

SOME RESULTS ON MULTIPLICITY-FREE SPACES

LEONARDO BILIOTTI

ABSTRACT. Let (M, ω) be a connected symplectic manifold on which a connected Lie group G acts properly and in a Hamiltonian fashion with moment map $\mu : M \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}^*$. Our purpose is investigate multiplicity-free actions, giving criteria to decide a multiplicity free of the action. As an application we give the complete classification of multiplicity-free actions of compact Lie groups acting isometrically and in a Hamiltonian fashion on Hermitian symmetric spaces of noncompact type. Successively we make a connection between multiplicity-free actions on M and multiplicity-free actions on the symplectic reduction and on the symplectic cut, which allow us to give new examples of multiplicity-free actions.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let (M, ω) be a connected symplectic manifold with a proper and Hamiltonian action of a connected Lie group G and let $\mu : M \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}^*$ be a corresponding moment map. In 1984 Guillemin and Sternberg [10], motivated by geometric quantization, introduced the notion of multiplicity-free space when the ring of the G -invariant functions on M is commutative with respect to the Poisson-bracket. The manifold M is called G multiplicity-free space and the G -action is called multiplicity-free. The term multiplicity-free comes from the representation theory of Lie groups.

A unitary representation of a Lie group G on a Hilbert space \mathbb{H} is said to be multiplicity-free if each irreducible representation of G occurs with multiplicity zero or one in \mathbb{H} . The relationships between the two definitions comes via the theory of geometric quantization. The condition that a unitary representation of G on \mathbb{H} be multiplicity-free is equivalent to the condition that the ring of bounded operators on \mathbb{H} be commutative.

In this paper we investigate multiplicity-free actions, which we also may call coisotropic actions, on a symplectic manifold M , imposing that G acts properly and in a Hamiltonian fashion on M and a technical condition, which is needed for applying the symplectic slice, see [2] and [23], and the symplectic stratification of the reduced space given in [2], [23], which is the following.

For every $\alpha \in \mathfrak{g}^*$, \mathfrak{g}^* is the dual of the Lie algebra of G , $G\alpha$ is a locally closed coadjoint orbit of G . Observe that the condition of a coadjoint orbit being locally closed is automatic for reductive groups and for their semidirect products with vector spaces. There exists an example of a solvable group due to Mautner [28] p.512, with non-locally closed coadjoint orbits.

One of our purpose is to give Equivalence theorem for multiplicity-free action, which shall allow us to prove that the complete classification of compact Lie groups acting multiplicity-free on irreducible Hermitian symmetric spaces of noncompact type follows from one given in a compact case.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification:* Primary 53C55, 57S15

Key words: moment map, multiplicity-free action.

We also prove a reduction principle for multiplicity-free actions and we make a connection between multiplicity-free actions on M and multiplicity-free actions on the symplectic reduction and on the symplectic cut, mainly in a Kähler geometry. As an application we give new examples of multiplicity-free actions on compact Kähler manifolds which are not Hermitian symmetric spaces.

2. HAMILTONIAN VIEWPOINT

Let M be a connected differential manifold equipped with a non-degenerate closed 2-form ω . The pair (M, ω) is called symplectic manifold. Here we consider a finite-dimensional connected Lie group acting smoothly and properly on M so that $g^*\omega = \omega$ for all $g \in G$, i.e. G acts as a group of canonical or symplectic diffeomorphism. For $f, g \in C^\infty(M)$, define $\{f, g\} = \omega(X_f, X_g)$, where X_f and X_g are the vector fields which is uniquely defined by $df = i_{X_f}\omega$ and $dg = i_{X_g}\omega$. It follows that $(C^\infty(M), \{, \})$ is a Poisson algebra.

The G -action is called *Hamiltonian*, and we said that G acts in a Hamiltonian fashion, if there exists a map

$$\mu : M \longrightarrow \mathfrak{g}^*,$$

which is called moment map, satisfying:

(1) for each $X \in \mathfrak{g}$ let

- $\mu^X : M \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $\mu^X(p) = \mu(p)(X)$, the component of μ along X ,
- $X^\#$ be the vector field on M generated by the one parameter subgroup $\{\exp(tX) : t \in \mathbb{R}\} \subseteq G$.

Then

$$d\mu^X = i_{X^\#}\omega$$

i.e. μ^X is a Hamiltonian function for the vector field $X^\#$.

(2) μ is G -equivariant, i.e. $\mu(gp) = Ad^*(g)(\mu(p))$, where Ad^* is the coadjoint representation on \mathfrak{g}^* .

Let $x \in M$ and $d\mu_x : T_x M \longrightarrow T_{\mu(x)}\mathfrak{g}^*$ be the differential of μ at x . Then

$$(1) \quad \text{Ker}d\mu_x = (T_x G(x))^{\perp\omega} := \{v \in T_x M : \omega(v, w) = 0, \forall w \in T_x G(x)\}.$$

If we restrict μ to a G -orbit Gx , then we have the homogeneous fibration $\mu : Gx \longrightarrow Ad^*(G)\mu(x)$ and the restriction of the ambient symplectic form ω on the orbit Gx is the pullback by the moment map μ of the symplectic form on the coadjoint orbit through $\mu(x)$

$$(2) \quad \omega|_{Gx} = \mu^*(\omega_{Ad^*(G)\mu(x)})|_{Gx}$$

see [2] p. 211, where $\omega_{G\mu(x)}$ is the Kirillov-Konstant-Souriau (KKS) symplectic form on the coadjoint orbit of $\mu(x)$ in \mathfrak{g}^* . This implies the following result.

