

# THE ENERGY OPERATOR FOR INFINITE STATISTICS

SONIA STANCIU

ABSTRACT. We construct the energy operator for particles obeying infinite statistics defined by a  $q$ -deformation of the Heisenberg algebra.

The aim of this paper is to construct the energy operator for particles obeying the so-called infinite statistics. This topic was studied in [1], where a conjecture was formulated concerning the form of the energy operator. Our main result is a proof of this conjecture in a slightly modified form (c.f. Remark 1).

Infinite statistics is defined by the  $q$ -deformation of the Heisenberg algebra, i.e., for all  $k, \ell$ ,

$$a(k)a^\dagger(\ell) - qa^\dagger(\ell)a(k) = \delta_{k,\ell} , \quad (1)$$

$$a(k)|0\rangle = 0 , \quad (2)$$

where  $\{a(k)\}$  represents a set of annihilation operators,  $a^\dagger(k)$  being their adjoints. A state  $x_{\mathbf{k}}$  is uniquely specified by an ordered  $n$ -tuple  $\mathbf{k} \equiv (k_1, \dots, k_n)$ , where we assume for simplicity  $k_i \neq k_j$ , for all  $i, j$ , so that

$$x_{\mathbf{k}} \equiv a^\dagger(k_n) \cdots a^\dagger(k_1)|0\rangle .$$

Any other state which can be obtained by applying an arbitrary monomial in the creation and annihilation operators on the vacuum can be transformed using (1) and (2) into a linear combination of the  $x_{\mathbf{k}}$ .

In order to show that these states build a Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}(q)$ , for a given  $q$ , one has to prove that the corresponding inner product  $(x_{\mathbf{k}}, x_{\boldsymbol{\ell}})$  yields a hermitian form, i.e., that the infinite matrix  $A(q) = \{(x_{\mathbf{k}}, x_{\boldsymbol{\ell}})\}$  is positive definite.

Let us consider the inner product between two states  $x_{\mathbf{k}} = a^\dagger(k_m) \cdots a^\dagger(k_1)|0\rangle$  and  $x_{\boldsymbol{\ell}} = a^\dagger(\ell_n) \cdots a^\dagger(\ell_1)|0\rangle$ . This is easily seen to be zero unless  $m = n$  and  $\boldsymbol{\ell}$  is a permutation of  $\mathbf{k}$ , so that

$$(x_{\pi(\mathbf{k})}, x_{\mathbf{k}}) = \langle 0|a(k_{\pi(1)}) \cdots a(k_{\pi(n)})a^\dagger(k_n) \cdots a^\dagger(k_1)|0\rangle = q^{I(\pi)} ;$$

or, consequently

$$(x_{\pi(\mathbf{k})}, x_{\sigma(\mathbf{k})}) = q^{I(\sigma^{-1}\pi)} ,$$

where  $I(\pi)$  denotes the number of inversions of  $\pi$ , i.e.,

$$I(\pi) = \sum_{j=1}^n \text{Card}\{1 \leq i \leq n \mid i < j \text{ and } \pi(i) > \pi(j)\} .$$

So the Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}(q)$  decomposes as an infinite direct sum

$$\mathcal{H}(q) = \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} \bigoplus_k \mathcal{H}_{n,k}(q) ,$$

relative to which  $A(q)$  decomposes as

$$A(q) = \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} \bigoplus_k A_{n,k}(q) .$$

---

Date: February 1992.  
BONN-HE-92-04.

where  $k$  denotes the *unordered*  $n$ -tuple  $\{k_1, \dots, k_n\}$ . Each of the terms in these sums is finite dimensional and  $A_{n,k}$  does not actually depend on  $k$

$$A_{n,k}(q)(\pi, \sigma) \equiv A_n(q)(\pi, \sigma) = q^{I(\sigma^{-1}\pi)}, \quad \forall \pi, \sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n .$$

The positive definiteness of  $A_n(q)$ , for  $-1 < q < 1$  has been proven by D. Zagier [1] who showed that

$$\det A_n(q) = \prod_{k=1}^n (1 - q^{k^2+k})^{\frac{n!(n-k)}{k^2+k}},$$

from which it follows that  $A_n(q)$  is non-singular for all complex numbers  $q$  except the  $N$ -th roots of unity, for  $N = k^2 + k = 2, 6, 12, \dots, n^2 + n$ . He also gave an explicit description of  $A_n^{-1}(q)$  and conjectured that

$$A_n^{-1}(q) \in \frac{1}{\Delta_n} M_{n!}(\mathbb{Z}[q]) \quad , \quad \Delta_n \equiv (1 - q^2)(1 - q^6) \cdots (1 - q^{n^2+n}) .$$

