

ON THE ARAKELOV THEORY OF ELLIPTIC CURVES

ROBIN DE JONG

ABSTRACT. We relate the Arakelov-Green functions of two isogenous complex elliptic curves by means of a ‘projection formula’. As an application of this, we calculate the Arakelov-Green function on the kernel of an isogeny. In doing so we give an answer to a question posed by Szpiro. Also we give a projection formula in the arithmetic setting.

1. INTRODUCTION

In this note we study the Arakelov intersection theory of elliptic curves. Some important results have already been obtained and are now considered well-known: see the section on elliptic curves in Faltings’ fundamental paper [2], or the paper [3] by Szpiro. In this note we answer a question that was left open in the latter paper. As a preliminary, we prove a ‘projection formula’ for complex elliptic curves related by an isogeny. In the course of our discussion, we find new proofs of some of the earlier results. In particular, we give *en passant* a derivation of Faltings’ formula for the Arakelov-Green function without using the eigenvalues and eigenfunctions of the Laplace operator as in [2]. As another application of our complex ‘projection formula’ we will prove a projection formula in the arithmetic setting. For the fundamental notions of Arakelov theory we refer to [1] or [2].

2. ISOGENOUS ELLIPTIC CURVES AND THE ARAKELOV-GREEN FUNCTION

Throughout this paper X and X' will denote complex elliptic curves. If we identify X with a complex torus \mathbb{C}/Λ , with Λ a lattice in \mathbb{C} , then it is readily checked that the differential $\omega = dz/\sqrt{\text{vol}(\Lambda)}$ satisfies $(i/2) \int_X \omega \wedge \bar{\omega} = 1$, that is, ω is an orthonormal basis of $H^0(X, \Omega_X^1)$. We can therefore write the fundamental Arakelov probability measure μ_X on X as $\mu_X = (i/2) \cdot (dz \wedge d\bar{z})/\text{vol}(\Lambda)$. Using this, one sees that if $f : X \rightarrow X'$ is an isogeny of degree d , we have $f^* \mu_{X'} = d \cdot \mu_X$. This important relationship makes it possible to compare the Arakelov theory of X and X' . For example, we have a ‘projection formula’ relating the Arakelov-Green functions on X and X' :

Proposition 2.1. *Let $f : X \rightarrow X'$ be an isogeny. Let D be a divisor on X' . Then the canonical isomorphism of line bundles*

$$f^* \mathcal{O}_{X'}(D) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{O}_X(f^* D)$$

given by

$$f^*(\mathbf{1}_D) \mapsto \mathbf{1}_{f^* D}$$

is an isometry. As a consequence we have a ‘projection formula’: for any $P \in X$ we have

$$G_X(f^* D, P) = G_{X'}(D, f(P)).$$

Proof. Let d be the degree of f . We have

$$\begin{aligned} \partial\bar{\partial}\log\|f^*(\mathbf{1}_D)\|_{f^*O_{X'}(D)}^2 &= f^*(\partial\bar{\partial}\log\|\mathbf{1}_D\|_{O_{X'}(D)}^2) = f^*(2\pi i \cdot (\deg D) \cdot \mu_{X'}) \\ &= 2\pi i \cdot d \cdot (\deg D) \cdot \mu_X = 2\pi i \cdot \deg(O_X(f^*D)) \cdot \mu_X \end{aligned}$$

outside the support of f^*D , hence $\|f^*(\mathbf{1}_D)\|_{f^*O_{X'}(D)} = c \cdot \|\mathbf{1}_{f^*D}\|_{O_X(f^*D)}$ for some constant c . But since

$$\begin{aligned} \int_X \log\|f^*(\mathbf{1}_D)\|_{f^*O_{X'}(D)} \cdot \mu_X &= \frac{1}{d} \cdot \int_X \log\|f^*(\mathbf{1}_D)\|_{f^*O_{X'}(D)} \cdot f^*\mu_{X'} \\ &= \int_{X'} \log\|\mathbf{1}_D\|_{O_{X'}(D)} \cdot \mu_{X'} = 0, \end{aligned}$$

this constant is equal to 1. \square

Let ω be a differential of norm 1 in $H^0(X, \Omega_X^1)$. We put $A(X) = \|\omega\|_{\text{Ar}}$. Then we have

