

A concept of $\frac{2}{3}$ PROP and deformation theory of (co)associative bialgebras

Boris Shoikhet

November 20, 2003

To my teacher Borya Feigin in the occasion of his 50th birthday

Abstract

We introduce a concept of $\frac{2}{3}$ PROP generalizing the Kontsevich concept of $\frac{1}{2}$ PROP. We prove that some Stasheff-type compactification of the Kontsevich spaces $K(m, n)$ defines a topological $\frac{2}{3}$ PROP structure. The corresponding chain complex is a minimal model for its cohomology (both are considered as $\frac{2}{3}$ PROPs). We construct a $\frac{2}{3}$ PROP $\text{End}(V)$ for a vector space V . Finally, we construct a dg Lie algebra controlling the deformations of a (co)associative bialgebra. Philosophically, this construction is a version of the Markl's operadic construction from [M1] applied to minimal models of $\frac{2}{3}$ PROPs.

Introduction

The goal of this paper is to construct a deformation theory for (co)associative bialgebras. According to general principles, it means that we are looking for a dg Lie algebra (or, more generally, for an L_∞ algebra) controlling the deformation theory of a (co)associative bialgebra. In the case of the deformation theory of associative algebras, such a dg Lie algebra controlling the deformations of an associative algebra A , is the cohomological Hochschild complex of A with the Gerstenhaber bracket. (More precisely, this Hochschild complex controls the deformations of the category of A -modules).

First of all, recall that a (co)associative bialgebra is a vector space A equipped with the maps $\star: A^{\otimes 2} \rightarrow A$ (the product) and $\Delta: A \rightarrow A^{\otimes 2}$ (the coproduct). The product is supposed to be associative and the coproduct is supposed to be coassociative. Moreover, we suppose the following compatibility of them:

$$\Delta(a \star b) = \Delta(a) \star \Delta(b) \tag{1}$$

for any $a, b \in A$. (Here in the r.h.s. the product is the component product in $A^{\otimes 2}$ defined as $(a \otimes b) \star (a_1 \otimes b_1) = (a \star a_1) \otimes (b \star b_1)$). Notice that we do not suppose the existence of unit and counit in A .

Here we meet our first difficulty: the r.h.s. of (1) is of the 4th degree and not quadratic. Recall that (little bit roughly) we associate the deformation theory with a dg Lie algebra \mathfrak{g}^\bullet as follows: we consider the solutions of the Maurer-Cartan equation

$$d\alpha + \frac{1}{2}[\alpha, \alpha] = 0 \quad (2)$$

for $\alpha \in \mathfrak{g}^1$ modulo the action of the gauge group associated with \mathfrak{g}^0 on these solutions. (Because of possible divergences in the action of the gauge group, we say instead of this direct construction that the deformation functor is a functor from the category of the Artinian algebras to the category of sets).

It is known that the Gerstenhaber-Schack complex [GS] associated with a bialgebra A is a deformation complex of the bialgebra structure on A . It means that the first cohomology of this complex are isomorphic to the infinitesimal deformations on A . To pass from the infinitesimal deformations to the global ones, one needs to have an appropriate dg Lie algebra structure on the Gerstenhaber-Schack complex (or, more generally, an L_∞ -structure). Recall here that as a vector space, the Gerstenhaber-Schack complex of A is

$$K_{GS}^\bullet = \bigoplus_{m, n \geq 1} \text{Hom}(A^{\otimes m}, A^{\otimes n})[-m - n + 2] \quad (3)$$

In particular, in degree 1 we have: $K_{GS}^1 = \text{Hom}(A^{\otimes 2}, A) \oplus \text{Hom}(A, A^{\otimes 2})$. We could expect that for some dg Lie algebra structure on K_{GS}^\bullet the Maurer-Cartan equation (2) for the element $*_1 \oplus \Delta_1 \in \text{Hom}(A^{\otimes 2}, A) \oplus \text{Hom}(A, A^{\otimes 2})$ means exactly that $(* + *_1, \Delta + \Delta_1)$ defines a new (co)associative bialgebra structure on A .

But it is impossible: because the r.h.s. of the equation (1) is of the 4th degree in $*_1$ and Δ_1 , while the Maurer-Cartan equation (2) is quadratic. It means that the best we could expect is to have an L_∞ algebra structure on K_{GS}^\bullet (which looks quite complicated). This crucial observation was explained to the author by Boris Tsygan about 3 years ago.

Now remember that the L_∞ algebras and the dg Lie algebras is more or less the same: if we have an L_∞ algebra structure on a graded vector space V , we necessarily have an L_∞ isomorphic structure of pure dg Lie algebra on a (bigger) space V_1 . It means that the question of which structure we have, dg Lie algebra or L_∞ algebra, is the question of the right choice of "generators". This means, in particular, that we could expect the existence of a complex quasi-isomorphic to the Gerstenhaber-Schack complex ("with another generators") and a dg Lie algebra on it, which solves the deformation problem of a (co)associative bialgebra A .

This idea is one source of the theory developed here in this paper. Another source is the Kontsevich spaces $K(m, n)$. The reader can find the definition of them in Section 2 of the paper. The original Kontsevich motivation when he invented these spaces was the

following: the space $K(2, 2)$ is the configuration space on two independent lines, we have 2 points in each line modulo independent common shift on each line, and modulo the following action of \mathbb{R}_+^* on this space: for $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}_+^*$, we dilatate the first line with the scale λ and the second with the coefficient λ^{-1} . Then $K(2, 2)$ is a 1-dimensional space: we have an interval on the first line, an interval on the second (we identify the intervals of the same length), and we identify such two configurations with the same product of the lengths of the two intervals. Therefore, the configuration has the only one module—the product of the lengths of the intervals. Before compactification, it is isomorphic to \mathbb{R}_+ .

Now we compactify the space $K(2, 2)$ to the closed interval. The two limit configurations are shown in the Figure 1: The Kontsevich’s insight was that the left picture




Figure 1: The two limit points in $\overline{K(2, 2)}$

should give the left-hand side of the compatibility equation (1), while the right picture should give the right-hand side of (1). We say "should give" having in mind the Markl’s construction in [M1], or even further, a construction of the type of Kontsevich formality.

After these short remarks, we pass to our constructions.

If the reader is interested mostly in our construction of the deformation dg Lie algebra, he can begin to read the paper from Section 3 and to come back to the previous two Sections if it is necessarily.

1 The concept of $\frac{2}{3}$ PROP

1.1 The definition

Here we define our main technical tool— $\frac{2}{3}$ PROPs. The name $\frac{2}{3}$ PROPs indicates that this concept is a further generalization (or simplification) of the concept of $\frac{1}{2}$ PROP due to Maxim Kontsevich (see [K3], [MV]).

