

Factoriality of q -Gaussian von Neumann algebras

Éric Ricard

Abstract

We prove that the von Neumann algebras generated by n q -Gaussian elements, are factors for $n \geq 2$.

1 Introduction

In the early 70's, Frish and Bourret considered operators satisfying the q -canonical commutation relations, for $-1 < q < 1$:

$$l(e)l^*(f) - ql^*(f)l(e) = (e, f)Id.$$

Nevertheless their existence was proved only 20 years later by Bożejko and Speicher in [2]. Since, many people studied the von Neumann algebra $\Gamma_q(\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{R}})$, generated by q -Gaussian random variables $\{l(e) + l^*(e); e \in \mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{R}}\}$, and some of their generalizations. It is well known that $\Gamma_q(\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{R}})$ is type II_1 . One of the interesting point is that these algebras realize a kind of interpolating scale between $\Gamma_1(\mathcal{H})$ which is commutative and $\Gamma_{-1}(H)$ the hyperfinite II_1 factor. For $q = 0$, we recover the algebra generated by Voiculescu's semicircular elements, which is a central object in the free probability theory.

Among the known results, Bożejko and Speicher showed that $\Gamma_q(\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{R}})$ is non injective under some condition on the dimension of \mathcal{H} , which was removed by Nou [4]. Recently, Shlyakhtenko [5] proved that they are solid for some values of q . The question of the factoriality of $\Gamma_q(\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{R}})$ was studied by Bożejko, Kümmerer and Speicher [1], they showed that if \mathcal{H} is infinite dimensional then $\Gamma_q(\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{R}})$ is a factor. This condition was partially released by Śniady [6], who showed that this is still true if the dimension of \mathcal{H} is greater than a function of q .

2 Preliminaries

In this paper, $-1 < q < 1$ is a fixed real number, we will use standard notation and refer to the papers [3, 1, 4] for general background.

Let \mathcal{H} be the complexification of some real Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{R}}$. By $\mathcal{H}^{\otimes 2n}$ ($n \geq 1$), we denote the hilbertian n -tensor product of \mathcal{H} with itself, this space is equipped with a scalar product that we write (\cdot, \cdot) . Let $P_n : \mathcal{H}^{\otimes 2n} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}^{\otimes 2n}$ be given by

$$P_n(e_1 \otimes \dots \otimes e_n) = \sum_{\sigma \in S_n} q^{|\sigma|} e_{\sigma(1)} \otimes \dots \otimes e_{\sigma(n)} = \sum_{\sigma \in S_n} q^{|\sigma|} \phi(\sigma)(e_1 \otimes \dots \otimes e_n),$$

where S_n is the symmetric group on n elements, $|\sigma|$ is the number of inversion of σ , and ϕ is the natural action of S_n on $\mathcal{H}^{\otimes 2n}$. It was shown in [3], that this operator is bounded and strictly positive, therefore we denote by $\mathcal{H}^{\otimes n}$, the hilbert space $\mathcal{H}^{\otimes 2n}$ equip with the new scalar product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ given by

$$\forall x, y \in \mathcal{H}^{\otimes n} \quad \langle x, y \rangle = (x, P_n(y)).$$

From now on, if $x \in \mathcal{H}^{\otimes n}$, $\|x\|$ is the norm of x with respect to this new scalar product. For instance, if $e \in \mathcal{H}$ and $\|e\| = 1$, then

$$\|e^{\otimes n}\|^2 = [n]_q!,$$

where $[k]_q = \frac{1-q^k}{1-q}$ and $[n]_q! = [1]_q \dots [n]_q$.

Remark 1 We will use as a key point that the sequence $([n]_q!)$ behave like a geometric sequence.

Moreover, it is known that the following algebraic relation holds :

$$P_n = R_{n,k}(P_{n-k} \otimes P_k) \quad \text{with } R_{n,k} = \sum_{\sigma \in S_n/S_{n-k} \times S_k} q^{|\sigma|} \phi(\sigma^{-1}),$$

and the sum runs over the representatives of the right cosets of $S_{n-k} \times S_k$ in S_n with minimal number of inversions. As a consequence, since $\|R_{n,k}\|_{B(\mathcal{H}^{\otimes 2n})} \leq C_q = \prod_{i \geq 1} (1 - |q|^i)^{-1}$, we get that the formal identity map

$$Id : \mathcal{H}^{\otimes n-k} \otimes_2 \mathcal{H}^{\otimes k} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}^{\otimes n}$$

has norm bounded by $\sqrt{C_q}$.

