

# Teitelbaum's exceptional zero conjecture in the function field case

*Hilmar Hauer* (Nottingham) and *Ignazio Longhi* (Padova)

September 11, 2018

## Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Preliminaries</b>	<b>6</b>
1.1	The Bruhat-Tits Tree for $\mathrm{PGL}_2(F_{\mathfrak{p}})$ . . . . .	6
1.2	Automorphic forms . . . . .	9
1.3	Theta functions and Tate curves . . . . .	11
1.4	Multiplicative integrals . . . . .	13
<b>2</b>	<b>Integration on <math>\mathcal{T}_{\mathfrak{p}} \times \mathcal{T}_{\infty}/\Gamma</math></b>	<b>15</b>
2.1	Harmonic cochains . . . . .	15
2.2	Double integrals . . . . .	19
2.3	Automorphic cusp forms . . . . .	21
<b>3</b>	<b>Teitelbaum's conjecture</b>	<b>24</b>
3.1	Darmon's period . . . . .	24
3.2	The main result . . . . .	29

## Introduction

Let  $E$  be an elliptic curve over  $\mathbb{Q}$  of conductor  $N$  and  $p$  a prime number. Since  $E$  is modular, it corresponds to a cusp form of weight 2, i.e. an analytic function  $f(z)$  on the complex upper half plane  $\mathcal{H}$  s.t.

$$f\left(\frac{az+b}{cz+d}\right) = (cz+d)^2 f(z) \text{ for all } \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \Gamma_0(N),$$

and it fulfills suitable growth conditions at the points in  $\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{Q})$  (the so-called cusps). Mazur, Tate and Teitelbaum construct in [MTT] a  $p$ -adic  $L$ -function  $L_p(E, s)$  using a  $p$ -adic measure associated to  $f(z)$  via the modular symbols

$$\Psi_E : \text{Div}^0(\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{Q})) \longrightarrow \mathbb{Q}$$

associated to  $E$ .

Furthermore, they propose  $p$ -adic versions of the Birch and Swinnerton-Dyer conjecture. It turns out that  $L_p(E, s)$  always vanishes at the central point 1 if  $E$  has split multiplicative reduction at  $p$ . In this case they conjecture (the so-called *exceptional zero conjecture*):

$$L'_p(E, 1) = \frac{\log_p(q_E)}{\text{ord}_p(q_E)} L_{\text{norm}}(E, 1),$$

where  $q_E$  is the Tate period of  $E$  at  $p$ ,  $\log_p$  the  $p$ -adic logarithm with  $\log_p(p) = 1$  and  $L_{\text{norm}}(E, s)$  a suitable normalisation of the complex  $L$ -function. This was proved by Greenberg and Stevens [GS]. The proof is very technical and makes use of Hida's theory of  $p$ -adic  $L$ -functions.

In their paper [MT], Mazur and Tate state slightly stronger, refined versions of their conjectures, avoiding the construction of a  $p$ -adic  $L$ -function. In particular, they give an exponentiated version of the exceptional zero conjecture:

$$\prod_{\substack{a \bmod p^n \\ (a,p)=1}} a^{\text{ord}_p(q_E) \cdot \Psi_E((a/p^n)-(i\infty))} \equiv \left( \frac{q_E}{p^{\text{ord}_p(q_E)}} \right)^{\Psi_E((0)-(i\infty))} \text{ in } (\mathbb{Z}/p^n\mathbb{Z})^*$$

for every  $n \geq 1$  (proved by de Shalit [dS] under certain assumptions).

Now let  $E$  be an elliptic curve over  $F = \mathbb{F}_q(T)$  of conductor  $\mathfrak{n}\infty$  with split multiplicative reduction at the place  $\infty = \frac{1}{T}$  and  $F_\infty$  the completion of  $F$  at  $\infty$ . By Drinfeld's work [Dr],  $E$  has a uniformisation

$$\overline{M}_0(\mathfrak{n}) \longrightarrow E$$

by a Drinfeld modular curve and it corresponds to a Drinfeld cusp form on  $\Omega_\infty = \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbf{C}_\infty) - \mathbb{P}^1(F_\infty)$  ( $\mathbf{C}_\infty$  a completion of an algebraic closure of  $F_\infty$ ) or, equivalently, to a cuspidal harmonic cochain  $c_\infty$  on the Bruhat-Tits tree  $\mathcal{T}_\infty$  (with values in  $\mathbb{Q}$ ). Teitelbaum ([T2]) defines the group of modular symbols to be

$$\mathcal{M} := \text{Div}^0(\mathbb{P}^1(F))$$

and denotes the divisor  $(r) - (s)$  by  $[r, s]$ . He defines a map

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{M} &\longrightarrow \mathbb{Q} \\ [r, s] &\longmapsto [r, s] \cdot c_\infty, \end{aligned}$$

where  $[r, s] \cdot c_\infty$  is the sum of the values of  $c_\infty$  along the axis connecting the ends corresponding to  $r$  and  $s$  on  $\mathcal{T}_\infty$ .

If  $E$  has split multiplicative reduction at a further place  $\mathfrak{p}$  different from  $\infty$ , he defines a measure on  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}}$  analogous to the classical case. (Here  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}}$  is the ring of integers of the completion of  $F$  at  $\mathfrak{p}$ .) However, the absence of a logarithm obstructs the definition of a  $\mathfrak{p}$ -adic  $L$ -function analogous to the number field case. But it is still possible to formulate the refined exceptional zero conjecture:

$$\left( \frac{q_{\mathfrak{p}}}{\pi_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\text{ord}_{\mathfrak{p}}(q_{\mathfrak{p}})}} \right)^{[0, \infty] \cdot c_\infty} = \left( \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \prod_{\substack{a \bmod \pi_{\mathfrak{p}}^n \\ (a, \pi_{\mathfrak{p}}) = 1}} a^{[a/\pi_{\mathfrak{p}}^n, \infty] \cdot c_\infty} \right)^{\text{ord}_{\mathfrak{p}}(q_{\mathfrak{p}})},$$

where  $q_{\mathfrak{p}}$  is the Tate period associated to  $E$  at  $\mathfrak{p}$ . This is carried out in [T2], where also some numerical evidence can be found.

In this paper we prove Teitelbaum's conjecture up to a root of unity. Therefore we can regard our result as an analogue of the Greenberg-Stevens formula. We achieve this by developing an analogue of Darmon's integration theory on  $\mathcal{H}_{\mathfrak{p}} \times \mathcal{H}$ , where  $\mathcal{H}_{\mathfrak{p}} = \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbf{C}_{\mathfrak{p}}) - \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{Q}_{\mathfrak{p}})$  is the  $p$ -adic upper half plane [Da]. This is done in sections 2.1 and 2.2 for arbitrary global function fields and choices of  $\mathfrak{p}$  and  $\infty$ , i.e., in a more general setting than in [T2]. The function field situation is considerably easier than the number field case. Since both places are non-archimedean, we can work with certain harmonic cochains on the product of the Bruhat-Tits trees at  $\mathfrak{p}$  and  $\infty$ :

$$\mathcal{T} = \mathcal{T}_{\mathfrak{p}} \times \mathcal{T}_\infty.$$

By this we mean the set product of the vertices/edges. The symmetry of this situation will prove very useful for later calculations.

Since  $E$  has split multiplicative reduction at  $\mathfrak{p}$  and  $\infty$ , it corresponds to two harmonic cochains  $c_{\mathfrak{p}}$  and  $c_{\infty}$  on  $\mathcal{T}_{\mathfrak{p}}$  and  $\mathcal{T}_{\infty}$ , respectively. In section 2.3 we compare them by relating them to a certain space of automorphic newforms.

Darmon defines a period  $I_{\psi} \in \mathbf{C}_p^*$  (for details compare [Da]). The order at  $p$  and the  $p$ -adic logarithm of this period are closely related to special values of a certain partial  $L$ -function and the first derivative of the  $p$ -adic  $L$ -function attached to  $E/\mathbb{Q}$ , respectively. He obtains the following reformulation of the result of Greenberg and Stevens:

$$\log_p(I_{\psi}) = \frac{\log_p(q_E)}{\text{ord}_p(q_E)} \text{ord}_p(I_{\psi}).$$

We define an analogous period in section 3.1. Furthermore, we show an exponentiated version of the above formula (theorem 3.2):

$$I_{\psi} = \zeta \cdot q_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\frac{\nu_{\mathfrak{p}}(I_{\psi})}{\nu_{\mathfrak{p}}(q_{\mathfrak{p}})}},$$

where  $\zeta$  is a root of unity.

In the final section we assume the setting in [T2] and show that Teitelbaum's conjecture is equivalent (up to a root of unity) to the above theorem.

*Acknowledgements.* We are indebted to Michael Spieß and Henri Darmon for suggesting this problem and many helpful remarks. Furthermore, Longhi would also like to thank Gebhard Böckle, Ernst-Ulrich Gekeler, Matteo Longo, Richard Pink and Hans-Georg Rück for useful discussions and remarks. While working on this paper, Hauer was partially supported by EPSRC grant GR/M89560. Longhi was supported by post-doc scholarships of the Arithmetic Algebraic Geometry and the Galois Theory and Explicit Methods in Arithmetic networks, and by a post-doc scholarship of the Università di Padova.

## Notations

$F$  is the function field of a geometrically connected smooth projective algebraic curve  $\mathcal{C}$  over the finite field  $\mathbb{F}_q$  of characteristic  $p$ . For a closed point  $\mathfrak{p} \in \mathcal{C}$  denote by

- $A_{\mathfrak{p}} = \Gamma(\mathcal{C} - \{\mathfrak{p}\}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}})$  the ring of regular functions on  $\mathcal{C} - \{\mathfrak{p}\}$  and more generally,  $A_S = \Gamma(\mathcal{C} - S, \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}})$  the ring of regular functions on  $\mathcal{C} - S$  for a finite set  $S$  of closed points,
- $\nu_{\mathfrak{p}}$  the corresponding valuation of  $F$  at  $\mathfrak{p}$ ,
- $\pi_{\mathfrak{p}}$  a uniformiser,
- $F_{\mathfrak{p}}$  the completion of  $F$  at  $\mathfrak{p}$  with valuation ring  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}}$  and maximal ideal  $\mathfrak{m}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ ,
- $\mathbf{C}_{\mathfrak{p}}$  the completion of an algebraic closure of  $F_{\mathfrak{p}}$ ,
- $\Omega_{\mathfrak{p}} = \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbf{C}_{\mathfrak{p}}) - \mathbb{P}^1(F_{\mathfrak{p}})$  Drinfeld's upper half plane and  $\Omega_{\mathfrak{p}}^* = \Omega_{\mathfrak{p}} \cup \mathbb{P}^1(F)$ .
- $\mathcal{A}$  is the adèle ring of  $F$  with ring of integers  $\mathcal{O}$ . These decompose into a  $\mathfrak{p}$ -part and a "finite" part with respect to  $\mathfrak{p}$ :

$$\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}_{f,\mathfrak{p}} \times F_{\mathfrak{p}} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{O} = \mathcal{O}_{f,\mathfrak{p}} \times \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}}.$$

Elements of  $\mathcal{A}$  are denoted by  $\underline{x} = (x_{\mathfrak{q}})_{\mathfrak{q}}$ .

A subgroup  $\Gamma$  of  $\mathrm{GL}_2(F)$  is *arithmetic* (w.r.t.  $\mathfrak{p}$ ) if it is commensurable with  $\mathrm{GL}_2(A_{\mathfrak{p}})$ . For such a group, we write

$$\overline{\overline{\Gamma}} := \Gamma/\Gamma \cap \mathrm{Center}(\mathrm{GL}_2(F))$$

and

$$\overline{\Gamma} := \Gamma^{\mathrm{ab}}/\mathrm{torsion}.$$

Sometimes we use  $G$  and  $Z$  to denote  $\mathrm{GL}_2$  and its centre.

