

Moduli of complex curves and noncommutative geometry: Riemann surfaces and dimension groups

Igor Nikolaev
 Department of Mathematics
 2500 University Drive N.W.
 Calgary T2N 1N4 Canada
 nikolaev@math.ucalgary.ca

December 11, 2018

Abstract

This paper is a brief account of the moduli of complex curves from the perspective of noncommutative geometry. Using a uniformization of Riemann surfaces by the ordered abelian groups, we prove that modulo the Torelli group, the mapping class group of surface of genus g with n holes, is linear arithmetic group of rank $6g - 6 + 2n$.

Key words and phrases: Riemann surface, dimension group

AMS (MOS) Subj. Class.: 14H52, 46L85

Introduction

Search for the conformal invariants of two-dimensional manifolds has a long history and honorable origin, see [20]. Except for the cases $g = 0, 1, 2$ no satisfactory set of such invariants is known, despite many efforts and evident progress, see e.g. Eisenbud & Harris [7].

Recall that surface $S = S(g, n)$ with g handles and n holes is topologically unique. It is no longer true that S is conformally unique. Riemann found that there exists $T(g, n) \simeq \mathbb{R}^{6g-6+2n}$ different conformal parametrizations of S . The moduli space $M(g, n)$ is obtained from $T(g, n)$ by gluing together points (Riemann surfaces) $S, S' \in T(g, n)$ whenever there exists a conformal mapping $f : S \rightarrow S'$. Geometrically, $M(g, n) \simeq T(g, n)/\Gamma(g, n)$, where $\Gamma(g, n)$ is the mapping class group of S .

Whereas $T(g, n)$ is homeomorphic to open ball $D^{6g-6+2n}$, topology of $M(g, n)$ is largely a mystery. $M(g, n)$ is an irreducible quasi-projective variety as proved

by Deligne and Mumford [3]. Since the action of $\Gamma(g, n)$ is properly discontinuous, $M(g, n)$ is in fact smooth manifold except “corners”. Much of topology of variety $M(g, n)$ is encrypted by “tautological” cohomology classes, cf. Hain & Looijenga [8]. Mumford suggested a triangulation of $T(g, n)$ based on quadratic differentials and ribbon graphs, see [8]. Exactly this approach allowed Kontsevich to prove Witten’s conjecture [15]. Hatcher and Thurston introduced a complex of curves $C(S)$ to study action of the mapping class group [11]. Harer calculated homotopy and homology groups of $M(g, n)$ using such complexes, see [9].

Homology of $M(g, n)$ stabilizes, i.e. doesn’t depend on g when $g \gg 0$. Such a property is typical for homology of arithmetic groups, what inspired Harvey to conjecture that $\Gamma(g, n)$ is arithmetic, see [10] p. 267. Harvey’s conjecture isn’t true in general, as it was shown by N. V. Ivanov [13]. Still $\Gamma(g, n)$ bears many traits of arithmetic groups, as confirmed by the remarkable formula $\chi(M(g, n)) = (-1)^{n-1} \frac{(2g+n-3)!}{(2g-2)!} \zeta(1-2g)$ of Harer & Zagier. (Here χ is the orbifold Euler characteristic and ζ Riemann zeta function.)

Fix $\tau \in \mathbb{C}, \operatorname{Re} \tau > 0$. Let $E_\tau = \mathbb{C}/(\mathbb{Z} + \tau\mathbb{Z})$ be torus of complex modulus τ , i.e. Riemann surface $S(1, 0)$. In this case $\Gamma(1, 0) \simeq SL(2, \mathbb{Z})$ and therefore E_τ and $E_{\tau'}$ are conformally equivalent iff $\tau' = \frac{a\tau+b}{c\tau+d}$, $\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in SL(2, \mathbb{Z})$.

Let now G_θ be a noncommutative (quantum) torus, i.e. abelian group \mathbb{Z}^2 with an order \geq defined by the equation $y \geq \theta x$, where $\theta \in \mathbb{R}^+ \setminus \mathbb{Q}$ is the Rieffel parameter of quantum torus. Two quantum tori are order-isomorphic whenever $\theta' = \frac{a\theta+b}{c\theta+d}$, $\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in SL(2, \mathbb{Z})$. Comparing E_τ with G_θ suggests an important morphism between moduli of complex torus and geometry of quantum tori, see Manin [17].

Present note is an attempt to “pin down” the above (rather evasive) morphism and use it as an instrument in the study of group $\Gamma(g, n)$. Thus our goal is two-fold:

(i) to define a functor between Riemann surfaces and abelian groups with order;

(ii) to apply the functor to open problems of conformal geometry, e.g. Harvey’s Conjecture.