We define a $\frac{2}{3}$ PROP of vector spaces, a $\frac{2}{3}$ PROP of dg vector spaces, of topological spaces,... can be defined analogously.

Definition. A pre- $\frac{2}{3}$ PROP of vector spaces consists of the following data:

- (i) a collection of vector spaces $F(m, n)$ defined for $m \geq 1, n \geq 1, m + n \geq 3$, with an action of symmetric groups $\Sigma_m^\vee \times \Sigma_n$ on $F(m, n)$,

- (ii) a collection of vector spaces $F_m^{1,1,\dots,1}$ (n times) defined for $n \geq 2, m \geq 2$, with an action of the symmetric group Σ_m^\vee on $F_m^{1,1,\dots,1}$ (n times),
- (iii) a collection of vector spaces $F_{1,1,\dots,1}^n$ (m times) defined for $m \geq 2, n \geq 2$, with an action of the symmetric group Σ_n on $F_{1,1,\dots,1}^n$ (m times),
- (iv) compositions $\circ_i: F(m, n) \otimes F(1, n_1) \rightarrow F(m, n + n_1 - 1)$, $1 \leq i \leq n$,
- (v) compositions ${}_j\circ: F(m_1, 1) \otimes F(m, n) \rightarrow F(m + m_1 - 1, n)$, $1 \leq j \leq m$,
- (vi) compositions $\odot_i: F_{1,1,\dots,1}^n$ (m times) \otimes $F_{1,1,\dots,1}^{n_1}$ (m times) $\rightarrow F_{1,1,\dots,1}^{n+n_1-1}$ (m times), $1 \leq i \leq n$,
- (vii) compositions ${}_j\odot: F_{m_1}^{1,1,\dots,1}$ (n times) \otimes $F_m^{1,1,\dots,1}$ (n times) $\rightarrow F_{m+m_1-1}^{1,1,\dots,1}$ (n times), $1 \leq j \leq m$,
- (viii) compositions $\odot: F_m^{1,1,\dots,1}$ (n times) \otimes $F_{1,1,\dots,1}^n$ (m times) $\rightarrow F(m, n)$,
- (ix) all the compositions are equivariant with respect to the actions of the symmetric groups.

This data should obey the following properties:

- (1) the composition $\circ_j \star \circ_i: F(m, n) \otimes F(1, n_1) \otimes F(1, n_2) \rightarrow F(n, n + n_1 + n_2 - 2)$ is associative for $i \leq j \leq i + n_1$: in this case $\circ_{j-i} \star \circ_i: F(m, n) \otimes (F(1, n_1) \otimes F(1, n_2)) \rightarrow F(n, n + n_1 + n_2 - 2)$ coincides with $\circ_j \star \circ_i: (F(m, n) \otimes F(1, n_1)) \otimes F(1, n_2) \rightarrow F(n, n + n_1 + n_2 - 2)$,
- (2) in the notations of (1), if $j < i$ or $j > i + n_1$, we have $\circ_j \star \circ_i: (F(m, n) \otimes F(1, n_1)) \otimes F(1, n_2) \rightarrow F(n, n + n_1 + n_2 - 2)$ is equal to $\circ_{i_1} \star \circ_{j_1}: (F(m, n) \otimes F(1, n_2)) \otimes F(1, n_1) \rightarrow F(n, n + n_1 + n_2 - 2)$ where $j_1 = j, i_1 = i + n_2$ if $j < i$, and $j_1 = j - n_1, i_1 = i$ if $j > i + n_1$ (*the commutativity*),
- (3) the property analogous to (1) for ${}_j\circ$,
- (4) the property analogous to (2) for ${}_j\circ$,
- (5)-(8) the analogous properties for \odot_i and for ${}_j\odot$.

Notice that this structure without $F_m^{1,1,\dots,1}$ and $F_{1,1,\dots,1}^n$ is exactly the Kontsevich's $\frac{1}{2}$ PROP structure.

Definition. A pre- $\frac{2}{3}$ PROP is a $\frac{2}{3}$ PROP if the operations of \circ -type are compatible with the operations of \odot -type, as follows:

There are extra maps $\lambda_{m_1 \rightarrow m_2}^n: F_{1,1,\dots,1}^n$ (m_1 times) $\rightarrow F_{1,1,\dots,1}^n$ (m_2 times), ($m_1 \leq m_2$) and $\text{mapd } \gamma_m^{n_1 \rightarrow n_2}: F_m^{1,1,\dots,1}$ (n_1 times) $\rightarrow F_m^{1,1,\dots,1}$ (n_2 times), $n_1 \leq n_2$, which are supposed to be equivariant with respect to the actions of symmetric groups. We also suppose that these maps are isomorphisms. Then we have:

(A) $\circ_i \star \odot: (F_m^{1,1,\dots,1} (n \text{ times}) \otimes F_{1,1,\dots,1}^n (m \text{ times})) \otimes F(1, n_1) \rightarrow F(m, n + n_1 - 1)$ is equal to $\odot \star \odot_i: F_m^{1,1,\dots,1} (n+n_1-1 \text{ times}) \otimes (F_{1,1,\dots,1}^n (m \text{ times}) \otimes F_{1,1,\dots,1}^{n_1} (m \text{ times})) \rightarrow F(m, n + n_1 - 1)$. More precisely, let $\alpha \in F_m^{1,1,\dots,1} (n \text{ times})$, $\beta \in F_{1,1,\dots,1}^n (m \text{ times})$, and $\gamma \in F(1, n_1)$. Then

$$(\alpha \otimes \beta) \circ_i \gamma = \Upsilon_m^{n \rightarrow n+n_1-1}(\alpha) \odot_i (\beta \otimes \lambda_{1 \rightarrow m}^{n_1}(\gamma)) \quad (4)$$

(B) the analogous compatibility with ${}_j \circ$.

We can imagine what is a free $\frac{2}{3}$ PROP. It consists from all "free" words of the following two forms:

$$\dots \circ F(m_k, 1) \circ \dots \circ F(m_1, 1) \circ F(m, n) \circ F(1, n_1) \circ \dots \circ F(1, n_\ell) \circ \dots \quad (5)$$

and

$$F_{m_k}^{1,1,\dots,1} (n_1+n_2+\dots-k+1 \text{ times}) \odot \dots \odot F_{m_1}^{1,1,\dots,1} (n_1+n_2+\dots-k+1 \text{ times}) \odot \odot F_{1,1,\dots,1}^{n_1} (m_1+m_2+\dots-l+1 \text{ times}) \odot F_{1,1,\dots,1}^{n_2} (m_1+m_2+\dots-l+1 \text{ times}) \odot \dots \odot F_{1,1,\dots,1}^{n_\ell} (m_1+m_2+\dots \text{ times}) \quad (6)$$

We can draw these free elements as "two-sided trees", see Figure 2: The valences of




Figure 2: A typical element of a free $\frac{2}{3}$ PROP

the vertices are greater or equal than 3. We have trees of "two different colors" according to the equations (5)-(6) above.