For an ideal  $\mathfrak{n}$  of  $A_{\mathfrak{p}}$ , we define the *Hecke congruence subgroup associated to  $\mathfrak{n}$*  (w.r.t.  $\mathfrak{p}$ ):

$$\Gamma_0^{\mathfrak{p}}(\mathfrak{n}) := \left\{ \gamma \in \mathrm{GL}_2(A_{\mathfrak{p}}) : \gamma \equiv \begin{pmatrix} * & * \\ 0 & * \end{pmatrix} \pmod{\mathfrak{n}} \right\}.$$

# 1 Preliminaries

## 1.1 The Bruhat-Tits Tree for $\mathrm{PGL}_2(F_{\mathfrak{p}})$

The vertices of the tree  $\mathcal{T}_{\mathfrak{p}}$  are defined to be homothety classes of  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ -lattices (i.e. free  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ -modules of rank 2) in  $F_{\mathfrak{p}}^2$ . Two such classes  $[L], [L']$  are connected by an edge if there exists  $L'' \in [L']$  such that  $L'' \subseteq L$  and  $L/L''$  has length 1 as  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ -module, i.e.  $L/L'' \cong \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}}/\pi_{\mathfrak{p}}\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ .

We denote by  $\mathcal{E}(\mathcal{T}_{\mathfrak{p}}), \vec{\mathcal{E}}(\mathcal{T}_{\mathfrak{p}}), \mathcal{V}(\mathcal{T}_{\mathfrak{p}})$  respectively the set of edges, oriented edges and vertices of  $\mathcal{T}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ . We consider the following action of  $\mathrm{GL}_2(F_{\mathfrak{p}})$  from the left on  $\mathcal{T}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ :

$$\gamma_*[L] := [L\gamma^{-1}].$$

We note that this action is different from the one considered in [Se]. It induces the following identifications:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{GL}_2(F_{\mathfrak{p}})/\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}}) \cdot Z(F_{\mathfrak{p}}) & \xrightarrow{\sim} & \mathcal{V}(\mathcal{T}_{\mathfrak{p}}) \\ \gamma & \longmapsto & \gamma_*v_0 \end{array}$$

and

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{GL}_2(F_{\mathfrak{p}})/\mathcal{I}_{\mathfrak{p}} \cdot Z(F_{\mathfrak{p}}) & \xrightarrow{\sim} & \vec{\mathcal{E}}(\mathcal{T}_{\mathfrak{p}}) \\ \gamma & \longmapsto & \gamma_*e_0, \end{array}$$

where  $Z$  is the centre of  $\mathrm{GL}_2$ ,

$$\mathcal{I}_{\mathfrak{p}} = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}}) : c \equiv 0 \pmod{\mathfrak{p}} \right\}$$

the Iwahori group,  $v_0 = [\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}}^2]$ ,  $v_{-1} = [\pi_{\mathfrak{p}}\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}} \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}}]$ ,  $e_0$  the edge with origin  $o(e_0) = v_{-1}$  and terminus  $t(e_0) = v_0$ , and  $\bar{e}_0$  is the edge opposite to  $e_0$ . We also note that  $\mathrm{GL}_2(F_{\mathfrak{p}})$  acts canonically from the left on  $\mathrm{GL}_2(F_{\mathfrak{p}})/\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}}) \cdot Z(F_{\mathfrak{p}})$  and  $\mathrm{GL}_2(F_{\mathfrak{p}})/\mathcal{I}_{\mathfrak{p}} \cdot Z(F_{\mathfrak{p}})$ .

**Lemma 1.1.** *Each edge  $e$  of  $\mathcal{T}_{\mathfrak{p}}$  can uniquely be written as a product  $\gamma e_0$ , where  $\gamma$  is an element of the following disjoint union of sets:*

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} \pi_{\mathfrak{p}}^n & u \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} : n \in \mathbb{Z}, u \in F_{\mathfrak{p}}/\pi_{\mathfrak{p}}^n\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}} \right\} \\ \dot{\cup} & \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} \pi_{\mathfrak{p}}^n & u \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ \pi_{\mathfrak{p}} & 0 \end{pmatrix} : n \in \mathbb{Z}, u \in F_{\mathfrak{p}}/\pi_{\mathfrak{p}}^n\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* This follows easily from the above identification (see [Gek1]).  $\square$

The ends of  $\mathcal{T}_p$  (=infinite half-lines, equivalent if coinciding up to a finite number of edges) correspond to  $\partial\Omega_p = \mathbb{P}^1(F_p)$ . We label the path  $A(\infty, 0)$  from  $\infty$  to 0 by  $(v_i)_{-\infty < i < \infty}$  resp.  $(e_i)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}$ , where  $t(e_i) = v_i$ . In the following, we will call  $v_0$  the *base vertex*,  $e_0$  the *base edge* and  $A(\infty, 0)$  the *base axis* of  $\mathcal{T}_p$ . In particular,  $e_i$  (and  $v_i$ ) is represented by  $\begin{pmatrix} \pi_p^i & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$  in lemma 1.1. (For more detail see [GR, 1.3] and [Se].)

Let  $M$  be an abelian group. A harmonic cochain with values in  $M$  is a map  $\varphi : \vec{\mathcal{E}}(\mathcal{T}_p) \rightarrow M$  that is alternating, i.e.  $\varphi(\bar{e}) = -\varphi(e)$  for all  $e \in \vec{\mathcal{E}}(\mathcal{T}_p)$  and harmonic, i.e.

$$\sum_{t(e)=v} \varphi(e) = 0$$

for all  $v \in \mathcal{V}(\mathcal{T}_p)$ . We denote the group of  $M$ -valued harmonic cochains by  $\underline{H}(\mathcal{T}_p, M)$ .

The identification of  $\partial\Omega_p$  with the ends of  $\mathcal{T}_p$  induces a bijection between  $\underline{H}(\mathcal{T}_p, M)$  and  $\text{Meas}_0(\partial\Omega_p, M)$ , the set of  $M$ -valued measures (i.e., finitely additive functions on compact open subsets) of total mass 0 on  $\partial\Omega_p$ . If  $\varphi$  is a harmonic cochain, then

$$\mu(U(e)) := -\varphi(e)$$

defines a measure of total mass 0, where the set  $U(e)$  consists of all ends going through  $e \in \vec{\mathcal{E}}(\mathcal{T}_p)$ .

Let  $\Gamma$  be an arithmetic subgroup of  $GL_2(F)$ . Then the group of  $M$ -valued cuspidal harmonic cochains for  $\Gamma$  is the following:

$$\underline{H}_1(\mathcal{T}_p, M)^\Gamma := \left\{ \varphi \in \underline{H}(\mathcal{T}_p, M) : \begin{array}{l} \varphi \text{ is } \Gamma\text{-invariant and} \\ \text{of finite support modulo } \Gamma \end{array} \right\}.$$

The group  $GL_2(F_p)$  acts on  $\underline{H}_1(\mathcal{T}_p, M)^\Gamma$  by

$$\gamma * \varphi := \varphi \circ \gamma^{-1}.$$

This action is compatible with its action on  $\mathcal{T}_p$ .

There is an injection

$$j : \bar{\Gamma} \longrightarrow \underline{H}_1(\mathcal{T}_p, \mathbb{Z})^\Gamma,$$

with finite cokernel, such that for a chosen vertex  $v \in \mathcal{V}(\mathcal{T}_p)$ ,  $j(\alpha)(e)$  counts the number of  $\gamma e$  lying in  $A(v, \alpha v)$  as  $\gamma$  varies in  $\bar{\Gamma}$  ([GR, 3.3.3]). It is proved to be bijective if  $A_p$  is a polynomial ring and  $\Gamma$  is a Hecke congruence group

([Gek2, thm. 1.9]). Although unknown to be surjective in general, no case is known where this map fails to be bijective.

If  $M$  is a subgroup of the complex numbers  $\mathbb{C}$ , there is a pairing  $\langle, \rangle_\Gamma$  on  $\underline{H}_1(\mathcal{T}_p, M)$ , given by

$$\langle \varphi_1, \varphi_2 \rangle_\Gamma := \sum_{e \in \mathcal{E}(\Gamma \backslash \mathcal{T}_p)} \varphi_1(e) \overline{\varphi_2(e)} \frac{1}{\#\overline{\Gamma}_e},$$

where

$$\overline{\Gamma}_e := \text{Stab}_{\overline{\Gamma}}(e)$$

is the (finite) stabiliser of  $e$  in  $\overline{\Gamma}$ .

**Definition 1.2.** Let  $\Gamma'$  be a subgroup of  $\Gamma$  of finite index and  $\{\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_r\}$  be a set of representatives for  $\Gamma' \backslash \Gamma$ . We define the following trace map:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Tr}_\Gamma^{\Gamma'} : \underline{H}_1(\mathcal{T}_p, M)^{\Gamma'} &\longrightarrow \underline{H}_1(\mathcal{T}_p, M)^\Gamma \\ \varphi &\longmapsto \sum_{i=1}^r \gamma_i^{-1} * \varphi. \end{aligned}$$

**Lemma 1.3.** The pairing above is compatible with the trace map, i.e.:

$$\langle \varphi, \psi \rangle_{\Gamma'} = \langle \text{Tr}_\Gamma^{\Gamma'} \varphi, \psi \rangle_\Gamma,$$

where  $\varphi \in \underline{H}_1(\mathcal{T}_p, M)^{\Gamma'}$  and  $\psi \in \underline{H}_1(\mathcal{T}_p, M)^\Gamma$ .

*Proof.* By definition,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \text{Tr}_\Gamma^{\Gamma'} \varphi, \psi \rangle_\Gamma &= \sum_{i=1}^r \langle \gamma_i^{-1} * \varphi, \psi \rangle \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^r \sum_{e \in \mathcal{E}(\Gamma \backslash \mathcal{T}_p)} \varphi(\gamma_i e) \overline{\psi(e)} \frac{1}{\#\overline{\Gamma}_e}. \end{aligned}$$

The  $\Gamma$ -orbit of  $e$  decomposes into  $\Gamma'$ -orbits as follows:

$$\Gamma e = \Gamma' \gamma_1 e \cup \dots \cup \Gamma' \gamma_r e.$$

This decomposition is not necessarily disjoint. We observe that for all  $i$ , the number of  $j$ 's such that

$$\Gamma' \gamma_i e = \Gamma' \gamma_j e,$$

is equal to

$$\frac{\#\overline{\Gamma}_e}{\#\overline{\Gamma}'_{\gamma_i e}}.$$

This concludes the proof. □



## 1.2 Automorphic forms

Let  $\mathcal{K} \subseteq G(\mathcal{O})$  be an open subgroup and  $L$  a subfield of the complex numbers. Later we will be interested in groups of the form

$$\mathcal{K}_0(\mathfrak{n}) := \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} \underline{a} & \underline{b} \\ \underline{c} & \underline{d} \end{pmatrix} \in G(\mathcal{O}) : \underline{c} \equiv 0 \pmod{\mathfrak{n}} \right\}$$

for an effective divisor  $\mathfrak{n}$  of  $F$ .

**Definition 1.4.** *The space of automorphic cusp forms  $W_{\mathfrak{p}}(\mathcal{K}, L)$  at  $\mathfrak{p}$  consists of functions*

$$f : G(\mathcal{A}) \longrightarrow L$$

such that

(i) for all  $\gamma \in G(F)$ ,  $\underline{g} \in G(\mathcal{A})$  and  $\underline{k} \in \mathcal{K}Z(F_{\mathfrak{p}})$ ,

$$f(\gamma \underline{g} \underline{k}) = f(\underline{g})$$

and

(ii) for all  $\underline{g} \in G(\mathcal{A})$ ,

$$\int_{F \setminus \mathcal{A}} f\left(\begin{pmatrix} 1 & \underline{x} \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \underline{g}\right) d\underline{x} = 0,$$

where  $d\underline{x}$  is a Haar measure on  $F \setminus \mathcal{A}$ .

