

## ARAKELOV INVARIANTS OF RIEMANN SURFACES

ROBIN DE JONG

Abstract. We introduce two numerical invariants in Arakelov theory for Riemann surfaces. We show how these invariants can be used to calculate the Arakelov-Green function and the Faltings delta-invariant. We determine their asymptotic behavior and we compute them explicitly for elliptic curves and for Riemann surfaces of genus two.

## 1. Introduction

A central role in the analytic part of Arakelov intersection theory for arithmetic surfaces is played by the Arakelov-Green function and the Faltings delta-invariant of a Riemann surface. Although fundamental, these invariants are defined in a rather implicit way. The Arakelov-Green function is defined as a global solution to a certain partial differential equation, whose existence is known by general principles. The delta-invariant is defined as a proportionality constant between two hermitian metrics on a certain determinant line bundle on the moduli space of Riemann surfaces.

In this paper we use Weierstrass points to introduce two new Arakelov-theoretical invariants  $S$  and  $T$  for Riemann surfaces. These invariants are more explicit and can even be efficiently computed. We show how they can be used to derive expressions for the Arakelov-Green function and the delta-invariant. In this way we may gain a better understanding of these traditional invariants. We compute the new invariants explicitly for elliptic curves and for Riemann surfaces of genus two. We also present their asymptotic behavior in a family of degenerating Riemann surfaces.

The major idea will be to give Arakelov-theoretic versions of some classical facts on the divisor of Weierstrass points. The inspiration to study Weierstrass points stems from Arakelov's fundamental paper [1]. Also Bumol [5] and Jorgenson [14] have investigated Weierstrass points in the context of Arakelov geometry. This paper owes much to these earlier papers.

## 2. Fundamental notions

In this section we recall the fundamental notions of Arakelov theory for Riemann surfaces. For proofs and a more extensive discussion we refer to [2] or [7]. Throughout this paper  $X$  will be a compact and connected Riemann surface of genus  $g > 0$ , and  $\frac{1}{x}$  its holomorphic cotangent bundle. On the space of holomorphic one-forms  $H^0(X; \frac{1}{x})$  we have a natural hermitian inner product given by

$$(\alpha; \beta) = \frac{1}{2} \int_X \alpha \wedge \bar{\beta}.$$

---

1991 Mathematics Subject Classification. 14G40, 14H42, 14H55.

From an orthonormal basis  $f_k \in \mathcal{H}_{k=1}^g$  we build the so-called canonical (1,1)-form

$$= \frac{i}{2g} \sum_{k=1}^g X^g \wedge \bar{f}_k \wedge T_k ;$$

which is a probability measure on  $X$  fundamental in Arakelov theory.

A line bundle  $L$  equipped with a hermitian metric  $k$  is called admissible if its curvature form is proportional to  $\omega$ . We also call the metric  $k$  itself admissible in this case. An important remark is that if  $(L; k)$  and  $(L; k^0)$  are both admissible, the quotient  $k/k^0$  will be constant on  $X$ . Indeed, the logarithm of the quotient is a smooth harmonic function on  $X$ , so it must be constant.

The canonical Arakelov-Green function  $G$  is a  $C^1$  function  $G : X \times X \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup \{\infty\}$  satisfying the following properties: (i)  $G(P; Q)$  has a zero of order one along the diagonal  $\Delta_X$  of  $X \times X$ ; (ii)  $\partial_z \partial_{\bar{z}} \log G(P; z)^2 = 2 \cdot i \cdot (z)$  for  $z \notin P$ , and (iii)  $\int_X \log G(P; z) \cdot (z) = 0$ . One checks easily that a function satisfying these properties is unique. The existence of  $G$  can be proved (as was done by Arakelov) using effective methods from the theory of partial differential equations. In this paper we will give an explicit construction of  $G$ , from which the properties (i)-(iii) are manifest. The Arakelov-Green function satisfies the property  $G(P; Q) = G(Q; P)$  for all  $P, Q \in X$ .

Using the Arakelov-Green function, we put a smooth hermitian metric on the line bundle  $\mathcal{O}_X(P)$  for any  $P \in X$  by setting  $k|_{\mathcal{O}_X(P)}(Q) = G(P; Q)$  for  $Q \in X$ . Here  $1$  is the defining meromorphic section of  $\mathcal{O}_X(P)$ . It is clear from the defining properties of  $G$  that with this metric, the line bundles  $\mathcal{O}_X(P)$  become admissible. By tensoring, we obtain admissible hermitian metrics on any line bundle  $\mathcal{O}_X(D)$ , where  $D$  is a divisor on  $X$ .

We also provide the cotangent bundle with an admissible metric, as follows: let  $1$  be the defining meromorphic section of the line bundle  $\mathcal{O}_{X \times X}(\Delta_X)$ , where  $\Delta_X$  is the diagonal on  $X \times X$ . Putting  $k|_{\mathcal{O}_{X \times X}(\Delta_X)}(P; Q) = G(P; Q)$  we obtain a smooth hermitian metric on  $\mathcal{O}_{X \times X}(\Delta_X)$ . By the Adjunction Formula on  $X \times X$  we have a canonical isomorphism of line bundles  $\mathcal{O}_{X \times X}(\Delta_X) \cong \mathcal{O}_X^{\otimes 2}$  given locally by the residue map. We define the admissible metric  $k_{\mathcal{O}_X^{\otimes 2}}$  on  $\mathcal{O}_X^{\otimes 2}$  by requiring that this isomorphism be an isometry. Again by tensoring we obtain admissible metrics on all tensor powers of  $\mathcal{O}_X^{\otimes 2}$ . If  $z$  is a local coordinate about  $P \in X$ , the Adjunction Formula can be read as saying that

$$\lim_{Q \rightarrow P} \frac{j_P(P) - j_Q(Q)}{G(P; Q)} = k dz_{\text{Ar}}(P) :$$

We obtain other admissible line bundles by exploiting the relationship between  $X$  and its jacobian. Assume from now on that  $X$  is marked with a canonical basis  $B = \{a_1, \dots, a_g, b_1, \dots, b_g\}$  of its first homology group  $H_1(X; \mathbb{Z})$ . Let  $f_k \in \mathcal{H}_{k=1}^g$  be the dual basis of holomorphic one-forms. The  $g \times g$  matrix with  $k_{kl} = \int_X f_k \wedge \bar{f}_l$  is symmetric with a positive definite imaginary part and from it we build the jacobian  $J(X) = \mathbb{C}^g / \mathbb{Z}^g$  of  $X$ , depending on the choice of  $B$ . The jacobian comes with a canonical, translation-invariant (1,1)-form

$$= \frac{i}{2} \sum_{k,l=1}^g (Im \lambda_{kl})^{-1} dz_k \wedge d\bar{z}_l :$$

An elementary calculation using Riemann's bilinear relations shows that for the usual embedding  $j$  of  $X$  into  $J(X)$  we have  $j^* \omega = \omega_X$ .



for arithmetic surfaces, see [7], pp. 411{416. To obtain the Noether formula, one uses an interpretation of Faltings' delta-invariant as a proportionality constant between two natural hermitian metrics on the determinant of the Hodge bundle on the moduli space (in the orbifold sense) of Riemann surfaces of genus  $g$ . One of these metrics comes from the hermitian inner product on  $H^0(X; \frac{1}{X})$  defined above.

#### 4. Weierstrass points

As mentioned previously, our method involves the Weierstrass points on a Riemann surface. In this section we recall some fundamental properties of them. For the material in this section we refer to [12], pp. 120{128.

Let  $P \in X$  be a point. By definition  $P$  is a Weierstrass point of  $X$  if  $h^0(gP) > 1$ , that is if  $gP(X)$  is contained in the theta-divisor. Let  $n$  be a natural number. From the Riemann-Roch Theorem it follows that there is a holomorphic one-form with a zero of exact order  $n-1$  at  $P$  if and only if there is no meromorphic function  $f$  with polar part equal to  $n-P$ . Consequently, we can build a basis  $f_1, \dots, f_g$  of holomorphic one-forms and a sequence of natural numbers  $n_1, \dots, n_g$  such that  $\text{ord}_P(f_k) = n_k - 1$  for each  $k$ . This sequence of numbers is an invariant of  $P$  and has traditionally been called the gap-sequence at  $P$ . It is readily verified that  $n_1 = 1$ , that  $n_k \leq k$  for each  $k$ , and that  $P$  is a Weierstrass point if and only if the gap-sequence at  $P$  differs from  $1, \dots, g$ . In this case, the positive number  $w(P) = \sum_{k=1}^g (n_k - k)$  is called the weight of the Weierstrass point  $P$ .