The definition of (pre-) $\frac{2}{3}$ PROP is motivated by the geometry of the Kontsevich spaces $K(m, n)$ (see the next Section). The reader who is interested in the origin of this definition can pass directly to Section 2. We tried to construct a compactification of these spaces and to formalize the operations among the strata. The advantage of $\frac{2}{3}$ PROPs is that the chain complex of the compactification $\overline{K(m, n)}$ is a free dg $\frac{2}{3}$ PROP. Its homology $\frac{2}{3}$ PROP is exactly the $\frac{2}{3}$ PROP Bialg controlling the (co)associative bialgebras. Finally, any (co)associative bialgebra structure on a vector space V gives a map of the pre- $\frac{2}{3}$ PROPs $\text{Bialg} \rightarrow \text{End}(V)$.

1.2 The pre- $\frac{2}{3}$ PROP $\text{End}(V)$

Here we define the pre- $\frac{2}{3}$ PROP $\text{End}(V)$ for a vector spaces V . We use here the notations $\text{End}(m, n)$, $\text{End}_{1,1,\dots,1}^n$, and $\text{End}_m^{1,1,\dots,1}$.

We set:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{End}(m, n) &= \text{Hom}(V^{\otimes m}, V^{\otimes n}), \\ \text{End}_{1,1,\dots,1}^n \text{ (} m \text{ times)} &= (\text{Hom}(V, V^{\otimes n}))^{\otimes m}, \\ \text{End}_m^{1,1,\dots,1} \text{ (} n \text{ times)} &= (\text{Hom}(V^{\otimes m}, V))^{\otimes n} \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

We should now define the compositions \circ_i , $j \circ$, \odot_i , $j \odot$, and \odot .

The case of the composition $\circ_i: \text{End}(m, n) \otimes \text{End}(1, n_1) \rightarrow \text{End}(m, n + n_1 - 1)$ can be schematically shown as follows (see Figure 3): Let $\Psi \in \text{Hom}(V^{\otimes m}, V^{\otimes n})$,




Figure 3: The composition \circ_i

$\Theta \in \text{Hom}(V, V^{\otimes n_1})$. Their composition $\Psi \circ_i \Theta \in \text{Hom}(V^{\otimes m}, V^{\otimes n+n_1-1})$ is defined as

$$\Psi \circ_i \Theta(v_1 \otimes \dots \otimes v_m) = (\text{Id} \otimes \dots \otimes \text{Id} \otimes \Theta \otimes \dots \otimes \text{Id}) \circ \Psi(v_1 \otimes \dots \otimes v_m) \quad (8)$$

(Here Θ stands at the i th place).

The picture for the composition $j \circ \text{End}(m_1, 1) \otimes \text{End}(m, n) \rightarrow \text{End}(m + m_1 - 1, n)$ is the following (see Figure 4): For $\Theta \in \text{Hom}(V^{\otimes m_1}, V)$ and $\Psi \in \text{Hom}(V^{\otimes m}, V^{\otimes n})$, their




Figure 4: The composition $j \circ$

composition $\Theta_{(j \circ)} \Psi \in \text{Hom}(V^{\otimes m+m_1-1}, V^{\otimes n})$ is

$$\Theta_{(j \circ)} \Psi(v_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes v_{m+m_1-1}) = \Psi(v_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes v_{j-1} \otimes \Theta(v_j \otimes \cdots \otimes v_{j+m_1-1}) \otimes v_{j+m_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes v_{m+m_1-1}) \quad (9)$$

We have the particular case of the product \circ_i when $m = 1$. Denote it by \circ_i^1 . By definition, the composition $\circ_i: \text{End}_{1,1,\dots,1}^n (m \text{ times}) \otimes \text{End}_{1,1,\dots,1}^{n_1} (m \text{ times}) \rightarrow \text{End}_{1,1,\dots,1}^{n+n_1-1} (m \text{ times})$ is the m th tensor power of the composition \circ_i^1 . Analogously we define $j \circ^1$ and the composition $j \circ: \text{End}_{m_1}^{1,1,\dots,1} (n \text{ times}) \otimes \text{End}_m^{1,1,\dots,1} (n \text{ times}) \rightarrow \text{End}_{m_1+m-1}^{1,1,\dots,1} (n \text{ times})$ as the n th tensor power of the composition $j \circ^1$.

It remains to define the composition \circ . We define the composition $\circ: (\text{Hom}(V^{\otimes m}, V))^{\otimes n} \otimes (\text{Hom}(V, V^{\otimes n}))^{\otimes m} \rightarrow \text{Hom}(V^{\otimes m}, V^{\otimes n})$. Suppose $\Psi_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \Psi_n \in (\text{Hom}(V^{\otimes m}, V))^{\otimes n}$, and $\Theta_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \Theta_m \in (\text{Hom}(V, V^{\otimes n}))^{\otimes m}$. We are going to define their composition $(\Psi_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \Psi_n) \circ (\Theta_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \Theta_m) \in \text{Hom}(V^{\otimes m}, V^{\otimes n})$. Denote

$$F(v_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes v_m) = \Theta_1(v_1) \otimes \cdots \otimes \Theta_m(v_m) \in V^{\otimes mn} \quad (10)$$

Next, we define a map $G \in \text{Hom}(V^{\otimes mn}, V^{\otimes n})$ as follows:

$$G(w_1^1 \otimes \cdots \otimes w_1^n \otimes w_2^1 \otimes \cdots \otimes w_2^n \otimes \cdots \otimes w_m^1 \otimes \cdots \otimes w_m^n) = \Psi_1(w_1^1 \otimes w_2^1 \otimes \cdots \otimes w_m^1) \otimes \Psi_2(w_1^2 \otimes \cdots \otimes w_m^2) \otimes \cdots \otimes \Psi_n(w_1^n \otimes \cdots \otimes w_m^n) \in V^{\otimes n} \quad (11)$$

Now we set

$$(\Psi_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \Psi_n) \circ (\Theta_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \Theta_m) = (G \circ F)(v_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes v_m) \quad (12)$$

It is clear that these compositions define a pre- $\frac{2}{3}$ PROP structure on $\text{End}(V)$.

Remark. M. Markl communicated to the author that our composition \circ is a particular case of his "fractions" composition [M2].

1.3 The $\frac{2}{3}$ PROP of (co)associative bialgebras Bialg

For a (pre-) $\frac{2}{3}$ PROP F we define an F -algebra structure on a vector space V as a map of pre- $\frac{2}{3}$ PROPs $F \rightarrow \text{End}(V)$. We are going to construct now a $\frac{2}{3}$ PROP Bialg such that a Bialg -algebra structure on V is exactly a (co)associative bialgebra structure on V .