As far as question (i), we established earlier such a functor (see e.g. [19]) but give a full treatment here for reader’s comfort. Namely, each conformal structure either on the torus or any higher genus surface can be assigned a canonical ordered abelian group G (dimension group), see Appendix for the definition. The rank of group G is 2 for torus, and $2g + k - 1$ for the higher genus surfaces depending on the stratum H_k fixed in $T(g, n)$. An important point that in the same time, G is invariant for a big class of noncommutative C^* -algebras. As far as question (ii), the parametrization of $T(g, n)$ by dimension groups will be used to establish the following fact (unaccessible by other means).

Theorem 1 *There exists a surjective homomorphism:*

$$\Gamma(g, n) \longrightarrow GL_{6g-6+2n}(\mathbb{Z}), \quad (1)$$

whose kernel coincides with the Torelli subgroup $\mathcal{T}(g, n)$ of $\Gamma(g, n)$. In other words, $\Gamma(g, n)/\mathcal{T}(g, n)$ is a linear arithmetic group of rank $6g - 6 + 2n$.

The structure of the article is as follows. In Section 1 a uniformization of the Riemann surfaces by the dimension groups is established. In Section 2 we prove Theorem 1 from the standpoint of dimension groups. Section 3 contains (minimal) definitions and bibliography on dimension groups and K -theory of C^* -algebras.

Acknowledgments. I wish to thank G. Elliott, N. V. Ivanov, Yu. I. Manin and M. Rieffel for interest in the subject of present note.

1 Preliminaries

In this section we consider a parametrization of Riemann surfaces by the dimension groups (see Appendix for the definition of such groups). Connection between the Riemann surfaces, quadratic differentials and interval exchange transformation has been discussed by many authors, see Bødigheimer [1], Masur [18] and Veech [22]. First we remind the reader how this connection comes in, and next we use it to define dimension groups associated to Riemann surfaces.

1.1 Interval exchange transformations

Let $m \geq 2$ be a positive integer and let $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_m)$ be a vector with positive components $\lambda_i > 0$. One sets

$$\beta_0 = 0, \quad \beta_i = \sum_{j=1}^i \lambda_j, \quad |\lambda| = \sum_{j=1}^m \lambda_j, \quad v_i = [\beta_{i-1}, \beta_i) \subset [0, |\lambda|]. \quad (2)$$

Let π be a permutation on the index set $N = \{1, \dots, m\}$ and $\varepsilon = (\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_m)$ a vector with coordinates $\varepsilon_i = \pm 1, i \in N$. An *interval exchange transformation (I.E.T.)* is a mapping $\varphi(\lambda, \pi, \varepsilon) : [0, |\lambda|] \rightarrow [0, |\lambda|]$ which acts by piecewise isometries

$$\varphi(x) = \varepsilon_i x - \beta_{i-1} + \beta_{\pi(i)-1}^\pi, \quad x \in v_i, \quad (3)$$

where β^π is a vector corresponding to $\lambda^\pi = (\lambda_{\pi^{-1}(1)}, \lambda_{\pi^{-1}(2)}, \dots, \lambda_{\pi^{-1}(m)})$. Mapping φ preserves or reverses orientation of v_i depending on the sign of ε_i . If $\varepsilon_i = 1$ for all $i \in N$ then the I.E.T. is called *oriented*. Otherwise, the interval exchange transformation is said to have *flips*. Interval exchange transformation is said to be *irreducible* if π is an irreducible permutation. An irreducible I.E.T. is called *irrational* if $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_m$ are linearly independent over \mathbb{Q} .

1.2 Hilbert uniformization of Riemann surfaces

There exists a canonical way to represent Riemann surfaces by the interval exchange transformations, see e.g. Böttigheimer [1]. The construction goes back to Hilbert [12]. Let us remind the main ideas, see [2] and [12]. Roughly, every Riemann surface S admits a conformal mapping to the complex plane with a finite set of the parallel half-lines (rays) deleted. Those rays come in pairs of two sorts: orientable and non-orientable. We shall see later that to every parallel-slit domain one can relate a canonical I.E.T., orientable or with flips, depending on the orientability of the above pairs of rays. Let us look at the construction.

Parallel-slit domains ([1],[2],[12]) Let $\mathbb{C} = \{u + iv \mid u, v \in \mathbb{R}\}$ be the complex plane. By a *slit* in \mathbb{C} one understands the ray parallel to the real line and issued to the left from the point $(0, \beta)$:

$$r(\beta) = \{u + i\beta \mid -\infty < u \leq 0\}. \quad (4)$$

Every slit $r = r(\beta)$ has two *banks*: the lower r^l and the upper r^u . We shall distinguish the following *pairs* of slits in \mathbb{C} (see Fig. 1). (The arrows mark the identifications of banks in the slit: $r_1^u = r_2^l, r_1^l = r_2^u$ for the oriented pair and $r_1^l = r_2^l, r_1^u = r_2^u$ for the non-oriented pair of slits. Morally, the identifications show how to mend the Riemann surface from the sheets of complex planes.)