Next let  $f_k, g_{k=1}^g$  be any basis of  $H^0(X; \frac{1}{X})$ . Let  $z$  be a local coordinate about a point  $P \in X$  and write  $f_k = f_k dz$  for each  $k$ . We define the Wronskian determinant about  $P$  to be the function

$$W_z(\cdot) = \det \frac{1}{(l-1)!} \frac{d^{l-1} f_k}{dz^{l-1}} \Big|_{1 \leq k \leq g} :$$

From the Wronskian we build the  $g(g+1)=2$ -fold holomorphic differential

$$\tilde{\omega} = W_z(\cdot) (dz)^{g(g+1)=2} :$$

It is easy to verify that  $\tilde{\omega}$  is independent of the choice of the local coordinate  $z$ , and that  $\tilde{\omega}$  gives a global section of  $\omega_X^{g(g+1)=2}$ . Also, if one changes the basis  $f_k, g$ , the differential  $\tilde{\omega}$  changes only by a non-zero scalar factor. The divisor of  $\tilde{\omega}$  on  $X$  is therefore independent of the choice of basis  $f_k, g$ . We will denote this divisor by  $W$  from now on. By using a special basis of  $H^0(X; \frac{1}{X})$  associated to the gap-sequence at  $P$  as above one proves that  $\tilde{\omega}$  is non-zero and that  $W = \sum_{P \in X} w(P) P$ : The Riemann surface  $X$  has thus only finitely many Weierstrass points, and their total weight equals  $g^3 - g$ .

So far, we have been working in a classical setting. To finish, we want to investigate the implications in Arakelov theory. It is clear that if we take a basis  $f_k, g$  of  $H^0(X; \frac{1}{X})$ , the isomorphism of line bundles  $\mathcal{O}_X(W)! \rightarrow \omega_X^{g(g+1)=2}$  given by  $1_W \cdot \tilde{\omega}$  has a constant norm on  $X$ . Here  $1_W$  is the defining meromorphic section of  $\mathcal{O}_X(W)$ . However, the above isomorphism depends on a choice of basis of  $H^0(X; \frac{1}{X})$ , and we want to make a canonical choice. We can accomplish this by tensoring on the left-hand side with  ${}^g H^0(X; \frac{1}{X})$ , obtaining an isomorphism

$${}^g H^0(X; \frac{1}{X}) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{O}_X(W)! \rightarrow \omega_X^{g(g+1)=2}$$

given by

$$f_1 \wedge \dots \wedge f_g \cdot 1_W \cdot \tilde{\omega} \mapsto \frac{f_1 \wedge \dots \wedge f_g}{f_1 \wedge \dots \wedge f_g} \tilde{\omega} :$$

Again we have an isomorphism of constant norm on  $X$ , but this time the isomorphism is canonical and the norm is a canonical invariant attached to  $X$ . In more concrete terms, it is the norm of the previous isomorphism where we take  $f^*g$  to be an orthonormal basis of  $H^0(X; \frac{1}{X})$ .

### 5. The invariant $S(X)$

We turn now to the main part of this paper: the description of our invariants. In the present section we introduce the first one. Also we present our expression for the Arakelov-Green function. Let again  $f^*g_{k=1}^g$  be an orthonormal basis of  $H^0(X; \frac{1}{X})$ , and let  $\dagger$  be the associated  $g(g+1)=2$ -fold differential as in the previous section. The following proposition will be fundamental to all that we will do:

**Proposition 5.1.** We have

$$\exp(-\langle X \rangle) \cdot k \# k(gP - Q) = k \dagger_{Ar}(P) \cdot G(P; Q)$$

for all  $P, Q \in X$ .

**Proof.** Recall Faltings' result that for generic  $P_1, \dots, P_g; Q \in X$

$$k \# k(P_1 + \dots + P_g - Q) = \exp(-\langle X \rangle) \cdot \frac{k \det^!_k(P_1) k_{Ar}}{\prod_{k=1}^g G(P_k; P_1)} \cdot \prod_{l=1}^g G(P_l; Q) :$$

Choose a local coordinate  $z$  about  $P$  and let all  $P_1$  approach  $P$ . On the right-hand side we obtain, using the Adjunction Formula,

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{P_1 \rightarrow P} \frac{k \det^!_k(P_1) k_{Ar}}{\prod_{k=1}^g G(P_k; P_1)} &= \lim_{P_1 \rightarrow P} \frac{k \det^!_k(P_1) k_{Ar}}{\prod_{k=1}^g \frac{j(P_k) - z(P_1)j}{\prod_{k=1}^g G(P_k; P_1)}} \cdot \frac{\prod_{k=1}^g \frac{j(P_k) - z(P_1)j}{\prod_{k=1}^g G(P_k; P_1)}}{\prod_{k=1}^g G(P_k; P_1)} \\ &= \lim_{P_1 \rightarrow P} \frac{j \det^!_k(P_1) j}{\prod_{k=1}^g \frac{j(P_k) - z(P_1)j}{\prod_{k=1}^g G(P_k; P_1)}} \cdot k dz_{Ar}^{g(g+1)=2}(P) \\ &= j \omega_z(P) j \cdot k dz_{Ar}^{g(g+1)=2}(P) \\ &= k \dagger_{Ar}(P) : \end{aligned}$$

Our formula is therefore just a limiting case of Faltings' formula where all  $P_1 \rightarrow P$ .

For  $Q \in X$  fixed and for varying  $P$ , Proposition 5.1 implies that

$$Q = W + g \cdot Q :$$

This equality of divisors has been known classically, see e.g. [8], p. 31. On the level of line bundles, we obtain a canonical isomorphism

$$\wedge^g H^0(X; \frac{1}{X}) \otimes_X \mathcal{O}_X(Q) \cong \wedge^g H^0(X; \frac{1}{X}) \otimes_X (W + g \cdot Q)$$

given by

$$1 \wedge \dots \wedge g \cdot \mathcal{O}_X(Q) \mapsto \frac{1 \wedge \dots \wedge g}{1 \wedge \dots \wedge g} \sim 1_g \cdot Q ;$$

where  $1$  and  $1_g \cdot Q$  denote the defining meromorphic sections of  $\mathcal{O}_X(Q)$  and  $\mathcal{O}_X(g \cdot Q)$ , respectively. Proposition 5.1 tells us that when we give each occurring line bundle its canonical structure as a metrised line bundle, the above isomorphism has constant norm  $\exp(-\langle X \rangle)$  on  $X$ , independent of our choice of  $Q \in X$ .

Combining the above isomorphism with the canonical isomorphism obtained in Section 4, we obtain a canonical isomorphism of line bundles

$$s : \mathcal{O}_X(Q) \cong \mathcal{O}_X(W + g \cdot Q)$$

given by

$$Q(1) \prod_{W \in W} 1_{W+g} Q$$

and having a constant norm on  $X$ . This norm will be our first invariant:

**Definition 5.2.** We define  $S(X)$  to be the norm of  $s$  on  $X$ .

The following proposition is a concrete translation of this definition:

**Proposition 5.3.** The invariant  $S(X)$  satisfies the equation

$$G(P; Q)^g \prod_{W \in W} G(P; W) = S(X) \prod_{W \in W} k(gP - Q)$$

for any  $P, Q \in X$ . Here the product runs over the  $W$  Weierstrass points of  $X$ , counted with their weights.

As an immediate corollary we obtain

**Corollary 5.4.** The invariant  $S(X)$  satisfies the following integral formula:

$$\log S(X) = \int_X \log \prod_{W \in W} k(gP - Q) (P)$$

for any  $Q \in X$ .

**Proof.** Take logarithms in Proposition 5.3 and integrate against  $(P)$ .

This proposition makes it possible to compute the invariant  $S(X)$  efficiently.

We now use Proposition 5.3 to give an explicit formula for  $G$ :

**Theorem 5.5.** The Arakelov-Green function on  $X$  satisfies the formula

$$G(P; Q)^g = S(X)^{1=g^2} \prod_{W \in W} \frac{k(gP - Q)}{k(gP - W)^{1=g^3}}$$

for any  $P, Q \in X$  with  $P$  not a  $W$  Weierstrass point.

**Proof.** In Proposition 5.3, take the product over  $Q \in W$ . This gives

$$G(P; W)^{g^3} = S(X)^{g^3 - g} \prod_{W \in W} k(gP - W):$$

Plugging this in in Proposition 5.3 gives

$$G(P; Q)^g = S(X)^{\frac{g^3 - g}{g^3}} \prod_{W \in W} k(gP - W)^{1=g^3} = S(X) \prod_{W \in W} k(gP - Q)$$

from which the theorem is immediate.