Let Σ_n be the symmetric group on n points, and for a group G denote by G^\vee the dual group.

We can consider Bialg as $\frac{2}{3}$ PROP of sets, or, if we like, as the corresponding $\frac{2}{3}$ PROP of vector spaces (generated by these sets). We here consider Bialg as a $\frac{2}{3}$ PROP of sets. Later it will appear also as the homology $\frac{2}{3}$ PROP of the topological $\frac{2}{3}$ PROP $\overline{K(m, n)}$, then we consider it as the corresponding $\frac{2}{3}$ PROP of vector spaces. This functor replaces the direct product \times to the tensor product \otimes .

We set:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Bialg}(m, n) &= \Sigma_m^\vee \times \Sigma_n, \\ \text{Bialg}_{1,1,\dots,1}^n \text{ (} m \text{ times)} &= \Sigma_n, \\ \text{Bialg}_m^{1,1,\dots,1} \text{ (} n \text{ times)} &= \Sigma_m^\vee \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

The $\frac{2}{3}$ PROP maps $\lambda_{m_1 \rightarrow m}^n$ and $\gamma_m^{n_1 \rightarrow n}$ are the identity maps. We define the compositions $\circ_i, j^\circ, \odot_i, j^\odot$ and \odot as follows:

Consider any of these compositions for the pre- $\frac{2}{3}$ PROP $\text{End}(V)$, a composition \star . Suppose that $\Psi \in \text{End}_\alpha$ and $\Theta \in \text{End}_\beta$ are its arguments. These compositions were defined in the previous Subsection. There is the $\Sigma_{i_1}^\vee \times \Sigma_{j_1}$ -action on End_α and the $\Sigma_{i_2}^\vee \times \Sigma_{j_2}$ -action on End_β . Suppose $\sigma_1^\vee \times \sigma_1 \in \Sigma_{i_1}^\vee \times \Sigma_{j_1}$, and $\sigma_2^\vee \times \sigma_2 \in \Sigma_{i_2}^\vee \times \Sigma_{j_2}$. We are going to define the composition $(\sigma_1^\vee \times \sigma_1) \star (\sigma_2^\vee \times \sigma_2)$ in Bialg . For this, consider the composition $((\sigma_1^\vee \times \sigma_1) \Psi) \star ((\sigma_2^\vee \times \sigma_2) \Theta)$. It is clear that it is equal to the action of some $\sigma \in \text{Bialg}$ on the product of Ψ and Θ in End :

$$((\sigma_1^\vee \times \sigma_1) \Psi) \star ((\sigma_2^\vee \times \sigma_2) \Theta) = \sigma(\Psi \star \Theta) \quad (14)$$

The last equation holds for any Ψ and Θ in the corresponding components of End , that is, σ does not depend on the choice of Ψ and Θ . We define the composition $(\sigma_1^\vee \times \sigma_1) \star (\sigma_2^\vee \times \sigma_2)$ as σ .

It clear that this definition is correct, and in this way we define a $\frac{2}{3}$ PROP Bialg .

Lemma. *A map $\phi: \text{Bialg} \rightarrow \text{End}(V)$ of pre- $\frac{2}{3}$ PROPs is the same that a (co)associative bialgebra structure on V .*

Proof. First, let V be a (co)associative bialgebra with the product \star and the coproduct Δ . We define a map of pre- $\frac{2}{3}$ PROPs $\phi_{\star, \Delta}: \text{Bialg} \rightarrow \text{End}(V)$. Let $\sigma^\vee \times \sigma \in \text{Bialg}(m, n)$. We put $\phi_{\star, \Delta}(\sigma^\vee \times \sigma)(v_1 \otimes \dots \otimes v_m) = \Delta^{n-1} \circ \star^{m-1}(v_1 \otimes \dots \otimes v_m)$. Here in the formula Δ^n and \star^m are the composition powers of the coproduct and of the product, correspondingly. Because of the (co)associativity, these powers are well-defined. Next, for $\sigma \in \text{Bialg}_{1,1,\dots,1}^n$ ($m \times$) we set $\phi_{\star, \Delta}(\sigma) \in (\text{Hom}(V, V^{\otimes n}))^{\otimes m}$ is the m th tensor power of the

map $v \mapsto \sigma(\Delta^{n-1}(v))$. Analogously, using the product, we define $\phi_{\star, \Delta}$ on $\text{Bialg}_m^{1,1,\dots,1}$. Now we explain why without the compatibility (1) this definition would be incorrect.

Consider many identity permutations: $\text{Id}_2 \in \Sigma_2 = \text{Bialg}(1, 2)$, $\text{Id}^2 \in \Sigma_2^\vee = \text{Bialg}(2, 1)$, $\text{Id}_2^{1,1} \in \text{Bialg}_{1,1}^2$, and $\text{Id}_{1,1}^2 \in \text{Bialg}_2^{1,1}$. Then we have the following identity in Bialg :

$$\text{Id}^2 \circ \text{Id}_2 = \text{Id}_{1,1}^2 \odot \text{Id}_2^{1,1} = \text{Id}^\vee \times \text{Id} \in \text{Bialg}(2, 2) \quad (15)$$

It is clear that this identity in Bialg follow some identity in the images of these elements Id_2 , Id^2 , $\text{Id}_2^{1,1}$, $\text{Id}_{1,1}^2$ by the map $\phi_{\star, \Delta}$ of pre- $\frac{2}{3}$ PROPs. The reader can easily verify that this identity is exactly the compatibility (1) in a (co)associative bialgebra. One can prove also that if the compatibility holds, the definition of $\phi_{\star, \Delta}$ is correct.

Vice versa, suppose we have a map $\phi: \text{Bialg} \rightarrow \text{End}(V)$ of pre- $\frac{2}{3}$ PROPs. Denote $a \star b := \phi(\text{Id}^2)(a \otimes b)$ and $\Delta(a) := \phi(\text{Id}_2)(a)$. The compatibility follows from (15). The reader can easily find analogous identities in Bialg which imply the associativity of \star and the coassociativity of Δ . \square

2 The Kontsevich spaces $K(m, n)$, their Stasheff-type compactification, and the corresponding $\frac{2}{3}$ PROP

First of all, recall the definition of the spaces $K(m, n)$ due to Maxim Kontsevich (see also [Sh]). We show in the sequel that these spaces and its compactification introduced below play a crucial role in the deformation theory of (co)associative bialgebras.