We begin by introducing the following notation. If  $\mathfrak{S}_n$  is the group of permutations of  $n$  elements we will denote by  $T_{1k}$  the particular elements which send  $[1, 2, \dots, n]$  to  $[k, 1, \dots, k-1, k+1, \dots, n]$ , i.e.,

$$T_{1k}(i) = \begin{cases} k, & \text{if } i = 1 \\ i - 1, & \text{if } 1 < i \leq k \\ i, & \text{if } k < i \leq n \end{cases}$$

and by  $\mathfrak{S}_{n,p}$  the following subsets of  $\mathfrak{S}_n$ :

$$\mathfrak{S}_{n,p} = \{ \sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n, \text{ with } \sigma = T_{1k_1} T_{1k_2} \cdots T_{1k_p}, \quad 1 < k_1 < \cdots < k_p \leq n \} .$$

We will also consider particular elements in the group algebra

$$\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{S}_n] = \left\{ \sum_{\pi \in \mathfrak{S}_n} t_\pi \pi \quad \middle| \quad t_\pi \in \mathbb{C} \right\}$$

of the form

$$\alpha_n = \sum_{\rho \in \mathfrak{S}_n} A_n(\rho, 1) \rho = \sum_{\rho \in \mathfrak{S}_n} q^{I(\rho)} \rho , \quad (3)$$

(We have considered  $q$  fixed and omitted it from the notation.) Then, according to [1],  $\alpha_n$  is invertible in the group algebra if  $\Delta_n \neq 0$  and  $\alpha_n^{-1}$  will be given by

$$\alpha_n^{-1} = \sum_{\rho \in \mathfrak{S}_n} A_n^{-1}(\rho, 1) \rho . \quad (4)$$

Let  $\mathcal{E}$  be the energy operator of particles obeying infinite statistics, defined by the commutation relation (1) in [1].  $\mathcal{E}$  acts on  $\mathcal{H}(q)$  and each  $x_\ell$  is an eigenvector of  $\mathcal{E}$  satisfying the eigenvalue equation

$$\mathcal{E} a^\dagger(\ell_n) \cdots a^\dagger(\ell_1) |0\rangle = \sum_{i=1}^n E(\ell_i) a^\dagger(\ell_n) \cdots a^\dagger(\ell_1) |0\rangle , \quad (5)$$

where  $E(\ell_i)$  is the energy of a particle with momentum  $\ell_i$ .

**Theorem 1.** *The energy operator  $\mathcal{E}$  has the form*

$$\mathcal{E} = \sum_{n \geq 1} \mathcal{E}_n ,$$

with

$$\mathcal{E}_n = \sum_{k_1, \dots, k_n} \sum_{\pi \in \mathfrak{S}_n} \sum_{i=1}^n c_i(q, \pi) E(k_{\pi(i)}) a^\dagger(k_{\pi(n)}) \cdots a^\dagger(k_{\pi(1)}) a(k_1) \cdots a(k_n), \quad (6)$$

where the coefficients  $c_i(q, \pi)$  are given by

$$\sum_{\pi \in \mathfrak{S}_n} \sum_{i=1}^n c_i(q, \pi) X^{i-1} \pi = \alpha_n^{-1} (1 - qXT_{12})(1 - q^2XT_{13}) \cdots (1 - q^{n-1}XT_{1n}) \in \mathbb{C}[X][\mathfrak{S}_n]$$

or, explicitly,

$$c_i(q, \pi) = (-1)^{i-1} \sum_{\tau \in \mathfrak{S}_{n, i-1}} A_n^{-1}(q)(\pi, \tau) A_n(q)(\tau, 1),$$

for all  $\pi \in \mathfrak{S}_n$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq n$ .

**Remark 1.** The theorem agrees with Zagier's conjecture in [1] except that he has  $E(k_i)$  instead of  $E(k_{\pi(i)})$ . Thus the formulas agree if (and only if)

$$c_i(q, \pi) = c_{\pi(i)}(q, \pi),$$

in for all  $1 \leq i \leq n$ ,  $\pi \in \mathfrak{S}_n$ . This is true for  $n \leq 4$ , but we do not know if it holds in general.

**Remark 2.** Although  $\mathcal{E}$  contains an infinite sum, when applied on a given  $n$ -particle state, only the first  $n$  terms will give a nonzero contribution.