**Remark 5.6.** It can easily be seen from the general theory that for  $P$  a point on  $X$ , there exists a constant  $c(P)$  depending only on  $P$  such that  $k(gP - Q) = c(P) G(P; Q)^g$  for every  $Q \in X$ . Indeed, we can just apply the Riemann Vanishing Theorem as before to the divisor  $D = g - P$ . The existence of this constant  $c(P)$  was observed by Arakelov, and is mentioned by, among others, Lang and Hriljac (see for example [15], Theorem 13.5.2 or [14], Proposition 2.4). Our formula makes the dependence on  $P$  of the constant  $c(P)$  clear. From the point of view of efficient computation, in order to calculate  $G$  we only need to perform an integral once to obtain the normalisation constant  $S(X)$ ; the other terms can then be easily calculated since they only involve the theta-function and the  $W$  Weierstrass points on  $X$ .

Remark 5.7. It follows from the proof of the theorem that our formula for  $G$  extends to Weierstrass points  $P$ , provided that we take the leading coefficients of a Taylor expansion about  $P$  in both the numerator and the denominator.

We prove two corollaries to Theorem 5.5:

Corollary 5.8. We have

$$\log S(X) = g^2 \sum_{x \in X} \log k \# k(gP - Q) - (Q) + \frac{1}{g} \sum_{w \in 2W} \log k \# k(gP - W)$$

for any  $P \in X$  which is not a Weierstrass point.

Proof. Take logarithms in Theorem 5.5 and integrate against  $(Q)$ .

Corollary 5.9. We have

$$\sum_{w \in 2W} k \# k(gP - W)^{1-g^3} = \exp(-(X)=8) S(X)^{1-g^2} k \# k_r(P)$$

for any  $P \in X$ . Hence, the prescription  $kl_w k(P) = \sum_{w \in 2W} k \# k(gP - W)^{1-g^3}$  for  $P \in X$  defines an admissible metric on  $O_X(W)$ .

Proof. Just compare the formula in Theorem 5.5 with the formula in Proposition 5.1.

Remark 5.10. The existence of a canonical isomorphism

$${}^g H^0(X; \frac{1}{X}) \otimes_{O_X} O(\cdot) \rightarrow \sum_{x \in X} {}^{g(g+1)=2} O_x(g - Q)$$

with constant norm  $\exp(-(X)=8)$  on  $X$  is a very special case of a result due to Bumol (see [5], pp. 422-425) to the effect that for any admissible line bundle  $L$  on  $X$  we have a canonical isomorphism of line bundles

$$(L) \otimes_{O_X} O(\cdot) \rightarrow \sum_{x \in X} {}^{n(n-1)=2} L_x \otimes n$$

with constant norm  $\exp(-(X)=8)$  on  $X$ . Here  $(L)$  is the determinant of cohomology of  $L$  with its Faltings metric (see [7], Theorem 1),  $n = \deg(L) - g + 1$  and  $D$  is the divisor of any non-trivial meromorphic section of  $L$ . Our isomorphism can be obtained by taking  $L = O_X(Q)$  with its standard admissible metric given by the Arakelov-Green function. Then  $n = -g$  and  $(L) = {}^g H^1(X; O_X(Q))^\vee = {}^g H^0(X; \frac{1}{X}(Q)) = {}^g H^0(X; \frac{1}{X})$  as metrised vector spaces.

## 6. The invariant $T(X)$

In this section we introduce our second invariant. Also, we present our expression for the delta-invariant. Once again we consider the formula in Proposition 5.1, but now we let both  $P$  and  $Q$  vary. Let  $\pi: X \rightarrow \text{Pic}_{g-1}(X)$  be the map given by  $(P; Q) \mapsto [gP - Q]$ . We can then interpret Proposition 5.1 as saying that there is a canonical isomorphism

$$p_1^* {}^g H^0(X; \frac{1}{X}) \otimes_{O_X} O(\cdot) \rightarrow p_1^* \sum_{x \in X} {}^{g(g+1)=2} O_{X \times X}(x)^g$$

given by

$$1 \wedge \dots \wedge g \quad (1) \mapsto \frac{1 \wedge \dots \wedge g}{1 \wedge \dots \wedge g} \sim 1_x;$$

and that it has constant norm equal to  $\exp(-(X)=8)$  on  $X \times X$ . Here  $p_1: X \times X \rightarrow X$  denotes projection on the first factor. Restricting to the diagonal we obtain a canonical isomorphism

$${}^g H^0(X; \frac{1}{X}) \otimes_{O_X} O(\cdot) \rightarrow \sum_{x \in X} {}^{g(g+1)=2} O_x$$

of line bundles on  $X$  given by

$$\omega_X^{\otimes g} \cong \mathcal{O}_X(-g) \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(g) \cong \mathcal{O}_X \otimes \mathcal{O}_X \cong \mathcal{O}_X$$

and having constant norm  $\exp(-g)$ . Here  $z$  is any local coordinate. Denote by  $\|k\|$  the norm of

$$\omega_X^{\otimes g} \cong \mathcal{O}_X(-g) \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(g) \cong \mathcal{O}_X \otimes \mathcal{O}_X \cong \mathcal{O}_X$$

Then clearly we have

Proposition 6.1. For any  $P \in X$ ,

$$\exp(-g) \|k\| = \|k\|_{\mathcal{O}_X}^2(P)$$

Hence, the prescription  $\|k\|_{\mathcal{O}_X}(P) = \|k\|_{\mathcal{O}_X}^2(P)$  defines an admissible metric on  $\mathcal{O}_X(-g)$ .

It follows from Corollary 5.9 that

$$\|k\|_{\mathcal{O}_X(-g)}^2(P) = \sum_{W \in \mathcal{W}} \|k\|_{\mathcal{O}_X(-g)}^2(P|_W)$$

defines an admissible metric on the line bundle  $\mathcal{O}_X(-g)$ . As one can see from Proposition 6.1, a second such metric can be obtained by putting

$$\|k\|_{\mathcal{O}_X(-g)}^{\infty}(P) = \frac{\|k\|_{\mathcal{O}_X(-g)}^{g+1}(P)}{\|k\|_{\mathcal{O}_X}^2(P)}$$

for  $P$  not a Weierstrass point. We combine these two metrics to build our second invariant:

Definition 6.2. We define  $T(X)$  to be the quotient of the two above norms:

$$T(X) = \frac{\|k\|_{\mathcal{O}_X}^2}{\|k\|_{\mathcal{O}_X}^{\infty}} = \frac{\sum_{W \in \mathcal{W}} \|k\|_{\mathcal{O}_X(-g)}^2(P|_W)}{\|k\|_{\mathcal{O}_X(-g)}^{g+1}(P) \|k\|_{\mathcal{O}_X}^2(P)}$$

for any  $P \in X$  which is not a Weierstrass point.

We claim that also the invariant  $T(X)$  can be efficiently computed. First of all, it is clear from the definition that we can efficiently calculate  $\|k\|_{\mathcal{O}_X}^2(P)$ . As regards the function  $\|k\|_{\mathcal{O}_X}^{\infty}(P)$ , assume that  $P$  is not a Weierstrass point, and let  $z$  be a local coordinate about  $P$ . Let

$$\|k\|_z(P) = \lim_{Q \rightarrow P} \frac{\|k\|_{\mathcal{O}_X(-g)}^2(P|_Q)}{\|z\|_{\mathcal{O}_X}^2(Q)}$$

and write  $\|z\|_{\mathcal{O}_X}^2(P) = \int_P \omega_X^{\otimes g}$  as in Section 4. Then  $\|k\|_{\mathcal{O}_X}^2(P) = \|k\|_z(P) \int_P \omega_X^{\otimes g}$  and  $\|k\|_{\mathcal{O}_X}^{\infty}(P) = \int_P \omega_X^{\otimes g} \int_P \omega_X^{\otimes g} \int_P \omega_X^{\otimes g}$ . Consequently

$$\|k\|_{\mathcal{O}_X(-g)}^{\infty}(P) = \frac{\|k\|_{\mathcal{O}_X(-g)}^{g+1}(P)}{\|k\|_{\mathcal{O}_X}^2(P)} = \frac{\|k\|_z(P)^{g+1}}{\int_P \omega_X^{\otimes g}}$$

and this can be efficiently computed locally as an easy function of the theta-function and its derivatives. Below we will prove an expression for  $T(X)$  which is even easier to calculate.