First define the space $\text{Conf}(m, n)$. By definition, $m, n \geq 1$, $m + n \geq 3$, and

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Conf}(m, n) = \{ & p_1, \dots, p_m \in \mathbb{R}^{(1)}, p_i < p_j \text{ for } i < j; \\ & q_1, \dots, q_n \in \mathbb{R}^{(2)}, q_i < q_j \text{ for } i < j \} \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

Here we denote by $\mathbb{R}^{(1)}$ and by $\mathbb{R}^{(2)}$ two different copies of a real line \mathbb{R} .

Next, define a 3-dimensional group G^3 acting on $\text{Conf}(m, n)$. This group is a semi-direct product $G^3 = \mathbb{R}^2 \ltimes \mathbb{R}_+$ (here $\mathbb{R}_+ = \{x \in \mathbb{R}, x > 0\}$) with the following group law:

$$(a, b, \lambda) \circ (a', b', \lambda') = (\lambda' a + a', (\lambda')^{-1} b + b', \lambda \lambda') \quad (17)$$

where $a, b, a', b' \in \mathbb{R}$, $\lambda, \lambda' \in \mathbb{R}_+$. This group acts on the space $\text{Conf}(m, n)$ as

$$(a, b, \lambda) \cdot (p_1, \dots, p_m; q_1, \dots, q_n) = (\lambda p_1 + a, \dots, \lambda p_m + a; \lambda^{-1} q_1 + b, \dots, \lambda^{-1} q_n + b) \quad (18)$$

In other words, we have two independent shifts on $\mathbb{R}^{(1)}$ and $\mathbb{R}^{(2)}$ (by a and b), and \mathbb{R}_+ dilatates $\mathbb{R}^{(1)}$ by λ and dilatates $\mathbb{R}^{(2)}$ by λ^{-1} .

In our conditions $m, n \geq 1, m + n \geq 3$, the group G^3 acts on $\text{Conf}(m, n)$ freely. Denote by $K(m, n)$ the quotient-space. It is a smooth manifold of dimension $m + n - 3$.

We will need also a very special case of the spaces $K_{m_1, \dots, m_{\ell_1}}^{n_1, \dots, n_{\ell_2}}$ introduced below. Recall here our definition of the space $K_{m_1, \dots, m_{\ell_1}}^{n_1, \dots, n_{\ell_2}}$ (generalizing the Kontsevich space $K(m, n)$) from [Sh]:

First define the space $\text{Conf}_{n_1, \dots, n_{\ell_2}}^{m_1, \dots, m_{\ell_1}}$. By definition,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Conf}_{n_1, \dots, n_{\ell_2}}^{m_1, \dots, m_{\ell_1}} = & \\ & \{p_1^1, \dots, p_{m_1}^1 \in \mathbb{R}^{(1,1)}, p_1^2, \dots, p_{m_2}^2 \in \mathbb{R}^{(1,2)}, \dots, p_1^{\ell_1}, \dots, p_{m_{\ell_1}}^{\ell_1} \in \mathbb{R}^{(1,\ell_1)}; \\ & q_1^1, \dots, q_{n_1}^1 \in \mathbb{R}^{(2,1)}, q_1^2, \dots, q_{n_2}^2 \in \mathbb{R}^{(2,2)} \dots, q_1^{\ell_2}, \dots, q_{n_{\ell_2}}^{\ell_2} \in \mathbb{R}^{(2,\ell_2)} | \\ & p_{i_1}^j < p_{i_2}^j \text{ for } i_1 < i_2; q_{i_1}^j < q_{i_2}^j \text{ for } i_1 < i_2\} \quad (19) \end{aligned}$$

Here $\mathbb{R}^{(i,j)}$ are copies of the real line \mathbb{R} . Now we have an $\ell_1 + \ell_2 + 1$ -dimensional group $G^{\ell_1, \ell_2, 1}$ acting on $\text{Conf}_{n_1, \dots, n_{\ell_2}}^{m_1, \dots, m_{\ell_1}}$. It contains $\ell_1 + \ell_2$ independent shifts

$$p_i^j \mapsto p_i^j + a_j, i = 1, \dots, m_j, a_j \in \mathbb{R}; q_i^j \mapsto q_i^j + b_j, i = 1, \dots, n_j, b_j \in \mathbb{R}$$

and *one* dilatation

$$p_i^j \mapsto \lambda \cdot p_i^j \text{ for all } i, j; q_i^j \mapsto \lambda^{-1} \cdot q_i^j \text{ for all } i, j.$$

This group is isomorphic to $\mathbb{R}^{\ell_1 + \ell_2} \times \mathbb{R}_+$. We say that the lines $\mathbb{R}^{(1,1)}, \mathbb{R}^{(1,2)}, \dots, \mathbb{R}^{(1,\ell_1)}$ (corresponding to the factor λ) are the lines of the first type, and the lines $\mathbb{R}^{(2,1)}, \mathbb{R}^{(2,2)}, \dots, \mathbb{R}^{(2,\ell_2)}$ (corresponding to the factor λ^{-1}) are the lines of the second type.

Denote

$$K_{n_1, \dots, n_{\ell_2}}^{m_1, \dots, m_{\ell_1}} = \text{Conf}_{n_1, \dots, n_{\ell_2}}^{m_1, \dots, m_{\ell_1}} / G^{\ell_1, \ell_2, 1} \quad (20)$$

We construct a compactification $\overline{K(m, n)}$ the boundary strata of which are products of the spaces $K(m_1, n_1)$, $K_{1,1, \dots, 1}^{n_2}$, and $K_m^{1,1, \dots, 1}$ (it allowed to be several spaces of each type).

Example

Let $m = n = 2$. Then the space $K(2, 2)$ is 1-dimensional. It is easy to see that $(p_2 - p_1) \cdot (q_2 - q_1)$ is preserved by the action of G^3 , and it is the only invariant of the G^3 -action on $K(2, 2)$. Therefore, $K(2, 2) \simeq \mathbb{R}_+$. There are two "limit" configurations: $(p_2 - p_1) \cdot (q_2 - q_1) \rightarrow 0$ and $(p_2 - p_1) \cdot (q_2 - q_1) \rightarrow \infty$. Therefore, the compactification $\overline{K(2, 2)} \simeq [0, 1]$. See Figure 1. We will construct a compactification of the space $K(m, n)$

which is a topological $\frac{2}{3}$ PROP. More precisely,

$$\begin{aligned} F(m, n) &= \overline{K(m, n)} \\ F_{1,1, \dots, 1}^n &= \overline{K_{1,1, \dots, 1}^n} \\ F_m^{1,1, \dots, 1} &= \overline{K_m^{1,1, \dots, 1}} \end{aligned} \quad (21)$$

The compactifications will be defined below.