Proposition 2.1. *A G -orbit Gx is a symplectic submanifold of M if and only if the moment map restricted to Gx into $G\mu(x)$, $\mu|_{Gx} : Gx \longrightarrow G\mu(x)$, is a covering map. In particular if G is a compact Lie group then $G_x = G_{\mu(x)}$ and $\mu|_{G_x} : G_x \longrightarrow G\mu(x)$ is a diffeomorphism onto.*

Proof. The first affirmation follows immediately from (2). If G is compact, coadjoint orbits are of the form $G/C(T)$, where $C(T)$ is the centralizer of the torus T . In particular such orbits are simply connected, from which one may deduce $G_x = G_{\mu(x)}$. \square

3. MULTIPLICITY-FREE SPACES

Let (M, ω) be a connected symplectic manifold and let G be a connected Lie group acting properly and as a group of symplectic diffeomorphism on M .

Definition 3.1. The G -action is called *multiplicity-free*, M is called a G multiplicity-free space, if the space of invariant function $C^\infty(M)^G$ is a commutative Lie algebra with respect to the Poisson bracket.

By the definition follows that if $K \subset G$ and M is a K multiplicity-free space then M is a G multiplicity-free space as well.

The multiplicity-free actions are also called *coisotropic actions*. This is justified by the following discussion.

Definition 3.2. A submanifold N of a symplectic manifold (M, ω) is said to be *coisotropic* if and only if for every $x \in N$, $(T_x N)^\perp \subset T_x N$. In particular a G -action on M is called coisotropic if and only if there exists an open dense subset $U \subset M$ with Gx coisotropic for every $x \in U$.

Lemma 3.3. M is a G multiplicity-free space if and only if the G -action on M is coisotropic.

Proof. First of all we note the following easy fact: if $f \in C^\infty(M)^G$ then for every $\xi \in \mathfrak{g}$ we have $\{f, f_\xi\} = 0$, where f_ξ is defined by $f_\xi(x) = \mu(x)(\xi)$.

Assume that a generic orbit Gx is coisotropic.

Let $f, g \in C^\infty(M)^G$. Since $\{f, f_\xi\} = \{g, f_\xi\} = 0$ we have $X_f, X_g \in (T_x Gx)^\perp \subset T_x Gx$, since Gx is coisotropic, for every $x \in U$. Hence

$$\{f, g\}(x) = \omega(X_f, X_g) = 0, \quad \forall x \in U,$$

which implies $\{f, g\} = 0$, since U is an open dense subset.

Vice-versa, let $x \in M$ be a regular point. By the slice-theorem there are functions $f_1, \dots, f_k \in C^\infty(M)^G$ with $df_1 \wedge \dots \wedge df_k \neq 0$ in some neighborhood W of Gx and

$$Gx = \{y \in W : f_1(y) = \dots = f_k(y) = 0\}.$$

From $\{f_i, f_j\} = 0$ one may deduce that $X_{f_i} \in T_x Gx$. Therefore, since $X_{f_i} \in (T_x Gx)^\perp$, $i = 1, \dots, k$ and X_{f_1}, \dots, X_{f_k} are independent in W , we have that Gx is a coisotropic submanifold. \square

Remark 3.4. Our proof is essentially one given in [13]. However in [13] the authors assumed that G is a compact Lie group, their proof works also when the G -action is a proper action.

It is standard that given a G -orbit $Gx = G/G_x$, study the slice representation, i.e. the linear representation of G_x induced from the G -action on $T_x M/T_x Gx$. In [13] p. 274, as a consequence of the arguments used in the Restriction Lemma, it was proved that given a complex orbit $Gp = G/G_p$ then G acts coisotropically on M if and only if G_p acts coisotropically on the slice, whenever M is a compact Kähler manifold and G is a subgroup of its full isometric group. Here, we give the same result in symplectic context.

Proposition 3.5. *Let (M, ω) be a symplectic manifold and let G be a Lie group which acts properly and in a Hamiltonian fashion on M with moment map $\mu : M \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}^*$. Let Gx be a symplectic orbit. If M is a G multiplicity-free space then the slice representation is a multiplicity-free representation. Moreover, if G is compact the vice-versa holds as well.*

Proof. It follows from symplectic slice, see [2], [19] [23].

There exists a neighborhood of the orbit Gx which is G -equivariantly symplectomorphic to a neighborhood of the zero section of the symplectic manifold $(Y = G \times_{G_x} ((\mathfrak{g}_\beta/\mathfrak{g}_x)^* \oplus V), \tau)$, see [2], [23] for an explicit description of the symplectic form τ , with a G -moment map μ given by

$$\mu([g, m, v]) = Ad(g)(\beta + j(m) + i(\mu_V(v))),$$

where $\beta = \mu(x)$, $i : \mathfrak{g}_x^* \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{g}^*$ is the transpose of the projection $p : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}_x$, $j : (\mathfrak{g}_\beta/\mathfrak{g}_x)^* \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{g}_x^o$ (\mathfrak{g}_x^o is the annihilator of \mathfrak{g}_x in \mathfrak{g}^*) is defined by a choice of a G_x -equivariant splitting and finally μ_V is the moment map of the G_x -action on the symplectic subspace V of $(T_x Gx, \omega(x))$. Note that V is isomorphic to the quotient $((T_x Gx)^{\perp\omega} / ((T_x Gx)^{\perp\omega} \cap T_x Gx))$. In the sequel we denote by $\omega_V = \omega(x)|_V$.