Figure 1: Pairs of slits

By a *parallel-slit domain* one understands the finite collection of interlocked oriented and non-oriented pairs of slits in the complex plane \mathbb{C} .

Lemma 1 (Hilbert) *Every Riemann surface S , or non-oriented domain which is double-covered by a Riemann surface, admits a conformal map on a parallel-slit domain. Conversely, one can get a Riemann surface, or non-oriented domain which is double-covered by a Riemann surface, from the parallel-slit domain by gluing together the banks of slits using the identifications in the slit pairs.*

Proof. See Courant [2], Theorem 2.7. \square

How to get Riemann surface from the I.E.T. Let $\varphi = \varphi(\lambda, \pi, \varepsilon)$ be an interval exchange transformation, see Section 1.1 for the notation. Given β_i , we relate to it a *slit pair*:

$$r(\beta_i) \quad \text{and} \quad r(\varphi(\beta_i)), \quad (5)$$

which is defined to be *oriented* slit pair whenever $\varepsilon_i = 1$ and *non-oriented* slit pair whenever $\varepsilon_i = -1$. Let i run from 1 to m . The parallel-slit domain $D = D(\varphi)$ of the complex plane \mathbb{C} obtained from the I.E.T. is called *associated* to the φ . Note that one can always assume $\beta_0 = (0, 0) \in \mathbb{C}$.

It is not hard to see that the converse is true: Any parallel-slit domain in \mathbb{C} gives rise to an (irrational) I.E.T. $\varphi = \varphi(\lambda, \pi, \varepsilon)$.

Definition 1 *The Riemann surface $S = S(\varphi)$ obtained by Lemma 1 from the associated parallel-slit domain $D(\varphi)$, we shall call induced by the interval exchange transformation φ .*

Stratification of the Teichmüller space by number of intervals in the I.E.T. For simplicity, we assume that S has no “holes”, i.e. $n = 0$. The genus g of the Riemann surface $S(\varphi)$ depends on the number m of intervals and the number n of elementary cycles in the permutation π , see Veech [22]. Thus, the Teichmüller space $T(g, 0)$ is “stratified” with respect to m , i.e. one can get Riemann surfaces of the same genus for different values of m . In this section we wish to calculate the dimension and number of the appearing strata. The shortest way to describe strata is via “singularity data” of the quadratic differentials on $S(\varphi)$.

Let (S, q) be pair consisting of a Riemann surface of genus g and a holomorphic quadratic differential q on it. In particular, when q is square of a 1-form, we call q an *Abelian differential*.

For $p \geq 1$ let k_1, \dots, k_p be a sequence of positive integers and half-integers such that $\sum k_i = 2g - 2$. By the elementary number theory argument, the total number of half-integers is even, and the set k_1, \dots, k_p is always non-empty provided $g > 1$.

Each q on the genus g Riemann surface defines a finite sequence k_1, \dots, k_p where each k_i is the absolute value of index of zero of q . Clearly, the sequence admits no half-integers if and only if q is Abelian. The sequence k_1, \dots, k_p is called *singularity data* of q . We call the subset of $T(g, 0)$ corresponding to quadratic differentials with fixed singularity data, a *stratum* H_i . By the index argument, the number of strata is finite. Strata aren’t generally connected, as shown by Kontsevitch and Zorich [16].

If k_1, \dots, k_p is the singularity data of stratum H_i , then the number m of intervals in the exchange map:

$$m = \begin{cases} 2g + p - 1 & \text{if } q \text{ is Abelian} \\ 2g + p - 2 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} \quad (6)$$

see Veech [23]. The last formula allows to evaluate the dimension of strata. The stratum of maximal dimension is given by non-Abelian non-Strebel quadratic differential with “simple” singularities (tripods) whose total number is $p = 4g - 4$. Thus, $m_{\max} = 6g - 6$. It is easy to see that $m_{\min} = 2g$. Thus we have a stratification

$$T(g, 0) = \bigsqcup_{i=2g}^{6g-6} H_i, \quad (7)$$

where stratum H_i lies at the boundary of H_{i+1} for each $i = 2g, \dots, 6g - 6$. Geometrically, taking the boundary of stratum corresponds to collapse of the separatrix connection to the higher order singularity in the measured foliation induced by q .

In case of surfaces with n boundary components there will be $2n$ extra strata, and our formula modifies to:

$$T(g, n) = \bigsqcup_{i=2g}^{6g-6+2n} H_i, \quad (8)$$

1.3 Dimension group of the Riemann surface

Let $\varphi(\lambda, \pi, \varepsilon) : I \rightarrow I$ be an I.E.T. on m intervals. We wish to attach to the I.E.T. a canonical dimension group of rank m .