All the ingredients of  $T(X)$  already occur in the classical theory of theta-functions on a Riemann surface. We therefore expect  $T(X)$  to be related to classical functions such as the Siegel modular forms. As we will show, this is true when the genus is one or two. We will shortly come back to this at the end of Section 8.

Next we show how  $T(X)$  can be expressed in terms of  $S(X)$  and  $T(X)$ .



Theorem 6.3. Faltings' delta-invariant satisfies the formula

$$\exp(\delta(X)) = S(X)^{(g-1)=g^2} T(X) :$$

Proof. From Corollary 5.9 we obtain

$$\prod_{W \in \mathcal{W}} k\#k(gP_k - W)^{(g-1)=g^3} = \exp((g-1)\delta(X)) S(X)^{(g-1)=g^2} k\#k_{A_r}^{g-1}(P) :$$

for any  $P \in X$ . From Proposition 6.1 we obtain

$$k\#k_{A_r}^{g-1}(P) = \exp((g+1)\delta(X)) \frac{kF k^{g+1}(P)}{k\#k_{A_r}^2(P)} :$$

Plugging this expression for  $k\#k_{A_r}^{g-1}(P)$  in the first formula, we obtain the theorem.

We have split up the invariant  $\delta(X)$  in an easy, classical part coming from  $T(X)$  and a less easy, but still quite explicit part coming from  $S(X)$ . This gives us a better understanding of the structure of  $\delta(X)$ . Also, we can now begin a numerical study of the behavior of  $\delta(X)$ .

We want to conclude this section with an expression for  $T(X)$  in which only first order derivatives of  $\#$  occur. For a tuple of vectors  $(w_1; \dots; w_g)$  in  $C^g$  we define

$$J(w_1; \dots; w_g) = \det \frac{\partial \#}{\partial z_k} (w_l)_{1 \leq k, l \leq g} :$$

For a set of points  $P_1; \dots; P_g$  on  $X$  we put  $D_1 = \sum_{k=1}^g P_k$  for  $l = 1; \dots; g$ . We define the function

$$kJk(P_1; \dots; P_g) = (\det \text{Im})^{1=4} \exp\left(\sum_{l=1}^{X^g} t_{Y_l} (\text{Im})^{-1} Y_l\right) J(w; \dots; w_g) :$$

where  $[w_l] \in J(X)$  corresponds to  $D_1$  for each  $l$  and where  $y_l = \text{Im } w_l$ . This function has been introduced by Guardia (see [11]) as a generalisation of a definition due to Bost for genus two (see [3]). As is suggested by the notation, the function  $kJk$  does not depend on the choice of canonical basis  $B$  or on the choice of the  $w_l \in C^g$  to represent the classes in  $\text{Pic}_{g-1}(X)$  corresponding to the  $D_1$ . Guardia has proved the following interesting counterpart of Faltings' fundamental formula: for generic  $P_1; \dots; P_g; Q \in X$  one has

$$k\#k(P_1 + \sum_{j=1}^g P_j Q)^{g-1} = \exp(\delta(X)=8) kJk(P; \dots; P_g) \frac{\prod_{k=1}^g G(P_k; Q)^{g-1}}{\prod_{k=1}^g G(P_k; P_1)} :$$

With this we can prove:

Proposition 6.4. For generic points  $P_1; \dots; P_g; Q \in X$  one has

$$T(X) = \frac{k\#k(P_1 + \sum_{j=1}^g P_j Q)^{2g-2}}{\prod_{k=1}^g k\#k(gP_k - Q)^{1=g}} \frac{\prod_{k=1}^g k\#k(gP_k - P_1)^{1=g}}{kJk(P_1; \dots; P_g)^2} \prod_{W \in \mathcal{W}} k\#k(gP_k - W)^{(g-1)=g^4} :$$

Proof. Using Theorem 6.3 and the expression in Theorem 5.5 for  $G$  one finds from Guardia's formula that

$$k\#k(P_1 + \sum_{j=1}^g P_j Q)^{g-1} = T(X)^{1=2} kJk(P; \dots; P_g) \frac{\prod_{k=1}^g k\#k(gP_k - Q)^{(g-1)=g}}{\prod_{W \in \mathcal{W}} k\#k(gP_k - W)^{(g-1)=g^4}} \frac{\prod_{W \in \mathcal{W}} k\#k(gP_k - W)^{1=2g^4}}{\prod_{k=1}^g k\#k(gP_k - P_1)^{1=2g}} :$$

After some rearrangements this gives the result.

## 7. Elliptic curves

In this section we give the invariants  $S$  and  $T$  for an elliptic curve  $X$ . Let  $\tau \in \mathbb{C}$  with  $\text{Im} \tau > 0$ . We have the functions

$$f(\tau) = \exp(2\pi i \tau) \prod_{k=1}^{\infty} (1 - \exp(2\pi i k \tau))$$

and  $\Delta(\tau) = f(\tau)^{24}$ , the unique normalised cusp form of weight 12 on  $SL(2, \mathbb{Z})$ . The functions  $k(\tau) = (\text{Im} \tau)^{-4} |f(\tau)|^4$  and  $k'(\tau) = (\text{Im} \tau)^{-6} |f(\tau)|^6$  are  $SL(2, \mathbb{Z})$ -invariant and define invariants  $k(X)$  and  $k'(X)$  of  $X$ , if  $\tau$  is any period of  $X$ .

We start with  $S(X)$ . By Corollary 5.3 we have

$$\log S(X) = \int_X \log k' k \, dz$$

The value of the integral is well-known; for a calculation see for example [16], p. 45 or [14], p. 250. The result is that

$$\log S(X) = -\log k' k(X) :$$

For a short Arakelov-theoretical proof of this formula we refer to [13].

Next we compute  $T(X)$ . We use the euclidean coordinate  $z$  as a local coordinate on  $X = \mathbb{C}/\Lambda$ , giving  $\omega = dz/\text{Im} \tau$  as an orthonormal basis of  $H^0(X; \frac{1}{2})$ . Choose a point  $P \in X$ . An explicit calculation yields

$$\begin{aligned} k_1 k''(P) &= \frac{k F_z k^2(P)}{W_z(\omega)(P)^2} = \frac{(\text{Im} \tau)^{1/2} \exp(-\text{Im} \tau) \frac{\partial \Delta}{\partial \tau} \frac{1+\tau}{2}; \tau}{(\text{Im} \tau)^{1/2}} \\ &= (\text{Im} \tau)^{3/2} \exp(-\text{Im} \tau) \frac{\partial \Delta}{\partial \tau} \frac{1+\tau}{2}; \tau : \end{aligned}$$

Note that this is independent of  $P$ . From Definition 6.2 we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} T(X) &= k_1 k''(P)^{-1} = (\text{Im} \tau)^{-3/2} \exp(\text{Im} \tau) \frac{\partial \Delta}{\partial \tau} \frac{1+\tau}{2}; \tau^2 \\ &= (2\pi)^{-2} k' k(X)^{-1/4} : \end{aligned}$$

The latter equality holds by the well-known formula

$$\exp(-\text{Im} \tau) \frac{\partial \Delta}{\partial \tau} \frac{1+\tau}{2}; \tau^2 = (2\pi)^8 \Delta(\tau) :$$

According to Theorem 6.3 we have  $\chi(X) = 4 \log T(X)$  so

$$\chi(X) = -\log k' k(X) - 8 \log(2\pi) :$$

This coincides with the formula in [7], p. 417.

## 8. Riemann surfaces of genus two

In this section we compute the invariants  $S$  and  $T$  for a Riemann surface  $X$  of genus two. More precisely, we express these invariants in terms of the more familiar invariants  $k_2k(X)$  and  $kHk(X)$ , which we will now briefly recall. The fundamental references for Arakelov theory in the genus two case are [3] and [4].