Since Gx is symplectic, $(Y = G \times_{G_x} V, \tau)$ and the moment map μ becomes

$$\mu([g, m]) = Ad^*(g)(\beta + i(\mu_V(m))),$$

Assume that M is a G -multiplicity-free space and let $y = [e, m] \in Y$ be such that Gy is coisotropic.

Let $Y \in \text{Kerd}(\mu_V)_m$. Noting $d\mu_{[e,m]}(0, Y) = d(\mu_V)_m(Y) = 0$, which implies, from (1), $Y \in (T_y Gy)^{\perp\omega} \subset T_y Gy$. This claims $Y \in T_y Gy \cap V = T_m G_x m$, i.e the slice representation is multiplicity-free.

Assume that G is a compact Lie group. It is well known

$$\text{cohom}(G, M) = \text{cohom}(G_x, V),$$

which follows from the classical slice theorem for proper actions [20], and $\text{rk}(G) = \text{rk}(G_x)$, since Gx is a symplectic manifold, where $\text{cohom}(G, M)$ denotes the codimension of a principal orbit and for every compact group K , $\text{rk}(K)$ denotes the rank, namely the dimension of the maximal torus. If G_x acts multiplicity-free on V then $\text{cohom}(G_x, V) = \text{rk}(G_x) - \text{rk}(G_{\text{princ}})$, see [13], where G_{princ} is the principal isotropy subgroup of the action, which implies that

$$\text{cohom}(G, M) = \text{rk}(G) - \text{rk}(G_{\text{princ}}).$$

Therefore, from Theorem 3 [13] p. 269, we get G acts multiplicity-free on M . \square

Corollary 3.6. *Let M be an irreducible Hermitian irreducible symmetric space of non compact type. Let G be a compact group which acts in a Hamiltonian fashion on M . Then G acts multiplicity-free on M if and only if it acts multiplicity-free on M^* , the corresponding irreducible Hermitian symmetric space of compact type.*

Proof. Since G is compact it has a fixed point $x \in M$, from a Theorem of Cartan, see [12]. Hence G acts multiplicity-free on M if and only if G acts multiplicity-free on the tangent space at x if and only if it acts multiplicity free on M^* . \square

Remark 3.7. Corollary 3.6 classifies completely the compact Lie groups acting in a Hamiltonian fashion and coisotropically on the irreducible Hermitian symmetric spaces of noncompact type, due the results proved in [5], [6], [21].

4. EQUIVALENCE THEOREMS FOR MULTIPLICITY-FREE ACTION

From now on we assume that (M, ω) is a connected symplectic manifold acted on properly and in a Hamiltonian fashion by a connected Lie group G . We denote by $\mu : M \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}^*$ the corresponding moment map for the G -action on M .

Let $\alpha \in \mathfrak{g}^*$. We define the corresponding reduced space

$$M_\alpha = \mu^{-1}(G\alpha)/G,$$

to be the topological quotient of the subset $\mu^{-1}(G\alpha)$ of M by the action of G . It is well known, see [1], [2], [23], that the reduced space M_α is a union of symplectic manifolds and it can be endowed with a Poisson structure which arise from Poisson structure on the orbits space. Hence M_α is a symplectic stratified space and the manifolds which decompose M_α are called pieces.

Here we analyze the case when $G = G_1 \times G_2$, where G_i , $i = 1, 2$ are closed connected subgroup of G . We assume also that the coadjoint orbits of G, G_1 and G_2 are locally closed spaces.

Obviously $\mathfrak{g}^* = \mathfrak{g}_1^* \oplus \mathfrak{g}_2^*$ and the moment map $\mu = \mu_1 + \mu_2$, where μ_i is the corresponding moment map for the G_i -action on M , $i = 1, 2$. Since μ is G -equivariant, we have that μ_1 is invariant under G_2 and μ_2 is invariant under G_1 .

Let $\alpha = \alpha_1 + \alpha_2$. The G_1 -action on the pieces of the reduced space $M_{\alpha_2} = \mu_2^{-1}(G_2\alpha_2)/G_2$ is symplectic. These moment maps on the pieces fit together to form an application

$$\mu_{1,2} : M_{\alpha_2} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{g}_1^*,$$

such that $\mu_{1,2} = \mu_1 \circ \pi_2$, where π_2 is the projection on M_{α_2} . Clearly G_2 acts on the reduced space $M_{\alpha_1} = \mu_1^{-1}(G_1\alpha_1)/G_1$ with moment map

$$\mu_{2,1} : M_{\alpha_1} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{g}_2^*$$

such that $\mu_{2,1} = \mu_2 \circ \pi_1$, where π_1 is the projection on M_{α_1} .

We introduce the notion of multiplicity-free space for the reduced space. Indeed, we say that the G_1 -action on M_{α_2} is multiplicity-free if the ring of G_1 -invariant functions of M_{α_2} , [1], is a commutative Poisson algebra.

We may also define the reduced space with respect the G_1 -action on M_{α_2} to be

$$(M_{\alpha_2})_{\alpha_1} = \mu_{1,2}^{-1}(G_1\alpha_1)/G_1.$$

The same definition holds for the G_2 -action on M_{α_1} .

Now, we shall give a criterion for a G -action to be a multiplicity-free action. We begin with the following lemma.