Remark 2 As an application, we get that, if e_1, \dots, e_n and e are norm 1 vectors in \mathcal{H} , then

$$\|e_1 \otimes \dots \otimes e_n \otimes e^{\otimes m}\|_{\mathcal{H}^{\otimes n+m}} \leq C_q^{m/2} \sqrt{[m]_q!}.$$

The q -deformed Fock space is the Hilbert space defined by

$$\mathcal{F}_q(\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{R}}) = \mathbb{C}\Omega \oplus \bigoplus_{n \geq 1} \mathcal{H}^{\otimes n},$$

where Ω is a unital vector, considered as the vacuum. Vectors in \mathcal{H} will be called letters and an elementary tensor of letters in $\mathcal{H}^{\otimes n}$ will be called a word of length n .

For $e \in \mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{R}}$, we consider left and right creation operators on $\mathcal{F}_q(\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{R}})$, given by :

$$\begin{aligned} l(e)(e_1 \otimes \dots \otimes e_n) &= e \otimes e_1 \otimes \dots \otimes e_n \\ l_r(e)(e_1 \otimes \dots \otimes e_n) &= e_1 \otimes \dots \otimes e_n \otimes e \end{aligned}$$

They are bounded endomorphisms of $\mathcal{F}_q(\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{R}})$, more precisely if $\|e\| = 1$ then

$$\|l_r(e)\| = \|l(e)\| = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } q \leq 0 \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{1-q}} & \text{if } q \geq 0 \end{cases}$$

Their adjoints in $B(\mathcal{F}_q(\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{R}}))$ are the annihilation operators :

$$\begin{aligned} l^*(e)(e_1 \otimes \dots \otimes e_n) &= \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n} q^{i-1}(e, e_i) \otimes e_1 \otimes \dots \otimes \hat{e}_i \otimes \dots \otimes e_n \\ l_r^*(e)(e_1 \otimes \dots \otimes e_n) &= \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n} q^{n-i}(e, e_i) \otimes e_1 \otimes \dots \otimes \hat{e}_i \otimes \dots \otimes e_n \end{aligned}$$

where \hat{e}_i denotes a removed letter, if $n = 0$, we put $l^*(e)\Omega = l_r^*(e)\Omega = 0$.

The operators $l(e)$ satisfy the q -commutation relations :

$$l(e)l^*(f) - ql^*(f)l(e) = (e, f)Id$$

For $e \in \mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{R}}$, let

$$W(e) = l(e) + l^*(e) \quad \text{and} \quad W_r(e) = l_r(e) + l_r^*(e).$$

So for $e \in \mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{R}}$, $W(e)$ is self-adjoint.

$\Gamma_q(\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{R}})$ stands for the von Neumann algebra generated by $(W(e))_{e \in \mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{R}}}$

$$\Gamma_q(\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{R}}) = \{ W(e) ; e \in \mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{R}} \}''.$$

And, $\Gamma_{q,r}(\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{R}})$ stands for the von Neumann algebra generated by $(W_r(e))_{e \in \mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{R}}}$

$$\Gamma_{q,r}(\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{R}}) = \{ W_r(e) ; e \in \mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{R}} \}''.$$

We recall some classical results on those algebras,

- The commutant of $\Gamma_q(\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{R}})$ is $\Gamma_q(\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{R}})' = \Gamma_{q,r}(\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{R}})$.
- The vacuum vector Ω is separating and cyclic for both $\Gamma_q(\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{R}})$ and $\Gamma_{q,r}(\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{R}})$.
- The vector state $\tau(x) = \langle x\Omega, \Omega \rangle$ is a trace for both $\Gamma_q(\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{R}})$ and $\Gamma_{q,r}(\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{R}})$.