Let  $\gamma$  be a matrix in the Siegel upper half-space  $H_2$  of dimension two. We use the classical terminology of odd and even theta-characteristics and theta-functions: a theta-characteristic  $\theta$  in  $1/2\mathbb{Z} \times 1/2\mathbb{Z}$  is called even if the corresponding theta-function  $\theta$  is even, and it is called odd if the corresponding theta-function  $\theta$  is odd. For even or odd  $\theta$ , the function  $\theta$  is independent of the choice of a representative, modulo  $\mathbb{Z}^2 \times \mathbb{Z}^2$ , for  $\gamma$ , except possibly for a sign. We have ten classes of even theta-characteristics, and six classes of odd theta-characteristics. We define

$$k_2(\gamma) = 2^{-12} \prod_{\theta \text{ even}} \theta(0; \gamma)^2;$$

where the product runs over a complete set of representatives of the even characteristics. The function  $k_2(\gamma)$  is a modular form of weight ten on  $Sp(4, \mathbb{Z})$ . Consequently, the function  $k_2k(\gamma) = (\det \operatorname{Im} \gamma)^{-5} j_2(\gamma) k_2(\gamma)$  is  $Sp(4, \mathbb{Z})$ -invariant. Also,  $k_2$  is non-vanishing on the set of matrices in  $H_2$  which are not in the  $Sp(4, \mathbb{Z})$ -orbit of a diagonal matrix. Hence, if  $\gamma$  is a period matrix associated to a Riemann surface  $X$  of genus two, then  $k_2k(\gamma)$  is non-zero and depends only on  $X$ . We denote the resulting invariant by  $k_2k(X)$ . Finally, the invariant  $kHk(X)$  is defined by

$$\log kHk(X) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\theta \in \text{Pic}_1(X)} \log k\theta k^2;$$

From now on we fix a Riemann surface  $X$  of genus two. Our purpose is to prove

Theorem 8.1. The invariants  $S(X)$  and  $T(X)$  satisfy

$$\log S(X) = \frac{1}{4} \log k_2k(X) + 4 \log kHk(X)$$

and

$$T(X) = (2)^{-4} k_2k(X)^{5/16};$$

Using Theorem 6.3 we get back the formula

$$S(X) = 16 \log(2) - \log k_2k(X) - 4 \log kHk(X)$$

obtained by Bost (see [3], Proposition 4). We present independent computations of both  $S$  and  $T$ , in view of possible generalisations to higher genera.

Let  $\gamma$  be a matrix in the Siegel upper half-space  $H_2$  associated to  $X$ . For two odd theta-characteristics  $\theta; \theta^0$  we put

$$J(\gamma; \theta^0) = \det \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\theta}{\theta_{z_1}}(\theta; \gamma) & \frac{\theta}{\theta_{z_1}}(\theta^0; \gamma) \\ \frac{\theta}{\theta_{z_2}}(\theta; \gamma) & \frac{\theta}{\theta_{z_2}}(\theta^0; \gamma) \end{pmatrix} :$$

Note the resemblance with our earlier definition of  $J$  in Section 4. If  $\theta; \theta^0$  are distinct we have the classical Rosenhain identities [18]

$$J(\gamma; \theta^0) = 2^{-2} \prod_{\theta \in \text{Pic}_{0+}^\infty} \theta(\theta^0; \gamma);$$

where  $\omega$  runs through the odd theta-characteristics different from  $\omega_0$ . As a consequence we have

$$\prod_{\omega \in \mathcal{W}} J(\omega; \omega_0) = 2^{12-10} \cdot 2(\omega_0)$$

for any fixed odd theta-characteristic  $\omega_0$ . Here the product runs over the odd theta-characteristics different from  $\omega_0$ . In particular, the functions  $J(\omega; \omega_0)$  are non-zero.

By Riemann's fundamental theorem there is a one-to-one correspondence between the Weierstrass points of  $X$  and the classes modulo  $\mathbb{Z}^2 - \mathbb{Z}^2$  of the odd theta-characteristics. This correspondence yields the following 'absolute' versions of the Rosenhain identities:

$$kJk(W; W_0) = 2 \prod_{W_0 \in \mathcal{W}} k\#k(W - W_0 + W_\infty)$$

for distinct  $W, W_0 \in \mathcal{W}$  and

$$\prod_{W_0 \in \mathcal{W}} kJk(W; W_0) = 2^{12-10} \cdot k_2k(X)$$

for any fixed  $W \in \mathcal{W}$ . We need a little technical lemma on the function  $kJk$ , the proof of which is an easy calculus exercise left to the reader.

**Lemma 8.2.** Fix three distinct Weierstrass points  $W, W_0, W_\infty$  on  $X$ . Then

$$\lim_{P \rightarrow W} \frac{k\#k(P - W + W_\infty)}{k\#k(2(P) - W_\infty)} = \frac{kJk(W; W_0)}{2 \cdot kJk(W; W_\infty)};$$

where  $\sigma$  is the hyperelliptic involution of  $X$ .

We can now give a proof of our formula for  $S(X)$ . Let  $R, R_0$  be two points on  $X$  and let  $W_\infty$  be a Weierstrass point of  $X$ . We apply Green's formula (see [2], p. 1171) to the functions  $f_1(P) = k\#k(R - R_0 + P) = (k\#k_{R - R_0})(P)$  and  $f_2(P) = k\#k(2P - W_\infty) = (k\#k_{W_\infty})(P)$ . The divisor of  $f_1$  on  $X$  is  $R_0 + \sigma(R)$ , and the divisor of  $f_2$  on  $X$  is  $W + 2W_\infty$ . Also  $\frac{1}{2-1} \partial \bar{\partial} \log f_1 = 2$  and  $\frac{1}{2-1} \partial \bar{\partial} \log f_2 = 8$  wherever the partial derivatives are defined. Green's formula gives

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_X 8 \log k\#k(R - R_0 + P) \cdot (P) + 2 \int_X \log k\#k(2P - W_\infty) \cdot (P) \\ &= \log k\#k(2R_0 - W_\infty) + \log k\#k(2(R) - W_\infty) + \int_{W - 2W}^X \log k\#k(R - R_0 + W) \\ & \quad 2 \log k\#k(R - R_0 + W_\infty); \end{aligned}$$

that is to say

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_X \log k\#k_{R - R_0} + 2 \log S(X) \\ &= \log k\#k(2R_0 - W_\infty) - \log k\#k(2(R) - W_\infty) + \int_{W - 2W}^X \log k\#k(R - R_0 + W) \\ & \quad + 2 \log k\#k(R - R_0 + W_\infty); \end{aligned}$$

Here we have used that  $\sigma$  can be identified with  $X$  and that  $\sigma$  restricts to 2 on  $\mathcal{W}$ . Now fix two distinct Weierstrass points  $W, W_0$ . Summing the above equation over the

Weierstrass points  $W^\infty \in W; W^0$  we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} 16 \sum_{R \in R^0} \log k \# k + 8 \log S(X) \\ = \sum_{W^\infty \in W; W^0} \log k \# k (2R^0 - W^\infty) + \sum_{W^\infty \in W; W^0} \log k \# k (2(R) - W^\infty) \\ + 4 \sum_{W \in 2W} \log k \# k (R - R^0 + W) + 2 \sum_{W^\infty \in W; W^0} \log k \# k (R - R^0 + W^\infty) : \end{aligned}$$

Next we let  $R = W; R^0 = W^0$ . We obtain from Lemma 8.2 and the absolute versions of the Rosenhain identities

$$\begin{aligned} 16 \sum_{W \in W^0} \log k \# k + 8 \log S(X) \\ = \sum_{W^\infty \in W; W^0} \log \frac{kJk(W; W^0)^2}{4kJk(W; W^0)kJk(W^0; W^0)} + 6 \sum_{W^\infty \in W; W^0} \log k \# k (W - W^0 + W^\infty) \\ = 16 \sum_{W^\infty \in W; W^0} \log k \# k (W - W^0 + W^\infty) - 32 \log 2 - 2 \log k_{-2}k(X) : \end{aligned}$$

Since according to [4], Proposition 14,

$$\sum_{W^\infty \in W; W^0} \log k \# k (W - W^0 + W^\infty) + \sum_{W \in W^0} \log k \# k = 2 \log 2 + 2 \log kHk(X)$$

we obtain

$$\log S(X) = \frac{1}{4} \log k_{-2}k(X) + 4 \log kHk(X)$$

which is the first part of our theorem.

We turn now to  $T(X)$ . In the case at hand,  $T(X)$  is given by

$$T(X) = \frac{\sum_{W \in 2W} k \# k (2P - W)^{1-8}}{kF_2k^3(P) = \sum_{z \in X} (P)_z^2}$$

for any  $P \in X$  which is not a Weierstrass point and any local coordinate  $z$  about  $P$ . In order to compute  $T(X)$ , the idea will be to let  $P$  approach a special Weierstrass point  $W$ . Both numerator and denominator of the above expression will then vanish (in fact, with multiplicity three), and we obtain  $T(X)$  as the quotient of the leading coefficients of their Taylor series expansions.