Lemma 4.1. *Let (M, ω) be a symplectic manifold and let $G = G_1 \times G_2$ be a connected Lie group which acts in a Hamiltonian fashion on M . Let $\alpha_1 \in \mathfrak{g}_1^*$. Then the G_2 -action on M_{α_1} is multiplicity-free if and only if for every $\alpha_2 \in \mathfrak{g}_2^*$ the reduced space $(M_{\alpha_1})_{\alpha_2}$ are points*

Proof. In the sequel we always refer to [1] and [2].

Let $\mu_2 : M \longrightarrow \mathfrak{g}_2^*$ be the moment map of the G_1 -action and let $\mu_{2,1} : M_{\alpha_1} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{g}_2^*$ be the corresponding moment map of the G_2 -action on the reduced space. We recall that the smooth function on the reduced spaces are defined by

$$C^\infty(M_{\alpha_1}) = C^\infty(M)^{G_1} \Big|_{\mu_1^{-1}(G_1\alpha_1)}$$

and the reduced space is a locally finite union of symplectic manifolds (symplectic pieces) which are the following manifolds.

Let H be a subgroup of G . The set $M^{(H)}$ of orbit of type H , i.e. the set of points which orbits are isomorphic to G/H , is a submanifold of M [20]. The set $(\mu_1^{-1}(G_1\alpha_1) \cap M^{(H)})$ is a submanifold of constant rank and the quotient

$$(M_{\alpha_1})^{(H)} = (\mu_1^{-1}(G_1\alpha_1) \cap M^{(H)})/G_1,$$

is a symplectic manifold which inclusion $(M_{\alpha_1})^{(H)} \hookrightarrow M_{\alpha_1}$ is a Poisson map [2].

The G_2 -action preserves $(M_{\alpha_1})^{(H)}$, and the following topological space

$$((M_{\alpha_1})^{(H)} \cap \mu_{2,1}^{-1}(G_2\alpha_2))/G_2 = \cup_{i \in I} S_i$$

is a stratified symplectic manifold which restrictions map

$$r_{\alpha_2}^H : C^\infty((M_{\alpha_1})^{(H)} \cap \mu_{2,1}^{-1}(G_1\alpha_1))^{G_2} \longrightarrow C^\infty(S_i)$$

are Poisson and surjective. Therefore, if $C^\infty(M_{\alpha_1})^{G_2}$ is abelian, the algebra $C^\infty(S_i)$, $i \in I$ must be abelian, and S_i must be discrete and therefore a points.

Vice-versa, if all reduced spaces are points then $r_{\alpha_2}^H(\{f, g\}) = 0$ for all $\alpha_2 \in \mathfrak{g}_2^*$, and every H subgroup of G_1 , so that $\{f, g\} = 0$. \square

Theorem 4.2. *Let (M, ω) be a symplectic manifold with a proper and Hamiltonian action of a connected Lie group $G = G_1 \times G_2$. Assume also that G_i , $i = 1, 2$ are closed connected Lie group and the coadjoint orbits of G, G_1 and G_2 are locally closed. Hence M is a G multiplicity-free space if and only if for every $\alpha = \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 \in \mathfrak{g}^*$ M_{α_1} is a G_1 multiplicity-free space if and only if M_{α_2} is a G_2 multiplicity-free space.*

Proof. It follows immediately from the above result. Indeed, it is easy to check that $M_\alpha = \mu^{-1}(G\alpha)/G$ is homomorphic to $(M_{\alpha_1})_{\alpha_2}$ or equivalently is homomorphic to $(M_{\alpha_2})_{\alpha_1}$; the homeomorphism is given by the natural application

$$(M_{\alpha_1})_{\alpha_2} \longrightarrow M_\alpha, \quad [[x]] \longrightarrow [x]$$

which preserves the symplectic pieces, concluding the prove. \square

Theorem 4.2 is not difficult to prove. However, from Theorem 4.2, we may deduce some interesting facts.

Proposition 4.3. *Let N be closed G -invariant symplectic submanifold of M . If M is a G multiplicity-free space then so is N .*

Proof. G acts on N in a Hamiltonian fashion with moment map $\bar{\mu} : N \longrightarrow \mathfrak{g}^*$, $\bar{\mu}(x) = \mu(x)$, which is the restriction of μ on N . In particular, for every $\alpha \in \mathfrak{g}^*$ the reduced space $N_\alpha \subset M_\alpha$, which implies that the topological space N_α are points. \square

Corollary 4.4. *If G is a compact Lie group acting multiplicity-free on M then*

$$M^G := \{x \in M : Gx = x\},$$

must be a finite set.

Another interesting application of Theorem 4.2 is the following result.

Proposition 4.5. *Let (M, ω) be a symplectic manifold with a Hamiltonian circle action. Let K be a connected Lie group which acts properly and in a Hamiltonian fashion on M . Assume also that the K -action commutes with the circle action. If M is a K multiplicity-free space then so is the K -action induced on any symplectic cut.*

Proof. We briefly describe the symplectic cut, see [17] and [7] for more details.

Let (M, ω) be a symplectic manifold with a Hamiltonian action of a one-dimensional torus T^1 with moment map $\phi : M \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$. We consider the symplectic manifold $N = M \times \mathbb{C}$, equipped with the symplectic form $\omega - \frac{i}{2}dz \wedge d\bar{z}$. T^1 acts on N with its product action and

this action is a Hamiltonian action with moment map $\psi(p, z) = \phi(p) + |z|^2$. The reduced space $M^\lambda = \psi^{-1}(\lambda)/S^1$, $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ is the symplectic cut.