Lemma 2.2. *Let $f : X \rightarrow X'$ be an isogeny of degree d . Then*

$$\prod_{P \in \text{Ker}f, P \neq 0} G(0, P) = \frac{\sqrt{d} \cdot A(X)}{A(X')}.$$

Proof. Let N be the norm of the isomorphism of line bundles $f^*\Omega_{X'}^1 \xrightarrow{\sim} \Omega_X^1$ given by the usual inclusion. We will compute N in two ways. First of all, let $\omega' \in H^0(X', \Omega_{X'}^1)$ have norm 1, so ω' has norm $A(X')$ in $\Omega_{X'}^1$. Then $f^*(\omega')$ has norm \sqrt{d} in $H^0(X, \Omega_X^1)$, hence norm $\sqrt{d} \cdot A(X)$ in Ω_X^1 . Thus we find

$$N = \frac{\sqrt{d} \cdot A(X)}{A(X')}.$$

On the other hand, we can compute N with the Adjunction Formula. By Proposition 2.1, the isomorphism of line bundles $f^*(O_{X'}(0)) \xrightarrow{\sim} O_X(\text{Ker}f)$ given by $f^*\mathbf{1} \mapsto \mathbf{1}$ is an isometry. This implies that the isomorphism of line bundles

$$f^*(\Omega_{X'}^1(0)) \xrightarrow{\sim} \Omega_X^1(0) \otimes \bigotimes_{P \in \text{Ker}f, P \neq 0} O_X(P)$$

given by

$$f^*\left(\frac{dz}{z}\right) \mapsto \frac{dz}{z} \otimes \mathbf{1}$$

for a local coordinate z about 0 on X , has constant norm N on X . If we evaluate the above isomorphism at 0 on X we find

$$N = \prod_{P \in \text{Ker}f, P \neq 0} G(0, P)$$

by the Adjunction Formula. \square

If we apply the lemma to the multiplication-by-two map $X \rightarrow X$ we find

Corollary 2.3. *Let P_1, P_2, P_3 be the non-trivial two-torsion points on X . Then*

$$G(0, P_1)G(0, P_2)G(0, P_3) = 2.$$

From this corollary we can prove a formula for the Arakelov-Green function on X , as well as a formula for $A(X)$. Of course, these results are also in [2]. We identify X with $\mathbb{C}/\mathbb{Z} + \tau\mathbb{Z}$ for some $\tau \in \mathbb{C}$ with $\text{Im}\tau > 0$. Recall the functions

$$\eta(\tau) = \exp(2\pi i\tau/24) \prod_{k=1}^{\infty} (1 - \exp(2\pi i k\tau))$$

and $\Delta(\tau) = \eta(\tau)^{24}$, the unique normalised cusp form of weight 12 on $\text{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$. The functions $\|\eta\|(\tau) = (\text{Im}\tau)^{1/4} \cdot |\eta(\tau)|$ and $\|\Delta\|(\tau) = (\text{Im}\tau)^6 \cdot |\Delta(\tau)|$ are $\text{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$ -invariant and define invariants of X . We also recall the function

$$\|\vartheta\|(z; \tau) = (\text{Im}\tau)^{1/4} \exp(-\pi y^2/\text{Im}\tau) \cdot |\vartheta(z; \tau)|$$

where $y = \text{Im}z$ and where $\vartheta(z; \tau) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \exp(\pi i n^2 \tau + 2\pi i n z)$ is the usual Riemann theta-function. It descends to a well-defined function on $\mathbb{C}/\mathbb{Z} + \tau\mathbb{Z}$.

Lemma 2.4. (i) *The Arakelov-Green function on X satisfies the formula*

$$G(0, z) = \frac{\|\vartheta\|(z + (1 + \tau)/2; \tau)}{\|\eta\|(\tau)}.$$

(ii) *The invariant $A(X)$ satisfies the formula*

$$A(X) = \frac{1}{(2\pi) \cdot \|\eta\|(\tau)^2}.$$

Proof. It can be easily verified that $\partial\bar{\partial} \log \|\vartheta\|(z + (1 + \tau)/2; \tau)^2 = 2\pi i \cdot \mu_X$ outside $z = 0$ and that $\|\vartheta\|(z + (1 + \tau)/2; \tau)$ has a zero only at $z = 0$, which is of first order. Hence $G(0, z) = c \cdot \|\vartheta\|(z + (1 + \tau)/2; \tau)$ for some constant c . From Corollary 2.3 we obtain

$$c^3 \cdot \|\vartheta\|(0; \tau) \|\vartheta\|(1/2; \tau) \|\vartheta\|(\tau/2; \tau) = G(0, 1/2) G(0, \tau/2) G(0, (1 + \tau)/2) = 2.$$

By the well-known formula

$$(\exp(\pi i\tau/4) \cdot \vartheta(0; \tau) \vartheta(1/2; \tau) \vartheta(\tau/2; \tau))^8 = 2^8 \cdot \Delta(\tau)$$

we obtain $c = \|\eta\|(\tau)^{-1}$.