We will use the shorthand notation  $(d^0 \geq n)$  to refer to a power series all of whose terms have total degree greater than or equal to  $n$ . Let  $\alpha$  be a matrix in  $H_2$  corresponding to a canonical basis  $B$  of  $H_1(X; \mathbb{Z})$ , and let  $f_k g$  be the dual basis of holomorphic one-forms. We start with

Proposition 8.3. There exist an odd theta-characteristic  $\alpha$  and a change of variables  $(w_1; w_2) = (z_1; z_2) A$  with  $A$  invertible such that the Taylor series expansion in the variables  $w_1; w_2$  of  $\#(z; \alpha)$  about  $z = 0$  is given by

$$\#(z; \alpha) = c - w_1 - \frac{1}{12} w_2^3 + c_1 w_2^2 w_1 + c_2 w_2 w_1^2 + c_3 w_1^3 + (d^0 \geq 5)$$

for some  $c_1; c_2; c_3 \in \mathbb{C}$ . The coefficient  $c = \frac{\partial \#(z; \alpha)}{\partial w_1} \Big|_{z=0}$  is non-zero.

Proof. This is an easy consequence of formula (1.6) in [9].

Using a suitable translation of  $\phi_0$ , we can identify  $X$  with the zero-locus of  $\#$  on  $J(X)$ , where  $\#$  is the odd theta-characteristic from Proposition 8.3. The point  $W$  will be the Weierstrass point corresponding to the origin of  $J(X)$  on the zero-locus of  $\#$ .

With the Implicit Function Theorem we can derive from Proposition 8.3

Proposition 8.4. The coordinate  $w_2$  is a local coordinate on  $X$  about  $W$  and we have a power series development

$$w_1 = \frac{1}{12}w_2^3 + (d^0 - 5)$$

in the variable  $w_2$ .

Let  $p: C^2 \rightarrow J(X)$  be the canonical projection map. From Propositions 8.3 and 8.4 we can easily derive the following lemma, whose proof is again left to the reader:

Lemma 8.5. Let  $\epsilon$  be an odd theta-characteristic. On  $p^{-1}(X)$ , the Taylor series expansion in  $w_2$  of  $\#(2z; \epsilon)$  about  $z = 0 \in C^2$  is given by

$$\#(2z; \epsilon) = \frac{2}{c} J(\epsilon; \epsilon) (\det A)^{-1} w_2 + (d^0 - 3):$$

Furthermore, on  $p^{-1}(X)$ , the Taylor series expansion of  $\#(2z; \epsilon)$  about  $z = 0$  is given by

$$\#(2z; \epsilon) = \frac{c}{2} w_2^3 + (d^0 - 5)$$

in the variable  $w_2$ .

The value of  $c$  has been computed by Grant (cf. [9], Theorem 2.11):

Lemma 8.6. The constant  $c$  satisfies the formula  $c^8 = 2^{16} \cdot 12 (\det A)^6 \cdot 2(\epsilon)$ :

We will now compute the leading coefficients of the Taylor expansions of the various terms appearing in our formula for  $T(X)$ . First of all, it follows from Lemma 8.5 that  $\lim_{P \rightarrow W} k \# k(2P - W) = j_{W_2}(P) j = (2-j) (j J(\epsilon; \epsilon) - j \det A) (\det \text{Im}^{-1})^4$  for Weierstrass points  $W \in W$ . Here  $\epsilon$  is the odd theta-characteristic corresponding to  $W$ . Also,  $\lim_{P \rightarrow W} k \# k(2P - W) = j_{W_2}(P) j^3 = (j-2) (\det \text{Im}^{-1})^4 = \lim_{P \rightarrow W} k F_{W_2} k(P) = j_{W_2}(P) j$ . Finally, by Proposition 8.4 we have for the basis  $f_k g = f_k g^{-tA}$  that

$$W_{W_2}(\epsilon) = \det \begin{pmatrix} \frac{dw_1}{dw_2} & 1 \\ \frac{d^2 w_1}{dw_2^2} & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \frac{d^2 w_1}{dw_2^2} = \frac{1}{2} w_2 + (d^0 - 3)$$

about  $W$  so that  $\lim_{P \rightarrow W} j_{W_2}(\epsilon)(P) j^2 = j_{W_2}(P) j^2 = (1-4) (\det \text{Im})^{-1} j \det A j^2$ . Combining everything we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} T(X) &= \lim_{P \rightarrow W} \frac{Q}{k F_{W_2} k^3(P) = j_{W_2}(\epsilon)(P) j^2} \frac{k \# k(2P - W)^{1=8}}{k F_{W_2} k^3(P) = j_{W_2}(\epsilon)(P) j^2} \\ &= \frac{(\det \text{Im})^{3=2} \cdot \frac{2}{j}^4 \cdot Q \cdot \epsilon \cdot j J(\epsilon; \epsilon) j \cdot j \det A j^5}{(\det \text{Im})^{3=4} \cdot \frac{j j}{2}^3} = \frac{1}{4} (\det \text{Im})^{-1} j \det A j^2 \\ &= (2)^{-4} k_2 k(X)^{5=16}; \end{aligned}$$

where the latter equality follows from the Rosenhain identities and Lemma 8.6. By now we have completed the proof of Theorem 8.1.

It would be very interesting to obtain formulas for  $S(X)$  and  $T(X)$  for Riemann surfaces of higher genera. At present we know no such formulas. However, we expect that as in the

genus one and genus two cases, the invariant  $T(X)$  is given by a classical Siegel modular form. In any case, the definition implies that if  $\omega$  is a period matrix associated to a Riemann surface  $X$  of genus  $g$ ,

$$T(X) = (\det \operatorname{Im} \omega)^{-k} \int_{\Gamma} \text{non-vanishing holomorphic function } f \text{ of } j$$

with  $k = (6g^2 + g - 1)/4g^2$ : This means that at least for  $j$  in the Schottky locus of jacobians of Riemann surfaces, the holomorphic function  $f$  has the right transformation properties. On the other hand, we know that for  $g > 3$  the Schottky locus in  $A_g = \operatorname{Sp}(2g; \mathbb{Z}) \backslash \mathbb{H}_g$  has a smaller dimension than  $A_g$  itself.

### 9. A asymptotic behavior

In this final section we want to present the asymptotic behavior of the invariants  $S(X)$  and  $T(X)$  for a holomorphic family of Riemann surfaces degenerating to a singular surface with a single node. We distinguish two cases: (I) the singular surface is the union of two Riemann surfaces meeting at a single point — we call this the ‘separating’ case; (II) the singular surface has a single node and remains connected if we remove the node — we call this the ‘non-separating’ case. For two functions  $f$  and  $g$  on a punctured disk about 0 in  $\mathbb{C}$ , we write  $f \sim g$  as  $t \rightarrow 0$  to mean that  $f(t) \sim g(t)$  remains bounded as  $t \rightarrow 0$ . The asymptotics of the delta-invariant have been considered before by (among others) Jorgenson and Wentworth (see [14], [19]):

**Proposition 9.1.** Let  $X_t$  be a holomorphic family of Riemann surfaces of genus  $g$  over the punctured disk, degenerating as  $t \rightarrow 0$  to a union of two Riemann surfaces of positive genera  $g_1, g_2$  meeting at a single point. Then

$$(X_t) \sim \frac{4g_1 g_2}{g} \log |j| \text{ as } t \rightarrow 0:$$

Let  $X_t$  be a holomorphic family of Riemann surfaces of genus  $g$  over the punctured disk, degenerating as  $t \rightarrow 0$  to a surface with a single node which remains connected if we remove the node. Then

$$(X_t) \sim \frac{4g-1}{3g} \log |j| - 6 \log \log \left( \frac{1}{|j|} \right) \text{ as } t \rightarrow 0:$$

Our result is

**Theorem 9.2.** Let  $X_t$  be a holomorphic family of Riemann surfaces of genus  $g$  over the punctured disk, degenerating as  $t \rightarrow 0$  to a union of two Riemann surfaces of positive genera  $g_1, g_2$  meeting at a single point. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \log S(X_t) &\sim \frac{g_1 g_2}{g} \log |j| \\ \log T(X_t) &\sim \frac{g_1 g_2 (g^2 + g - 1)}{g^3} \log |j| \end{aligned}$$

as  $t \rightarrow 0$ . Let  $X_t$  be a holomorphic family of Riemann surfaces of genus  $g$  over the punctured disk, degenerating as  $t \rightarrow 0$  to a surface with a single node which remains connected if we remove the node. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \log S(X_t) &\sim \frac{3g-2}{24g} \log |j| - \frac{1}{4} \log \log \left( \frac{1}{|j|} \right) \\ \log T(X_t) &\sim \frac{(8g^2 - 7g + 2)(g+1)}{24g^3} \log |j| - \frac{6g^2 + g - 1}{4g^2} \log \log \left( \frac{1}{|j|} \right) \end{aligned}$$

as  $t \rightarrow 0$ .