First of all, let us describe all strata of codimension 1 in $\overline{K(m, n)}$. There are boundary strata of codimension 1 of two different types. The first two strata are shown in Figures 3 and 4. In the picture in Figure 3 n_1 points on the upper line move infinitely close to each other, and the "scale" of this infinitely small number is irrelevant (we have in mind here the CROC compactification from [Sh] where this scale is relevant), $2 \leq n_1 \leq n$. In Figure 4 m_1 points on the lower line move close to each other, $2 \leq m_1 \leq m$. The remaining stratum of codimension 1 in $\overline{K(m, n)}$ (there is the only one such stratum) is shown in Figure 5: Here in the Figure all points on the upper line move infinitely far




Figure 5: The stratum of codimension 1 in $\overline{K(m, n)}$ of the third type

from each other *will a finite ratio of any two among these infinite distances*. The all distances on the lower line are finite. Of course, the stratum when the points on the lower line are infinite and the points on the upper line are in finite distances from each other, is the same: one stratum can be obtained from another by the application of the element $(0, 0, \infty) \in G^3$.

The strata in Figures 3 and 4 are isomorphic to $K(m, n) \times K(1, n_1)$ and $K(m_1, 1) \times K(m, n)$, correspondingly. The stratum in Figure 5 is isomorphic to $K_m^{1,1,\dots,1}$ (n times) \times $K_{1,1,\dots,1}^n$ (m times). Let us explain the last formula: the distances between the points at the upper line are infinite, but their ratios are finite. Therefore, we should count these ratios. For this, we apply to Figure 5 the transformation $(0, 0, \infty) \in G^3$. Then the infinite distances will become finite, and then we can count the ratios.

Now we claim that using the 3 operations shown in Figures 3,4,5 we can obtain *any* limit configuration (here by a limit configuration we mean a configuration where some distances are infinitely large or/and infinitely small). Moreover, we can apply the configuration in Figure 5 not more than 1 time. Let us explain it:

Apply a transformation from G^3 such that there are no infinite distances at the lower line, and the diameter of the configuration of points at the lower line is finite (not infinitely small). We distinguish the following two cases: in the first case in the obtained

configuration there are no infinitely large distances in the upper line, and in the second some distances are infinitely large. It is clear that we can reach any limit configuration of the first type by the applying several times the degenerations shown in Figure 3 and Figure 4. Analogously, in the second case, we first apply degenerations in Figure 3 (several times), then apply the transformation from Figure 5 (with the scale of the infinity depending on the configuration), and then apply several times the degenerations from Figure 4. It is clear that in this case we can get *any* limit configuration.

Denote the operations shown in Figures 3,4,5 by \circ_i , j° , and \odot , correspondingly. It remains to define our operations \odot_i and j^\odot in the Definition in Section 1.1, that is, to compactify the spaces $K_{1,1,\dots,1}^n$ and $K_m^{1,1,\dots,1}$.

Notice that the space $K^{1,1,\dots,1} (n \text{ times})_m$ is isomorphic to the Stasheff polyhedron St_m for *any* n , as well the space $K_{1,1,\dots,1}^n (m \text{ times})$ is isomorphic to the Stasheff polyhedron St_n for any m . In particular, we define our maps $\lambda_{m_1 \rightarrow m_2}^n$ and $\gamma_m^{n_1 \rightarrow n_2}$ as the identity maps. Furthermore, we compactify these spaces as usual in the Stasheff compactification, and the compositions \odot_i and j^\odot are defined in the natural way. Moreover, it is clear that the formulas (A) and (B) in the Definition of $\frac{2}{3}\text{PROP}$ hold.

Thus, we constructed a topological $\frac{2}{3}\text{PROP}$ $\overline{K(m,n)}$.

Lemma. (i) *The corresponding chain dg $\frac{2}{3}\text{PROP}$ (formed by the chain complexes of the spaces in our stratification) is a free $\frac{2}{3}\text{PROP}$ of graded vector spaces (when we forget about the differential),*

(ii) *the corresponding homology $\frac{2}{3}\text{PROP}$ is the $\frac{2}{3}\text{PROP}$ of bialgebras Bialg*

Proof. (i) follows from the fact that any limit configuration can be written as the composition of the degenerations in Figures 3,4,5 in a unique way, (ii) follows from the fact that all spaces $\overline{K(m,n)}$ are contractible (and, therefore, have only 0-th nontrivial homology). \square

Example. In our compactification, the left picture in Figure 1 is $K(2,1) \times K(1,2)$, and the right picture is $K_{1,1}^2 \times K_2^{1,1}$.

Now we are ready to introduce our main object—a dg Lie algebra.

3 The dg Lie algebra

Denote by $\mathbb{C}\{\text{Hom}(V^{\otimes m}, V)\}$ the vector space generated by the infinite series of the form

$$\Psi + \Psi \otimes \Psi + \Psi \otimes \Psi \otimes \Psi + \dots \in \prod_{n \geq 1} (\text{Hom}(V^{\otimes m}, V))^{\otimes n} \quad (22)$$

where $\Psi \in \text{Hom}(V^{\otimes m}, V)$, $m \geq 2$. We denote the sum above by $\overline{\Psi}$. As a vector space, $\mathbb{C}\{\text{Hom}(V^{\otimes m}, V)\}$ is "a very huge" vector space generated by the *set* $\text{Hom}(V^{\otimes m}, V)$.

Analogously, introduce the notation $\mathbb{C}\{\mathrm{Hom}(V, V^{\otimes n})\}$, $n \geq 2$, and the notation $\overline{\Theta}$ for $\Theta \in \mathrm{Hom}(V, V^{\otimes n})$.

Now introduce a dg Lie algebra \mathfrak{N} . First we introduce a graded vector space \mathfrak{N}_0 , and then \mathfrak{N} will be a quotient space. We set:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{N}_0 = & \bigoplus_{m \geq 2} \mathbb{C}\{\mathrm{Hom}(V^{\otimes m}, V)\}[-m+1] \oplus \bigoplus_{n \geq 2} \mathbb{C}\{\mathrm{Hom}(V, V^{\otimes n})\}[-n+1] \oplus \\ & \oplus \bigoplus_{m, n \geq 2} \mathrm{Hom}(V^{\otimes m}, V^{\otimes n})[-m-n+2] \quad (23) \end{aligned}$$

Now we introduce a prebracket on \mathfrak{N}_0 . It means that it is skew-symmetric but does not obey the Jacobi identity. The idea goes back to the constructions in Section 2. We associate generators with the strata of codimension 0, and their compositions (the bracket) by the strata of codimension 1. Then the Jacobi identity follows (after factorization) from the equation $\partial^2 = 0$ where ∂ is the chain differential. Philosophically, it is a kind of the Markl's construction from [M1], but the author can not verbalize it at the moment. The appearance of the infinite power series $\overline{\Psi}$ and $\overline{\Theta}$ are motivated by the identity (4). Thus, the reader can say that no infinite sums appear among the strata of codimension 0. Nevertheless, the Markl's construction is an operadic construction, and when we deal with $\frac{2}{3}$ PROPs we need some modifications.