**Remark 3.** For  $q = 0$  this agrees with the results of O. Greenberg [2], who gave an expression for the energy operator of the form

$$E = \sum_i \mathcal{E}(i) n(i),$$

where the number operator  $n(i)$  is given by

$$n(i) = \sum_{s \geq 0} \sum_{k_1, \dots, k_s} a^\dagger(k_1) \cdots a^\dagger(k_s) a^\dagger(i) a(i) a(k_s) \cdots a(k_1),$$

with obvious notation.

To prove this theorem we need some preparation. We know from [1] that the Hilbert space of states  $\mathcal{H}(q)$  splits into an infinite direct sum of finite dimensional blocks. Each block is determined by the *unordered*  $n$ -tuple  $\{k_1, \dots, k_n\}$ , whereas a particular state in it is specified by an ordered version of that  $n$ -tuple. In other words, we identify the Fock space states with ordered sets  $K = [k_1, \dots, k_n]$ . For such a finite ordered set  $A$  we denote by  $s(A)$  and  $l(A)$  respectively the smallest and the largest element of  $A$ . Ordered sets can be concatenated, e.g., if we consider two disjoint ordered sets  $A_1$  and  $A_2$  we can form a new ordered set  $A_1 \sqcup A_2$ , such that if  $a_i \in A_i$  then  $a_1 < a_2$ . Also, if  $B$  is a subset of an ordered set  $A$ , one can form the ordered set  $A - B$ . Moreover, we can invert the order of a given set, the new one being denoted by  $\overline{A}$ .

The permutation group  $\mathfrak{S}_n$  acts naturally on the ordered sets of  $n$  elements; and this action extends to an action of the group algebra  $\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{S}_n]$  on the vector space  $\mathcal{L}$  of formal linear combinations of such sets. If  $A$  is a given ordered set and  $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n$  we define  $I_A(\sigma A) = I(\sigma)$ .

We conclude these general considerations by introducing a linear evaluation map  $\xi$  acting on  $\mathcal{L}[X]$  and defined by

$$\xi(\sigma AX^{i-1}) = E((\sigma A)(i)) \sigma A.$$

In order to be able to determine the coefficients  $c_i(q, \pi)$  in (6), we have to understand how the energy operator  $\mathcal{E}$  and, in particular, each  $\mathcal{E}_p$  acts on an arbitrary state. For that we will need two steps.

**Proposition 1.** *The action of the  $p$ -particle term of the energy operator on given  $n$ -particle state  $K$  is given by*

$$\mathcal{E}_p K = \xi \left( X^{n-p} \sum_{\substack{J \subset K \\ |J|=p}} q^{I_K((K-J) \sqcup J)} (K-J) \sqcup R_p(q, X) J \right), \quad (7)$$

where

$$R_p(q, X) = \alpha_p \sum_{\pi \in \mathfrak{S}_p} \sum_{i=1}^p c_i(q, \pi) X^{i-1} \pi, \quad (8)$$

for all  $1 \leq p \leq n$ .

*Proof.* To begin with, let us consider the case  $p = n$ . We have ([1], §2)

$$a(k_1) \cdots a(k_n) a^\dagger(\ell_n) \cdots a^\dagger(\ell_1) |0\rangle = \sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n} q^{I(\sigma)} \delta_{k_1 \ell_{\sigma(1)}} \cdots \delta_{k_n \ell_{\sigma(n)}} |0\rangle.$$

Thus, applying  $\mathcal{E}_n$  on an  $n$ -particle state we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{E}_n K &= \sum_{\sigma, \pi \in \mathfrak{S}_n} \sum_{i=1}^n q^{I(\sigma)} c_i(q, \pi) E((\sigma \pi K)(i)) \sigma \pi K \\ &= \xi \left( \sum_{\sigma, \pi \in \mathfrak{S}_n} \sum_{i=1}^n q^{I(\sigma)} c_i(q, \pi) X^{i-1} \sigma \pi K \right) \\ &= \xi \left( R_n(q, X) K \right). \end{aligned}$$