(ii) We proceed as in [2]: take $\omega = dz/\sqrt{\text{Im}\tau}$ as an orthonormal basis of $H^0(X, \Omega_X^1)$. By the Adjunction Formula we have $\|dz/\sqrt{\text{Im}\tau}\|_{\text{Ar}} = (\sqrt{\text{Im}\tau})^{-1} \cdot \lim_{z \rightarrow 0} |z|/G(0, z)$. We obtain (ii) by using the formula in (i) for G and the formula

$$\left(\exp(\pi i\tau/4) \cdot \frac{\partial \vartheta}{\partial z} \left(\frac{1 + \tau}{2}; \tau \right) \right)^8 = (2\pi)^8 \cdot \Delta(\tau).$$

This completes the lemma. \square

From Lemma 2.2 and 2.4 we immediately derive

Theorem 2.5. *Let $f : X \rightarrow X'$ be an isogeny of degree d . Then*

$$\prod_{P \in \text{Ker} f, P \neq 0} G(0, P) = \frac{\sqrt{d} \cdot \|\eta\|(\tau')^2}{\|\eta\|(\tau)^2}.$$

In his paper [3], Szpiro proves the following weaker statement (cf. Théorème 1): let E and E' be semi-stable elliptic curves defined over a number field K . Let $f : E \rightarrow E'$ be an isogeny of degree d . Then we have

$$\sum_{\sigma} \sum_{P_{\sigma} \in \text{Ker} f_{\sigma}, P_{\sigma} \neq 0} \log G(0, P_{\sigma}) = \frac{[K : \mathbb{Q}]}{2} \log d + \sum_{\sigma} \log \frac{\|\eta\|(\tau'_{\sigma})^2}{\|\eta\|(\tau_{\sigma})^2},$$

where σ runs through the complex embeddings of K and where τ_σ and τ'_σ denote periods of $E_\sigma(\mathbb{C})$ and $E'_\sigma(\mathbb{C})$, respectively. Szpiro asks whether the statement as in our theorem holds for an isogeny between just two complex elliptic curves. We have now an affirmative answer to that question.

Corollary 2.6. *Let p be a prime number. For a subgroup C of order p in X we let τ_C be a period of the elliptic curve X/C . Then we have*

$$\prod_C \|\eta\|(\tau_C)^2 = \frac{\|\eta\|(\tau)^{2(p+1)}}{p^{(p-1)/2}},$$

where the product runs over the subgroups of order p in X .

Proof. Note that the set of non-trivial p -torsion points in X is the disjoint union of the sets of non-trivial points in the subgroups C of order p . The corollary then follows by applying the theorem to the multiplication-by- p map and the isogenies $X \rightarrow X/C$ for all C . \square

3. AN ARITHMETIC PROJECTION FORMULA

In this section we derive a projection formula in Arakelov intersection theory for elliptic curves. Throughout, E and E' are elliptic curves defined over a number field K , and \mathcal{E} and \mathcal{E}' are proper, flat, regular models of E and E' over $B = \text{Spec}(O_K)$. Note that we do not assume \mathcal{E} or \mathcal{E}' to be semi-stable or minimal. Let $f : E \rightarrow E'$ be an isogeny. We claim that there are natural notions of pushforward f_* of Arakelov divisors on \mathcal{E} and of pullback f^* of Arakelov divisors on \mathcal{E}' such that a projection formula holds:

Theorem 3.1. *Let D be an Arakelov divisor on \mathcal{E} and D' an Arakelov divisor on \mathcal{E}' . Then $(f^*D', D) = (D', f_*D)$.*