According to the second point, any $x \in \Gamma_q(\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{R}})$ is uniquely determined by $\xi = x.\Omega \in \mathcal{F}_q(\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{R}})$, so we will call it $x = W(\xi)$ (and similarly for $\Gamma_{q,r}(\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{R}})$, $x = W_r(\xi)$). This notation is consistent with the definition of $W(e) = l(e) + l^*(e)$. The subspace $\Gamma_q(\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{R}}).\Omega \subset \mathcal{F}_q(\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{R}})$ of all such ξ contains all tensors of finite rank, so it contains all words. If $e_1 \otimes \dots \otimes e_n$ is a word in $\mathcal{F}_q(\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{R}})$, there is a nice description of $W(e_1 \otimes \dots \otimes e_n)$ in terms of $l(e_i)$ called the Wick formula :

$$W(e_1 \otimes \dots \otimes e_n) = \sum_{m=0}^n \sum_{\sigma \in S_n / S_{n-m} \times S_m} q^{|\sigma|} l(e_{\sigma(1)}) \dots l(e_{\sigma(n-m)}) l^*(e_{\sigma(n-m+1)}) \dots l^*(e_{\sigma(n)}),$$

where σ is the representative of the right coset of $S_{n-m} \times S_m$ in S_n with minimal number of inversions. There is a similar formula for W_r .

Actually, the algebras $\Gamma_q(\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{R}})$ and $\Gamma_{q,r}(\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{R}})$ are in standard form in $B(\mathcal{F}_q(\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{R}}))$, but we won't use it. If we denote by S , the anti-symmetry that inverses the order of words in $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{R}}$, then for any $\xi \in \Gamma_q(\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{R}}).\Omega$:

$$W(\xi)^* = W(S\xi) \quad \text{and} \quad S.W(\xi).S = W_r(S\xi).$$

In particular $\Gamma_q(\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{R}}).\Omega = \Gamma_{q,r}(\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{R}}).\Omega$.

Remark 3 For $\xi, \eta \in \Gamma_q(\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{R}}).\Omega$, we will frequently use

$$W(\xi)\eta = W(\xi)W_r(\eta)\Omega = W_r(\eta)W(\xi)\Omega = W_r(\eta)\xi.$$

Let $T : \mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{R}} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{R}}$, be a \mathbb{R} -linear contraction, then there is a canonical \mathbb{C} -linear contraction, $\mathcal{F}_q(T)$, on $\mathcal{F}_q(\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{R}})$ extending T , called the first quantization ; formally

$$\mathcal{F}_q(T) = Id_{\mathbb{C}\Omega} \oplus \bigoplus_{n \geq 1} \tilde{T}^{\otimes n}$$

with \tilde{T} , the complexification of T on \mathcal{H} .

The second quantization of T , is the unique unital completely positive map $\Gamma_q(T)$ on $\Gamma_q(\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{R}})$ satisfying, for $\xi \in \Gamma_q(\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{R}}).\Omega$

$$\Gamma_q(T)(W(\xi)) = W(\mathcal{F}_q(T)\xi).$$

For instance, if $\mathcal{K}_{\mathbb{R}} \subset \mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{R}}$, the second quantization associated to the orthogonal projection $P_{\mathcal{K}_{\mathbb{R}}}$ on $\mathcal{K}_{\mathbb{R}}$ is a conditional expectation

$$\Gamma_q(P_{\mathcal{K}_{\mathbb{R}}}) : \Gamma_q(\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{R}}) \rightarrow \Gamma_q(\mathcal{K}_{\mathbb{R}}) = \{W(e) ; e \in \mathcal{K}_{\mathbb{R}}\}''.$$

3 The main result

Let $e \in \mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{R}}$ of norm one and denote by E_e the closed subspace of $\mathcal{F}_q(\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{R}})$ spanned by the elements $\{e^{\otimes n} ; n \geq 0\}$, that is $E_e = \mathcal{F}_q(\mathbb{R}e)$. It is easy to check that for any $x = W(\xi) \in W(e)''$, we have $\xi \in E_e$. Conversely, assume $x = W(\xi)$ and that $\xi \in E_e$, then $x \in W(e)''$: by the second quantization, we have a conditional expectation $\Gamma_q(P_{\mathbb{R}e}) : \Gamma_q(\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{R}}) \rightarrow W(e)''$, but then

$$\Gamma_q(P_{\mathbb{R}e})(x).\Omega = \mathcal{F}_q(P_{\mathbb{R}e}).\xi = P_{E_e}.\xi = \xi = x.\Omega,$$

as Ω is separating, $x = \Gamma_q(P_{\mathbb{R}e})(x) \in W(e)''$.