In particular, an automorphic cusp form is a function on

$$Y_{\mathfrak{p}}(\mathcal{K}) := G(F) \backslash G(\mathcal{A}) / \mathcal{K} \cdot Z(F_{\mathfrak{p}}).$$

There is a natural  $G(F_{\mathfrak{p}})$ -action (from the right) on the space

$$V_{\text{sp},\mathfrak{p}}(L) := \{f : \mathbb{P}^1(F_{\mathfrak{p}}) \rightarrow L : f \text{ locally constant}\} / L.$$

This is called the ( $L$ -valued) *special representation*  $\varrho_{\text{sp},\mathfrak{p}}$  of  $G(F_{\mathfrak{p}})$ . An automorphic cusp form  $f \in W_{\mathfrak{p}}(\mathcal{K}, L)$  transforms like  $\varrho_{\text{sp},\mathfrak{p}}$  if the  $L$ -vector space generated by its right  $G(F_{\mathfrak{p}})$ -translates is isomorphic to a finite number of copies of  $\varrho_{\text{sp},\mathfrak{p}}$ . We denote the space of such forms by  $W_{\text{sp},\mathfrak{p}}(\mathcal{K}, L)$ .

Assume that  $\mathcal{K}$  decomposes as a product

$$\mathcal{K} = \mathcal{K}_{f,\mathfrak{p}} \times \mathcal{I}_{\mathfrak{p}},$$

where  $\mathcal{I}_{\mathfrak{p}}$  is the Iwahori group. Then we can choose a system  $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$  of representatives for the finite set  $G(F) \backslash G(\mathcal{A}_{f,\mathfrak{p}}) / \mathcal{K}_{f,\mathfrak{p}}$  and we define

$$\Gamma_{\underline{x}} := G(F) \cap \underline{x} \mathcal{K}_{f,\mathfrak{p}} \underline{x}^{-1}$$

to be the intersection in  $G(\mathcal{A}_{f,\mathfrak{p}})$  for  $\underline{x} \in R_{\mathfrak{p}}$ . Every element  $\underline{g} \in G(\mathcal{A})$  can be written as a product

$$\underline{g} = \gamma(\underline{x} \times 1_{\mathfrak{p}})(\underline{k} \times 1_{\mathfrak{p}})(\underline{1}_{f,\mathfrak{p}} \times g_{\mathfrak{p}}),$$

for some  $\gamma \in G(F) \subseteq G(\mathcal{A})$ ,  $\underline{k} \in \mathcal{K}_{f,\mathfrak{p}}$ ,  $g_{\mathfrak{p}} \in G(F_{\mathfrak{p}})$ ,  $1_{\mathfrak{p}} \in G(F_{\mathfrak{p}})$  and  $\underline{1}_{f,\mathfrak{p}} \in G(\mathcal{A}_{f,\mathfrak{p}})$  the respective units, and a uniquely determined  $\underline{x} \in R_{\mathfrak{p}}$ . This leads to the following identification ([GR, 4.5.4]):

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_{\mathfrak{p}} : Y_{\mathfrak{p}}(\mathcal{K}) &\xrightarrow{\cong} \coprod_{\underline{x} \in R_{\mathfrak{p}}} \Gamma_{\underline{x}} \backslash G(F_{\mathfrak{p}}) / Z(F_{\mathfrak{p}}) \cdot \mathcal{I}_{\mathfrak{p}} \\ [g] &\longmapsto [g_{\mathfrak{p}}], \end{aligned}$$

where  $[\cdot]$  denotes the double class of an element. Of course, the group on the right is the same as  $\coprod_{\underline{x} \in R_{\mathfrak{p}}} \vec{\mathcal{E}}(\Gamma_{\underline{x}} \backslash \mathcal{T}_{\mathfrak{p}})$  (see sect. 1.1). The following important theorem can e.g. be found in [GR, 4.7.6]:

**Theorem 1.5 (Drinfeld).** *Assume  $\mathcal{K} = \mathcal{K}_{f,\mathfrak{p}} \times \mathcal{I}_{\mathfrak{p}}$  with an open subgroup  $\mathcal{K}_{f,\mathfrak{p}} \subseteq G(\mathcal{O}_{f,\mathfrak{p}})$ . Under the identification above, the following spaces are isomorphic:*

$$W_{\text{sp},\mathfrak{p}}(\mathcal{K}, L) \cong \bigoplus_{\underline{x} \in R_{\mathfrak{p}}} \underline{\mathbb{H}}_1(\mathcal{T}_{\mathfrak{p}}, L)^{\Gamma_{\underline{x}}}.$$

One defines a theory of Hecke operators on cuspidal harmonic cochains which is compatible with the Hecke algebra on automorphic forms. Using the Petersson product, it is therefore possible to define new- and oldforms (see below).

**Remark.** *Let  $F = \mathbb{F}_q(T)$ ,  $\deg(\mathfrak{p}) = 1$  and  $\mathcal{K} = \mathcal{K}_0(\mathfrak{p}\mathfrak{n}) = \mathcal{K}_{f,\mathfrak{p}} \times \mathcal{I}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ , where  $\mathfrak{n}$  is a positive divisor such that  $\mathfrak{p} \nmid \mathfrak{n}$ . Then  $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$  consists only of one element and*

$$W_{\text{sp},\mathfrak{p}}(\mathcal{K}_0(\mathfrak{p}\mathfrak{n}), L) \cong \underline{\mathbb{H}}_1(\mathcal{T}_{\mathfrak{p}}, L)^{\Gamma_0^{\mathfrak{p}}(\mathfrak{n})}.$$

The space of automorphic cusp forms admits a non-degenerate pairing, the so-called Petersson product, given by

$$(f_1, f_2)_{\mu} := \int_{Y_{\mathfrak{p}}(\mathcal{K})} f_1(\underline{g}) \overline{f_2(\underline{g})} d\mu(\underline{g}),$$

where  $\mu$  is a suitably normalised Haar measure on  $G(\mathcal{A})/Z(G(F_\infty))$ .

With the identifications of theorem 1.5, the Haar measure becomes

$$\mu(e) = \frac{1}{\#(\overline{\Gamma_x})_e},$$

and the pairing in section 1.1 is seen to be the Petersson product. (More details can be found in [GR, 4.8].)

### 1.3 Theta functions and Tate curves

Let  $E/F$  be an elliptic curve of conductor  $\mathfrak{p}\mathfrak{n}$  with split multiplicative reduction at  $\mathfrak{p}$  and  $\Gamma$  an arithmetic group. Then  $E$  is a Tate curve at  $\mathfrak{p}$ , i.e.

$$E(F_{\mathfrak{p}}) \cong F_{\mathfrak{p}}^\times / q_{E,\mathfrak{p}}^{\mathbb{Z}},$$

where  $q_{E,\mathfrak{p}} \in F_{\mathfrak{p}}^\times$  is the Tate period. This isomorphism can be constructed explicitly by means of theta functions. The contents of this section are a review of [GR, Sections 5,7 and 9].

**Theorem 1.6 (Gekeler-Reversat).** *Let  $\omega \in \Omega_{\mathfrak{p}}$  be a randomly chosen point. For  $\alpha \in \Gamma$ , one defines*

$$u_\alpha : \begin{cases} \Omega_{\mathfrak{p}}^* & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{C}_{\mathfrak{p}} \\ z & \longmapsto & \prod_{\varepsilon \in \overline{\Gamma}} \frac{z - \varepsilon\omega}{z - \varepsilon\alpha\omega} \end{cases} .$$

*This product converges to a  $\mathbf{C}_{\mathfrak{p}}^\times$ -valued holomorphic theta function, i.e. for all  $\beta \in \Gamma$ ,  $u_\alpha$  satisfies a functional equation*

$$u_\alpha(\beta z) = c_\alpha(\beta)u_\alpha(z).$$

*The definition of  $u_\alpha$  is independent of the chosen  $\omega$  and only depends on the class of  $\alpha$  in  $\overline{\Gamma}$ . This induces a group homomorphism*

$$\overline{c} : \begin{cases} \overline{\Gamma} & \longrightarrow & \text{Hom}(\overline{\Gamma}, F_{\mathfrak{p}}^\times) \\ \alpha & \longmapsto & (\beta \mapsto c_\alpha(\beta)) \end{cases} .$$

*Furthermore, the map*

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{\Gamma} \times \overline{\Gamma} & \longrightarrow & F_{\mathfrak{p}}^\times \\ (\alpha, \beta) & \longmapsto & c_\alpha(\beta) \end{aligned}$$

*defines a symmetric bilinear pairing.*

We observe that by definition,  $u_\gamma(\infty) = 1$  for all  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ .

The elliptic curve  $E$  has a uniformisation

$$\overline{M}_0(\mathbf{n}) \longrightarrow E$$

by a Drinfeld modular curve, defined over  $F$ . The affine algebraic curve  $M_0(\mathbf{n})$  is the moduli scheme representing the functor of Drinfeld modules of rank 2 with level  $\mathbf{n}$ -structure. The rigid analytic variety  $M_0^{\text{an}}(\mathbf{n})$  associated to  $M_0(\mathbf{n}) \otimes_F \mathbf{C}_p$  decomposes as:

$$M_0^{\text{an}}(\mathbf{n}) = \coprod_{\underline{x} \in R_p} \Gamma_{\underline{x}} \backslash \Omega_p.$$

This curve can be compactified to a projective curve  $\overline{M}_0(\mathbf{n})$  by adding a finite number of points (the so-called cusps), which can be seen as coming from  $\mathbb{P}^1(F) \subset \partial\Omega_p$ . As above, we get a decomposition:

$$\overline{M}_0^{\text{an}}(\mathbf{n}) = \coprod_{\underline{x} \in R_p} \overline{M}_{\Gamma_{\underline{x}}}(\mathbf{C}_p) = \coprod_{\underline{x} \in R_p} \Gamma_{\underline{x}} \backslash \Omega_p^*,$$

and its Jacobian decomposes accordingly. It is enough to work on a chosen component ([GR, (9.6)]).

Assume that  $E$  is the strong Weil curve in its isogeny class. It corresponds to a primitive Hecke eigenform

$$c_p = (c_{p,\underline{x}})_{\underline{x} \in R_p} \in \bigoplus_{\underline{x} \in R_p} \mathbb{H}_1^{\text{new}}(\mathcal{T}_p, \mathbb{Z})^{\Gamma_{\underline{x}}}$$

with rational eigenvalues. I.e.  $c_p$  is normalised such that for all  $\underline{x} \in R_p$ ,  $c_{p,\underline{x}} \in j(\overline{\Gamma}_{\underline{x}})$  but  $c_{p,\underline{x}} \notin nj(\overline{\Gamma}_{\underline{x}})$  for  $n > 1$  ([GR, (9.1)]). We now choose a component  $\Gamma_{\underline{x}} \backslash \Omega_p^*$ , as above, and consider the corresponding newform  $c_p = c_{p,\underline{x}}$ . Let  $\gamma_p \in \overline{\Gamma}_{\underline{x}}$  be its preimage under  $j$ . Then the analytic uniformisation of  $E$  at  $p$  is given by the diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 1 & \longrightarrow & \overline{\Gamma}_{\underline{x}} & \xrightarrow{\overline{c}} & \text{Hom}(\overline{\Gamma}_{\underline{x}}, \mathbf{C}_p^\times) & \longrightarrow & \text{Jac}(\overline{M}_{\Gamma_{\underline{x}}}(\mathbf{C}_p)) \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \text{ev}_p & & \downarrow \text{pr}_p \\ 1 & \longrightarrow & \Lambda_p & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{C}_p^\times & \longrightarrow & E(\mathbf{C}_p) \longrightarrow 0, \end{array}$$

where  $\text{ev}_p$  is the evaluation map at  $\gamma_p$ ,  $\Lambda_p$  is the image of  $\overline{\Gamma}_{\underline{x}}$  under  $\text{ev}_p$  and  $\text{pr}_p$  is the map induced by  $\text{ev}_p$ . It is explicitly given by:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{pr}_p : \text{Jac}(\overline{M}_{\Gamma_{\underline{x}}}(\mathbf{C}_p)) & \longrightarrow & E(\mathbf{C}_p) \\ (a) - (b) & \longmapsto & \frac{u_{\gamma_p}(a)}{u_{\gamma_p}(b)} \end{array}$$

where  $a, b \in \Omega_p^*$ .