Before proving the theorem, we define what we mean by pullbacks and pushforwards of Arakelov divisors. Let T be a usual Weil divisor on \mathcal{E} . Using the Néron model of E over K , one sees that f extends over a dense open subset of \mathcal{E} . Since \mathcal{E} is a normal B -scheme of finite type, this open subset can be taken as large as to contain the points of codimension one on \mathcal{E} . Hence we have a well-defined pushforward f_*T of T . Now let D be an Arakelov divisor on \mathcal{E} , and write $D = D_{\text{fin}} + \sum_\sigma \alpha_\sigma \cdot E_\sigma$ where D_{fin} is the underlying Weil divisor and where $\sum_\sigma \alpha_\sigma \cdot E_\sigma$ with $\alpha_\sigma \in \mathbb{R}$ is the underlying infinite part. We define the pushforward of D to be $f_*D = f_*D_{\text{fin}} + d \cdot \sum_\sigma \alpha_\sigma \cdot E'_\sigma$ where f_*D_{fin} is the pushforward of the Weil divisor D_{fin} . Next let D' be an Arakelov divisor on \mathcal{E}' . Write $D' = D'_{\text{fin}} + \sum_\sigma \alpha'_\sigma \cdot E'_\sigma$ where D'_{fin} is the underlying Weil divisor and where $\sum_\sigma \alpha'_\sigma \cdot E'_\sigma$ with $\alpha'_\sigma \in \mathbb{R}$ is the underlying infinite part. Then we define the pullback of D' to be $f^*D' = f^*D'_{\text{fin}} + \sum_\sigma \alpha'_\sigma \cdot E_\sigma$ where $f^*D'_{\text{fin}}$ is the usual pullback of the Weil divisor D'_{fin} . Let g be a function in $K(E')$. Note that $-\int_{E_\sigma} \log |f^*g|_\sigma \mu_{E_\sigma} = -\frac{1}{d} \int_{E_\sigma} \log |f^*g|_\sigma f^* \mu_{E'_\sigma} = -\int_{E'_\sigma} \log |g|_\sigma \mu_{E'_\sigma}$, so $(f^*g)_{\text{inf}} = f^*(g)_{\text{inf}}$. This implies that $f^*(g) = (f^*g)$ and in particular f^* is well-defined modulo Arakelov linear equivalence.

Proof of Theorem 3.1. We may restrict to the case where both D and D' are Arakelov divisors with trivial contributions “at infinity”. Using the moving lemma on \mathcal{E}' , we can find a function $g \in K(E')$ such that $D'' := D' + (g)_{\text{fin}}$ and f_*D have no components in common. We have that $D'' + (g)_{\text{inf}}$ is Arakelov linearly equivalent to D' , and that $f^*D'' + f^*(g)_{\text{inf}} = f^*D'' + (f^*g)_{\text{inf}}$ is Arakelov linearly equivalent to f^*D' . It is therefore sufficient to prove that $(f^*D'' + (f^*g)_{\text{inf}}, D) = (D'' + (g)_{\text{inf}}, f_*D)$. It is clear that $((f^*g)_{\text{inf}}, D) = ((g)_{\text{inf}}, f_*D)$. So it remains to prove that $(f^*D'', D) = (D'', f_*D)$. From the usual intersection theory

we have $(f^*D'', D)_{\text{fin}} = (D'', f_*D)_{\text{fin}}$. For the contributions at infinity, we reduce to the case where D and D'' are sections of $\mathcal{E} \rightarrow B$ and $\mathcal{E}' \rightarrow B$, respectively. Let σ be a complex embedding of K . Let D_σ and D''_σ be the points corresponding to D and D'' on $E_\sigma(\mathbb{C})$ and $E'_\sigma(\mathbb{C})$. Then we have $(f^*D'', D)_\sigma = (D'', f_*D)_\sigma$ by the complex projection formula from Proposition 2.1. \square

Corollary 3.2. *Let D_1, D_2 be Arakelov divisors on \mathcal{E}' . Then $(f^*D_1, f^*D_2) = d \cdot (D_1, D_2)$.*

Proof. It is easy to see that $f_*f^*D_2 = d \cdot D_2$. The arithmetic projection formula now gives $(f^*D_1, f^*D_2) = (D_1, f_*f^*D_2) = (D_1, d \cdot D_2) = d \cdot (D_1, D_2)$. \square

This result is also in Szpiro's paper (Lemme 1), albeit in an equivalent formulation using the terminology of admissible line bundles.

4. INJECTIVITY OF TORSION

In this final section we want to use the results obtained so far to give an Arakelov-theoretic proof of the injectivity of torsion under reduction modulo a good prime. It is amusing to see how Theorem 2.5, which is a result in the pure complex setting, bears on the behavior of torsion on the reduction of an elliptic curve modulo a prime.