Only the following brackets are nonzero:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{(i)} \quad & \overline{[\Psi_1, \Psi_2]} := \overline{[\Psi_1, \Psi_2]_G} \\ & \text{where } \Psi_1 \in \mathrm{Hom}(V^{\otimes m_1}, V), \Psi_2 \in \mathrm{Hom}(V^{\otimes m_2}, V), \\ & \text{and } [\Psi_1, \Psi_2]_G \text{ is the Gerstenhaber bracket,} \\ \text{(ii)} \quad & \overline{[\Theta_1, \Theta_2]} := \overline{[\Theta_1, \Theta_2]^G} \\ & \text{where } \Theta_1 \in \mathrm{Hom}(V, V^{\otimes n_1}), \Theta_2 \in \mathrm{Hom}(V, V^{\otimes n_2}), \\ & \text{and } [\Theta_1, \Theta_2]^G \text{ is the Gerstenhaber cobracket,} \\ \text{(iii)} \quad & \overline{[\Theta, \Psi]} := \Theta \circ \Psi \pm (\Theta)^{\otimes m} \odot (\Psi)^{\otimes n} \\ & \text{where } \Psi \in \mathrm{Hom}(V^{\otimes m}, V), \Theta \in \mathrm{Hom}(V, V^{\otimes n}), \circ \text{ and } \odot \\ & \text{are the compositions in the pre-}\frac{2}{3}\text{PROP } \mathrm{End}(V), \\ \text{(iv)} \quad & \text{for } \alpha \in \mathrm{Hom}(V^{\otimes m}, V^{\otimes n}), m, n \geq 2, \Psi \in \mathrm{Hom}(V^{\otimes m_1}, V) \\ & \overline{[\Psi, \alpha]} := \sum_{j=1}^m \pm \Psi(j \circ) \alpha, \\ \text{(v)} \quad & \text{for } \alpha \in \mathrm{Hom}(V^{\otimes m}, V^{\otimes n}), m, n \geq 2, \Theta \in \mathrm{Hom}(V, V^{\otimes n_1}) \\ & \overline{[\alpha, \Theta]} := \sum_{i=1}^n \pm \alpha \circ_i \Theta \end{aligned} \quad (24)$$

We also suppose that the bracket $[\ , \]$ is graded-skew-commutative.

This bracket does *not* obey the Jacobi identity. The Jacobi identity fails for the brackets $[[\overline{\Psi_1}, \overline{\Psi_2}], \overline{\Psi_3}]$, $[[\overline{\Theta_1}, \overline{\Theta_2}], \overline{\Theta_3}]$, $[[\overline{\Psi_1}, \overline{\Psi_2}], \overline{\Theta}]$, and $[[\overline{\Psi}, \overline{\Theta_1}], \overline{\Theta_2}]$. Our solution is to factorize by the vector space spanned by the Jacobi identities, as follows:

First, factorize the space generated by $\overline{\Psi}$ by the space I_G generated by the Jacobi identities. It means that we first consider a graded vector space I_G^0 spanned by the vectors $[[\overline{\Psi_1}, \overline{\Psi_2}]_G, \overline{\Psi_3}]_G \mp [\overline{\Psi_1}, [\overline{\Psi_2}, \overline{\Psi_3}]_G]_G \pm [\overline{\Psi_2}, [\overline{\Psi_1}, \overline{\Psi_3}]_G]_G$ where $\Psi_i \in \text{Hom}(V^{\otimes m_i}, V)$ for some $m_1, m_2, m_3 \geq 2$. Then consider the vector space I_G spanned by the elements of the form $[\overline{\Psi_{k_\ell}}, [\overline{\Psi_{k_{\ell-1}}}, [\dots [\overline{\Psi_{k_1}}, \alpha]] \dots]]$ where $\alpha \in I_G^0$, and $k_i \geq 2$ for all i , $\ell \geq 0$. Define the space $\overline{\text{Hom}(V^{\otimes m}, V)}$, $m \geq 2$, as the graded component of the quotient of the space spanned by all vectors $\overline{\Psi}$, by the graded space I_G . It is clear that $\bigoplus_{m \geq 2} \overline{\text{Hom}(V^{\otimes m}, V)}[-m+1]$ with the bracket (24) is a graded Lie algebra. One can show that this graded Lie algebra is bigger than $\bigoplus_{m \geq 2} \overline{\text{Hom}(V^{\otimes m}, V)}[-m+1]$.

Analogously we define the space I^G and the graded Lie algebra $\bigoplus_{n \geq 2} \overline{\text{Hom}(V, V^{\otimes n})}[-n+1]$.

Now we define the quotient-spaces $\overline{\text{Hom}(V^{\otimes m}, V^{\otimes n})}$ for $m, n \geq 2$.

Notice, that the bracket of I_G and I^G with $\overline{\text{Hom}(V^{\otimes m}, V^{\otimes n})}$, $m, n \geq 2$ is *zero without any factorization* because it depends only on the "linear part" of $\overline{\Psi}, \overline{\Theta}$. The same is true for the product $\overline{\Psi} \circ \overline{\Theta}$. Then, define I_0 as the graded vector space spanned by all elements of the form $[\overline{\Psi_1}, \overline{\Psi_2}] \circ \overline{\Theta} \mp \Psi_1 \circ_* (\overline{\Psi_2} \circ \overline{\Theta}) \pm \Psi_2 \circ_* (\overline{\Psi_1} \circ \overline{\Theta})$ where $\Psi_i \in \text{Hom}(V^{\otimes m_i}, V)$, $m_i \geq 2$, $\Theta \in \text{Hom}(V, V^{\otimes n})$, $n \geq 2$ and the analogous expressions with 2 Θ 's and 1 Ψ . Here $A \circ_* B$ denotes the sum with the signs as in (iv), (v) in the definition (24). Next, denote by I the graded vector space generated by the elements of the form

$$\Psi_{k_s}(*\circ)\Psi_{k_{s-1}}(*\circ)\dots(*\circ)\Psi_{k_1}(*\circ)\alpha \circ_* \Theta_{\ell_1} \circ_* \dots \circ_* \Theta_{\ell_s},$$

where $\alpha \in \overline{\text{Hom}(V^{\otimes m}, V^{\otimes n})}$, $m, n \geq 2$.

Finally, denote by $\overline{\text{Hom}(V^{\otimes m}, V^{\otimes n})}[-m-n+2]$, $m, n \geq 2$, the graded component of the quotient of $(\bigoplus_{m, n \geq 2} \overline{\text{Hom}(V^{\otimes m}, V^{\otimes n})}[-m-n+2])/I$.