There exists a divisor  $d$  of  $q^{\deg(\mathfrak{p})} - 1$  and  $t \in F_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\times}$  with  $|t|_{\mathfrak{p}} < 1$  (both dependent on  $c_{\mathfrak{p}}$ ) such that  $\Lambda_{\mathfrak{p}} = \boldsymbol{\mu}_d \times t^{\mathbb{Z}}$  ( $\boldsymbol{\mu}_d$  the  $d$ -th roots of unity in  $F_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\times}$ ). The Tate period is given by  $q_{E,\mathfrak{p}} = t^d$ .

In the case that  $A_{\mathfrak{p}}$  is isomorphic to a polynomial ring, Gekeler shows in [Gek2] that  $d = 1$ . In particular,

$$\Lambda_{\mathfrak{p}} = q_{E,\mathfrak{p}}^{\mathbb{Z}}$$

if  $F = \mathbb{F}_q(T)$  and  $\mathfrak{p}$  is of degree 1.

An analogue of the well known Manin-Drinfeld theorem was established in [Gek3]:

**Theorem 1.7 (Gekeler).** *For each congruence subgroup  $\Gamma_0^{\mathfrak{p}}(\mathfrak{n})$  of  $GL_2(F)$ , the cuspidal divisor group*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{C}_{\Gamma} &:= \text{Div}_0(\overline{M}_0(\mathfrak{n})(\mathbf{C}_{\mathfrak{p}}) - M_0(\mathfrak{n})(\mathbf{C}_{\mathfrak{p}})) \pmod{\text{(principal divisors)}} \\ &= \text{Div}_0(\Gamma_0^{\mathfrak{p}}(\mathfrak{n}) \backslash \mathbb{P}^1(F)) \pmod{\text{(principal divisors)}} \end{aligned}$$

is finite.

## 1.4 Multiplicative integrals

Based on one of Bertolini's ideas, the following multiplicative integrals are considered in [L]. Let  $X$  be a topological space such that a basis for its topology is given by its open compact subsets. Given a continuous function  $f : X \rightarrow \mathbf{C}_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\times}$  and a measure  $\mu \in \text{Meas}(X, \mathbb{Z})$ , i.e. a finitely additive function on the compact open subsets of  $X$ , we define:

$$\int_X f(t) d\mu(t) := \varinjlim_{\alpha} \prod_{U \in \mathcal{C}_{\alpha}} f(t)^{\mu(U)},$$

where  $\{\mathcal{C}_{\alpha}\}$  is the direct system of finite covers of  $X$  by compact open subsets, and  $t \in U$  is chosen arbitrarily. This integral exists and is independent of the choices of the  $t$ 's. Furthermore, it induces a continuous homomorphism from the group of continuous functions  $\mathcal{C}(X, \mathbf{C}_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\times})$  to  $\mathbf{C}_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\times}$ .

For future reference, we state one important (but obvious) property:

**Lemma 1.8.** *Let  $X$  and  $\mu$  be as above. Then*

$$\int_X c d\mu(t) = c^{\mu(X)},$$

for all  $c \in \mathbf{C}_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\times}$ .

If we choose  $X$  to be the boundary  $\partial\Omega_{\mathfrak{p}}$ , the computation of a multiplicative integral can be accomplished as follows. Choose a vertex  $v \in \vec{\mathcal{E}}(\mathcal{T}_{\mathfrak{p}})$  and, for  $e \in \vec{\mathcal{E}}(\mathcal{T}_{\mathfrak{p}})$  pointing away from  $v$ , define  $\text{dist}_v(e)$  to be the distance between  $o(e)$  and  $v$  (i.e. the number of edges of a geodesic). Then, for  $f \in \mathcal{C}(\partial\Omega_{\mathfrak{p}}, \mathbf{C}_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\times})$ ,

$$\int_{\partial\Omega_{\mathfrak{p}}} f(t) d\mu(t) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \prod_{\text{dist}_v(e)=n} f(t_e)^{\mu(U(e))},$$

where  $t_e \in U(e)$  is chosen arbitrarily. This is independent of the choice of  $v$ , which is normally chosen to be the base vertex  $v_0$  (see sect. 1.1).

Another important property is the following:

**Lemma 1.9.** *Let  $U$  be an open subset of  $\partial\Omega_{\mathfrak{p}}$  and  $\gamma \in GL_2(F_{\mathfrak{p}})$ . Then*

$$\int_{\gamma U} f d(\gamma * \mu) = \int_U (f \circ \gamma) d\mu,$$

where  $\gamma * \mu(V) = \mu(\gamma^{-1}V)$ .

It is possible to obtain a multiplicative version of Teitelbaum's Poisson inversion formula ([T1]):

**Proposition 1.10.** *Let  $\alpha \in \Gamma_0^{\mathfrak{p}}(\mathfrak{n}\infty)$ . Then*

$$\frac{u_{\alpha}(z_2)}{u_{\alpha}(z_1)} = \int_{\partial\Omega_{\mathfrak{p}}} \frac{t - z_2}{t - z_1} d\mu_{j(\alpha)}(t),$$

for all  $z_1, z_2 \in \Omega_{\mathfrak{p}}^*$ .

*Proof.* This is a combination of propositions 8 and 24 in [L]. □

## 2 Integration on $\mathcal{T}_{\mathfrak{p}} \times \mathcal{T}_{\infty}/\Gamma$

### 2.1 Harmonic cochains

We choose a further place  $\infty$  of  $F$ , different of  $\mathfrak{p}$ , and set

$$S := \{\mathfrak{p}, \infty\}.$$

We define the following subgroup of  $\mathrm{GL}_2(A_S)$ :

$$\Gamma := \left\{ \gamma = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \mathrm{GL}_2(A_S) : \det(\gamma) \in \mathbb{F}_q \text{ and } c \in \mathfrak{n} \right\}$$

where  $\mathfrak{n} \leq A_S$  is an ideal corresponding to an effective divisor of  $F$ , which we will also call  $\mathfrak{n}$ , s.t.  $\mathfrak{p}, \infty \nmid \mathfrak{n}$ . We will use the same notation for the corresponding ideals in  $A_{\mathfrak{p}}$  and  $A_{\infty}$ .

**Lemma 2.1.** *Let  $\mathfrak{q} \in S$ . Then the group  $\Gamma \cap \mathrm{SL}_2(F_{\mathfrak{q}})$  is dense in  $\mathrm{SL}_2(F_{\mathfrak{q}})$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\Gamma^c$  be the closure of  $\Gamma \cap \mathrm{SL}_2(F_{\mathfrak{q}})$  in  $\mathrm{SL}_2(F_{\mathfrak{q}})$ . By the strong approximation theorem,  $A_S$  and  $\mathfrak{n}$  are dense in  $F_{\mathfrak{q}}$ . Therefore, since  $\Gamma$  contains the subgroups

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ \mathfrak{n} & 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \begin{pmatrix} 1 & A_S \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

we see that  $\Gamma^c$  contains the full triangular subgroups

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ F_{\mathfrak{q}} & 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \begin{pmatrix} 1 & F_{\mathfrak{q}} \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Let  $\alpha \in \mathrm{SL}_2(F_{\mathfrak{q}})$  and put  $a := \alpha(\infty)$ ,  $b := \alpha(0)$ . The matrix

$$\beta := \begin{pmatrix} 1 & b \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ (a-b)^{-1} & 1 \end{pmatrix} \in \Gamma^c$$

also sends  $\infty$  to  $a$  and 0 to  $b$ . It follows that  $\beta^{-1}\alpha$  is a diagonal matrix in  $\mathrm{SL}_2(F_{\mathfrak{q}})$ , i.e., of the form

$$\begin{pmatrix} x & 0 \\ 0 & x^{-1} \end{pmatrix}.$$

But all such diagonal matrices are contained in  $\Gamma^c$ , since

$$\begin{pmatrix} x & 0 \\ 0 & x^{-1} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ x^{-1}-1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ x-1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -x^{-1} \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

(this is a special case of Whitehead's lemma). □

In the following we use the letters  $w$  and  $s$  to denote vertices and edges on  $\mathcal{T}_{\infty}$ , whereas we keep  $v$  and  $e$  for  $\mathcal{T}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ .

**Corollary 2.2.** *The group  $\Gamma$  acts transitively on the sets of unoriented edges of  $\mathcal{T}_{\mathfrak{p}}$  and  $\mathcal{T}_{\infty}$ . Its action on oriented edges has two orbits:*

$$\vec{\mathcal{E}}(\mathcal{T}_{\mathfrak{p}}) = \Gamma e_0 \coprod \Gamma \bar{e}_0 \quad \text{and} \quad \vec{\mathcal{E}}(\mathcal{T}_{\infty}) = \Gamma s_0 \coprod \Gamma \bar{s}_0.$$

*Proof.* We observe that  $\vec{\mathcal{E}}(\mathcal{T}_{\mathfrak{p}}) = \mathrm{SL}_2(F_{\mathfrak{p}})e_0 \coprod \mathrm{SL}_2(F_{\mathfrak{p}})\bar{e}_0$ . Let  $e$  be in the  $\mathrm{SL}_2(F_{\mathfrak{p}})$ -orbit of  $e_0$ . The set

$$T_e := \{\gamma \in \mathrm{SL}_2(F_{\mathfrak{p}}) : \gamma e_0 = e\}$$

is open in  $\mathrm{SL}_2(F_{\mathfrak{p}})$ , since it is a coset of the stabiliser of  $e_0$ . Lemma 2.1 implies that

$$T_e \cap \Gamma \neq \emptyset,$$

i.e.,  $e$  is in the  $\Gamma$ -orbit of  $e_0$ . We can apply the same argument for the orbit of  $\bar{e}_0$ . The statement for  $\mathcal{T}_{\infty}$  follows analogously.  $\square$

We want to study harmonic cochains on the product  $\mathcal{T} = \mathcal{T}_{\mathfrak{p}} \times \mathcal{T}_{\infty}$ . Let  $\vec{\mathcal{E}}(\mathcal{T})$  (resp.  $\mathcal{V}(\mathcal{T})$ ) denote the product of the corresponding sets of (directed) edges (resp. vertices). We observe that for the base vertex  $v_0 \in \mathcal{V}(\mathcal{T}_{\mathfrak{p}})$ ,

$$\Gamma_{v_0} := \Gamma \cap \mathrm{Stab}(v_0) = \Gamma_0^{\infty}(\mathfrak{n})$$

and for the base edge  $e_0 \in \mathcal{V}(\mathcal{T}_{\mathfrak{p}})$ ,

$$\Gamma_{e_0} := \Gamma \cap \mathrm{Stab}(e_0) = \Gamma_0^{\infty}(\mathfrak{pn}).$$

The stabilisers of the other vertices in  $\mathcal{T}_{\mathfrak{p}}$  are  $\Gamma$ -conjugate to  $\Gamma_{v_0}$ . For an edge  $e \in \vec{\mathcal{E}}(\mathcal{T}_{\mathfrak{p}})$ , either the stabiliser of  $e$  or  $\bar{e}$  is conjugate to  $\Gamma_{e_0}$ , depending on whether  $e$  is in the  $\Gamma$ -orbit of  $e_0$  or  $\bar{e}_0$ . The same holds for the base vertex/edge  $w_0, s_0$  on  $\mathcal{T}_{\infty}$  with the corresponding subgroups of  $\mathrm{GL}_2(A_{\mathfrak{p}})$ .