Dimension group attached to Riemann surface. Let $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_m)$ be the lengths of intervals in the I.E.T. associated to S . It was proved by Keane that a sufficient condition to I.E.T. to be minimal consists in that numbers $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_m$ are linearly independent over \mathbb{Q} , see [14].

Consider abelian subgroup of the real line:

$$\Gamma = \mathbb{Z}\lambda_1 + \dots + \mathbb{Z}\lambda_m \subset \mathbb{R}. \quad (9)$$

It is clear that Γ has rank m and by Keane's condition is dense in \mathbb{R} . Then by the pull-back construction, Γ generates a simple totally ordered dimension group G of rank m , see [5], Corollary 4.7.

How to recover Riemann surface from the dimension group. Since Γ is embedded into \mathbb{R} , dimension group G has a unique pure state. In other words, the state space $K = S(G)$ is a singleton. K is a convex compact set (Choquet simplex), see [5], p.24. The set of affine functions on K is one-dimensional:

$$\text{Aff } K \cong \mathbb{R}. \quad (10)$$

(The reader may think of $\text{Aff } K$ as a set of scalars $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ which act on $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_m)$ by the formula $\lambda \mapsto \alpha\lambda$.)

Proposition 1 *Let G be simple dimension group with total order and $f : G \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ an affine function from $\text{Aff } K$. Then the pair (G, f) defines an irreducible minimal I.E.T. on $m = \text{rank } G$ intervals lying in \mathbb{R} .*

Proof. Fix a basis $\{e_1, \dots, e_m\}$ in G^+ . Then we have $0 < f(e_1) < \dots < f(e_{m-1}) < f(e_m)$ relabeling e_i if necessary. The lengths of intervals in the required I.E.T. are given by the formula:

$$\lambda_1 = f(e_1), \quad \lambda_2 = f(e_2) - f(e_1), \quad \dots, \quad \lambda_m = f(e_m) - f(e_{m-1}). \quad (11)$$

Clearly, λ_i are linearly independent over \mathbb{Q} (otherwise $\{e_1, \dots, e_m\}$ wouldn't be a basis). The combinatorial data π and ε are recovered using formulas (6). \square

Corollary 1 *The pair (G, f) defines a unique Riemann surface S , such that $G = G(S)$ is its associated dimension group.*

2 Proof of Theorem 1

First, let us outline the main idea. Recall that in Section 1 we constructed a parametrization of an open and dense subset of $T(g, n)$ by simple dimension groups of rank $6g - 6 + 2n$. The action of mapping class group $\Gamma(g, n)$ on $T(g, n)$ extends to such on the dimension groups. We show that this action corresponds to order-isomorphisms at the level of dimension groups. On the other hand, it is known that the order-isomorphisms are representable by integral invertible matrices $GL_{6g-6+2n}(\mathbb{Z})$. The theorem follows. We pass now to a step-by-step construction.

To calculate the lengths λ_i of the intervals, we would need the following model of $T(g, n)$ due to Masur [18]. Let $S \in T(g, n)$ be Riemann surface of genus g with n boundary components and ω a holomorphic 2-form on S . We assume that ω is “generic”, in particular, that it has only simple zeroes. To include non-compact case into a general pattern, let us represent every boundary component as a “fake” zero of form ω . Namely, we add up a saddle point with one incoming and one outgoing separatrix rays (a “fake” saddle). The fake saddle splits into pair of “stable” saddle points: 3- and 1-separatrix saddles. Thus we get $2n$ extra singularities and therefore $m = |\text{Sing } \omega| = 4g - 4 + 2n$.

We wish to “cover” horizontal (vertical) trajectories of ω by horizontal (vertical) trajectories of 1-form $\tilde{\omega}$ on surface \tilde{S} . For that we take a double cover \tilde{S} ramified over the set $\text{Sing } \omega$. Note that Hurwitz’s formula $\tilde{g} = 2g + \frac{m}{2} - 1$ implies $\tilde{g} = 4g - 3 + n$.

Denote the covering involution on \tilde{S} by τ . The 1-form $\tilde{\omega}$ is skew symmetric, i.e. $\tau_*(\tilde{\omega}) = -\tilde{\omega}$. It is clear that $\text{Sing } \tilde{\omega}$ consists of saddle points with six saddle sections and $|\text{Sing } \tilde{\omega}| = 4g - 4 + 2n$. The rank of first homology group of \tilde{S} relatively $\text{Sing } \tilde{\omega}$ is given by formula: $\text{rk } H_1(\tilde{S}, \text{Sing } \tilde{\omega}; \mathbb{Z}) = 2\tilde{g} + |\text{Sing } \tilde{\omega}| - 1 = 12g - 11 + 4n$. It will be proved later on that only half, i.e. $6g - 6 + 2n$, of cycles are independent.