Before proving this theorem, we describe what we mean by a degenerating holomorphic family. The following construction is from [8], Chapter 3. As to the separating case, we fix two compact and connected Riemann surfaces  $X_1$  and  $X_2$  of positive genera  $g_1, g_2$ , respectively. Further we fix disjoint coordinate neighbourhoods  $U_k$  about  $P_k$  and local coordinates  $z_k : U_k \rightarrow \mathbb{D}$ , where  $\mathbb{D}$  is the unit disk. We let

$$W_k^t = \{x_k \in X_k \mid x_k \notin U_k \text{ or } |z_k(x_k)| > t\}$$

for  $t \in \mathbb{D}$  and

$$C_t = \{f(X, Y) \in \mathbb{D} \mid Y = tg\}$$

We can build from these data a family of Riemann surfaces  $X_t$  of genus  $g = g_1 + g_2$  by putting

$$X_t = W_1^t \cup C_t \cup W_2^t$$

and identifying  $x_k \in W_k^t \setminus U_k$  with  $(z_k(x_k), t = z_k(x_k)) \in C_t$ . For  $t = 0$ , we obtain a singular surface  $X_0$  which is just  $X_1 \cup X_2$  with the points  $P_1, P_2$  identified.

As to the non-separating case, we fix a compact and connected Riemann surface  $X$  of genus  $g \geq 1$ , together with two distinct points  $P_1$  and  $P_2$ . As before, we fix disjoint coordinate neighbourhoods  $U_k$  about  $P_k$  and local coordinates  $z_k : U_k \rightarrow \mathbb{D}$ . We put

$$W_t = \{P \in X \mid P \notin U_1 \cup U_2 \text{ or } |z_k(P)| > t\}$$

for  $t \in \mathbb{D}$ . We build from these data a family of Riemann surfaces  $X_t$  of genus  $g$  by putting

$$X_t = W_t \cup C_t$$

with identifications for the  $P \in W_t \setminus U_1$  and  $P \in W_t \setminus U_2$  as before. For  $t = 0$ , we obtain a singular surface  $X_0$  with a node which remains connected if we remove the node.

We can now prove Theorem 9.2. We will follow the discussion in [14] to a large extent.

**Proof of Theorem 9.2.** By Theorem 6.3 and Proposition 9.1, it suffices to consider the asymptotic behavior only of  $\log S(X)$ . We use the formula from Corollary 5.4 saying that  $\log S(X) = \int_X \log k \# k(g_P - Q) \cdot (P)$  for any  $Q \in X$ . Consider first the separating case. In [8], pp. 36{37 it is shown how one can construct a canonical basis of  $H_1(X_t; \mathbb{Z})$  as  $t \rightarrow 0$ ; we will assume that the  $X_t$  are marked with this canonical basis from now on. Thus we have a family of dual bases  $f_q$  and a family of period matrices  $\tau_t$ . The limit of the  $\tau_t$  is finite and positive definite as  $t \rightarrow 0$ . It is therefore clear that the term  $\log(\det \text{Im } \tau_t)$  remains bounded as  $t \rightarrow 0$ . Next, according to [14], formula (3.10) we have  $\tau_t(P) \sim (g_1 = g) \cdot \tau_1(P)$  as  $t \rightarrow 0$  for  $P \in X_1 \setminus P_1$  and  $\tau_t(P) \sim (g_2 = g) \cdot \tau_2(P)$  as  $t \rightarrow 0$  for  $P \in X_2 \setminus P_2$ . Also by [14], formula (3.11) we have  $\log k \# k(g_P - Q) \sim g_2 \log |t|$  as  $t \rightarrow 0$  for  $P, Q \in X_1 \setminus P_1$  and  $\log k \# k(g_P - Q) \sim g_1 \log |t|$  as  $t \rightarrow 0$  for  $P, Q \in X_2 \setminus P_2$  and  $\log k \# k(g_P - Q) \sim 0$  as  $t \rightarrow 0$  otherwise. From this we immediately derive that  $c_t \sim 0$  as  $t \rightarrow 0$  and that

$$\log S(X_t) \sim \frac{g_1 g_2}{g} \log |t| \text{ as } t \rightarrow 0.$$

Next we consider the non-separating case. In [8], pp. 50{51 it is shown how one can construct a canonical basis of  $H_1(X_t; \mathbb{Z})$  as  $t \rightarrow 0$ ; again we assume that the  $X_t$  are marked with this canonical basis. We fix a point  $Q$  in  $X \setminus U_1 \cup U_2$ . We then break up the integral defining  $\log S(X)$  in an integral over  $X \setminus U_1 \cup U_2$  and an integral over  $C_t$ . We start with the integral over  $C_t$ . We want to have suitable complex representatives of the classes of the divisors  $g_P - Q$ , as  $P$  runs through  $C_t$ . By Riemann's theorem, we can identify the class of  $g_P - Q$  with the class of  $z_Q(P) = \frac{R_P}{R_Q}$  in  $J(X)$ , where  $(P)_k = (1 + k_k) = 2 \sum_{k=1}^g (a_k - 1) P_k$  for  $k = 1, \dots, g$  (see [8], p. 8). We perform



the integrals in such a way that  $z_Q(P)$  always runs through the fundamental domain  $F_t$  parametrised as  $z = \frac{1}{2} + i\frac{1}{2}$  with  $k \in [\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}]$  and  $k \in [0, 1]$  for each  $k$ . If we do this, then as in the proof of Lemma 4.6 in [14], the integral  $\int_{C_t} \log \#(z_Q(P); t) j_t(P)$  is bounded as  $t \rightarrow 0$ . Let  $y_Q(P) = \text{Im}(z_Q(P))$ . We study next the behavior of the integral  $\int_{C_t} y_Q(P) (\text{Im } y_g)^{-1} j_t(P)$ . We have by [14], Lemma 4.5 that  $(y_Q(P))_k$  remains bounded for  $k = 1, \dots, g-1$ . Also, by [14], Corollary 4.2 we have that  $(\text{Im } y_g)_k$  is bounded for  $(k, l) \in (g, g)$ . Thus the above integral equals  $\int_{C_t} (y_Q(P))_g^2 (\text{Im } y_g)^{-1} j_t(P)$  up to a bounded function as  $t \rightarrow 0$ . From Fay's description of the canonical basis on  $X_t$  it follows that we can parametrise  $C_t$  as a cylinder  $C_t = S^1 \times [0, 1]$  with  $S^1$  corresponding to the loop  $a_g$ , and the interval  $[0, 1]$  corresponding to the loop  $b_g$ . It follows that for any  $z \in a_g$ , modulo a bounded function,  $z(P) = \text{Im}(z_g)$  runs through the interval  $[0, (\text{Im } y_g)]$  as  $P$  runs through  $C_t$ . Since  $Q \in X \setminus U_1 \cup U_2$  is fixed, the same holds for  $\text{Im}(z_Q)$ . We obtain, using the earlier mentioned formula for  $j_P$ , that  $y_Q(P)_g = \frac{1}{2} (\text{Im } y_g)^{-2} z(P)_g$  for  $z(P)_g \in [0, \frac{1}{2}]$  and  $y_Q(P)_g = \frac{3}{2} (\text{Im } y_g)^{-2} z(P)_g$  for  $z(P)_g \in [\frac{1}{2}, 1]$   $(\text{Im } y_g)$ . Letting the variable describe the loop  $b_g$ , and letting describe the loop  $a_g$ , we have by [14], formula (4.13) and Corollary 4.2 that  $j_t(P) = \frac{1}{g} d$  as  $t \rightarrow 0$  and  $(\text{Im } y_g) = \frac{1}{2} \log t$  as  $t \rightarrow 0$ . Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{C_t} (y_Q(P))_g^2 (\text{Im } y_g)^{-1} j_t(P) &= \int_{S^1} \left( \int_0^1 \left( \frac{1}{2} \right)^2 d + \int_1^1 \left( \frac{3}{2} \right)^2 d \right) (\text{Im } y_g) d \\ &= \frac{1}{g} \frac{1}{12} \frac{1}{2} \log t \\ &= \frac{1}{24g} \log t \end{aligned}$$

as  $t \rightarrow 0$ . Furthermore, by our description of the asymptotic behavior of the components of  $j_t$  we have  $\log(\det \text{Im } j_t) = \log \log(1/t) = \log t$  as  $t \rightarrow 0$ . As a result,

$$\int_{C_t} \log k \# k(gP - Q) j_t(P) = \frac{1}{24g} \log t + \frac{1}{4g} \log \log \left( \frac{1}{t} \right) \text{ as } t \rightarrow 0:$$

Finally consider the integral over  $X \setminus U_1 \cup U_2$ . Again by [14], formula (4.13) we have  $j_t(P) = (g-1)j_X(P)$  as  $t \rightarrow 0$  for  $P \in X \setminus U_1 \cup U_2$ ; furthermore by [14], Lemma 4.6  $\log k \# k(gP - Q) = (1=8) \log t + (1=4) \log \log(1/t)$  as  $t \rightarrow 0$  for  $P, Q \in X \setminus U_1 \cup U_2$ . From this we get

$$\int_{X \setminus U_1 \cup U_2} \log k \# k(gP - Q) j_t(P) = \frac{g-1}{8g} \log t + \frac{g-1}{4g} \log \log \left( \frac{1}{t} \right) \text{ as } t \rightarrow 0:$$

To complete the proof, we merely add the two contributions.