Theorem 1 Assume that $\dim \mathcal{H} \geq 2$ and let $e \in \mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{R}}$, $\|e\| = 1$, then $W(e)''$ is a maximal abelian subalgebra in $\Gamma_q(\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{R}})$.

Corollary 2 $\Gamma_q(\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{R}})$ is a factor as soon as $\dim \mathcal{H} \geq 2$.

Proof : Let $x \in \Gamma_q(\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{R}}) \cap \Gamma_q(\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{R}})'$, then there is $\xi \in \mathcal{F}_q(\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{R}})$ such that $x = W(\xi)$. By the theorem, we must have $x \in W(e)''$ for every $e \in \mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{R}}$, but then $\xi \in E_e$, so necessarily $x \in \mathbb{C}\Omega$. ■

Proof : Fix $(e_i)_{i \geq 0}$ an orthonormal basis in $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{R}}$, with $e_0 = e$.

Let $x = W(\xi) \in \Gamma_q(\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{R}}) \cap W(e)'$, we have to show that $\xi \in E_e$. For any $y = W(\eta)$ with $\eta \in E_e$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} xy - yx &= 0 \\ (W(\xi)W(\eta) - W(\eta)W(\xi)).\Omega &= 0 \\ (W_r(\eta) - W(\eta))\xi &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

So $\xi \in \cap_{y=W(\eta) \in W(e)''} \ker(W_r(\eta) - W(\eta))$. By duality, we have to prove that

$$\overline{\text{span}\{\text{ran}(W_r(\eta) - W(\eta)); y = W(\eta) \in W(e)''\}} \supset E_e^\perp.$$

E_e^\perp is the closed linear span of the set of elementary tensors

$$F = \{e_{i_1} \otimes \dots \otimes e_{i_n}; n \geq 1, \text{ and } (i_1, \dots, i_n) \in \mathbb{N}^n \setminus \{(0, \dots, 0)\}\}$$

Let $z = e_{i_1} \otimes \dots \otimes e_{i_n}$ be a word in F , it suffices to prove that z is a weak-limit of elements in $\text{span}\{\text{ran}(W_r(\eta) - W(\eta)); y = W(\eta) \in W(e)''\}$.

The von Neumann algebra $W(e)''$ is commutative and diffuse and separably generated (see [1]), so we can assume that $W(e)'' = L_\infty([0, 1], dm)$, where dm is the Lebesgue measure. With this identification, the Rademacher functions r_i belong to $W(e)''$, so we have $r_i = W(\eta_i)$ for some $\eta_i \in E_e$. Obviously $W(\eta_i)$ is a self-adjoint symmetry and $W(\eta_i)^2 = 1$. Moreover, the sequence $(\eta_i)_{i \geq 1}$ converges to 0 for the weak topology on $\mathcal{F}_q(\mathcal{H}_\mathbb{R})$, since r_i is an orthormal basis in $L_2([0, 1], dm)$.

Consider

$$z_i = (W(\eta_i) - W_r(\eta_i))(W(\eta_i)(z)),$$

obviously $z_i \in \text{span}\{\text{ran}(W_r(\eta) - W(\eta)); y = W(\eta) \in W(e)''\}$ and a simple calculation gives

$$z_i = W(\eta_i)^2(z) - W_r(\eta_i)W(\eta_i)(z) = z - W_r(\eta_i)W(\eta_i)(z).$$

We will show that $y_i = W_r(\eta_i).W(\eta_i)(z)$ tends weakly to 0 in $\mathcal{F}_q(\mathcal{H}_\mathbb{R})$. As $\|y_i\| \leq \|z\|$, it suffices to prove that for any word $t = e_{j_1} \otimes \dots \otimes e_{j_p}$, $\langle y_i, t \rangle \rightarrow 0$. We have,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle y_i, t \rangle &= \langle W_r(\eta_i).W(\eta_i)(z), t \rangle \\ &= \langle W_r(z)(\eta_i), W(t)(\eta_i) \rangle \end{aligned}$$