**Definition 2.3.** *Let  $M$  be an abelian group. The space of  $\Gamma$ -invariant harmonic cochains  $\underline{\mathbb{H}}_1(\mathcal{T}, M)^{\Gamma}$  with values in  $M$ , cuspidal with respect to  $S$ , consists of functions  $c : \vec{\mathcal{E}}(\mathcal{T}) \rightarrow M$  such that:*

$$(i) \quad \forall \gamma \in \Gamma \quad \forall (e, s) \in \vec{\mathcal{E}}(\mathcal{T}) : c(\gamma e, \gamma s) = c(e, s)$$

and  $c$  has compact support modulo  $\Gamma$ ,

$$(ii) \quad \forall e \in \vec{\mathcal{E}}(\mathcal{T}_{\mathfrak{p}}) : c(e, \cdot) \in \underline{\mathbb{H}}(\mathcal{T}_{\infty}, M) \text{ and}$$



(iii)  $\forall s \in \vec{\mathcal{E}}(\mathcal{T}_\infty) : c(\cdot, s) \in \underline{\mathbb{H}}(\mathcal{T}_\mathfrak{p}, M)$ .

**Remark.** These conditions imply that

$$\forall e \in \vec{\mathcal{E}}(\mathcal{T}_\mathfrak{p}) : c(e, \cdot) \in \underline{\mathbb{H}}_l(\mathcal{T}_\infty, M)^{\Gamma_e} \text{ and}$$

$$\forall s \in \vec{\mathcal{E}}(\mathcal{T}_\infty) : c(\cdot, s) \in \underline{\mathbb{H}}_l(\mathcal{T}_\mathfrak{p}, M)^{\Gamma_s}.$$

**Definition 2.4.** Let  $L$  be a subgroup of the complex numbers. The subspace of  $\mathfrak{p}$ -newforms  $\underline{\mathbb{H}}_l^{\mathfrak{p}\text{-new}}(\mathcal{T}_\infty, L)^{\Gamma_0^\infty(\mathfrak{pn})}$  of  $\underline{\mathbb{H}}_l(\mathcal{T}_\infty, L)^{\Gamma_0^\infty(\mathfrak{pn})}$  is defined to be the orthogonal complement of the images of the natural inclusions of  $\underline{\mathbb{H}}_l(\mathcal{T}_\infty, M)^{\Gamma_{v_0}}$  and  $\underline{\mathbb{H}}_l(\mathcal{T}_\infty, M)^{\Gamma_{v_{-1}}}$  into  $\underline{\mathbb{H}}_l(\mathcal{T}_\infty, M)^{\Gamma_{e_0}}$ , induced by the equality

$$\Gamma_{e_0} = \Gamma_{v_0} \cap \Gamma_{v_{-1}},$$

with respect to the Petersson product.

The following is an analogue of [Da, Lemma 1.3,3.]:

**Proposition 2.5.** The map

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \underline{\mathbb{H}}_l(\mathcal{T}, L)^\Gamma & \longrightarrow & \underline{\mathbb{H}}_l^{\mathfrak{p}\text{-new}}(\mathcal{T}_\infty, L)^{\Gamma_0^\infty(\mathfrak{pn})} \\ c & \longmapsto & c_\infty(\cdot) := c(e_0, \cdot) \end{array}$$

is a well-defined isomorphism.

*Proof.* Since  $\vec{\mathcal{E}}(\mathcal{T}_\mathfrak{p}) = \Gamma e_0 \amalg \Gamma \bar{e}_0$ ,  $c$  is completely determined by  $c(e_0, \cdot)$ . On the other hand, given  $c_\infty \in \underline{\mathbb{H}}_l(\mathcal{T}_\infty, L)^{\Gamma_0(\mathfrak{pn})}$ , we can define a cochain  $c \in \underline{\mathbb{H}}_l(\mathcal{T}, L)^\Gamma$  by  $c(\gamma e_0, s) := c_\infty(\gamma^{-1}s)$  and  $c(\gamma \bar{e}_0, s) := -c_\infty(\gamma^{-1}s)$  for  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ , respectively, provided the condition of harmonicity at the vertices  $v_0$  and  $v_{-1}$  is satisfied (observe that there are also only two  $\Gamma$ -orbits for vertices on  $\mathcal{T}_\mathfrak{p}$ ). Let  $\{\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_r\}$  ( $r = q^{\deg(\mathfrak{p})} + 1$ ) be a set of representatives of the quotient  $\Gamma_0^\infty(\mathfrak{n})/\Gamma_0^\infty(\mathfrak{pn})$ . Then the map

$$\gamma_i \longmapsto \gamma_i v_{-1}$$

defines a bijection between  $\Gamma_0^\infty(\mathfrak{n})/\Gamma_0^\infty(\mathfrak{pn})$  and the  $\Gamma_{v_0}$ -orbit of  $v_{-1}$ , i.e. the set of edges with origin  $v_0$ . Condition (iii) above yields

$$0 = \sum_{o(e)=v_0} c(e, s) = \sum_{i=1}^r c(\gamma_i \bar{e}_0, s) = - \sum_{i=1}^r c_\infty(\gamma_i^{-1}s).$$

I.e.,  $c_\infty$  is in the kernel of the trace map

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Tr}_{\Gamma_0^\infty(\mathfrak{n})}^{\Gamma_0^\infty(\mathfrak{pn})} : \underline{\mathbb{H}}_l(\mathcal{T}_\infty, L)^{\Gamma_0^\infty(\mathfrak{pn})} & \longrightarrow & \underline{\mathbb{H}}_l(\mathcal{T}_\infty, L)^{\Gamma_0^\infty(\mathfrak{n})} \\ \psi & \longmapsto & \sum \psi \circ \gamma_i^{-1} = \sum \gamma_i * \psi \end{array}$$

By lemma 1.3,

$$\langle \varphi, \psi \rangle_{\Gamma_0^{\infty}(\mathfrak{pn})} = \langle \text{Tr}_{\Gamma_0^{\infty}(\mathfrak{n})}^{\Gamma_0^{\infty}(\mathfrak{pn})} \varphi, \psi \rangle_{\Gamma_0^{\infty}(\mathfrak{n})}$$

for  $\varphi \in \underline{\mathbb{H}}_1(\mathcal{T}_{\infty}, L)^{\Gamma_0^{\infty}(\mathfrak{pn})}$  and  $\psi \in \underline{\mathbb{H}}_1(\mathcal{T}_{\infty}, L)^{\Gamma_0^{\infty}(\mathfrak{n})}$ .

The edges exiting from  $v_{-1}$  are the orbit of  $e_0$  in  $\Gamma_{v_{-1}}$ . Similar reasoning as above implies that

$$\text{Tr}_{\Gamma_{v_{-1}}}^{\Gamma_0^{\infty}(\mathfrak{pn})}(c_{\infty}) = 0.$$

Hence  $c_{\infty}$  is orthogonal to  $\underline{\mathbb{H}}_1(\mathcal{T}_{\infty}, L)^{\Gamma_{v_{-1}}}$ . □

Now we consider the following group:

$$\tilde{\Gamma} := \left\{ \gamma = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \text{GL}_2(A_S) : c \in \mathfrak{n} \right\}.$$

We observe that

$$\tilde{\Gamma}_{v_0} \cap \text{GL}_2(A_{\infty}) = \Gamma_0^{\infty}(\mathfrak{n})$$

and

$$\tilde{\Gamma}_{e_0} \cap \text{GL}_2(A_{\infty}) = \Gamma_0^{\infty}(\mathfrak{pn}),$$

where  $\tilde{\Gamma}_{\cdot} := \text{Stab}_{\tilde{\Gamma}}(\cdot)$ .

We define the space of  $\tilde{\Gamma}$ -invariant,  $S$ -cuspidal harmonic cochains

$$\underline{\mathbb{H}}_1(\mathcal{T}, M)^{\tilde{\Gamma}}$$

with values in an abelian group  $M$  as in definition 2.3. This is a subspace of  $\underline{\mathbb{H}}_1(\mathcal{T}, M)^{\Gamma}$ .

By the  $S$ -unit theorem, there exists  $u \in F$  such that

$$A_S^{\times} = \mathbb{F}_q^{\times} \times u^{\mathbb{Z}}$$

and

$$\text{div}(u) = h_{\mathfrak{p}} \cdot [\mathfrak{p}] - h_{\infty} \cdot [\infty].$$

The group  $\tilde{\Gamma}$  is generated by  $\Gamma$  and the matrix

$$\gamma = \begin{pmatrix} u & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \in \tilde{\Gamma} - \Gamma.$$

In the case that  $h_{\mathfrak{p}}$  is odd,  $\gamma e_0$  is in the  $\Gamma$ -orbit of  $\bar{e}_0$  and there exists  $\beta \in \Gamma$  such that  $\gamma e_0 = \beta \bar{e}_0$ . Alternatively, if  $h_{\mathfrak{p}}$  is even, there exists  $\beta \in \Gamma$  such that  $\gamma e_0 = \beta e_0$ . In either case we define

$$\alpha_{\mathfrak{p}} := \beta^{-1} \gamma \in \tilde{\Gamma} - \Gamma.$$

Then the Atkin-Lehner involution at  $\mathfrak{p}$  on  $\underline{H}_1(\mathcal{T}_\infty, L)^{\Gamma_0^\infty(\mathfrak{pn})}$  is given by:

$$W_{\mathfrak{p}}c_\infty := \alpha_{\mathfrak{p}} * c_\infty.$$

An easy calculation shows that the image of  $\underline{H}_1(\mathcal{T}, L)^{\tilde{\Gamma}}$  in  $\underline{H}_1^{\mathfrak{p}\text{-new}}(\mathcal{T}_\infty, L)^{\Gamma_0^\infty(\mathfrak{pn})}$  (via the isomorphism in proposition 2.5) is

$$\underline{H}_1^{\mathfrak{p}\text{-new}, -}(\mathcal{T}_\infty, L)^{\Gamma_0^\infty(\mathfrak{pn})},$$

the space of those  $\mathfrak{p}$ -newforms which are eigenforms under  $W_{\mathfrak{p}}$  of eigenvalue  $(-1)^{h_{\mathfrak{p}}}$ . This shows:

**Corollary 2.6.** *The following diagram (with horizontal isomorphisms) is commutative:*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \underline{H}_1(\mathcal{T}, M)^{\tilde{\Gamma}} & \xrightarrow{\cong} & \underline{H}_1^{\mathfrak{p}\text{-new}, -}(\mathcal{T}_\infty, L)^{\Gamma_0^\infty(\mathfrak{pn})} \\ \bigcap & & \bigcap \\ \underline{H}_1(\mathcal{T}, M)^{\Gamma} & \xrightarrow{\cong} & \underline{H}_1^{\mathfrak{p}\text{-new}}(\mathcal{T}_\infty, L)^{\Gamma_0^\infty(\mathfrak{pn})}. \end{array}$$

**Remark.** Similarly, by interchanging  $\mathfrak{p}$  and  $\infty$  and exchanging  $e_0$  with  $s_0$  we get:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \underline{H}_1(\mathcal{T}, L)^{\tilde{\Gamma}} & \xrightarrow{\cong} & \underline{H}_1^{\infty\text{-new}, -}(\mathcal{T}_{\mathfrak{p}}, L)^{\Gamma_0^{\mathfrak{p}}(\mathfrak{n}\infty)} \\ \bigcap & & \bigcap \\ \underline{H}_1(\mathcal{T}, L)^{\Gamma} & \xrightarrow{\cong} & \underline{H}_1^{\infty\text{-new}}(\mathcal{T}_{\mathfrak{p}}, L)^{\Gamma_0^{\mathfrak{p}}(\mathfrak{n}\infty)} \\ c & \longmapsto & c_{\mathfrak{p}}(\cdot). \end{array}$$

## 2.2 Double integrals

Let  $x, y \in \mathbb{P}^1(F)$ . We denote the geodesic joining the corresponding ends on  $\mathcal{T}_\infty$  by  $A(x, y)$ . For any  $c \in \underline{H}_1(\mathcal{T}, M)^{\tilde{\Gamma}}$ , it follows from the definitions that

$$c\{x \rightarrow y\} : e \longmapsto \sum_{s \in A(x, y)} c(e, s)$$

is a  $M$ -valued harmonic cochain on  $\mathcal{T}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ . (Note that since  $c$  is cuspidal, this is a finite sum for all  $e$ .) Therefore it corresponds to a measure

$$\mu_c\{x \rightarrow y\}$$

on  $\mathbb{P}^1(F_{\mathfrak{p}})$  of total mass 0.

