, for any  $x \in A$

$$G(x, x|z) \geq \frac{(1 - \epsilon)^2}{1 - z^2} \frac{m(x)}{m(A)}, \quad z \in [0, 1).$$

#### REFERENCES

- [1] W. Woess, *Random walks on infinite graphs and groups*, Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics, **138**, Cambridge Univ. Press, 2000.
- [2] F. Zucca, *On some properties of transition operators*, Extr. Math. **17** n.2 (2002), 201-209.
- [3] K. L. Chung, *Markov chains with stationary transition probabilities*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1967.