The K -action on $M \times \mathbb{C}$ is given by $k(m, z) = (km, z)$. Since the K -action commutes with the T^1 -action, it induces a Hamiltonian action on the symplectic cut with moment map $\bar{\mu}([x, z]) = \mu(x)$ where μ is the moment map of the K -action on M . Note that $K \times T^1$ -action is multiplicity-free on $M \times \mathbb{C}$ if and only if the K -action is on M . Therefore, if K acts multiplicity-free on M , from Theorem 4.2, K acts multiplicity-free on the symplectic cut. \square

Let H be a compact subgroup of G and let $N(H)$ be its normalizer in G . It is well-known that the Lie group $L = N(H)/H$ acts freely and properly on the submanifold $M^H := \{x \in M : G_x = H\}$ [20]. Moreover, since $T_x M^H = (T_x M)^H$, M^H is symplectic.

In [2] it was proved that L acts in a Hamiltonian fashion on M^H , the dual of the Lie algebra of L is naturally isomorphic to the subspace $(\mathfrak{h}^\circ)^H$ of the H -fixed vectors in the annihilator of $\mathfrak{h} = \text{Lie}(H)$ in \mathfrak{g}^* . Furthermore, given $\alpha = \mu(x)$, where $x \in M^H$, then

$$G\mu^{-1}(\alpha) \cap M^H/G \cong (M^H)_{\alpha_o},$$

\cong means symplectically diffeomorphic, where $\alpha_o = \pi(\alpha)$ and $\pi : (\mathfrak{h}^\circ)^H \rightarrow \mathfrak{l}^*$ be the natural projection. This proves that if M is a G multiplicity-free space then, from Theorem 4.2, M^H is a L multiplicity-free space. Hence, we have the following result.

Proposition 4.6. *Let H be a compact subgroup of G . If G acts coisotropically on M then L acts coisotropically on M^H .*

Next, we claim the reduction principle for a multiplicity-free action.

Proposition 4.7. (Reduction principle) *Let G be a connected Lie group acting properly on a connected symplectic manifold M . Let H be a principal isotropy for the G -action. Then G acts coisotropically on M if and only if $L = N(H)/H$ acts coisotropically on M^H .*

Proof. Since the G -action is proper and preserves ω , there exists a G -invariant almost complex structure J , i.e. $J : TM \rightarrow TM$ be such that $J^2 = -Id$, adapted to ω , i.e. $\omega(J\cdot, J\cdot) = \omega(\cdot, \cdot)$ and $g = \omega(\cdot, J\cdot)$ is a Riemannian metric, see [2].

Now let H be a principal isotropy and let $L = N(H)/H$. It is well-known that

$$M^H \cong N(H)/H \times (T_x Gx)^{\perp_g},$$

see [20], which implies $T_x Lx = (T_x Gx)^H$.

Since $(T_x Gx)^{\perp_\omega} = J((T_x Gx)^{\perp_g})$ and $(T_x Gx)^{\perp_g} \subset (T_x M)^H$, recall that H acts trivially on the slice due the fact that Gx is a principal orbit, we get that

$$J((T_x Gx)^{\perp_g}) \subset T_x Gx \Leftrightarrow J((T_x Gx)^{\perp_g}) \subset (T_x Gx)^H.$$

Therefore, recall that $(T_x Lx)^{\perp_{g|_{T_x M^H}}} = (T_x Gx)^{\perp_g}$ since Gx is principal, we have that Gx is coisotropic in M if and only if Lx is in M^H . \square

We conclude this section giving the Equivariant mapping lemma, see [13], in a symplectic context.

Proposition 4.8. *Let (M, ω) and (N, ω_o) be connected symplectic manifolds and G be a connected Lie group acting on both manifolds properly, and in a Hamiltonian fashion. Let*

$\phi : M \longrightarrow N$ be a smooth surjective G -equivariant map with $\text{rank}\phi = \dim N$. Assume that for every $p \in M$, $\text{Ker}d\phi_p$ is a symplectic subspace and

$$d\phi_p : ((\text{Ker}\phi)^{\perp\omega}, \omega) \longrightarrow (T_p N, \omega_o)$$

is a symplectomorphism. If M is a G multiplicity-free space then so is N .

Proof. Let $f \in C^\infty(N)^G$. The function $\tilde{f} = f \circ \phi$ is a G -invariant function of M . Take the vector field X_f such that $df = i_{X_f}\omega_o$. By assumption the vector field $\tilde{X} \in (\text{Ker}\phi)^{\perp\omega}$ such that $d\phi(\tilde{X}) = X_f$ is the symplectic gradient of \tilde{f} . Hence, given $f, g \in C^\infty(N)^G$ there exist $\tilde{f}, \tilde{g} \in C^\infty(M)^G$ such that $\{f, g\}(\phi(x)) = \{\tilde{f}, \tilde{g}\}(x)$ which conclude our proof. \square

5. MULTIPLICITY-FREE SPACES IN KÄHLER GEOMETRY

In the sequel we shall assume that M is a compact Kähler manifold and G is a closed subgroup of its full isometry group acting in a Hamiltonian fashion on M . Note that this action is automatically holomorphic by a Theorem of Konstant (see [16] p.242).

In [22] it was introduced the *homogeneity rank* of (G, M) as the following integer

$$\text{homrk}(G, M) = \text{rk}(G) - \text{rk}(G_{\text{princ}}) - \text{cohom}(G, M),$$

where G_{princ} is the principal isotropy subgroup of the action, the integer $\text{cohom}(G, M)$ is the codimension of the principal orbit and, for a compact Lie group H , $\text{rk}(H)$ denotes the rank, namely the dimension of the maximal torus.