If we choose  $\gamma \in \tilde{\Gamma}$  such that  $e = \gamma e_0$ , we see that

$$\begin{aligned} c\{x \rightarrow y\}(e) &= \sum_{s \in A(\gamma^{-1}x, \gamma^{-1}y)} c_{\infty}(s) \\ &= \sum_{s \in A(x, y)} \gamma * c_{\infty}(s) \end{aligned}$$

(compare cor. 2.6). Or equivalently,

$$\mu_c\{x \rightarrow y\}(U(e)) = - \sum_{s \in A(x, y)} \gamma * c_{\infty}(s).$$

This implies the following:

**Lemma 2.7.** *For all  $c \in \underline{H}_1(\mathcal{T}, M)^{\tilde{\Gamma}}$ , the map  $(x, y) \mapsto \mu_c\{x \rightarrow y\}$  induces a  $\tilde{\Gamma}$ -module homomorphism*

$$\mathcal{M} \longrightarrow \text{Meas}_0(\partial\Omega_{\mathfrak{p}}, M)$$

from the group of modular symbols  $\mathcal{M} = \text{Div}^0(\mathbb{P}^1(F))$  to measures on  $\partial\Omega_{\mathfrak{p}}$ .

**Remark.** The analogous statement for  $\Gamma$ -invariant cochains is also true.

For  $c \in \underline{H}_1(\mathcal{T}, \mathbb{Z})^{\tilde{\Gamma}}$ , we define the following double (multiplicative) integral:

$$\int_{z_1}^{z_2} \int_x^y \omega := \int_{\mathbb{P}^1(F_{\mathfrak{p}})} \left( \frac{t - z_2}{t - z_1} \right) d\mu_c\{x \rightarrow y\}(t) \in \mathbf{C}_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\times}$$

for  $z_1, z_2 \in \Omega_{\mathfrak{p}}$ .

**Remark:** Firstly, we observe that this definition depends on the choice of  $c$ . Since later on we will work with an especially chosen  $c_E$ , we dropped it from the notation. Secondly, although  $\omega$  itself is not defined, this definition should be regarded as a period for a "rigid analytic modular form of weight (2, 2) on  $(\Omega_{\mathfrak{p}} \times \Omega_{\infty})/\Gamma$ " (compare [Da]).

**Lemma 2.8.** *The double integrals above have the following properties:*

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{z_1}^{z_3} \int_{x_1}^{x_2} \omega &= \int_{z_1}^{z_2} \int_{x_1}^{x_2} \omega \times \int_{z_2}^{z_3} \int_{x_1}^{x_2} \omega, \\ \int_{z_1}^{z_2} \int_{x_1}^{x_3} \omega &= \int_{z_1}^{z_2} \int_{x_1}^{x_2} \omega \times \int_{z_1}^{z_2} \int_{x_2}^{x_3} \omega, \\ \int_{\gamma z_1}^{\gamma z_2} \int_{\gamma x_1}^{\gamma x_2} \omega &= \int_{z_1}^{z_2} \int_{x_1}^{x_2} \omega, \end{aligned}$$

for all  $z_1, z_2, z_3 \in \Omega_{\mathfrak{p}}$ ,  $x_1, x_2, x_3 \in \mathbb{P}^1(F)$  and  $\gamma \in \tilde{\Gamma}$ .

*Proof.* The first two properties follow directly from the definitions, and the third property from:

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\mathbb{P}^1(F_p)} \frac{t - \gamma z_2}{t - \gamma z_1} d\mu_c\{\gamma x_1 \rightarrow \gamma x_2\}(t) \\
&= \int_{\gamma(\mathbb{P}^1(F_p))} \frac{t - \gamma z_2}{t - \gamma z_1} d\gamma * \gamma^{-1} * \mu_c\{\gamma x_1 \rightarrow \gamma x_2\}(t) \\
&\stackrel{1.9}{=} \int_{\mathbb{P}^1(F_p)} \frac{\gamma t - \gamma z_2}{\gamma t - \gamma z_1} d\gamma^{-1} * \mu_c\{\gamma x_1 \rightarrow \gamma x_2\}(t) \\
&\stackrel{2.7}{=} \int_{\mathbb{P}^1(F_p)} \frac{cz_1 + d}{cz_2 + d} \cdot \frac{t - z_2}{t - z_1} d\mu_c\{x_1 \rightarrow x_2\}(t) \\
&\stackrel{1.8}{=} \int_{\mathbb{P}^1(F_p)} \frac{t - z_2}{t - z_1} d\mu_c\{x_1 \rightarrow x_2\}(t),
\end{aligned}$$

where  $\gamma = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \tilde{\Gamma}$ . □

## 2.3 Automorphic cusp forms

For an open subgroup  $\mathcal{K}$  of  $G(\mathcal{O})$  and a field  $L$  of characteristic zero, we denote the intersection of the spaces of automorphic cusp forms at  $\mathfrak{p}$  and at  $\infty$  in the following way:

$$W_S(\mathcal{K}, L) := W_{\mathfrak{p}}(\mathcal{K}, L) \cap W_{\infty}(\mathcal{K}, L)$$

and similarly for  $W_{\text{sp}}$  and newforms.

Assume that  $\mathcal{K}$  decomposes as

$$\mathcal{K} = \mathcal{K}_{f,S} \times \mathcal{I}_{\mathfrak{p}} \times \mathcal{I}_{\infty}.$$

Since  $\mathcal{K}_{f,S}$  is of finite index in  $\mathcal{O}_{f,S}$  and  $G(F) \backslash G(\mathcal{A}_{f,S}) / G(\mathcal{O}_{f,S})$  is finite (of cardinality equal to the class number  $\text{cl}(A_S)$  of  $A_S$ , compare [GR, (4.1.4)]), the set

$$G(F) \backslash G(\mathcal{A}_{f,S}) / \mathcal{K}_{f,S}$$

is finite. Let  $R_S$  be a system of representatives and

$$\Gamma_{\bar{x}} := G(F) \cap \underline{x} \mathcal{K}_{f,S} \underline{x}^{-1} \subseteq G(\mathcal{A}_{f,S}),$$

for  $\underline{x} \in R_S$ . Every element  $\underline{g} \in G(\mathcal{A})$  can be written as

$$\underline{g} = \gamma(\underline{x} \times 1_{\mathfrak{p}} \times 1_{\infty})(\underline{k} \times 1_{\mathfrak{p}} \times 1_{\infty})(\underline{1}_{f,S} \times g_{\mathfrak{p}} \times g_{\infty}),$$

for some  $\gamma \in G(F)$ ,  $\underline{k} \in \mathcal{K}_{f,S}$ ,  $g_{\mathfrak{p}} \in G(F_{\mathfrak{p}})$ ,  $g_{\infty} \in G(F_{\infty})$  and a uniquely determined  $\underline{x} \in R_S$ . We define

$$Y_S(\mathcal{K}) := G(F) \backslash G(\mathcal{A}) / \mathcal{K} \cdot Z(F_{\mathfrak{p}} \times F_{\infty})$$

and get the following generalisation of [GR, 4.5.4].

**Lemma 2.9.** *The following map is a well-defined isomorphism:*

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_S : Y_S(\mathcal{K}) &\xrightarrow{\cong} \coprod_{\underline{x} \in R_S} \Gamma_{\underline{x}} \backslash G(F_{\mathfrak{p}} \times F_{\infty}) / Z(F_{\mathfrak{p}} \times F_{\infty}) \cdot (\mathcal{I}_{\mathfrak{p}} \times \mathcal{I}_{\infty}) \\ [g] &\longmapsto [g_{\mathfrak{p}} \times g_{\infty}]. \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* Straightforward. □

Again, we observe that the right hand side is equal to

$$\coprod_{\underline{x} \in R_S} \vec{\mathcal{E}}(\Gamma_{\underline{x}} \backslash \mathcal{T})$$

(as in section 1.2).

**Remark.** *Since the class groups of  $A_{\mathfrak{p}}$  and  $A_{\infty}$  surject onto the class group of  $A_S$ , the class number  $\text{cl}(A_S)$  fulfils:*

$$\text{cl}(A_S) \mid \text{gcd}(\text{cl}(A_{\mathfrak{p}}), \text{cl}(A_{\infty})).$$

*In other words,*

$$\#R_S \mid \text{gcd}(\#R_{\mathfrak{p}}, \#R_{\infty}),$$

where  $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$  and  $R_{\infty}$  are the corresponding systems of representatives at  $\mathfrak{p}$  and  $\infty$ . E.g.,  $R_S$  consists only of one element if  $F$  is the rational function field and one of the places is of degree one.

**CONVENTION:** As already mentioned in section 1.3, the results in [GR] are obtained by restricting, without loss of generality, to a single  $\underline{x} \in R_{\mathfrak{p}}$ . The same method applies in our case. To keep our notations simple, we will assume that  $R_S$  consists only of one element. To obtain the general case, one has to slightly generalise the definitions and results in the preceding sections.

If we choose  $\mathcal{K} = \mathcal{K}_0(\mathfrak{p}\mathfrak{n}\infty)$ , then it decomposes as above (see remark after thm. 1.5). Furthermore, we can easily check that

$$G(F) \cap \mathcal{K}_{f,S} = \tilde{\Gamma}.$$

The image of the natural inclusion  $W_{\text{sp},S} \hookrightarrow W_{\text{sp},\mathfrak{p}}$  can easily be identified as

$$W_{\text{sp},\mathfrak{p}}^{\infty\text{-new},-}(\mathcal{K}_0(\mathfrak{pn}\infty)),$$

the space corresponding to  $\underline{H}_1^{\infty\text{-new},-}(\mathcal{T}_{\mathfrak{p}}, L)^{\Gamma_0^{\mathfrak{p}}(\mathfrak{n}\infty)}$  via the isomorphism in theorem 1.5. (Again, the analogue statement is true if we interchange  $\mathfrak{p}$  and  $\infty$ .) This leads us to the following generalisation of Drinfeld's theorem:

**Theorem 2.10.** *The following spaces are isomorphic:*

$$W_{\text{sp},S}(\mathcal{K}_0(\mathfrak{pn}\infty), L) \cong \underline{H}_1(\mathcal{T}, L)^{\tilde{\Gamma}}.$$

Furthermore, the following diagram, which consists entirely of isomorphisms, is commutative:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} W_{\text{sp},\mathfrak{p}}^{\infty\text{-new},-}(\mathcal{K}_0(\mathfrak{pn}\infty)) & \xrightarrow{\cong} & \underline{H}_1^{\infty\text{-new},-}(\mathcal{T}_{\mathfrak{p}}, L)^{\Gamma_0^{\mathfrak{p}}(\mathfrak{n}\infty)} \\ \cong \uparrow & & \cong \uparrow \\ W_{\text{sp},S}(\mathcal{K}_0(\mathfrak{pn}\infty), L) & \xrightarrow{\cong} & \underline{H}_1(\mathcal{T}, L)^{\tilde{\Gamma}} \\ \cong \downarrow & & \cong \downarrow \\ W_{\text{sp},\infty}^{\mathfrak{p}\text{-new},-}(\mathcal{K}_0(\mathfrak{pn}\infty)) & \xrightarrow{\cong} & \underline{H}_1^{\mathfrak{p}\text{-new},-}(\mathcal{T}_{\infty}, L)^{\Gamma_0^{\infty}(\mathfrak{pn})}. \end{array}$$