This is the point where we use the Wick formula :

$$W(e_{j_1} \otimes \dots \otimes e_{j_n}) = \sum_{m=0}^n \sum_{\sigma \in S_n / S_{n-m} \times S_m} q^{|\sigma|} l(e_{j_{\sigma(1)}}) \dots l(e_{j_{\sigma(n-m)}}) l^*(e_{j_{\sigma(n-m+1)}}) \dots l^*(e_{j_{\sigma(n)}})$$

and similarly for z . Since the number of terms appearing after developing the sums is finite (it depends only on n and p), we only need to show that

$$I_i = \langle l_r(e_{i_1}) \dots l_r(e_{i_m}) l_r^*(e_{i_{m+1}}) \dots l_r^*(e_{i_n})(\eta_i), l(e_{j_1}) \dots l(e_{j_r}) l^*(e_{j_{r+1}}) \dots l^*(e_{j_p})(\eta_i) \rangle \rightarrow 0,$$

as soon as at least one of the i_k 's is non zero. Let v be the first k such that $i_k \neq 0$. Since the letters in η_i are only e , we can suppose that $v \leq m$, otherwise $l_r(e_{i_1}) \dots l_r(e_{i_m}) l_r^*(e_{i_{m+1}}) \dots l_r^*(e_{i_n})(\eta_i) = 0$ (we have to cancel some e_{i_v} in η_i !). More generally, we can assume that $e_{i_{m+1}} = \dots = e_{i_n} = e_{j_{r+1}} = \dots = e_{j_p} = e$.

Recall that

$$l(e)^* e^{\otimes n} = [n]_q e^{\otimes n-1}.$$

Now, we write $\eta_i = \sum_{k \geq 0} a_k^i e^{\otimes k}$, interchanging the sums and making simplifications gives that (with $a_{-n} = 0$ if $n > 0$. The a_n are reals since r_i is self adjoint),

$$\begin{aligned} I_i &= \langle l_r(e_{i_1}) \dots l_r(e_{i_m}) l_r^*(e_{i_{m+1}}) \dots l_r^*(e_{i_n})(\eta_i), l(e_{j_1}) \dots l(e_{j_r}) l^*(e_{j_{r+1}}) \dots l^*(e_{j_p})(\eta_i) \rangle \\ &= \sum_{k \geq r, m} a_{k+n-2m}^i a_{k+p-2r}^i [k+n-2m]_q! / [k-m]_q! [k+p-2r]_q! / [k-r]_q! \\ &\quad \cdot \langle l_r(e_{i_1}) \dots l_r(e_{i_m}) e^{\otimes k-m}, l(e_{j_1}) \dots l(e_{j_r}) e^{\otimes k-r} \rangle \\ &= \sum_{k \geq r, m} a_{k+n-2m}^i a_{k+p-2r}^i [k+n-2m]_q! / [k-m]_q! [k+p-2r]_q! / [k-r]_q! \\ &\quad \cdot \langle l_r(e_{i_{v+1}}) \dots l_r(e_{i_m}) e^{\otimes k-m}, l_r^*(e_{i_v}) \dots l_r^*(e_{i_1})(e_{j_1} \otimes \dots \otimes e_{j_r} \otimes e^{\otimes k-r}) \rangle \end{aligned}$$

Assume that k is big (say $k > N > 2(n+p)$), by the definition of v , we have that $i_1 = \dots = i_{v-1} = e$, so

$$l_r^*(e_{i_{v-1}}) \dots l_r^*(e_{i_1})(e_{j_1} \otimes \dots \otimes e_{j_q} \otimes e^{\otimes k-r})$$

is obtained by cancelling $(v-1)$ times the letter e in the word $e_{j_1} \otimes \dots \otimes e_{j_r} \otimes e^{\otimes k-r}$ using some geometric weight q^α ,

$$\sum_{1 \leq h_{v-1} \leq k-v-2} \dots \sum_{1 \leq h_2 \leq k-1} \sum_{1 \leq h_1 \leq k} \delta_{h_1, \dots} q^{(\sum h_i) - v + 1} (e_{j_1} \otimes \dots \otimes e_{j_r} \otimes e^{\otimes k-r})_{(h_1, \dots, h_{v-1})}$$