In [13] it was proved that a group G acts multiplicity-free on M if and only if the homogeneity rank vanishes.

Our purpose is to make a connection between homogeneity rank of (G, M) and homogeneity rank of (G, M_λ) , where M_λ is the reduced space obtained from a torus action.

Let K be a semisimple compact Lie subgroup of G and let T^k be a k -dimensional connected torus which centralizes K in G , i.e. $T^k \subset C_G(K)$. In the sequel we denote by

$$\phi : M \longrightarrow \mathfrak{k} \oplus \mathfrak{t}_k,$$

where $\mathfrak{t}_k = \text{Lie}(T^k)$, the moment map of the $T^k \cdot K$ -action on M and with μ , respectively with ψ , the moment map of the K -action on M , respectively a moment map of the T^k -action on M .

Let $\lambda \in \mathfrak{t}_k$ be such that T^k acts freely on $\psi^{-1}(\lambda)$. The symplectic reduction

$$(M_\lambda = \psi^{-1}(\lambda)/T^k, \omega_\lambda),$$

is a symplectic manifold and ω_λ satisfies

$$\pi^*(\omega_\lambda) = i^*(\omega),$$

where π is the natural projection $\psi^{-1}(\lambda) \xrightarrow{\pi} M_\lambda$ and i is the inclusion $M_\lambda \hookrightarrow M$, [8], [18]. Since K commutes with T^k , K acts on M_λ in a Hamiltonian fashion with moment map

$$\bar{\mu} : M_\lambda \longrightarrow \mathfrak{k}, \quad \bar{\mu}([x]) = \mu(x).$$

Indeed, It is easy to see that $\bar{\mu}$ is K -equivariant. Hence the problem is then restricted to verify that for every $Z \in \mathfrak{k}$ we have $d\bar{\psi}^Z = i_{\tilde{Z}^\#}\omega_\lambda$, where $\tilde{Z}^\#$ is the vector field on M_λ generated by the one parameter subgroup $\exp(tZ)$.

Let $X \in T_x M_\lambda$ and let $\tilde{X} \in T_x \mu^{-1}(\lambda)$ such that $\pi_*(\tilde{X}) = X$. Since $\pi_*(Z^\#) = \tilde{Z}^\#$, where $Z^\#$ is the Killing field induced from Z in M , it follows

$$d\bar{\psi}^Z(X) = d\psi^Z(\tilde{X}) = i_{Z^\#}\omega(\tilde{X}) = \pi^*\omega_\lambda(Z^\#, \tilde{X}) = i_{\tilde{Z}^\#}\omega_\lambda(X),$$

thus K acts in a Hamiltonian fashion on M^λ .

Let $[p] \in M_\lambda$. It is easy to see that $k[p] = [p]$ if and only if there exists $r(k) \in \mathbb{T}^k$ such that $kp = r(k)p$, which is unique since \mathbb{T}^k acts freely on $\psi^{-1}(\lambda)$. This means that the following application

$$(3) \quad K_{[p]} \xrightarrow{F} (\mathbb{T}^k \cdot K)_p, \quad F(k) = \text{kr}(k)^{-1},$$

is a covering map, due the fact that K is semisimple. Hence

$$(4) \quad \dim K[p] = \dim(\mathbb{T}^k \cdot K)_p - \dim \mathbb{T}^k.$$

Since M is a compact manifold, we may extend the \mathbb{T}^k -action to a holomorphic action of $(\mathbb{C}^*)^n$ which commutes with the K -action. This can be easily deduced from the following easy fact: let X, Y be holomorphic fields. If $[X, Y] = 0$ then $[X, J(Y)] = 0$, since $[X, J(Y)] = J([X, Y]) = 0$, due the fact that M is Kähler. In particular the infinitesimal generators of the K -action commute with ones of the $(\mathbb{C}^*)^n$ -action, proving that the two action commute as well.

The set $(\mathbb{C}^*)^n \cdot \psi^{-1}(\lambda)$ is an open subset. Indeed, for every $p \in \psi^{-1}(\lambda)$, denoting with \mathfrak{z}_p the vector subspace of $T_p M$ spanned by the infinitesimal generator of the \mathbb{T}^k -action on M , we have $T_p \psi^{-1}(\lambda) \oplus J(\mathfrak{z}_p) = T_p M$, since λ is a regular value, which implies our affirmation. In particular the open subset $(\mathbb{C}^*)^n \cdot \psi^{-1}(\lambda)$ contains regular points. Hence there exists an element

$$q = \rho_1 \cdots \rho_n \exp(i\theta_1) \cdots \exp(i\theta_n)p = \rho \exp(i\Theta)p \in (\mathbb{C}^*)^n \cdot \psi^{-1}(\lambda),$$

such that the orbit $(\mathbb{T}^k \cdot K)q$ is a principal orbit. Since K commutes with $(\mathbb{C}^*)^n$, we get that $(\mathbb{T}^k \cdot K)_p = (\mathbb{T}^k \cdot K)_q$ which means that p , which lies in $\psi^{-1}(\lambda)$, is a regular point. Therefore, from (3) we deduce that $K[p]$ is a principal orbit and from (4), we get

$$(5) \quad \text{homrk}(K, M_\lambda) = \text{homrk}(\mathbb{T}^k \cdot K, M),$$

which proves the following result.