*Proof.* The corresponding commutative diagram of the underlying spaces is the following:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Y_{\mathfrak{p}}(\mathcal{K}) & \xrightarrow{\Phi_{\mathfrak{p},\cong}} & \vec{\mathcal{E}}(\Gamma_0^{\mathfrak{p}}(\mathfrak{n}\infty) \backslash \mathcal{T}_{\mathfrak{p}}) & \begin{array}{c} e \\ \downarrow \\ (e, s_0) \\ (e_0, s) \\ \uparrow \\ s \end{array} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & \\ Y_S(\mathcal{K}) & \xrightarrow{\Phi_{S,\cong}} & \vec{\mathcal{E}}(\tilde{\Gamma} \backslash \mathcal{T}) & \\ \uparrow & & \uparrow & \\ Y_{\infty}(\mathcal{K}) & \xrightarrow{\Phi_{\infty,\cong}} & \vec{\mathcal{E}}(\Gamma_0^{\infty}(\mathfrak{pn}) \backslash \mathcal{T}_{\infty}) & \end{array}$$

(the vertical maps are surjections). The theorem follows from theorem 1.5 and corollary 2.6 (resp. its analogue in the succeeding remark).  $\square$

**Remark.** Note that this is a slightly simplified formulation of the theorem, due to our assumption that  $R_S$  contains only one element. In general, we have to consider direct sums of spaces of harmonic cochains on the right hand side in the diagram. E.g.,

$$W_{\text{sp},S}(\mathcal{K}_0(\mathfrak{pn}\infty), L) \cong \bigoplus_{\mathfrak{x} \in R_S} \underline{H}_1(\mathcal{T}, L)^{\Gamma_{\mathfrak{x}}}.$$

### 3 Teitelbaum's conjecture

#### 3.1 Darmon's period

Let  $K = F \times F$  and choose an  $F$ -algebra embedding  $\psi : K \rightarrow M_2(F)$ . This induces an action of  $\psi(K^\times)$  on  $\Omega_{\mathfrak{p}}^*$  with two fixed points  $x_\psi, y_\psi \in \mathbb{P}^1(F)$ . The image of  $\psi(K^\times) \cap \tilde{\Gamma}$  in  $\mathrm{PGL}_2(F)$  is of rank 1. We choose a generator  $\gamma_\psi$  of the free part. Assume that  $x_\psi$  is repulsive and  $y_\psi$  attractive w.r.t.  $\gamma_\psi$ . To such an embedding and  $c \in \underline{H}_1(\mathcal{T}, \mathbb{Z})^{\tilde{\Gamma}}$ , we can associate a period

$$I_\psi = I_{\psi,c} := \int_z^{\gamma_\psi z} \int_{x_\psi}^{y_\psi} \omega \in \mathbf{C}_{\mathfrak{p}}^\times,$$

where we choose  $z \in \Omega_{\mathfrak{p}}$  arbitrarily.

**Lemma 3.1.** *The period  $I_\psi$  is independent of the choice of  $z \in \Omega_{\mathfrak{p}}$ .*

*Proof.*

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{z_1}^{\gamma_\psi z_1} \int_{x_\psi}^{y_\psi} \omega \div \int_{z_2}^{\gamma_\psi z_2} \int_{x_\psi}^{y_\psi} \omega &= \int_{z_1}^{z_2} \int_{x_\psi}^{y_\psi} \omega \div \int_{\gamma_\psi z_1}^{\gamma_\psi z_2} \int_{x_\psi}^{y_\psi} \omega \\ &= \int_{z_1}^{z_2} \int_{x_\psi}^{y_\psi} \omega \div \int_{z_1}^{z_2} \int_{x_\psi}^{y_\psi} \omega \\ &= 1, \end{aligned}$$

where the second equality follows from the third property in lemma 2.8.  $\square$

**Remark.** Note that, unlike in Darmon's setting,  $I_\psi$  depends on the choice of  $\gamma_\psi$ . This is due to the fact that the group of roots of unity in  $A_S^\times$  is nontrivial (see the remark after Prop. 3.3).

To simplify calculations, we consider only the case where  $\psi$  is the diagonal embedding. The fixed points of  $\psi$  are  $\{\infty, 0\}$ . As already mentioned in section 2.1, by the  $S$ -unit theorem there exists  $u \in F$  such that

$$A_S^\times = \mathbb{F}_q^\times \times u^{\mathbb{Z}}$$

and

$$\mathrm{div}(u) = h_{\mathfrak{p}} \cdot [\mathfrak{p}] - h_\infty \cdot [\infty].$$

We make the following choice:

$$\gamma_\psi = \begin{pmatrix} u & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \in \tilde{\Gamma}.$$



It acts as shift by  $h_{\mathfrak{p}}$  along the axis  $A(\infty, 0)$  on  $\mathcal{T}_{\mathfrak{p}}$  and as shift by  $h_{\infty}$  along  $A(0, \infty)$  on  $\mathcal{T}_{\infty}$ .

Following Darmon, we define the winding element attached to  $\psi$  as

$$W_{\psi} := \sum_{e \in A(v, \gamma_{\psi} v)} \mu_c \{ \infty \rightarrow 0 \} (U(e)),$$

where  $v \in \mathcal{V}(\mathcal{T}_{\mathfrak{p}})$  is chosen arbitrarily. By observing that  $c\{\infty \rightarrow 0\}$  is invariant under  $\gamma_{\psi} \in \tilde{\Gamma}$ , it is easy to see that this is independent of the choice of  $v$  ([Da, Lemma 2.10]). In particular,

$$W_{\psi} = - \sum_{i=0}^{h_{\mathfrak{p}}-1} c\{\infty \rightarrow 0\}(e_i).$$

Let  $E$  be an elliptic curve over  $F$  of conductor  $\mathfrak{pn}\infty$  with split multiplicative reduction at  $\mathfrak{p}$  and at  $\infty$ . Assume that  $E$  is the strong Weil curve in its isogeny class. By the function field version of the Shimura-Taniyama-Weil conjecture [GR, Section 8], there is a newform

$$c = c_E \in W_{\text{sp}, S}^{\text{new}}(\mathcal{K}_0(\mathfrak{pn}\infty), \mathbb{Z})$$

which corresponds to  $E$ . It is uniquely determined up to constants, such that

- (i)  $c$  is an eigenform under the Hecke algebra with rational eigenvalues and
- (ii) for all idèle class characters  $\chi$  of  $F$ ,

$$L(c, \chi, s) = L(E, \chi, s).$$

We denote its images in the spaces of harmonic cochains on  $\mathcal{T}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ ,  $\mathcal{T}_{\infty}$  and  $\mathcal{T}$  by  $c_{\mathfrak{p}}$ ,  $c_{\infty}$  and  $c$ , respectively. We choose  $c$  such that  $c_{\infty}$  is primitive, i.e., is normalised such that  $c_{\infty} \in j(\overline{\Gamma_0^{\infty}(\wp\mathfrak{n})})$  but  $c_{\infty} \notin nj(\overline{\Gamma_0^{\infty}(\wp\mathfrak{n})})$  for  $n > 1$ . This determines  $c$  up to sign (see section 1.3 and [GR, 9.1]).

**Theorem 3.2.** *Put  $r = \frac{W_{\psi}}{\nu_{\mathfrak{p}}(q_{E, \mathfrak{p}})} \in \mathbb{Q}$ . Then*

$$I_{\psi} = \zeta \cdot q_{E, \mathfrak{p}}^r,$$

where  $\zeta$  is a root of unity and  $q_{E, \mathfrak{p}}$  the Tate period of  $E$  at  $\mathfrak{p}$ .

Before we can prove theorem 3.2, we need to establish a few tools. A fundamental domain for the action of  $\gamma_\psi$  on  $\mathbb{P}^1(F_{\mathfrak{p}}) - \{\infty, 0\}$  is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}_\psi &= \bigcup_{i=0}^{h_{\mathfrak{p}}-1} \pi_{\mathfrak{p}}^i \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}}^\times \\ &= \bigcup_{i=0}^{h_{\mathfrak{p}}-1} U(v_i), \end{aligned}$$

where  $\pi_{\mathfrak{p}}$  denotes the matrix  $\begin{pmatrix} \pi_{\mathfrak{p}} & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ , and for all  $i$ ,

$$U(v_i) = \{t \in \mathbb{P}^1(F_{\mathfrak{p}}) - \{\infty, 0\} : \nu_{\mathfrak{p}}(t) = i\}.$$

Each of these sets corresponds to all ends of  $\mathcal{T}_{\mathfrak{p}}$  which have origin in  $v_i$  and no edge in common with  $A(\infty, 0)$ .

We define

$$m_0 := -c\{\infty \rightarrow 0\}(e_0) = -c\{\infty \rightarrow 0\}(e_{h_{\mathfrak{p}}})$$

(since  $c\{\infty \rightarrow 0\}$  is invariant under the action of  $\gamma_\psi$ ).

**Proposition 3.3.** *For all  $z \in \Omega_{\mathfrak{p}}$ ,*

$$I_\psi = u^{m_0} \cdot \int_{\mathcal{F}_\psi} t d\mu_c\{\infty \rightarrow 0\}(t) \in F_{\mathfrak{p}}^\times.$$

*Proof.* The proof proceeds exactly as in [Da, Prop. 2.7] (or [L, Lemma 16]).  $\square$

**Remark.** Proposition 3.3 shows that the definition of  $I_\psi$  depends on the choice of  $\gamma_\psi$ . E.g., if we define a period  $I'_\psi$  using

$$\gamma'_\psi = \begin{pmatrix} \xi u & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

where  $\xi$  is a root of unity, we obtain  $I'_\psi = \xi^{m_0} \cdot I_\psi$ .

We define  $\mathcal{L} = \{s_1, \dots, s_{h_\infty}\}$  to be the geodesic connecting the vertices  $w_0$  and  $w_{h_\infty}$  on  $\mathcal{T}_\infty$ . Let  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{h_\infty} \in \tilde{\Gamma}$  such that  $s_i = \alpha_i s_0$  for  $i = 1, \dots, h_\infty$ . Furthermore, let

$$\lambda := \sum_{i=1}^{h_\infty} \alpha_i \in \mathbb{Z}[\tilde{\Gamma}]$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\mu_{\mathcal{L}} &:= \lambda * \mu_{E,\mathfrak{p}} \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{h_\infty} \alpha_i * \mu_{E,\mathfrak{p}},\end{aligned}$$

where  $\mu_{E,\mathfrak{p}}$  is the measure on  $\partial\Omega_{\mathfrak{p}}$  corresponding to  $c_{\mathfrak{p}}$ .

**Lemma 3.4.**

$$\mu_c\{\infty \rightarrow 0\} = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \gamma_\psi^n * \mu_{\mathcal{L}}.$$

*Proof.* Since  $A(\infty, 0) = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \gamma_\psi^n \mathcal{L} \subseteq \vec{\mathcal{E}}(\mathcal{T}_\infty)$ , and using the  $\tilde{\Gamma}$ -invariance of  $c$ , we conclude:

$$\begin{aligned}\mu_c\{\infty \rightarrow 0\}(U(e)) &\stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} - \sum_{s \in A(\infty, 0)} c(e, s) \\ &= - \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{i=1}^{h_\infty} c(e, \gamma_\psi^n \alpha_i s_0) \\ &= - \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{i=1}^{h_\infty} c((\gamma_\psi^n \alpha_i)^{-1} e, s_0) \\ &= \left( \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \gamma_\psi^n * \mu_{\mathcal{L}} \right) (U(e)).\end{aligned}$$

□

**Proposition 3.5.** For  $i = 1, \dots, h_\infty$ , let  $P_i := \alpha_i^{-1}(0)$  and  $Q_i := \alpha_i^{-1}(\infty)$ . Then

$$u^{m_0} \cdot \int_{\mathcal{F}_\psi} t d\mu_c\{\infty \rightarrow 0\}(t) = \prod_{i=1}^{h_\infty} \frac{u_{E,\mathfrak{p}}(P_i)}{u_{E,\mathfrak{p}}(Q_i)},$$

where  $u_{E,\mathfrak{p}}$  is the theta function associated to  $E$  at  $\mathfrak{p}$ .