where $(e_{j_1} \otimes \dots \otimes e_{j_r} \otimes e^{\otimes k-r})_{(h_1, \dots, h_{v-1})}$ is obtained from $e_{j_1} \otimes \dots \otimes e_{j_r} \otimes e^{\otimes k-r}$ by removing the letter on the h_1 -th position from the right, then the letter at h_2 -th position in the remaining word and so on and where $\delta_{h_1, \dots}$ is one if all the removed letters are e and 0 otherwise. To have a non zero term in

$$l_r^*(e_{i_v})(e_{j_1} \otimes \dots \otimes e_{j_r} \otimes e^{\otimes k-r})_{(h_1, \dots, h_{v-1})}$$

we have to cancel a letter that is not an e , so it can happen only for the terms coming from $e_{j_1} \otimes \dots \otimes e_{j_r}$ (if there are some left !), as this word of length $k-v+1$ ends with at least $(k-r-v+1)$ e , we end up with a sum of at most r words in front of which there is a factor less than $|q|^{k-r-v+1}$. Moreover, by the remark 2, the norm of such a word is less than $C_q^{r/2} \sqrt{[k-r-v+1]_q!}$.

If we sum up everything, we get that

$$\|l_r^*(e_{i_v}) \dots l_r^*(e_{i_1})(e_{j_1} \otimes \dots \otimes e_{j_q} \otimes e^{\otimes k-r})\| \leq C(n, m, v, q) |q|^k \sqrt{[k]_q!}$$

where $C(n, m, v)$ does not depend on k (because $[k]_q \leq C_q$).

Now we can estimate I_i , by cutting the sum into two parts $A_i + B_i = \sum_{k \leq N} |\cdot| + \sum_{k \geq N} |\cdot|$.

Since $\eta_i \rightarrow 0$ weakly, each a_j^i tends to 0, then

$$A_i \leq \sum_{N > k \geq r, m} |a_{k+n-2m}^i| |a_{k+p-2r}^i| C(k, n, p) \xrightarrow{i \rightarrow \infty} 0$$

and as $\|\eta_i\| \leq 1$, we have $|a_k^i| \leq 1/\sqrt{[k]_q!}$, so

$$\begin{aligned}
B_i &\leq \sum_{k \geq N} \frac{\sqrt{[k+n-2m]_q! [k+p-2r]_q!}}{[k-m]_q! [k-r]_q!} \|l_r^*(e_{i_v}) \dots l_r^*(e_{i_1})(e_{j_1} \otimes \dots \otimes e_{j_q} \otimes e^{\otimes k-q})\| \\
&\quad \|l_r(e_{i_{v+1}}) \dots l_r(e_{i_m}) e^{\otimes k-m}\| \\
&\leq \sum_{k \geq N} \frac{\sqrt{[k+n-2m]_q! [k+p-2r]_q!}}{[k-m]_q! [k-r]_q!} C|q|^k \sqrt{[k]_q!} C(q)^m \sqrt{[k-m]_q!} \\
&\leq \sum_{k \geq N} C|q|^k \leq C|q|^N
\end{aligned}$$

Consequently, we get that $\limsup |I_i| \leq C|q|^N$ for every N , so $I_i \rightarrow 0$. ■

References

- [1] Marek Bożejko, Burkhard Kümmerer, and Roland Speicher. q -Gaussian processes: non-commutative and classical aspects. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 185(1):129–154, 1997.
- [2] Marek Bożejko and Roland Speicher. An example of a generalized Brownian motion. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 137(3):519–531, 1991.
- [3] Marek Bożejko and Roland Speicher. Completely positive maps on Coxeter groups, deformed commutation relations, and operator spaces. *Math. Ann.*, 300(1):97–120, 1994.
- [4] Alexandre Nou. Non injectivity of the q -deformed von Neumann algebras. preprint.
- [5] Dimitri Shlyakhtenko. Some estimates for non-microstates free entropy dimension, with applications to q -semicircular families. Math. arXiv OA/0308093.
- [6] Piotr Śniady. Factoriality of Bożejko–Speicher von Neumann algebras. Math. arXiv OA/0307201.

Éric Ricard

Département de Mathématiques de Besançon

Université de Franche-Comté

25030 Besançon cedex

e-mail: `eric.ricard@univ-fcomte.fr`