Proposition 5.1. *Let G be a connected compact Lie group acting isometrically and in a Hamiltonian fashion on a compact Kähler manifold M . Let K be a compact semisimple Lie group of G which centralizer in G contains a k -dimensional connected torus \mathbb{T}^k . Let $\lambda \in \mathfrak{t}_k$ be such that \mathbb{T}^k acts freely on $\psi^{-1}(\lambda)$, where ψ is a moment map of \mathbb{T}^k -action on M . Then the $(\mathbb{T}^k \cdot K)$ -action is coisotropic on M if and only if the K -action is on $M_\lambda = \psi^{-1}(\lambda)/\mathbb{T}^k$.*

If we consider a one-dimensional torus \mathbb{T}^1 we may investigate the K -action on the Kähler cut M^λ obtained from the \mathbb{T}^1 -action. Here we only assume that the K -action commutes with the \mathbb{T}^1 -action. It is easy to check that $K_{[v,z]} = K_v$ when $z \neq 0$. Since $\{[(v, z) \in M^\lambda : z \neq 0]\}$ is an open subset, one may deduce that

$$(6) \quad \text{homrk}(K, M) = \text{homrk}(K, M^\lambda).$$

Hence K acts coisotropically on M if and only if K acts on M^λ which proves the following result

Proposition 5.2. *Let G be a connected compact Lie group acting isometrically and in a Hamiltonian fashion on a compact Kähler manifold M . Let K be a compact Lie group of G whose centralizer in G contains a one-dimensional torus T^1 . Let $\lambda \in \mathfrak{t}_1$ be such that T^1 acts freely on $\psi^{-1}(\lambda)$, where ψ is a moment map of T^1 -action on M . Then K -action is coisotropic on M if and only if the K -action on the Kähler cut M_λ is.*

Example 5.3. Let $\omega = \sqrt{-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} dz_i \wedge d\bar{z}_i$ be a Kähler form on \mathbb{C}^{n+1} . Consider the following S^1 -action on $(\mathbb{C}^{n+1}, \omega)$:

$$t \in S^1 \mapsto \theta_t = \text{multiplication by } e^{it}.$$

The action is Hamiltonian with moment map $\mu(z) = |z|^2 + \text{constant}$. If we choose the constant to be -1 , then $\mu^{-1}(0) = S^{2n+1}$ is the unit sphere on which S^1 acts freely and the Kähler reduction $\mu^{-1}(0)/S^1$ is just $\mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{C}) = \text{SU}(n+1)/\text{S}(\text{U}(1) \times \text{U}(n))$. Therefore, by Proposition 5.1, a compact connected Lie subgroup K of $\text{SU}(n+1)$ acts multiplicity-free on $\mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{C})$ if and only if $S^1 \cdot K$ acts multiplicity-free on \mathbb{C}^n . Kac [14] and Benson and Ratclif [3] have given the complete classification of linear coisotropic actions, from which one has the full classification of coisotropic actions on $\mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{C})$.

If we consider the cut of \mathbb{C}^{n+1} at $\lambda > 0$, with respect the above S^1 -action, we obtain, see [7], $\mathbb{P}^{n+1}(\mathbb{C})$, with λ times the Fubini-Study metric. Hence $G \subset \text{SU}(n+1)$ acts coisotropically on $\mathbb{P}^{n+1}(\mathbb{C})$ if and only if it acts coisotropically on \mathbb{C}^{n+1} .

6. MULTIPLICITY-FREE ACTIONS ON COMPACT NON HERMITIAN SYMMETRIC SPACES

Let T^1 acting on $\mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{C})$, as

$$(t, [z_0, \dots, z_n]) \longrightarrow [z_0, \dots, tz_n].$$

This is a Hamiltonian action with moment map

$$\phi([z_0, \dots, z_n]) = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\|z_n\|^2}{\|z_0\|^2 + \dots + \|z_n\|^2}.$$

Note that $\phi([0, \dots, 1])$ is the maximum value of ϕ and $\phi^{-1}(\frac{1}{2}) = [0, \dots, 1]$. Hence (see [7] page 5) if $\lambda = \frac{1}{2} - \epsilon$, $\epsilon \cong 0$, then the Kähler cut $\mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{C})^\lambda$ is the blow up of $\mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{C})$ at $[0, \dots, 1]$.

Let T^n be a torus acting on $\mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{C})$ as follows

$$(t_1, \dots, t_n)([z_0, \dots, z_n]) = (t_1 z_0, t_2 z_1, \dots, t_n z_{n-1}, z_n).$$

This action is Hamiltonian and the principal orbits are Lagrangian; therefore T^n acts coisotropically on $\mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{C})$. Since T^n -action commutes with the above T^1 -action, from Proposition 5.2, we get T^n acts coisotropically on the blow-up at one point of $\mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{C})$.

We may generalize the above procedure as follows.

Let G be a connected compact Lie group acting coisotropically on a compact Kähler manifold. It is well-known that, see [12], $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{g}) \oplus [\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}]$, and if we denote by G_{ss} the semisimple connected compact Lie group whose Lie algebra is $[\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}]$, then

$$G = Z(G) \cdot G_{\text{ss}}.$$

From Proposition 5.1, if G_{ss} acts coisotropically on M , then so is the G_{ss} -action induced on M^λ , the reduced space obtained from $T^k \subset Z(G)$.