*Proof.* Similar reasoning as in [L, Prop. 25] shows:

$$\begin{aligned}u^{m_0} \int_{\mathcal{F}_\psi} t d\mu_c\{\infty \rightarrow 0\}(t) &= \int_{\partial\Omega_{\mathfrak{p}}} t d\mu_{\mathcal{L}} \\ &\stackrel{1.9}{=} \prod_{i=1}^{h_\infty} \int_{\partial\Omega_{\mathfrak{p}}} \alpha_i(t) d\mu_{E,\mathfrak{p}}(t) \\ &= \prod_{i=1}^{h_\infty} \int_{\partial\Omega_{\mathfrak{p}}} \frac{t - P_i}{t - Q_i} d\mu_{E,\mathfrak{p}}(t).\end{aligned}$$

The statement follows from Teitelbaum's formula (Prop. 1.10).  $\square$

Combining propositions 3.3 and 3.5, we get

$$I_\psi = \prod_{i=1}^{h_\infty} \frac{u_{E,\mathfrak{p}}(P_i)}{u_{E,\mathfrak{p}}(Q_i)}.$$

Since  $P_i$  and  $Q_i$  are cusps for all  $i$ , Theorem 1.7 shows that the fraction on the right is (up to a root of unity) a rational power of the Tate period. Theorem 3.2 now follows from the next lemma.

**Lemma 3.6.**  $\nu_{\mathfrak{p}}(I_\psi) = W_\psi$ .

*Proof.* Since  $\nu_{\mathfrak{p}}(u) = h_{\mathfrak{p}}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \nu_{\mathfrak{p}}(I_\psi) &\stackrel{3.3}{=} h_{\mathfrak{p}}m_0 + \nu_{\mathfrak{p}}\left(\int_{\mathcal{F}_\psi} td\mu_c\{\infty \rightarrow 0\}(t)\right) \\ &= h_{\mathfrak{p}}m_0 + \sum_{i=0}^{h_{\mathfrak{p}}-1} \mu_c\{\infty \rightarrow 0\}(\pi_{\mathfrak{p}}^i \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}}^*) \\ &= h_{\mathfrak{p}}c\{\infty \rightarrow 0\}(e_0) + \sum_{i=0}^{h_{\mathfrak{p}}-1} i(c\{\infty \rightarrow 0\}(e_i) - c\{\infty \rightarrow 0\}(e_{i+1})) \\ &= W_\psi, \end{aligned}$$

where the last equality follows from the  $\gamma_\psi$ -invariance of  $c\{\infty \rightarrow 0\}$ .  $\square$

**Remark:** Under the assumption that  $E/\mathbb{Q}$  is unique in its  $\mathbb{Q}$ -isogeny class, Darmon ([Da]) conjectures that

$$I_\psi \in q^{\mathbb{Z}}.$$

His assumption implies especially that  $E(\mathbb{Q})$  is torsion free. Since in our case  $I_\psi$  is an  $F$ -rational torsion point, theorem 3.2 implies:

**Corollary 3.7 (Darmon's conjecture).** *Assume that  $E(F)_{\text{tor}} = 0$ . Then*

$$I_\psi \in q_{E,\mathfrak{p}}^{\mathbb{Z}}.$$

### 3.2 The main result

Finally, we take a look at Teitelbaum's function field version of the exceptional zero conjecture ([T2]). He considers the rational function field  $F = \mathbb{F}_q(T)$  and the usual place at infinity  $\infty$  with uniformiser  $\pi_\infty = \frac{1}{T}$ . We note that in this case  $h_p = 1$  and  $W_\psi = m_0$ . We choose  $\pi_p$  to be an element of  $A_\infty = \mathbb{F}_q[T]$ . Since  $A_S = \mathbb{F}_q[T, \pi_p^{-1}]$ ,  $u$  can be chosen to be  $u = \pi_p$ .

Teitelbaum defines a measure on  $\mathcal{O}_p$  by

$$\mu_{\text{Teit}}(a + \pi_p^n \mathcal{O}_p) := \left[ \frac{a}{\pi_p^n}, \infty \right] \cdot c_\infty,$$

where

$$\left[ \frac{a}{\pi_p^n}, \infty \right] \cdot c_\infty = \sum_{s \in A(\frac{a}{\pi_p^n}, \infty)} c_\infty(s).$$

Given the elements

$$\begin{aligned} m_p &= \nu_p(q_{E,p}), \\ \tilde{q} &= \frac{q_{E,p}}{\pi_p^{m_p}}, \\ q(c_\infty) &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \prod_{\substack{a \bmod \pi_p^n \\ (a, \pi_p) = 1}} a^{[\frac{q}{\pi_p^n}, \infty] \cdot c_\infty} = \int_{\mathcal{O}_p^\times} t d\mu_{\text{Teit}}(t), \end{aligned}$$

he formulates:

**Conjecture 3.8 (Teitelbaum).**

$$\tilde{q}^{[0, \infty] \cdot c_\infty} = q(c_\infty)^{m_p}.$$

Our result towards this conjecture is the following:

**Theorem 3.9.** *There exists a root of unity  $\xi \in \mathbb{F}_{q^{\deg(p)}}^\times$  such that*

$$\xi \cdot \tilde{q}^{[0, \infty] \cdot c_\infty} = q(c_\infty)^{m_p}.$$

**Proof of theorem 3.9.** By theorem 3.2 and proposition 3.3,

$$\zeta \cdot (q_{E,p})^{\frac{W_\psi}{m_p}} = \pi_p^{W_\psi} \int_{\mathcal{O}_p^\times} t d\mu_c\{\infty \rightarrow 0\}(t)$$

for some root of unity  $\zeta \in \mathbf{C}_p^\times$ . We set  $\xi := \zeta^{m_p}$  and raise to the power  $m_p$ :

$$\xi \cdot q_{E,p}^{W_\psi} = \pi_p^{W_\psi \cdot m_p} \left( \int_{\mathcal{O}_p^\times} t d\mu_c\{\infty \rightarrow 0\}(t) \right)^{m_p} \in F_p^\times.$$

Also,

$$\begin{aligned}
W_\psi &= - \sum_{s \in A(\infty, 0)} c_\infty(s) \\
&= \sum_{s \in A(0, \infty)} c_\infty(s) \\
&= [0, \infty] \cdot c_\infty \in \mathbb{Z}.
\end{aligned}$$

This implies that  $\xi \in \boldsymbol{\mu}(F_{\mathfrak{p}}^\times) = \mathbb{F}_{q^{\deg(\mathfrak{p})}}^\times$ , since  $q_{E, \mathfrak{p}}^{W_\psi} \in F_{\mathfrak{p}}^\times$ . Dividing by  $\pi^{W_\psi \cdot m_{\mathfrak{p}}}$ , the theorem follows from:

**Lemma 3.10.**

$$\mu_c\{\infty \rightarrow 0\} |_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}}} = \mu_{\text{Teit}}.$$

*Proof.* Since  $A_\infty$  is dense in  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ , we only have to consider compact open sets of the form

$$a + \pi_{\mathfrak{p}}^n \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}} = U\left(\begin{pmatrix} \pi_{\mathfrak{p}}^n & a \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} e_0\right),$$

where  $a \in A_\infty$ .

By Lemma 2.7,  $\mu_c\{\infty \rightarrow 0\}$  is invariant under the action of  $\begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ .

In particular,

$$\mu_c\{\infty \rightarrow 0\}(a + \pi_{\mathfrak{p}}^n \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}}) = \mu_c\{\infty \rightarrow 0\}(-a + \pi_{\mathfrak{p}}^n \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}}).$$

Furthermore,

$$\begin{aligned}
\mu_c\{\infty \rightarrow 0\}(-a + \pi_{\mathfrak{p}}^n \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}}) &= - \sum_{s \in A(\infty, 0)} c\left(\begin{pmatrix} \pi_{\mathfrak{p}}^n & -a \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} e_0, s\right) \\
&\stackrel{(*)}{=} - \sum_{s \in A(\infty, 0)} c\left(e_0, \begin{pmatrix} \pi_{\mathfrak{p}}^{-n} & \frac{a}{\pi_{\mathfrak{p}}^n} \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} s\right) \\
&= - \sum_{s \in A(\infty, \frac{a}{\pi_{\mathfrak{p}}^n})} c_\infty(s) \\
&= \left[\frac{a}{\pi_{\mathfrak{p}}^n}, \infty\right] \cdot c_\infty \\
&= \mu_{\text{Teit}}(a + \pi_{\mathfrak{p}}^n \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}}).
\end{aligned}$$

In (\*) we used the  $\tilde{\Gamma}$ -invariance of  $c$  and the fact that  $\begin{pmatrix} \pi_{\mathfrak{p}}^n & -a \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \in \tilde{\Gamma}$ .  $\square$

## References

- [Da] Darmon, H.: Integration on  $\mathcal{H}_p \times \mathcal{H}$  and arithmetic applications, Ann. of Math. 154 (2001), 589–639.
- [dS] de Shalit, E.:  $p$ -adic periods and modular symbols of elliptic curves of prime conductor, Inv. Math. 121 (1995), 225–255.
- [Dr] Drinfeld, V.G.: Elliptic modules, Math. USSR-Sbornik 23 (1976) 561–592.
- [Gek1] Gekeler, E.-U.: Improper Eisenstein series on Bruhat-Tits trees, Manus. Math. 86 (1995), 367–391.
- [Gek2] Gekeler, E.-U.: Analytical Construction of Weil Curves Over Function Fields, J. Th. Nomb. Bordeaux 7 (1995), 27–49.
- [Gek3] Gekeler, E.-U.: A note on the finiteness of certain cuspidal divisor class groups, Israel J. Math. 118 (2000), 357–368.
- [GR] Gekeler, E.-U., Reversat, M.: Jacobians of Drinfeld modular curves, J. reine angew. Math. 476 (1996), 27–93.
- [GS] Greenberg, R., Stevens, G.:  $p$ -adic  $L$ -functions and  $p$ -adic periods of modular forms, Invent. Math. 111 (1993), no. 2, 407–447.
- [H] Hauer, H.: Teitelbaum’s exceptional zero conjecture in the function field setting, PhD-thesis, Nottingham, 2003.
- [L] Longhi, I.: Non-Archimedean Integration and Elliptic Curves over Function Fields, J. Numb. Th. 94 (2002), 375–404.
- [MTT] Mazur, B., Tate, J., Teitelbaum, J.: On  $p$ -adic analogues of the conjectures of Birch and Swinnerton-Dyer, Invent. Math. 84 (1986), 1–48.
- [MT] Mazur, B., Tate, J.: Refined Conjectures of the ”Birch and Swinnerton-Dyer Type”, Duke Math. Jour. 54 (1987), 711–750.
- [Se] Serre, J.-P.: *Trees*. Springer-Verlag, 1980.
- [T1] Teitelbaum, J.: The Poisson kernel for Drinfeld modular curves, J. Amer. Math. Soc. 4 (1991), 491–511.
- [T2] Teitelbaum, J.: Modular symbols for  $\mathbb{F}_q[T]$ , Duke Math. Jour. 68 (1992), 271–295.