Denote

$$\begin{aligned} \aleph = & \bigoplus_{m \geq 2} \overline{\text{Hom}(V^{\otimes m}, V)}[-m+1] \oplus \\ & \bigoplus_{n \geq 2} \overline{\text{Hom}(V, V^{\otimes n})}[-n+1] \oplus \\ & \bigoplus_{m, n \geq 2} \overline{\text{Hom}(V^{\otimes m}, V^{\otimes n})}[-m-n+2] \end{aligned} \quad (25)$$

Theorem. *The formulas for the bracket (24) define a graded Lie algebra structure on \aleph (that means that the Jacobi identity is satisfied).*

Proof. It follows from the definitions. □

Remark. It would be very interesting to specify in which sense our construction is an analog of the Markl's construction [M1] applied to the case of $\frac{2}{3}$ PROPs.

The dg Lie algebra \aleph defined above is a "deformation Lie algebra" (see Section 4) for the bialgebra V with 0 product and 0 coproduct. When we want to consider deformation theory for a bialgebra V with non-zero (co)product, we localize \aleph by the corresponding solution of the Maurer-Cartan equation.

Lemma. *Let $\Psi \in \text{Hom}(V^{\otimes 2}, V)$ and $\Theta \in \text{Hom}(V, V^{\otimes 2})$ are the product and the coproduct for a (co)associative bialgebra structure on V . Then $\beta = \overline{\Psi} + \overline{\Theta} \in \aleph^1$ satisfies the Maurer-Cartan equation with 0 differential:*

$$[\beta, \beta] = 0 \tag{26}$$

Proof. It is clear. □

Now for such Ψ, Θ as above, we consider the dg Lie algebra $\aleph_{\Psi, \Theta}$ which is the same as \aleph but with the differential $ad(\beta)$.

4 From dg Lie algebra to L_∞ algebra

Let $\mathfrak{g}_1, \mathfrak{g}_2$ be two L_∞ algebras. Recall that it means that we have odd vector fields of degree +1 Q_1 on $\mathfrak{g}_1[1]$ and Q_2 on $\mathfrak{g}_2[1]$ such that $Q_1^2 = Q_2^2 = 0$. Suppose we have an L_∞ map $U: \mathfrak{g}_1 \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}_2$. It means, by definition, that we have a non-linear map $U: \mathfrak{g}_1[1] \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}_2[1]$ which maps the field Q_1 to the field Q_2 . Suppose that the map U is a (non-linear) imbedding of topological spaces. Then we can say that the vector field Q_2 is tangent to the image $U(\mathfrak{g}_1[1])$ (because it coincides with the image of Q_1). Vice versa, suppose we have an L_∞ algebra \mathfrak{g}_2 , a *graded vector space* \mathfrak{g}_1 , and an imbedding $U: \mathfrak{g}_1[1] \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}_2[1]$ such that the vector field Q_2 on \mathfrak{g}_2 is *tangent* to the image. Then we claim that there is a unique L_∞ structure on \mathfrak{g}_1 which makes U an L_∞ map.

Apply it now to the case when $\mathfrak{g}_2 = \aleph$. Consider the Gerstenhaber-Schack space $\bigoplus_{m, n \geq 1, m+n \geq 3} \text{Hom}(V^{\otimes m}, V^{\otimes n})[-m-n+2]$ as \mathfrak{g}_1 . Consider the following non-linear map $U: \mathfrak{g}_1 \rightarrow \aleph$: the map U maps $\text{Hom}(V^{\otimes m}, V^{\otimes n})[-m-n+2]$ identically to \aleph when $m, n \geq 2$. When $n = 1$, U maps $\Psi \in \text{Hom}(V^{\otimes m}, V) \in \mathfrak{g}_1$ to $\overline{\Psi} \in \aleph$, and for $m = 1$, U maps $\Theta \in \text{Hom}(V, V^{\otimes n}) \in \mathfrak{g}_1$ to $\overline{\Theta} \in \aleph$. It is clear that we are in the assumptions above (before the localization by the solution β of the Maurer-Cartan equation). It means that the quadratic vector field on $\aleph[1]$ defining the dg Lie algebra structure on \aleph is *tangent* to the image of U . It allows us to define an L_∞ structure on the Gerstenhaber-Schack space \mathfrak{g}_1 which makes U an L_∞ map.

The author hopes to construct this L_∞ structure explicitly in the next paper. He is not sure that the linear part of this L_∞ structure will be the Gerstenhaber-Schack differential, but he is sure that in this way we obtain a more right object.

Acknowledgements

I am grateful to Maxim Kontsevich for sharing with me with his construction of the spaces $K(m, n)$. When I constructed the CROC compactification of them, I discussed it many times with Borya Feigin, and these discussions finally explained to me the necessity of a Stasheff-type compactification for the usual (commutative) deformation theory of (co)associative bialgebras. Discussions on this compactification and on the corresponding Lie algebra with Giovanni Felder were very useful for me. I am grateful to Martin Markl for the reading of the first version of this paper and for his remarks and corrections. I also would like to thank the ETH (Zurich) for the financial support and for the very stimulating atmosphere.

References

- [F] B. Feigin, The conformal field theory from the view of the cohomology theory of Lie algebras, ICM-90 (Kyoto) , Math. Society of Japan, Tokyo, 1990
- [GS] M. Gerstenhaber, S.D. Schack, Bialgebra cohomology, deformations, and quantum groups, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA, vol. 87(1990), pp. 478-481,
- [K1] M. Kontsevich, Deformation quantization of Poisson manifolds I, preprint q-alg/9709040
- [K2] M. Kontsevich, Operads and Motives in Deformation Quantization, preprint math.QA/9904055
- [K3] M. Kontsevich, Letter to M.Markl, 12 November 2002
- [M1] M. Markl, Cotangent cohomology of a category and deformations, Journal of Pure and Appl. Algebra, 113 (1996), 195-218
- [M2] M. Markl, A resolution (minimal model) of the PROP for bialgebras, preprint math.AT/0209007
- [MV] M. Markl, A. Voronov, PROPPed up graph cohomology, preprint math.QA/0307081
- [Q1] D.G. Quillen, Homotopical algebra, Lect. Notes in Math. 43, Springer-Verlag, 1967
- [Q2] D.G. Quillen, Rational Homotopy Theory, Ann. of Math., vol.90, 1969
- [Sh] B. Shoikhet, The CROCs, Non-commutative Deformations, and (co)Associative bialgebras, preprint math.QA/0306143
- [T] D. Tamarkin, Another proof of M.Kontsevich formality theorem, preprint math.QA/9803025

Dept. of Math., ETH-Zentrum, 8092 Zurich, SWITZERLAND
e-mail: borya@mccme.ru, borya@math.ethz.ch