Let $\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_{6g-6+2n}$ be a basis in $H_1(\tilde{S}, \text{Sing } \tilde{\omega}; \mathbb{Z})$ consisting of such cycles and let $\lambda_i = \int_{\gamma_i} \tilde{\omega}$.

Lemma 2 *Real numbers $(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_{6g-6+2n})$ are coordinates in $T(g, n)$.*

Proof. This fact has been proved by Masur [18], Lemma 4.2. Let us give an idea of the construction of such parametrization. By spitting S (and \tilde{S}) into “pants” (3-holed spheres), one first recovers $\tilde{\omega}$ on \tilde{S} from $(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_{6g-6+2n})$ and then the holomorphic 2-form ω on S . The rest of the proof is a piece of the Teichmüller theory. Namely, ω defines a quasi-conformal map $f : S \rightarrow S'$ between two Riemann surfaces $S, S' \in T(g, n)$. The mapping is unique in its homotopy class. Therefore, regarding S' as a point of $T(g, n)$, one can “travel” through $T(g, n)$ by varying the 2-form ω on S . The map is onto, as it easily follows the dimension argument. \square

The homomorphism:

$$f_{\tilde{\omega}} : H_1(\tilde{S}, \text{Sing } \tilde{\omega}; \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \quad (12)$$

given by formula $(\mathbb{Z}\gamma_1, \dots, \mathbb{Z}\gamma_{6g-6+2n}) \mapsto \mathbb{Z}\lambda_1 + \dots + \mathbb{Z}\lambda_{6g-6+2n}$ will be called a *Masur homomorphism*.

Lemma 3 *Let $\operatorname{Re} \omega$ ($\operatorname{Im} \omega$) be foliation on S given by horizontal (vertical) trajectories of the holomorphic 2-form ω . Then positive reals $(|\lambda_1|, \dots, |\lambda_{6g-6+2n}|)$ are lengths of intervals in the (generalized) I.E.T. induced by $\operatorname{Re} \omega$ ($\operatorname{Im} \omega$).*

Proof. Let $\tilde{\omega}$ be holomorphic 1-form on covering surface \tilde{S} . As we noted earlier, $\operatorname{Sing} \tilde{\omega}$ consists of $4g - 4 + n$ saddle points of index -2 (i.e. 6-saddles) and n points of index 0 (i.e. 2-saddles).

It is not hard to see that every interval in the I.E.T. induced by the trajectories of 1-form $\tilde{\omega}$, comes from a pair of distinct saddle sections of the set of saddle points. Indeed, the outgoing separatrices of the saddle give rise to the ends of intervals of the I.E.T., so that it takes two outgoing separatrices to define an interval.

Easy calculation gives us a total of $24g - 24 + 8n$ saddle sections present on \tilde{S} . Therefore, the I.E.T. must have $12g - 12 + 4n$ intervals in the exchange. Since $\tilde{\omega}$ is involutive, so must be the intervals in the I.E.T. Thus, only $6g - 6 + 2n$ intervals are independent.

Finally, let us calculate lengths of the intervals. Since $\tilde{\omega}$ is holomorphic, it preserves measure $\int_l \tilde{\omega}$ of any transverse segment l to the flow $\tilde{\omega}$. For simplicity, suppose that l has ends at the set $\operatorname{Sing} \tilde{\omega}$.

It is an easy exercise to verify that generators $\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_{6g-6+2n}$ of the abelian group $H_1(\tilde{S}, \operatorname{Sing} \tilde{\omega}; \mathbb{Z})$ can be identified with l_i 's. (In fact, in this form they were originally introduced in [18].) Lemma 3 follows. \square

We shall use Masur's model of $T(g, n)$ to establish the following lemma.

Lemma 4 *Let $S, S' \in T(g, n)$ be a pair of conformally equivalent Riemann surfaces parametrized by the dimension groups G_S and $G_{S'}$. Then the dimension groups $G_S, G_{S'}$ are order-isomorphic.*

Proof. It is known that every element of the mapping class group $\Gamma(g, n)$ has a conformal representative. Let $f \in \Gamma(g, n)$ be such that $f(S) = S'$.

It follows from Masur's model, that the lengths of intervals in the I.E.T. are given by formula:

$$\lambda_i = \left| \int_{\gamma_i} \tilde{\omega} \right|, \quad i = 1, \dots, 6g - 6 + 2n. \quad (13)$$

In order to calculate the lengths of intervals λ_j , let us notice that f acts on the first homology of S :

$$f_* : H_1(S, \operatorname{Sing} \tilde{\omega}; \mathbb{Z}) \longrightarrow H_1(S, \operatorname{Sing} \tilde{\omega}; \mathbb{Z}), \quad (14)$$

by integral invertible matrices $GL_{6g-6+2n}(\mathbb{Z})$ and we denote by $f_*(\gamma_1), \dots, f_*(\gamma_{6g-6+2n})$ the result of such an action on $\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_{6g-6+2n}$. Thus,

$$f_*(\gamma_j) = \sum_{i=1}^{6g-6+2n} a_{ij} \gamma_i, \quad a_{ij} \in \mathbb{Z}. \quad (15)$$