Remark 9.3. To conclude this paper, we want to make the amusing observation that our asymptotic formulas give information about the degenerating behavior of the divisor of Weierstrass points in the family  $X_t$ . Again consider first the separating case. By [14], formula (3.12) we have that

$$\int_{X_t} \log k \# k(gP - Q) j_t(Q) = \frac{g_1 g_2}{g} \log t \text{ as } t \rightarrow 0$$

for any  $P$  on  $X_1 \cap \mathbb{P}_1 g$  or  $X_2 \cap \mathbb{P}_2 g$ . By Proposition 5.8

$$\sum_{W \supseteq W} \log k\#k(gP - W) = g \log S(X) + \sum_{X} \log k\#k(gP - Q) - (Q) :$$

Hence using Theorem 9.2 we find

$$\sum_{W \supseteq W_t} \log k\#k(gP - W) = (g^2 - 1)g_1 g_2 \log t + o(1)$$

for any  $P$  on  $X_1 \cap \mathbb{P}_1 g$  or  $X_2 \cap \mathbb{P}_2 g$ . This result is in line with a theorem of Eisenbud and Harris ([6], Theorem 3.1), stating that the limit Weierstrass divisor  $W_0$  on the limit surface  $X_0 = (X_1 \cup X_2) = (\mathbb{P}_1 \cup \mathbb{P}_2)$  of the family  $X_t$  is the union of a part  $W_1$  consisting of the ramification points outside  $P_1$  of the linear system  $|K_{X_1}((g_2 + 1) - E)|$  on  $X_1$ , and a part  $W_2$  consisting of the ramification points outside  $P_2$  of the linear system  $|K_{X_2}((g_1 + 1) - E)|$  on  $X_2$ . Here  $K_{X_1}$  and  $K_{X_2}$  denote canonical divisors on  $X_1$  and  $X_2$ , respectively. By the Plücker formulas,  $\deg(W_1) = g_1(g^2 - 1)$  and  $\deg(W_2) = g_2(g^2 - 1)$ . Noting once more that by [14], formula (3.11) we have  $\log k\#k(gP - Q) = g_2 \log t + o(1)$  for  $P, Q \in X_1 \cap \mathbb{P}_1 g$  and  $\log k\#k(gP - Q) = g_1 \log t + o(1)$  for  $P, Q \in X_2 \cap \mathbb{P}_2 g$  and  $\log k\#k(gP - Q) = o(1)$  otherwise, we obtain another derivation of our formula.

Consider next the non-separating case. The degenerating behavior of the Weierstrass divisor in the family  $X_t$  seems to be much less understood in this case. However, we can prove with our asymptotic formulas that, contrary to the separating case, a non-trivial part of the limit Weierstrass divisor is supported in the node of the limit surface  $X_0$ . Since by [14], Lemma 4.7

$$\sum_{X_t} \log k\#k(gP - Q) - (Q) = \frac{3g - 2}{24g} \log t + \frac{1}{4} \log \log \left( \frac{1}{t} \right) + o(1)$$

for  $P \in X \cap U_1 \cap U_2$ , Proposition 5.8 reveals in this case that

$$\sum_{W \supseteq W_t} \log k\#k(gP - W) = \frac{(3g - 2)(g^2 - 1)}{24} \log t + \frac{g^3 - g}{4} \log \log \left( \frac{1}{t} \right) + o(1)$$

as  $t \rightarrow 0$ . However, by [14], Lemma 4.6 we have  $\log k\#k(gP - Q) = (1+8) \log t + (1+4) \log \log(1/t) + o(1)$  for  $P, Q \in X \cap U_1 \cap U_2$ . This implies that for a family of divisors  $V_t$  of degree  $g^3 - g$  staying on  $X \cap U_1 \cap U_2$  we have

$$\sum_{V \supseteq V_t} \log k\#k(gP - V) = \frac{3g - 2}{24} \log t + \frac{g^3 - g}{4} \log \log \left( \frac{1}{t} \right) + o(1);$$

which differs from the asymptotics above using  $W$ . It must be that the node supports a non-trivial part of the limit Weierstrass divisor.

Acknowledgment. The author wishes to thank his thesis advisor Gerard van der Geer for his encouragement and helpful remarks.

## References

- [1] S. Y. Arakelov, Families of algebraic curves with fixed degeneracies, *Izv. Akad. Nauk.* 35 (1971), 1269{1293 (cf. *Math. USSR Izvestija* 5 (1971), 1277{1302).
- [2] S. Y. Arakelov, An intersection theory for divisors on an arithmetic surface, *Izv. Akad. Nauk.* 38 (1974), 1179{1192 (cf. *Math. USSR Izvestija* 8 (1974), 1167{1180).
- [3] J.-B. Bost, Fonctions de Green-Arakelov, fonctions thêta et courbes de genre 2, *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Ser. I* 305 (1987), 643{646.

- [4] J.-B. Bost, J.-F. Mestre, L. Moret-Bailly, Sur le calcul explicite des classes de Chern des surfaces arithmétiques de genre 2. In: Séminaire sur les pinceaux de courbes elliptiques, *Asterisque* 183 (1990), 69{105.
- [5] J.-F. Bommier, Weierstrass points on arithmetic surfaces, *Invent. Math.* 107 (1992), 421{432.
- [6] D. Eisenbud, J. Harris, Existence, decomposition and limits of certain Weierstrass points, *Invent. Math.* 74 (1983), 371{418.
- [7] G. Faltings, Calculus on arithmetic surfaces, *Ann. of Math.* 119 (1984), 387{424.
- [8] J.D. Fay, Theta functions on Riemann surfaces. *Lect. Notes in Math.* vol. 352, Springer-Verlag 1973.
- [9] D. Grant, A generalization of Jacobi's derivative formula to dimension two, *J. reine angew. Math.* 392 (1988), 125{136.
- [10] P. Griffiths and J. Harris, *Principles of algebraic geometry*. John Wiley and Sons 1978.
- [11] J. Guardia, Analytic invariants in Arakelov theory for curves, *C.R. Acad. Sci. Paris Ser. I* 329 (1999), 41{46.
- [12] R.C. Gunning, *Lectures on Riemann surfaces*. Princeton Mathematical Notes vol. 2, Princeton University Press 1966.
- [13] R. de Jong, On the Arakelov theory of elliptic curves. Preprint 2003.
- [14] J. Jorgenson, Asymptotic behavior of Faltings's delta function, *Duke Math. J.* 61 (1990), 1, 303{328.
- [15] S. Lang, *Fundamentals of Diophantine geometry*. Springer-Verlag 1983.
- [16] S. Lang, *Introduction to Arakelov theory*. Springer-Verlag 1988.
- [17] D. Mumford, *Tata Lectures on Theta I*. Progress in Mathematics vol. 28, Birkhäuser Verlag 1984.
- [18] G. Rosenhain, Mémoire sur les fonctions de deux variables et à quatre périodes qui sont les inverses des intégrales ultra-elliptiques de la première classe, *Mémoires des savants étrangers* 11 (1851), 362{468.
- [19] R. Wentworth, The asymptotics of the Arakelov-Green's function and Faltings' delta invariant, *Comm. Math. Phys.* 137 (1991), 3, 427{459.

University of Amsterdam, The Netherlands

E-mail address: rdejong@science.uva.nl