We must now determine how a generic term  $\mathcal{E}_p$  acts on the  $n$ -particle state. Its action can be described in the following way: it chooses a subset  $J \subset K$ ,  $|J| = p$ , such that the  $p$  annihilation operators of  $\mathcal{E}_p$  will “contract” with the  $p$  creation operators of  $J$ , leaving the remaining creation operators of  $K$  in unaltered order *i.e.*, characterized by the set  $(K - J)$ . This yields a new  $n$ -particle state, characterized by the permutation  $(K - J) \sqcup J$  multiplied by the numerical coefficient incurred in by repeated application of the commutation relation (1) in [1] and which is given by  $q^{I_K((K-J) \sqcup J)}$ . Clearly,  $R_p(q, X)$  acts now on  $J$  and, because the evaluation map  $\xi$  is defined on the whole  $n$ -particle state, we have to shift the polynomial in  $X$  by a common factor  $X^{|K-J|} = X^{n-p}$  in order to obtain the correct energies. Hence, it follows that

$$\mathcal{E}_p K = \xi \left( X^{n-p} \sum_{\substack{J \subset K \\ |J|=p}} q^{I_K((K-J) \sqcup J)} (K-J) \sqcup R_p(q, X) J \right).$$

□

**Proposition 2.** *The action of the group ring element  $R_p(q, X)$  on the ordered set  $J$  is given by*

$$R_p(q, X) J = \sum_{\substack{L \subset J \\ s(J) \notin L}} q^{I_J(\bar{L} \sqcup (J-L))} (\bar{L} \sqcup (J-L)) (-X)^{|L|}. \quad (9)$$

*Proof.* We shall essentially show that (9) yields the correct energy operator, *i.e.*, that it satisfies the eigenvalue equation. Therefore, we insert  $R_p(q, X)$  in the expression for  $\mathcal{E}_p$  and we compute

$$\mathcal{E}_p K = \xi \left( X^{n-p} \sum_{\substack{J \subset K \\ |J|=p}} \sum_{\substack{L \subset J \\ s(J) \notin L}} q^{I_K((K-J) \sqcup J) + I_J(\bar{L} \sqcup (J-L))} ((K-J) \sqcup \bar{L} \sqcup (J-L)) (-X)^{|L|} \right).$$

But, obviously,

$$I_K((K-J) \sqcup J) + I_J(\bar{L} \sqcup (J-L)) = I_K((K-J) \sqcup \bar{L} \sqcup (J-L)),$$

such that we obtain

$$\mathcal{E}_p K = \xi \left( \sum_{\substack{J \subset K \\ |J|=p}} \sum_{\substack{L \subset J \\ s(J) \notin L}} (-1)^{|L|} q^{I_K((K-J) \sqcup \bar{L} \sqcup (J-L))} ((K-J) \sqcup \bar{L} \sqcup (J-L)) X^{n-p+|L|} \right).$$

For given  $J$  and  $L$ , we consider those terms in the sum which are characterized by  $l(K-J) > l(L)$ . Then the corresponding set can be viewed in another way, namely,

$$(K-J) \sqcup \bar{L} \sqcup (J-L) = \left( (K-J) - \{l(K-J)\} \right) \sqcup \left( \{l(K-J)\} \sqcup \bar{L} \right) \sqcup (J-L),$$

having now  $l\left((K-J) - \{l(K-J)\}\right) < l\left(\{l(K-J)\} \sqcup \bar{L}\right)$  and thus corresponding to another set which contributes as well to the sum. As one can easily see, these two terms will occur with identical coefficients but with opposite signs and will therefore cancel.

Thus, it only remains to discuss the case  $L = \emptyset$ . If  $l(K-J) > s(J)$ , then we can proceed analogously, writing

$$(K-J) \sqcup \emptyset \sqcup J = \left( (K-J) - \{l(K-J)\} \right) \sqcup \{l(K-J)\} \sqcup J,$$

such that we obtain the usual cancellation. But if  $l(K-J) > s(J)$ , then  $(K-J) \sqcup J = K$ , and we finally obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{E} K &= \sum_{p=1}^n \mathcal{E}_p K \\ &= \xi \left( \sum_{p=1}^n \sum_{\substack{J \subset K \\ |J|=p}} q^{I_K((K-J) \sqcup J)} (K-J) \sqcup J X^{n-p} \right) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n E(K_i) K. \end{aligned}$$

□

Now we are ready to prove the theorem stated at the very beginning.

*Proof of the Theorem.* Let us return now to the usual permutation language. One can easily see that the permutations of the form  $\bar{L} \sqcup (J-L)$ , with  $|L| = s$  can be written as  $T_{1m_1} \dots T_{1m_s}$ , with  $1 < m_1 < \dots < m_s \leq n$ , so that

$$R_n(q, X) = \sum_{s=0}^{n-1} \sum_{1 < m_1 < \dots < m_s \leq n} (-1)^s q^{(m_1-1)+(m_2-1)+\dots+(m_s-1)} X^s T_{1m_1} \dots T_{1m_s},$$

where we used the fact that  $I(T_{1k}) = k-1$ .