Using elementary properties of integrals, we get

$$\lambda'_j = \left| \int_{f_*(\gamma_i)} \tilde{\omega} \right| = \left| \int_{\sum a_{ij} \gamma_i} \tilde{\omega} \right| = \left| \sum_{i=1}^{6g-6+2n} a_{ij} \lambda_i \right|. \quad (16)$$

Since G_S is defined as a pull-back of the dense subgroup

$$\mathbb{Z}\lambda_1 \oplus \dots \oplus \mathbb{Z}\lambda_{6g-6+2n} \subset \mathbb{R}, \quad (17)$$

we obtain from formula (16) the following inclusion:

$$\mathbb{Z}\lambda'_1 \oplus \dots \oplus \mathbb{Z}\lambda'_{6g-6+2n} \subseteq \mathbb{Z}\lambda_1 \oplus \dots \oplus \mathbb{Z}\lambda_{6g-6+2n} \subset \mathbb{R}. \quad (18)$$

In fact, since the matrix (a_{ij}) is invertible, the above inclusion is an isomorphism of two dense subgroups of the real line (it can be seen by fixing a basis of the subgroups):

$$\mathbb{Z}\lambda'_1 \oplus \dots \oplus \mathbb{Z}\lambda'_{6g-6+2n} \cong \mathbb{Z}\lambda_1 \oplus \dots \oplus \mathbb{Z}\lambda_{6g-6+2n}. \quad (19)$$

Therefore, G_S and $G_{S'}$ are order-isomorphic as dimension groups, see [5] Corollary 4.7. Lemma 4 follows. \square

Lemma 5 *Simple totally ordered dimension groups G, H of rank m are order-isomorphic if and only if there exists matrix $A \in GL(m, \mathbb{Z})$ which sends positive cone of G to the positive cone of H .*

Proof. Indeed, dimension groups G, H are order-isomorphic iff there exists an isomorphism $\phi : G \rightarrow H$ such that $\phi(G^+) = H^+$, see Appendix. Since G, H are totally ordered and are based on the lattice $L = \mathbb{Z}^m$, ϕ is an automorphism of L . Lemma follows. \square

Let $S \in T(g, n)$ be “generic” Riemann surface with associated dimension group G . Then any conformally equivalent surface $S' \in T(g, n)$ has dimension group G' , which is order-isomorphic to G , see Lemma 4. Since G, G' are simple totally ordered dimension groups of rank $m = 6g - 6 + 2n$, there exists an automorphism $A \in GL_{6g-6+2n}(\mathbb{Z})$ of lattice $\mathbb{Z}^{6g-6+2n}$ which maps positive cone of G' to such of G , see Lemma 5. Thus the element $\varphi \in \Gamma(g, n)$ has a representation $A \in GL_{6g-6+2n}(\mathbb{Z})$.

Going through all Riemann surfaces, which are conformally equivalent to S , one gets a representation of $\Gamma(g, n)$ as a subgroup of $GL_{6g-6+2n}(\mathbb{Z})$. Since the Torelli group $\mathcal{T}(g, n)$ acts trivially on the first homology of S , the above representation of $\Gamma(g, n)$ has kernel $\mathcal{T}(g, n)$. (In other words, the representation of group $\Gamma(g, n)/\mathcal{T}(g, n)$ is faithful.) Theorem 1 follows. \square

3 Appendix

In this section we briefly review C^* -algebras, K -theory and dimension groups. The reader can find additional information in Effros [5], and Rørdam, Larsen & Laustsen [21].

3.1 K-theory of C*-algebras

By the C^* -algebra one understands a noncommutative Banach algebra with an involution [21]. Namely, a C^* -algebra A is an algebra over \mathbb{C} with a norm $a \mapsto \|a\|$ and an involution $a \mapsto a^*, a \in A$, such that A is complete with respect to the norm, and such that $\|ab\| \leq \|a\| \|b\|$ and $\|a^*a\| = \|a\|^2$ for every $a, b \in A$. If A is commutative, then the Gelfand theorem says that A is isometrically $*$ -isomorphic to the C^* -algebra $C_0(X)$ of continuous complex-valued functions on a locally compact Hausdorff space X . For otherwise, A represents a “noncommutative” topological space X .

Let A be a unital C^* -algebra and $V(A)$ be the union (over n) of projections in the $n \times n$ matrix C^* -algebra with entries in A . Projections $p, q \in V(A)$ are equivalent if there exists a partial isometry u such that $p = u^*u$ and $q = uu^*$. The equivalence class of projection p is denoted by $[p]$.