Let E be an elliptic curve defined over a number field K as above. We need a little lemma on the self-intersection of points on E :

Lemma 4.1. *Let \mathcal{E} be the minimal model of E over B , and let $O : B \rightarrow \mathcal{E}$ be the zero-section. Then for any section $P : B \rightarrow \mathcal{E}$ of $\mathcal{E} \rightarrow B$ we have $(P, P) = (O, O)$.*

Proof. By the Adjunction Formula it is sufficient to prove that $(\overline{\omega}_{\mathcal{E}/B}, P) = (\overline{\omega}_{\mathcal{E}/B}, O)$. Since $\omega_{\mathcal{E}/B}|_E \cong O_E$ there exists a vertical divisor V on \mathcal{E} such that $\omega_{\mathcal{E}/B} \cong O_{\mathcal{E}}(V)$. Since \mathcal{E} is minimal, this divisor is numerically effective. This implies $(V, \Gamma) \geq 0$ for every irreducible component Γ of a closed fiber. Since also $(V, \mathcal{E}_s) = 2p_a(E) - 2 = 0$ for every closed fiber \mathcal{E}_s of \mathcal{E} , we have $(V, \Gamma) = 0$ for each Γ . This implies that $V = \sum_s \lambda_s \cdot \mathcal{E}_s$ for some integers λ_s . Hence we can write $\overline{\omega}_{\mathcal{E}/B} = \sum_s \lambda_s \cdot \mathcal{E}_s + \sum_\sigma \alpha_\sigma \cdot E_\sigma$ with α_σ real numbers. The lemma follows immediately from this. \square

Proposition 4.2. *Let n be an integer and let \wp be a prime of K not dividing n . Suppose that E has good reduction at \wp . Then the reduction map*

$$E(K)[n] \longrightarrow \mathcal{E}_s(k(s))$$

is injective. Here s is the closed point of B corresponding to \wp .

Proof. Without loss of generality, we may assume that all n -torsion points are rational over K . Let \mathcal{E} be the minimal model of E over B . Let $P : B \rightarrow \mathcal{E}$ be a section of $\mathcal{E} \rightarrow B$ corresponding to an n -torsion point. We are going to prove that for any other such section Q , the local intersection $(P, Q)_\wp$ is zero. This means that P and Q do not intersect above \wp . Let the divisor H be the sum of the closures in \mathcal{E} of the points in $\text{Ker}[n]$ on E . By the arithmetic projection formula we have $(H, P) = (O, O)$ and by Lemma 4.1 we have $(P, P) = (O, O)$. Hence $(H - P, P) = 0$. On the other hand, by Theorem 2.1

$$\begin{aligned} (H - P, P) &= (H - P, P)_{\text{fin}} - \sum_{\sigma} \sum_{Q_\sigma \in E_\sigma(\mathbb{C})[n], Q_\sigma \neq P_\sigma} \log G_\sigma(P_\sigma, Q_\sigma) \\ &= \sum_{\wp'} (H - P, P)_{\wp'} \cdot \log N_{\wp'} - [K : \mathbb{Q}] \cdot \log n. \end{aligned}$$

Here \wp' runs through the finite primes of K . Since \wp does not divide n , we have no contribution at \wp in the above summation over \wp' , that is $(H - P, P)_{\wp} = 0$. This implies that $(P, Q)_{\wp} = 0$ for any n -torsion point Q different from P . \square

Acknowledgment. The author wishes to thank his thesis advisor Gerard van der Geer for his encouragement and helpful remarks.

REFERENCES

- [1] S. Y. Arakelov, *An intersection theory for divisors on an arithmetic surface*, Izv. Akad. Nauk. **38** (1974), 1179–1192 (cf. Math. USSR Izvestija **8** (1974), 1167–1180).
- [2] G. Faltings, *Calculus on arithmetic surfaces*, Ann. of Math. **119** (1984), 387–424.
- [3] L. Szpiro, *Sur les propriétés numériques du dualisant relatif d'une surface arithmétique*. In: Grothendieck Festschrift Vol. III, Progr. Math. **88**, Birkhäuser Verlag 1990.

UNIVERSITY OF AMSTERDAM, THE NETHERLANDS
E-mail address: `rdejong@science.uva.nl`