Let T^1 be a one-dimensional torus which lies on $Z(G)$. If $K \subset G$ is a compact Lie group acting coisotropically on M then from Proposition 5.2 K acts coisotropically on the Kähler cut, obtained from the T^1 -action on M . In particular, see [7], if λ_o is a maximum for the

moment map of the T^1 -action then M^λ , $\lambda = \lambda_o - \epsilon$, $\epsilon \cong 0$, is the blow-up of M along the complex submanifold $\psi^{-1}(\lambda_o)$, where ψ is the corresponding moment map for the T^1 -action on M .

In [5],[6], [21], the complete classification of coisotropic actions on irreducible Hermitian symmetric spaces of compact type is given. Therefore, it is easy to construct examples using the above strategy. For example, the $SU(n)$ -action on $SO(2n)/U(n)$ induces a coisotropic action on Kähler cut given by $Z(U(n))$. More generally, if $M = L/P$ is an irreducible Hermitian symmetric space of compact type, then $Z(P)$ is a one-dimensional torus. Since the P -action on M is coisotropic, see [5], [6], [21], P acts coisotropically on the Kähler cut with respect the $Z(P)$ -action on M .

REFERENCES

- [1] ARMS, JUDITH M., CUSHMAN, RICHARD H. AND GOTAY, MARK J. *A universal reduction Procedure for Hamiltonian Group actions*, Proc. Workshop Berkeley/CA, Math. Sci. Res. Inst. Publ. **22**, (1989) 33-51.
- [2] BATES, L. AND LERMANN, E. *Proper group actions and symplectic stratified spaces*, Pacific J. Math. **181**, (1997) 201-229.
- [3] BENSON, C. AND RATCLIFF, G., *A classification of multiplicity free actions*, J. Algebra **181** (1996), 152-186.
- [4] Besse A. L., *Einstein manifold*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, (1978).
- [5] L. Biliotti and Gori, *Coisotropic and polar actions con complex Grassmannians*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **357** (2005), 1731-1751.
- [6] L. Biliotti, *Coisotropic and polar actions on compact irreducible Hermitian symmetric spaces*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **358** (2006), 3003-3022.
- [7] Burns, D., Guillemin V. and Lerman E., *Kähler cuts*, arXiv: math.DG/0212062.
- [8] A. CANNAS DA SILVA, *Lectures on Symplectic Geometry*, Lecture Notes in Math. **1764**, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2001.
- [9] Futaki A., *The Ricci curvature of symplectic quotients of Fano manifolds*, Tohoku Math. J. **39**, (1987) 329-339.
- [10] GUILLEMIN, V. AND STENBERG, S. *Multiplicity-free spaces* J. Differential Geom. **19** (1984), 31-56.
- [11] GUILLEMIN, V. AND STENBERG, S. *Symplectic techniques in physics*, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge (1990).
- [12] HELGASON, S., *Differential Geometry, Lie groups, and symmetric spaces* Academic Press, New-York-London, second edition, (1978).
- [13] HUCKLEBERRY, A. AND WURZBACHER T., *Multiplicity-free complex manifolds*, Math. Ann. **286**, (1990) 261-280.
- [14] KAC, V.G., *Some remarks on Nilpotent orbit*, J. Algebra **64**, (1980) 190-213.
- [15] KIRWAN, F., *Cohomology quotients in symplectic and algebraic geometry*, Math. Notes **31** Princeton (1984).
- [16] KOBAYASHI, S. AND NOMIZU, N., *Foundations of Differential Geometry*, Vol. I, Interscience Publisher, J. Wiles & Sons, New-York, (1963).
- [17] LERMAN, E., *Symplectic cut* Math. Res. Lett. **2**, (1995) 247-258
- [18] MCDUFF, D. AND SALAMAN, D., *Introduction of symplectic topology* Oxford Mathematical Monographs, Oxford, second edition, 1998
- [19] ORTEGA, J.P. AND RATIU, T. S., *A symplectic slice Theorem* Lett. Math. Phys. **58** 81-93 (2002).
- [20] PALAIS, R. S. AND TERNG, C. L., *Critical point theory and submanifold geometry*, Lect. Notes in Math., **1353**, Springer-Verlag, New-York, 1988.
- [21] F. PODESTÀ AND G. THORBERGSSON *Coisotropic actions on Kähler manifolds*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **354** 1759-1781 (2002).
- [22] PUETTMANN, T., *Homogeneity rank and atoms of actions*, Ann. Global. Anal. Geom. **22**, (2002) 375-399.

- [23] SJAMAAR, R. AND LERMAN, E. *Stratified symplectic spaces and reduction*, Ann. of Math. **134**, (1991) 375-422.
- [24] E. STRAUME, *On the invariant theory and geometry of compact linear groups of cohomogeneity ≤ 3* , Diff. Geom. Appl. **4** (1994), 1-23.
- [25] E. STRAUME, *Compact Connected Lie Transformation Groups on Spheres with Low Cohomogeneity, I*, Mem. Am. Math. Soc. **569** 93p. (1996).
- [26] T. SKJELBRED AND E. STRAUME, *A note on the reduction principle for compact transformation groups*, preprint 1995.
- [27] K. GROVE AND C. SEARLE, *Global G -manifold reductions and resolutions* Special issue in memory of Alfred Gray (1939-1998), Ann. Global Anal. Geom. **18** (2000) 437-446.
- [28] PUKANSZKY, L., *Unitary representations of solvable groups*, Ann. Sci. École Normale Sup. **4** (1971) 475-608.

DIPARTIMENTO DI MATEMATICA, UNIVERSITÀ POLITECNICA DELLE MARCHE, VIA BRECCE BIANCHE,
60131, ANCONA ITALY

E-mail address: biliotti@dipmat.univpm.it