Now we only have to identify this expression obtained for  $R_n(q, X)$  with its definition (8), and we obtain the desired result; that is, the generating function for the coefficients  $c_i(q, \pi)$  is given by

$$\sum_{\pi \in \mathfrak{S}_n} \sum_{i=1}^n c_i(q, \pi) X^{i-1} \pi = \alpha_n^{-1} (1 - qXT_{12}) (1 - q^2XT_{13}) \dots (1 - q^{n-1}XT_{1n}),$$

with  $\alpha_n$  given by (3).

The coefficients  $c_i(q, \pi)$  can be also given in another equivalent form. Using (4), the right-hand side of the equation above can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} \left( \sum_{\rho \in \mathfrak{S}_n} A_n^{-1}(\rho, 1) \rho \right) \left( \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{\pi \in \mathfrak{S}_{n, i-1}} (-1)^{i-1} A_n(\pi, 1) X^{i-1} \pi \right) \\ = \sum_{i=1}^n (-1)^{i-1} X^{i-1} \sum_{\substack{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n \\ \pi \in \mathfrak{S}_{n, i-1}}} A_n^{-1}(\sigma, \pi) A_n(\pi, 1) \sigma , \end{aligned}$$

where we made the substitution  $\sigma = \rho\pi \in \mathfrak{S}_n$  and we used the fact that  $A_n^{-1}(\sigma, \pi) = A_n^{-1}(\sigma\pi^{-1}, 1)$ . Thus, identifying with the left-hand side, we obtain

$$c_i(q, \sigma) = (-1)^{i-1} \sum_{\pi \in \mathfrak{S}_{n, i-1}} A_n^{-1}(\sigma, \pi) A_n(\pi, 1) .$$

It only remains to show that the solution obtained is unique. First of all it is obvious that the form (6) of the energy operator is the most general which can be assumed for such a system, so that we only need to consider the possibility of having another set of coefficients  $c_i^*(q, \pi)$ , such that the corresponding  $\mathcal{E}^*$  yields the same eigenvalue equation. Hence we must have  $(\mathcal{E} - \mathcal{E}^*)K = 0, \forall K$ . If we consider a 1-particle state, we immediately obtain  $\Delta c_1(q, 1) \equiv c_1(q, 1) - c_1^*(q, 1) = 0$ , for  $n = 1$ . Assume now  $\Delta c_i(q, \pi) = 0$ , for all  $1 \leq i \leq p$ ,  $\pi \in \mathfrak{S}_p$  in all orders  $1 \leq p \leq n-1$ . Then for an  $n$ -particle state we will have  $(\mathcal{E} - \mathcal{E}^*)K = (\mathcal{E}_n - \mathcal{E}_n^*)K = 0$ . But, on the other hand

$$(\mathcal{E}_n - \mathcal{E}_n^*)K = \left( \sum_{\rho, \pi \in \mathfrak{S}_n} \sum_{i=1}^n q^{I(\rho\pi^{-1})} \Delta c_i(q, \pi) E(\rho(i)) \rho \right) K .$$

Taking into account the fact that  $\rho$  and  $E(\rho(i))$  are linearly independent we get

$$\sum_{\pi \in \mathfrak{S}_n} A_n(q)(\rho, \pi) \Delta c_i(q, \pi) = 0 \quad \forall \rho \in \mathfrak{S}_n, 1 \leq i \leq n .$$

Since  $A_n(q)$  is invertible, it follows that  $\Delta c_i(q, \pi) = 0$ . Hence the energy operator is uniquely determined.  $\square$

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

I would like to thank W. Nahm for constant help and encouragement. I am grateful to D. Zagier for suggesting me this problem and for a careful reading of the manuscript. It is a pleasure to thank V. Rittenberg for bringing reference [2] to my attention. I would also like to thank J. M. Figueroa-O'Farrill for the many useful conversations and M. Terhoeven and Th. Wittlich for  $\text{\TeX}$ nic help.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] D Zagier, *Realizability of a model in infinite statistics*, Comm. Math. Phys **147** (1992) 199–210.
- [2] OW Greenberg, *Example of infinite statistics*, Phys. Rev. Letters **64** (1990) 705–708.