Equivalence classes of orthogonal projections can be made to a semigroup by putting $[p] + [q] = [p + q]$. The Grothendieck completion of this semigroup to an abelian group is called a K_0 -group of algebra A .

Functor $A \rightarrow K_0(A)$ maps a category of unital C^* -algebras into the category of abelian groups so that projections in algebra A correspond to a “positive cone” $K_0^+ \subset K_0(A)$ and the unit element $1 \in A$ corresponds to an “order unit” $[1] \in K_0(A)$. The ordered abelian group $(K_0, K_0^+, [1])$ with an order unit is called a *dimension group* [6].

3.2 Dimension groups

We use notation $\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Z}^+, \mathbb{Q}$ and \mathbb{R} for integers, positive integers, rationals and reals, respectively and $GL_n(\mathbb{Z})$ for the group of $n \times n$ matrices with entries in \mathbb{Z} and determinant ± 1 .

By an *ordered group* we shall mean an abelian group G together with a subset $P = G^+$ such that $P + P \subseteq P, P \cap (-P) = \{0\}$, and $P - P = G$. We call P the *positive cone* on G . We write $a \leq b$ (or $a < b$) if $b - a \in P$ (or $b - a \in P \setminus \{0\}$).

G is said to be a *Riesz group* if:

- (i) $g \in G$ and $ng \geq 0, n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ implies $g \geq 0$;
- (ii) $u, v \leq x, y$ in G implies existence of $w \in G$ such that $u, v \leq w \leq x, y$.

An *ideal* J in a Riesz group G is a subgroup such that $J = J^+ - J^+$ (where $J^+ = J \cap G^+$), and if $0 \leq a \leq b \in J^+$, then $a \in J^+$. We say that G is *simple* if only ideals are G and $\{0\}$.

Given ordered groups G and H , we say that a homomorphism $\varphi : G \rightarrow H$ is *positive* if $\varphi(G^+) \subseteq H^+$, and that $\varphi : G \rightarrow H$ an *order isomorphism* if $\varphi(G^+) = H^+$.

A positive homomorphism $s : G \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is called a *state* if $s(u) = 1$, where $u \in G^+$ is an order unit of G . We let $S(G)$ be the *state space* of G , i.e. the set of states on G endowed with natural topology [5].

$S(G)$ is a compact convex subset of vector space $\text{Hom}(G, \mathbb{R})$. By the Krein-Milman theorem, $S(G)$ is the closed convex hull of its extreme points, which are called *pure states*.

An ordered abelian group is a *dimension group* if it is order isomorphic to $\lim_{m,n \rightarrow \infty} (\mathbb{Z}^{r(m)}, \varphi_{mn})$, where $\mathbb{Z}^{r(m)}$ is simplicially ordered groups (i.e. $(\mathbb{Z}^{r(m)})^+ \cong \mathbb{Z}^+ \oplus \dots \oplus \mathbb{Z}^+$), and φ_{mn} are positive homomorphisms. Dimension group G is said to satisfy the *Unimodular Conjecture* if $r(m) = \text{Const} = r$ and φ_{mn} are positive isomorphisms of \mathbb{Z}^r . In other words, G is the limit

$$\mathbb{Z}^r \xrightarrow{\varphi_0} \mathbb{Z}^r \xrightarrow{\varphi_1} \mathbb{Z}^r \xrightarrow{\varphi_2} \dots, \quad (20)$$

of matrices $\varphi_k \in GL_r(\mathbb{Z}^+)$.

Riesz groups are dimension groups and *vice versa*. Riesz groups can be viewed as an “abstract” dimension groups, while dimension groups as a “quantum representation” of Riesz groups by infinite sequences of positive homomorphisms.

3.3 Remark on the Strebel and non-Strebel differentials

In this section we compare parametrization of the Teichmüller space by the Strebel differentials (metric ribbon graphs) with the “non-Strebel” parametrization by the I.E.T.’s discussed above.

Parametrization by metric ribbon graphs. Let $q \in Q(S)$ be holomorphic quadratic differential. Denote by \mathcal{F}_q foliation on surface S induced by the horizontal trajectories of q . It is known that \mathcal{F}_q is a measured foliation, i.e. supports an invariant measure transverse to its leaves. Recall that q is called *Strebel* if every leaf of \mathcal{F}_q is compact. Mumford, Thurston *et al.* established a parametrization of $T(g, n)$ by Strebel’s differentials. Namely, all the “boundary” compact leaves of \mathcal{F}_q make up a metric ribbon graph, and the space of all such graphs parametrizes $T(g, n)$. Combinatorial data of ribbon graphs splits $T(g, n)$ into “strata”, while metric data allows to move “within” the strata, see e.g. [15].

Parametrization by the I.E.T.’s. Let $q \in Q(S)$ be holomorphic quadratic differential such that \mathcal{F}_q has finite number of compact leaves (possibly 0) and any other leaf is dense on S . Such differentials are “opposite” to the Strebel differentials, and we call them *non-Strebel*. Lengths of the separatrix leaves are no longer pertinent in this case, since eventually one can collapse compact separatrices to the higher order singularities of \mathcal{F}_q (Whitehead homotopy). Virtual substitute to “metric data” in this situation are lengths of the intervals of the I.E.T., while combinatorial data are encrypted by the extended Rauzy class of the I.E.T. Namely, fix a global cross-section to \mathcal{F}_q , and identify it with the interval $I = [0, |\lambda|)$. Then the monodromy of foliation \mathcal{F}_q induces an I.E.T. on I . Note that if q is non-Abelian, then I.E.T. admits “flips”. Conversely, the Rauzy class of π and vector λ give rise to a complex structure and canonical quadratic differential q on S , obtained by “zippering” of rectangles in the complex plane,

see Masur [18] and Veech [22]. While the Rauzy class of π fixes a stratum in $T(g, n)$, λ “moves around” the stratum. Typically ¹ this mapping is *injective*.

References

- [1] C.-F. Bödigheimer, Interval exchange spaces and moduli spaces, *Contemporary Mathematics*, Vol. 150 (1993), 33-50.
- [2] R. Courant, *Dirichlet Principle, Conformal Mapping, and Minimal Surfaces*, N. Y. 1950.
- [3] P. Deligne and D. Mumford, The irreducibility of the space of curves of a given genus, *Publ. Math. IHES* 36 (1969), 75-109.
- [4] T. Eckl, A note on invariants of flows induced by Abelian differentials on Riemann surfaces, *arXiv:math.OA/0402177 v.1*, Preprint, 23 p.
- [5] E. G. Effros, Dimensions and C^* -Algebras, in: *Conf. Board of the Math. Sciences, Regional conference series in Math.*, No.46, AMS, 1981.
- [6] G. A. Elliott, On the classification of inductive limits of sequences of semi-simple finite dimensional algebras, *J. of Algebra* 38 (1976), 29-44.
- [7] D. Eisenbud and J. Harris, Progress in the theory of complex algebraic curves, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.* 21 (1989), 205-232.
- [8] R. Hain and E. Looijenga, Mapping class groups and moduli spaces of curves, *Proc. Symp. Pure Math.*, Vol. 62.2 (1997), 97-142.
- [9] J. L. Harer, The cohomology of the moduli space of curves, *Theory of moduli* (Montecatini Terme, 1985), 138-221, *Lecture Notes in Math.*, 1337, Springer, Berlin, 1988.
- [10] W. J. Harvey, Geometric structure of surface mapping class groups, *Homological Group Theory*, *LMS Lect. Notes.* 36 (1979), 255-269.
- [11] A. Hatcher and W. Thurston, A presentation for the mapping class group of a closed orientable surface, *Topology* 19 (1980), 221-237.
- [12] D. Hilbert, Zur Theorie der konformen Abbildung, *Göttinger Nachrichten* 1909, S. 314-323.
- [13] N. V. Ivanov, Algebraic properties of the Teichmüller modular group, *Dokl. Acad. Nauk SSSR* 275 (1984), 786-789.
- [14] M. Keane, Interval exchange transformations, *Math. Z.* 141 (1975), 25-31.

¹The interval exchange transformation isn't generally strictly ergodic. But typically it is so, see Masur [18] and Veech [22].

- [15] M. Kontsevich, Intersection theory on the moduli space of curves and the matrix Airy function, *Commun. Math. Phys.* 147 (1992), 1-23.
- [16] M. Kontsevich and A. Zorich, Connected components of the moduli spaces of Abelian differentials with prescribed singularities, *Invent. Math.* 153 (2003), 631-678.
- [17] Yu. I. Manin, Real multiplication and noncommutative geometry, *arXiv:math.AG/0202109 v1*, Preprint, 46 p.
- [18] H. Masur, Interval exchange transformations and measured foliations, *Ann. of Math.* (2) 115 (1982), no. 1, 169–200.
- [19] I. Nikolaev, On complex and noncommutative tori, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* (to appear)
- [20] B. Riemann, Grundlagen für eine allgemeine Theorie der Functionen einer veränderlichen complexen Grösse, In *Gesammelte Werke*. Collected papers. Springer + Teubner, Leipzig, 1990, 35-75.
- [21] M. Rørdam, F. Larsen and N. Laustsen, An introduction to K -theory for C^* -algebras, *LMS Student Texts*, 49, Cambridge University Press, 2000.
- [22] W. A. Veech, Gauss measures for transformations on the space of interval exchange maps, *Ann. of Math.* (2) 115 (1982), no. 1, 201-242.
- [23] W. A. Veech, Moduli spaces of quadratic differentials, *J. d'Analyse Mathématique* 55 (1990), 117-171.